

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

Public Access Author manuscript

Arch Suicide Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 September 16.

Published in final edited form as:

Arch Suicide Res. 2020; 24(3): 313–326. doi:10.1080/13811118.2019.1635930.

Impulsivity and cognitive flexibility as neuropsychological markers for suicidality: A multi-modal investigation among military veterans with Alcohol Use Disorder and PTSD

C. Hausman¹, B. N. Meffert², M. K. Mosich¹, A. J. Heinz^{2,3}

¹Palo Alto University,

²National Center for PTSD VA Palo Alto Health Care System,

³Center for Innovation to Implementation, VA Palo Alto Health Care System

Abstract

Objective: To examine relations between self-report and behavioral measures of impulsivity and cognitive flexibility with suicidal ideation and self-harm and suicide attempt history.

Methods: Eighty-seven military veterans who met DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD) and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) were evaluated for current suicidal ideation and self-harm, suicide attempt history, impulsivity, and cognitive flexibility.

Results: Higher levels of self-reported impulsivity were associated with greater suicidal ideation and self-harm, and lower behavioral inhibition was associated with greater likelihood of endorsing a suicide attempt.

Conclusion: Use of multi-modal assessment of impulsivity and cognitive flexibility may aid in suicide screening and intervention among vulnerable and high-risk populations.

Keywords

suicide; posttraumatic stress disorder; alcohol use disorder; impulsivity; cognitive flexibility; veterans

Suicide is a significant public health concern with estimates of 800,000 deaths annually across the globe (World Health Organization, 2018). In the United States (U.S.), suicide is the 10th leading cause of death and claims approximately 45,000 lives each year (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017). U.S. veterans are at an increased risk for suicide compared to the general population (Blow et al., 2012; Kaplan, Huguet, McFarland, & Newsom, 2007). Though they made up only 8.5 percent of the U.S. adult population in 2014, veterans accounted for 17.8 percent of total adult suicides across the country (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, 2017). There is also evidence that veterans with Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) or a Substance Use Disorder (SUD) are at an elevated

Corresponding Author: Adrienne J. Heinz, Ph.D., National Center for PTSD, Substance and Anxiety Intervention Laboratory, Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Health Care System, 795 Willow Road (152-MPD), Menlo Park, CA 94025, Website: https://www.ptsd.va.gov/, Phone: 650-493-5000 ext. 29114, adrienneheinz@gmail.com.

The term "suicide," referring to a person's death caused by themselves, represents one facet of a broad web of nomenclature, including other phenomenon such as self-harm behaviors, suicidal gestures, and ideation (Gvion & Apter, 2011). Collectively, these terms will be referred to as "suicidality" or "suicidal behavior." Recent initiatives by the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs have identified suicide prevention as a leading priority for veterans (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, 2018). Various factors, including impulsivity (Smith et al., 2008) and cognitive flexibility (Miranda, Gallagher, Bauchner, Vaysman, & Marroquín, 2012), have been evaluated for their contribution to suicidal behavior (Beghi, Rosenbaum, Cerri, & Cornaggia, 2013; LeardMann, 2013). Burgeoning evidence suggests that neuropsychological markers can help researchers and clinicians better understand and treat psychopathology (Cuthbert, 2014). Given that suicidality is prevalent across SUD and PTSD populations, gaining a better understanding of the common underlying neuropsychological risk factors at play may lead to more effective interventions and risk management strategies.

Impulsivity is the tendency to act without planning or consideration of consequences (Evenden, 1999). Despite extant literature identifying impulsivity as a risk factor for suicidal behavior (Dougherty et al., 2004; Giegling et al., 2009; Liu, Trout, Hernandez, Cheek, & Gerlus, 2017; Smith et al., 2008), a recent meta-analysis found that impulsivity has only a small relationship with suicidality (Anestis, Soberay, Gutierrez, Hernandez, & Joiner, 2014). Mixed findings with respect to the link between impulsivity and suicide attempts may also be accounted for by a subtypes model of suicide. In this model, some individuals may consider suicide in a more impulsive, stress-response behavior, and others may tend to exhibit longer-term suicidal thoughts, such as in individuals with major depressive disorder (Bernanke, Stanley, & Oquendo, 2017. Alternatively, discrepant findings may be explained by differences in selected measurement modality or the particular facet of suicidal behavior assessed by the study (Anestis et al., 2014; Klonsky & May, 2014). Studies assessing the relationship between impulsivity and suicidality often use either self-report instruments (Kleiman, Riskind, Schaefer, & Weingarden, 2012) or behavioral tasks (Jollant, Lawrence, Olié, Guillaume, & Courtet, 2011). Both modalities hold a unique association with external "real-world" behaviors, yet they often have little to no correlation with one another (Cyders & Coskunpinar, 2011; Sharma et al., 2014). Thus, multi-modal assessment strategies may offer important insights as to how different facets of impulsivity relate to suicide risk.

Cognitive flexibility and its counterpart, cognitive rigidity or inflexibility, characterize an individual's ability to modify thinking and generate solutions in response to changing environmental factors (Schotte & Clum, 1987). Prior research has revealed an association between cognitive rigidity and suicidal behavior (Miranda et al., 2012; Jollant et al., 2011; Westheide et al., 2008). In addition to having distinguished individuals with a history of high-lethality suicide attempts (e.g., requiring intensive care) from non-attempters and low-lethality attempters (e.g., some degree of physical harm; Keilp et al., 2001), cognitive rigidity has predicted future suicidal ideation in individuals with previous attempts (Miranda et al., 2012). These findings add credence to theories suggesting that cognitive variables may underlie and act as mechanisms for suicidal behavior (Ellis & Rutherford, 2008). For

Combining behavioral and self-report measures of impulsivity and cognitive flexibility offers a unique way to better understand two salient mechanisms that contribute to suicidal behavior. Previous studies have demonstrated that impairments in these domains may be linked to risk of suicide (Keilp et al., 2013; Richard-Devantoy, Ding, Lepage, & Turecki, 2016). However, other researchers highlight the problem of need for more data before generalizable conclusions can be made about these relationships (Saffer & Klonsky, 2018). Accordingly, the current study seeks to contribute to the literature using a multi-modal examination of a clinical population known to experience elevated levels of suicidality, veterans with alcohol use disorder and co-occurring PTSD (Jakupcak et al., 2009; Pietrzak et al., 2010; Pompili et al., 2013). Facets of suicidality considered in this analysis involve suicidal ideation, self-harm, and history of suicide attempts. The first objective was to assess the unique contribution of self-report and behavioral tasks measuring impulsivity and cognitive flexibility in explaining suicidal behavior (i.e., self-reported suicidal ideation, selfharm, and suicide attempt history). It was expected that impulsivity would be positively associated and cognitive flexibility would be negatively associated with suicidal behavior, respectively, or specifically, that increased impulsivity and decreased cognitive flexibility would be linked with increased levels of suicidal ideation and self-harm, as well as with a history of suicide attempts The second objective was to determine if these neuropsychological markers predicted suicidality (suicidal ideation, self-harm, and suicide attempt history) six weeks later.

Methods

Participants

Participants were 87 United States military veterans (*M*age = 40.25, *SD* = 11.38; 90.8% Male; 53% White, 18% Hispanic, 17% Mixed Race, 8% Black, 1% Asian, 1% Native American, 1% Hawaiian or Pacific Islander). All participants included in this study met diagnostic criteria for current PTSD based on the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM–5 (Weathers et al., 2013a) and AUD based on the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview, Version 7.0 for DSM-5 (Sheehan, 2014). Of these 87 participants, 43 (49.4%) indicated that they take medication for sleep, 35 (40.2%) take medication for pain, four take medication for psychosis (4.6%), and 60 (69%) take medication for depression. Further, 44 (50.6%) and 56 (64.4%) indicated that at the time of the initial screening for the study, they were currently in treatment for addiction and for PTSD, respectively. Further, 65 (74.7%) indicated that they were in a controlled environment such as a residential or inpatient facility at the time of screening. Participants (N = 87) completed a baseline visit and 52.9% returned 6-weeks later for a follow up visit (n = 46). The Stanford Institutional Review Board and the Human Research Protection Program at the VA Palo Alto Healthcare System approved the study and all participants provided written, informed consent.

Procedure

Suicidality Assessments.—The Inventory of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms (IDAS; Watson et al., 2007) suicidality subscale was employed at baseline ($\alpha = .78$) and follow up ($\alpha = .85$) as a measure of suicidal ideation and self-harm. The IDAS-suicidality subscale is comprised of a 6-items (3-items for suicidal ideation, e.g., "I thought about killing myself"; 3-items for self-harm, e.g., "I cut or burned myself on purpose") to which participants respond using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = "not at all" to 5 = "extremely") to describe how much they felt or experienced each item in the past month. The possible range of scores is 6–30 with higher scores indicating greater suicidality. The IDAS-suicidality subscale displays strong reliability, good consistency, and good convergent and discriminant validity in relation to other measures of these same symptom dimensions, such as the Beck Depression Inventory-II (Watson et al., 2007). Lifetime suicide attempt history was assessed at baseline with a single item in which participants indicated "yes" or "no" in response to the item "Have you ever attempted suicide?". Research assistants were in the room at the time that the questionnaire was filled out and checked responses to ensure that all participants answered this question.

Impulsivity.

Self-report Assessment.: The Impulsivity subscale of the Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS; Gratz & Roemer, 2004) was administered at baseline as a measure of self-reported impulsivity at baseline. The DERS-Impulsivity subscale is comprised of 6, 5-point Likert scale items (e.g., "I experience my emotions as overwhelming and out of control"; "When I am upset, I feel out of control") to which participants response using a 5point Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5 (1= Almost never [0-10%] to 5 = Almost always [91– 100%]). The possible range of scores is 6–30 with higher scores indicating greater perceived impulsivity. Prior research demonstrates that the DERS has high internal consistency, good test–retest reliability, and adequate construct and predictive validity and has been utilized in AUD and PTSD populations (Fox, Hong, & Sinha, 2008; Gratz & Romer, 2004; Tull, Barrett, McMillan, & Roemer, 2007). For the present study, internal consistency was good ($\alpha = .87$).

Behavioral Assessment.: The Color Word Interference Test of the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System Tests (D-KEFS; Delis, Kaplan, & Kramer, 2001) was administered at baseline to assess impulsivity. The Interference subtask of the DKEFS-Color Word Interference Test as used in this study is a variant of the Stroop procedure. Prior research demonstrates that D-KEFS tests are a reliable measure of executive function deficits in many clinical populations, including populations with mild cognitive impairment and chronic alcohol use (Delis, Kramer, Kaplan, & Holdnack, 2004). Specifically, in the Inhibition condition of the DKEFS-Color Word Test, participants are asked to name, as quickly as possible, the ink color in which differently colored words are printed. The test captures ability to inhibit a dominant, overlearned and automatic verbal response. The scaled score of the Inhibition condition of the DKEFS-Color Word Interference Test was the primary outcomes used to measure impulsivity, where lower scores indicate more impulsivity.

Cognitive Flexibility.—The Wisconsin Card Sorting Test-64: Computer version 2-Research Edition (WCST) was used to measure cognitive flexibility (Heaton & PAR staff, 2008). This test uses stimulus cards to assess set-shifting as an index of cognitive flexibility. The WCST is a well validated and sensitive measure of executive functioning (Kongs, Thompson, Iverson, & Heaton, 2000). During the task, participants must match a stimulus card to the appropriate card deck based on shape designs and rules that shift throughout the task. The perseverative errors t-score was the primary outcome used to measure cognitive flexibility at baseline.

Covariates.—Participants completed a demographic questionnaire at baseline that assessed age, education, gender, and ethnicity.

AUD Symptoms.: AUD symptom severity in the past year was measured at baseline using the ten-item Alcohol Use Identification Test (AUDIT; Saunders, Aasland, Babor, De la Fuente, & Grant, 1993). The AUDIT measures self-reported problems with alcohol use, including quantity/frequency of alcohol use and degree of hazardous drinking. The possible range of scores on the AUDIT is 0–40, with scores of eight or greater indicating problematic alcohol use. Prior research has supported use of the AUDIT to screen for alcohol use disorder and related symptoms (Allen, Litten, Fertig, & Babor, 1997; Babor, Higgins-Biddle, Saunders, & Monteiro, 2001). All participants in this study met criteria for an AUD diagnosis.

PTSD Symptoms.: The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5 (Weathers et al., 2013) was utilized to measure past month PTSD symptom severity at baseline. The CAPS-5 is a structured interview involving 30-items, administered over approximately 45-60 minutes, that correspond to the PTSD diagnostic criteria in the DSM-5. Each of the 30 symptoms is rated on a 5-point Likert scale (0 = absent, 1 = mild/threshold, 2 = moderate/ threshold, 3 = severe/markedly elevated, and 4 = extreme/incapacitating). Interviewers determine severity for each symptom based on the reported intensity and frequency of a symptom over the past month. The sum of the severity scores for all 30 items was used as an index of PTSD symptom severity (possible range of scores 0 – 120). Prior research in veterans demonstrates that the CAPS-5 total severity score has high internal consistency and interrater reliability, and good test–retest reliability (Weathers et al., 2018). It has also demonstrated good convergent validity with total severity score on the CAPS-IV and PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 and good discriminant validity with measures of anxiety, functional impairment, psychopathy, and alcohol abuse (Weathers et al., 2018). All participants in this study met criteria for a PTSD diagnosis.

Data Analysis

Zero-order correlations were conducted to examine relations between measures of impulsivity and cognitive flexibility, and suicidal ideation (IDAS). A series of t-tests were conducted to determine if participants differed on measures of impulsivity and cognitive flexibility as a function of past suicide attempt history. Three hierarchical regression models (HRM; logistic and linear) controlling for covariates were tested to determine the extent to which multi-modal measures of impulsivity and cognitive flexibility explained variance in

suicide outcomes. Measures of impulsivity and cognitive flexibility were entered on Step 1 and AUD and PTSD symptom severity were entered on Step 2. An additional HRM model was performed to determine if cognitive variables at baseline predicted suicidality at 6-week follow up, controlling for suicidal ideation at baseline. Age, gender, education, and race were not associated with suicide outcomes and were removed from the HRM models for parsimony. Baseline suicidality (IDAS) and suicide attempt history did not differ as a function of whether the participants completed the 6-week follow-up visit.

Results

Thirty-three percent of the sample (n = 29) endorsed having had a past suicide attempt. Ttests indicated that cognitive flexibility, as indexed by perseverative errors on the WCST, was lower among those who endorsed a previous suicide attempt (M= 43.36, SD= 6.33) compared to those with no history of a suicide attempt (M= 47.55, SD= 6.73), t(79) = 2.773; p < .01, g = 0.63). Also, DKEFS Inhibition was lower among individuals with a past suicide attempt (M= -8.59, SD= 2.73) compared to those without history of a suicide attempt (M= 10.37, SD= 2.85), t(85) = 2.8166; p < .01, d= .64; Table 1).

Zero-order correlations (see Table 2) revealed that more impulsive emotion regulation difficulties on the DERS impulsivity subscale were associated with higher levels of suicidal ideation and self-harm.

Finally, results from the HRM (see Table 3) indicated that higher levels of self-reported impulsivity on the DERS impulsivity subscale were associated with greater suicidal ideation and self-harm. However, the relationship did not hold after controlling for AUD and PTSD symptom severity. A logistic HRM indicated that lower Inhibition (DKEFS) was associated with a positive history of suicide attempt. In terms of prospective relations, a HRM indicated that impulsivity and mental flexibility at baseline did not predict suicidality 6-weeks later.

Discussion

The results of this study revealed that lower inhibition and cognitive flexibility on behavioral tasks were associated with a positive suicide attempt history. In addition, greater self-reported impulsivity was associated with more self-reported thoughts of suicide and self-harm, but this relation did not hold after accounting for AUD and PTSD pathology. These findings are consistent with previous research implicating the role of cognitive flexibility and impulsivity in suicide risk in individuals with mental illness within the general population (Keilp et al., 2001; Richard-Devantoy, Berlim, & Jollant, 2014; Smith et al., 2008). Difficulty managing impulsivity and maintaining cognitive flexibility may increase risk of impulsive suicidal thoughts and behaviors as these functions are critical for slowing down and identifying alternative actions that yield more optimal outcomes.

Given that previous research has suggested that those who report suicidal ideation and those who actually attempt suicide may represent distinct populations (Bongar & Sullivan, 2013), it is important to include both ideation and attempts in a comprehensive evaluation of suicide risk factors. That different relationships were found for suicidal ideation versus attempts in the present study suggests that there may be neuropsychological factors that differentiate the

two groups. Other researchers also emphasized the study of associations among suicide attempt history and behavioral cognitive measures over the use of suicidal ideation or selfreport measures (Keilp et. al., 2013). Further, a recent review of the literature indicated that neuropsychological performance on tasks of inhibition and decision making may distinguish those with suicide attempt history from those who only think about suicide (ideators). However, these same authors hold that there is not yet sufficient and consistent literature to make major conclusions at this time regarding the relationship of cognitive performance for ideators versus attempters (Saffer & Klonsky, 2018). Thus, the present study provides replication of previous findings such as those by Keilp and colleagues (2013). Furthermore, in the present study, multivariate findings linking neuropsychological factors to suicidal ideation and self-harm did not hold longitudinally. This is consistent with the literature demonstrating that suicide risk most often is associated with a short-term crisis (Simon et al., 2001) and as such, neuropsychological assessments may hold more relevance for current suicide risk than future risk. Additional research is needed to determine how neuropsychological factors relate to the context and time course of suicidality as it may help clinicians improve accuracy of risk detection and better-inform intervention practices (National Institute of Mental Health, 2017).

Findings from this study highlight the value of employing multiple modalities of assessment and suggest that inclusion of self-report and behavioral measures may offer a more informed case conceptualization. For example, although significant relations emerged between a selfreport measure of impulsivity and self-reported suicidal ideation and self-harm, the same pattern did not occur for behavioral measures. Previous studies have demonstrated that impulsivity is a multidimensional construct, such that self-reported impulsivity (demonstrated on questionnaires) differs from behavioral impulsivity (demonstrated on neuropsychological tasks; Cyders & Coskunpinar, 2011; Reynolds et al., 2006; Sharma, Markon, & Clark, 2017). However, other research has contradicted these findings by demonstrating overlap among self-reported and behavioral impulsivity (Meda et al., 2012). Further research is needed to disentangle the differences between behavioral and self-report measures of impulsivity and how these measurement tools and the constructs they capture impact clinical applications, such as assessment of suicide risk.

Despite multiple strengths of the current study including multimodal and longitudinal assessment within a high-risk clinical population, limitations should be noted. First, the sample is moderately sized for a study involving neuropsychological measures and findings need to be replicated within a larger sample. Given that suicide is a low base rate event, larger samples are also needed for future studies to ensure that results appropriately capture trends among individuals with positive suicide attempt histories. Second, the present study employed a measure that combines suicidal ideation and self-harm into a single scale. These two constructs are distinct in that self-harm often lacks an intent to die and is treated differently in terms of management in a clinical setting (Bongar & Sullivan, 2013), whereas SI is often used clinically as a primary indicator of risk for suicide and need for steps to protect a patient's safety. Thus, it will be beneficial for future studies to examine suicidal ideation and self-harm using measures that capture them separately. Third, this study relied on a single-item, self-report question to determine if participants had a past suicide attempt. Use of a structured interview format or more detailed suicide risk history may be helpful to

provide additional support for findings in future studies. Fourth, it is important to note that the findings of the present study may not generalize to populations without co-occurring PTSD and Alcohol Use Disorder, or to non-veterans. Fifth, previous research has highlighted the PTSD symptoms of insomnia and nightmares as being associated with suicide risk (Littlewood, Gooding, Panagioti, & Kyle, 2016). Future research could examine associations between these variables with both cognitive and suicidality variables to better-understand suicide risk in this population. Sixth, while the present study examined behavioral task scores at a particular point in time, future research could examine whether change in neuropsychological measures between baseline and retest are associated with change in suicide risk.

Finally, while identifying neural markers has potential to improve clinical assessment and management of suicide risk in vulnerable populations, the same institutions that work with these individuals often are limited by cost, space, lack of trained personnel, and time. Novel solutions are required to democratize access to neuropsychological assessments as it relates to suicide prevention, such as the use of technologies (e.g., use of tablets for rapid assessment administration and intervention delivery) and open-source resources (e.g., NIH toolbox; Gershon et al., 2013). In summary, the current study represents an important step toward improving our understanding of specific neuropsychological factors that may distinguish those who are at risk for suicide from those who are not.

Acknowledgments

Funding Sources: This work was supported by a VA Rehabilitation Research and Development (RR&D) Career Development Award – 2 granted to Dr. Heinz [1K2RX001492]. The expressed views do not necessarily represent those of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

References

- Allen JP, Litten RZ, Fertig JB and Babor T (1997). A review of research on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT). Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 21(4), 613–619.
- Anestis MD, Soberay KA, Gutierrez PM, Hernández TD, & Joiner TE (2014). Reconsidering the link between impulsivity and suicidal behavior. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 18(4), 366– 386. [PubMed: 24969696]
- Babor TF, Higgins-Biddle JC, Saunders JB, & Monteiro MG (2001) AUDIT: The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: Guidelines for use in primary care, second edition Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- Bechara A (2007). Iowa Gambling Task Professional Manual. Lutz, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc.
- Bechara A, & Damasio H (2002). Decision-making and addiction (part I): impaired activation of somatic states in substance dependent individuals when pondering decisions with negative future consequences. Neuropsychologia, 40(10), 1675–1689. [PubMed: 11992656]
- Bechara A, Damásio AR, Damásio H, Anderson SW (1994). Insensitivity to future consequences following damage to human prefrontal cortex. Cognition, 50(1–3), 7–15. doi:10.1016/0010-0277(94)90018-3 [PubMed: 8039375]
- Beghi M, Rosenbaum JF, Cerri C, & Cornaggia CM (2013). Risk factors for fatal and nonfatal repetition of suicide attempts: a literature review. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment, 9, 1725– 1736. [PubMed: 24235836]
- Bernanke JA, Stanley BH, & Oquendo MA (2017). Toward fine-grained phenotyping of suicidal behavior: The role of suicidal subtypes. Molecular Psychiatry, 22(8), 1080–1081. doi: 10.1038/ mp.2017.123. [PubMed: 28607457]

- Blevins CA, Weathers FW, Davis MT, Witte TK, & Domino JL (2015). The Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5): Development and initial psychometric evaluation. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 28(489–498). doi: 10.1002/jts.22059 [PubMed: 26606250]
- Blow FC, Bohnert AS, Ilgen MA, Ignacio R, McCarthy JF, Valenstein MM, & Knox KL (2012). Suicide mortality among patients treated by the Veterans Health Administration from 2000 to 2007. American Journal of Public Health, 102(S1), S98–S104. [PubMed: 22390612]
- Bongar B, & Sullivan G (2013). The suicidal patient: Clinical and legal standards of care (3rd ed). Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Bovin MJ, Marx BP, Weathers FW, Gallagher MW, Rodriguez P, Schnurr PP, & Keane TM (2016). Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders–Fifth Edition (PCL-5) in veterans. Psychological Assessment, 28(11), 1379–139. 10.1037/pas0000254 [PubMed: 26653052]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2017, February 19). Leading cause of death report 1981– 2016. Retrieved from https://webappa.cdc.gov/sasweb/ncipc/leadcause.html
- Cohen J, Cohen P, West SG, & Aiken LS (2003). Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis in the behavioral sciences (Third Edition). Mahwah NJ.: Erlbaum.
- Cuthbert BN (2014). The RDoC framework: Facilitating transition from ICD/DSM to dimensional approaches that integrate neuroscience and psychopathology. World Psychiatry, 13(1), 28–35. [PubMed: 24497240]
- Cyders MA & Coskunipar A (2011). Measurement of constructs using self-report and behavioral lab tasks: Is there overlap in nomothetic span and construct representation for impulsivity? Clinical Psychology Review, 31(6), 965–982. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2011.06.001 [PubMed: 21733491]
- Delis DC, Kaplan E, & Kramer JH (2001). Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS). San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Delis DC, Kramer JH, Kaplan E, & Holdnack J (2004). Reliability and validity of the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System: an update. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 10(2), 301–303. [PubMed: 15012851]
- Dougherty DM, Mathias CW, Marsh DM, Papageorgiou TD, Swann AC, & Moeller FG (2004). Laboratory measured behavioral impulsivity relates to suicide attempt history. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior, 34(4), 374–385. [PubMed: 15585459]
- Ellis TE, & Rutherford B (2008). Cognition and suicide: Two decades of progress. International Journal of Cognitive Therapy, 1(1), 47–68.
- Evenden JL (1999). Varieties of impulsivity. Psychopharmacology, 146(4), 348–361. doi:10.1007/ pl00005481 [PubMed: 10550486]
- Fox HC, Hong KA, & Sinha R (2008). Difficulties in emotion regulation and impulse control in recently abstinent alcoholics compared with social drinkers. Addictive behaviors, 33(2), 388–394. [PubMed: 18023295]
- Gershon RC, Wagster MV, Hendrie HC, Fox NA, Cook KF, & Nowinski CJ (2013). NIH toolbox for assessment of neurological and behavioral function. Neurology, 80(11 Supplement 3), S2–S6. [PubMed: 23479538]
- Giegling I, Olgiati P, Hartmann AM, Calati R, Möller HJ, Rujescu D, & Serretti A (2009). Personality and attempted suicide. Analysis of anger, aggression and impulsivity. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 43(16), 1262–1271. [PubMed: 19481222]
- Gratz KL, & Roemer L (2004). Multidimensional assessment of emotion regulation and dysregulation: Development, factor structure, and initial validation of the Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment, 26(1), 41–54.
- Gvion Y, & Apter A (2011). Aggression, impulsivity, and suicide behavior: a review of the literature. Archives of Suicide Research, 15(2), 93–112. [PubMed: 21541857]
- Heaton RK, & PAR staff. (2008). WCST-64: Computer Version 2-Research Edition (WCST-64:CV2). Lutz, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Heinz AJ, Bui L, Thomas KM, & Blonigen DM (2015). Distinct facets of impulsivity exhibit differential associations with substance use disorder treatment processes: A cross-sectional and prospective investigation among military Veterans. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, (55), 21–28. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4623565/

- Heinz AJ, Pennington DL, Cohen N, Schmeling B, Lasher BA, Schrodek E, Batki SL (2016).
 Relations Between Cognitive Functioning and Alcohol Use, Craving, and Post-Traumatic Stress:
 An Examination Among Trauma-Exposed Military Veterans with Alcohol Use Disorder. Military Medicine, 181(7), 663–671. doi: 10.7205/MILMED-D-15-00228 [PubMed: 27391620]
- Ilgen MA, Bohnert AS, Ignacio RV, McCarthy JF, Valenstein MM, Kim HM, & Blow FC (2010). Psychiatric diagnoses and risk of suicide in veterans. Archives of General Psychiatry, 67(11), 1152–1158. [PubMed: 21041616]
- Jakupcak M, Cook J, Imel Z, Fontana A, Rosenheck R, & McFall M (2009). Posttraumatic stress disorder as a risk factor for suicidal ideation in Iraq and Afghanistan war veterans. Journal of Traumatic Stress: Official Publication of The International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, 22(4), 303–306. doi: 10.1002/jts.20423
- Jollant F, Lawrence NL, Olié E, Guillaume S, & Courtet P (2011). The suicidal mind and brain: a review of neuropsychological and neuroimaging studies. The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry, 12(5), 319–339. [PubMed: 21385016]
- Kaplan MS, Huguet N, McFarland BH, & Newsom JT (2007). Suicide among male veterans: A prospective population-based study. Journal of Epidemiology &Community Health, 61(7), 619– 624. [PubMed: 17568055]
- Keilp JG, Gorlyn M, Russell M, Oquendo MA, Burke AK, Harkavy-Friedman J, & Mann JJ (2013). Neuropsychological function and suicidal behavior: Attention control, memory, and executive dysfunction in suicide attempt. Psychological Medicine, 43(3), 539–551. doi: 10.1017/ S0033291712001419. [PubMed: 22781400]
- Keilp JG, Sackeim HA, Brodsky BS, Oquendo MA, Malone KM, & Mann JJ (2001).
 Neuropsychological dysfunction in depressed suicide attempters. The American Journal of Psychiatry, 158(5), 735–741. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.158.5.735 [PubMed: 11329395]
- Kleiman EM, Riskind JH, Schaefer KE, & Weingarden H (2012). The moderating role of social support on the relationship between impulsivity and suicide risk. Crisis, 33, 273–279. [PubMed: 22562860]
- Klonsky ED, & May AM (2014). Differentiating suicide attempters from suicide ideators: a critical frontier for suicidology research. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior, 44(1), 1–5. [PubMed: 24313594]
- Kongs SK, Thompson LL, Iverson GL, & Heaton RK (2000). WCST-64: Wisconsin Card Sorting Test-64 card version, professional manual. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Krysinska K, & Lester D (2010). Post-traumatic stress disorder and suicide risk: A systematic review. Archives of Suicide Research, 14(1), 1–23. [PubMed: 20112140]
- LeardMann CA, Powell TM, Smith TC, Bell MR, Smith B, Boyko EJ, ...& Hoge CW (2013). Risk factors associated with suicide in current and former US military personnel. JAMA, 310(5), 496– 506. [PubMed: 23925620]
- Littlewood DL, Gooding PA, Panagioti M, Kyle SD (2016). Nightmares and suicide in posttraumatic stress disorder: the mediating role of defeat, entrapment, and hopelessness. J Clin Sleep Med, 12(3), 393–399. [PubMed: 26564386]
- Liu RT, Trout ZM, Hernandez EM, Cheek SM, & Gerlus N (2017). A behavioral and cognitive neuroscience perspective on impulsivity, suicide, and non-suicidal self-injury: Meta-analysis and recommendations for future research. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 83, 440–450. [PubMed: 28928071]
- Lynam DR, Smith GT, Whiteside SP, & Cyders MA (2006). The UPPS-P: Assessing five personality pathways to impulsive behavior. West Lafayette, IN: Purdue University.
- Miranda R, Gallagher M, Bauchner B, Vaysman R, & Marroquín B (2012). Cognitive inflexibility as a prospective predictor of suicidal ideation among young adults with a suicide attempt history. Depression and Anxiety, 29(3), 180–186. [PubMed: 22147587]
- Meda SA, Stevens MC, Potenza MN, Pittman B, Gueorgueva R, Andrews MM, ... & Pearlson GD (2012). Investigating the behavioral and self-report constructs of impulsivity domains using principal component analysis. Behavioral Pharmacology, 20(5–6), 390–399. doi: 10.1097/ FBP.0b013e32833113a3

- Moak DH, Anton RF, & Latham PK (1998). Further validation of the Obsessive-Compulsive Drinking Scale (OCDS): Relationship to alcoholism severity. American Journal on Addictions, 7(1), 14–23. [PubMed: 9522003]
- National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH). (2017, 2). Division of Neuroscience and Basic Behavioral Science. Retrieved from https://www.nih.gov/about-nih/what-we-do/nih-almanac/national-institute-mental-health-nimh#events
- Pietrzak RH, Goldstein MB, Malley JC, Rivers AJ, Johnson DC, & Southwick SM (2010). Risk and protective factors associated with suicidal ideation in veterans of Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom. Journal of affective disorders, 123(1–3), 102–107. doi: 10.1016/ j.jad.2009.08.001 [PubMed: 19819559]
- Pompili M, Sher L, Serafini G, Forte A, Innamorati M, Dominici G, ... & Girardi P (2013). Posttraumatic stress disorder and suicide risk among veterans: A literature review. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 201(9), 802–812. doi: 10.1097/NMD.0b013e3182a21458 [PubMed: 23995037]
- Richard-Devantoy S, Berlim MT, & Jollant F (2014). A meta-analysis of neuropsychological markers of vulnerability to suicidal behavior in mood disorders. Psychological Medicine, 44(8), 1663– 1673. doi: 10.1017/S0033291713002304 [PubMed: 24016405]
- Richard-Devantoy S, Ding Y, Lepage M, & Turecki G (2016). Cognitive inhibition in depression and suicidal behavior: a neuroimaging study. Psychological Medicine, 46(5), 933–944. doi: 10.1017/ S0033291715002421 [PubMed: 26670261]
- Reynolds Brady & Ortengren Amanda & Richards Jerry &Wit Harriet. (2006). Dimensions of impulsive behavior: Personality and behavioral measures. Personality and Individual Differences, 40, 305–315. 10.1016/j.paid.2005.03.024.
- Saffer BY, & Klonsky ED (2018). Do neurocognitive abilities distinguish suicide attempters from suicide ideators? A systematic review of an emerging research area. Clinical Psychology Science and Practice, 25(1). doi: 10.111/cpsp.12227
- Saunders JB, Aasland OG, Babor TF, De la Fuente JR, & Grant M (1993). Development of the alcohol use disorders identification test (AUDIT): WHO collaborative project on early detection of persons with harmful alcohol consumption II. Addiction, 88(6), 791–804. [PubMed: 8329970]
- Schotte DE, & Clum GA (1987). Problem-solving skills in suicidal psychiatric patients. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 55(1), 49–54. [PubMed: 3571658]
- Sharma L, Markon KE, & Clark LA (2017). Toward a theory of distinct types of 'impulsive' behaviors: A meta-analysis of self-report and behavioral measures. Psychological Bulletin, 140(2), 374–408. doi:10.1037/a0034418
- Sheehan DV (2014). The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview, Version 7.0 for DSM-5 (MINI 7.0). Medical Outcomes Systems.
- Simon TR, Swann AC, Powell KE, Potter LB, Kresnow M, and O'Carroll PW (2001). Characteristics of Impulsive Suicide Attempts and Attempters. SLTB. 32(supp), 49–59. Retrieved from https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11924695
- Smith AR, Witte TK, Teale NE, King SL, Bender TW, & Joiner TE (2008). Revisiting Impulsivity in Suicide: Implications for Civil Liability of Third Parties. Behavioral Sciences & the Law, 26(6), 779–797. Retrieved from 10.1002/bsl.848 [PubMed: 19039790]
- Tull MT, Barrett HM, McMillan ES, & Roemer L (2007). A preliminary investigation of the relationship between emotion regulation difficulties and posttraumatic stress symptoms. Behavior Therapy, 38(3), 303–313. [PubMed: 17697854]
- U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (2017). Suicide among veterans and other Americans 2001–2014. Retrieved from https://www.mentalhealth.va.gov/docs/2016suicidedatareport.pdf
- U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (2018, 10 2). Suicide prevention. Retrieved from https:// www.mentalhealth.va.gov/mentalhealth/suicide_prevention/data.asp
- Verdejo-García A, Bechara A, Recknor EC, & Perez-García M (2006). Executive dysfunction in substance dependent individuals during drug use and abstinence: an examination of the behavioral, cognitive and emotional correlates of addiction. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 12(3), 405–415. [PubMed: 16903133]

- Watson D, O'hara MW, Simms LJ, Kotov R, Chmielewski M, McDade-Montez EA, ... & Stuart S (2007). Development and validation of the Inventory of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms (IDAS). Psychological assessment, 19(3), 253. [PubMed: 17845118]
- Weathers FW, Blake DD, Schnurr PP, Kaloupek DG, Marx BP, & Keane TM (2013a). Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM–5 (CAPS-5). Boston, MA: National Center for PTSD.
- Weathers FW, Bovin MJ, Lee DJ, Sloan DM, Schnurr PP, Kaloupek DG, ... Marx BP (2018). The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM–5 (CAPS-5): Development and initial psychometric evaluation in military veterans. Psychological Assessment, 30(3), 383–395. 10.1037/pas0000486 [PubMed: 28493729]
- Weathers FW, Litz BT, Keane TM, Palmieri PA, Marx BP, & Schnurr PP (2013b). The PTSD Checklist for DSM–5 (PCL-5). Boston, MA: National Center for PTSD.
- Westheide J, Quednow BB, Kuhn KU, Hoppe C, Cooper-Mahkorn D, Hawellek B, ... & Wagner M (2008). Executive performance of depressed suicide attempters: the role of suicidal ideation. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience, 258(7), 414–421. [PubMed: 18330667]
- World Health Organization (2018). Suicide Data. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/mental_health/ prevention/suicide/suicideprevent/en/

Table 1.

Demographic and Descriptive Statistics by Suicide Attempt History

	No Suicide Attempt History	Suicide Attempt History	t	Hedges' g
	n = 29	n = 58		
	M(SD)	M(SD)		
Suicidality				
Baseline Suicidal Ideation and Self-Harm (IDAS Suicidality)	9.1(3.0)	10.2(3.2)	-1.57	-0.35
Baseline Suicidal Ideation and Self-Harm (IDAS Suicidality) at 6-weeks	8.4(2.5)	10.0(3.3)	-1.59	-0.52
Measures				
Self-Reported Impulsivity (DERS)	15.5(5.9)	14.8(5.2)	.54	0.13
Behavioral Impulsivity (DKEFS Inhibition)	10.4(2.9)	8.6(2.7)	2.82**	0.64
Cognitive Flexibility (WCST)	47.5(6.7)	43.4(6.3)	2.77 **	0.63
PTSD Symptom Severity (CAPS)	33.2(7.6)	35.9(8.5)	-1.45	-0.33
AUD Symptom Severity (AUDIT)	24.1(8.7)	24.2(11.3)	03	-0.01
Demographic Factors				
Age	39.4(11.0)	41.9(12.2)	94	-0.21
Education Years	14.2(2.0)	13.5(1.3)	2.17*	0.44
	No Suicide Attempt History	Suicide Attempt History	X ²	
	%, N	%, N		
Demographic Factors				
Gender Male	62%, 54	29%, 25	1.10	
Ethnicity Caucasian	34%, 30	18%, 16	.09	

** = p < .01;

* = p < .05.

IDAS = Inventory of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms; DERS = Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; DKEFS = Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System Tests; AUDIT = Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; CAPS = Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5.

Table 2.

Correlations between predictors and covariates

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Ever attempted suicide	-						
2. IDAS Suicidality	.18	-					
3. DERS Impulsivity	03	.27*	-				
4. WCST Cognitive Flexibility	35 **	01	.11	-			
5. DKEFS Inhibition	32**	20	12	.18	-		
6. AUDIT AUD Symptom Severity	.02	05	.03	.14	.11	-	
7. CAPS PTSD Symptom Severity	.15	.18	.31**	02	04	.11	-

N =79-84

** = p < .01;

 $^{*} = p < .05.$

IDAS = Inventory of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms; DERS = Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; DKEFS = Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System Tests; AUDIT = Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; CAPS = Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5.

¥	
Auth	
IOR N	
lanu	
uscri	
¥	

VA Author Manuscript

Table 3.

Results from hierarchical multiple regression analyses for suicidality and suicide attempt at baseline and follow-up.

		IDAS Su	IDAS Suicidality Baseline	aseline			IDAS Suicidality 6-Week	idality 6	Week				Suici	Suicide Attempt	
	Predictor Variables	β	R^2	R^2		Predictor Variables	β	R^2	R^2		Predictor Variables	β (SE)	Wald	Wald OR/ $Exp(\beta)$	95% CI
Step 1			.10	.10	Step 1			.10	.10	Step 1	DERS Impulsivity	.27	.25	.87	.51-1.5
	DERS Impulsivity	.24 *				DERS Impulsivity		17			WCST Cognitive Flexibility	.27	2.7	.64	.38-1.1
	WCST Cognitive Flexibility	03				WCST Cognitive Flexibility		.27			DKEFS Inhibition	.26*	3.9	.59	.36-1.0
	DKEFS Inhibition	17				DKEFS Inhibition		14		Step 2					
Step 2			.10	.01	Step 2			60.	.01		DERS Impulsivity	.30	96.	.75	.42–1.3
	DERS Impulsivity	.22				DERS Impulsivity	21				WCST Cognitive Flexibility	.28	2.8	.63	.37–1.1
	WCST Cognitive Flexibility	03				WCST Cognitive Flexibility	.27				DKEFS Inhibition	.27 *	4.1	.58	.3498
	DKEFS Inhibition	17				DKEFS Inhibition	15				AUDIT AUD Symptom Severity	.26	.36	1.2	.70-1.9
	AUDIT AUD Symptom Severity	03				AUDIT AUD Symptom Severity	03				CAPS PTSD Symptom Severity	.29	2.1	1.5	.86–2.6
	CAPS PTSD Symptom Severity	.08				CAPS PTSD Symptom Severity	60.								
					Step 3			.17	.08						
						DERS Impulsivity	24								
						WCST Cognitive Flexibility	.23								
						DKEFS Inhibition	12								
						AUDIT AUD Symptom Severity	01								
						CAPS PTSD Symptom Severity	.07								
						Suicidality Baseline	.28								
N -70.															
IN -/ 0,															
* = p < .05.)5.														

IDAS = Inventory of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms; DERS = Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; DKEFS = Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System Tests; AUDIT = Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; CAPS = Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5.