



# HHS Public Access

## Author manuscript

*J Med Chem.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 December 26.

Published in final edited form as:

*J Med Chem.* 2019 April 11; 62(7): 3617–3635. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b00147.

## Optimization of Peptidomimetics as Selective Inhibitors for the $\beta$ -Catenin/T-Cell Factor Protein–Protein Interaction

Zhen Wang<sup>†,§</sup>, Min Zhang<sup>†,§</sup>, Jin Wang<sup>†</sup>, Haitao Ji<sup>\*,†,‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Drug Discovery Department, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, Florida 33612-9497, United States

<sup>‡</sup>Departments of Oncologic Sciences and Chemistry, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida 33620-9497, United States

### Abstract

The  $\beta$ -catenin/T-cell factor (Tcf) protein–protein interaction (PPI) plays a critical role in the  $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway which is hyperactivated in many cancers and fibroses. Based on compound **1**, which was designed to target the Tcf4 G<sup>13</sup>ANDE<sup>17</sup> binding site of  $\beta$ -catenin, extensive structure–activity relationship studies have been conducted. As a result, compounds **53** and **57** were found to disrupt the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI with the  $K_i$  values of 0.64 and 0.44  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively, and exhibit good selectivity for  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf over  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin/adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) PPIs. The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2*H*-tetrazolium (MTS) cell viability assays revealed that **56**, the ethyl ester of **53**, was more potent than **53** in inhibiting viability of most of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin hyperactive cancer cells. Further cell-based studies indicated that **56** disrupted the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI without affecting the  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC PPIs, suppressed transactivation of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling in dose-dependent manners, and inhibited migration and invasiveness of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin-dependent cancer cells.

### Graphical Abstract


\*Corresponding Author: Haitao.Ji@moffitt.org. Phone: (813) 745-8070. Fax: (813) 745-4506.

§Z.W. and M.Z. contribute equally to this work.

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: [10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b00147](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b00147). Supplemental procedures, chemical stability of **1** and **21**, determination of the intracellular concentrations of **53** and **56**, FP and MTS cell viability assay curves of  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf inhibitors, sequences of the fluorescence tracers, SDS-PAGE result of the purified  $\beta$ -catenin (residue 138–781), full western blot images of co-IP experiments, supplementary synthetic schemes S1–S14, HPLC conditions and chromatograms, NMR spectra, and the supplementary references ([PDF](#)) Molecular formula strings ([CSV](#))

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): a provisional patent application has been filed based on these results.



## INTRODUCTION

The Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway plays a critical role in regulation of cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival.<sup>1–3</sup> The aberrant activation of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling has been implicated in initiation and progression of many cancers<sup>4–9</sup> and fibroses.<sup>10,11</sup> For instance, loss of adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) function can lead to the inappropriate stabilization of  $\beta$ -catenin and promote the formation of the constitutive complex between  $\beta$ -catenin and the T-cell factor (Tcf)/lymphoid enhancer-binding factor (Lef) family of transcriptional factors, which transcribes specific Wnt target genes that produce crypt progenitor-like cells in the surface intestinal epithelium, eventually causing sporadic colorectal cancer.<sup>4,5</sup> The autocrine activation of Wnt ligands can stabilize  $\beta$ -catenin into the dephosphorylated state and result in an increased level of nuclear  $\beta$ -catenin to interact with Tcf/Lef to induce overexpression of Wnt target genes and cause initiation and progression of triple negative breast cancers (TNBCs).<sup>8,9</sup> Hyperactivation of  $\beta$ -catenin signaling was detected in cancer stem cells, which control tumor growth, seed metastases, and result in cancer recurrence after remission.<sup>12–14</sup> In addition, activation of  $\beta$ -catenin signaling was demonstrated to exclude CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from the tumor microenvironment and promote intratumoral regulator T cell (Treg) survival and infiltration, thus impairing antitumor immunity.<sup>15–18</sup> Therefore, the suppression of this signaling pathway holds great promise for designing new targeted cancer therapy. Further biological studies indicated that the formation of the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf complex in the cell nucleus is the penultimate step of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway and the activation of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin target genes is dependent on the formation of this complex.<sup>19–21</sup> Therefore, the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf protein–protein interaction (PPI) has emerged as an appealing therapeutic target to suppress hyperactive  $\beta$ -catenin signaling.

Extensive efforts have been made to identify several small-molecule inhibitors for this PPI.<sup>22–29</sup> However, the binding mode of these compounds remains unknown, making it difficult for further optimization.<sup>30</sup> The large peptides or peptide-based macrocycles have also been designed as  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf inhibitors.<sup>31–34</sup> Hydrocarbon-stapled peptide, aStAx-35, designed based on the Axin sequence and the phage display result, was reported to bind with the Axin-binding site of  $\beta$ -catenin and inhibit the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI.<sup>31</sup> Further design offered a more cell-permeable derivative, NLS-StAx-h, by substituting all six arginine residues with homoarginine and introducing the nuclear localization sequence (NLS) to the N-terminus.<sup>33</sup> Recently, Logan, Kirshenbaum, and co-workers disclosed the design of peptoid–peptide macrocycles as  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf inhibitors, which showed promising efficacy in prostate cancer models.<sup>34</sup> In the previous studies, our group reported small-molecule inhibitors for the  $\beta$ -

catenin/Tcf PPI using different strategies.<sup>35–37</sup> By targeting the Tcf4 G<sup>13</sup>ANDE<sup>17</sup> binding site, selective small-molecule inhibitors for  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI have been synthesized.<sup>36</sup> The best compound **1** (UU-T02) disrupted  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI with a  $K_i$  of 1.36  $\mu\text{M}$  in the fluorescence polarization (FP) competitive inhibition assay and displayed 175- and 64-fold selectivity over  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC, respectively. However, this compound was almost inactive in cell-based assays unless converted into the ester form, including 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium (MTS) cell viability assays and TOPFlash/FOPFlash luciferase reporter assays. Herein, we report our further medicinal chemistry efforts on optimization, synthesis, and biological characterization of new derivatives.

## RESULTS

### Structure–Activity Relationship Studies.

The indole scaffold represents one of the important privileged structures for the discovery of new drug candidates.<sup>38</sup> However, its electronrich nature renders the indole ring susceptible to metabolism, often by oxidation at the C-3 position of indole, which has the highest electron density.<sup>39</sup> Most of the reported indole-related drugs and probes contain substituents at indole 3-position to block this metabolically labile site.<sup>38–40</sup> Therefore, to minimize the potential issue with indole in **1**, three different strategies were employed at the initial stage of our inhibitor optimization. As a direct approach, compounds **2** and **3** with indole C-3 position substitution were designed and synthesized. Both compounds showed a great loss of potency (Table 1). Alternatively, various electron-deficient heterocycles were introduced to replace the indole ring (**4–8**). While most compounds (**4–7**) in this series turned out to be inactive, compound **8** showed a  $K_i$  of 22  $\mu\text{M}$  for the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI. In addition, we designed **9** with the indole ring directly attaching to the amide group. This design is expected to reduce the electron density of the indole ring, thus increasing compound metabolic stability. Literature search revealed that this 1*H*-indole-2-carboxamido motif had been widely adopted in various medicinal chemistry programs.<sup>41–44</sup> The FP competitive inhibition assay<sup>45</sup> showed that **9** inhibited the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI with a promising  $K_i$  of 11  $\mu\text{M}$ . Based on these results, we envisioned that compound **9** would represent a new starting point for further modification, and extensive structure–activity relationship (SAR) studies on this new scaffold were conducted.

Preliminary SAR studies on **9** suggested that a Cl substituent at the indole C-5 position is optimal among all monosubstitution analogues (Table 1, **9–17**). Switching the Cl substituent from the C-5 position to C-4 (**10**) or C-6 (**11**) led to decreased activity. Meanwhile, the derivatives in which the Cl atom at the C-5 position was replaced by the other groups, including both electron-withdrawing [F (**12**), Br (**13**), CF<sub>3</sub> (**16**), NO<sub>2</sub> (**17**)] and -donating [Me (**14**), OMe (**15**)] groups, were also made. The results revealed that the electron-withdrawing groups were more tolerated than the electron donating groups, while all of them were less potent than **9**. Two compounds with di-Cl substitutions (**18** and **19**) were synthesized, and both showed improved potency, especially **19**, which inhibited the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI with a  $K_i$  of 4.3  $\mu\text{M}$ , ~3-fold potency improvement over **9**.

Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript

Next, to increase the stability of the C-terminal ester group that tends to be hydrolyzed under relatively weak basic conditions (as shown in Supporting Information Note 1), a collection of derivatives with amides, instead of the methyl ester, was designed and synthesized. As shown in Table 2, introduction of isobutyl (**20**) and phenyl (**21**) amides in replacement of the methyl ester resulted in the compounds with increased activities. For instance, compound **21** inhibited the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI with a  $K_i$  of 3.3  $\mu\text{M}$ , which is 3.4-fold more potent than **9**. Moreover, these more stable amides overcame the instability problem of the methyl ester in **1** (Supporting Information Note 1). Further substitution of the phenyl group in **21** with benzyl and phenylethyl groups as in **22** and **23**, respectively, showed decreased activities. Next, compounds **24–29** were designed and synthesized to explore the optimal substitution type on the phenyl group. As a result, compounds **24–26** with F-substitution showed similar activities, while **27** and **28** were 3- to 4-fold more potent than **29**, indicating the para- and meta-substitutions on the phenyl ring are preferable to the ortho derivatives. Therefore, more substituents were explored for these two positions. Compounds **33–38** were synthesized, and the results are summarized in Table 2. It was shown that most of these compounds displayed slight improvement of the inhibitory potency, when compared with **21**. Specifically, compounds **30** and **34**, featuring *para*-CF<sub>3</sub> and *para*-OCF<sub>3</sub> on the phenyl ring, respectively, were slightly more potent than the other compounds (the FP competitive inhibition assay curves of **30** and **34** are shown in Supporting Information Figure S3). Compounds with double substitutions (**36–38**) were also explored at these two positions, but they did not show potency improvement.

Further SAR studies on the naphthyl group were undertaken (Table 3). It was shown that most of the synthesized compounds were less potent than **21**. Only compounds **40** and **46** exhibited comparable activity, indicating that the large hydrophobic moieties are critical for maintaining the activity at this site.

Our extensive SAR studies revealed that the primary configuration (S, S, S) was optimal for this series because individually reversing the configuration of each amino acid resulted in decreased activity (Table 4). Based on the inhibitory activity data, we can also conclude that the sensitivity of these three chiral amino acids to the configurational change was decreased following the order of glutamic acid (**47**), naphthylsubstituted amino acid (**49**), and aspartic acid (**48**), with glutamic acid being the most sensitive residue, when compared with those in **33**.

Our next modification was focused on the two carboxylic acid groups, with the aim of improving both potency and cell permeability (Table 5).<sup>46</sup> One common bioisostere of carboxylic acid, amide, was first used to replace the carboxylic acids in **34** individually. The resulting compounds **50** and **51** showed decreased activities. To our delight, introduction of tetrazole, another common bioisostere of carboxylic acid, resulted in the derivatives with the improved potency. For instance, compound **53**, for the first time, displayed the submicromolar  $K_i$  (0.64  $\mu\text{M}$ ) for this challenging PPI target. In an attempt to eliminate both carboxylic acids in **34**, the amide was further introduced into the tetrazole derivatives **52** and **53**. However, the resulting compounds **54** and **55** displayed 3- to 6-fold potency loss. The ester derivative (**56**) of compound **53** was also synthesized to improve compound's cell

Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript

permeability. This compound inhibited the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI with a  $K_i$  of 5.2  $\mu\text{M}$ . In addition, compound **57** was synthesized based on the previous SAR results. This compound inhibited the  $\beta$ -catenin/ Tcf PPI with a  $K_i$  of 0.44  $\mu\text{M}$ , which was the most potent inhibitor of this series. The competitive FP inhibition assay curves of **52–57** are shown in Supporting Information, Figure S3.

### Inhibitor Selectivity between $\beta$ -Catenin/Tcf, $\beta$ -Catenin/E-Cadherin, and $\beta$ -Catenin/APC PPIs.

$\beta$ -Catenin not only interacts with Tcf/Lef, BCL9/B9L, CREB-binding protein (CBP)/p300, and so forth to culminate Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling, but also forms the complexes with E-cadherin and APC to play specific roles in cellulo. The PPI between  $\beta$ -catenin and E-cadherin is essential for cell–cell adhesion, while the  $\beta$ -catenin/APC PPI is critical for  $\beta$ -catenin phosphorylation and degradation. The crystallographic analyses of  $\beta$ -catenin in complexes with Tcf, E-cadherin, and APC indicated that  $\beta$ -catenin uses the same armadillo repeats to bind Tcf/Lef,<sup>47–50</sup> cadherin,<sup>51</sup> and APC.<sup>52–54</sup> Biochemical analyses confirmed that the binding mode of Tcf, cadherin, and APC with  $\beta$ -catenin was identical and mutually exclusive.<sup>55–58</sup> The selectivities of **53** and **57** between  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf4,  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin, and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC-R3 interactions were quantified using the FP selectivity assay.<sup>29</sup> As shown in Table 6, the selectivities of **53** for  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf4 over  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC-R3 interactions are 50- and 137-fold, respectively. The selectivities of **57** for  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf4 over  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC-R3 interactions are 30- and 395-fold.

### Cell-Based Studies.

MTS cell viability assays were performed to evaluate the effect of  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf inhibitors on growth of different cancer cell lines with hyperactive Wnt signaling, including colorectal cancer cells (SW480 and HCT116) and TNBC cells (MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, and BT-20), and one cancer cell line with normal Wnt signaling, A549. The half maximal inhibitory concentrations ( $\text{IC}_{50}$ ) of three representative compounds (**53**, **55**, and **56**) were determined. An inactive analogue (**Et**)-**15** ( $K_i > 100 \mu\text{M}$ , Table 5) was also assessed in parallel. As shown in Table 7 and Supporting Information Figure S4, compounds **53**, **55**, and **56** except (**Et**)-**15** inhibited viability of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin hyperactive cancer cells. Compound **56** is the most potent and selective inhibitor for most of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin hyperactive cancer cells over Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin normal cancer cells.

The suppressing effect of the inhibitors on transactivation of  $\beta$ -catenin signaling was evaluated by TOPFlash/FOPFlash luciferase reporter assays. As shown in Figure 1, the inactive compound (**Et**)-**15** did not inhibit the TOPFlash (in which the luciferase reporter has three wild-type Tcf4 binding sites) luciferase activity at the concentration of up to 200  $\mu\text{M}$ . Compounds **55** and **56** suppressed the TOPFlash luciferase activities in dose-dependent manners, but did not inhibit the FOPFlash (with three mutant Tcf4 binding sites) luciferase reporter activities. However, it was noted that **56** did not show the sigmoidal curve at high concentrations. The cause of this result warrants further studies.

Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) experiments were conducted to assess the effect of the inhibitors for disruption of the interaction between  $\beta$ -catenin and Tcf using SW480 cell

lysates. The results are shown in Figure 2 and Supporting Information, Figure S5.

Compound **53** can disrupt the interaction between full-length  $\beta$ -catenin and full-length Tcf in a dose-dependent manner after 4 h incubation with SW480 cell lysates. The effect of **56** on disruption of the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI and on the selectivity between three PPIs in the cellular context was also evaluated by co-IP experiments using HCT116 cells. As shown in Figure 2 and Supporting Information Figure S5, compound **56** dose-dependently inhibited the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI, but had no effect on the  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC PPIs at the concentrations that were sufficient to disrupt the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI.

$\beta$ -Catenin signaling induces and maintains migration, invasion, and metastasis of cancer cells, including TNBC cells.<sup>59–70</sup> Scratch wound healing and Matrigel invasion assays using TNBC MDA-MB-231 cells were conducted. As shown in Figure 3, compound **56** can effectively inhibit TNBC cell migration (Figure 3A) and invasion (Figure 3B) at 10  $\mu$ M. The effects are comparable with that of  $\beta$ -catenin/CBP inhibitor ICG-001<sup>71</sup> at 5  $\mu$ M.

### Chemistry.

The synthetic routes for final products **20–21**, **30–38**, **53**, and **55–57** are shown in Schemes 1–3. The synthetic routes for the other final products and intermediates are shown in Supporting Information, Schemes S1–S14. The synthetic routes for **20–21** and **30–38** are shown in Scheme 1, in which CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was employed as the solvent for the amide coupling reactions. The amide bond coupling reaction between *N*-Cbz-L-glutamic acid 5-*tert*-butyl ester and various amines generated intermediate **62**, which underwent the hydrogenation reaction to remove the Cbz-protecting group and then coupling with *N*-Cbz-L-aspartic acid to yield **63**. Hydrogenation of **63** and coupling with *N*-Cbz-L-2-naphthylalanine produced **64**. Removal of the Cbz-protecting group in **64** and then coupling with 5-chloroindole-2-carboxylic acid gave **65**, in which the *tert*-butyl ester-protecting group was removed by trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution to offer the final products.

The synthetic routes for **53**, **56**, and **57** are shown in Scheme 2, in which dimethylformamide (DMF) was used as the solvent for all the amide coupling reactions. The amide bond coupling reactions between *N*-Cbz-L-glutamic acid 5-*tert*-butyl ester or *N*-Cbz-L-glutamic acid 5-ethyl ester and various amines produced intermediate **62g** or **90**, which underwent the hydrogenation reaction to remove the Cbz-protecting group and then the coupling reaction with (S)-2-(((9*H*-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)- carbonyl)amino)-3-(2*H*-tetrazol-5-yl)propanoic acid to yield **91a,b**. Removal of the Fmoc-protecting group under the basic condition and then coupling with **68a,h** gave **92**, in which the Boc (and *tert*-butyl)-protecting group(s) was removed by TFA in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution to offer the final products.

The synthetic route for **55** is shown in Scheme 3. The amide bond coupling reaction between *N*-Cbz-L-glutamine and 4-(trifluoromethoxy)aniline and then the deprotection of the Cbz protecting group produced **95**, which was coupled with (S)-2-(((9*H*-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)-3-(2*H*-tetra-zol-5-yl)propanoic acid to afford **96**. Removal of the Fmoc group in **96** and coupling with intermediate **86a** gave **97**. The Boc deprotection yielded final product **55**.

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The  $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway is frequently overactivated in many cancers and fibroses. The  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI represents an appealing therapeutic target to suppress this signaling pathway because the transcriptional overactivation of  $\beta$ -catenin signaling is dependent on the formation of the key downstream effector, the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf complex, in the cell nucleus. However, selective targeting of the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI remains a great challenge. On one side,  $\beta$ -catenin and Tcf have a large contacting surface area and the tight binding affinity ( $K_d = 7\text{--}10 \text{ nM}$ ), which makes it challenging to achieve potent inhibition. On the other side,  $\beta$ -catenin uses the same armadillo repeats to bind Tcf, cadherin, and APC, and the crystallographic studies show that their binding mode is identical, indicating that the selectivity would be a major issue when designing  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf inhibitors. In this work, the SAR studies on compound **1** has yielded inhibitors (compounds **53** and **57**) with improved activities for the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI in this series. They also showed good selectivity for  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf over  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC PPIs in the FP selectivity assay.

The SAR is to study the correlation between the chemical structures of a series of derivatives and their biological activities. The SAR study has been widely used to facilitate step-by-step inhibitor optimization. Herein, extensive SAR studies on the structure of **1** were conducted. It not only yielded more potent inhibitors for the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI, the SAR results obtained can also guide future inhibitor optimization. This is quite important given that no co-crystal structure of a small-molecule inhibitor with  $\beta$ -catenin has been reported. For instance, the study revealed the naphthyl group of **1** can only be substituted by the large hydrophobic groups. This gives us two directions to further investigate this site. One is to introduce larger moieties to examine whether the compound can further improve potency and selectivity. The other is to adopt nitrogen-containing benzoheterocyclic rings, which are large and hydrophobic enough, and at the same time might capture potential polar interactions with the protein and balance the physiochemical property of the inhibitors. This study revealed that the indole moiety was crucial for inhibitor potency. The feasible method to further optimize this substructure is to extensively modify the indole ring and the adjacent methylene group that connects indole with the amide group. In addition, the SAR results confirmed the importance of the two carboxylic acid groups, but they can be replaced by tetrazole, one of its bioisosteres, to improve the potency. This prompts us to try the other bioisosteres of carboxylic acid in the future optimization.

The prodrug strategy was designed to address undesirable physicochemical properties of the drug and has been widely applied in contemporary drug design and development.<sup>72</sup> In this work, we were interested whether this strategy could be applied to compound **53** to improve its cellular uptake because **53** has a carboxylic acid moiety, which could impair its cell permeability. Based on this hypothesis, compound **56** was synthesized. The preliminary cell-based studies indicated that **56** was indeed more potent and selective than **53** in inhibiting growth of most cancer cells with hyperactive Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling. Interestingly, the HPLC–MS based cell bioavailability assay<sup>73</sup> revealed that compound **56** did not transform into **53** after 24 h incubation with SW480 cells in 5 mL of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) media with 10% FBS, suggesting that **56** might not act as a prodrug of **53** (Supporting Information Note 2 and Figures S6–S8). Further analyses revealed that

Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript

compound **56** did achieve a higher cell-bound concentration than **53**. For instance, the cell-bound concentration of **56** at 37 °C was determined to be 1.4 nmol/million SW480 cells, which is 8-fold higher than 0.17 nmol/million SW480 cells determined for **53**, for the 3 h incubation in 5 mL of DMEM media with 10% FBS, when the input concentration was set to 25  $\mu$ M. The increased cell-bound concentration might explain why **56** exhibited the better cellular activity than **53**, although it showed the higher  $K_i$  value in FP assays. Further cell-based co-IP experiments indicated that compound **56** disrupted the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI while leaving the  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC PPIs unaffected, demonstrating the selectivity of new inhibitors on the cell-based level. This compound also dose-dependently inhibited TOPFlash luciferase reporter activity without affecting FOPFlash luciferase reporter activity. In contrast, the negative control (**Et**)-**15** did not suppress Wnt specific TOPFlash luciferase reporter activity and did not inhibit growth of the cancer cells with hyperactive Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling.

In summary, the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI has emerged as an appealing therapeutic target to suppress the overactivated  $\beta$ -catenin signaling for targeted cancer therapy. Extensive SAR studies were conducted based on compound **1**, which was designed to target the Tcf4 G<sup>13</sup>ANDE<sup>17</sup> binding site of  $\beta$ -catenin. Compounds **53** and **57** were found to disrupt the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI with the  $K_i$  of 0.64 and 0.44  $\mu$ M, respectively, and showed good selectivity for  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf over  $\beta$ -catenin/ E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC PPIs. Cell-based studies indicated that compound **56**, the ethyl ester derivative of **53**, disrupted the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf interaction without affecting the  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC interactions, dose-dependently suppressed transactivation of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling, and inhibited viability, migration, and invasiveness of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin-dependent cancer cells. The extensive SAR results offered the directions for future inhibitor optimization.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

### Chemical Synthesis.

General Methods, Reagents, and Materials. All reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification unless stated otherwise. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE III HD 500 (500 MHz) spectrometers (125.7 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra) in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, *d*<sub>6</sub>-acetone, *d*<sub>4</sub>-methanol, and CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Chemical shifts were reported as values in parts per million (ppm), and the reference resonance peaks were set at 7.26 ppm (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), 3.31 ppm (CD<sub>2</sub>HOD), 2.50 ppm [(CD<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>2</sub>SO], and 2.05 ppm [(CD H)<sub>2</sub>CO] for <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and 77.23 ppm (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), 49.00 ppm (CD<sub>3</sub>OD), 39.52 ppm (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), and 29.84 ppm (*d*<sub>6</sub>-acetone) for <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra. Low-resolution mass spectra were determined on an Agilent 6120 single quadrupole mass spectrometer with a 1220 infinity LC system (HPLC-MS) and an ESI source. High-resolution mass spectra were determined on an Agilent G6230BA TOF LC-MS mass spectrometer with a TOF mass detector. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on E. Merck pre-coated silica gel 60 F254 plates with a UV-visible lamp. Column chromatography was performed with SilicaFlash@ F60 (230–400 mesh). The purity of final compounds **2–57** was determined by HPLC analyses with two different conditions (see the Supporting Information). The instrument was an Agilent 1260 Infinity II HPLC system with

a quaternary pump, a vial sampler, and a DAD detector. A Kromasil 300–5-C18 column (4.6 × 250 mm) was used. The DAD detector was set to 220, 254, and 280 nm. The purity of all tested compounds was >95%.

### General Peptide Coupling Procedure.

(a) CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as the solvent: At 0 °C, to a suspension of carboxylic acid (1 mmol), amine (1 mmol), EDC·HCl (2 mmol), and HOAt (1.5 mmol) in 10 mL dichloromethane (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) was added triethylamine (3 mmol) dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. After completion of the reaction, more CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added. The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> phase was washed by 1 M HCl, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography was used to purify the target compound. (b) DMF as the solvent: At 0 °C, to a suspension of carboxylic acid (1 mmol), amine (1 mmol) in 10 mL DMF were added EDC·HCl (2 mmol) and HOAt (2 mmol). The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was poured into water and the solid was collected. The pure compounds were obtained by recrystallization using the hexane and ethyl acetate mixture or CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

### General Procedure for Deprotection of the Cbz-Protected Amines.

To the solution of the Cbz-protected amine (1 mmol) in 10 mL methanol was added 10% Pd/C (10% mmol) under Ar. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under H<sub>2</sub>. The resulting product was collected by removal of the Pd/C catalyst and used directly in next step without further purification.

### General Procedure for Deprotection of *tert*-Butyl Ester or Boc-Protected Indoles.

At 0 °C, to a solution of *tert*-butyl ester (1 mmol) or Boc-protected indole (1 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) was added 5 mL TFA dropwise. The reaction was kept at 0 °C for 4 h. Upon completion, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. TFA was completely removed by adding CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> three times to afford the desired product.

### General Procedure for Deprotection of Fmoc-Protected Amines.

At 0 °C, to a stirred solution of the Fmoc-protected amine (1 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL), diethylamine (10 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction was kept at 0 °C for 6 h until TLC showed no starting material left. Upon completion, the mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. The diethyl amine residue was removed by adding dichloromethane at least three times. The residue was purified by a flash column, except Asn and Gln-containing compounds, which were recrystallized in dichloromethane.

**(S)-4-((S)-3-Carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)propanamido)-5-(isopentylamino)-5-oxopentanoic Acid (20).**—<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.27 (s, 2H), 11.63 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.80 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.66 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.83–7.73 (m, 4H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (pd, *J* = 6.8, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.19 (m, 1H), 7.14

(dd,  $J = 8.7, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.99–4.78 (m, 1H), 4.62 (q,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.21 (td,  $J = 8.3, 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.27 (d,  $J = 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.20–2.96 (m, 3H), 2.78 (dd,  $J = 16.6, 6.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd,  $J = 16.7, 7.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.24 (dd,  $J = 9.6, 7.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.05–1.89 (m, 1H), 1.84–1.69 (m, 1H), 1.55 (dt,  $J = 13.4, 6.7$  Hz, 1H), 1.29 (q,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 2H), 0.85 (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  174.4, 172.4, 172.0, 170.9, 170.7, 161.0, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.1, 132.2, 128.5, 128.3, 127.91, 127.90, 127.84, 127.80, 126.4, 125.8, 124.6, 123.9, 121.1, 114.3, 103.3, 54.8, 52.5, 50.2, 38.4, 37.9, 37.3, 36.4, 30.5, 27.9, 25.5, 22.83, 22.80. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{40}\text{ClN}_5\text{O}_8$  ( $M - \text{H}^-$ ), 704.2487; found, 704.2492. HPLC purity 95.6%,  $t_R = 14.01$  min (condition A2); 96.6%,  $t_R = 16.83$  min (condition B2).

**(S)-4-((S)-3-Carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)propanamido)-5-oxo-5-(phenylamino)pentanoic Acid (21).**— $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  12.30 (s, 2H), 11.63 (d,  $J = 2.3$  Hz, 1H), 9.86 (s, 1H), 8.81 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.67 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d,  $J = 1.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.83–7.75 (m, 3H), 7.70 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d,  $J = 1.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d,  $J = 1.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd,  $J = 8.5, 1.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.36 (d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.21 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd,  $J = 8.7, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.10–7.03 (m, 1H), 5.12–4.82 (m, 1H), 4.67 (td,  $J = 7.5, 6.0$  Hz, 1H), 4.43 (td,  $J = 8.2, 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.31 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.16 (dd,  $J = 13.9, 11.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd,  $J = 16.7, 6.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd,  $J = 16.6, 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.32 (td,  $J = 10.2, 6.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.05 (ddt,  $J = 15.0, 9.9, 5.7$  Hz, 1H), 1.89 (ddt,  $J = 13.6, 8.7, 4.7$  Hz, 1H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  174.3, 172.4, 172.1, 171.1, 170.3, 161.1, 139.1, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.1, 132.2, 129.2, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.89, 127.85, 127.7, 126.4, 125.8, 124.6, 123.99, 123.92, 121.1, 119.9, 114.3, 103.3, 54.8, 53.3, 50.2, 38.0, 36.4, 30.6, 27.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $\text{C}_{37}\text{H}_{34}\text{ClN}_5\text{O}_8$  ( $M - \text{H}^-$ ), 710.2018; found, 710.2024. HPLC purity 97.9%,  $t_R = 13.98$  min (condition A2); 98.8%,  $t_R = 16.59$  min (condition B2).

**(S)-4-((S)-3-Carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)propanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)pentanoic Acid (30).**— $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  12.31 (s, 2H), 11.64 (s, 1H), 10.24 (s, 1H), 8.82 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.67 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.23 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.98–7.74 (m, 6H), 7.74–7.59 (m, 3H), 7.56 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.30 (m, 3H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.14 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.66 (q,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.42 (q,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.44–3.22 (m, 1H), 3.16 (t,  $J = 12.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dd,  $J = 16.8, 5.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dd,  $J = 16.6, 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.33 (td,  $J = 10.2, 6.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.11–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.80 (m, 1H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  174.3, 172.4, 172.0, 171.2, 171.0, 161.1, 142.7, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.1, 132.2, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.7, 126.45, 126.40, 125.8, 124.6, 123.9, 121.1, 119.8, 114.3, 103.3, 54.8, 53.6, 50.2, 38.0, 36.5, 30.6, 27.5. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $\text{C}_{38}\text{H}_{33}\text{ClF}_3\text{N}_5\text{O}_8$  ( $M - \text{H}^-$ ), 778.1892; found, 778.1894. HPLC purity 95.1%,  $t_R = 14.58$  min (condition A2); 97.5%,  $t_R = 17.13$  min (condition B2).

**(S)-4-((S)-3-Carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)propanamido)-5-oxo-5-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)pentanoic Acid (31).**— $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-

*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.32 (s, 2H), 11.63 (s, 1H), 10.23 (s, 1H), 8.81 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.66 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (s, 1H), 7.93–7.73 (m, 5H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.47–7.33 (m, 4H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.97–4.86 (m, 1H), 4.75–4.59 (m, 1H), 4.42 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.40–3.31 (m, 1H), 3.16 (t, *J* = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dd, *J* = 16.8, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (dt, *J* = 16.2, 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.15–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.76 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  174.3, 172.3, 172.1, 171.2, 170.9, 161.1, 139.9, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.1, 132.2, 130.4, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 126.4, 125.8, 124.6, 123.9, 123.5, 121.1, 120.3, 115.9, 114.3, 103.3, 54.8, 53.5, 50.2, 38.0, 36.5, 30.5, 27.5. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>33</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (M – H)<sup>–</sup>, 778.1892; found, 778.1898. HPLC purity 96.5%, *t*<sub>R</sub> = 14.56 min (condition A2); 96.5%, *t*<sub>R</sub> = 17.11 min (condition B2).

**(S)-4-((S)-3-Carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)propanamido)-5-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoic Acid (32).** — <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.31 (s, 2H), 11.63 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 9.72 (s, 1H), 8.81 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.69 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.93–7.73 (m, 4H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.91–6.81 (m, 2H), 4.93–4.82 (m, 1H), 4.66 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (td, *J* = 8.3, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.30 (s, 1H), 3.16 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd, *J* = 16.6, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, *J* = 16.6, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (dq, *J* = 16.6, 10.5 Hz, 2H), 2.11–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.87 (dq, *J* = 10.2, 5.3, 4.2 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  174.3, 172.4, 172.1, 171.0, 169.7, 161.1, 155.9, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.1, 132.23, 132.20, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.89, 127.85, 127.7, 126.4, 125.8, 124.6, 123.9, 121.5, 121.1, 114.3, 103.3, 55.6, 54.8, 53.2, 50.2, 38.0, 36.4, 30.5, 27.9. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>36</sub>ClN<sub>5</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (M – H)<sup>–</sup>, 740.2123; found, 740.2128. HPLC purity 96.1%, *t*<sub>R</sub> = 13.87 min (condition A2); 95.8%, *t*<sub>R</sub> = 16.47 min (condition B2).

**(S)-4-((S)-3-Carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)propanamido)-5-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoic Acid (33).** — <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.31 (s, 2H), 11.63 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 9.86 (s, 1H), 8.81 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.68 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.94–7.73 (m, 4H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (pd, *J* = 6.9, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.18 (m, 2H), 7.17–7.10 (m, 2H), 6.65 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (ddd, *J* = 11.8, 8.6, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (td, *J* = 8.3, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.32 (s, 1H), 3.17 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (dq, *J* = 16.6, 10.4 Hz, 2H), 2.14–1.91 (m, 1H), 1.88 (dq, *J* = 10.3, 5.3, 4.3 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  174.3, 172.3, 172.1, 171.1, 170.3, 161.1, 159.9, 140.3, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.1, 132.2, 130.0, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 126.4, 125.8, 124.6, 123.9, 121.1, 114.3, 112.2, 109.5, 105.7, 103.3, 55.4, 54.9, 53.4, 50.2, 38.0, 36.4, 30.5, 27.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>36</sub>ClN<sub>5</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (M – H)<sup>–</sup>, 740.2123; found, 740.2127. HPLC purity 95.3%, *t*<sub>R</sub> = 14.00 min (condition A2); 97.0%, *t*<sub>R</sub> = 16.60 min (condition B2).

**(S)-4-((S)-3-Carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)propanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoic Acid (34).**—<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.30 (s, 2H), 11.64 (s, 1H), 10.07 (s, 1H), 8.82 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.67 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.97–7.65 (m, 7H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.26 (m, 5H), 7.23–7.01 (m, 2H), 4.91 (ddd, *J* = 11.9, 8.4, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (td, *J* = 8.1, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (s, 1H), 3.16 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dd, *J* = 16.6, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (pd, *J* = 10.1, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (ddt, *J* = 15.0, 11.1, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (dt, *J* = 14.5, 4.3 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  174.3, 172.4, 172.1, 171.2, 170.5, 161.1, 138.3, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.1, 132.2, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.88, 127.85, 127.7, 126.4, 125.8, 124.6, 123.9, 122.1, 121.3, 121.1, 114.3, 103.3, 54.8, 53.4, 50.2, 38.0, 36.5, 30.5, 27.6. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>33</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (M – H)<sup>–</sup>, 794.1841; found, 794.1846. HPLC purity 100%, *t*<sub>R</sub> = 10.24 min (condition A1); 100%, *t*<sub>R</sub> = 12.59 min (condition B1).

**(S)-4-((S)-3-Carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)propanamido)-5-oxo-5-((3-trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoic Acid (35).**—<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.30 (s, 2H), 11.62 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 10.16 (s, 1H), 8.80 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.66 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.97–7.76 (m, 5H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (ddd, *J* = 10.6, 8.3, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.48–7.34 (m, 4H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (ddd, *J* = 11.8, 8.6, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (td, *J* = 7.6, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (td, *J* = 8.3, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.46–3.27 (m, 1H), 3.16 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.39–2.24 (m, 2H), 2.05 (td, *J* = 8.8, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.90 (ddt, *J* = 13.5, 8.7, 4.7 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  174.2, 172.3, 172.1, 171.2, 170.8, 161.1, 148.9, 140.7, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.1, 132.2, 130.9, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.87, 127.84, 127.7, 126.4, 125.8, 124.6, 123.9, 121.5, 121.1, 119.5, 118.5, 116.0, 114.3, 112.0, 103.3, 54.8, 53.5, 50.2, 38.0, 36.4, 30.5, 27.5. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>33</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (M – H)<sup>–</sup>, 794.1841; found, 794.1854. HPLC purity 98.2%, *t*<sub>R</sub> = 14.67 min (condition A2); 100%, *t*<sub>R</sub> = 17.23 min (condition B2).

**(S)-4-((S)-3-Carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)propanamido)-5-((3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoic Acid (36).**—<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  12.30 (s, 2H), 11.62 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 9.84 (s, 1H), 8.81 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.68 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.94–7.74 (m, 4H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.49–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.21 (dd, *J* = 2.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 6.23 (t, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 5.01–4.83 (m, 1H), 4.66 (td, *J* = 7.6, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (td, *J* = 8.3, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 6H), 3.30 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.23 (m, 2H), 2.11–1.96 (m, 1H), 1.87 (ddt, *J* = 13.6, 8.7, 4.8 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  174.3, 172.3, 172.1, 171.0, 170.3, 161.1, 160.9, 140.7, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.0, 132.2, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.88, 127.85, 127.7, 126.4, 125.8, 124.6, 123.9, 121.1, 114.3, 103.4, 98.2, 96.0, 55.5, 54.9, 53.4, 50.2, 38.0, 36.4, 30.5, 27.8. HRMS

(ESI) calcd for  $C_{39}H_{38}ClN_5O_{10}$  ( $M - H^-$ ), 770.2229; found, 770.2237. HPLC purity 98.1%,  $t_R = 14.08$  min (condition A2); 97.6%,  $t_R = 16.69$  min (condition B2).

**(S)-4-((S)-3-Carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)propanamido-5-(3-methoxy-5-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoic Acid (37).**— $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  12.30 (s, 2H), 11.62 (s, 1H), 10.19 (s, 1H), 8.80 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.66 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.92–7.75 (m, 4H), 7.74–7.68 (m, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.51–7.35 (m, 4H), 7.31–7.19 (m, 1H), 7.15 (dd,  $J = 8.7, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 4.99–4.82 (m, 1H), 4.67 (q,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.40 (q,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.42–3.28 (m, 1H), 3.25–3.05 (m, 1H), 2.81 (dd,  $J = 16.7, 5.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd,  $J = 16.6, 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.33 (td,  $J = 9.9, 6.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.06 (td,  $J = 11.2, 8.9, 5.3$  Hz, 1H), 2.00–1.83 (m, 1H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  174.2, 172.3, 172.1, 171.2, 170.9, 161.1, 160.4, 141.1, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.0, 132.2, 130.9 (d,  $J = 31.8$  Hz), 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.87, 127.86, 127.7, 126.4, 125.8, 125.4, 124.6, 123.9, 123.3, 121.1, 114.3, 108.9, 108.4, 105.9, 103.4, 56.1, 54.9, 53.6, 50.2, 37.9, 36.4, 30.5, 27.5. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{39}H_{35}ClF_3N_5O_9$  ( $M - H^-$ ), 808.1997; found, 808.2004. HPLC purity 99.6%,  $t_R = 14.68$  min (condition A2); 98.3%,  $t_R = 17.28$  min (condition B2).

**(S)-5-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylamino)-4-((S)-3-carboxy-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-naphthalen-2-yl)-propanamido)propanamido-5-oxopentanoic Acid (38).**— $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  12.30 (s, 2H), 11.62 (s, 1H), 9.76 (s, 1H), 8.80 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 8.67 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.93–7.74 (m, 4H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.56 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.42 (p,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 5.98 (s, 2H), 5.00–4.79 (m, 1H), 4.66 (q,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.38 (q,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.31 (s, 1H), 3.16 (t,  $J = 12.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd,  $J = 16.8, 6.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd,  $J = 16.7, 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.39–2.21 (m, 2H), 2.10–1.95 (m, 1H), 1.87 (dt,  $J = 15.3, 7.8$  Hz, 1H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  174.3, 172.4, 172.1, 171.0, 169.9, 161.1, 147.5, 143.6, 136.5, 135.2, 133.4, 133.3, 133.1, 132.2, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.88, 127.85, 127.7, 126.4, 125.8, 124.6, 123.9, 121.1, 114.3, 112.8, 108.5, 103.3, 102.1, 101.4, 54.8, 53.2, 50.2, 38.0, 36.4, 30.5, 27.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{38}H_{34}ClN_5O_{10}$  ( $M - H$ ) 754.1916; found, 754.1924. HPLC purity 98.6%,  $t_R = 13.83$  min (condition A2); 96.1%,  $t_R = 16.39$  min (condition B2).

**(S)-4-((S)-2-((S)-2-(5-Chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)propanamido-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoic Acid (53).**—Yield, 82%.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  12.14 (s, 1H), 11.69–11.53 (m, 1H), 10.25 (s, 1H), 8.79 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 8.69 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.90–7.64 (m, 8H), 7.53 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.49–7.26 (m, 5H), 7.25–7.09 (m, 2H), 4.94–4.73 (m, 2H), 4.40 (td,  $J = 8.0, 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.56–3.41 (m, 2H), 3.14 (dd,  $J = 13.9, 10.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.39–2.22 (m, 2H), 2.04 (ddt,  $J = 15.1, 10.6, 5.7$  Hz, 1H), 1.89 (dtd,  $J = 14.5, 9.0, 5.8$  Hz, 1H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  174.2, 172.1, 170.5, 170.4, 161.2, 144.3, 138.2, 136.3, 135.3, 133.4, 133.0, 132.2, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.8, 126.4, 125.8, 124.7, 124.0, 122.0, 121.5, 121.1, 114.3,

103.4, 55.0, 53.6, 51.7, 37.8, 30.5, 27.6. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{38}H_{33}ClF_3N_9O_7$  ( $M - H^-$ ), 818.2065; found, 818.2065. HPLC purity 98.5%,  $t_R = 10.44$  min (condition A1); 100%,  $t_R = 12.85$  min (condition B1).

**(S)-2-((S)-2-((S)-2-(5-Chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)propanamido)-N<sup>1</sup>-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)pentanediamide (55).**—Yield, 75%.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  11.65 (s, 1H), 10.27 (s, 1H), 8.81 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.65 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.29 (d,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.97–7.66 (m, 7H), 7.52 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.49–7.26 (m, 6H), 7.21–7.07 (m, 2H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 4.83 (ddd,  $J = 21.3, 12.4, 5.4$  Hz, 2H), 4.35 (q,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.44 (dd,  $J = 15.3, 6.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.39–3.22 (m, 2H), 3.15 (dd,  $J = 13.9, 10.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.18 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.01 (p,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.92 (dq,  $J = 15.2, 8.0$  Hz, 1H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  174.1, 172.1, 170.7, 170.3, 161.3, 144.2, 138.3, 136.4, 135.3, 133.4, 132.9, 132.2, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 126.4, 125.9, 124.7, 124.0, 122.0, 121.4, 121.1, 120.6 (d,  $J = 255.0$  Hz), 114.3, 103.4, 55.2, 54.0, 51.8, 37.7, 31.7, 28.1, 26.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{38}H_{34}ClF_3N_{10}O_6$  ( $M - H^-$ ), 817.2225; found, 817.2226. HPLC purity 98.3%,  $t_R = 14.29$  min (condition A2); 100%,  $t_R = 12.78$  min (condition B1).

**Ethyl (S)-4-((S)-2-((S)-2-(5-Chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-propanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)-pentanoate (56).**—Yield, 67%.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  11.76–11.50 (m, 1H), 10.26–10.22 (m, 1H), 8.91–8.63 (m, 2H), 8.37–8.33 (m, 1H), 8.01–7.61 (m, 7H), 7.55–7.25 (m, 6H), 7.23–7.05 (m, 2H), 4.85 (ddt,  $J = 29.7, 14.3, 5.9$  Hz, 2H), 4.41 (tt,  $J = 8.7, 4.5$  Hz, 1H), 4.13–3.86 (m, 2H), 3.62–2.91 (m, 4H), 2.38 (qd,  $J = 9.9, 9.3, 4.4$  Hz, 2H), 2.07 (td,  $J = 8.7, 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 1.91 (tdt,  $J = 14.3, 8.9, 5.8$  Hz, 1H), 1.08 (t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  172.6, 172.1, 170.4, 161.2, 144.3, 138.2, 136.3, 135.3, 133.4, 133.0, 132.2, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.7, 126.4, 125.9, 124.7, 124.0, 122.0, 121.5, 121.4, 121.1, 119.6, 114.3, 103.4, 60.4, 55.0, 53.4, 51.7, 37.8, 30.4, 27.5, 14.4. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{40}H_{37}ClF_3N_9O_7$  ( $M - H^-$ ), 846.2378; found, 846.2375. HPLC purity 98.8%,  $t_R = 11.13$  min (condition A1); 98.7%,  $t_R = 13.32$  min (condition B1).

**(S)-4-((S)-2-((S)-2-(4,6-Dichloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-propanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)-pentanoic Acid (57).**—Yield, 83%.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  11.90 (d,  $J = 36.1$  Hz, 1H), 10.23 (d,  $J = 28.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.95 (t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.73 (dd,  $J = 28.2, 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.35 (dd,  $J = 42.8, 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.92–7.64 (m, 6H), 7.59–7.16 (m, 8H), 5.00–4.76 (m, 2H), 4.40 (p,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.31–2.97 (m, 4H), 2.33 (ttd,  $J = 16.5, 12.7, 9.8, 6.1$  Hz, 2H), 2.06 (dt,  $J = 13.7, 6.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.00–1.81 (m, 1H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  174.25, 174.22, 172.0, 171.8, 170.5, 170.4, 170.3, 160.7, 144.3, 138.2, 137.24, 137.20, 136.37, 136.34, 133.4, 133.2, 132.2, 128.25, 128.21, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 126.8, 126.4, 125.9, 125.1, 122.0, 121.9, 121.6, 121.5, 121.4, 119.9, 119.6, 111.5, 102.0, 55.0, 54.9, 53.7, 53.6, 51.7, 51.6, 37.8, 30.6, 30.5, 27.6, 27.5, 26.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{38}H_{32}Cl_2F_3N_9O_7$  ( $M - H^-$ ), 852.1676; found, 852.1686. HPLC purity 99.0%,  $t_R = 10.93$  min (condition A1); 100%,  $t_R = 13.60$  min (condition B1).

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-(((Benzyl)oxy)carbonyl)amino-5-(isopentylamino)-5-oxopentanoate (62a).**—Yield, 87%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.41–7.27 (m, 5H), 6.35 (t,  $J$ = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.76 (d,  $J$ = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (s, 2H), 4.17 (q,  $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.30–3.16 (m, 2H), 2.40 (dt,  $J$ = 16.5, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (dt,  $J$ = 16.6, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.05 (dtd,  $J$ = 14.3, 7.2, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.91 (dt,  $J$ = 14.4, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 1.66–1.51 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 11H), 0.89 (d,  $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.8, 171.0, 156.2, 136.2, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 81.0, 67.0, 54.4, 38.3, 37.9, 31.7, 28.2, 28.1, 25.8, 22.4 (d,  $J$ = 2.8 Hz). MS (ESI) *m/z*: 407.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 429.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-(((Benzyl)oxy)carbonyl)amino-5-oxo-5-(phenylamino)pentanoate (62b).**—Yield, 82%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.60 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d,  $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.13 (m, 7H), 7.08–6.86 (m, 1H), 5.88 (d,  $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.11–4.94 (m, 2H), 4.32 (d,  $J$ = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (dd,  $J$ = 16.5, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.29 (dt,  $J$ = 16.7, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.17–2.01 (m, 1H), 2.01–1.86 (m, 1H), 1.36 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  173.1, 169.7, 156.6, 137.6, 136.1, 128.9, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 124.5, 120.0, 81.3, 67.2, 55.0, 53.5, 31.9, 28.1. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 435.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-(((Benzyl)oxy)carbonyl)amino-5-oxo-5-((4-trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (62c).**—Yield, 79%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  9.01 (s, 1H), 7.48 (d,  $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d,  $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (q,  $J$ = 4.1 Hz, 5H), 5.93 (d,  $J$ = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.14–4.94 (m, 2H), 4.32 (d,  $J$ = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (dt,  $J$ = 16.5, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.32–2.24 (m, 1H), 2.08 (ddt,  $J$ = 13.8, 7.8, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 1.96–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.36 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  173.1, 170.2, 156.8, 140.7, 136.0, 128.6, 128.3, 128.0, 126.1 (d,  $J$ = 3.7 Hz), 125.9, 124.0 (d,  $J$ = 271.5 Hz), 119.4, 81.5, 67.4, 55.2, 31.9, 28.0, 27.8. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 503.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-(((Benzyl)oxy)carbonyl)amino-5-oxo-5-((3-trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (62d).**—Yield, 80%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  9.23 (s, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.30 (t,  $J$ = 6.2 Hz, 7H), 6.23 (d,  $J$ = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (q,  $J$ = 12.4 Hz, 2H), 4.48 (d,  $J$ = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.51–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.17 (p,  $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.09–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.8, 170.4, 156.9, 138.3 (d,  $J$ = 2.5 Hz), 136.0, 131.2 (q,  $J$ = 32.1 Hz), 129.4, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 123.8 (q,  $J$ = 271.2 Hz), 122.8, 120.8, 116.5 (d,  $J$ = 4.1 Hz), 81.3, 67.3, 55.1, 31.7, 28.0, 27.8. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 503.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-(((Benzyl)oxy)carbonyl)amino-5-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (62e).**—Yield, 85%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.48 (s, 1H), 7.39 (d,  $J$ = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.35–7.28 (m, 5H), 6.90–6.73 (m, 2H), 5.92 (d,  $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.29–4.96 (m, 2H), 4.49–4.25 (m, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.58–2.42 (m, 1H), 2.36 (dt,  $J$ = 16.6, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (dq,  $J$ = 13.4, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.06–1.93 (m, 1H), 1.45 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  173.1, 169.4, 156.5, 136.1, 130.7, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 121.7, 114.1, 81.2, 67.2, 55.5, 54.9, 31.9, 28.2, 28.1. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 443.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 465.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-(((Benzyl)oxy)carbonyl)amino-5-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (62f).**—Yield, 87%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.75 (s, 1H),

7.28–7.14 (m, 6H), 7.05 (t,  $J$  = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.96–6.74 (m, 1H), 6.54 (dd,  $J$  = 8.3, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (d,  $J$  = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.05–4.89 (m, 2H), 4.33 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 2.44–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.28 (dt,  $J$  = 16.8, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.10–2.04 (m, 1H), 1.96–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.34 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.9, 169.9, 160.1, 156.6, 138.9, 136.1, 129.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 112.1, 110.5, 105.5, 81.1, 67.2, 55.2, 55.1, 38.6, 31.8, 28.1. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 465.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 907.4 [2M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-(((Benzylxy)carbonyl)amino)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (62g).**—Yield, 82%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.97 (s, 1H), 7.75–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d,  $J$  = 4.1 Hz, 5H), 7.09 (d,  $J$  = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.03 (d,  $i$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d,  $J$  = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 4.39 (t,  $J$  = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (dt,  $J$  = 16.6, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (dt,  $J$  = 16.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (ddt,  $J$  = 13.9, 7.4, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.02 (dt,  $J$  = 14.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  173.1, 169.9, 156.7, 145.3, 136.3, 136.0, 128.6, 128.3, 128.0, 121.6, 121.0, 120.5 (q,  $J$  = 190 Hz), 81.4, 67.3, 55.1, 31.8, 28.0, 27.9. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 519.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-(((Benzylxy)carbonyl)amino)-5-oxo-5-((3-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (62h).**—Yield, 80%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  9.10 (s, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.24–7.13 (m, 6H), 7.09 (t,  $J$  = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d,  $J$  = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.10 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (q,  $J$  = 12.3 Hz, 2H), 4.34 (t,  $J$  = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (dt,  $J$  = 16.7, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (dt,  $J$  = 16.8, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (dtd,  $J$  = 14.5, 7.2, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.97–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.33 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.9, 170.3, 156.8, 149.4 (d,  $J$  = 1.9 Hz), 139.2, 136.0, 129.8, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 120.4 (d,  $J$  = 257.2 Hz), 117.9, 116.3, 112.6, 81.3, 67.3, 55.1, 31.7, 28.0, 27.8. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 519.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 495.3 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-(((Benzylxy)carbonyl)amino)-5-((3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (62i).**—Yield, 85%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.62 (s, 1H), 7.33 (d,  $J$  = 4.0 Hz, 5H), 6.77 (d,  $J$  = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 6.23 (t,  $J$  = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (d,  $J$  = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.27–4.84 (m, 2H), 4.55–4.22 (m, 1H), 3.75 (s, 6H), 2.51 (dt,  $J$  = 14.7, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.42–2.31 (m, 1H), 2.15 (ddt,  $J$  = 13.8, 7.5, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.01 (dt,  $J$  = 14.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.45 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  173.1, 169.7, 161.0, 156.6, 139.3, 136.0, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 98.1, 97.0, 81.3, 67.2, 55.4, 55.1, 31.9, 28.1. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 473.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 495.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 967.4 [2M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-(((Benzylxy)carbonyl)amino)-5-((3-methoxy-5-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (62j).**—Yield, 81%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  9.06 (s, 1H), 7.39–7.11 (m, 7H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 6.02 (d,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.01 (q,  $J$  = 12.2 Hz, 2H), 4.34 (d,  $J$  = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.49–2.34 (m, 1H), 2.29 (dt,  $J$  = 16.7, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.11–2.00 (m, 1H), 2.02–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.34 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.9, 170.3, 160.2, 156.8, 139.5, 135.9, 131.9 (q,  $J$  = 32.6 Hz), 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 123.7 (q,  $J$  = 272.5 Hz), 108.7, 108.3, 106.9 (d,  $J$  = 4.0 Hz), 81.3, 67.4, 55.5, 55.2, 31.7, 28.0, 27.8. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 533.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 509.2 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-5-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylamino)-4-(((benzyloxy)-carbonyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (62k).**—Yield, 85%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $\delta$ ):  $\delta$  8.75 (s, 1H), 7.27–7.13 (m, 5H), 7.07 (d,  $J$ = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (dd,  $J$ = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d,  $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.13 (d,  $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (s, 2H), 5.10–4.78 (m, 2H), 4.32 (d,  $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (qt,  $J$ = 16.6, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.11–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.92 (dt,  $J$ = 14.4, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $\delta$ ):  $\delta$  172.7, 169.8, 156.7, 147.6, 144.2, 136.1, 131.9, 128.5, 128.1, 127.9, 113.3, 107.9, 102.8, 101.2, 81.0, 67.1, 55.0, 31.8, 28.1. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 457.3 [M + H] $^+$ , MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 479.2 [M + Na] $^+$ , MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 935.4 [2M + Na] $^+$ .

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((Benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-(isopentylamino)-5-oxopentanoate (63a).**—Yield, 86%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $\delta$ ):  $\delta$  7.46–7.28 (m, 6H), 6.57 (d,  $J$ = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (d,  $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (q,  $J$ = 12.2 Hz, 2H), 4.46 (dt,  $J$ = 8.5, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (td,  $J$ = 8.1, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.31–3.15 (m, 2H), 2.89 (dd,  $J$ = 16.9, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd,  $J$ = 16.9, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.43–2.34 (m, 1H), 2.33–2.23 (m, 1H), 2.17–2.05 (m, 1H), 1.95 (dq,  $J$ = 14.5, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (td,  $J$ = 13.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.42 (d,  $J$ = 5.0 Hz, 20H), 0.89 (dd,  $J$ = 6.6, 0.9 Hz, 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $\delta$ ):  $\delta$  173.6, 170.9, 170.7, 170.4, 156.1, 136.0, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 81.9, 81.0, 67.3, 53.3, 51.67, 38.3, 37.9, 37.3, 31.7, 28.05, 28.03, 27.1, 25.8, 22.4. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 600.3 [M + Na] $^+$ .

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((Benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxo-5-(phenylamino)pentanoate (63b).**—Yield, 83%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $\delta$ ):  $\delta$  8.69 (s, 1H), 7.60 (t,  $J$ = 8.9 Hz, 3H), 7.46–7.22 (m, 7H), 7.15–6.99 (m, 1H), 5.91 (d,  $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.24–5.02 (m, 2H), 4.53 (tt,  $J$ = 10.3, 5.3 Hz, 2H), 2.92 (dd,  $J$ = 16.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (dd,  $J$ = 16.9, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (ddd,  $J$ = 17.1, 8.2, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (ddd,  $J$ = 17.1, 7.3, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.25–2.16 (m, 1H), 2.05 (dt,  $J$ = 14.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.41 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $\delta$ ):  $\delta$  173.8, 171.1, 170.9, 168.9, 156.2, 137.8, 136.0, 128.8, 128.6, 128.3, 128.2, 124.3, 120.1, 82.1, 81.3, 67.4, 53.9, 51.8, 37.3, 31.8, 28.1, 28.0, 26.9. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 606.3 [M + Na] $^+$ .

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((Benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) amino)pentanoate (63c).**—Yield, 82%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.50 (s, 1H), 7.94 (d,  $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (d,  $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d,  $J$ = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.44–7.17 (m, 5H), 6.91 (d,  $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.23–4.97 (m, 2H), 4.77–4.37 (m, 2H), 2.95–2.83 (m, 1H), 2.76 (dd,  $J$ = 16.5, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (ddd,  $J$ = 11.3, 9.1, 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.28–2.18 (m, 1H), 2.00–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 1.41 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  171.8, 171.0, 170.2, 170.0, 156.5, 142.3, 136.9, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 125.9 (q,  $J$ = 3.8 Hz), 124.7 (d,  $J$ = 32.5 Hz), 124.5 (d,  $J$ = 268.7 Hz), 119.5, 119.4, 80.7, 79.7, 66.3, 53.5, 52.2, 37.0, 31.2, 27.4, 27.3, 26.8. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 674.3 [M + Na] $^+$ .

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((Benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxo-5-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (63d).**—Yield, 83%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $\delta$ ):  $\delta$  9.06 (s, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.78–7.59

(m, 2H), 7.41–6.94 (m, 7H), 6.04 (d,  $J$ = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 5.23–4.85 (m, 2H), 4.60–4.33 (m, 2H), 2.85–2.66 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.21 (m, 2H), 2.18–2.06 (m, 1H), 1.99–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.40–1.32 (m, 9H), 1.30 (d,  $J$ = 2.3 Hz, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  173.4, 171.4, 170.8, 169.6, 156.3, 138.5, 136.0, 131.0 (q,  $J$ = 32.5 Hz), 129.3, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 123.9 (q,  $J$ = 271.2 Hz), 123.2, 120.7 (d,  $J$ = 4.0 Hz), 116.8 (d,  $J$ = 4.3 Hz), 82.1, 81.2, 67.3, 53.9, 51.9, 37.3, 31.7, 28.0, 27.9, 26.7. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 674.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((Benzyl oxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (63e).**—Yield, 82%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  8.56 (s, 1H), 7.59 (d,  $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d,  $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.27 (m, 5H), 6.90–6.65 (m, 2H), 5.92 (d,  $J$ = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (q,  $J$ = 12.2 Hz, 2H), 4.52 (tt,  $J$ = 10.3, 5.3 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.90 (dd,  $J$ = 16.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (dd,  $J$ = 16.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (ddd,  $J$ = 17.0, 8.0, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.40–2.29 (m, 1H), 2.23–2.12 (m, 1H), 2.04 (d,  $J$ = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.40 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  173.7, 171.0, 170.9, 168.7, 156.4, 156.2, 136.0, 131.0, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 121.8, 114.0, 82.1, 81.2, 67.4, 55.5, 53.7, 51.8, 37.3, 31.8, 28.1, 28.0, 26.9. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 614.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 636.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((Benzyl oxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (63f).**—Yield, 88%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  8.79 (s, 1H), 7.61 (d,  $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.13 (m, 6H), 7.08–6.92 (m, 2H), 6.53 (ddd,  $J$ = 8.1, 2.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (d,  $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.18–4.94 (m, 2H), 4.61–4.41 (m, 2H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.77 (dd,  $J$ = 16.8, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (dd,  $J$ = 16.8, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.39–2.30 (m, 1H), 2.26 (dt,  $J$ = 16.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.11 (dt,  $J$ = 13.4, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.94 (q,  $J$ = 5.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (s, 9H), 1.30 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  173.2, 171.3, 170.7, 169.2, 160.0, 156.2, 139.1, 136.0, 129.4, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 112.4, 110.3, 105.7, 81.9, 81.0, 67.3, 55.2, 53.7, 51.8, 37.4, 31.7, 28.0, 27.9, 27.1. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 636.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((Benzyl oxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (63g).**—Yield, 87%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  8.95 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d,  $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d,  $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.38–7.15 (m, 5H), 7.08–6.87 (m, 2H), 6.04 (d,  $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.36–4.93 (m, 2H), 4.49 (dp,  $J$ = 18.7, 6.4, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 2.77 (dd,  $J$ = 16.9, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (d,  $J$ = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.51–2.31 (m, 1H), 2.27 (dt,  $J$ = 16.9, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.18–2.10 (m, 1H), 1.95 (q,  $J$ = 7.3, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (s, 9H), 1.30 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  173.4, 171.4, 170.8, 169.3, 156.3, 145.2 (d,  $J$ = 2.0 Hz), 136.6, 136.0, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 121.4, 121.2, 119.4, 82.0, 81.2, 67.3, 53.9, 51.8, 37.3, 31.7, 28.0, 27.9, 26.8. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 690.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((Benzyl oxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxo-5-((3-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (63h).**—Yield, 84%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  8.87 (s, 1H), 7.60 (d,  $J$ = 19.4 Hz, 2H), 7.51–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.13 (m, 6H), 6.87 (ddt,  $J$ = 8.2, 2.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.83 (d,  $J$ = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.33–4.95 (m, 2H), 4.66–4.29 (m, 2H), 2.82 (dd,  $J$ = 16.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd,  $J$ =

$\delta$  = 16.8, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (ddd,  $J$  = 17.2, 8.4, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.32–2.24 (m, 1H), 2.13 (ddd,  $J$  = 13.8, 8.4, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.99 (dd,  $J$  = 14.0, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 9H), 1.34 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  174.0, 171.2, 170.9, 169.2, 156.3, 149.4, 139.3, 135.9, 129.8, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 120.4 (d,  $J$  = 257.3 Hz), 118.1, 116.3, 112.9, 82.3, 81.5, 67.4, 54.0, 51.9, 37.2, 31.8, 28.0, 27.9, 26.5. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 668.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 690.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((Benzylxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-((3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (63i).**—

Yield, 87%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.64 (s, 1H), 7.59 (d,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.27 (m, 5H), 6.86 (d,  $J$  = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 6.22 (t,  $J$  = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (d,  $J$  = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.31–5.03 (m, 2H), 4.51 (td,  $J$  = 7.8, 4.7 Hz, 2H), 3.76 (s, 6H), 2.92 (dd,  $J$  = 16.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (dd,  $J$  = 16.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (ddd,  $J$  = 17.1, 8.2, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (ddd,  $J$  = 17.1, 7.2, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.23–2.09 (m, 1H), 2.11–1.96 (m, 1H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.41 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  173.8, 171.2, 170.8, 169.0, 160.9, 156.2, 139.5, 135.9, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 98.3, 97.0, 82.1, 81.3, 67.4, 55.4, 53.9, 51.8, 37.2, 31.8, 28.1, 28.0, 26.9. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 644.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 666.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((Benzylxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-((3-methoxy-5-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (63j).**—Yield, 86%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.98–8.91 (m, 1H), 7.71 (d,  $J$  = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.43–7.27 (m, 6H), 6.94–6.80 (m, 1H), 6.00–5.76 (m, 1H), 5.30–5.06 (m, 2H), 4.66–4.35 (m, 2H), 3.82 (d,  $J$  = 0.9 Hz, 3H), 2.95–2.84 (m, 1H), 2.79 (dd,  $J$  = 16.8, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (td,  $J$  = 17.3, 5.9, 5.3, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (ddd,  $J$  = 17.2, 7.4, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (ddd,  $J$  = 13.4, 9.1, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (dt,  $J$  = 14.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.41 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  174.0 (d,  $J$  = 3.1 Hz), 171.2, 170.9, 169.4, 160.2, 156.3, 139.7, 135.9, 131.9 (d,  $J$  = 32.5 Hz), 128.6, 128.1, 123.8 (d,  $J$  = 272.5 Hz), 109.1, 108.6, 106.9 (d,  $J$  = 3.9 Hz), 82.3, 81.5, 67.4, 55.6, 54.1, 51.9, 37.2, 31.8, 28.0, 27.9, 26.4. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 682.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 704.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-5-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylamino)-4-((S)-2-(((benzylxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-(tert-butoxy)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxopentanoate (63k).**—Yield, 88%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.61 (q,  $J$  = 5.9, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.10 (m, 6H), 6.84 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (dq,  $J$  = 8.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (d,  $J$  = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 5.83 (q,  $J$  = 1.6 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (q,  $J$  = 12.4 Hz, 2H), 4.45 (q,  $J$  = 6.8, 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.79 (d,  $J$  = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (dd,  $J$  = 16.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.48–2.31 (m, 1H), 2.27 (dt,  $J$  = 16.8, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.16–2.05 (m, 1H), 2.04–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.35 (d,  $J$  = 1.6 Hz, 9H), 1.33 (d,  $J$  = 1.5 Hz, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  173.5, 171.1, 170.8, 168.8, 156.2, 147.6, 144.2, 136.0, 132.1, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 113.3, 107.9, 102.8, 101.1, 82.0, 81.2, 67.3, 53.7, 51.8, 37.3, 31.8, 28.1, 28.0, 27.0. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 628.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 650.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-8-(2-(tert-Butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-11-(isopentylcarbamoyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-2-**

**oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64a).**—Yield, 81%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.75–7.59 (m, 3H), 7.58–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.01 (m, 6H), 6.67 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (s, 2H), 4.61 (ddd, *J* = 7.9, 6.4, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.54–4.41 (m, 1H), 4.33 (td, *J* = 8.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (dd, *J* = 14.2, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.19–3.00 (m, 3H), 2.80 (dd, *J* = 16.9, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dd, *J* = 16.9, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.24–2.04 (m, 3H), 1.90–1.76 (m, 1H), 1.52 (dp, *J* = 13.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (s, 9H), 1.28 (s, 11H), 0.81 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 3.0 Hz, 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.6, 171.6, 171.0, 170.5, 170.2, 156.7, 135.7, 133.4, 132.5, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 126.3, 125.9, 82.0, 80.5, 67.5, 56.7, 53.1, 50.2, 38.2, 38.0, 36.5, 31.9, 28.1, 28.0, 27.1, 22.52, 22.50. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 775.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 797.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-8-(2-(tert-Butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-11-(phenylcarbamoyl)-2-oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64b).**—Yield, 85%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  9.92 (s, 1H), 8.55 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.86–7.75 (m, 3H), 7.69–7.58 (m, 3H), 7.56–7.41 (m, 3H), 7.37–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.11 (m, 5H), 7.09–6.98 (m, 1H), 4.90 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 4.66 (td, *J* = 7.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (tdd, *J* = 10.9, 7.9, 4.3 Hz, 2H), 3.19 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dd, *J* = 16.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dd, *J* = 16.2, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.37–2.20 (m, 2H), 2.01 (ddd, *J* = 14.0, 6.8, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.90–1.73 (m, 1H), 1.38 (s, 9H), 1.32 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  172.2, 172.0, 170.7, 170.1, 169.9, 156.3, 139.1, 137.4, 136.3, 133.4, 132.3, 129.2, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 127.99, 127.97, 127.91, 127.80, 127.7, 126.4, 125.9, 124.0, 119.8, 80.8, 80.2, 65.6, 56.6, 53.2, 50.1, 38.1, 37.6, 31.6, 28.13, 28.11, 27.8. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 781.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 803.1 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-8-(2-(tert-Butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-11-((4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)carbamoyl)-2-oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64c).**—Yield, 74%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.81 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.85–7.62 (m, 6H), 7.59–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.51–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.12 (m, 6H), 5.33 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.14–4.93 (m, 2H), 4.71–4.62 (m, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (q, *J* = 5.1, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (dd, *J* = 14.5, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (dd, *J* = 14.2, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.70–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.33 (p, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 3H), 2.01–1.91 (m, 1H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 1.36 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.4, 172.2, 171.2, 170.5, 169.6, 157.1, 141.3, 135.3, 133.4, 132.8, 132.6, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 126.6, 126.5, 126.2, 126.02, 125.96, 125.90, 125.6, 125.3, 123.2, 119.7, 82.5, 80.7, 68.0, 57.2, 53.9, 50.8, 37.7, 35.7, 32.1, 28.0, 27.9, 26.5. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 871.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-8-(2-(tert-Butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-11-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)carbamoyl)-2-oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64d).**—Yield, 76%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.95 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.89–7.70 (m, 3H), 7.65–7.53 (m, 3H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (dd, *J* = 6.2, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.22–7.14 (m, 3H), 7.14–6.99 (m, 5H), 5.85 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (q, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 2H), 4.78–4.69 (m, 1H), 4.66–4.56 (m, 1H), 4.51

(q,  $J = 8.3, 5.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.23 (dd,  $J = 14.3, 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 3.04 (dd,  $J = 14.1, 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd,  $J = 17.1, 4.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.61 (dd,  $J = 16.9, 7.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.37–2.11 (m, 3H), 1.95–1.81 (m, 1H), 1.25 (s, 9H), 1.24 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.4, 172.2, 170.9 (d,  $J = 3.1$  Hz), 169.7, 156.9, 138.8, 135.7, 133.5, 132.5, 131.0 (d,  $J = 32.2$  Hz), 129.3, 128.55, 128.51, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 126.3, 125.9, 125.1, 123.2, 123.0, 120.6, 116.9 (d,  $J = 4.0$  Hz), 82.2, 80.8, 67.5, 56.8, 53.8, 50.4, 38.4, 36.7, 31.8, 28.0, 27.9, 26.9. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 871.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-8-(2-(tert-Butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-11-((4-methoxyphenyl)carbamoyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-2-oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64e).**—Yield, 83%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.46 (s, 1H), 7.77–7.62 (m, 3H), 7.58–7.48 (m, 5H), 7.38–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.11 (m, 6H), 6.74 (d,  $J = 9.0$  Hz, 2H), 5.42 (d,  $J = 5.5$  Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d,  $J = 2.7$  Hz, 2H), 4.63 (ddd,  $J = 7.7, 6.2, 4.4$  Hz, 1H), 4.46 (q,  $J = 5.5, 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.40–3.20 (m, 1H), 3.07 (dd,  $J = 14.2, 8.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.85 (dd,  $J = 17.2, 4.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.54 (dd,  $J = 17.0, 6.3$  Hz, 1H), 2.31–2.15 (m, 3H), 1.90 (dt,  $J = 11.9, 3.7$  Hz, 1H), 1.29 (s, 9H), 1.28 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.6, 171.9, 171.1, 170.4, 168.8, 156.8, 156.3, 135.6, 133.4, 133.2, 132.6, 131.3, 128.7, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 126.9, 126.4, 126.0, 121.7, 113.9, 82.2, 80.6, 67.6, 56.9, 55.4, 53.7, 50.4, 37.9, 36.2, 32.0, 28.0, 27.9, 26.9. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 833.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-8-(2-(tert-Butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-11-((3-methoxyphenyl)carbamoyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-2-oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64f).**—Yield, 80%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.65 (s, 1H), 7.81–7.55 (m, 5H), 7.54–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.37 (t,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd,  $J = 6.2, 3.2$  Hz, 2H), 7.23–6.99 (m, 8H), 6.58–6.45 (m, 1H), 5.64 (d,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.92 (s, 2H), 4.73–4.63 (m, 1H), 4.51 (ddd,  $J = 21.6, 10.8, 5.9$  Hz, 2H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.26 (dd,  $J = 14.2, 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.05 (dd,  $J = 14.1, 8.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dd,  $J = 17.2, 4.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.55 (dd,  $J = 17.0, 6.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.30–2.11 (m, 3H), 1.91–1.77 (m, 1H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1.27 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.5, 171.9, 171.0, 170.7, 169.3, 160.0, 156.8, 139.3, 135.7, 133.4 (d,  $J = 2.6$  Hz), 132.5, 129.5, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 126.3, 125.9, 112.4, 110.3, 105.6, 82.2, 80.6, 67.5, 56.8, 55.2, 53.8, 50.3, 38.2, 36.5, 31.9, 28.1, 28.0, 27.0. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 833.4 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-8-(2-(tert-Butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-11-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)carbamoyl)-2-oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64g).**—Yield, 79%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.64 (dd,  $J = 11.5, 4.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.84–7.64 (m, 5H), 7.59 (d,  $J = 26.7$  Hz, 3H), 7.44–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.25–7.13 (m, 6H), 7.07 (dd,  $J = 8.9, 3.3$  Hz, 2H), 5.30 (d,  $J = 28.7$  Hz, 1H), 5.11–4.84 (m, 2H), 4.68–4.54 (m, 1H), 4.50–4.25 (m, 2H), 3.44–3.26 (m, 1H), 3.07 (dd,  $J = 14.2, 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 2.90 (d,  $J = 17.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.54 (ddd,  $J = 17.0, 5.9, 2.3$  Hz, 1H), 2.32–2.16 (m, 2H), 1.96–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.32 (s, 9H), 1.28 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.5, 172.2, 171.2, 170.4, 169.3, 157.0, 145.1, 136.9, 135.3, 133.4, 132.9, 132.6, 128.9, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 126.7, 126.5, 126.1, 121.5,

121.2, 82.4, 80.7, 67.9, 57.2, 53.8, 50.7, 37.7, 35.7, 32.1, 28.0, 27.9, 26.6. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 887.4 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-8-(2-(tert-Butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-11-((3-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)carbamoyl)-2-oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64h).**—Yield, 80%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  8.89 (s, 1H), 7.88 (d,  $J$  = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d,  $J$  = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.53 (m, 4H), 7.47 (dd,  $J$  = 15.1, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (dd,  $J$  = 6.2, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 7.22–7.17 (m, 1H), 7.14–7.04 (m, 6H), 6.89–6.63 (m, 1H), 5.84 (d,  $J$  = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (q,  $J$  = 12.3 Hz, 2H), 4.77–4.67 (m, 1H), 4.62–4.59 (m, 1H), 4.52–4.46 (m, 1H), 3.25 (dd,  $J$  = 14.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (dd,  $J$  = 14.1, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dd,  $J$  = 17.1, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd,  $J$  = 16.9, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.30–2.11 (m, 3H), 1.89 (s, 1H), 1.25 (s, 18H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  172.3, 172.1, 170.87, 170.86, 169.6, 156.9, 149.43, 149.41, 139.7, 135.7, 133.5, 133.4, 132.5, 129.8, 128.55, 128.50, 128.3, 128.1 (d,  $J$  = 2.2 Hz), 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 126.3, 125.9, 120.5 (d,  $J$  = 257.1 Hz), 118.2, 116.1, 112.9, 82.2, 80.7, 67.5, 56.8, 53.8, 50.3, 38.4, 36.7, 31.8, 28.0, 27.9, 26.9. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 887.4 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-8-(2-(tert-Butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-11-((3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)carbamoyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-2-oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64i).**—Yield, 81%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  8.55 (s, 1H), 7.84–7.55 (m, 5H), 7.52 (d,  $J$  = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.11 (m, 6H), 6.92 (s, 2H), 6.13 (t,  $J$  = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 5.49 (d,  $J$  = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (s, 2H), 4.63 (ddd,  $J$  = 7.6, 6.1, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (h,  $J$  = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.64 (s, 6H), 3.27 (dd,  $J$  = 14.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (dd,  $J$  = 14.2, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dd,  $J$  = 17.6, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dd,  $J$  = 17.3, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.29–2.13 (m, 3H), 1.91 (d,  $J$  = 24.4 Hz, 1H), 1.29 (s, 9H), 1.27 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  172.5, 171.9, 171.1, 170.6, 169.2, 160.9, 156.9, 139.9, 135.6, 133.4, 133.3, 132.6, 128.7, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 126.9, 126.4, 126.0, 98.3, 97.0, 82.2, 80.6, 67.7, 56.9, 55.3, 53.8, 50.5, 38.0, 36.2, 32.0, 28.0, 27.9, 26.9. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 863.4 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-8-(2-(tert-Butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-11-((3-methoxy-5-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)carbamoyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-2-oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64j).**—Yield, 82%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  8.82 (s, 1H), 7.75–7.54 (m, 6H), 7.50 (t,  $J$  = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.24–7.02 (m, 6H), 6.75 (t,  $J$  = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (d,  $J$  = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (s, 2H), 4.62 (td,  $J$  = 7.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.55–4.36 (m, 2H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.27 (dd,  $J$  = 14.2, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (dd,  $J$  = 14.2, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd,  $J$  = 17.1, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (dd,  $J$  = 17.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.26–2.11 (m, 3H), 1.92 (s, 1H), 1.28 (s, 9H), 1.26 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  172.4, 172.2, 171.0, 170.7, 169.6, 160.2, 157.0, 140.0, 135.5, 133.4, 133.2, 132.6, 131.8 (q,  $J$  = 32.3 Hz), 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 126.8, 126.4, 126.0, 125.0, 122.8, 109.1 (d,  $J$  = 4.3 Hz), 108.6, 106.9 (d,  $J$  = 4.1 Hz), 82.4, 80.7, 67.7, 57.0, 55.5, 53.8, 50.6, 38.0, 36.2, 31.9, 28.0, 27.9, 26.7. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 901.4 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 877.2 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (5S,8S,11S)-11-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylcarbamoyl)-8-(2-(tert-butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-3,6,9-trioxo-1-phenyl-2-oxa-4,7,10-triazatetradecan-14-oate (64k).**—Yield, 80%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  8.54 (s, 1H), 7.65 (ddt, *J* = 17.6, 13.4, 5.0 Hz, 5H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.09 (m, 6H), 6.93–6.85 (m, 1H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (s, 2H), 5.58 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (s, 2H), 4.76–4.58 (m, 1H), 4.48 (ddd, *J* = 19.7, 9.4, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 3.36–3.21 (m, 1H), 3.05 (dd, *J* = 14.2, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.62–2.46 (m, 1H), 2.33–2.14 (m, 3H), 1.90 (dd, *J* = 14.9, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (s, 9H), 1.27 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.5, 171.9, 171.0, 170.5, 168.9, 156.8, 147.5, 144.0, 135.7, 133.4, 133.3, 132.5, 132.4, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 126.3, 125.9, 113.3, 107.9, 102.8, 101.1, 82.2, 80.6, 67.6, 56.8, 53.7, 53.5, 50.4, 38.1, 36.4, 32.0, 28.1, 28.0, 27.0. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 825.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 847.4 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-4-(tert-Butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-(isopentylamino)-5-oxopentanoate (65a).**—Yield, 89%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  7.76–7.61 (m, 3H), 7.59–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.08 (m, 6H), 6.67 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (s, 2H), 4.61 (ddd, *J* = 7.9, 6.4, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.53–4.42 (m, 1H), 4.33 (td, *J* = 8.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (dd, *J* = 14.2, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.20–2.92 (m, 3H), 2.80 (dd, *J* = 16.9, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dd, *J* = 16.9, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.28–2.00 (m, 3H), 1.84 (tt, *J* = 15.7, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (dt, *J* = 13.4, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (s, 9H), 1.28 (s, 9H), 0.81 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 3.0 Hz, 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  172.6, 171.6, 171.0, 170.5, 170.2, 156.7, 135.7, 133.4, 132.5, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 126.3, 125.9, 82.0, 80.5, 67.5, 56.7, 53.1, 50.2, 38.2, 38.1, 38.0, 36.5, 31.9, 28.0, 27.9, 27.1, 25.8, 22.5. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 775.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 797.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-4-(tert-Butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxo-5-(phenylamino)pentanoate (65b).**—Yield, 84%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  9.92 (s, 1H), 8.55 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.86–7.75 (m, 3H), 7.73–7.56 (m, 3H), 7.55–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.36–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.19 (m, 3H), 7.19–7.13 (m, 2H), 7.12–6.92 (m, 1H), 5.00–4.81 (m, 2H), 4.66 (td, *J* = 7.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (tdd, *J* = 10.9, 7.9, 4.3 Hz, 2H), 3.23–3.09 (m, 1H), 2.94 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dd, *J* = 16.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dd, *J* = 16.2, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.18 (m, 2H), 2.11–1.97 (m, 1H), 1.86 (ddt, *J* = 10.6, 5.2, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 1.38 (s, 9H), 1.32 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  172.2, 172.0, 170.7, 170.1, 169.9, 156.3, 139.1, 137.4, 136.3, 133.4, 132.3, 129.2, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 127.99, 127.97, 127.91, 127.8, 126.4, 125.9, 119.8, 80.8, 80.2, 65.6, 56.6, 53.2, 50.1, 38.1, 37.6, 31.6, 28.13, 28.11, 27.8. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 781.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 803.1 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-4-(tert-Butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (65c).**—Yield, 72%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,

chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  11.05–10.69 (m, 1H), 8.90 (s, 1H), 8.10 (d,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.84–7.69 (m, 4H), 7.59–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.49–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.40–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.18 (dd,  $J$  = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d,  $J$  = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (d,  $J$  = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (dd,  $J$  = 9.5, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (ddd,  $J$  = 7.6, 6.0, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (ddd,  $J$  = 11.0, 7.3, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd,  $J$  = 14.2, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (dd,  $J$  = 14.2, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (dd,  $J$  = 16.9, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.82–2.58 (m, 1H), 2.53–2.32 (m, 3H), 2.30–2.21 (m, 1H), 1.49 (s, 9H), 1.20 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  174.3, 172.1, 170.9, 170.8, 169.8, 163.1, 141.1, 135.8, 133.5, 132.8, 132.7, 129.9, 129.2, 128.0, 127.78, 127.77, 127.5, 126.7, 126.5, 126.3, 126.2, 125.9 (d,  $J$  = 3.9 Hz), 125.8, 125.5, 125.3, 123.1, 121.0, 119.8, 113.7, 103.0, 82.5, 81.7, 56.4, 54.4, 51.0, 37.7, 35.7, 33.3, 28.1, 27.7, 27.5. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 914.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 890.3 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-4-(tert-Butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxo-5-((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (65d).**—Yield, 70%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  11.18–10.69 (m, 1H), 9.28 (s, 1H), 8.26 (dd,  $J$  = 7.2, 4.4 Hz, 2H), 8.10 (d,  $J$  = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.99–7.73 (m, 2H), 7.64 (d,  $J$  = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.61–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.47 (d,  $J$  = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.16 (m, 3H), 7.14–6.85 (m, 2H), 4.96 (ddd,  $J$  = 8.9, 6.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (q,  $J$  = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (ddd,  $J$  = 9.5, 7.7, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (dd,  $J$  = 14.0, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (dd,  $J$  = 14.0, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd,  $J$  = 16.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (dd,  $J$  = 16.5, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.27 (td,  $J$  = 9.3, 6.1 Hz, 2H), 2.21–2.08 (m, 1H), 1.98–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.25 (s, 9H), 1.17 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  172.3, 172.1, 170.9, 170.14, 170.10, 162.0, 139.6, 135.3, 135.0, 133.6, 132.4, 132.0, 130.4 (q,  $J$  = 31.9 Hz), 129.6, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 127.5, 127.48, 127.47, 125.9, 125.5, 125.4, 125.3, 124.2, 123.2, 123.1, 120.0 (q,  $J$  = 3.8 Hz), 116.0 (q,  $J$  = 4.1 Hz), 113.8, 103.1, 80.9, 80.0, 55.9, 53.8, 50.8, 37.4, 36.8, 31.7, 27.4, 27.3, 27.0. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 914.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 890.3 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-4-(tert-Butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (65e).**—Yield, 86%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  11.13–10.78 (m, 1H), 9.00 (s, 1H), 8.29 (t,  $J$  = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.88 (d,  $J$  = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d,  $J$  = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.43 (m, 3H), 7.38–7.31 (m, 3H), 7.24 (dd,  $J$  = 6.3, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 7.16–6.97 (m, 2H), 6.92–6.56 (m, 2H), 5.17–4.93 (m, 1H), 4.76 (q,  $J$  = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.59–4.29 (m, 1H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.38 (dd,  $J$  = 14.0, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (dd,  $J$  = 14.0, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (dd,  $J$  = 16.4, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dd,  $J$  = 16.4, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.25 (m, 2H), 2.23–2.12 (m, 1H), 2.00–1.90 (m, 1H), 1.29 (s, 9H), 1.21 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  172.3, 171.8, 170.8, 170.0, 169.1, 161.9, 156.2, 135.3, 135.1, 133.6, 132.4, 132.1, 131.9, 128.7, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 125.8, 125.4, 125.2, 124.2, 121.3, 120.9, 113.8, 113.7, 103.0, 80.8, 79.9, 55.7, 54.8, 53.6, 50.6, 37.6, 37.1, 31.7, 27.5, 27.4, 27.3. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 876.2 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-4-(tert-Butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (65f).**—Yield, 81%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  10.75 (s, 1H), 8.76 (s, 1H), 8.61 (s, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 7.62 (s, 1H),

7.49–7.35 (m, 5H), 7.33–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.24–7.08 (m, 4H), 7.08–6.93 (m, 3H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 6.50 (dt,  $J = 5.8, 2.7$  Hz, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.38 (s, 1H), 3.56 (s, 3H), 3.31 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.23 (dd,  $J = 14.0, 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 2.70 (qd,  $J = 17.1, 6.6$  Hz, 2H), 2.42–2.07 (m, 3H), 1.94 (s, 1H), 1.33 (s, 9H), 1.05 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  173.4, 171.5, 171.2, 170.3, 169.6, 162.0, 160.0, 138.8, 135.5, 133.6, 133.3, 132.3, 130.9, 129.5, 128.3, 128.2, 127.9, 127.5, 127.4, 127.1, 126.0, 125.9, 125.6, 125.0, 121.1, 113.7, 112.9, 110.3, 106.5, 103.6, 81.9, 81.3, 55.2, 54.9, 54.2, 50.0, 38.9, 37.6, 32.2, 28.1, 27.7, 27.5. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 876.3 [M + Na] $^+$ .

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-4-(tert-Butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (65g).**—Yield, 86%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  10.99 (s, 1H), 8.76 (s, 1H), 8.07 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.90–7.69 (m, 7H), 7.52 (dt,  $J = 5.4, 2.9$  Hz, 3H), 7.45–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.02 (m, 3H), 6.69 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.55 (d,  $J = 2.6$  Hz, 1H), 4.76 (s, 1H), 4.70–4.60 (m, 1H), 4.45 (ddd,  $J = 10.7, 7.6, 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd,  $J = 14.3, 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 3.28 (dd,  $J = 14.3, 9.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.00 (dd,  $J = 17.0, 4.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.62–2.55 (m, 1H), 2.54–2.44 (m, 1H), 2.44–2.24 (m, 3H), 1.49 (s, 9H), 1.18 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  174.5, 172.2, 171.0, 170.6, 169.5, 163.3, 145.2, 136.9, 135.8, 133.5, 132.74, 132.70, 129.8, 129.4, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 126.9, 126.4, 126.3, 125.6, 121.5, 121.2, 121.0, 119.5, 113.7, 102.9, 82.6, 81.7, 56.6, 54.3, 51.1, 37.5, 35.3, 33.5, 29.3, 28.1, 27.7. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 930.3 [M + Na] $^+$ , MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 906.2 [M – H] $^-$ .

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-4-(tert-Butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxo-5-((3-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (65h).**—Yield, 76%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  10.93 (d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, 1H), 8.96–8.75 (m, 1H), 8.13–7.98 (m, 2H), 7.90–7.62 (m, 6H), 7.53 (q,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.31 (m, 4H), 7.31–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.17 (dt,  $J = 8.8, 2.3$  Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 4.77–4.61 (m, 1H), 4.43 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.54 (d,  $J = 15.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.34–3.20 (m, 1H), 2.92 (d,  $J = 17.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.67 (dd,  $J = 20.8, 12.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.49–2.31 (m, 3H), 2.23 (d,  $J = 9.1$  Hz, 1H), 1.48 (d,  $J = 2.4$  Hz, 9H), 1.20 (d,  $J = 3.3$  Hz, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  174.2, 171.9, 170.9, 170.8, 169.6, 162.8, 149.4, 139.5, 135.8, 133.5, 133.0, 132.6, 130.1, 129.7, 129.0, 128.0, 127.8, 127.4, 126.6, 126.2, 125.5, 121.5, 121.0, 119.5, 118.2, 116.2, 113.7, 112.9, 103.0, 82.5, 81.6, 56.2, 54.3, 50.9, 38.0, 36.1, 33.0, 28.1, 27.7, 27.4. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 930.3 [M + Na] $^+$ , MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 906.3 [M – H] $^-$ .

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-4-(tert-Butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-((3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (65i).**—Yield, 83%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ):  $\delta$  10.86 (s, 1H), 8.73 (s, 1H), 8.40 (s, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.61 (q,  $J = 11.7, 9.7$  Hz, 4H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.31 (t,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 5H), 7.15 (dd,  $J = 8.7, 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 2H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 6.19 (t,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 4.45 (s, 1H), 3.67 (s, 6H), 3.44 (dd,  $J = 14.0, 6.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.31 (dd,  $J = 14.0, 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dd,  $J = 16.7, 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.74 (dd,  $J = 16.7, 7.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.48–2.24 (m, 3H),

2.18–2.07 (m, 1H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.19 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  173.7, 171.5, 171.0, 170.5, 169.5, 162.3, 160.9, 139.5, 135.6, 133.38, 133.36, 132.4, 130.6, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 127.5, 127.4, 127.0, 126.2, 126.0, 125.8, 125.1, 121.0, 113.7, 103.3, 98.7, 96.9, 82.1, 81.4, 55.3, 55.3, 54.3, 50.3, 38.6, 37.0, 32.5, 28.1, 27.7, 27.5. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 906.4 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-4-(tert-Butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-(3-methoxy-5-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (65j).**—Yield, 80%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  10.86 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 8.14 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.73–7.58 (m, 5H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.42–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.16 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.88–6.52 (m, 2H), 5.15 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (td, *J* = 7.5, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.49–4.30 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.46 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.92–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.81–2.69 (m, 1H), 2.48–2.28 (m, 3H), 2.17 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 1.48 (s, 9H), 1.20 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  174.1, 171.8, 170.9, 170.8, 169.7, 162.5, 160.1, 139.7, 135.6, 133.4, 133.1, 132.5, 131.7 (d, *J* = 32.3 Hz), 130.3, 128.7, 128.1, 127.8, 127.6, 127.4, 126.7, 126.4, 126.1, 126.0, 125.3, 123.8 (q, *J* = 272.5 Hz), 121.0, 113.7, 109.2 (d, *J* = 4.1 Hz), 108.9, 106.8, 103.0, 82.4, 81.6, 55.6, 55.5, 54.4, 50.6, 38.4, 36.6, 32.7, 28.1, 27.7, 27.2. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 944.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-5-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylamino)-4-((S)-4-(tert-butoxy)-2-((S)-2-(5-chloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-4-oxobutanamido)-5-oxopentanoate (65k).**—Yield, 88%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  10.75 (s, 1H), 8.73 (s, 1H), 8.63 (s, 1H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.49–7.33 (m, 5H), 7.27–7.09 (m, 5H), 7.05 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.82 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (s, 1H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 5.01 (s, 1H), 4.40 (s, 1H), 3.33 (dd, *J* = 14.2, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (d, *J* = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (d, *J* = 13.0 Hz, 1H), 2.42–2.10 (m, 3H), 1.94 (s, 1H), 1.33 (s, 9H), 1.05 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  173.3, 171.5, 171.1, 170.3, 169.5, 161.9, 147.6, 144.4, 135.5, 133.6, 133.3, 132.3, 131.8, 130.9, 128.3, 128.2, 127.9, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 126.0, 125.9, 125.6, 125.0, 121.0, 114.0, 113.7, 107.8, 103.8, 103.3, 101.2, 81.8, 81.2, 54.9, 53.9, 49.9, 38.9, 37.7, 32.1, 28.1, 27.7, 27.4. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 890.3 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, MS (ESI) *m/z*: 866.3 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>.

**Ethyl (S)-4-((BenzylOxy)carbonyl)amino)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (90).**—Yield, 76%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  10.25 (s, 1H), 8.09–7.58 (m, 3H), 7.53–7.15 (m, 6H), 5.33–4.85 (m, 2H), 4.16 (td, *J* = 8.3, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (ddd, *J* = 8.5, 6.6, 3.8 Hz, 2H), 1.98 (pd, *J* = 6.1, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.94–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.14 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  172.5, 171.1, 156.5, 144.1, 138.5, 137.4, 128.8, 128.3, 128.2, 122.1, 121.6, 121.1, 119.6, 66.0, 60.4, 55.2, 30.6, 27.4, 14.5. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 469.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)propanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-**

**(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (91a).**—Yield, 60%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.26 (s, 1H), 8.35 (d,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d,  $J$  = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.75–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.66 (t,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (tt,  $J$  = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (tt,  $J$  = 7.4, 1.3 Hz, 4H), 4.58 (td,  $J$  = 8.6, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (td,  $J$  = 8.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.29–4.15 (m, 3H), 3.39–3.34 (m, 1H), 3.23 (dd,  $J$  = 15.3, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 2.37–2.18 (m, 2H), 1.99 (ddd,  $J$  = 9.9, 8.4, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (dtd,  $J$  = 14.1, 9.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (s, 9H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  171.9, 170.8, 170.5, 156.2, 144.2, 141.1, 138.3, 128.1, 127.6, 127.5, 125.8, 125.7, 122.1, 121.6, 121.3, 120.6, 119.6, 80.2, 66.4, 55.4, 53.5, 53.3, 47.0, 31.6, 28.1, 27.5. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 724.3 [M + H] $^+$ , 746.2 [M + Na] $^+$ , 722.3 [M – H] $^-$ .

**Ethyl (S)-4-((S)-2-(((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)propanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (91b).**—Yield, 69%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.23 (s, 1H), 8.34 (d,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (d,  $J$  = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.74–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.66 (t,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (tt,  $J$  = 7.6, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (tt,  $J$  = 7.4, 1.4 Hz, 5H), 4.57 (td,  $J$  = 8.5, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (td,  $J$  = 8.2, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.31–4.13 (m, 3H), 3.98 (qd,  $J$  = 7.1, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 3.22 (dd,  $J$  = 15.3, 9.0 Hz, 2H), 2.41–2.25 (m, 2H), 2.04 (ddt,  $J$  = 14.9, 9.8, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 1.95–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.10 (t,  $J$  = 7.1 Hz, 3H). MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 696.2 [M + H] $^+$ .

**tert-Butyl 2-(((S)-1-(((S)-1-((S)-5-(tert-Butoxy)-1,5-dioxo-1-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)propan-2-yl)amino)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-5-chloro-1H-indole-1-carboxylate (92a).**—Yield, 56%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.34 (s, 1H), 9.02 (d,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.65 (d,  $J$  = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.34 (d,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (td,  $J$  = 41.5, 40.5, 8.7 Hz, 8H), 7.60–7.18 (m, 6H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 5.00–4.72 (m, 2H), 4.40 (q,  $J$  = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.31–2.87 (m, 4H), 2.28 (ddt,  $J$  = 20.7, 15.7, 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.01 (p,  $J$  = 7.4, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (h,  $J$  = 6.8, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 1.31 (s, 9H), 1.26 (s, 9H).

**tert-Butyl 5-Chloro-2-(((S)-1-(((S)-1-((S)-5-ethoxy-1,5-dioxo-1-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)propan-2-yl)amino)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-1H-indole-1-carboxylate (92b).**—Yield, 58%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  10.39 (d,  $J$  = 35.1 Hz, 1H), 9.00 (dd,  $J$  = 30.5, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.70 (dd,  $J$  = 48.7, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (dd,  $J$  = 36.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.08–7.61 (m, 8H), 7.61–7.22 (m, 6H), 6.76 (d,  $J$  = 25.8 Hz, 1H), 4.99–4.70 (m, 2H), 4.40 (qd,  $J$  = 7.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.15–3.81 (m, 2H), 3.32–2.86 (m, 4H), 2.37 (qd,  $J$  = 9.5, 8.7, 4.3 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (d,  $J$  = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 1.98–1.77 (m, 1H), 1.26 (s, 9H), 1.17–0.91 (m, 3H). MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 948.3 [M + H] $^+$ , 970.3 [M + H] $^+$ , 946.3 [M – H] $^-$ .

**tert-Butyl (S)-4-((S)-2-((S)-2-(4,6-Dichloro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanamido)-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)propanamido)-5-oxo-5-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentanoate (92c).**—Yield, 53%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  11.94 (d,  $J$  = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 10.29 (s, 1H), 8.97 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.71 (d,  $J$  = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.96–7.63 (m, 4H), 7.53 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.25 (m, 4H), 7.21 (dd,  $J$  = 4.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.98–4.67 (m, 2H), 4.41 (td,  $J$  = 8.1,

5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (dd,  $J = 15.3, 6.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.30–3.28 (m, 2H), 3.14 (dd,  $J = 13.9, 11.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.29 (qdd,  $J = 16.2, 11.8, 3.9$  Hz, 2H), 2.02 (ddd,  $J = 15.2, 10.2, 5.4$  Hz, 1H), 1.94–1.74 (m, 1H), 1.31 (d,  $J = 1.8$  Hz, 9H).

**(S)-2-Amino-N<sup>1</sup>-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)pentanediamide (95).**—Yield, 75%.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  7.91–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.12 (m, 3H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 3.45–3.15 (m, 3H), 2.26–2.05 (m, 2H), 1.86 (dddd,  $J = 13.4, 9.3, 6.6, 5.4$  Hz, 1H), 1.75–1.58 (m, 1H). MS (ESI) *m/z*: 306.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 304.2 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>.

**(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl ((S)-1-(((S)-5-Amino-1,5-dioxo-1-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)propan-2-yl)carbamate (96).**—Yield, 71%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  10.34 (s, 1H), 8.36 (d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 2H), 7.74 (dd,  $J = 8.5, 6.2$  Hz, 3H), 7.67 (t,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 7.53–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.07 (m, 5H), 7.01–6.61 (m, 1H), 4.55 (td,  $J = 8.4, 5.4$  Hz, 1H), 4.40–4.14 (m, 4H), 3.22 (dd,  $J = 15.3, 8.9$  Hz, 2H), 2.15 (dt,  $J = 9.3, 6.3$  Hz, 2H), 1.99 (s, 1H), 1.87–1.73 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  173.9, 170.9, 170.8, 156.2, 144.2, 141.1, 138.4, 128.11, 127.6, 127.5, 125.8, 125.7, 122.0, 121.6, 121.4, 120.6, 119.6, 66.4, 60.2, 53.4, 47.0, 31.7, 28.0. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 667.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 665.3 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>.

**tert-Butyl 2-(((S)-1-(((S)-1-(((S)-5-Amino-1,5-dioxo-1-((4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)amino)pentan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)propan-2-yl)amino)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-5-chloro-1H-indole-1-carboxylate (97).**—Yield, 65%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  10.33 (s, 1H), 9.01 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 8.63 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.99–7.62 (m, 8H), 7.56–7.20 (m, 7H), 6.93–6.62 (m, 2H), 4.81 (dt,  $J = 7.6, 4.2$  Hz, 2H), 4.37 (td,  $J = 7.8, 5.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.43–3.03 (m, 4H), 2.30–2.12 (m, 2H), 2.03–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.88 (ddt,  $J = 11.8, 8.0, 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.25 (s, 9H). MS (ESI) *m/z*: 919.3 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 917.2 [M – H]<sup>-</sup>.

### Peptides, and Protein Expression and Purification.<sup>35,36</sup>

Wild-type human  $\beta$ -catenin (residues 138–781) were cloned into a pET-28b vector carrying a C-terminal 6× histidine (Novagen) and transformed into Escherichia coli BL21 DE3 (Novagen). Cells were cultured in LB medium with 30  $\mu$ g/mL kanamycin until the OD<sub>600</sub> was approximately 0.8. The protein expression was then induced with 400  $\mu$ M IPTG at 16 °C overnight. Cells were lysed by sonication. The proteins were purified by two steps of chromatography, including Ni-NTA affinity chromatography (30210, Qiagen) and size-exclusion chromatography with a HiLoad 26/600 Superdex 200 pg column (28-9893-36, GE Healthcare Life Science) using an AKTA Pure FPLC system (GE Healthcare Life Science). Protein was eluted in the buffer containing 20 mM Tris (pH 8.5), 100 mM NaCl, and 2 mM DTT. The purity of  $\beta$ -catenin was greater than 95% as determined by SDS-PAGE gel analysis. Thermal-shift assay was performed on a CFX96 Real Time System (Bio-Rad) to monitor protein stability and detect protein aggregation. Protein unfolding was evaluated through measuring the fluorescence changes of fluorescent dye SYPRO Orange when interacting with wild-type or mutant  $\beta$ -catenin proteins. A temperature increment of 1°/min

Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript

was applied. All proteins were stable, and no aggregation was observed under storage or assay conditions. Proteins were aliquoted and stored at -80 °C. The SDS-PAGE result of the purified  $\beta$ -catenin is shown in Supporting Information Figure S1.

C-terminally fluorescein-labeled human Tcf4 (residues 7–51), C-terminally fluorescein-labeled human E-cadherin (residues 819–873), and C-terminally fluorescein-labeled human APC-R3 (residues 1477–1519) were synthesized by InnoPep, Inc. (<http://www.innopеп.com/>) and HPLC purified with purity > 95%. The structures were validated by LC–MS (liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry). The sequences of these peptides were reported previously<sup>29</sup> and shown in Supporting Information Table S1.

#### FP Competitive Inhibition Assays.<sup>29,45</sup>

Experiments were performed in 96-well Microfluor 2 black plates on a Synergy 2 plate reader (Bioteck). The polarization was measured at room temperature with an excitation wavelength at 485 nm and an emission wavelength at 535 nm. The FP experiments were performed in an assay buffer of 25 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, 0.01% Triton X-100, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL  $\gamma$ -globulin. The final reaction volume was set to 100  $\mu$ L. For the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf assay, 10 nM human  $\beta$ -catenin (residues 138–781) was incubated with 2.5 nM C-terminally fluorescein-labeled human Tcf4 (residues 7–51) for 30 min at 4 °C, and then different concentrations of the compound in assay buffer were added. The negative control (equivalent to 0% inhibition) refers to 2.5 nM Tcf4 fluorescence tracer and 10 nM  $\beta$ -catenin in assay buffer without the tested compound. The positive control (equivalent to 100% inhibition) refers to only 2.5 nM Tcf4 fluorescence tracer in assay buffer. For the  $\beta$ -catenin/cadherin assay, 150 nM human  $\beta$ -catenin (residues 138–781) was incubated with 5 nM C-terminally fluorescent-labeled human E-cadherin (residues 819–873) in assay buffer for 30 min at 4 °C. The negative control refers to a 5 nM E-cadherin fluorescence tracer and 150 nM  $\beta$ -catenin in assay buffer with no inhibitor presenting. The positive control refers to a 5 nM E-cadherin fluorescence tracer in assay buffer. For the  $\beta$ -catenin/APC-R3 assay, 2000 nM human  $\beta$ -catenin (residues 138–781) was incubated with 5 nM of C-terminally fluorescent-labeled human APC-R3 (residues 1477–1519) in assay buffer for 30 min at 4 °C. The negative control refers to a 5 nM APC-R3 fluorescence tracer and 2000 nM  $\beta$ -catenin in assay buffer without the tested compound. The positive control refers to a 5 nM APC-R3 fluorescence tracer in assay buffer. Each assay plate was covered black and gently mixed on an orbital shaker at 4 °C for 2.5 h to reach equilibrium before the polarization values were read. The background of the tested inhibitors was corrected by subtracting the raw intensity values of the sample background well (all components except probe) from the raw intensity values of the corresponding test wells (all components). The IC<sub>50</sub> values were determined by GraphPad Prism 5.0. The K<sub>i</sub> values were derived from the IC values.<sup>29</sup> 50 The equation used is  $K_i = [I]_{50}/([L]_{50}/K_d + [P]_0/K_d + 1)$  (where [I]<sub>50</sub> denotes the concentration of the free inhibitor at 50% inhibition, [L]<sub>50</sub> is the concentration of the free labeled ligand at 50% inhibition, [P]<sub>0</sub> is the concentration of the free protein at 0% inhibition, and K<sub>d</sub> is the dissociation constant of the protein–ligand complex). All of the experiments were performed in triplicate and carried out in the presence of 1% DMSO for small-molecule inhibitors. Each compound was assayed at least by two independent experiments. The results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. The Tcf/ cadherin selectivity ratio was calculated

Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript  
Author Manuscript

on the basis of the respective  $K_i$  value of the  $\beta$ -enin/E-cadherin interaction over that of the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf4 interaction. The Tcf/APC selectivity ratio was calculated on the basis of the respective  $K_i$  value of the  $\beta$ -catenin/APC-R3 interaction over that of the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf4 interaction. The FP saturation binding assay curves and the  $K_d$ 's of the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf,  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin, and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC interactions are shown in Supporting Information Figure S2.

### MTS Cell Viability Assay.

Colorectal cancer cells (SW480 and HCT116), TNBC cells (MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, and BT-20), and lung cancer A549 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at  $5 \times 10^3$  cells/well, maintained overnight at 37 °C, and incubated with the tested compounds at various concentrations. Cell viability was monitored after 72 h using a freshly prepared mixture of 1 part phenazine methosulfate (Sigma) solution (0.92 mg/mL) and 19 parts MTS (Promega) solution (2 mg/mL). Cells were incubated in 10  $\mu$ L of this solution at 37 °C for 3 h, and A<sub>490</sub> was measured. The effect of each compound is expressed as the concentration required to reduce A<sub>490</sub> by 50% (IC<sub>50</sub>) relative to DMSO-treated cells. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

### Cell Transfection and Luciferase Assay.

FuGENE 6 (E2962, Promega) in the 96-well plate format was used for the transfection of HEK293 cells according to the manufacturer's instructions. HEK293 cells were cotransfected with 45 ng of the TOPFlash or FOPFlash reporter gene and 135 ng of pcDNA3.1- $\beta$ -catenin. Cells were cultured in DMEM and 10% FBS at 37 °C for 24 h, and different concentrations of inhibitors were then added. After 24 h, the luciferase reporter activity was measured using the Dual-Glo system (E2940, Promega). Normalized luciferase activity in response to the treatment with the inhibitors was compared with that obtained from the cells treated with DMSO. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

### Co-IP Experiments.

Two sets of co-IP experiments were conducted: one where the inhibitor was added onto the cell lysates and the second where the inhibitor was added onto the live cells. For the cell lysate co-IP experiments, SW480 cells were lysed in buffer A containing 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and protease inhibitors. Different concentrations of the inhibitor were incubated with the SW480 cell lysates at 4 °C for 4 h. For the whole cell co-IP experiments, HCT116 cells at 1 × 10<sup>6</sup>/mL were treated with different concentrations of the inhibitor for 24 h. Cells were then lysed in buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 2 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitors. For both cell lysate and whole cell co-IP experiments, the lysates were preadsorbed to A/G plus agarose (sc-2003, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) at 4 °C for 1 h. Preadsorbed lysates were incubated with a specific primary antibody overnight at 4 °C. A/G plus agarose was then added to the lysates mixture and incubated for 3 h. The beads were washed 5 times with the lysis buffer at 4 °C. The bound protein was eluted by boiling in the SDS sample buffer and loaded onto 8% SDS polyacrylamide gel for electrophoretic analysis. Separated proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes for immunoblot analysis. The primary antibodies were against  $\beta$ -catenin (610153, BD

Biosciences) and Tcf4 (05–511, Millipore), E-cadherin (610404, BD Biosciences), and APC (MAB3785, Millipore). IRDye 680LT goat antimouse IgG (827–11080, LiCOR) was used as the secondary antibody. The images were detected by the Odyssey infrared imaging system (LiCOR). Experiments were performed in duplicate.

### Scratch Wound Healing Assay.

To the ~70–80% confluent monolayer of MDA-MB-231 cells in 24-well plates, wounds will be made by scraping a sterile 200  $\mu\text{L}$  pipette tip. Cells were maintained in DMEM containing 10% FBS with 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  mitomycin to inhibit cell proliferation. Images of wounds were taken immediately and 14 h after wounding.

### Matrigel Invasion Assay.

MDA-MB-231 cells ( $5 \times 10^4$ ) suspended in 200  $\mu\text{L}$  starvation medium were added to the upper chamber of a Matrigel coated insert (6.5 mm diameter, 8 mm pore size; Corning 353097), and the insert was placed in a 24-well plate containing 600  $\mu\text{L}$  DMEM medium with 10% FBS. Inhibitors were added to both upper and the lower chambers. Invasion assays were performed for 24 h, and the cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde. Cells were then stained with crystal violet staining solution. The cells on the upper side of the insert were removed with a cotton swab. Five randomly selected fields ( $\times 10$  objectives) on the lower side of the insert were photographed, and the invaded cells were counted. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Susan G. Komen Career Catalyst Research Grant CCR16380693. The H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute is a NCI-designated Comprehensive Cancer Center, supported under NIH grant P30-CAT76292.

## ABBREVIATIONS

<b>TCF</b>	T-cell factor
<b>Lef</b>	lymphoid enhancer-binding factor
<b>PPI</b>	protein–protein interaction
<b>SAR</b>	structure–activity relationship
<b>APC</b>	adenomatous polyposis coli
<b>Treg</b>	regulator T cell
<b>HTS</b>	high-throughput screening
<b>K<sub>i</sub></b>	inhibition constant

<b>BCL9</b>	B-cell lymphoma 9
<b>B9L</b>	BCL9-like
<b>CBP</b>	CREB-binding protein
<b>FP</b>	fluorescence polarization
<b>co-IP</b>	co-immunoprecipitation

## REFERENCES


- (1). Clevers H; Nusse R Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling and disease. *Cell* 2012, 149, 1192–1205. [PubMed: 22682243]
- (2). Nusse R; Clevers H Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling, disease, and emerging therapeutic modalities. *Cell* 2017, 169, 985–999. [PubMed: 28575679]
- (3). Akhmetshina A; Palumbo K; Dees C; Bergmann C; Venalis P; Zerr P; Horn A; Kireva T; Beyer C; Zwerina J; Schneider H; Sadowski A; Riener MO; MacDougald OA; Distler O; Schett G; Distler JH Activation of canonical Wnt signalling is required for TGF-beta-mediated fibrosis. *Nat. Commun* 2012, 3, 735–746. [PubMed: 22415826]
- (4). van de Wetering M; Sancho E; Verweij C; de Lau W; Oving I; Hurlstone A; van der Horn K; Batlle E; Coudreuse D; Haramis A-P; Tjon-Pon-Fong M; Moerer P; van den Born M; Soete G; Pals S; Eilers M; Medema R; Clevers H The  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF-4 complex imposes a crypt progenitor phenotype on colorectal cancer cells. *Cell* 2002, 111, 241–250. [PubMed: 12408868]
- (5). Dow LE; O'Rourke KP; Simon J; Tschaharganeh DF; van Es JH; Clevers H; Lowe SW Apc restoration promotes cellular differentiation and reestablishes crypt homeostasis in colorectal cancer. *Cell* 2015, 161, 1539–1552. [PubMed: 26091037]
- (6). Lu D; Zhao Y; Tawatao R; Cottam HB; Sen M; Leoni LM; Kipps TJ; Corr M; Carson DA Activation of the Wnt signaling pathway in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2004, 101, 3118–3123. [PubMed: 14973184]
- (7). Sukhdeo K; Mani M; Zhang Y; Dutta J; Yasui H; Rooney MD; Carrasco DE; Zheng M; He H; Tai Y-T; Mitsiades C; Anderson KC; Carrasco DR Targeting the  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF transcriptional complex in the treatment of multiple myeloma. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2007, 104, 7516–7521. [PubMed: 17452641]
- (8). Bafico A; Liu G; Goldin L; Harris V; Aaronson SA An autocrine mechanism for constitutive Wnt pathway activation in human cancer cells. *Cancer Cell* 2004, 6, 497–506. [PubMed: 15542433]
- (9). Scheel C; Eaton EN; Li SH-J; Chaffer CL; Reinhardt F; Kah K-J; Bell G; Guo W; Rubin J; Richardson AL; Weinberg RA Paracrine and autocrine signals induce and maintain mesenchymal and stem cell states in the breast. *Cell* 2011, 145, 926–940. [PubMed: 21663795]
- (10). Brack AS; Conboy MJ; Roy S; Lee M; Kuo CJ; Keller C; Rando TA Increased Wnt signaling during aging alters muscle stem cell fate and increases fibrosis. *Science* 2007, 317, 807–810. [PubMed: 17690295]
- (11). Lancaster MA; Louie CM; Silhavy JL; Sintasath L; Decambre M; Nigam SK; Willert K; Gleeson JG Impaired Wnt- $\beta$ -catenin signaling disrupts adult renal homeostasis and leads to cystic kidney ciliopathy. *Nat. Med* 2009, 15, 1046–1054. [PubMed: 19718039]
- (12). Malanchi I; Peinado H; Kassen D; Hussenot T; Metzger D; Chambon P; Huber M; Hohl D; Cano A; Birchmeier W; Huelsken J Cutaneous cancer stem cell maintenance is dependent on  $\beta$ -catenin signalling. *Nature* 2008, 452, 650–653. [PubMed: 18385740]
- (13). Barker N; Ridgway RA; van Es JH; van de Wetering M; Begthel H; van den Born M; Danenberg E; Clarke AR; Sansom OJ; Clevers H Crypt stem cells as the cells-of-origin of intestinal cancer. *Nature* 2009, 457, 608–611. [PubMed: 19092804]
- (14). Yeung J; Esposito MT; Gandillet A; Zeisig BB; Griessinger E; Bonnet D; So CWE  $\beta$ -Catenin mediates the establishment and drug resistance of MLL leukemic stem cells. *Cancer Cell* 2010, 18, 606–618. [PubMed: 21156284]

- (15). Spranger S; Bao R; Gajewski TF Melanoma-intrinsic  $\beta$ -catenin signalling prevents anti-tumour immunity. *Nature* 2015, 523, 231–235. [PubMed: 25970248]
- (16). Spranger S; Dai D; Horton B; Gajewski TF Tumor-residing Batf3 dendritic cells are required for effector T cell trafficking and adoptive T cell therapy. *Cancer Cell* 2017, 31, 711–723. [PubMed: 28486109]
- (17). Ding Y; Shen S; Lino AC; Curotto de Lafaille MA; Lafaille JJ  $\beta$ -Catenin stabilization extends regulatory T cell survival and induces anergy in nonregulatory T cells. *Nat. Med* 2008, 14, 162–169. [PubMed: 18246080]
- (18). Keerthivasan S; Aghajani K; Dose M; Molinero L; Khan MW; Venkateswaran V; Weber C; Emmanuel AO; Sun T; Bentrem DJ; Mulcahy M; Keshavarzian A; Ramos EM; Blatner N; Khazaie K; Gounari F  $\beta$ -Catenin promotes colitis and colon cancer through imprinting of proinflammatory properties in T cells. *Sci. Transl. Med* 2014, 6, 225ra28.
- (19). Kim JS; Crooks H; Foxworth A; Waldman T Proof-of-principle: oncogenic  $\beta$ -catenin is a valid molecular target for the development of pharmacological inhibitors. *Mol. Cancer Ther* 2002, 1, 1355–1359. [PubMed: 12516970]
- (20). Ashihara E; Kawata E; Nakagawa Y; Shimazaki C; Kuroda J; Taniguchi K; Uchiyama H; Tanaka R; Yokota A; Takeuchi M; Kamitsuji Y; Inaba T; Taniwaki M; Kimura S; Maekawa T  $\beta$ -Catenin small interfering RNA successfully suppressed progression of multiple myeloma in a mouse model. *Clin. Cancer Res* 2009, 15, 2731–2738. [PubMed: 19351774]
- (21). Scholer-Dahirel A; Schlabach MR; Loo A; Bagdasarian L; Meyer R; Guo R; Woolfenden S; Yu KK; Markovits J; Killary K; Sonkin D; Yao YM; Warmuth M; Sellers WR; Schlegel R; Stegmeier F; Mosher RE; McLaughlin ME Maintenance of adenomatous polyposis coli (APC)-mutant colorectal cancer is dependent on Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2011, 108, 17135–17140. [PubMed: 21949247]
- (22). Lepourcelet M; Chen Y-NP; France DS; Wang H; Crews P; Petersen F; Bruseo C; Wood AW; Shivedasani RA Small-molecule antagonists of the oncogenic Tcf/ $\beta$ -catenin protein complex. *Cancer Cell* 2004, 5, 91–102. [PubMed: 14749129]
- (23). Trosset J-Y; Dalvit C; Knapp S; Fasolini M; Veronesi M; Mantegani S; Gianellini LM; Catana C; Sundström M; Stoten PFW; Moll JK Inhibition of protein-protein interactions: the discovery of druglike  $\beta$ -catenin inhibitors by combining virtual and biophysical screening. *Proteins* 2006, 64, 60–67. [PubMed: 16568448]
- (24). Gonsalves FC; Klein K; Carson BB; Katz S; Ekas LA; Evans S; Nagourney R; Cardozo T; Brown AMC; DasGupta R An RNAi-based chemical genetic screen identifies three small-molecule inhibitors of the Wnt/wingless signaling pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2011, 108, 5954–5963. [PubMed: 21393571]
- (25). Tian W; Han X; Yan M; Xu Y; Duggineni S; Lin N; Luo G; Li YM; Han X; Huang Z; An J Structure-based discovery of a novel inhibitor targeting the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf4 interaction. *Biochemistry* 2012, 51, 724–731. [PubMed: 22224445]
- (26). Wang W; Liu H; Wang S; Hao X; Li L A diterpenoid derivative 15-oxospiramilactone inhibits Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling and colon cancer cell tumorigenesis. *Cell Res.* 2011, 21, 730–740. [PubMed: 21321609]
- (27). Fang L; Zhu Q; Neuenschwander M; Specker E; Wulf-Goldenberg A; Weis WI; von Kries JP; Birchmeier W A small-molecule antagonist of the  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF4 interaction blocks the self-renewal of cancer stem cells and suppresses tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res.* 2016, 76, 891–901. [PubMed: 26645562]
- (28). Shin SH; Lim DY; Reddy K; Malakhova M; Liu F; Wang T; Song M; Chen H; Bae KB; Ryu J; Liu K; Lee M-H; Bode AM; Dong Z A small molecule inhibitor of the  $\beta$ -catenin-TCF4 interaction suppresses colorectal cancer growth in vitro and in vivo. *EBioMedicine* 2017, 25, 22–31. [PubMed: 29033371]
- (29). Zhang M; Catrow JL; Ji H High-throughput selectivity assays for small-molecule inhibitors of  $\beta$ -catenin/T-cell factor protein-protein interactions. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett* 2013, 4, 306–311. [PubMed: 24900664]
- (30). Halbedl S; Kratzer M-C; Rahm K; Crosta N; Masters K-S; Zippert J; Bräse S; Grasl D Synthesis of novel inhibitors blocking Wnt signaling downstream of  $\beta$ -catenin. *FEBS Lett.* 2013, 587, 522–527. [PubMed: 23357029]


- (31). Grossmann TN; Yeh JTH; Bowman BR; Chu Q; Moellering RE; Verdine GL Inhibition of oncogenic Wnt signaling through direct targeting of  $\beta$ -catenin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2012, 109, 17942–17947. [PubMed: 23071338]
- (32). Hsieh T-H; Hsu CY; Tsai CF; Chiu CC; Liang SS; Wang TN; Kuo PL; Long CY; Tsai EM A novel cellpenetrating peptide suppresses breast tumorigenesis by inhibiting  $\beta$ -catenin/LEF-1 signaling. *Sci. Rep* 2016, 6, 19156–19167. [PubMed: 26750754]
- (33). Dietrich L; Rathmer B; Ewan K; Bange T; Heinrichs S; Dale TC; Schade D; Grossmann TN Cell permeable stapled peptide inhibitor of Wnt signaling that targets  $\beta$ -catenin protein-protein interactions. *Cell Chem. Biol* 2017, 24, 958–968. [PubMed: 28757184]
- (34). Schneider JA; Craven TW; Kasper AC; Yun C; Haugbro M; Briggs EM; Svetlov V; Nudler E; Knaut H; Bonneau R; Garabedian MJ; Kirshenbaum K; Logan SK Design of peptoidpeptide macrocycles to inhibit the  $\beta$ -catenin TCF interaction in prostate cancer. *Nat. Commun* 2018, 9, 4396–4405. [PubMed: 30352998]
- (35). Yu B; Huang Z; Zhang M; Dillard DR; Ji H Rational design of small-molecule inhibitors for  $\beta$ -catenin/T-cell factor protein-protein interactions by bioisostere replacement. *ACS Chem. Biol* 2013, 8, 524–529. [PubMed: 23272635]
- (36). Huang Z; Zhang M; Burton SD; Katsakhyan LN; Ji H Targeting the Tcf4 G<sup>13</sup>ANDE<sup>17</sup> binding site to selectively disrupt  $\beta$ -catenin/T-cell factor protein-protein interactions. *ACS Chem. Biol* 2014, 9, 193–201. [PubMed: 24191653]
- (37). Catrow JL; Zhang Y; Zhang M; Ji H Discovery of selective small-molecule inhibitors for the  $\beta$ -catenin/T-cell factor protein-protein interaction through the optimization of the acyl hydrazone moiety. *J. Med. Chem* 2015, 58, 4678–4692. [PubMed: 25985283]
- (38). de Sa Alves F; Barreiro E; Manssour Fraga C From nature to drug discovery: the indole scaffold as a “privileged structure”. *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem* 2009, 9, 782–793. [PubMed: 19519503]
- (39). Sravanti TV; Manju SL Indoles - a promising scaffold for drug development. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci* 2016, 91, 1–10. [PubMed: 27237590]
- (40). Banoglu E; Jha GG; King RS Hepatic microsomal metabolism of indole to indoxyl, a precursor of indoxyl sulfate. *Eur. J. Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet* 2001, 26, 235–240. [PubMed: 11808865]
- (41). Kondreddi RR; Jiricek J; Rao SPS; Lakshminarayana SB; Camacho LR; Rao R; Herve M; Bifani P; Ma NL; Kuhen K; Goh A; Chatterjee AK; Dick T; Diagana TT; Manjunatha UH; Smith PW Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of indole-2-carboxamides: a promising class of antituberculosis agents. *J. Med. Chem* 2013, 56, 8849–8859. [PubMed: 24090347]
- (42). Piscitelli F; Ligresti A; La Regina G; Coluccia A; Morera L; Allarà M; Novellino E; Di Marzo V; Silvestri R Indole-2-carboxamides as allosteric modulators of the cannabinoid CB(1) receptor. *J. Med. Chem* 2012, 55, 5627–5631. [PubMed: 22571451]
- (43). Ban F; Leblanc E; Li H; Munuganti RSN; Frewin K; Rennie PS; Cherkasov A Discovery of 1H-indole-2-carboxamides as novel inhibitors of the androgen receptor binding function 3 (BF3). *J. Med. Chem* 2014, 57, 6867–6872. [PubMed: 25025737]
- (44). Stec J; Onajole OK; Lun S; Guo H; Merenbloom B; Vistoli G; Bishai WR; Kozikowski AP Indole-2-carboxamidebased MmpL3 inhibitors show exceptional antitubercular activity in an animal model of tuberculosis infection. *J. Med. Chem* 2016, 59, 6232–6247. [PubMed: 27275668]
- (45). Zhang M; Huang Z; Yu B; Ji H New homogeneous high-throughput assays for inhibitors of  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf protein-protein interactions. *Anal. Biochem* 2012, 424, 57–63. [PubMed: 22370279]
- (46). Liljebris C; Larsen SD; Ogg D; Palazuk BJ; Bleasdale JE Investigation of potential bioisosteric replacements for the carboxyl groups of peptidomimetic inhibitors of protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B: identification of a tetrazole-containing inhibitor with cellular activity. *J. Med. Chem* 2002, 45, 1785–1798. [PubMed: 11960490]
- (47). Poy F; Lepourcelet M; Shivedasani RA; Eck MJ Structure of a human Tcf4- $\beta$ -catenin complex. *Nat. Struct. Biol* 2001, 8, 1053–1057. [PubMed: 11713476]
- (48). Graham TA; Ferkey DM; Mao F; Kimelman D; Xu W Tcf4 can specifically recognize  $\beta$ -catenin using alternative conformations. *Nat. Struct. Biol* 2001, 8, 1048–1052. [PubMed: 11713475]
- (49). Sampietro J; Dahlberg CL; Cho US; Hinds TR; Kimelman D; Xu W Crystal structure of a  $\beta$ -catenin/BCL9/Tcf4 complex. *Mol. Cell* 2006, 24, 293–300. [PubMed: 17052462]

- (50). Sun J; Weis WI Biochemical and structural characterization of  $\beta$ -catenin interactions with nonphosphorylated and CK2-phosphorylated Lef-1. *J. Mol. Biol* 2011, 405, 519–530. [PubMed: 21075118]
- (51). Huber AH; Weis WI The structure of the  $\beta$ -catenin/Ecadherin complex and the molecular basis of diverse ligand recognition by beta-catenin. *Cell* 2001, 105, 391–402. [PubMed: 11348595]
- (52). Spink KE; Fridman SG; Weis WI Molecular mechanisms of  $\beta$ -catenin recognition by adenomatous polyposis coli revealed by the structure of an APC- $\beta$ -catenin complex. *EMBO J.* 2001, 20, 6203–6212. [PubMed: 11707392]
- (53). Ha N-C; Tonozuka T; Stamos JL; Choi H-J; Weis WI Mechanism of phosphorylation-dependent binding of APC to  $\beta$ -catenin and its role in  $\beta$ -catenin degradation. *Mol. Cell* 2004, 15, 511–521. [PubMed: 15327768]
- (54). Xing Y; Clements WK; Le Trong I; Hinds TR; Stenkamp R; Kimelman D; Xu W Crystal structure of a  $\beta$ -catenin/APC complex reveals a critical role for APC phosphorylation in APC function. *Mol. Cell* 2004, 15, 523–533. [PubMed: 15327769]
- (55). Hulskens J; Birchmeier W; Behrens J E-cadherin and APC compete for the interaction with  $\beta$ -catenin and the cytoskeleton. *J. Cell Biol* 1994, 127, 2061–2069. [PubMed: 7806582]
- (56). Omer CA; Miller PJ; Diehl RE; Kral AM Identification of Tcf4 residues involved in high-affinity  $\beta$ -catenin binding. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* 1999, 256, 584–590. [PubMed: 10080941]
- (57). Orsulic S; Huber O; Aberle H; Arnold S; Kemler R E-cadherin binding prevents  $\beta$ -catenin nuclear localization and  $\beta$ -catenin/ LEF-1-mediated transactivation. *J. Cell Sci* 1999, 112, 1237–1245. [PubMed: 10085258]
- (58). Choi H-J; Huber AH; Weis WI Thermodynamics of  $\beta$ -Catenin-Ligand Interactions. *J. Biol. Chem* 2006, 281, 1027–1038. [PubMed: 16293619]
- (59). Beiter K; Hiendlmeyer E; Brabletz T; Hlubek F; Haynl A; Knoll C; Kirchner T; Jung A  $\beta$ -Catenin regulates the expression of tenascin-C in human colorectal tumors. *Oncogene* 2005, 24, 8200–8204. [PubMed: 16091738]
- (60). Oskarsson T; Acharyya S; Zhang XH-F; Vanharanta S; Tavazoie SF; Morris PG; Downey RJ; Manova-Todorova K; Brogi E; Massague J Breast cancer cells produce tenascin C as a metastatic niche component to colonize the lungs. *Nat. Med* 2011, 17, 867–874. [PubMed: 21706029]
- (61). Stein U; Arlt F; Walther W; Smith J; Waldman T; Harris ED; Mertins SD; Heizmann CW; Allard D; Birchmeier W; Schlag PM; Shoemaker RH The metastasis-associated gene S100A4 is a novel target of beta-catenin/T-cell factor signaling in colon cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2006, 131, 1486–1500. [PubMed: 17101323]
- (62). Jenkinson SR; Barraclough R; West CR; Rudland PS S100A4 regulates cell motility and invasion in an *in vitro* model for breast cancer metastasis. *Br. J. Cancer* 2004, 90, 253–262. [PubMed: 14710237]
- (63). Ambartsumian NS; Grigorian MS; Larsen IF; Karlstrøm O; Sidenius N; Rygaard J; Georgiev G; Lukaniidin E Metastasis of mammary carcinomas in GRS/A hybrid mice transgenic for the mts1 gene. *Oncogene* 1996, 13, 1621–1630. [PubMed: 8895507]
- (64). Davies MP; Rudland PS; Robertson L; Parry EW; Jolicoeur P; Barraclough R Expression of the calcium-binding protein S100A4 (p9Ka) in MMTV-neu transgenic mice induces metastasis of mammary tumours. *Oncogene* 1996, 13, 1631–1637. [PubMed: 8895508]
- (65). Lloyd BH; Platt-Higgins A; Rudland PS; Barraclough R Human S100A4 (p9Ka) induces the metastatic phenotype upon benign tumour cells. *Oncogene* 1998, 17, 465–473. [PubMed: 9696040]
- (66). Ravindranath A; Yuen H-F; Chan K-K; Grills C; Fennell DA; Lappin TR; El-Tanani M Wnt- $\beta$ -catenin-Tcf-4 signallingmodulated invasiveness is dependent on osteopontin expression in breast cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 2011, 105, 542–551. [PubMed: 21772333]
- (67). Howe LR; Watanabe O; Leonard J; Brown AM Twist is up-regulated in response to Wnt1 and inhibits mouse mammary cell differentiation. *Cancer Res* 2003, 63, 1906–1913. [PubMed: 12702582]
- (68). Yook JI; Li X-Y; Ota I; Fearon ER; Weiss SJ Wntdependent regulation of the E-cadherin repressor snail. *J. Biol. Chem* 2005, 280, 11740–11748. [PubMed: 15647282]

- (69). Yook JI; Li X-Y; Ota I; Hu C; Kim HS; Kim NH; Cha SY; Ryu JK; Choi YJ; Kim J; Fearon ER; Weiss SJ A WntAxin2-GSK3 $\beta$  cascade regulates Snail1 activity in breast cancer cells. *Nat. Cell Biol* 2006, 8, 1398–1406. [PubMed: 17072303]
- (70). Wu Z-Q; Li X-Y; Hu CY; Ford M; Kleer CG; Weiss SJ Canonical Wnt signaling regulates Slug activity and links epithelialmesenchymal transition with epigenetic Breast Cancer 1, Early Onset (BRCA1) repression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2012, 109, 16654–16659. [PubMed: 23011797]
- (71). Emami KH; Nguyen C; Ma H; Kim DH; Jeong KW; Eguchi M; Moon RT; Teo J-L; Oh SW; Kim HY; Moon SH; Ha JR; Kahn M A small molecule inhibitor of  $\beta$ -catenin/CREB-binding protein transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 2004, 101, 12682–12687. [PubMed: 15314234]
- (72). Rautio J; Meanwell NA; Di L; Hageman MJ The expanding role of prodrugs in contemporary drug design and development. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* 2018, 17, 559–587. [PubMed: 29700501]
- (73). Teuscher KB; Zhang M; Ji H A versatile method to determine the cellular bioavailability of small-molecule inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem* 2017, 60, 157–169. [PubMed: 27935314]


**Figure 1.**

Wnt-responsive TOPFlash and FOPFlash luciferase reporter assay results of (Et)-15 (negative control) and inhibitors **55** and **56** in  $\beta$ -catenin activated HEK293 cells. \* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , as determined by the unpaired, two-tailed Student *t*-test.




**Figure 2.**


(A) Co-IP experiments to evaluate the disruption of the  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF PPI by **53** in SW480 cell lysate. (B–D) Co-IP experiments to evaluate the disruption of the  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf PPI by **56** and the inhibitory selectivity of **56** between  $\beta$ -catenin/Tcf,  $\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin, and  $\beta$ -catenin/APC PPIs using HCT116 cells. IP, immunoprecipitation; IB, immunoblotting; input, 10% of cell lysate. Each experiment was performed in duplicate.

**Figure 3.**


(A) Wound-healing assays showed that **56** (10  $\mu$ M) inhibited migration of human TNBC MDA-MB-231 cells induced by serum (10% in media). Control, 0.2% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The  $\beta$ -catenin/CBP inhibitor ICG-001 (5  $\mu$ M) was assessed in parallel. In all experiments, mitomycin (10  $\mu$ g/mL) was added to inhibit cell proliferation and allow examination of the effects on cell migration. (B) Matrigel invasion assays showed that **56** (10  $\mu$ M) inhibited invasion of human TNBC MDA-MB-231 cells. Control, 0.2% DMSO in 10% FBS. ICG-001 (5  $\mu$ M) was assessed in parallel. \*\* $P$  < 0.01, as determined by the unpaired, two-tailed Student *t*-test. Each set of data is expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation ( $n$  = 3).

**Scheme 1.**

Synthesis of 20–21 and 30–38



**Scheme 2.**  
Synthesis of 53, 56, and 57




**Scheme 3.**  
Synthesis of 55


Table 1.

FP Competitive Inhibition Assay Results of Mimbitors 1–19<sup>a</sup>


No.	R <sub>1</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup> SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> <sup>a</sup> SD (μM)	No.	R <sub>1</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup> SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> <sup>a</sup> SD (μM)
1		15 ± 5.9	3.8 ± 1.5	11		60 ± 2.2	15 ± 0.56
2		>400	>100	12		349 ± 34	89 ± 86



No.	R <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	No.	R <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>C50</sub> ± SD (μM)	I <sub>C50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)
3		>400	>100	13		16 ± 1.7	62 ± 6.6		
4		>400	>100	14		>100	>400	>100	>100
5		>400	>100	15		>100	>400	>100	>100
6		>400	>100	16		>100	47 ± 4.1	12 ± 1.0	12 ± 1.0



No.	R <sub>1</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	No.	R <sub>1</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)
7		>400		17		67 ± 6.0	17 ± 1.5	
8		88 ± 12	22 ± 3.0	18		38 ± 4.1	9.7 ± 1.0	
9		45 ± 3.6	11 ± 0.92	19		17 ± 1.4	4.3 ± 0.34	




No.	R <sub>1</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	No.	R <sub>1</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)
10	Cl	60 ± 5.1	15 ± 1.3				


<sup>d</sup>Each set of data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ). The  $K_i$  value for the parent peptide G<sup>1</sup>ANDE<sup>17</sup> was determined to be  $290 \pm 1.3 \mu\text{M}$ .<sup>36</sup>

**Table 2.**FP Competitive Inhibition Assay Results of Inhibitors 20–38<sup>a</sup>


No.	R <sub>2</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	No.	R <sub>2</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)
20		33 ± 3.8	8.5 ± 0.98	30		7.3 ± 0.78	1.9 ± 0.20
21		13 ± 2.5	3.3 ± 0.64	31		8.9 ± 0.68	2.3 ± 0.17
22		30 ± 3.7	7.6 ± 0.94	32		10 ± 1.4	2.6 ± 0.37



No.	R <sub>2</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	No.	R <sub>2</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)
23		35 ± 3.6	8.9 ± 0.91	33		9.3 ± 1.2	2.4 ± 0.31
24		17 ± 3.4	4.5 ± 0.88	34		7.5 ± 0.51	1.9 ± 0.13
25		13 ± 1.5	3.3 ± 0.37	35		8.5 ± 0.60	2.2 ± 0.15



No.	R <sub>2</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	No.	R <sub>2</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)
26	F	14 ± 2.6	3.6 ± 0.74	36		13 ± 1.1	3.3 ± 0.26
27		11 ± 2.0	2.7 ± 0.50	37		10 ± 0.81	2.6 ± 0.20
28		12 ± 2.0	3.1 ± 0.51	38		9.8 ± 3.3	2.5 ± 0.83




No.	R <sub>2</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	No.	R <sub>2</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)
29	Cl	38 ± 8.4	9.6 ± 2.1				

<sup>a</sup>Each set of data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ). The  $K_i$  value for the parent peptide G<sup>3</sup>A-NDE<sup>17</sup> was determined to be  $290 \pm 1.3 \mu\text{M}$ .<sup>36</sup>

FP Competitive Inhibition Assay Results of Inhibitors 39–46<sup>a</sup>

Table 3.

No.	R <sub>3</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)	No.	R <sub>3</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)
39		273 ± 37	70 ± 9.5	43		37 ± 4.5	9.3 ± 1.2
40		14 ± 2.3	3.7 ± 0.58	44		79 ± 6.8	20 ± 1.7
41		44 ± 3.9	11 ± 1.0	45		99 ± 7.5	25 ± 1.9



No.	R <sub>3</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (nM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (nM)	No.	R <sub>3</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (nM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (nM)
42	Cl	55 ± 3.5	14 ± 0.89	46		11 ± 1.8	2.9 ± 0.45

<sup>a</sup>Each set of data is expressed as mean ± standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ). The  $K_i$  value for the parent peptide G<sup>1</sup>ANDE<sup>17</sup> was determined to be  $290 \pm 1.3 \mu\text{M}$ .<sup>36</sup>

**Table 4.**FP Competitive Inhibition Assay Results of Inhibitors 47–49<sup>a</sup>


---


no.	R, S	$IC_{50} \pm SD (\mu M)$	$K_i \pm SD (\mu M)$
47	R, S, S	$39 \pm 2.9$	$10 \pm 0.73$
48	S, R, S	$26 \pm 1.6$	$6.6 \pm 0.42$
49	S, S,R	$31 \pm 1.7$	$8.0 \pm 0.42$

---

<sup>a</sup>Each set of data is expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ). The  $K_i$  value for the parent peptide G<sup>13</sup>ANDE<sup>17</sup> was determined to be 290  $\pm$  1.3  $\mu M$ .<sup>36</sup>

**Table 5.**FP Competitive Inhibition Assay Results of Inhibitors 50–57<sup>a</sup>

No.	R <sub>4</sub>	R <sub>5</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)
50	CH <sub>2</sub> CONH <sub>2</sub>	COOH	26 ± 2.3	6.7 ± 0.60
51	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CONH <sub>2</sub>	42 ± 3.4	10 ± 0.88
52		COOH	5.9 ± 0.27	1.5 ± 0.066
53	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	N=N HN'~~~~~N	2.5 ± 0.48	0.64 ± 0.12
54		N=N HN'~~~~~N	19 ± 2.3	4.9 ± 0.58



No.	R <sub>4</sub>	R <sub>5</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD (μM)	K <sub>i</sub> ± SD (μM)
55	CH <sub>2</sub> C(=O)NH <sub>2</sub>	N=N HN-N=C=C-NH-	16 ± 0.62	3.9 ± 0.16
56	CH <sub>2</sub> COOEt	N=N HN-N=C=C-NH-	21 ± 0.28	5.2 ± 0.070
57			1.7 ± 0.39	0.44 ± 0.098
(Et)-15			>400	>100

<sup>a</sup>Each set of data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ). The  $K_i$  value for the parent peptide G<sup>1</sup>3ANDE<sup>17</sup> was determined to be  $290 \pm 1.3 \mu\text{M}$ .<sup>36</sup>

**Table 6.**

Selectivities of 53 and 57 for  $\beta$ -Catenin/Tcf over  $\beta$ -Catenin/E-Cadherin and  $\beta$ -Catenin/APC Interactions<sup>a</sup>

compounds	$K_i \pm SD$ ( $\mu M$ )	$\beta$ -catenin/Tcf	$\beta$ -catenin/E-cadherin	$\beta$ -catenin/APC	TCF/E-cadherin	TCF/APC	selectivity
53	0.64 ± 0.12	32 ± 2.8		88 ± 7.3	50	137	
57	0.44 ± 0.098	13 ± 0.60	173 ± 15	31	31	395	

<sup>a</sup>Each set of data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ).

**Table 7.**

MTS Assay to Monitor the Inhibitory Activities of (Et)-15, 53, 55, and 56 on Viability of Cancer Cells<sup>a</sup>

no.	MTS IC <sub>50</sub> ± SD ( $\mu$ M)					
	SW480	HCT116	MDA-MB-231	MDA-MB-468	BT-20	A549
(Et)-15	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200
53	40.5 ± 1.78	45.8 ± 1.37	26.4 ± 0.74	20.6 ± 0.72	24.7 ± 1.00	71.7 ± 2.66
55	24.6 ± 1.01	57.8 ± 3.75	29.3 ± 2.15	26.9 ± 1.54	29.3 ± 2.20	47.5 ± 2.63
56	17.7 ± 0.54	60.6 ± 4.93	16.6 ± 1.16	10.6 ± 0.30	20.1 ± 0.52	67.9 ± 2.09

<sup>a</sup>Each set of data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ).