

Published in final edited form as:

Clin Colorectal Cancer. 2019 March; 18(1): 44–51. doi:10.1016/j.clcc.2018.08.001.

Fluoropyrimidine cardiotoxicity: time for a contemporaneous appraisal

Jenica N. Upshaw, MD MS¹, Anne O'Neill, MS², Joseph R. Carver, MD³, Eileen P. Dimond, RN, MS⁴, Crystal S. Denlinger, MD⁵, Sheetal M. Kircher, MD⁶, Lynne I. Wagner, PhD⁷, Bonnie Ky, MD, MSCE^{3,8}, Joanna M. Brell, MD⁹

¹Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA ²Department of Biostatistics and Computational Biology, Dana Farber Cancer Institute-ECOG-ACRIN ³Abramson Cancer Center, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA ⁴NCI Division of Cancer Prevention, Bethesda, Maryland ⁵Department of Hematology/Oncology, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania ⁶Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois ⁷Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Winston Salem, North Carolina ⁸Penn Cardiovascular Institute, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA ⁹MetroHealth Cancer Center, Department of Medicine, Division Hematology/Oncology Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio

Abstract

IMPORTANCE: Fluoropyrimidines are a fundamental component of many chemotherapy regimens. Cardiotoxic adverse events (AEs) such as angina, ischemia, arrhythmias and cardiomyopathy associated with 5-fluorouracil (5FU) and capecitabine (cape) have been sparingly described in the literature, primarily through case reports. Data from the 1990s reveals an estimated incidence ranged from 0.5 to 19% with cardiovascular fatalities occurring in up to 28%. Current use of fluoropyrimidines (FPD) include multiple dosing regimens, oral or intravenous delivery, and administration with additional cardiotoxic therapies. As such, it is imperative to better define cardiotoxicity risk in the modern treatment era.

OBJECTIVE: To comprehensively evaluate the incidence, prevalence, and ascertainment of cardiovascular risk factors and disease within ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group clinical trials incorporating 5FU and cape.

EVIDENCE REVIEW: Case report forms (CRFs) and clinical study reports (CSR) from the ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group database of Phase II and III clinical trials incorporating 5FU and capecitabine were evaluated. A total of 16 trials between 2002 and 2017 were identified, using bolus 5FU (1), continuous infusion 5FU (10) or capecitabine (5).

Address for correspondence: Jenica Upshaw MD, MS, Division of Cardiology, Tufts Medical Center, 800 Washington Street, Boston, MA 02111, Telephone: 617-636-5000, Fax: 617-636-6030, jupshaw@tuftsmedicalcenter.org.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

FINDINGS: A prior history of cardiovascular disease was variably defined and was an exclusion criterion in 81% (13/16 studies). Baseline risk factors and history of cardiac disease were specifically collected in only 19% (3/16). All studies collected cardiovascular adverse events (AE) according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version at time of study. Less than half (7/16; 44%) of study CRFs also specifically requested information on cardiac ischemia/infarction. In the twelve completed studies with CSRs, the following AEs were reported: dyspnea up to 16%, arrhythmias up to 6%, and angina /ischemia/elevated troponin up to 5%. Some trials only recorded cardiac AEs possibly associated with the novel drug being studied and not those attributed to the standard of care 5FU/cape arm, further decreasing the numeric incidence.

CONCLUSION AND RELEVANCE: Inconsistent clinical trial reporting of cardiac events preclude accurate and precise delineation of the epidemiology of FPD-related cardiovascular AEs related. Prospective knowledge of the definition and natural history will lead to development of risk factor stratification and pre-chemotherapy interventions to reduce or prevent cardiotoxicity. We propose that prospective collection of baseline cardiac data and prespecified cardiac endpoints is necessary to fully understand the incidence and cardiac risk of 5DP.

Introduction

The fluoropyrimidines, 5-fluorouracil (5FU) and the oral pro-drug capecitabine (cape), are fundamental components of many chemotherapy regimens, beginning in 1962 with the FDA approval of 5FU. Standard of care chemotherapy regimens for colorectal carcinoma (CRC) employ either agent (5FU/cape) as neoadjuvant treatment, adjuvant therapy, and for disease stabilization with incurable cancer. An estimated 154,000 patients are potentially exposed to 5FU/cape annually. Fluoropyrimidines (FPDs) will continue to be widely prescribed, as currently there are no pharmacologic replacements on the horizon for CRC. In 2015, the combination of trifluridine and tipiracil, an oral thymidine-based nucleoside analog was approved as third or fourth line therapy (1). Serious adverse effects (AEs) associated with FDP can alter the prescribed treatment regimen (2,3). In particular, cardiovascular complications can obstruct the delivery of potentially curative or life-prolonging chemotherapy with significant morbidity as well as increased mortality for patients predicted to have long-term oncologic survival.

The mechanisms of FPD-associated cardiotoxicity are based mainly on older studies utilizing pre-clinical and cell models. These include coronary artery vasospasm potentially due to protein kinase C activation, vascular endothelial damage, increased myocardial oxygen consumption, and direct myocardial toxic effects (4–8). The described cardiovascular complications encompass a broad list including angina, arrhythmias, sudden death, hypotension/hypertension, and cardiomyopathy (8–11). Anecdotally, these conditions may occur with or without the presence of underlying cardiovascular disease. The published literature regarding cardiotoxicity is sparse despite multiple clinical reports and is mainly retrospective with a widely reported estimated incidence from systematic reviews ranging from 0.5% to 19% (8, 10).

A prospective study published in 1992 affords the best insight into the natural history of FPDs (11).. Cancer patients (N=367) scheduled to receive the first cycle of outpatient

continuous infusion (CI) 5FU were hospitalized and monitored per study parameters: 28 (7.6%) patients experienced cardiotoxicity such as angina and hypotension. Death occurred in eight of these patients (28.6%) from sudden death or hypertension followed by hypotension with cardiogenic shock (11).. The cardiovascular related mortality rate for the entire cohort was 2.2% (8/367). In the group of 28 patients with cardiotoxicity, eight patients (28.6%) had an antecedent cardiovascular condition. Whether any of these eight patients experienced fatal cardiac toxicity was not reported. For those afflicted with 5FU cardiotoxicity, prevention of disability and death is an unmet clinical problem.

To gain detailed insight into the more recent incidence of cardiotoxicity with 5FU/cape, we evaluated summary reports from national clinical trials performed through The Eastern Cooperative Group Cancer Research Group - American College of Radiology Imaging Network (ECOG-ACRIN).

Methods

The ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group database of completed and ongoing Phase II and III clinical trials was searched using the indexed Cancer Chemotherapy National Service Center (NSC) number for 5FU (NSC 19893) and cape (NSC 712807) to identify all ECOG-ACRIN trials using 5FU/cape in at least one study arm. For all trials, the study protocol and case report forms (CRFs) were reviewed and the following information extracted: study population, treatment regimens, cardiovascular (CV) exclusion criteria, CV disease or CV risk factors collected at baseline, and assessment methods of CV events during the trial. For trials which had closed to accrual, the ECOG-ACRIN clinical study report (CSR) (which reports final study results including AE reporting), if available, was also reviewed and rates of CV events extracted.

Results

Sixteen trials employing 5FU and/or cape initiated from 2002–2016 were identified. (Table 1). No ECOG-ACRIN trials of trifluridine and tipiracil were conducted during this time frame. Accrual in 13 (81%) of the trials had closed. Ten (63%) trials included 5FU, five (31%) utilized cape, and one (6%) included both. Of the 11 trials with 5FU in at least one arm, one used bolus dosing only, five contained bolus followed by continuous infusion, and four employed continuous infusion only. Capecitabine dosing ranged from 750mg/m2 twice daily to 1000mg/m2 twice daily. Ten (62%) were Phase II and six (38%) were Phase III trials. The malignancies studied were 13 gastrointestinal (81%), two breast (12%), and one head and neck (7%).

Prior CV disease was an exclusion criterion in most trials (13/16); however, the definition of CV disease varied substantially across trials. Potential participants with recent prior unstable angina (UA) or myocardial infarction (MI) were excluded in 11 studies, with exclusion intervals ranging from three to 12 months prior to enrollment. Other frequent exclusion criteria for conditions such as stroke, peripheral vascular disease, and heart failure were inconsistently defined. Only three trials specifically recorded baseline cardiovascular history or risk factors on the CRFs. Cardiac AEs were defined according to the Common

Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) according to the version available during the study period (versions 2–4). In nine trials (56%) the AE CRFs specifically asked investigators to report at least one CV AE, while in other trials no CV AEs were explicitly requested and thus were reported according to the CTCAE as "other" on the AE CRF. Of the 9 trials that requested CV AEs, the specific AEs included cardiac ischemia/infarction (6/9), acute coronary syndrome (1/9), chest pain NOS (1/9), dyspnea (5/9), CNS cerebrovascular ischemia (4/9) and cardiac arrhythmias (2/9).

Of the 13 trials closed to accrual, final CSRs were available for 10 (77%) (Table 2). For many trials, only adverse events deemed possibly, probably or related to the study drug, which was rarely 5FU/cape, were included in the AE summaries. Incidence of chest pain, cardiac-troponin or cardiac-ischemia ranged from 0-5%, arrhythmias ranged from 0-6% and dyspnea as high as 16%.

Discussion

From this review of the ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group database of fluoropyrimidine trials, we ascertained that cardiotoxicity has been inconsistently reported, impeding enumeration of the incidence. In these trials there were specified objectives for assessment of toxicity, but not explicitly for cardiotoxicity. As a result of this review we have identified several considerations to incorporate into trial design to increase the cardiotoxicity knowledge base.

One concern in clinical trials design is the exclusion of patients with previously diagnosed cardiovascular disease. Consequently, adverse events from clinical research will likely underestimate the incidence in the general population. In addition, we found that baseline cardiac disease or cardiac risk factors were infrequently documented on these CRFs, even though risk factors such as obesity, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, smoking and diabetes may impact the development of ensuing cardiovascular disease, including silent ischemia. To investigate the efficacy of new agents, later-phase clinical studies avoid the effects of comorbidities and organ dysfunction on anti-cancer drug development. Whether to begin including patients with multiple medical conditions, as seen in the community setting, in clinical trials is under discussion. The current NCI director prioritizes revision of the NCI's National Clinical Trials Network, noting "unnecessary exclusions" and "poor accrual of underrepresented populations" in trials generate results applicable only to homogenous groups of patients [12] Modifications in inclusion criteria to include common medical conditions will extend clinical trial eligibility for the broader cancer population with improved generalizability of results [13]. The assessment of FPD cardiotoxicity in realworld patients could be performed by designing pragmatic trials [14] in the community setting, including conducting studies in the National Cancer Institute's Community Oncology Research Program [15]. The impact of comorbid conditions or their risk factors on cardiovascular health in cancer patients is critical to understand cardiotoxicity risk.

Additional data usually not reported is required to classify cardiotoxicity. Details such as procedures to diagnose the cardiovascular events, cardiovascular outcomes, and chemotherapy doses received are required to inform the natural history. Given the fluid onset

of cardiovascular AEs, the call for toxicity grading long term during therapy, as opposed to recording specific points, will broaden the definitions and incidence of all drug-related toxicity. Additionally, post-therapy surveillance is essential to characterize the ensuing morbidity from chronic cardiac disease related to FPD treatment. The CTCAE are universally accepted for AE reporting in clinical studies, albeit with known limitations. The time span of these reviewed trials evaluated clinical research across several CTCAE versions with varying terminology [16]. Specific and consistent data element terms should be captured at baseline, throughout treatment, and with surveillance. The ECOG-ACRIN Cardiotoxicity Working Group has developed a wide-ranging list of cardiovascular data elements, including the capture of risk factors, preexisting cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular outcomes (Table 3) [17]. Across FPD clinical trials, prospective collection of standardized cardiotoxicity endpoints will lead to clarification of the specific cardiac events associated with FPDs and will allow formalization of definitions.

Furthermore, the current estimates of FPD cardiotoxicity incidence will be likely influenced by the evolution of dosing and delivery of 5FU, from intravenous bolus to five-day continuous infusions to 48-hour infusions [18]. Our retrospective review encompassed a variety of fluoropyrimidine doses and schedules, some of which are no longer clinically applicable. There is suggestion that the dose and schedule may impact the risk of FPD cardiotoxicity [4, 6, 18]. The contribution of concomitantly prescribed anti-cancer treatments, such as vascular targeted agents, to FDR cardiotoxicity risk is unknown. Future combination treatments with 5FU/cape should be assessed for additive or synergistic cardiotoxic effects, which may heighten patient risk for cardiotoxicity during anti-cancer treatment. Of note, there were no ECOG-ACRIN trials of the FDA approved combination of trifluridine and tipiracil or other oral FDPs such as UFT or S1, which are not currently FDA approved, thus the reporting and cardiotoxicity of FDP agents other than 5FU and cape were not including in the current review. In the pivotal phase III trial of tifluridine and tipiracil that included 800 participants with refractory metastatic colorectal cancer, < 1 % of patients were reported to have developed cardiac ischemia in both the tifluridine/tipiracil and placebo groups [19]. Potential cardiotoxicity with tifluridine and tipiracil and other oral FDPs warrants further study.

Our overall goal of defining cardiotoxicity is to ensure the safety of CRC patients receiving therapy. Currently data to inform risk-to-benefit discussions with patients, preventive strategies, and clinical practice guidelines for patients receiving fluoropyrimidines are lacking. Reporting of best clinical practices, such as the recently published single institution strategies to re-challenge patients who have incurred FPD cardiotoxicity, will aid with informing guidelines [20].

The past variable reporting of cardiac events precludes accurate and precise delineation of the epidemiology of 5FU/cape-related cardiovascular AEs. Uncertainty remains regarding the incidence and extent of FPD cardiotoxicity in the current treatment era. The influence of patient-related factors obtained from mutation analysis [21] and phenotyping, as well as the addition of concomitant cancer treatments to 5FU/cape are unknown. Given the mortality and unknown acute and chronic morbidity of cardiotoxicity there is a critical need for robust collection of CV risk factors and disease from baseline to post-chemotherapy, trial designs

with specific cardiotoxic primary or secondary objectives, and careful consideration/ evaluation regarding study exclusion criteria in late phase studies. Prospective knowledge gained regarding the extent and severity of FPD cardiotoxicity will lead to the development of diagnostic criteria, risk factor stratification, and peri-chemotherapy interventions to reduce or prevent cardiotoxicity.

We propose that prospective collection of baseline cardiac data and prespecified cardiac endpoints is necessary to fully understand the incidence and cardiac risk of fluoropyrimidines.

Funding Sources:

This study was coordinated by the ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group (Peter J. O' Dwyer, MD and Mitchell D Schnall, MD, PhD, Group Co-Chairs) and supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under the following award numbers: CA189828 and CA180853. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. government.

References

- Trifluridine-tipiracil Food and Drug Administration Label. [August 1, 2018] https:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/207981s000lbl.pdfLast accessed
- 5-fluououracil Food and Drug Administration Label. [June 15, 2018] https://hemonc.org/docs/packageinsert/fluorouracil.pdf Last accessed
- Capecitabine Food and Drug Administration Label. [June 15, 2018] https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2005/020896s016lbl.pdf Last accessed
- 4. Mosseri H, Fingert H, Varticovski L, Choshi S, Isner J. in vitro evidence that myocardial ischemia resulting from 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy is due to protein kinase C –mediated vasoconstriction of vascular smooth muscle. Cancer Res 1993;53:3028–3033 [PubMed: 8391384]
- Cwikiel M, Albertsson M, Zhang B, et al. The influence of 5-fluorouracil on the endothelium in small arteries. A scanning and transmission electron microscopy study in rabbits. Scan Micros 1995;9:561–576
- 6. Tsibiribi P, Bui-Xuan C, Bui-Xuan B, et al. Cardiac lesions induced by 5-fluorouracil in the rabbit. Hum Exp Toxicol 2006;25:305–309 [PubMed: 16866187]
- 7. Focaccetti C, Bruno A, Magnani E, et al. Effects of 5-Fluorrouracil on morphology, cell cycle, proliferation, apoptosis, autophagy, and ROS production in endothelial cells and cardiomyocytes 2015. PLoS One 10(2): e0115686. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115686 [PubMed: 25671635]
- 8. Polk A, Vistisen K, Vagge-Nilsen M, Nielson DL. A systemic review of the pathophysiology of 5-fluorouracil-induced cardiotoxicity. BMC Pharma Toxicol 2014;15:47–58
- 9. Sorrentino M, Treuesdell AG. 5-Fluorouracil-induced coronary thrombosis: a case report and review of the literature. J Cardiol Cases 2012;6 e20–e22 [PubMed: 30532939]
- Polk A, Vagge-Nilsen M, Vistisen K, Nielson DL. Cardiotoxicity in cancer patients treated with 5fluorouracil or capecitabine: a systemic review of incidence, manifestations, and predisposing factors. Cancer Treat Rev 2013;39(8);974–984 [PubMed: 23582737]
- 11. de Forni M, Malet-Martino MC, Jaillais P, et al. Cardiotoxicity of high-dose continuous in fusion fluorouracil: a prospective clinical study. J Clin Oncol 1992;10:1795–1801 [PubMed: 1403060]
- Sharpless NE. American Society of Clinical Oncology 2018 Opening Session NCI Director's Remarks: The Power and Promise of Cancer Research" Chicago, IL 6 2, 2018
- 13. Lichtman SM, Harvey RD, Smit MAD, et al. Modernizing Clinical Trial Eligibility Criteria: Recommendations of the American Society of Clinical Oncology–Friends of Cancer Research Organ Dysfunction, Prior or Concurrent Malignancy, and Comorbidities Working Group. J Clin Oncol DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2017.74.4102 Journal of Clinical Oncology – published online before print October 2, 2017

 Ford I, Norrie J. Pragmatic clinical trials. New Engl J Med 2016;375: 454–463 [PubMed: 27518663]

- Community Oncology Research Program National Cancer Institute. [June 28, 2018] https://prevention.cancer.gov/major-programs/nci-community-oncology Last accessed
- Thanarajasingam G, Hubbard JM, Sloan JA, Grothey A. Imperative for Innovative Approach to Toxicity Analysis in Oncology Clinical Trials. J Natl Cancer Inst 2015;107(10);djv216
- 17. Adapted from ECOG Baseline and Follow up Cardiovascular Form, 2017, see supplemental appendix
- Kosmas C, Kallistratos MS, Kopterides P, et al. Cardiotoxicity of fluoropyrimidines in different schedules of administration: a prospective study. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2008;134(10):75–82 [PubMed: 17636329]
- 19. Mayer RJ, Van Cutsem E, Falcone A, et al. Randomized trial of TAS-102 for Refractory Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. N Eng J Med 2015;372:1909–19.
- Clasen SC, Ky B, O'Quinn R, Giantonio B, Teitelbaum U, Carver JR. Fluoropyrimidine-induced cardiac toxicity: challenging the current paradigm. J Gastrointest Oncol 2017;8(6):970–979. doi: 10.21037/jgo.2017.09.07 [PubMed: 29299356]
- 21. Morash M, Mitchell H, Yu A, et al. CATCH-KB: Establishing a Pharmacogenomics Variant Repository for Chemotherapy-Induced Cardiotoxicity. AMIA Summits on Translational Science Proceedings. 2018;2017:168–177.

Table 1:

Phase II and III ECOG-ACRIN Trials of 5FU and/or Capecitabine - Description of studies, Cardiovascular (CV) exclusion criteria, and ascertainment of CV events

CV event definitions/ ascertainment on Adverse Event (AE) Case Report Form (CRF)	AE CRF includes: • Cardiac ischemia/ infarction • Dyspnea	AE CRF includes:	AE CRF includes: • Cardiac ischemia/ infarction • CNS cerebrovascular ischemia • Hypertension • Dyspnea • Thrombosis/ thrombus/ embolism Grade 3+ TIA/CVA/MI/angina specifically included in the	No cardiac AEs specifically ascertained
CTCAE version, grades and attribution*	CTC v2, all grades, treatment related ***	CT v2, all grades, treatment related ***	CTCAE v3, all grades, treatment related ***	CTCAE v3,grade 3– 5, treatment related ***
Baseline CV Data Collected	None	None	None	None
CV exclusion criteria	Symptomatic cardiovascular disease	None	Cardiac arrhythmia TIA/stroke Arterial thromboembolic events UA/MI within 12 months of study entry	Heart failure Stroke/TIA, UA/MI within 6 months of study entry
Year study activated	2004	2000	2006	2008
Phase, and Accrual	Phase II N=71	Phase II N=92	Phase II(randomized) N=137	Phase II N=22
Cancer type	Metastatic Breast Cancer	Advanced Colorectal	Completely resected pancreatic CA	Operable esophageal cancer
Agent(s)	Capecitabine 1000mg/m2 twice daily + tipifamib	5-FU 400mg/m2 weekly bolus + irinotecan + leucovorin + bevacizumab	Capecitabine 825mg/m2 twice daily Arm A: + cetuximab + gencitabine Arm B: + bevacizumab + gemcitabine	5-FU 180mg/m2 CI over 24 hours D 1–35 + oxaliplatin + cetuximab
Study	E1103	E2200	E2204	E2205

CV event definitions/ ascertainment on Adverse Event (AE) Case Report Form (CRF)	No cardiac AEs specifically ascertained	No cardiac AEs specifically ascertained	No cardiac AEs specifically ascertained	AE CRF includes: Chest/thorax Pain NOS Dyspnea Thrombosis/ thrombus/ embolism
CTCAE version, grades and attribution*	CTCAE	CTC v2, grade 4-5 hematologic and grade 3-5 non-hematologic, treatment related **	CTCAE v3, grade 35, treatment related ***	CTCAE v3, all grades, treatment related ***
Baseline CV Data Collected	None	None	None	None
CV exclusion criteria	Arterial thromboembolic events PVD UA, MI within 12 months of study entry	Heart failure UA/MI within 3 months	None	Heart failure PVD. UA/MI within 12 months of study entry
Year study activated	2013	2001	2003	2006
Phase, and Accrual	Phase II (randomized) N=144 ***	Phase III N=829	Phase III N=179	Phase II N=57
Cancer type	Advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors	Advanced	Stage II or III Rectal	Locally advanced rectal cancer
Agent(s)	Capecitabine 750mg/m2 twice daily only or with Arm A: + temozolomide Arm B: temozolomide only no 5FU	SFU 400mg/m2 bolus followed by 600mg/m2 CI 600mg/m2 CI 600mg/m2 CI A: oxaliplatin + leucovorin + leucovorin + leucovrin C: bevacizumab B: oxaliplatin C: bevacizumab B: oxaliplatin C: bevacizumab B: oxaliplatin C: bevacizumab B: oxaliplatin C: bevacizumab	SFU bolus followed by CI 2400 mg/m2 over 46 hours adjuvant Arms A, B, D, and E Arms C and F SFU bolus only	Capecitabine 8.55mg/m2 twice daily + oxaliplatin + bevacizumab + radiation then surgery, then adjuvant 5.Ft 400mg/m2
Study	E2211	E3200	E3201	E3204

Study	Agent(s)	Cancer type	Phase, and Accrual	Year study activated	CV exclusion criteria	Baseline CV Data Collected	CTCAE version, grades and attribution*	CV event definitions/ ascertainment on Adverse Event (AE) Case Report Form (CRF)
	2400mg/m2 CI 46 hours + leucovorin +oxaliplatin + bevacizumab							
E3205	5-FU 4000mg/m2 CI over 96 hours + cetuximab + cisplatin Arm I: two cycles Arm 2: one cycle	Anal carcinoma	Phase II N=63	2007	Heart failure Stroke/TIA UA/MI within 6 months of study entry	None	CTCAE v4, grade 3-5, treatment related **	AE CRF includes: • Cardiac-ischemia/ infarction
E4203	5-FU 400mg/m2 bolus followed by 2400mg/m2 CI over 46 hours Arm B and C: + leucovorin + caliplatin + bevacizumab Arm A: innocean + oxaliplatin + bevacizumab no 5FU	Metastatic colorectal	Phase II N=211	2005	NYHA III-IV heart failure UA/MI within 6 months of study entry	None	CTCAE v3, all grades, treatment related ***	No cardiac AEs specifically ascertained
E5202	FFU 400 mg/m2 bolus followed by 2.4gm/m2 over 46 hours Arm B: + bevacizumab Arm C: no chemotherapy	Stage II Colon Cancer	Phase III N=2432 ***	2005	UA/MI within prior 12 months Symptomatic PVD NYHA III/IV HF Symptomatic arrhythmias TIA/Stroke	Perioperative MI	CTCAE v3 ***	AE CRF includes: Cardiac-ischemia/ infarction Cardiac arrhythmia CNS cerebrovascular ischemia Thrombosis/ thrombus/ embolism

CV event definitions/ ascertainment on Adverse Event (AE) Case Report Form (CRF)	AE CRF includes: Cardiac-ischemia/ infarction Pain-Chest/Thorax NOS CNS CRS cerebrovascular ischemia Hypertension Hypertension Dyspnea Thrombosis/ thrombus/ embolism	AE CRF includes: • Acute coronary syndrome	No cardiac AEs specifically ascertained
CTCAE version, grades and attribution*	grades 3–5, treatment related ***	CTCAE	CTCAE v4 a
Baseline CV Data Collected	History of: • Diabetes • Hypertension	History of: Atterial thromboembolic events MI, stable angina, unstable angina, HTN Smoking status	None
CV exclusion criteria	UA/MI within prior 12 months PVD, NYHA III/IV HF Symptomatic arrhythmias TIA/Stroke	Stroke within prior 6 months PVD NYHA II-IV HF Serious cardiac arrhythmia requiring medication PVD with symptoms or prior intervention intervention Stroke within the last 6 months	None
Year study activated	2006	2008	2015
Phase, and Accrual	Phase III N=355	Phase III N=403 ***	Phase III Projected N=750
Cancer type	Stage II or III Rectal cancer	Recurrent or Metastatic Head and Neck Cancer	Triple Negative, Stage II or III Breast Cancer
Agent(s)	SFU 400 mg/m2 bolus followed by 2400mg/m2 CI over 46 hours Arm A and B Arm B: + bevacizumab	SFU 1000mg/m2 CI over 96 hours Regimen 2: + cisplatin or carboplatin Regimen 1: docetaxel + cisplatin or	Capecitabine 1000mg/m2 twice daily Arm C only Arm A: observation Arm B: cisplatin vs carboplatin
Study	E5204	E1305	EA1131

	_
	س
- ($\overline{}$
	=
- 1	_
	\Box
	=
- (\circ
	_
	_
	_
	<u>a</u>
	മ
	lanu
	anus
	lanus
	છ
	છ
	SCL
1	SCTI
	SCTI
	SCTI

Agent(s)	Cancer type	Phase, and Accrual	Year study activated	CV exclusion criteria	Baseline CV Data Collected	CTCAE version, grades and attribution*	CV event definitions/ ascertainment on Adverse Event (AE) Case Report Form (CRF)
4000mg/m2 CI over 96 CH over 96 Arm: A + cisplatin Arm B: carboplatin + paclitaxel no 5FU	Advanced Anal Squamous Cell Carcinoma	Phase II Projected N=80	2016	MI within last 6 months Symptomatic CAD Clinically significant cardiac failure Uncontrolled cardiac arrhythmia	Hypertension	CTCAE v4	AE CRF includes: • Myocardial ischemia/infarction • Arrhythmia • LV dysfunction
Capeciabine 750mg/m2 twice daily Arm A: + temocolomide Arm B: platinum + etoposide no capecitabine	Advanced non- small cell GI Neuroendocrine carcinoma	Phase II (randomized) Projected N=126	2015	Symptomatic heart failure Unstable angina Cardiac arrhythmias	None	CTCAE v4	cardiac AEs not specifically ascertained

* CTCAE version. grades, attribution as reported in the final Clinical Study Report (CSR).

**
includes the following attributions: possible, probably, definitely related to protocol treatment.

rial is closed to accrual and final CSR not available to date.

accrual to trial ongoing to date.

Upshaw et al. Page 13

3(5%)

1(2%)

Left ventricular systolic dysfunction 1(2%)

n=62

5-FU 4000mg/m2 CI over 96 hours + cetuximab + cisplatin
Am 1: two cycles

E3205

Table 2:

ailable	Other	Thrombosis/ embolism 1 (2%)	Cardiac other 1 (1%)	CP arrest, nonfatal 1(<1%) Pericardial effusion 1(<1 %) Cardiac other 1(<1%),	Syncope 1(5%)	Cardiac other 2(<1 %)		Cardiac-other 1(2%)
dy Reports Av	Thrombosis/ thrombus/ embolism		11(13%)	8(6%)	3 (14%)	Thrombosis/ embolism 18(2%)	2(1%)	2(4%)
linical Stu	Dyspnea	11 (16%)	14 (16%)	10 (8%)	2 (9%)		2(1%)	2(9%)
s with final C	Hypertension			17 (13%)		40 (5%)		2 (4%)
reported in Trial	LV Dysfunction/ Heart Failure			LV diastolic dysfunction 1(1%)		Cardiac left ventricular function 1(<1%)		
Adverse Events	Arrhythmias		Dysrhythmia 1 (1%) Palpitations 3 (3%) SVT 1 (1%)	SVT 2(2%)	Heart block/ asystole 1(5%) Arrial fibrillation 1(5%)	SVT 6(<1%)		
Capecitabine –	Cardiac- ischemia or chest pain		Cardiac- ischemia 2 (2%)	Chest pain 2 (2%)	Cardiac troponin 1 (5%)	Cardiac ischemia 2(<1%) Cardiac troponin 3(<1%) Chest pain 8(<1%)	Cardiac- ischemia 1(<1%)	Chest/thoracic pain 2(4%)
FU and/or (Number of patients included in AE analyses	89=u	n=87	n=130	Phase II n=22	n=808	n=177 (adjuvant portion)	n=55
Phase II and III ECOG-ACRIN Trials of 5FU and/or Capecitabine – Adverse Events reported in Trials with final Clinical Study Reports Available	Agent(s)	Capecitabine 1000mg/m2 twice daily + tipifamib	5-FU 400mg/m2 weekly bolus irinotecan + leucovorin + bevacizumab	Capecitabine 825mg/m2 twice daily Arms A and B Arm A: cetuximab + gemcitabine Arm B: bevacizumab + gemcitabine	5-FU 180mg/m2 CI over 24 hours D 1–35 + oxaliplatin + cetuximab	SFU 400mg/m2 bolus followed by 600mg/m2 CI over 22 hours in arms A and B A: oxaliplatin + leucovorin + bevacizumab B: oxaliplatin + leucovrin C: bevacizumab alone no 5FU	5-FU bolus followed by CI 2400 mg/m2 over 46 hours adjuvant Arms A, B, D, and E Arms C and F 5FU bolus only	Capecitabine 825mg/m2 twice daily + oxaliplatin + bevacizumab + radiation then surgery, then adjuvant 5FU 400mg/m2 followed by 2400mg/m2 CI 46 hours + leucovorin +oxaliplatin + bevacizumab
Phase II	Study	E1103	E2200	E2204	E2205	E3200	E3201	E3204

Other		Sudden death I(<1%)	
Thrombosis/ thrombus/ embolism		19(9%)	4%
Dyspnea			3%
Hypertension		52 (25%)	
LV Dysfunction/ Heart Failure		LV diastolic dysfunction 1(<1%)	Cardiomyophby restrictive 1%
Arrhythmias		c- Palpitations I 3(2%)Atrial c 1 (1%)	
Cardiac- ischemia or chest pain		Cardiac- ischemia I(<1%) Chest pain 3(1%)	Cardiac- ischemia 2% Cardiac/heart pain 1% Chest pain 1 %
Number of patients included in AE analyses		n=205	n=347
Agent(s)	Arm 2: one cycle	5-FU 400mg/m2 bolus followed by 2400mg/m2 CI over 46 hours Am B and C: leucovorin + oxaliplatin + bevacizumab Am A: No 5FU irinotecan + oxaliplatin + bevacizumab	SFU 400 mg/m2 bolus followed by 2400mg/m2 CI over 46 hours Am: A and B Arm B: + bevacizumab.
Study		E4203	E5204

Author Manuscript

Upshaw et al.

Key elements to consider including in oncology clinical trials (Adapted from ECOG Baseline and Follow up Cardiovascular Form, see supplemental appendix)

Table 3:

History of Diagnosed CVD	d CVD	Symptoms Suggestive of CVD	Physical Ex	Physical Exam Findings	Lab and Ir	Lab and Imaging Data	Cardiac Medications	edications
Coronary artery disease	se	Chest pain at rest or with	•	Blood Pressure	Lab tests:		•	Aspirin
• Myoca	Myocardial Infarct (date)	exertion Shortness of breath at rest	•	Heart rate	•	serum creatinine	•	ACE-inhibitor
• Revasc (Percut	Revascularization history (Percutaneous coronary	or with exertion Orthopnea Paroxysmal		Height Weight	•	brain natriuretic peptide	•	Angiotensin Receptor Blocker
interve bypass	intervention of coronary artery bypass graft surgery)	Nocturnal Dyspnea	•	BMI	•	N-terminal pro-brain	•	Beta-blocker
Angina class	ı class	Edema Fatigue	•	Jugular venous	•	Troponin I	•	Alpha blocker
Heart Failure/Cardiomyopathy	nyopathy	Weight gain In patients with heart	•	distension Carotid Bruits	•	Troponin T	•	Calcium channel blocker
• Heart f	Heart failure with preserved	failure: New York Heart	•	S3	•	Total cholesterol	•	Diuretics
onoala	Ejecuon Fracuon	Association Class: I: no symptoms	•	S4	•	Triglycerides	•	Nitrates
Heart I Ejectio	Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction	II: mild limitation during	•	Murmur	•	Low Density	•	Statin
• Etiolog	Etiology of Cardiomyopathy	III: Marked limitation in	•	Distal pulses	•	High density	•	Other lipid lowering therapy
• Cardia	Cardiac amyloidosis	comfortable only at rest	•	Rales		lipoprotein	•	Anticoamlant
• Prior h	Prior heart failure hospitalization	IV: symptoms at rest	•	Wheezing	Echocardiography.	granhv.		therapy
• New Y.	New York Heart Association Class		•	Hepatomegaly	•	Stapiny. Left ventricular	•	Other
2011: Commondo DO Doimhratha A	2011		•	Ascites		ejection fraction		
• Atrial f	Atrial fibrillation		•	Edema (grade, extent)	•	Institutional lower limit of normal		
Atrial flutter	lutter				•	Evidence of diastolic		
• Other s	Other supraventricular tachycardia					dystunction (grade if present)		
Ventric	Ventricular tachycardia				•	Evidence of moderate		
• Ventric	Ventricular fibrillation					to severe valvular disease (list)		
• Prior c	Prior cardiac arrest				•	Left atrial dilation		
• Long QT	λŢ				•	Right atrial dilation		
Bradycardia	ardia				•	Right ventricular		
Sick sin	Sick sinus syndrome					function		
Sinus t:	Sinus tachycardia				•	Right ventricular		
reft bu	Left bundle branch block					diation		

History of	History of Diagnosed CVD	Symptoms Suggestive of CVD	Physical Exam Findings	Lab and Imaging Data	Cardiac Medications
•	Arrhythmia - not otherwise listed			• Regional wall motion	
•	Syncope			abnormalities	
•	Palpitations				
•	Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator				
•	Pacemaker				
Valvular Disease	isease				
•	Aortic stenosis				
•	Aortic Regurgitation				
•	Aortic valve repair or replacement				
•	Mitral stenosis				
•	Mitral regurgitation				
•	Mitral valve prolapse				
•	Mitral valve repair or replacement				
•	Tricuspid stenosis				
•	Tricuspid regurgitation				
•	Tricuspid valve repair or replacement				
•	Pulmonic stenosis				
•	Pulmonic regurgitation				
•	Endocarditis				
Vascular disease	sease				
•	Carotid Disease				
•	Transient Ischemic Attack				
•	Stroke				
•	Peripheral Vascular Disease				
•	Thoracic Aortic Aneurysm				
•	Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm				
•	Deep Vein Thrombosis				
•	Pulmonary Embolism				

History of I	History of Diagnosed CVD	Symptoms Suggestive of CVD	Physical Exam Findings	Lab and Imaging Data	Cardiac Medications
•	Pulmonary hypertension				
Pericardial disease	lisease				
•	Pericarditis				
•	Pericardial Effusion				
Cardiac Risk factors	k factors				
•	Hypertension				
•	Diabetes				
•	Tobacco Use (prior or current)				
•	Metabolic Syndrome				
•	Obesity				
•	Hyperlipidemia				
•	Family history of cardiomyopathy or coronary artery disease				
•	Alcohol use (>2 drinks/day)				
•	Prior chest radiation (dose, fractions, field, date)				
•	Prior anthracycline chemotherapy (agent, cumulative dose, year)				
•	Anti-HER2 agent (agent, duration, year)				