

# Operationalizing International Regulatory Standards in a Limited-Resource Setting During an Epidemic: The Sierra Leone Trial to Introduce a Vaccine Against Ebola (STRIVE) Experience

Abdul Karim Kabineh,<sup>1</sup> Wendy Carr,<sup>2</sup> Mahnaz Motevalli,<sup>2a</sup> Jennifer Legardy-Williams,<sup>2</sup> Willietta Vincent,<sup>1</sup> Barbara E. Mahon,<sup>2</sup> and Mohamed Samai<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Medicine and Allied Health Sciences, University of Sierra Leone, Freetown, Sierra Leone; and <sup>2</sup>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia

International regulatory standards ensure human subjects protection, data quality, and scientific integrity of clinical trials. Operationalizing regulatory standards during a large vaccine clinical trial—the Sierra Leone Trial to Introduce a Vaccine Against Ebola—in a resource-constrained setting during an epidemic required flexibility and creativity. We highlight areas that required special attention, such as developing standard operating procedures appropriate for the setting, obtaining space and supplies for the regulatory office, and creating a strategy to maintain both a dedicated central regulatory office and satellite regulatory sites for this trial with paper-based records.

**Clinical Trials Registration.** ClinicalTrials.gov [NCT02378753] and Pan African Clinical Trials Registry [PACTR201502001037220].

**Keywords.** Ebola; STRIVE; regulatory; West Africa; GCP; clinical trials; vaccines.

The 2014–2016 Ebola virus disease (Ebola) outbreak in West Africa presented an urgent need for evaluation of Ebola vaccines in large-scale clinical trials. It was essential that these clinical trials, which, if successful, would be used as the basis for application for vaccine licensure, be conducted in compliance with international regulatory standards that ensure human subjects protection, data quality, and scientific integrity. The setting posed significant challenges, not only because the resource-limited countries highly affected by the epidemic had little previous clinical trial experience but also because of the time pressure and the social disruption caused by the epidemic.

The College of Medicine and Allied Health Sciences of the University of Sierra Leone, the Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) began planning the phase II/III Sierra Leone Trial to Introduce a Vaccine Against Ebola (STRIVE) in October 2014. STRIVE began enrollment and vaccination in April 2015. The objective of STRIVE was to assess the efficacy and safety of the experimental Ebola vaccine rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP (Merck). STRIVE methods and results are described in detail elsewhere [1, 2]. In short, participants were healthcare workers or frontline Ebola response workers who were randomly assigned to receive either immediate (within 7 days) or deferred (18–24 weeks after

enrollment) vaccination and were monitored for 6 months after enrollment and vaccination for adverse events and Ebola. The trial was unblinded; no placebo was used.

During the 6 months of preparation (a process that typically takes several years), ethical approvals were secured from the Sierra Leone Ethics and Scientific Review Committee and the CDC Institutional Review Board (IRB), and authorization was obtained from the Pharmacy Board of Sierra Leone (Sierra Leone's regulatory authority) and, under an investigational new drug application, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). STRIVE was the first large vaccine trial to be conducted in Sierra Leone. The Pharmacy Board of Sierra Leone guidelines pertaining to vaccine clinical trials were newly updated (September 2014), and the standard timeline for processing clinical trial applications was specified as 60 working days [3]. However, given the time constraints created by the epidemic, ethical and regulatory reviews were conducted on an accelerated timeline so that STRIVE could be launched expeditiously. This was especially challenging because the study raised complex issues. For instance, the limited amount of data available on this vaccine made the selection and approval of a vaccine dosage more difficult than it would have been in a nonemergency vaccine development scenario. Firm ongoing commitment from all regulatory authorities to conduct reviews as rapidly as possible without compromising standards was essential. The STRIVE regulatory and implementation teams also very quickly developed procedures and documents, such as practices for maintenance of regulatory records and a manual of standard operating procedures (SOPs), that were both appropriate to the setting and compliant with international regulatory standards

<sup>a</sup>Present affiliation: Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland.

Correspondence: W. Carr, PhD, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA (ibt5@cdc.gov).

The Journal of Infectious Diseases® 2018;217(S1):S56–9

Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2018. This work is written by (a) US Government employee(s) and is in the public domain in the US. DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jiy111

[2, 4]. Many of the facilities and standard processes available in most established clinical trial network sites were not available in Sierra Leone. Therefore, creating the regulatory structure for STRIVE required flexibility in typical SOPs to achieve compliance with the principles, regulations, and guidelines that ensure the integrity of clinical trials. This article describes some of the challenges and solutions in documentation of case histories, SOP development, office set up, and records maintenance and retention.

## **DOCUMENTATION OF CASE HISTORIES**

Regulations, guidance, and accepted common practices [5–9] have been established to ensure scientific and ethical integrity of clinical trials. Often sponsors, investigators, and others involved in the design and conduct of clinical trials do not make the distinction between regulations, guidance, and accepted common practice in the development of SOPs and other aspects of trial conduct. As we planned for STRIVE, it was critical to bear in mind that typical standard practices might not be appropriate to the current setting and therefore might need to be modified, while maintaining thorough compliance with applicable regulations and guidance. Conducting a trial in an emergency in a resource-limited setting required a thorough understanding of ethical principles and quality regulations, open-mindedness regarding operations, and adequate time for discussion with stakeholders. This was challenging but possible, even when time was of the essence.

One example of the flexibility needed during STRIVE was the documentation of complete and accurate case histories. While FDA regulations [10] and the Pharmacy Board of Sierra Leone guidelines [3] require that investigators “prepare and maintain adequate and accurate case histories that record all observations and other data pertinent to the investigation,” the extent and type of material required to complete this documentation is not further elaborated. In many traditional clinical trial settings, medical records are extensive and are readily accessible by investigators. However, in STRIVE, medical records were often limited, incomplete, or difficult to access, owing to the epidemic and the setting. While vigorous effort was made to obtain and include all information from medical records, laboratory results, and other source documentation, in some instances the only available information was participant self-report of medical conditions and events. Since follow-up was conducted mainly by telephone, except when participants sought medical care, clinical observations by study staff were not routinely feasible. Therefore, study staff used case report forms to document participant descriptions of medical events more thoroughly than is done in a typical developed-country clinical trial setting. This practice had the added benefit of providing a snapshot of medical conditions in this population [11], for which few data had previously been available.

## **SOP DEVELOPMENT**

Since the STRIVE sites were newly established and the majority of staff had no previous research experience, no preexisting institutional and departmental SOPs related to clinical trials were available on which to base STRIVE's SOPs. Typical SOPs generally describe various aspects of the execution of the study (eg, study visits, data management, dispensation of study product, and adverse event [AE] and serious AE reporting); STRIVE SOPs went further, though, to also include topics that would typically be outlined in institutional and departmental SOPs or policies, rather than in a study-specific SOP, such as handling media requests, how to communicate with the IRB, and details of implementation of ethical and confidentiality policies. In addition, STRIVE SOPs were more detailed and specific than usual SOPs, to avoid inconsistency across the sites. For instance, an SOP was written to explain how to complete data collection forms, from how to date a form to how to legibly write words and numbers, with examples of incorrect and correct methods.

## **FACILITIES AND SUPPLIES FOR A REGULATORY OFFICE**

Practical challenges for STRIVE arose in setting up a regulatory office in Sierra Leone. Any clinical trial needs an office with basic supplies (eg, printers, scanners, lockable file cabinets, binders, and files). However, the immediate needs of the emergency response, which were appropriately prioritized over research, magnified the challenges of providing space and supplies for STRIVE. We thought we had found a solution by contracting with a local organization that had access to the necessary materials. However, even with this support, supply acquisition remained difficult. Local printing and office supply companies were overwhelmed, and supplies were often depleted, because many organizations needed similar supplies during the emergency response. Therefore, because printing locally was so difficult, regulatory documents that were more than a few pages long were printed in Atlanta, Georgia, and either shipped or transported by a CDC STRIVE staff member who was en route to Sierra Leone. Study supplies, such as file cabinets and file folders, were also imported in many instances. Curfews in effect during this time complicated delivery efforts. An awareness that office supply acquisition would require special attention could have eased some early difficulties in STRIVE implementation.

## **RECORDS MAINTENANCE AND RETENTION**

In many clinical trials, each physical site is run separately under the supervision of individual-site-specific investigators who maintain their investigator site files within their respective sites. By contrast, the 7 STRIVE sites were all managed by a single local principal investigator and managing staff. These sites were located up to a 4-hour drive from the central regulatory office in Freetown, so satellite regulatory files were established within

enrollment and vaccination sites, participant follow-up sites, cold-chain depots, and laboratories, to facilitate the research staff's access to essential regulatory documents (eg, approved protocol and supporting documents, investigator brochure, participant screening and enrollment log, and vaccine accountability and dispensation logs). STRIVE had to use a paper-based file system because Internet access was unreliable in Sierra Leone. To minimize duplication while still ensuring ready access to these documents, careful consideration had to be given to which documents were stored at the satellite sites and which were transported to the central regulatory office. As sites and facilities closed when their work was done, careful planning was needed for collapsing the satellite regulatory files into the main investigator site files located at the central regulatory office in Freetown.

Finally, given the regulatory requirements [3, 6] for maintaining accurate and adequate records that are available for inspection, ensuring appropriate long-term storage of the files following study closure presented a logistical obstacle. Because there was no existing infrastructure in place for storing and ensuring the integrity of large numbers of paper files in Sierra Leone's hot and humid environmental conditions, a long-term storage facility to appropriately house the trial records had to be constructed. Refrigerated storage containers were repurposed to create a long-term storage compound by resting the containers atop a concrete base and equipping them with air conditioners, dehumidifiers, and electronic measuring and tracking equipment to ensure the maintenance of appropriate temperature and humidity levels. This facility was situated within a walled compound with a manned guard station to ensure security of the files.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, creativity and flexibility were key to creating the regulatory structure for STRIVE, a phase II/III vaccine clinical trial that enrolled >8000 participants in the midst of the unprecedented Ebola epidemic in Sierra Leone. Time was always short because of the emergency, and the staff, procedures, and physical infrastructure for trial conduct all had to be built from the ground up. The trial design, consisting of multiple geographically diverse trial locations under the supervision of a single principal investigator, provided scientific benefits. However, it also posed challenges, such as coordination between sites. Ensuring proper documentation required ongoing thoughtful consideration of the requirements and available resources to ensure regulatory compliance.

Overcoming the operational, logistical, and conceptual challenges to meet the regulatory requirements for a high-quality clinical trial under difficult circumstances was accomplished by adhering to the underlying ethical and quality principles for clinical trials while exercising necessary and appropriate flexibility in development of SOPs and in meeting documentation and material requirements. The use of an electronic-based data collection system—with appropriate arrangements to ensure

constant electricity and Internet access—instead of our paper-based system would have been more efficient and substantially reduced the short- and long-term storage requirements for a clinical trial. Because STRIVE was the first vaccine trial conducted in Sierra Leone, all SOPs were developed de novo. We hope that future trials will use our SOPs as templates and build on them to create a library of SOPs for ongoing use.

The regulatory capacity built during STRIVE has already been used in other clinical trials conducted in Sierra Leone. The STRIVE experience complements ongoing activities in Sierra Leone that are under the guidance of the African Vaccine Regulatory Forum (AVAREF) and aim to strengthen regulatory infrastructures for vaccine trials [12]. AVAREF was established in 2006 by the World Health Organization (WHO) to build regulatory capacity within participating countries by providing a forum to connect and promote communication between national regulatory authorities and ethics committees in countries within the WHO African Region, as well as in North America and Europe. In sum, the experience gained through STRIVE and the ongoing work of AVAREF have reinforced regulatory capacity in Sierra Leone and provide a foundation for future work in clinical research and public health.

## Notes

**Acknowledgments.** We thank STRIVE staff members and our partners in Sierra Leone and the United States, for their work and dedication to the study, and STRIVE participants, for their willingness to participate in the study.

**Disclaimer.** The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

**Financial support.** This work was supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority, and the National Institutes of Health, with additional support from the CDC Foundation.

**Supplement sponsorship.** This work is part of a supplement sponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

**Potential conflicts of interest.** All authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

## References

1. Samai M, Seward JF, Goldstein ST, et al. The Sierra Leone trial to introduce a vaccine against Ebola: an evaluation of rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP vaccine tolerability and safety during the West Africa Ebola outbreak. *J Infect Dis* 2018; 217: s6–15.
2. Widdowson MA, Schrag SJ, Carter RJ, et al. Implementing an Ebola Vaccine Study – Sierra Leone. In: CDC response to the 2014–2016 Ebola epidemic – West Africa and United States. *MMWR Suppl* 2016; 65:98–106.
3. Pharmacy Board of Sierra Leone. Guidelines for conducting clinical trials of medicines, food supplements, vaccines, and medical devices in Sierra Leone. <http://pharmacyboard.gov.sl/site/Downloads/Guidelines.aspx>. Accessed 16 February 2018.
4. Carter RJ, Idriss A, Widdowson MA, et al. Implementing a multi-site clinical trial in the midst of an Ebola outbreak: lessons learned from the Sierra Leone trial to introduce a vaccine against Ebola. *J Infect Dis* 2018; 217:s16–23.
5. World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki. <https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects>. Accessed 11 December 2017.
6. Department of Health and Human Services. Protection of human subjects. 45 CFR 46. <https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/regulations-and-policy/regulations/45-cfr-46/index.html>. Accessed 11 December 2017.

7. Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services. Food and drugs. 21 CFR 1–1499. <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcr/cfrsearch.cfm>. Accessed 12 March 2018.
8. International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH). E6: good clinical practice. Geneva: ICH, 2016. [http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public\\_Web\\_Site/ICH\\_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E6/E6\\_R2\\_\\_Step\\_4\\_2016\\_1109.pdf](http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E6/E6_R2__Step_4_2016_1109.pdf). Accessed 12 March 2018.
9. Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS). International ethical guidelines for health-related research involving humans. Geneva: CIOMS; 2016. <https://cioms.ch/shop/product/international-ethical-guidelines-for-health-related-research-involving-humans>. Accessed 11 December 2017.
10. Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services. Investigational new drug application. 21 CFR 312.62. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcr/CFRSearch.cfm?fr=312.62>. Accessed 12 March 2018.
11. Fombah AE, Goldstein ST, Jarrett OD, et al. Health conditions in an adult population in Sierra Leone: data reported from the Sierra Leone trial to introduce a vaccine against Ebola. *J Infect Dis* 2018; 217:s75–80.
12. Maïga D, Akanmori BD, Chocarro L. Regulatory oversight of clinical trials in Africa: progress over the past 5 years. *Vaccine* 2009; 27:7249–52.