Trichome regulator SIMIXTA-like directly manipulates primary metabolism in tomato fruit

Shiyu Ying^{1,†}, Min Su^{1,†}, Yu Wu¹, Lu Zhou¹, Rao Fu¹, Yan Li¹, Hao Guo², Jie Luo^{2,3}, Shouchuang Wang^{3,*} and Yang Zhang^{1,*}

¹Key Laboratory of Bio-resource and Eco-environment of Ministry of Education, College of Life Sciences, State Key Laboratory of Hydraulics and Mountain River Engineering, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

²National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement and National Center of Plant Gene Research (Wuhan), Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China ³Hainan Key Laboratory for Sustainable Utilisation of Tropical Bioresource, College of Tropical Crops, Hainan University, Haikou, China

Received 25 March 2019; accepted 25 June 2019. *Correspondence (Tel + 86 28 85470795; fax +86-28-85412571; email yang.zhang@scu.edu.cn (Y. Z.)) and (Tel + 86 898 66293351; fax +86-898-66279257; email shouchuang.wang@hainanu.edu.cn (S. W.)) †These authors contributed equally to this work.

Keywords: tomato, mGWAS, SIMIXTA-like, primary metabolism, secondary metabolism, phenylpropanoid, 3-Deoxy-Darabinoheptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase.

Summary

Trichomes are storage compartments for specialized metabolites in many plant species. In trichome, plant primary metabolism is significantly changed, providing substrates for downstream secondary metabolism. However, little is known of how plants coordinate trichome formation and primary metabolism regulation. In this report, tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) trichome regulator *SIMIXTA-like* is indicated as a metabolic regulation gene by mGWAS analysis. Overexpression of *SIMIXTA-like* in tomato fruit enhances trichome formation. In addition, SIMIXTA-like can directly bind to the promoter region of gene encoding 3-deoxy-7-phosphoheptulonate synthase (*SIDAHPS*) to activate its expression. Induction of *SIDAHPS* expression enhances shikimate pathway activities and provides substrates for downstream secondary metabolism. Our data provide direct evidence that trichome regulator can directly manipulate primary metabolism, in which way plants can coordinate metabolic regulation and the formation of storage compartments for specialized metabolites. The newly identified *SIMIXTA-like* can be used for future metabolic engineering.

Introduction

Phenylpropanoid compounds are ubiquitous in plant kingdom. They are associated with almost all important physiological processes: from the formation of plant architecture to stress response, as well as plant reproduction and symbiosis (Vogt, 2010). In addition, the health benefits of phenylpropanoids have been extensively investigated during the past 20 years. Many studies have provided evidences that phenylpropanoid compounds play positive roles in human health such as chronic disease preventing, anticancer and anti-ageing (Butelli et al., 2008; Carmona-Gutierrez et al., 2019; Martin and Li, 2017; Scarano et al., 2017). As a result, phenylpropanoids with health benefits are often the main targets of metabolic engineering. During the past decade, the development of tools and experiences enable us to engineer various secondary metabolites in plant systems (Patron et al., 2015). Among popular plant production platforms, tomato is one of the most desirable chassis, due to its high yield and short life cycle, as well as its capability to apply most modern biotechnologies (Li et al., 2018).

One common strategy to improve the efficiency of metabolic engineering in plant chassis is the application of transcription factors (TFs) (Century *et al.*, 2008; Fu *et al.*, 2018). Previous studies indicate MYB proteins are main regulators for phenylpropanoid metabolism and MYBs with activation function are found to mainly belong to subgroups 5, 6, 7 and 27 (Liu *et al.*, 2015). Fruit-specific expression of these TFs can significantly improve the production of valuable metabolites in tomato fruit (Bovy *et al.*, 2002; Butelli *et al.*, 2008; Gonzali *et al.*, 2009; Luo *et al.*, 2008).

It was not until recently did we begin to realize the importance of primary metabolism regulation in metabolic engineering (Morandini, 2013). Phenylpropanoids are synthesized from phenylalanine, which are the main products of shikimate pathway (Vogt, 2010). Phosphoenolpyruvate from glycolysis and ervthrose-4-phosphate from pentose phosphate pathway are the substrates for the first step of shikimate pathway, and 3-Deoxy-Darabinoheptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase (DAHPS) catalysed this reaction. Previously indicated overexpression of DAHPS in plants can significantly enhance the activities of shikimate pathway, leading the metabolic flux towards phenylpropanoids biosynthesis (Tzin et al., 2012, 2013). Coincidentally, overexpression of AtMYB12, a flavonol regulator in Arabidopsis thaliana, in tomato fruit was found to significantly enhance the expression of genes involved in glycolysis, pentose phosphate pathway and shikimate pathway (Fu et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015). Further investigation indicates that AtMYB12 directly activates the expression of genes encoding DAHPS and enolase (ENO). And activation of these two genes redirects the carbon flux towards aromatic amino acid biosynthesis, providing substrates for downstream secondary metabolic pathways. (Fu et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015). All these

indicate that reprogramme of primary metabolism can be achieved by manipulating the activities of several key enzymes. And some specific TFs can regulate the expression of genes encoding these enzymes therefore guide the carbon flux towards certain pathways.

Based on this theory, it is possible to design the plant carbon flux once regulatory mechanism for key genes in primary metabolism been identified (Tzin et al., 2012, 2013). And there are examples that a single TF can activate those key genes (Zhang et al., 2015). In addition to pathway reconstruction, using TFs to control both primary and secondary metabolic pathways has become a new generation of methodology in plant metabolic engineering (Fu et al., 2018). As a result, the discovery and identification of new TFs are vital for successful metabolic engineering. So far, the most successful strategies are using natural mutants and through mutagenesis approaches (Adato et al., 2009; Ballester et al., 2009; Borevitz et al., 2000; Schwinn et al., 2006). Recently, multi-omics integration analysis was used to link genetic basis and metabolic changes in tomato breeding. The genome, transcriptome and metabolome of 610 tomato germplasms revealed the molecular basis for the domestication of important agronomic traits in tomato (Zhu et al., 2018). In addition to its importance in studying tomato domestication process, this data set is a vital resource for dissecting plant metabolic regulation in high throughput.

Compared to microbial system, one unique character of plant chassis is that the production of metabolites is tissue-specific. Trichomes are common structures for plants to store specialized metabolites, particularly chemicals involved in stress and disease tolerance (Glas et al., 2012; Huchelmann et al., 2017). In order to produce large amount of metabolites, carbon flux has been significantly changed in trichomes (Balcke et al., 2017). Therefore, the plants may have a regulatory mechanism to coordinate primary metabolism regulation and trichome formation. Previous studies indicate MIXTA-like MYB TFs are responsible for trichome formation in many plants (Glover et al., 1998; Lashbrooke et al., 2015; Perez-Rodriguez et al., 2005). And recent study in Artemisia annua indicates trichome and artemisinin regulator 1 (TAR1), an AP2 transcription factor, is required for both trichome development and artemisinin biosynthesis (Tan et al., 2015). However, how can plant significantly reprogramme the primary metabolism in trichome is still unknown. In this study, we performed mGWAS for a recently published tomato multi-omics data set (Zhu *et al.*, 2018). We identified *SIMIXTA-like* (*Soly-c02g088190*), a gene encoding a MIXTA-like TF, which belongs to subgroup 9 MYB family, as a direct regulator of tomato primary metabolism. Our finding provides evidence that trichome regulator has direct roles in primary metabolic regulation and this can be used for future metabolic engineering.

Results

SIMIXTA-like is the candidate gene for a major phenylpropanoid QTN

In order to screen new TFs regulating important metabolites in tomato, we checked through the tomato multi-omics data set from our co-authors (see Experimental procedures) (Zhu et al., 2018). We noticed a significant guantitative trait nucleotide (QTN) (P = 1.93E-10) between the levels of an important phenylpropanoid compound—p-coumaric acid (SIFM0124) and a SNP (sf0250278120) on chromosome 2 (Figure 1a, b). This SNP is located 74 Kb away from gene Solyc02g088190 (Data S1). Using haplotype analysis, we found that the two SNPs in the CDS region of Solyc02g088190 gene have significant correlation with the content of p-coumaric acid (sf0250353631 and sf0250353789; P = 2.17E-16 and 4.71E-18, respectively) (Figure S1), Molecular phylogenetic analysis of the R2R3-MYB transcription factors from Arabidopsis thaliana and tomato revealed that the Solyc02g088190 belongs to the subgroup 9 (Figure S2 and S3) (Liu et al., 2015). It has previously been reported as SIMIXTA-like, a MIXTA-like R2R3 MYB TF, which was found to be linked to conical cell development and trichome formation (Ewas et al., 2016; Lashbrooke et al., 2015). As trichomes have been shown to produce and store various metabolites, phenylpropanoids, included, we predict SIMIXTA-like is the candidate gene underlining this locus.

As previously described, SIMIXTA-like is a nucleus-localized protein (Figure 1c) (Dubos *et al.*, 2010; Ewas *et al.*, 2016; Lashbrooke *et al.*, 2015). To better investigate the role of *SIMIXTA-like*, we analysed *SIMIXTA-like* expression pattern in different tissues using RT-qPCR. The transcript level of *SIMIXTA-like* reaches its highest in leaves while remains a relative high level

Figure 1 *SIMIXTA-like* is the candidate gene for a major phenylpropanoid QTN. (a) Structure and MS/MS profile of compound SIFM0124, p-coumaric acid. (b) Manhattan plot for the metabolite of SIFM0124, p-coumaric acid. A significant QTN was shown on chromosome 2. (c) SIMIXTA-like is a nuclei localized protein. Full-length *SIMIXTA-like* cDNA was fused with GFP. Agroinfiltrated *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves were analysed at 3dpi. (d) RT-qPCR data indicate tissue-specific expression pattern of *SIMIXTA-like* in MicroTom. Error bars show SEM (n = 3). Different letters indicate significantly different values at P < 0.05 (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's *post hoc* test).



© 2019 The Authors. Plant Biotechnology Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology and The Association of Applied Biologists and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 18, 354–363



in flower and different fruit stages (Figure 1d). Similar result has also been shown from TomExpress website (Figure S4) (Zouine *et al.*, 2017).

In order to verify *SIMIXTA-like*'s function, we first overexpressed *SIMIXTA-like* under CaMV *355* promoter. Compared to MicroTom, the *SIMIXTA-like* expression levels are significantly increased in the seedlings of transgenic lines (Figure 2a). As previously reported, enhanced trichome formation was observed in the stem of transgenic lines (Figure 2c and Figure S5). To analyse effects of *SIMIXTA-like* overexpression in tomato fruit, we then checked the expression level of *SIMIXTA-like* in transgenic fruit. However, due to the limitation of *355* promoter, the expression of *SIMIXTA-like* was very low in transgenic fruit and no significant phenotypic changes were observed (Figure 2b, d).

Fruit-specific expression of *SIMIXTA-like* significantly changes fruit phenotype

To better characterize the potential function of SIMIXTA-like in tomato fruit, we then overexpressed SIMIXTA-like under fruitspecific E8 promoter (see Experimental procedures). A total of 14 TO lines were obtained and preliminary screening indicated 13 of them had significantly higher SIMIXTA-like expression in ripe fruit compared to MicroTom (Figure S6). High expression level was found in the fruit of lines A and B, and these lines were chosen to grow to T1 generation for further investigation (Figure S6). Compared to MicroTom plants, both E8:SIMIXTA-like-A and E8: SIMIXTA-like-B have no significant difference by mature green (MG) stage. However, at MG, as the induction of E8 promoter (Deikman, 1996; Fischer, 1988), SIMIXTA-like begins to express and both transgenic lines begin to accumulate trichomes (Figure 3a and Figure S7). Further investigation using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) confirmed that the E8:SIMIXTA-like fruit produces higher density of trichome on fruit surface (Figure 3b). Compared to 35S:SIMIXTA-like plant, the fruit of E8:SIMIXTA-like transgenic lines has significantly higher expression of SIMIXTA-like (Figure 2b and Figure S6). Thus, the trichome density on the surface of latter is significantly increased (Figure 3). This matches previous conclusion that SIMIXTA-like is associated with trichome formation in tomato (Ewas et al., 2016; Lashbrooke et al., 2015).

Figure 2 Constitutive expression of *SIMIXTA-like* in tomato plant enhances trichome formation in seedlings. (a) Expression levels of *SIMIXTA-like* in MicroTom and *355:SIMIXTA-like* seedlings. (b) Enhanced trichome formation on the stem of *355: SIMIXTA-like* seedlings. Scale bars show 4 mm. (c) *SIMIXTA-like* expression levels in the fruit of MicroTom and *355:SIMIXTA-like* plants. (d) Phenotype of ripe fruit of MicroTom and *355: SIMIXTA-like*. Scale bars show 4 mm. *P < 0.05.

In addition to trichomes, we also noticed significant changes in the colour of *E8:SIMIXTA-like* ripe fruit. Compared to MicroTom and *355:SIMIXTA-like* fruit, *E8:SIMIXTA-like* fruit shows orange colour, indicating changes in the contents of metabolites due to the overexpression of *SIMIXTA-like* in fruit (Figures 2d and 3a). All of the results above indicate that the overexpression of *SIMIXTA-like* in tomato fruit not only induces trichome formation on fruit surface, but also alters metabolic patterns in fruit.

Ectopic expression of *SIMIXTA-like* in tomato fruit alters primary metabolism

To better investigate the potential roles of SIMIXTA-like in metabolic regulation, we performed both transcriptome and metabolic profiling for both E8:SIMIXTA-like-A and E8:SIMIXTAlike-B fruit (Data S2). Compared to MicroTom, there are 1696 differently expressed genes (DEGs) (fold change > 1.5. FDR < 0.05) in the fruit of E8:SIMIXTA-like line A and 2670 DEGs in line B. In total, 948 differently expressed genes are shared for both lines (Figure S8a). Among the shared DEGs, we found many genes involved in glycolysis, and pentose phosphate pathway and shikimate pathway are up-regulated in both E8: SIMIXTA-like fruits (Figure 4, Figure S8b and Table S2). We further checked the changes in gene expression levels by RT-gPCR and confirmed genes involved in glycolysis (SISUS1, SIHK, SIGPI, SIPFP, SIPFK1, SITPI, SIENO), pentose phosphate pathway (SIPGLS, SIPGD1 and SIRpe) and shikimate pathway (SIDAHPS, SIDHQS, SIDHQD, SISHD, SISK, SIEPSPS, SICS, SICM) are significantly induced in the fruit of both E8:SIMIXTA-like lines (Figure 5a-c). In addition to that, downstream general phenylpropanoid pathway genes (PAL5A, PAL5B and PAL5C) are also up-regulated (Figure 5d). To exclude the influence of trichomes' presence to the expression of primary metabolic genes, we removed the trichomes of E8:SIMIXTA-like-B fruit and measured gene expression pattern in the pericarp (Figure S9a). Still, we can see the induction of key primary metabolic genes is directly associated with SIMIXTA-like overexpression (Figure S9b). All these results indicate overexpression of SIMIXTA-like in tomato fruit reprogrammes primary metabolism, by inducing the expression of genes involved in glycolysis, pentose phosphate pathway and shikimate pathway.

SIMIXTA-like regulates primary metabolism 357



Figure 3 Fruit-specific expression of *SIMIXTA-like* enhances trichome formation on tomato fruit. (a) Phenotypes of transgenic and MicroTom tomato fruit at different stages. MG, mature green; BR, breaker; BR+7, 7 days post breaker. Scale bars show 4 mm. (b) Scanning electron microscope observation of trichome structures on the surface of T1 generation transgenic and MicroTom tomato fruit. Samples were analysed at BR+7. Scale bars show 500 μm.



Figure 4 Overexpression of *SIMIXTA-like* in tomato fruit significantly changes the dynamic of primary and secondary metabolism. Schematic representation of metabolic changes in tomato fruits expressing *SIMIXTA-like*. Based on transcriptome and metabolomics data, genes and metabolites which are significantly increased in the transgenic fruits (line A and line B) are coloured. Data are represented as fold change compared to MicroTom. Detailed data are shown in Tables S2 and S3.



Figure 5 RT-qPCR confirmation of differently expressed genes. Genes involved in glycolysis (a), pentose phosphate pathway (b), shikimate pathway (c) and phenylpropanoid pathway (d) are analysed. Data are represented in fold changes compared to MicroTom in log2 scale for both lines A and B. Gene IDs and abbreviations are explained in Table S4.

In order to confirm how fruit-specific expression of SIMIXTAlike alters primary metabolism, we performed widely targeted metabolomics analysis to MicroTom and E8:SIMIXTA-like tomato fruit at ripe stage (7 days after breaker). Compared to MicroTom fruit, there are 208 metabolites significantly changed in E8: SIMIXTA-like-A, while for E8:SIMIXTA-like-B the number is 237. In total, 109 metabolites are significantly changed in both E8: SIMIXTA-like lines (Figure S10a and Data S3). In addition to previously reported compounds in lipid biosynthesis (Lashbrooke et al., 2015), there is also significant increase in aromatic amino acid derivatives and general phenylpropanoids (Figure 4a, Figure S10b-c and Table S3). We further confirmed these using UPLC and found phenylpropanoid compounds such as pcoumaric acid, chlorogenic acid and ferulic acid are significantly enriched in E8:SIMIXTA-like, fruit while rutin, a major flavonol, is not changed (Figure S11a-d). Noticeably, ρ-coumaric acid is the compound we used to perform mGWAS analysis (Figure 1a, b) and these data further attest SIMIXTA-like is the gene responsible for the regulation of ρ -coumaric acid content in tomato.

As fruit of both *E8:SIMIXTA-like* lines A and B showed orange colour (Figure 3), we also checked the expression of carotenoid biosynthetic genes and the contents of major carotenoids in both MicroTom and transgenic fruit. Compared to MicroTom, we saw significant induction of carotenoid biosynthesis genes in *E8: SIMIXTA-like* fruit (Figure S12a). Metabolic analysis also indicates there are increased contents of lycopene and β -carotene (Figure S12b). This matches previous observation on overexpression of *SIMX1* in Ailsa Craig tomato (Ewas *et al.*, 2016). All these data above indicate instead of the inhibition of carotenoid biosynthesis, and the orange colour of *E8:SIMIXTA-like* fruit is mainly due to the accumulation of phenylpropanoid compounds.

Taken together, all these data indicate ectopic expression of *SIMIXTA-like* in tomato fruit significantly changes transcriptional level of primary metabolic genes, resulting in the change in contents of related metabolites: the dynamics of primary metabolism has been significantly changed by overexpression of *SIMIXTA-like* in tomato fruit.

SIMIXTA-like directly interacts with *SIDAHPS* to change the dynamics of primary metabolism

Previous study indicates AtMYB12, a TF from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, can directly binds to the promoter region of genes encoding enolase (*SIENO*) and 3-deoxy-p-arabinoheptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase (*SIDAHPS*). As a result, genes involved in

glycolysis, pentose phosphate pathway and shikimate pathway are up-regulated, redirecting carbon flux towards aromatic amino acid biosynthesis (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). As similar changes were seen in *E8:SIMIXTA-like* fruit, we predict SIMIXTA-like uses a similar mechanism to control primary metabolism.

We first performed yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) assay to test whether SIMIXTA-like can directly bind to the promoter region of SIENO and SIDAHPS. Compared to AtMYB12, SIMIXTA-like showed similar binding ability to proSIDAHPS (Figure 6a). For proSIENO, although direct binding activity was found for AtMYB12, no direct binding activity was shown for SIMIXTAlike (Figure S13a). We further confirmed this by dual-luciferase report system. SIMIXTA-like can directly activate SIDAHPS promoter in Nicotiana benthamiana protoplast, whereas mutation of the MYB recognition element (MRE) in SIDAHPS promoter significantly reduces the activity (Figure 6b). For proSIENO, however, no significant induction by SIMIXTA-like was observed (Figure S13b, c). To further confirm SIMIXTA-like can directly bind to the promoter of SIDAHPS, we generated transgenic plants with FLAG tagged SIMIXTA-like driven by E8 promoter. The E8:FLAG-SIMIXTA-like fruit showed similar trichome enrichment, and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-qPCR shows significant enrichment of FLAG-SIMIXTA-like binding around the MRE of proSIDAHPS (Figure S14). All these data together indicate SIMIXTA-like directly binds to the MRE in the promoter of SIDAHPS to induce its expression.

3-Deoxy-D-arabinoheptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase is reported as rate-limiting enzyme for shikimate pathway. And overexpression of *DAHPS* alone is enough to induce the expression of genes involved in shikimate pathway, resulting enhanced production of aromatic amino acids and downstream secondary metabolites (Tzin *et al.*, 2012, 2013). SIMIXTA-like can directly bind to the MRE in the promoter region of *SIDAHPS* and induce its expression. The induction of *SIDAHPS* significantly changes the dynamics of primary metabolism, redirecting carbon flux towards the synthesis of aromatic amino acid, providing substrate for downstream secondary metabolism (Zhang *et al.*, 2015) (Figure 7).

In addition to regulating trichome formation, SIMIXTA-like manipulates the dynamics of primary metabolism by directly inducing the transcriptional level of *SIDAHPS*. Through one TF, tomato can reprogramme primary metabolism for the production of specialized metabolites and form trichomes as storage compartments.



Figure 6 SIMIXTA-like directly binds to the promoter of *SIDAHPS* to induce its expression. (a) Yeast one-hybrid indicates SIMIXTA-like directly interacts with the MRE in *SIDAHPS* promoter. The *SIDAHPS* promoter sequence from -113 to -81 containing the MRE motif was repeated three times and fused to the *HIS2* reporter gene in Y1H assay. AtMYB12 was used a positive control. (b) SIMIXTA-like directly binds to MRE in *proSIDAHPS* to induce its expression. The *SIDAHPS* promoter sequence (-1000 to + 106) containing the MRE motif (from -99 to -91) was inserted into the reporting system. A mutated version (Δ MRE) was designed as a negative control. Error bars show SEM (n = 3). Different letters indicate significantly different values at P < 0.05 (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's *post hoc* test).



Figure 7 Proposed model for the molecular mechanism of SIMIXTA-like mediated primary metabolic regulation in tomato. SIMIXTA-like directly binds to *proSIDAHPS* to induce its expression. Enhanced DAHPS activities direct carbon flux towards shikimate pathway and downstream phenylpropanoid pathway.

Discussion

One of the biggest challenges for plant metabolic engineering is to identify transcription factors regulating key steps. Important metabolic pathways often have multiple levels of regulation to maintain its stability. Compared to structural genes, mutations in regulatory genes might not have significant phenotype due to the redundancy. Although there are examples of using natural mutants or mutagenesis approaches to identify TFs (Adato et al., 2009; Ballester et al., 2009; Borevitz et al., 2000; Schwinn et al., 2006), new high-throughput methodologies are needed. The development of broad profiling technologies such as genome sequencing, transcriptome analysis and metabolomics profiling provides a perfect solution to this situation (Fang et al., 2019). Population genetic combined with omics can help us to detect minor content changes and link them to nucleic acid sequence difference at base pair level. As this forward genetics strategy is unbiased and accurate, it can provide more confident candidates for further study (Luo, 2015).

In plants, specialized metabolites are not synthesized in all cells. This is largely due to the variation of activities of TFs in different tissues. As a result, the barriers of cell types can be broken to produce specialized metabolites if right regulators can be identified (Fu et al., 2018). Recent studies reveal that glandular trichomes (GTs) of tomato contain large amount of secondary metabolites (Balcke et al., 2017; Glas et al., 2012; Huchelmann et al., 2017). In order to manage such significant change in secondary metabolism, the primary metabolism in trichome has been significantly altered (Balcke et al., 2017). As there are already reports about TFs regulating trichome formation (Glover et al., 1998; Lashbrooke et al., 2015; Perez-Rodriguez et al., 2005), as well as TFs regulating primary metabolism (Zhang et al., 2015), we are wondering if any of them can directly regulate both trichome formation and primary metabolism. SIMIXTA-like was reported as regulator for conical cell development and trichome formation (Ewas et al., 2016; Lashbrooke et al., 2015). In this study, our mGWAS analysis indicated that it is also a regulator for plant metabolism. We found SIMIXTA-like can directly bind to the MRE in the promoter region of SIDAHPS to activate its expression. Overexpression of SIDAHPS can enhance the activities of shikimate pathway to reprogramme primary metabolism, redirecting carbon flux towards the production of aromatic amino acids and their derivatives, which are the major compounds found to be stored in trichomes. By using the same TF to control trichome formation and primary metabolic regulation, plants can coordinate the production of specialized compounds and the initiation of storage compartments. As a result, they can provide accurate regulation to the production and storage of toxic specialized metabolites without impairing normal growth.

Once a TF being identified, changing its expression pattern can break the production barriers between different tissues (Fu *et al.*, 2018). However, constitutive expression of TFs in plants is shown to be less effective than tissue-specific expression (Luo *et al.*, 2008). This is likely due to the dramatic changes in primary and secondary metabolism attenuate normal plant growth (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). When *SIMIXTA-like* is driven by *355* CaMV

promoter, it maintains its regulatory function to trichome formation. However, its function on primary metabolism is attenuated by the plants and losses the significance (Ewas *et al.*, 2016; Lashbrooke *et al.*, 2015). Tomato fruit is a perfect chassis for metabolic engineering. This is largely due to the high content of primary metabolites (sugars, amino acids, etc.,). In addition, fruit is the reproductive organ of tomato, which is produced at the late stage of tomato growth cycle. Therefore, changing its primary metabolism has little effects on vegetative growth. When *SIMIXTA-like* is expressed under fruit-specific *E8* promoter, its regulatory function to primary metabolism is maximally released (Figures 2 and 3).

Previously, MYB12 was found to directly bind to the promoter region of key primary metabolic genes (ENO and DAHPS). Overexpression of *AtMYB12* in tomato fruit can guide the carbon flux towards the production of aromatic amino acids (Phe, Tyr, Trp). So, it can be used as a general tool for phenylpropanoid engineering. However, as MYB12 can also directly bind to the promoter of flavonol biosynthetic genes (CHS, F3H, FLS) (Hartmann et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2015), AtMYB12 overexpression tomato produces mainly flavonols (Luo et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015). In order to produce other phenylpropanoids, flavonol biosynthesis needs to be blocked by using natural mutants or other strategies (Zhang et al., 2015). SIMIXTA-like, however, only controls the expression of shikimate pathway genes (DAHPS) (Figure 6). So, its induction of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis has no preference, making it a better general tool for metabolic engineering.

Experimental procedure

Genome-wide association analysis

A total of 2 037 679 SNPs (MAF > 5% and Missing rate < 10%) for 351 accessions (Table S1) were used to perform the genomewide association analysis. Efficient Mixed-Model Association eXpedited (EMMAX) was used to conduct all associations (Kang *et al.*, 2010). GWAS analysis was conducted as described previously (Zhu *et al.*, 2018). The genome-wide significance thresholds of all the traits were set with a uniform threshold (P = 1/n, n is the effective number of independent SNPs). The unified threshold (P = 2.87E10-7) was used to filter the SNPs for all the metabolites. LD (linkage disequilibrium) analyses were performed based on all the SNPs (MAF > 0.05) using Haploview software. To reduce the redundancy of mGWAS signals, the lead SNP within a 1 Mb window for each metabolite was extracted as one signal.

Plant material and growth conditions

Solanum lycopersium cv MicroTom seeds were purchased from PanAmerican Seedtm. Plants were grown in glasshouse under 16-h light, 24°C and 60% humidity.

Subcellular localization

Full-length *SIMIXTA-like* cDNA was amplified from pBin19-*E8: SIMIXTA-like* using primer pair *Sal*I-SIMIXTA-like-F and SIMIXTA-like-F and fused with GFP in the pSuper1300 (Llave *et al.*, 2000;; Liu *et al.*, 2017; Ni *et al.*, 1995); the pSuper1300-*SIMIXTA-like* and pSuper1300 were individually transient injected into leaves of *Nicotiana benthamiana* by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 as described previously (Llave *et al.*, 2000). Microscope inspection was done at 3 dpi (day past inoculation), and the peak wavelength of GFP was 488 nm.

Plant transformation vectors' construction and tomato transformation

To make pBin19-*E8*-GW plasmid, first, *proE8* was amplified from plasmid pSLJ.E8.1500 (Butelli *et al.*, 2008) and inserted into pJIT60 to make pJIT60-*proE8*. Gateway cassette was then inserted to make pJIT60-*E8*-GW. Finally, *E8*-GW fragment was inserted into pBin19 to make pBin19-*E8*-GW. Full-length CDS of *SIMIXTA-like* (*Solyc02g088190*) was inserted into the destination vector through Gateway Cloning to make pBin19-*E8*:*SIMIXTA-like*. To make pBin19-*35S*:*SIMIXTA-like* plasmid, the full-length CDS of *SIMIXTA-like* was inserted into destination vector pBin19-*35S*-GW through Gateway Cloning. Tomato stable transformation was done by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105 as previously described (McCormick *et al.*, 1986).

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Both MicroTom and transgenic fruit were harvested at BR + 7. Fruit pericarp was ground into fine powder using liquid nitrogen. Total RNA samples were isolated from each sample using RNAiso Plus (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan, AHF1820A) following the manufacturer's instruction. cDNA (complementary DNA) was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA using a PrimeScripttm RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan, AK4201). cDNA products were diluted into 2.5 ng/µL and used as templates for the gPCR. RT-gPCR was performed using the Bio-Rad CFX384. Each reaction (10 μ L) consisted of 5 μ L of iTagtm Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA, #172-5124), 1 µL each of forward and reverse primers and 3 µL of cDNA. Thirty-nine cycles of amplification (pre-incubation at 95°C for 2 min followed by each cycles consisting of 5 s at 95°C, 10 s at 60°C and added melting curve analysis during the 65–95°C). The results were calculated using Bio-Rad CFX Manager software. With *SIUBI* as an internal control, the relative expression of each genes was calculated by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (Schefe *et al.*, 2006). The primer pairs for RT-gPCR were designed using Primer3Plus (http://www.primer3plus.com) and blasted at NCBI database to ensure primer specific (see Table S4).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) assay

For SEM, 2 mm³ of flesh tomato peel was collected at BR + 7 stage for MicroTom and transgenic tomato and fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde for 12 h. Samples were dried using critical point drying method. Scanning electron microscopy was performed using SU3500 at 15 kV (Panikashvili *et al.*, 2009).

Transcriptome and metabolic profiling

Both MicroTom and transgenic fruit were harvested at BR + 7. Fruit pericarp was ground into fine powder using liquid nitrogen. RNA isolation was performed using an RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Stockach, Germany). The libraries were produced and sequenced by Illumina HiSeq2500/x. Raw sequences were filtered to remove the adaptor sequence, low-quality reads (reads containing sequencing Ns > 10%) and short reads (Q < 10 nt), and the resulting sets of the high-quality clean reads were used for transcriptome analysis. All clean reads were mapped to the reference genome using the TopHat (vision 2.1.1) (Kim *et al.*, 2013) algorithm and conserved the mapped clean reads for the subsequent analysis. The mapped clean reads were calculated and then normalized to reads FPKM by Cuffquant and Cuffnorm software (vision 2.2.1). Differential expression analysis of two conditions/groups was performed using the DESeq2 R (vision 1.10.1) package, and DESeq2 (Anders and Huber, 2010) provides statistical routines for determining differential expression in digital gene expression data using a model based on the negative binomial distribution (Jiang and Wong, 2009) through indices of fold change (\log_2 ratio) and *P*-value (false discovery rate, FDR). The resulting *P*-values were adjusted using the Benjamini and Hochberg's approach for controlling the false discovery rate. Genes with an adjusted *P*-value <0.01 and a \log_2 ratio >1.5 or <0.5 found by DESeq2 were assigned as differentially expressed. Clean data were deposited into the Genome Sequence Archive in Big Data Center, Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Science, under accession number CRA001388 that are publicly accessible at http://bigd.big.ac.cn/gsa (Wang *et al.*, 2017; Xu *et al.*, 2018).

Metabolite profiling was carried out using a widely targeted metabolome method by Wuhan Metware Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China) (http://www.metware.cn/). A liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS/MS) system was used for the relative quantification of metabolites in dried tomato fruit samples (Zhu *et al.*, 2018). The dried tomato fruit samples were crushed using a mixer mill (MM 400, Retsch) with a zirconia bead for 1.5 min at 30 Hz, and 100 mg dried powder was weighted and extracted overnight at 4°C with 1.0 mL pure methanol (or 70% aqueous methanol) containing 0.1 mg I⁻¹ lidocaine (internal standard) for lipid-solubility metabolites (or water-solubility metabolites). Quantification of metabolites was carried out using a scheduled multiple reaction monitoring method (Chen *et al.*, 2013).

Compound extraction and UPLC measurement

Tomatoes were harvested at 7 days after breaker (BR + 7). Fruit pericarp was freeze-dried and ground into fine powder. Extraction was performed as previously described (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Briefly, 100 mg of fruit powder was extracted with 5 mL 70% (v/ v) MeOH for 12 h at 4°C, under agitation. After centrifugation at 3000 *g* at 4°C for 15 min, the supernatant was taken. The pellets were re-extracted 5 mL 70% (v/v) MeOH at 4°C for another 2 h. After centrifugation, the supernatant was combined and further diluted five times with 70% MeOH. The combined solution was centrifugation at 18 000 *g* at 4°C for 15 min, and 10 µL supernatant was injected for before UPLC analysis.

For phenylpropanoid analysis, the samples were run on a Dionex Ultimate 3000 Series UPLC (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA). Separation was on a 100 \times 2.1 mm 1.9 μ m Hypersil Gold C18 column (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA) using following gradient of 0.1% formic acid in ultrapure water as mobile phase A and 100% acetonitrile as mobile phase B, run at 0.5 mL/min at 40°C: 0–0.5 min, 20% B; 0.5–5.5 min, 2%–25% B; 5.5–7 min, 25%–95% B; 7–7.5 min, 95% B; 7.5–7.6 min, 95%–2% B; and 7.6–12 min, 2% B. Detection was performed at 330 nm for chlorogenic acid, p-coumaric acid and ferulic acid, 350 nm for rutin. All samples were performed in biological triplicate. Compounds were quantified using standards purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/).

Yeast one-hybrid assay

DNA fragment consisting of three copies of the *SIDAHPS* (3-deoxy-7-phosphoheptulonate synthase, *Solyc04g074480*) and *SIENO* (Enolase, *Solyc03g114500*) promoter sequence containing the R2R3-MYB core binding domain (-99 to -91 of *SIDAHPS* and -67 to -59 of *SIENO*) were chemically synthesized and then

cloned into the pHis2-Leu-GW through Gateway Cloning (Zhang et al., 2015). The pHis2-Leu-SIDAHPS and pHis2-Leu-SIENO vectors were transformed into the yeast strain Y187 cells with the LiCI-PEG method, respectively (Shim et al., 2013). The positive clones were selected on SD/-Leu medium. Full-length CDS of SIMIXTA-like was introduced into pDEST22 vector to make the yeast expression vector pDEST22-SIMIXTA-like and transformed into yeast strains containing pHis2-Leu-SIDAHPS or pHis2-Leu-SIENO. The transformants were further grown on SD/-Leu/-Trp medium. Positive yeast clones were picked and grown in liquid culture and diluted into different concentrations $(OD_{600} = 10^{\circ}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-4})$. Eight microlitres of suspension was spotted on the SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His medium, with or without 3-AT (0 or 30 mm). For comparison, full-length cDNA of AtMYB12 was used to replace SIMIXTA-like as positive control (Zhang et al., 2015).

Transient dual-luciferase assays

The plasmid construction of dual-luciferase assay was done by Golden Braid 2.0 cloning strategy (Sarrion-Perdigones et al., 2013). Firstly, the 1 kb region promoter of SIDAHPS (-1000 to +106) and SIENO (-1021 to +150) was cloned and inserted into pUPD2 plasmid. The promoters were then inserted into GB_3-α1 vector with LUC gene and Tnos to make proSIDAHPS:LUC:Tnos or proSIENO:LUC:Tnos. In the meantime, the SIMIXTA-like gene under the control of a 35S promoter was inserted into GB_3- α 2 vector to make pro35S:SIMIXTA-like:T35S. The two α plasmids were combined by inserted into the $\Omega 2$ plasmid through new round of Golden Braid reaction. In the same construct, we combined the pGB3- α 1_ pro35S:REN:Tnos and pGB3- α 2_ pro35S:P19:T35S and converted to the $\Omega1$ plasmid. Finally, the Ω^1 and Ω^2 plasmids were combined to make the α -level plasmid (pro35S:SIMIXTA-like:T35S/proSIENO:LUC:Tnos/pro35S:REN:Tnos/ pro35S:P19:Tnos and pro35S:SIMIXTA-like:T35S/proSIDAHPS:LUC: *Tnos/pro35S:REN:Tnos/pro35S:P19:Tnos*). The Ω -level plasmid without the pro35S:SIMIXTA-like:T35S part was used as the control (Sarrion-Perdigones et al., 2013). The final binary vectors were directly transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101. The Agrobacterium cultures were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.8–1.0 and infiltrated into tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) leaves. Infiltrated leaves were harvested at 3dpi, and today protein was isolated by PBS solution. The transient expression was assayed using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, USA) (Llave et al., 2000). The ratio of LUC/REN was measured by a Synergy[™] H1 hybrid multimode microplate reader (BioTek) with according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

The N-terminal of SIMIXTA-like was fused with the FLAG-tag (DYKDDDK) to generate *FLAG-SIMIXTA-like* vector and constructed pBin19-*E8:FLAG-SIMIXTA-like* through Gateway Cloning. ChIP analysis was performed as previously described (Zhang *et al.*, 2015).

ChIP-qPCR was performed on three independent replicates with appropriate primers. The *ACTIN* gene from tomato was used as the internal control for the ChIP-qPCR experiments. Data were represented as the ratio of target genes/*ACTIN* in ChIPed DNA to target genes/*ACTIN* in input DNA.

Statistics

Unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-tests were used for comparison of individual lines with their relevant controls; P < 0.05 were

362 Shiyu Ying et al.

considered significant. To compare measurements of multiple experiment designs with each other, we performed univariate ANOVA followed by the post hoc Tukey test of multiple pairwise comparisons to determine group differences; P < 0.05 were recognized as significant.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by a grant from National Natural Science Foundation of China (31701255). YZ was also supported by the National One Thousand Young Talents programme from China and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2019SCUD013, YJ201640 and 2017SCU04A11). SCW was supported by the Hainan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (319QN155) and Hainan University Startup Fund (KYQD(ZR)1916).

Conflicts of interests

All authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

YZ, SCW and JL designed the experiments. SY and MS performed the experiments, with help of YW, LZ, RF, YL and HG. SY, MS, SCW and YZ analysed the data and wrote the manuscript.

References

- Adato, A., Mandel, T., Mintz-Oron, S., Venger, I., Levy, D., Yativ, M., Dominguez, E. *et al.* (2009) Fruit-surface flavonoid accumulation in tomato is controlled by a SIMYB12-regulated transcriptional network. *PLoS Genet.* 5, e1000777.
- Anders, S. and Huber, W. (2010) Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol.* **11**, R106.
- Balcke, G.U., Bennewitz, S., Bergau, N., Athmer, B., Henning, A., Majovsky, P., Jimenez-Gomez, J.M. *et al.* (2017) Multi-omics of tomato glandular trichomes reveals distinct features of central carbon metabolism supporting high productivity of specialized metabolites. *Plant Cell*, **29**, 960–983.
- Ballester, A.R., Molthoff, J., de Vos, R., Hekkert, B.L., Orzaez, D., Fernandez-Moreno, J.P., Tripodi, P. et al. (2009) Biochemical and molecular analysis of pink tomatoes: deregulated expression of the gene encoding transcription factor SIMYB12 leads to pink tomato fruit color. *Plant Physiol.* **152**, 71–84.
- Borevitz, J.O., Xia, Y., Blount, J., Dixon, R.A. and Lamb, C. (2000) Activation tagging identifies a conserved MYB regulator of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell*, **12**, 2383–2394.
- Bovy, A., de Vos, R., Kemper, M., Schijlen, E., Almenar Pertejo, M., Muir, S., Collins, G. *et al.* (2002) High-flavonol tomatoes resulting from the heterologous expression of the maize transcription factor genes LC and C1. *Plant Cell*, **14**, 2509–2526.
- Butelli, E., Titta, L., Giorgio, M., Mock, H.P., Matros, A., Peterek, S., Schijlen, E.G. *et al.* (2008) Enrichment of tomato fruit with health-promoting anthocyanins by expression of select transcription factors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 1301–1308.
- Carmona-Gutierrez, D., Zimmermann, A., Kainz, K., Pietrocola, F., Chen, G., Maglioni, S., Schiavi, A. *et al.* (2019) The flavonoid 4,4'-dimethoxychalcone promotes autophagy-dependent longevity across species. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 651.
- Century, K., Reuber, T.L. and Ratcliffe, O.J. (2008) Regulating the regulators: the future prospects for transcription-factor-based agricultural biotechnology products. *Plant Physiol.* **147**, 20–29.
- Chen, W., Gong, L., Guo, Z., Wang, W., Zhang, H., Liu, X., Yu, S. et al. (2013) A novel integrated method for large-scale detection, identification, and quantification of widely targeted metabolites: application in the study of rice metabolomics. *Mol. Plant*, 6, 1769–1780.

- Deikman, M.L.K.A.J. (1996) The tomato E8 gene Influences ethylene biosynthesis in fruit but not in flowers. *Plant Physiol.*, **112**, 537–547.
- Dubos, C., Stracke, R., Grotewold, E., Weisshaar, B., Martin, C. and Lepiniec, L. (2010) MYB transcription factors in Arabidopsis. *Trends Plant Sci.* **15**, 573– 581.
- Ewas, M., Gao, Y., Wang, S., Liu, X., Zhang, H., Nishawy, E.M.E., Ali, F. *et al.* (2016) Manipulation of SIMX1 for enhanced carotenoids accumulation and drought resistance in tomato. *Sci. Bulletin*, **61**, 1413–1418.
- Fang, C., Fernie, A.R. and Luo, J. (2019) Exploring the diversity of plant metabolism. *Trends Plant Sci.* 24, 83–98.
- Fischer, J.E.L.A.R.L. (1988) Diverse mechanisms for the regulation of ethyleneinducible gene expression. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **212**, 71–75.
- Fu, R., Martin, C. and Zhang, Y.J.M.P. (2018) Next-generation plant metabolic engineering, inspired by an ancient Chinese irrigation system. *Mol Plant*, **11**, 47–57.
- Glas, J.J., Schimmel, B.C.J., Alba, J.M., Escobar-Bravo, R., Schuurink, R.C. and Kant, M.R. (2012) Plant glandular trichomes as targets for breeding or engineering of resistance to herbivores. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **13**, 17077–17103.
- Glover, B.J., Perez-Rodriguez, M. and Martin, C. (1998) Development of several epidermal cell types can be specified by the same MYB-related plant transcription factor. *Development*, **127**, 3497–3508.
- Gonzali, S., Mazzucato, A. and Perata, P. (2009) Purple as a tomato: towards high anthocyanin tomatoes. *Trends Plant Sci.* **14**, 237–241.
- Hartmann, U., Sagasser, M., Mehrtens, F., Stracke, R. and Weisshaar, B. (2005) Differential combinatorial interactions of cis-acting elements recognized by R2R3-MYB, bZIP, and bHLH factors control light-responsive and tissuespecific activation of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis genes. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 57, 155–171.
- Huchelmann, A., Boutry, M. and Hachez, C. (2017) Plant glandular trichomes: natural cell factories of high biotechnological interest. *Plant Physiol.* **175**, 6– 22.
- Jiang, H. and Wong, W.H. (2009) Statistical inferences for isoform expression in RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1026–1032.
- Kang, H.M., Sul, J.H., Service, S.K., Zaitlen, N.A., Kong, S.Y., Freimer, N.B., Sabatti, C. *et al.* (2010) Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 348–354.
- Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R. and Salzberg, S.L.J.G.B. (2013) TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol.* **14**, R36.
- Lashbrooke, J., Adato, A., Lotan, O., Alkan, N., Tsimbalist, T., Rechav, K., Fernandez-Moreno, J.P. *et al.* (2015) The tomato MIXTA-Like transcription factor coordinates fruit epidermis conical cell development and cuticular lipid biosynthesis and assembly. *Plant Physiol.* **169**, 2553–2571.
- Li, Y., Wang, H., Zhang, Y. and Martin, C. (2018) Can the world's favorite fruit, tomato, provide an effective biosynthetic chassis for high-value metabolites? *Plant Cell Rep.* **37**, 1443–1450.
- Liu, J., Osbourn, A. and Ma, P. (2015) MYB transcription factors as regulators of phenylpropanoid metabolism in plants. *Mol Plant*, 8, 689–708.
- Liu, Z., Jia, Y., Ding, Y., Shi, Y., Li, Z., Guo, Y., Gong, Z. *et al.* (2017) Plasma membrane CRPK1-mediated phosphorylation of 14-3-3 proteins induces their nuclear import to fine-tune CBF signaling during cold response. *Mol. Cell*, 66, 117–128 e115.
- Llave, C., Kasschau, K.D. and Carrington, J.C. (2000) Virus-encoded suppressor of posttranscriptional gene silencing targets a maintenance step in the silencing pathway. *Plant Biol.* **97**, 13401–13406.
- Luo, J. (2015) Metabolite-based genome-wide association studies in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **24**, 31–38.
- Luo, J., Butelli, E., Hill, L., Parr, A., Niggeweg, R., Bailey, P., Weisshaar, B. et al. (2008) AtMYB12 regulates caffeoyl quinic acid and flavonol synthesis in tomato: expression in fruit results in very high levels of both types of polyphenol. *Plant J.* 56, 316–326.
- Martin, C. and Li, J. (2017) Medicine is not health care, food is health care: plant metabolic engineering, diet and human health. *New Phytol.* **216**, 699–719.
- McCormick, S., Niedermeyer, J., Fry, J., Barnason, A., Horsch, R. and Fraley, R. (1986) Leaf disc transformation of cultivated tomato (*L. esculentum*) using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens. Plant Cell Rep.* **5**, 81–84.
- Morandini, P. (2013) Control limits for accumulation of plant metabolites: brute force is no substitute for understanding. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **11**, 253–267.

- Ni, M., Cui, D., Einstein, J., Narasimhulu, S., Vergara, C.E. and Gelvin, S.B.J.T.P.J. (1995) Strength and tissue specificity of chimeric promoters derived from the octopine and mannopine synthase genes. *Plant J.* **7**, 661–676.
- Panikashvili, D., Shi, J.X., Schreiber, L. and Aharoni, A. (2009) The Arabidopsis DCR encoding a soluble BAHD acyltransferase is required for cutin polyester formation and seed hydration properties. *Plant Physiol.* **151**, 1773–1789.
- Patron, N.J., Orzaez, D., Marillonnet, S., Warzecha, H., Matthewman, C., Youles, M., Raitskin, O. et al. (2015) Standards for plant synthetic biology: a common syntax for exchange of DNA parts. *New Phytol.* **208**, 13–19.
- Perez-Rodriguez, M., Jaffe, F.W., Butelli, E., Glover, B.J. and Martin, C. (2005) Development of three different cell types is associated with the activity of a specific MYB transcription factor in the ventral petal of *Antirrhinum majus* flowers. *Development*, **132**, 359–370.
- Sarrion-Perdigones, A., Vazquez-Vilar, M., Palaci, J., Castelijns, B., Forment, J., Ziarsolo, P., Blanca, J. *et al.* (2013) GoldenBraid 2.0: a comprehensive DNA assembly framework for plant synthetic biology. *Plant Physiol.* **162**, 1618– 1631.
- Scarano, A., Butelli, E., De Santis, S., Cavalcanti, E., Hill, L., De Angelis, M., Giovinazzo, G. et al. (2017) Combined dietary anthocyanins, flavonols, and stilbenoids alleviate inflammatory bowel disease symptoms in mice. Front Nutr. 4, 75.
- Schefe, J.H., Lehmann, K.E., Buschmann, I.R., Unger, T. and Funke-Kaiser, H. (2006) Quantitative real-time RT-PCR data analysis: current concepts and the novel "gene expression's CT difference" formula. J. Mol. Med. (Berl) 84, 901–910.
- Schwinn, K., Venail, J., Shang, Y., Mackay, S., Alm, V., Butelli, E., Oyama, R. et al. (2006) A small family of MYB-regulatory genes controls floral pigmentation intensity and patterning in the genus Antirrhinum. *Plant Cell*, **18**, 831–851.
- Shim, J.S., Jung, C., Lee, S., Min, K., Lee, Y.W., Choi, Y., Lee, J.S. et al. (2013) AtMYB44 regulates WRKY70 expression and modulates antagonistic interaction between salicylic acid and jasmonic acid signaling. *Plant J.* 73, 483–495.
- Tan, H., Xiao, L., Gao, S., Li, Q., Chen, J., Xiao, Y., Ji, Q. et al. (2015) TRICHOME AND ARTEMISININ REGULATOR 1 is required for trichome development and artemisinin biosynthesis in Artemisia annua. Mol. Plant 8, 1396–1411.
- Tzin, V., Malitsky, S., Ben Zvi, M.M., Bedair, M., Sumner, L., Aharoni, A. and Galili, G. (2012) Expression of a bacterial feedback-insensitive 3-deoxy-Darabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase of the shikimate pathway in Arabidopsis elucidates potential metabolic bottlenecks between primary and secondary metabolism. *New Phytol.* **194**, 430–439.
- Tzin, V., Rogachev, I., Meir, S., Moyal Ben Zvi, M., Masci, T., Vainstein, A., Aharoni, A. et al. (2013) Tomato fruits expressing a bacterial feedbackinsensitive 3-deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase of the shikimate pathway possess enhanced levels of multiple specialized metabolites and upgraded aroma. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 4441–4452.
- Vogt, T. (2010) Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. Mol. Plant 3, 2-20.
- Wang, Y., Song, F., Zhu, J., Zhang, S., Yang, Y., Chen, T., Tang, B. *et al.* (2017) GSA: genome sequence archive. *Genom. Proteom. Bioinf.* **15**, 14–18.
- Xu, X.J., Hao, L.L., Zhu, J.W., Zhou, Q., Song, F.H., Chen, T.T., Zhang, S.S. *et al.* (2018) Database resources of the BIG data center in 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D14–D20.
- Zhang, Y., Butelli, E., Alseekh, S., Tohge, T., Rallapalli, G., Luo, J., Kawar, P.G. et al. (2015) Multi-level engineering facilitates the production of phenylpropanoid compounds in tomato. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8635.

- Zhu, G., Wang, S., Huang, Z., Zhang, S., Liao, Q., Zhang, C., Lin, T. *et al.* (2018) Rewiring of the fruit metabolome in tomato breeding. *Cell*, **172**, 249–261 e212.
- Zouine, M., Maza, E., Djari, A., Lauvernier, M., Frasse, P., Smouni, A., Pirrello, J. et al. (2017) TomExpress, a unified tomato RNA-Seq platform for visualization of expression data, clustering and correlation networks. *Plant* J. 92, 727–735.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Figure S1 The effect of different alleles on the content of p-coumaric acid (SIFM0124).

Figure S2 Phylogenetic tree of SIMIXTA-like protein and R2R3-MYB protein family from *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

Figure S3 Molecular characterization of SIMIXTA-like.

Figure S4 SIMIXTA-like expression pattern in tomato.

Figure S5 Overexpression of *SIMIXTA-like* in tomato seedlings enhances trichome formation on stems.

Figure S6 Screening of *SIMIXTA-like* expression in T0 *E8: SIMIXTA-like* tomato fruits.

Figure S7 The expression of *SIMIXTA-like* at different fruit development stages for both MicroTom and *E8:SIMIXTA-like*-B fruit.

Figure S8 The analysis of RNA-seq data in *E8:SIMIXTA-like* line A and B.

Figure S9 SIMIXTA-like changes the expression of primary metabolic genes in the pericarp of *E8:SIMIXTA-like* fruit.

Figure S10 The analysis of metabolism data in *E8:SIMIXTA-like* line A and B.

Figure S11 The contents of rutin (a), ρ -coumaric acid (b), chlorogenic acid (c) and ferulic acid (d) in *E8:SIMIXTA-like*-B and MicroTom.

Figure S12 Expression of carotenoid pathway genes (a) and carotenoid contents (b) in *E8:SIMIXTA-like*-B and MicroTom fruit. **Figure S13** SIMIXTA-like can't directly bind to the promoter of *SIENO*

Figure S14 ChIP-qPCR indicates SIMIXTA-like directly binds to the promoter of *SIDAHPS in vivo*.

Table S1 The list of collected 351 tomato varieties.

Table S2 The list of *E8:SIMIXTA-like-A* and B common DEGs in RNA-seq.

Table S3 The list of SIMIXTA-like-A and B common DEMs in metabolic profiling.

Table S4 The list of oligonucleotids used in this study.

Data S1 The list of total 53 SNPs significantly associated with p-coumaric acid in this study.

Data S2 All sample RNA-seq fpkm.

Data S3 All sample metabolomics data.