A Jasmonate-Activated MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 Transcriptional Loop Promotes Leaf Senescence in Arabidopsis

[Mengna Zhuo,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1258-7905) [Yasuhito Sakuraba](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0432-6767), and [Shuichi Yanagisawa1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3758-5933)

Plant Functional Biotechnology, Biotechnology Research Center, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan

ORCID IDs: [0000-0003-1258-7905](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1258-7905) (M.Z.); [0000-0002-0432-6767](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0432-6767) (Y.S.); [0000-0002-3758-5933](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3758-5933) (S.Y.).

DNA binding-with-one-finger (Dof) proteins are plant-specific transcription factors closely associated with a variety of physiological processes. Here, we show that the Dof protein family in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) functions in leaf senescence. Disruption of Dof2.1, a jasmonate (JA)-inducible gene, led to a marked reduction in promotion of leaf senescence and inhibition of root development as well as dark-induced and age-dependent leaf senescence, while overexpression of Dof2.1 promoted these processes. Additionally, the dof2.1 knockout mutant showed almost no change in the transcriptome in the absence of JA; in the presence of JA, expression of many senescence-associated genes, including MYC2, which encodes a central regulator of JA responses, was induced to a lesser extent in the dof2.1 mutant than in the wild type. Furthermore, direct activation of the MYC2 promoter by Dof2.1, along with the results of epistasis analysis, indicated that Dof2.1 enhances leaf senescence mainly by promoting MYC2 expression. Interestingly, MYC2 was also identified as a transcriptional activator responsible for JA-inducible expression of Dof2.1. Based on these results, we propose that Dof2.1 acts as an enhancer of JA-induced leaf senescence through the MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 feedforward transcriptional loop.

INTRODUCTION

DNA binding-with-one-finger (Dof) proteins are transcription factors with a highly conserved DNA binding domain (reviewed in [Yanagisawa, 2002](#page-20-0)). The DNA binding domain of Dof proteins contains a single C2–C2 zinc finger motif that is distinguishable from the zinc finger motifs in animal and yeast proteins [\(Yanagisawa, 1995, 1996\)](#page-20-0). Therefore, Dof proteins are considered unique to plants([Yanagisawa andSheen, 1998](#page-20-0)). Genes encodingDof proteins are found in a variety of photosynthetic organisms ranging from unicellular algae to flowering plants [\(Moreno-Risueno et al.,](#page-19-0) [2007](#page-19-0); [Shigyo et al., 2007\)](#page-19-0); however, the number of Dof genes varies considerably among species. For example, the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii harbors only one Dof gene, whereas flowering plants possess \sim 30 copies [\(Yanagisawa, 2015\)](#page-20-0). Although the Dof protein in C. reinhardtii is likely involved in regulation of fatty acid metabolism ([Ibáñez-Salazar et al., 2014](#page-19-0)), Dof proteins in flowering plants are involved in a variety of physiological processes(reviewed in [Yanagisawa, 2002](#page-20-0), [2015\)](#page-20-0), suggesting functional divergence of Dof proteins coupled with multiple rounds of duplication of Dof genes during evolution of flowering plants.

The Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) genome harbors 36 genes encoding Dof proteins ([Yanagisawa, 2002](#page-20-0)). Here we use the names for Dof proteins in accordance with the complete list of A. thaliana Dof proteins [\(Yanagisawa, 2002](#page-20-0)), although synonyms are alsomentioned, if any. Four closely relatedDof proteins (CYCLING DOF FACTOR1 [CDF1]/Dof5.5, CDF2/Dof5.2, CDF3/Dof3.3, and CDF5/Dof1.10) control photoperiodic flowering [\(Imaizumi et al.,](#page-19-0)

www.plantcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1105/tpc.19.00297

[2005;](#page-19-0) [Fornara et al., 2009](#page-18-0)), whereas COGWEEL1/Dof1.5 and CDF4/Dof2.3 are associated with phytochrome signaling and gibberellic acid (GA) biosynthesis [\(Park et al., 2003;](#page-19-0) [Bueso et al.,](#page-18-0) [2016\)](#page-18-0). Two homologous Dof proteins, DOF AFFECTING GER-MINATION1/Dof3.7 and DOF AFFECTING GERMINATION2/ Dof2.5, antagonistically regulate GA biosynthesis and seed germination ([Gualberti et al., 2002; Gabriele et al., 2010](#page-18-0)), whereas DOF6/Dof3.2 promotes the expression of abscisic acid (ABA) biosynthesis- and signaling-associated genes, and negatively regulates seed germination [\(Rueda-Romero et al., 2012\)](#page-19-0). Additionally, DOF6/Dof3.2 physically interacts with REPRESSOR OF GA-LIKE2, a transcriptional repressor of GA signaling, to regulate seed germination ([Ravindran et al., 2017\)](#page-19-0). OBF BINDING PROTEIN1 (OBP1)/Dof3.4, OBP2/Dof1.1, and OBP3/Dof3.6 were initially identified as partners of OBF4, a basic leucine zipper transcription factor that interacts with auxin and salicylic acidresponsive ocs elements first identified in the promoters of the octopine synthase gene [\(Chen et al., 1996](#page-18-0)). Subsequently, OBP1 was shown to play a key role in cell cycle re-entry by regulating cell cycle-related genes including CYCLIN D3;3 and Dof2.3 [\(Skirycz](#page-19-0) [et al., 2008\)](#page-19-0), while OBP2 and OBP3 were found to influence glucosinolate metabolism ([Skirycz et al., 2006\)](#page-20-0) and phytochrome signaling ([Ward et al., 2005](#page-20-0)), respectively. Furthermore, Dof4.2 influences phenylpropanoid metabolism [\(Skirycz et al., 2007](#page-19-0)), and Dof4.2, together with its related Dof protein Dof4.4, regulates shoot branching and seed coat formation ([Zou et al., 2013\)](#page-20-0). Moreover, Dof4.7, Dof5.1, and STOMATAL CARPENTER1/Dof5.7 are involved in floral organ abscission [\(Wei et al., 2010\)](#page-20-0), adaxialabaxial polarity ([Kim et al., 2010](#page-19-0)), and guard cell maturation [\(Negi et al., 2013](#page-19-0)), respectively. Involvement of HIGH CAMBIAL ACTIVITY2 (HCA2)/Dof5.6 and Dof5.8 in vascular development has also been shown ([Guo et al., 2009](#page-19-0); [Konishi and Yanagisawa,](#page-19-0) [2015\)](#page-19-0). However, nearly half of the Dof proteins in Arabidopsis have not yet been characterized, implying that potentially several critical roles of Dof proteins remain unidentified.

¹Address correspondence to asyanagi@mail.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors [\(www.plantcell.org\)](http://www.plantcell.org) is: Shuichi Yanagisawa [\(asyanagi@mail.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp\)](mailto:asyanagi@mail.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp).

IN A NUTSHELL

DESCRIPTION AND INCOME.

Background: Dof (DNA-binding with one finger) proteins are plant-specific transcription factors associated with a variety of physiological processes; however, it has not been shown that Dof proteins are involved in jasmonate (JA) responses. JA and its derivatives are lipid-derived phytohormones involved in a variety of plant processes, including leaf senescence, inhibition of root elongation, and responses to wounding and pathogen. In Arabidopsis, the bHLH transcription factor MYC2 is a central regulator of JA responses. Previous intensive studies revealed that posttranslational regulation of MYC2 occupies a central position in the mechanism underlying JA signaling and responses: In the absence of JA, JASMONATE-ZIM-DOMAIN (JAZ) proteins form complexes with MYC2, the TOPLESS transcriptional co-repressor, and NOVEL INTERACTOR OF JAZ (NINJA) adaptor protein to inactivate MYC2. In the presence of JA, however, JAZ proteins interact with CORONATINE INSENSITIVE 1 (COI1), an F-box protein of the SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, and then JAZ proteins are degraded by the 26S proteasome, leading to the release of MYC2 from JAZ containing-complexes.

Question: MYC2 expression is strongly induced by JA. Thus, the induction of MYC2 expression might be associated with JA responses. However, the biological significance and underlying molecular mechanism of this induction are not yet understood.

Findings: Disruption of Dof2.1, a JA-inducible gene, led to a marked reduction in promotion of leaf senescence and inhibition of root development, while overexpression of Dof2.1 promoted these processes, indicating that Dof2.1 functions as an enhancer of JA-induced leaf senescence. Furthermore, Dof2.1 enhanced JA-induced leaf senescence by directly activating the MYC2 promoter. MYC2 was also identified as a transcriptional activator responsible for JAinducible expression of Dof2.1. Thus, Dof2.1 acts as an enhancer of JA-induced leaf senescence through a MYC2-Dof2.1-MYC2 feedforward transcriptional loop. This finding also indicates that a Dof protein is involved in JA responses.

Next steps: Although we revealed that Dof2.1 directly promotes MYC2 expression, other genes whose expression levels are directly regulated by Dof2.1 are yet to be identified. Thus, identification of other direct target genes of Dof2.1 may expand our understanding of the function of Dof2.1 in stress responses.

Jasmonate (jasmonic acid, JA) and its derivatives are lipidderived phytohormones involved in a variety of plant processes such as leaf senescence, root elongation inhibition, seed fertility and development, and wounding and pathogen responses (reviewed in [Wasternack, 2007; Wasternack and Hause, 2013](#page-20-0); [Chini](#page-18-0) [et al., 2016;](#page-18-0) [Zhang et al., 2017](#page-20-0)). In Arabidopsis, MYC2, a bHLHtype transcription factor, plays a central role in JA responses (reviewed in [Kazan and Manners, 2013\)](#page-19-0). MYC2 binds to the G-box motif and its variants in the regulatory region of many JA responserelated genes ([Yadav et al., 2005](#page-20-0); [Dombrecht et al., 2007; Godoy](#page-18-0) [et al., 2011\)](#page-18-0) to promote or reduce their expression [\(Dombrecht](#page-18-0) [et al., 2007](#page-18-0)). Consistent with this, disruption and overexpression of MYC2 affect most JA-related biological processes in Arabidopsis [\(Qi et al., 2015;](#page-19-0) [Vijayan et al., 1998](#page-20-0); [Lorenzo et al., 2004;](#page-19-0) [Chen et al.,](#page-18-0) [2011\)](#page-18-0). Intensive studies revealed that posttranslational regulation of MYC2 occupies a central position in the mechanism underlying JA signaling and responses [\(Chini et al., 2007](#page-18-0); [Thines et al., 2007](#page-20-0); [Pauwels et al., 2010; Shin et al., 2012; Jung et al., 2015](#page-19-0)). In the absence of JA, JASMONATE-ZIM-DOMAIN (JAZ) proteins form complexes with MYC2, TOPLESS transcriptional corepressor, and NOVEL INTERACTOR OF JAZ (NINJA) adaptor protein to inactivate MYC2 [\(Pauwels et al., 2010](#page-19-0)). In the presence of JA, however, JAZ proteins interact with CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1 (COI1), an F-box protein of the SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase complex ([Xie et al., 1998](#page-20-0)). Because the JAZ–COI1 complex binds to jasmonoyl-L-isoleucine, a bioactive JA derivative ([Fonseca et al.,](#page-18-0) [2009\)](#page-18-0), it acts as a receptor in the JA signaling pathway ([Sheard](#page-19-0) [et al., 2010\)](#page-19-0). JAZ proteins are subsequently degraded by the 26S proteasome. The JA-dependent degradation of JAZ proteins releases MYC2 from JAZ-containing complexes, thereby triggering JA responses ([Thines et al., 2007;](#page-20-0) [Chini et al., 2007](#page-18-0)). By contrast, although MYC2 expression is strongly induced by JA [\(Lorenzo et al., 2004\)](#page-19-0), the molecular mechanism underlying this rapid induction of MYC2 expression has not been well understood. However, it may be relevant to JA responses because overexpression of MYC2 enhances JA responses in Arabidopsis [\(Lorenzo et al., 2004\)](#page-19-0).

Leaf senescence is the final stage of leaf development that includes degradation of intracellular organelles and decomposition of macromolecules for remobilization of nutrients from senescing leaves to developing tissues and/or storage organs ([Woo et al., 2019\)](#page-20-0). Leaf senescence is induced by unfavorable environmental stimuli, such as drought, high salinity, light deprivation, and pathogen attack, while the initiation of leaf senescence is tightly regulated by endogenous developmental factors, such as the levels of phytohormones ([Woo et al., 2019\)](#page-20-0). Among phytohormones, ABA, JA, ethylene, salicylic acid, and strigolactones are known to promote leaf senescence [\(Jibran](#page-19-0) [et al., 2013\)](#page-19-0). During the JA-induced leaf senescence, MYC2 has been shown to directly activate genes encoding chlorophyll catabolic enzymes, including STAY-GREEN1 (SGR1) and NON-YELLOW COLORING1 [\(Zhu et al., 2015](#page-20-0)).

In this study, we explore new connections between the Dof plant-specific transcription factor family and regulation of biological processes unique to plants. By checking the expression patterns of Arabidopsis Dof genes, we found that JA treatment induced expression of Dof2.1 (At2g28510). Thus, we investigated the role of Dof2.1 in JA responses. The results revealed that Dof2.1 functions as an enhancer of JA-induced leaf senescence. Interestingly, MYC2 directly regulated the JA-inducible expression of Dof2.1, whereas Dof2.1 promoted MYC2 expression by directly binding to the MYC2 promoter, indicating the presence of a feedforward transcriptional loop. The physiological significance of this MYC2- and Dof2.1-containing feedforward transcriptional loop was verified by epistasis analysis between Dof2.1 and MYC2 using the myc2 knockout (myc2-KO) mutant overexpressing Dof2.1 and the dof2.1 knockout (dof2.1-KO) mutant overexpressing MYC2. The results in this study therefore reveal a critical component of the machinery responsible for JA-induced leaf senescence.

RESULTS

JA-inducible Expression of Dof2.1

We first checked the expression patterns of 24 Arabidopsis Dof genes using the Arabidopsis eFP browser [\(http://bar.utoronto.ca/](http://bar.utoronto.ca/efp/cgi-bin/efpWeb.cgi) [efp/cgi-bin/efpWeb.cgi](http://bar.utoronto.ca/efp/cgi-bin/efpWeb.cgi)). The results of this analysis suggested that JA might activate Dof2.1 (At2g28510; [Supplemental Figure 1\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). Three Dof genes that were phylogenetically closely related to Dof2.1 (TARGET OF MONOPTEROS 6 [TMO6]/Dof5.3, HCA2/ Dof5.6, and DOF6/Dof3.2; [Yanagisawa, 2015\)](#page-20-0) appeared to play distinct roles for the following reasons: TMO6/Dof5.3 and HCA2/ Dof5.6 were not induced by JA [\(Supplemental Figure 1](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1), data on Dof3.2 expression was not available in the database); and HCA2/ Dof5.6 and DOF6/Dof3.2 have been shown to be involved in vascular development [\(Guo et al., 2009](#page-19-0)) and ABA responses [\(Rueda-Romero et al., 2012](#page-19-0)), respectively. To evaluate the possibility that Dof2.1 is associated with JA responses, we first confirmed the JA-inducible expression of Dof2.1 in rosette leaves of 3-week–old plants treated with, or left without, 100 μ M of methyl jasmonate (MeJA), a bioactive JA derivative, by RT-qPCR (Figure 1A). Expression of Dof2.1 increased during MeJA treatment, similar to expression of VEGETATIVE STORAGE PROTEIN1 (VSP1), a typical JA-inducible gene ([Berger et al., 1995\)](#page-18-0). However, TMO6/Dof5.3, HCA2/Dof5.6, and DOF6/Dof3.2 were not induced by MeJA treatment [\(Supplemental Figure 2\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1).

To further investigate the JA-inducible expression of Dof2.1, we generated transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing the b-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter enzyme under the control of the Dof2.1 promoter (proDof2.1-GUS lines). MeJA treatment increased GUS activity in proDof2.1-GUS seedlings significantly (Figure 1B). These results indicate that, owing to the JA-inducible Dof2.1 promoter, JA treatment induced Dof2.1 expression within 4 h (Figure 1A).

Dof2.1 Enhances JA-induced Leaf Senescence

To investigate the role of Dof2.1 in JA responses, we used an Arabidopsis T-DNA insertion line (GK-668G12-022986). Because the T-DNA was inserted in the second exon of Dof2.1, transcripts of Dof2.1 were not detected in this line [\(Supplemental Figure 3\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1), indicating that it was a dof2.1-KO mutant. We also generated two independent transgenic Arabidopsis lines expressing a Dof2.1- GFP fusion protein under the control of the Cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter. The expression level of Dof2.1-GFP in both transgenic lines was more than 15-fold higher than that of Dof2.1 in wild-type plants [\(Supplemental Figure 4\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). These lines, hereafter

Figure 1. MeJA-Inducible Expression of Dof2.1.

(A) MeJA-induced accumulation of Dof2.1 transcripts. Total RNA was prepared from rosette leaves detached from 3-week–old wild-type plants and then floated on 3 mM of MES buffer containing 100 μ M of MeJA for the indicated times. Transcript levels of Dof2.1 and VSP1 were normalized first against ACT2 transcript levels and then against the value obtained from samples at time zero. Data represent the mean \pm sp (sp) of five biological replicates (one rosette leaf per replicate). The different letters above each bar indicate that means differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test.

(B) GUS expression under the control of the Dof2.1 promoter $(-1,847)$ to +153 bp relative to the transcription start site) in 7-d-old seedlings subjected to MeJA (100 μ M) treatment (MeJA) or no treatment (Mock) for 6 h. Each experiment was conducted twice with similar results.

referred to as Dof2.1 overexpression (Dof2.1-OX) lines, were then subjected to phenotypic analysis.

JA promotes leaf senescence ([Weidhase et al., 1987\)](#page-20-0), which is used as a marker for JA responses. Thus, we examined JAinduced leaf senescence in wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1- OX plants. Rosette leaves detached from wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX plants were similar in color before MeJA treatment; however, Dof2.1-OX leaves turned yellow much faster during 3 d of MeJA treatment, while dof2.1-KO leaves remained relatively green throughout the treatment (Figure 2A). Additionally, after 3 d of MeJA treatment, chlorophyll and carotenoid contents and maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (F_v/F_m) were significantly higher in dof2.1-KO leaves than in wild-type and Dof2.1-OX leaves (Figures 2B to 2D), although no differences in yellowing, the chlorophyll content, and the F_v/F_m were observed among wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX leaves after 3 d of mock treatment ([Supplemental Figure 5\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). These results indicate that JA-induced leaf senescence is delayed by the disruption of Dof2.1 and promoted by the overexpression of Dof2.1.

This conclusion was further verified by phenotypic analysis of three independent dof2.1-KO complementation lines, expressing Dof2.1 under the control of its native promoter (pro-Dof2.1:Dof2.1/dof2.1-KO), and estradiol-inducible Dof2.1 transgenic

Figure 2. Correlation between *Dof2.1* Expression Level and MeJA-Induced Leaf Senescence.

(A) to (D) Effects of MeJA on color (A), total chlorophyll content (B), total carotenoid content (C), and the F_v/F_m (D) of fifth-rosette leaves detached from 3w-old plants of the wild-type, dof2.1 knockout mutant (dof2.1-KO), and two independent transgenic Dof2.1-overexpressing lines (Dof2.1-OX lines L1 and L2). Detached rosette leaves were floated on 3 mM of MES buffer containing 100 μ M of MeJA for the indicated times. In (B) to (D), data represent the mean \pm sp of sox biological replicates (one rosette leaf per replicate). The same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test. Chl, chlorophyll; DT, days after the beginning of MeJA treatment; FW, fresh weight; WT, wild type.

(E) Expression levels of Dof2.1 and UBQ10 in 7-d-old seedlings of the estradiol-inducible Dof2.1 transgenic line (Dof2.1-EI) treated with 10 μ M of estradiol for the indicated times. Dof2.1 and UBQ10 transcript levels were normalized first against transcript levels of ACT2 and then against the value obtained from samples at time zero. The same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test. Data represent the mean \pm sp of six biological replicates (six seedlings per replicate).

(F) and (G) Leaf color (F) and total chlorophyll content (G) of 7-d-old Dof2.1-EI seedlings floated on 3 mM of MES buffer containing 10 µM of estradiol and then on 3 mM of MES buffer containing both 10 μ M of estradiol and 100 μ M of MeJA for the indicated times. In (G), data present the mean \pm so of six biological replicates (six seedlings per replicate), and the same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test. Each experiment was conducted twice (A) to (E) or three times ([F] and [G]) with similar results. Chl, chlorophyll; EST, estradiol; FW, fresh weight.

line (Dof2.1-EI). Because Dof2.1 is expressed under the control of a β -estradiol-inducible promoter ([Zuo et al., 2000](#page-20-0)) in the Dof2.1-EI line, estradiol treatment rapidly induced Dof2.1 expression (Figure 2E). A delay in JA-induced leaf senescence by the dof2.1 mutation was mostly diminished in three complementation lines, although the chlorophyll content retained slightly higher in two complementation lines after 3 d of MeJA treatment, compared with wild type [\(Supplemental Figure 6](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1)). On the other hand, JA-induced leaf senescence of the Dof2.1-EI line was enhanced by the presence of estradiol, while estradiol alone did not affect leaf senescence (Figures 2F and 2G). Furthermore, we show that JA-induced leaf senescence was facilitated in a T-DNA activation tagging line (dof2.1-D) in which Dof2.1 expression was enhanced by the insertion of a T-DNA carrying constitutive enhancer elements into the promoter region [\(Supplemental Figure 7](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1)). These results conclusively indicate that Dof2.1 acts as an enhancer of JAinduced leaf senescence.

Dof2.1 Promotes Both Dark-induced and Age-dependent Leaf Senescence

JA signaling promotes leaf senescence in response to light deprivation and natural aging [\(Qi et al., 2015\)](#page-19-0). Similar to expression of SGR1, a senescence-associated marker gene [\(Sakuraba et al.,](#page-19-0) [2014b\)](#page-19-0), expression of Dof2.1 increased during both dark-induced and age-dependent leaf senescence, although Dof2.1 expression peaked earlier than SGR1 expression in both dark-induced and natural senescence (Figures 3A and 3B). Thus, we investigated the effects of Dof2.1 disruption and overexpression on dark-induced and age-dependent leaf senescence. The dof2.1-KO mutant exhibited delayed leaf yellowing, retaining of chlorophyll during dark-induced senescence; however, both phenotypes were enhanced in the Dof2.1-OX lines (Figures 3C and 3D). Furthermore, under dark treatment, the dof2.1-KO mutant and Dof2.1-OX line showed contrasting differences in the levels of photosynthesisassociated proteins (RbcL, PsbC, Lhcb1, and Lhcb4; Figure 3E). Furthermore, although wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX plants did not show any differences in the number of rosette leaves at bolting and the time to bolting [\(Supplemental Figure 8](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1)), the dof2. 1 KO mutation and overexpression of Dof2.1 also caused contrasting differences in the chlorophyll content and F_v/F_m of 6week–old plants but not in those of 4-week–old plants (Figures 3F to 3I). These results suggest that Dof2.1 also promotes both darkinduced and age-dependent leaf senescence.

Dof2.1 Enhances JA-Dependent Inhibition of Root Development and Germination as Well as Wounding Responses

Because JA signaling also inhibits root growth and elongation [\(Dathe et al., 1981](#page-18-0)), we investigated JA responses in roots of wildtype, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX seedlings (Figure 4). We measured the primary root length, lateral root number, total lateral root length, and fresh root weight of wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2. 1-OX seedlings grown in the absence of MeJA for 5 d and then grown in the presence or absence of 5μ M of MeJA for 4 d. Primary root length and fresh root weight of wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX seedlings were similar when they were transferred onto MeJA-containing plates (Figures 4A to 4C). Furthermore, root development of wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX seedlings that were additionally grown for 4 d in the absence of MeJA was comparable. However, MeJA-induced inhibition of root development was alleviated in dof2.1-KO seedlings but enhanced in Dof2.1-OX seedlings (Figures 4A, 4D, and 4G). We note that attenuation and enhancement of JA-induced inhibition of primary root elongation was similarly observed in the dof2.1-KO and Dof2.1-OX seedlings, respectively, that were continuously grown in the presence of MeJA ever since germination on MeJAcontaining plates ([Supplemental Figure 9\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). Moreover, inhibition of germination by JA [\(Dave et al., 2011](#page-18-0)) was enhanced by overexpression of Dof2.1 but attenuated by the dof2.1 KO mutation [\(Supplemental Figure 10\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1).

Consistent with the fact that wounding response is a typical JA-regulated process [\(Yan et al., 2007](#page-20-0)), wounding was found to elevate the expression level of Dof2.1 in parallel with increases in the expression of a wounding response marker gene, LIP-OXYGENASE 2 (LOX2; Figure 5A; [Reymond et al., 2000\)](#page-19-0). Wounding was also shown to activate the Dof2.1 promoter (Figure 5B). Thus, we further investigated wounding responses in dof2.1-KO and Dof2.1-OX plants. The results of the analysis suggested that the response to wounding was reduced and enhanced in dof2.1-KO and Dof2.1-OX plants, respectively (Figure 5C), accompanied with decreased or increased expression of LOX2 marker gene (Figure 5D). Interestingly, it was found that wounding-induced expression of MYC2, a key gene for JA signaling, was also modified in dof2.1-KO and Dof2.1-OX plants (Figure 5D). These results suggest that Dof2.1 may be involved in not only JA-induced leaf senescence but also other JA responses.

Disruption of Dof2.1 Modifies Expression Levels of JA Signaling- and Senescence-Related Genes in the Presence of MeJA

Next, to investigate the molecular mechanism underlying Dof2.1 mediated enhancement of leaf senescence, we performed transcriptome analysis using wild-type and dof2.1-KO rosette leaves treated with or without MeJA. The results showed minimal differences in gene expression between the dof2.1-KO mutant and wild-type leaves in the absence of MeJA but significant differences in expression levels of numerous genes in the presence of MeJA; compared with wild-type leaves, the dof2.1-KO mutant leaves exhibited significant upregulation (>2-fold) of 1,745 genes and significant downregulation (<0.5-fold) of 665 genes in the presence of MeJA (Figure 6A; [Supplemental Data Sets 1 and 2\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1).

Hierarchical average linkage cluster analysis further clarified the role of Dof2.1 in JA-responsive gene expression ([Supplemental](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) [Figure 11\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). Importantly, 263 genes out of 533 genes whose expression levels were strongly elevated by MeJA treatment (>5 fold) in wild-type leaves were significantly downregulated in the dof2.1-KO leaves in the presence of MeJA, while 309 genes out of 692 genes whose expression levels were strongly reduced by MeJA treatment (<0.2-fold) in wild-type leaves were significantly upregulated in the dof2.1-KO leaves in the presence of MeJA (Figure 6B). Scatterplot analysis further clarified that the dof2.1 KO mutation significantly alleviated both MeJA-dependent upregulation and downregulation in the gene expression profile (Figure 6C). These results are concordant with the results of phenotypic analysis and suggest that Dof2.1 globally enhances JA-responsive gene expression during JA-induced leaf senescence.

To verify the results of transcriptome analysis, expression levels of typical JA response- and leaf senescence-associated genes in wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX plants during JA-induced leaf senescence were examined by RT-qPCR (Figure 7A). The results of RT-qPCR were consistent with those of DNA microarray-based transcriptome analysis and further revealed that dof2.1 KO mutation and Dof2.1 overexpression exerted opposite effects on expression of MeJA-responsive genes. Importantly, the results clearly demonstrate that expression levels

Figure 3. Dof2.1-Mediated Acceleration of Dark-Induced and Age-Dependent Leaf Senescence.

(A) and (B) Upregulation of Dof2.1 transcripts during dark-induced (A) and age-dependent (B) leaf senescence. Total RNA was isolated from the fifth rosette leaf detached from 3-week–old plants and floated on 3 mM of MES buffer in the dark for the indicated times (A) and from the fifth rosette leaf of 3- to 6week-old plants grown under continuous light (B). Expression levels of *Dof2.1* and SGR1 (control) were normalized first against transcript levels of ACT2 and then against the value obtained from samples at time zero (A) or 3-week-old plants (B). Data represent the mean \pm so of four biological replicates (one rosette leaf per replicate). The same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test. (C) to (E) Color (C), total chlorophyll content (D), and levels of photosynthesis-associated proteins (E) in fifth rosette leaves detached from 3-week–old wildtype, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX (lines L1 and L2) plants. Detached rosette leaves were floated on 3 mM of MES buffer in the dark for the indicated times. In (D), data represent the mean \pm so of six biological replicates (one rosette leaf per replicate). Asterisks (\degree P < 0.01) above each bar indicate significant differences identified between wild type and other samples using Student's ttest. In (E), PsbC, Lhcb1, and Lhcb4 proteins were detected by immunoblotting, while the large subunit of RbsC was detected by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining. α -Tubulin was used as a loading control. FW, fresh weight; DDI, days of dark incubation; WT, wild type.

(F) to (I) Color (F), total chlorophyll content (G), F_v/F_m image (H), and F_v/F_m values (I) of the rosette leaves of 4- and 6-week–old wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX (line L1) plants grown under continuous light. In (G) and (I), data represent the mean \pm sp of six biological replicates (one rosette leaf per replicate), and asterisks $(*P < 0.01)$ above each bar indicate significant differences identified between wild type and other samples using Student's t test. Each experiment was conducted twice (A), (B), (E) to (I) or three times (C) and (D) with similar results. FW, fresh weight; WT, wild type.

Figure 4. Dof2.1-Dependent Enhancement of MeJA-Mediated Inhibition of Root Elongation.

(A) Root growth of wild-type, dof2.1-KO, Dof2.1-OX line L1, and Dof2.1-OX line L2 seedlings grown on half strength MS agar plates for 5 d (upper representations, 0 d after the beginning of MeJA treatment) and then on half strength MS agar plates containing 5 μ M of MeJA or no MeJA (Mock) for an of Dof2.1 affect expression of MYC2 [\(Supplemental Data Sets 1](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) [and 2](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1); Figure 7A). Unlike most genes under the control of Dof2.1, MYC2 expression in the dof2.1-KO mutant and Dof2.1-OX lines was modified significantly in the absence of MeJA (Figures 6A, 7A, and B7B). Thus, we hypothesized that Dof2.1 enhances leaf senescence by elevating MYC2 expression. This hypothesis was further supported by the observation that expression levels of many MYC2-regulated genes, which were previously identified using a myc2-KO mutant (jin1-9; [Dombrecht et al., 2007](#page-18-0)), were also modified by the dof2.1 KO mutation (Figure 6C; [Supplemental](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) [Figure 12](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1)). Furthermore, time-course analysis revealed that changes in MYC2 expression observed in the dof2.1-KO mutant andDof2.1-OX lines appeared to precede those in LOX2, a MYC2 regulated gene, during 3 d of MeJA treatment (Figure 7). We also show that the rapid induction of MYC2 expression by MeJA (within 3 h) was reduced and enhanced in the dof2.1-KO and Dof2.1-OX leaves, respectively [\(Supplemental Figure 13](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1)), consistent with the modifications of wound-induced MYC2 expression in the dof2.1- KO and Dof2.1-OX plants (Figure 5D).

Dof2.1 Directly Activates the MYC2 Promoter

To further investigate the relationship between Dof2.1 function and MYC2 expression, we first examined the relationship between the expression level of Dof2.1 and MYC2 in the Dof2.1-EI line (Figure 2E). In this line, expression ofMYC2 and a MYC2-regulated gene, VSP1 [\(Berger et al., 1995\)](#page-18-0), was elevated during 10 μ M of estradiol treatment (Figure 8A), similar to elevation of Dof2.1 expression shown in Figure 2E, indicating a positive relationship between Dof2.1 and MYC2 expression levels. Furthermore, the MYC2 promoter region $(-1,051$ to $+494$ bp relative to the transcription start site) harbors a number of putative Dof binding motifs: 5'-(T/A)AAAG-3' or its inverse sequence, 5'-CTTT(T/A)-3' (Figure 8B; [Yanagisawa and Schmidt, 1999](#page-20-0)). Thus, we divided the MYC2 promoter into five regions and examined direct binding of Dof2.1 to these regions in vivo in a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay using *Dof2.1*-OX plants. Among the five regions in the MYC2 promoter (Figure 8B), regions b and d were slightly or highly enriched in the immunoprecipitate, whereas other regions showed no enrichment (Figure 8C). Furthermore, cotransfection assays with reporter plasmids containing the luciferase (LUC) gene driven by the 35S promoter (control) or MYC2 promoter (Figure 8D) revealed that Dof2.1 activated the MYC2 promoter but not the 35S control promoter (Figure 8E). These results strongly suggest that Dof2.1 transactivates the MYC2 promoter through its interaction with the Dof binding sites in regions b and d.

myc2 KO Mutation Is Epistatic to Dof2.1 Overexpression in the Modulation of JA-Induced Leaf Senescence and Root Growth Inhibition

Genetic epistasis between Dof2.1 and MYC2 was analyzed to obtain evidence for the regulation of MYC2 expression by Dof2.1 and to determine the physiological significance of this interaction. The Dof2.1-OX line was crossed to the myc2-KO mutant (SALK_083483), previously referred to as the atmyc2-2 mutant [\(Boter et al., 2004\)](#page-18-0). Then, phenotypic traits of plants homozygous for both the atmyc2-2 mutation and 35S-Dof2.1-GFP transgene (hereafter referred to as the Dof2.1-OX atmyc2-2 line) were compared with those of wild-type, Dof2.1-OX, and atmyc2-2 plants in the presence and absence of MeJA (Figure 8F; [Supplemental Figure 15\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). In the absence of MeJA, no differences were detected in leaf color and F_v/F_m among the different genetic backgrounds. In the presence of MeJA, Dof2.1-OX leaves turned yellow much faster than wild-type leaves, whereas atmyc2-2 mutant leaves remained green, similar to the result reported previously by [Qi et al. \(2015\)](#page-19-0). Like atmyc2-2 leaves, rosette leaves of the Dof2.1-OX atmyc2-2 line also remained green (Figure 8F). We note that the expression level of Dof2.1 was similar in the Dof2. 1-OX and Dof2.1-OX atmyc2-2 lines [\(Supplemental Figure 14\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). Furthermore, the effects of Dof2.1 overexpression on the chlorophyll content and F_v/F_m of MeJA-treated leaves were abolished by the atmyc2-2 mutation, and no difference was observed in the chlorophyll content and F_v/F_m between the atmyc2-2 mutant and Dof2.1-OX atmyc2-2 line (Figures 8G and 8H). Like atmyc2-2 leaves, but unlike Dof2.1-OX leaves, Dof2.1-OX atmyc2-2 leaves showed resistance to dark treatment [\(Supplemental Fig](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1)[ure 15\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). Furthermore, Dof2.1-OX, atmyc2-2, and Dof2.1-OX atmyc2-2 seedlings showed comparable root growth in the absence of MeJA; however, in the presence of MeJA, root growth of wild-type seedlings was better than that of Dof2.1-OX seedlings but worse than those of atmyc2-2 and Dof2.1-OX atmyc2-2 seedlings [\(Supplemental Figure 16](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1)). These results indicate that MYC2 is necessary for the manifestation of effects by Dof2.1 overexpression on JA-induced leaf senescence and root growth inhibition.

MYC2 Is Responsible for JA-dependent Dof2.1 Expression

Expression of both Dof2.1 and VSP1 (a MYC2-regulated gene) was similarly induced by MeJA treatment (Figure 1A). Therefore, we investigated possible involvement of MYC2 in JA-inducible Dof2.1 expression. We generated transgenic Arabidopsis lines

Figure 4. (continued).

additional 4 d (lower representations, 4 d after the beginning of MeJA treatment). Scale bars = 1 cm. DT, days after the beginning of MeJA treatment; WT, wild type.

⁽B) and (C) Primary root length (B) and fresh root weight (C) of wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX (lines L1 and L2) at 0 d after the beginning of MeJA treatment. Data represent the mean \pm so of six biological replicates (one seedling per replicate), and the same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test. DT, days after the beginning of MeJA treatment; WT, wild type.

⁽D) to (G) Primary root length (D), fresh root weight (E), lateral root number (F), and lateral root length (G) of wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX (lines L1 and L2) seedlings at 4 d after the beginning of MeJA treatment. Data represent the mean \pm so of six biological replicates (one seedling per replicate), and the same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test. Each experiment was conducted twice with similar results. DT, days after the beginning of MeJA treatment.

Figure 5. Dof2.1 Modulates Wounding Responses.

(A)The effect of wounding stress on expression ofDof2.1andLOX2in wild-type seedlings. Total RNAfrom rosette leaves of 3-week–old wild-type plants that were wounded and then incubated under continuous light condition for indicated periods was used for RT-qPCR analysis. Expression levels of *Dof2.1* and LOX2 were normalized first against transcript levels of ACT2 and then against the value obtained with rosette leaves before wounding treatment. Data represent the mean \pm sp of four biological replicates (one rosette leaf per replicate), and the same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test.

(B) GUS expression under the control of the Dof2.1 promoter (-1,847 to +153 bp relative to the transcription start site) in 3-week-old plants subjected to wounding stress or no treatment (Mock) for 6 h. Wounding stress was applied by blades.

(C) Rosette leaves of 2.5-week–old wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX L1 plants that were wounded by cutting with a blade and then incubated under continuous light condition for 5 d. WT, wild type.

(D) LOX2 and MYC2 expression in rosette leaves of 2.5-week-old wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX L1 plants that were wounded and then incubated for the indicated periods. Expression levels of LOX2 and MYC2 were normalized first against transcript levels of ACT2 and then against the value obtained from wild-type leaves at time zero. Data represent the mean \pm so of four biological replicates (one rosette leaf per replicate), and asterisks (P < 0.05; $"P$ < 0.01) indicate significant differences identified between wild type and other seedlings by Student's t test. Each experiment was conducted twice with similar results. WT, wild type.

overexpressing MYC2 (MYC2-OX lines), and those showing >5 fold higher mRNA levels than wild-type plants were selected for subsequent analysis ([Supplemental Figure 17\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). In the presence of MeJA, JA-induced Dof2.1 expression was mostly diminished in the atmyc2-2 mutant but enhanced in the MYC2-OX lines, suggesting that MYC2 is a regulator of Dof2.1 expression (Figure 9A). In the absence of MeJA, Dof2.1 expression was slightly but significantly higher in the MYC2-OX lines than in the atmyc2-2 mutant (Figure 9A).

MYC2 binds to the G-box motif (CACGTG) and its variants such as the E-box (CANNTG), G/A box (CACGAG), and G/C box (CACGCG) to regulate expression of target genes [\(Dombrecht](#page-18-0)

• Genes regulated in dof2.1-KO and also regulated by the treatment with JA $[(x > log₂2 or x < -log₂2)$ and $(y > log₂5 or y < -log₂5)]$

• MYC2-regulated genes

(A) Venn diagrams showing the overlap of upregulated (>2-fold) or downregulated (<0.5-fold) genes in the dof2.1-KO mutant in the presence of MeJA (100 mM) with those in the absence of MeJA (Mock). Numbers of genes are shown. Representative MeJA-inducible genes upregulated or downregulated in the dof2.1-KO mutant in both presence and absence of MeJA are also indicated. WT, wild type.

[et al., 2007](#page-18-0); [Godoy et al., 2011](#page-18-0)). Because the Dof2.1 promoter $(-1,347$ to $+153$ bp relative to the transcription start site) harbors two E-boxes (Figure 9B), we performed a ChIP assay using MYC2- OX plants to determine whether MYC2 binds directly to the Dof2.1 promoter in vivo. The results indicated strong binding of MYC2 to region b in the Dof2.1 promoter containing the second E-box motif (Figure 9C). Next, to investigate whether MYC2 activates the Dof2.1 promoter, we performed protoplast cotransfection assays using an effector plasmid containing the MYC2 gene and a reporter plasmid containing the LUC reporter gene under the control of the Dof2.1 promoter (Figure 9D). In the protoplasts, MYC2 expression increased activity of the Dof2.1 promoter but not that of the 35S promoter (control; Figure 9E), consistent with the effect of MYC2 overexpression on Dof2.1 expression in planta (Figure 9A).

Furthermore, two mutant Dof2.1 promoters (Mut1 and Mut2), in which either one of the two E-box motifs was disrupted, were differentially activated by MYC2 expression (Figure 9E). Although the disruption of the first E-box motif $(-1,235$ to $-1,230$ bp) did not affect transactivation of the Dof2.1 promoter by MYC2, disruption of the second E-box motif $(-1,016$ to $-1,011$ bp) significantly decreased transactivation of the Dof2.1 promoter by MYC2 (Figure 9E). This result suggests that MYC2 activates the Dof2.1 promoter mainly by direct interaction with the second E-box motif in region b; these results were consistent with those of the ChIP assay.

The finding that MYC2 is a transcriptional activator responsible for JA-inducible expression of Dof2.1 was further validated by protoplast transient assays using protoplasts prepared from wildtype and atmyc2-2 leaves. In wild-type protoplasts, MeJA treatment strongly activated the Dof2.1 promoter, and disruption of the second E-box motif significantly diminished JA response of the Dof2.1 promoter (Figure 9F). However, in atmyc2-2 protoplasts, MeJA treatment caused only minimal activation of any Dof2.1 promoters (Figure 9F). Thus, the second E-box motif in the Dof2.1 promoter mediates both transactivation by MYC2 and activation by JA.

The MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 Feedforward Transcriptional Loop Underlies the Dof2.1-Mediated Enhancement of JA-induced Leaf Senescence

Dof2.1 promoted MYC2 expression and enhanced MYC2 controlled leaf senescence (Figure 8), whereas MYC2 mediated JA-dependent Dof2.1 expression (Figures 9A to 9F). Thus, we hypothesized that a feedforward transcriptional loop consisting of Dof2.1 and MYC2 operates at the cellular level. To evaluate this hypothesis, we crossed the dof2.1-KO mutant with the MYC2-OX line and atmyc2-2. The MYC2 expression level in MYC2-OX dof2.1-KO plants was similar to that in MYC2-OX plants [\(Supplemental Figure 18](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1)). Nevertheless, the effects of MYC2-OX on JA-induced promotion of leaf yellowing and reduction in chlorophyll content and F_v/F_m were attenuated in the dof2.1 mutant background (Figures 9G to 9I). Furthermore, dof2.1-KO and atmyc2-2 did not additively attenuate reductions in the chlorophyll content and inhibition of root development in the presence of MeJA [\(Supplemental Figures 19 and 20\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). These results support our hypothesis that activation of leaf senescence-associated genes by MYC2 is enhanced through the MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 loop. Additionally, the dof2.1 KO mutation alleviated the effect of MYC2 OX on root development ([Supplemental Figure 21\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1), suggesting that the MYC2–Dof2. 1–MYC2 transcriptional loop may also be involved in JA response in roots.

DISCUSSION

Dof2.1 Acts as an Enhancer of JA-Induced Leaf Senescence

In this study, we showed that Arabidopsis Dof2.1 activates MYC2 expression and thereby plays an important role in JA-induced and MYC2-regulated leaf senescence, thereby connecting a member of the Dof protein family and a plant-specific physiological process.

A recent study suggests that Dof2.1, together with its closely related Dof proteins (TMO6/Dof5.3 and DOF6/Dof3.2), redundantly controls cytokinin-dependent vascular cell proliferation [\(Smet et al., 2019](#page-20-0)). The dof2.1 tom6/dof5.3 dof6/dof3.2 triple mutant showed a small number of cell files in the root meristem, although the dof2.1 single mutant showed no difference in the number of cell files compared with the wild type [\(Smet et al., 2019\)](#page-20-0). Because the dof2.1-KO mutant showed almost no changes in gene expression profiles in the absence of JA compared with the wild type (Figure 6A; [Supplemental Data Sets 1 and 2\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1), it is currently unclear how Dof2.1 contributes to vascular cell proliferation. However, it is possible that the dof2.1 mutation assists in tom6/ dof5.3-dependent and/or dof6/dof3.2-dependent changes in gene expression profiles. Although no correlation between JA signaling and vascular cell proliferation has been reported to date, an antagonistic interplay between JA and cytokinin has been implicated in a small number of studies (reviewed in O'[Brien and](#page-19-0) [Benková, 2013](#page-19-0)). Thus, disruption of Dof2.1 might indirectly influence cytokinin signaling, resulting in phenotypic changes. The

Figure 6. (continued).

(B) Venn diagrams showing the overlap between MeJA-regulated genes and Dof2.1-regulated genes in the presence of MeJA. Numbers of genes are shown. Representative genes displaying both MeJA-induced upregulation (>5-fold) and dof2.1 KO mutation-dependent downregulation (<0.5-fold), and genes displaying both MeJA-induced downregulation (<0.2-fold) and dof2.1 KO mutation-dependent upregulation (>2-fold) are indicated. WT, wild type. (C) Scatterplot showing effects of the dof2.1 KO mutation on JA-induced or -reduced gene expression. The y-axis indicates MeJA response and the x-axis represents dof2.1 KO mutation-dependent modulation of gene expression in the presence of MeJA. Yellow dots represent genes whose expression levels were modified in the dof2.1-KO mutant $(x > \log_2 2$ or $x < -\log_2 2$ and also regulated by MeJA treatment $(y > \log_2 5$ or $y < -\log_2 5$). Red dots represent all genes previously identified as MYC2-regulated genes by [Dombrecht et al. \(2007\)](#page-18-0). Other genes detected in the microarray analysis of this study are indicated by blue dots.

Figure 7. Opposite Effects of Dof2.1 Overexpression and the dof2.1 KO Mutation on the Expression of JA Response- and Leaf Senescence-Related Genes.

(A) Time-course analysis of the effects of the dof2.1 KO mutation and Dof2.1 overexpression on MeJA-inducible expression of JA response- and leaf senescence-related genes using rosette leaves detached from 3-week-old wild type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX (line L1) plants. Detached rosette leaves were floated on 3 mM of MES buffer containing 100 µM of MeJA or no MeJA for the indicated times. Expression levels of each gene were normalized first against expression levels of ACT2 and then against expression levels in wild-type leaves. DT, days after the beginning of MeJA treatment.; WT, wild type. (B) and (C) Time-course analysis of dof2.1 KO mutation- and Dof2.1 overexpression-dependent changes in MeJA-induced MYC2 (B) and LOX2 (C) expression. Expression levels of MYC2 and LOX2 were normalized first against transcript levels of ACT2 and then against the value obtained from wild-type leaves at time zero. The insets in (B) and (C) show expression levels of MYC2 and LOX2 at 0 D, respectively. Data represent the mean \pm so of four biological replicates (three rosette leaves per replicate), and asterisks (°P < 0.05; "P < 0.01) indicate significant differences identified between wild type and other seedlings using Student's t test. Each experiment was conducted twice with similar results. WT, wild type.

results in this study provided three lines of evidence, including (1) changes in gene expression caused by the dof2.1 KO mutation; (2) phenotypes of the dof2.1-KO mutant and Dof2.1- OX lines; and (3) identification of MYC2 as a direct target of Dof2.1, in that the role of Dof2.1 is associated with JA responses (however, it is possible to speculate that Dof2.1 might indirectly influence a variety of currently unpredictable processes through the modulation of expression of MYC2 and other target genes).

MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 Feedforward Loop Acts as a Positive Regulatory Module for JA-Induced Leaf Senescence

Dof2.1 is a positive regulator ofMYC2 (Figures 7 and 8).MYC2 also activates Dof2.1 transcription (Figure 9). Furthermore, analysis of epistasis between Dof2.1 and MYC2 (Figures 7 and 8) indicates that the myc2 KO mutation is epistatic to overexpression of Dof2.1 in controlling JA-induced leaf senescence, whereas the dof2.1 KO mutation alleviated (but did not completely suppress) MYC2

Figure 8. Direct Activation of the MYC2 Promoter by Dof2.1 is Relevant to Leaf Senescence.

(A) Time-course analysis of Dof2.1-dependent MYC2 and VSP1 expression in 7-d-old Dof2.1-El seedlings. To induce Dof2.1 expression, leaves were exposed to 10 µM of estradiol for the indicated times. Expression levels of MYC2 and VSP1 were normalized first against transcript levels of ACT2 and then against the value obtained from samples at time zero. Data represent the mean \pm sp of six biological replicates (six seedlings per replicate), and the same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test.

(B) Structure of the MYC2 promoter. Regions amplified in the ChIP assay and used for transient expression-based transactivation assay are indicated using green and orange horizontal bars, respectively. Regions a, b, c, d, and e contained 3, 3, 4, 5, and 0 putative Dof binding sites indicated using vertical blue bars, respectively.

(C) ChIP assay to analyze binding of Dof2.1 to the MYC2 promoter in 10-d–old Dof2.1-OX seedlings. Five regions were amplified by PCR with immunoprecipitated DNA. Enrichment of a promoter region of an unrelated gene, PP2A, served as a negative control. Data represent the mean \pm so of four biological replicates, and asterisks ("P < 0.01) above each bar indicate significant differences identified between PP2A and other samples using Student's t test.

(D) Reporter and effector constructs used in the transactivation assay.

(E) Activation of the MYC2 promoter (-1,051 to +494 bp) by Dof2.1. An expression vector harboring Dof2.1 fused to a MYC epitope tag (35S:Dof2.1-MYC) was cotransfected into protoplasts, together with a reporter plasmid containing the MYC2 promoter or the 35S promoter. The 35S promoter and an empty expression vector harboring the MYC epitope tag alone (35S:MYC) were used as negative controls. Data represent the mean \pm so of four biological replicates, and asterisks ("P < 0.01) above the bar indicate significant differences identified between 35S:MYC and 35S:Dof2.1-MYC samples using Student's t test. NS, not significant.

(F) to (H) Change in color (F), total chlorophyll content (G), and F_v/F_m (H) of detached rosette leaves under MeJA treatment. Rosette leaves were detached from 3-week-old wild type, Dof2.1-OX (line L1), atmyc2-2, and Dof2.1-OXatmyc2-2 plants and floated on 3 mM of MES buffer containing 100 µM of MeJA for 3 d. Data represent the mean \pm sp of seven biological replicates (one rosette leaf per replicate), and the same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test. Each experiment was conducted twice with similar results. DT, days after the beginning of treatment; FW, fresh weight; WT, wild type.

Figure 9. MYC2 Directly Activates the Dof2.1 Promoter to Enhance JA Responses.

(A) Time-course analysis of MeJA-induced expression of Dof2.1 in rosette leaves detached from 3-week–old wild type, atmyc2-2, and MYC2-OX (lines L1 and L2) plants. Detached rosette leaves were floated on 3 mM of MES buffer containing 100 µM of MeJA for the indicated times. Expression levels of Dof2.1 were normalized first against transcript levels of ACT2 and then against the value obtained from wild-type rosette leaves at time zero. The inset shows

overexpression-induced effects. Given that MYC2 directly regulates the expression of JA response-associated genes, our findings indicate that Dof2.1 and MYC2 form a MYC2–Dof2.1– MYC2 feedforward transcriptional loop for the enhancement of JA-induced leaf senescence. Thus, we propose a model for the enhancement of JA-induced leaf senescence in Arabidopsis (Figure 10). This model includes both the well-established posttranslational repression of MYC2 activity by the JAZ–NINJA–TOPLESS complex [\(Pauwels et al., 2010\)](#page-19-0) and the MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 feedforward transcriptional loop-mediated enhancement of JA signaling identified in this study. In the absence of JA, MYC2 activity is repressed by the JAZ–NINJA–TOPLESS complex; therefore, MYC2 expression is not enhanced via the MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 feedforward transcriptional loop. In the presence of JA, the SCFCOI1 complexes interact with JAZ proteins, leading to degradation of JAZ proteins and induction of MYC2 activity. Then, MYC2 directly promotes expression of a number of genes associated with JA-induced leaf senescence as well as that of Dof2.1. Eventually, Dof2.1 promotes MYC2 expression by directly binding to its promoter, thereby increasing MYC2 activity and enhancing JA-induced leaf senescence. In the dof2.1-KO mutant, MYC2 expression is not strongly activated in response to JA, resulting in weak JA-induced leaf senescence.

The feedforward transcriptional loop in this model is a mechanism for positive autoregulation of MYC2. Positive autoregulation where a transcription factor enhances its own expression causes slower response time and enhanced variation, resulting in an S-shaped expression curve [\(Alon, 2007](#page-18-0)). Thus, responses on the basis of positive autoregulation are not apparent until signal intensity is beyond a threshold, while strong responses occur when the signal intensity exceeds the threshold. Because leaf senescence is an irreversible process after passing a checkpoint [\(Schildhauer et al., 2008\)](#page-19-0), the MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 feedforward transcriptional loop may be relevant to the regulation of JAinduced leaf senescence.

It is noteworthy that overexpression of Dof2.1 also enhanced JA-induced inhibition of root development and germination and wounding response, while the dof2.1 KO mutation reduced them (Figures 4 and 5; [Supplemental Figures 9 and 10\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). Accordingly, the MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 feedforward loop may be involved in other MYC2-regulated JA responses, which include pathogen defense responses and wounding responses [\(Chini et al., 2016;](#page-18-0) [Zhang](#page-20-0) [et al., 2017](#page-20-0); [Goossens et al., 2017](#page-18-0)). In agreement with this hypothesis, enhanced and reduced expression levels of MYC2 during wounding responses were observed in the Dof2.1-OX and dof2.1-KO plants, respectively (Figure 5D). Further analyses of the MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 feedforward loop may reveal the connection of the loop with a variety of JA responses, leading to deeper understanding of the mechanism underlying JA responses.

Transcriptional Regulation of MYC2

The model in Figure 10 highlights the significance of transcriptional activation of MYC2 in JA signaling and responses. Although the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade involving MAPK kinase3 and MAPK6 was previously suggested to negatively affect MYC2 expression ([Takahashi et al., 2007\)](#page-20-0), the physiological significance of the regulatory mechanism underlying JA-inducible MYC2 expression remained elusive. Our findings clarify that JA-induced MYC2 expression via the MYC2– Dof2.1–MYC2 feedforward loop is critical for JA-induced leaf senescence at the appropriate level. Because the importance of transcriptional regulation of MYC2 in JA responses has been overlooked in the past, our findings provide new insights into the mechanism underlying JA responses.

However, these findings also raise new questions about the transcriptional regulation of MYC2. First, although MYC2 expression was quickly accelerated by Dof2.1 in response to MeJA treatment (Figures 7A and 7B, [Supplemental Figure 13A](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1); also

Figure 9. (continued).

(F) Activation of the Dof2.1 promoter by MeJA in mesophyll protoplasts prepared from wild-type or atmyc2-2 mutant plants. Protoplasts transfected with p Dof2.1-LUC reporter construct were incubated in the protoplast culture solution in the presence or absence of 5 μ M or 100 μ M of MeJA. The 35S promoter served as a negative control. Data represent the mean ± sp of four biological replicates, and the same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test.

(G) to (I) Changes in color (G), total chlorophyll content (H), and F_v/F_m (I) due to MeJA treatment in rosette leaves detached from 3-week–old wild type, MYC2-OX (line L1), dof2.1-KO, and MYC2-OX dof2.1-KO plants. The leaves were floated on 3 mM of MES buffer containing 100 µM of MeJA. Data represent the mean \pm sp of six biological replicates (one rosette leaf per replicate), and the same letters above each bar indicate that means did not differ significantly at the 0.05 level in Tukey's multiple comparison test. Each experiment was conducted twice with similar results. DT, days after the beginning of MeJA treatment; WT, wild type.

expression levels of Dof2.1 at 0 h. Data represent the mean \pm so of six biological replicates (one rosette leaf per replicate). Asterisks (*P<0.01) above each bar indicate significant differences identified between wild type and other samples using Student's t test. WT, wild type.

⁽B) Structure of the Dof2.1 promoter. Two copies of the E-box (CACATG) are indicated using blue vertical bars. Regions amplified in the ChIP assay and used for transient expression assays are indicated using green and orange horizontal bars, respectively.

⁽C) ChIP assay. Binding of MYC2 to specific regions of the Dof2.1 promoter was examined using 10-d-old MYC2-OX seedlings. Five regions were amplified by qPCR with immunoprecipitated DNA. Enrichment of a promoter region of an unrelated gene, PP2A, served as a negative control. Data represent the mean \pm sp of four biological replicates, and asterisks ($\ddot{\text{P}}$ < 0.01) above each bar indicate significant differences identified between PP2A and other samples using Student's t test.

⁽D) Reporter and effector constructs used in the transactivation assay. In Dof2.1 Mut1 and Mut2 promoters, either one of the two E-box motifs was changed to CAttTt. Two expression vectors containing MYC2 fused to a MYC epitope or MYC epitope alone were used as effector plasmids. LUC, firefly luciferase gene; NOS, the transcriptional terminator of the nopaline synthase gene from Agrobacterium tumefaciens.

⁽E) Activation of the wild-type (pDof2.1) and mutated (Mut1 and Mut2) Dof2.1 promoters (-1,347 to +153) by MYC2 in protoplasts. The 35S promoter and an empty expression vector (35S:MYC) served as negative controls. Data represent the mean \pm sp of four biological replicates. Asterisks ("P < 0.01) indicate significant differences identified between 35S:MYC and 35S:Dof2.1-MYC samples using Student's t test. NS, not significant.

Figure 10. A Model for Dof2.1-Mediated Enhancement of JA-Induced Leaf Senescence in Arabidopsis.

In the absence of JA, MYC2 remains inactive because of its interaction with the JAZ–NINJA–TOPLESS complex. In the presence of JA, the interaction between JAZ and COI1, an F-box protein of the SCF complex, leads to ubiquitination of JAZ proteins by COI1-containing SCF complexes (SCFCOI1) and their degradation by the 26S proteasome system. Consequently, MYC2 promotes or represses the expression of genes associated with JA-induced leaf senescence, including Dof2.1, via interactions with G-, E-, (G/A)-, and (G/C)-boxes. Promotion of Dof2.1 expression by MYC2 then enhances MYC2 expression. Thereby, JA-induced leaf senescence is enhanced through a feedforward transcriptional loop involving MYC2 and Dof2.1. In the dof2.1-KO mutant, MYC2 expression is not reinforced; thus, JA-induced leaf senescence is reduced. TPL, TOPLESS; Ub, ubiquitin; WT, wild type.

shown by [Lorenzo et al., 2004](#page-19-0)), a previous report suggests that MYC2 negatively affects its own expression; this is based on the observation that the level of endogenous MYC2 transcripts was significantly reduced when MYC2 was overexpressed in the myc2-KO mutant [\(Dombrecht et al., 2007\)](#page-18-0). The reason for the seemingly irreconcilable observations is unclear at this stage; however, a possible explanation is that JA induces MYC2 expression via the MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 transcriptional loop to enhance short-term responses to JA, while autorepression of MYC2 may be effective only when MYC2 activity is overinduced by JA and associated with modulation of long-term responses to JA. Second, there are questions regarding the relationships between the MYC2–Dof2.1–MYC2 transcriptional loop-mediated transcription regulation of MYC2 and different types of posttranslational regulation of MYC2. Besides the complex formation with JAZ proteins that represses MYC2 activity in the absence of JA, MYC2 activity is also complicatedly regulated by other posttranslational mechanisms. MYC2 protein is stabilized by JA and light [\(Chico et al., 2014](#page-18-0)). On the other hand, a circadianclock component TIME FOR COFFEE interacts with MYC2 and promotes MYC2 protein degradation [\(Shin et al., 2012\)](#page-19-0). Phosphorylation-coupled proteolysis of MYC2 also affects its transcription activity [\(Zhai et al., 2013](#page-20-0)). Because the MYC2–Dof2. 1–MYC2 transcriptional loop depends on MYC2 activity, the relationships with these posttranslational regulations for repression or induction of MYC2 activity are remaining questions. Third, transcriptome and RT-qPCR analyses revealed that expression of MYC3 and MYC4, which redundantly regulate JA responses including both defense and senescence, together with MYC2 [\(Fernández-Calvo et al., 2011](#page-18-0); [Goossens et al., 2017](#page-18-0); [Schweizer](#page-19-0) [et al., 2013;](#page-19-0) [Zhang et al., 2015](#page-20-0)), are also under the control of Dof2.1 (Figure 7A; [Supplemental Figure 22A](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1)); however, a ChIP assay revealed that Dof2.1 did not bind to the promoters of MYC3 and MYC4 genes ([Supplemental Figures 22B](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) to 22D). Because the result of epistatic analysis suggested that the Dof2.1–MYC2 cascade is the main route for Dof2.1-dependent enhancement of JA-induced leaf senescence (Figure 8), Dof2.1 may activate these genes through MYC2 activity, although we cannot exclude the possibility that Dof2.1 promotes the expression of these genes through its interaction with Dof binding sites outside the analyzed regions. Thus, a new question associated with similarity and difference among transcriptional regulations of MYC2, MYC3, and MYC4 is emerging. These examples of new questions emphasize the importance offurther investigation of transcriptional regulation of MYC2 expression to fully understand the mechanism of JA responses. Such analyses would help identify the currently unknown mechanisms of JA responses.

METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) ecotype Columbia (Col-0) was used as the wild type in all experiments, and all mutants and transgenic lines were generated in Col-0 background. Arabidopsis T-DNA insertion lines, GK-668G12-022986 (dof2.1-KO) and SALK_083483 (atmyc2-2), an estradiolinducible Dof2.1 transgenic line CS2104416 (Dof2.1-EI), and a T-DNA activation tagging line SAIL_1230_B08 (dof2.1-D) were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center. TheDof2.1-OXatmyc2-2 line was generated by crossing the atmyc2-2 mutant with Dof2.1-OX line L1. The MYC2-OX dof2.1-KO line was also generated by crossing the dof2.1-KO

mutant with MYC2-OX line L2. Plants homozygous for both the T-DNA insertion disrupting Dof2.1 or MYC2 and the introduced transgene were selected by PCR-based genotyping using primers listed in the [Supplemental Table](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). Arabidopsis seeds were cold stratified at 4°C for 3 to 4 d. Arabidopsis seedlings were grown on half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) agar plates (half-strength MS salts [\[Murashige and Skoog,](#page-19-0) [1962\]](#page-19-0), 0.8% [w/v] agar, 0.5% [w/v] Suc, 3 mM of MES-KOH at pH 5.8) or nutrient-supplemented peat moss (Jiffy, Sakata Seed) at 22°C under continuous light in a growth chamber equipped with cool white fluorescent light (70 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), unless stated otherwise.

Plasmid Construction and Plant Transformation

Dof2.1 and MYC2 cDNAs were obtained by RT-PCR of RNA isolated from wild-type seedlings and cloned into the pGWB15 Gateway binary vector [\(Nakagawa et al., 2009](#page-19-0)) between the 35S promoter and GFP gene to produce the 35S:Dof2.1-GFP and 35S:MYC2-GFP constructs, respectively. To construct the proDof2.1:GUS plasmid, the Dof2.1 promoter $(-1,847$ to $+153$ bp relative to the transcription start site) was PCRamplified from genomic DNA isolated from wild-type leaves and cloned into the pMDC164 Gateway binary vector. To construct the proDof2.1:Dof2.1-MYC plasmid, necessary for generating the pro-Dof2.1:Dof2.1/dof2.1-KO complementation lines, the Dof2.1 promoter and cDNA were inserted upstream of a sequence encoding four copies of MYC epitope tag in the pGWB16 Gateway binary vector. Sequences of all constructs were verified by sequencing. PCR primers used for cloning are listed in the [Supplemental Table](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1).

Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis plants was performed using the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 and the floral-dip method ([Zhang et al., 2006\)](#page-20-0). Binary vectors containing the 35S:Dof2.1-GFP, 35S:MYC2-GFP, orproDof2.1:GUSconstruct were used for the transformation of wild-type plants to generate Dof2.1-OX, MYC2- OX, and proDof2.1:GUS lines, respectively, while vectors containing the proDof2.1:Dof2.1-MYC construct were used for transformation of dof2.1- KO plants to produce the transgenic complementation line, pro-Dof2.1:Dof2.1/dof2.1-KO. Transgenic plants in the T2 generation with T-DNA insertion(s) at a single locus were selected, and T3 or T4 homozygotes were used for all analyses.

RNA Extraction and RT-qPCR Analysis

Total RNA was isolated from rosette leaves of Arabidopsis seedlings using the ISOSPIN Plant RNA Kit (Nippon Gene), according to the manufacturer's instructions. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using 1 μ g of total RNA, SuperScript II reverse transcriptase, and oligo(dT)₁₅ primer (Invitrogen). Subsequently, RT-qPCR was conducted on the StepOnePlus instrument (Applied Biosystems) using the KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Kit (KAPA Biosystems) and gene-specific primers [\(Supplemental Table\)](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1). Transcript levels of each gene were normalized relative to those of the ACTIN2 (ACT2) or the Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase gene. The number of biological replicates in each experiment is indicated in figure legends.

GUS Staining

Transgenic seedlings harboring the proDof2.1:GUS construct were fixed in 90% (v/v) acetone and then incubated in 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-glucuronide solution (0.5 mg/mL of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -Dglucuronide, 0.5 mM of potassium ferricyanide, 0.5 mM of potassium ferrocyanide, and 0.1 M of sodium phosphate, at pH 7.4) at 37°C for 1 h. Subsequently, seedlings were destained using 70% (v/v) ethanol. GUS staining was observed using a light microscope (MZ 16F; Leica Microsystems) equipped with a DXM 1200C digital camera (Nikon). Images were captured using the control software ACT-1C for DXM1200C (Nikon).

Phenotypic Analysis

To examine the MeJA-induced leaf senescence phenotype, rosette leaves were detached from 3-week–old plants and floated on 3 mM of MES-KOH buffer (pH 5.8) with or without 100 μ M of MeJA at 22 \degree C under continuous light for periods indicated in each figure. To examine the dark-induced leaf senescence phenotype, rosette leaves were detached from 3-week–old plants and floated on 3 mM of MES-KOH buffer (pH 5.8) in the dark, as described previously by [Sakuraba et al. \(2014a\).](#page-19-0) To examine the phenotype of the Dof2.1-EI line, 10-d–old seedlings grown on agar plates under continuous light were treated with 10 μ M of estradiol for 6 h in liquid medium containing half-strength MS salts, 0.5% (w/v) Suc, and 3 mM of MES-KOH (pH 5.8). Then, MeJA was added to the liquid medium to a final concentration of 100 μ M, and seedlings were further incubated for 4 d. To investigate phenotypes associated with root development, seedlings were grown on vertically oriented agar plates under continuous light for 5 d and then further grown on agar plates supplemented with 5 μ M of MeJA for 4 d. Root growth was also investigated by a different experimental approach as described previously by [Chini et al. \(2018\).](#page-18-0) Seeds were sown on agar plates supplemented with 0, 10, or 50 μ M of MeJA. After germination, seedlings were grown on the plates for 5 d, transferred onto new agar plates containing same concentrations of MeJA, and then further grown for 5 d to examine root development. To examine the MeJA-induced inhibition of seed germination, seeds were sown on agar plates supplemented with 0, 10, or 50 μM of MeJA and incubated at 22°C under continuous light for 5 d. The germination experiment was performed with six biological replicates, each of which contained 30 to 40 seeds from one individual plant. All the seeds used for each assay were harvested from plants grown at the same time and stored for at least two months at room temperature. To examine the response induced by mechanical wounding stress, rosette leaves of 2.5-week–old plants grown on peat moss under continuous light conditions were wounded with a blade. Then, plants were grown under the same growth conditions for 7 d for phenotypic characterization or for 2 h, 3 h, and 6 h for gene expression analysis. The number of biological replicates in each experiment is indicated in figure legends.

Quantification of Chlorophyll and Carotenoid Pigments

Chlorophyll pigments were extracted (using 80% [v/v] ice-cold acetone) from rosette leaves homogenized with zirconia beads (Nikkato). Absorbance of extracts was measured at 470, 647, and 664 nm, and chlorophyll and carotenoid contents were calculated as described previously by [Porra](#page-19-0) [et al. \(1989\)](#page-19-0). The number of biological replicates in each experiment is indicated in the figure legends.

Measurement of the F_v/F_m

Chlorophyll fluorescence and the F_v/F_m were measured using a kinetics multispectral fluorescence imaging system (FluorCam 800 MF; Photon System Instruments), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The number of biological replicates in each experiment is indicated in figure legends.

Immunoblot Analysis

Proteins were extracted from leaves ground in liquid nitrogen using SDS-PAGE loading buffer (10% [w/v] glycerol, 50 mM of Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 2% [w/v] SDS, 6% [v/v] 2-mercaptoethanol, and 0.003% [v/v] bromophenol blue). Proteins were separated on a 12% (w/v) SDS-polyacrylamide gel, transferred onto an Immobilon-P transfer membrane (Merck Millipore), and detected using anti-PsbC (cat. no. AS111787; Agrisera), anti-Lhcb1 (cat. no. AS09522; Agrisera), anti-Lhcb4 antibodies (cat. no. AS04045; Agrisera), and anti-a tubulin antibody (cat. no. 10680; Agrisera), followed by an anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked antibody (cat. no. 7074; Cell Signaling

Technology) and Supersignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's protocol. RbcL protein was detected by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining.

DNA Microarray Analysis

Rosette leaves were detached from 3-week–old wild-type and dof2.1-KO plants grown on peat moss and then floated for 3 d on 3 mM of MES-KOH buffer (pH 5.8) with or without 100 μ M of MeJA. Total RNA was extracted from leaf samples using the ISOSPIN Plant RNA Kit (Nippon Gene). Preparation of Cyanine-3–labeled cRNA using the Low Input Quick Amp Labeling Kit (One-Color; Agilent Technologies), hybridization with the Arabidopsis Oligo Microarray (V4; Agilent Technologies), and scanning of microarrays on the DNA Microarray Scanner (G2565BA; Agilent Technologies) were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions for "One-Color Microarray-Based Expression Analysis." Fluorescent signal intensities were detected with the software Scan Control (v7.0.03; Agilent Technologies). Data were extracted with the software Feature Extraction (v9.1; Agilent Technologies) and raw data were produced by calculating the average of three independent biological replicates. Welch's approximate Student's t test was used for the comparison of different groups and differences were considered significant at a P value < 0.05. Sample groups were compared in a pairwise manner to determine the differential expression between groups, which was calculated and expressed as a fold-change (FC). Hierarchical average linkage clustering analysis was performed using 2,464 genes differentially expressed between wild-type and dof2.1-KO mutant plants (FC > 2 or FC < 0.5). Hierarchical clustering was performed as implemented with the function "heatmap.2" in the gplots package of R (ver. 3.5.2).

ChIP Assay

Dof2.1-OX, MYC2-OX, and wild-type seedlings grown on agar plates for 10 d were crosslinked using 1% (v/v) formaldehyde for 30 min under vacuum. Then, nuclei were isolated and lysed, and chromatin complexes were isolated and sonicated, as described previously by [Saleh et al. \(2008\)](#page-19-0). DNA was sonicated using a Bioruptor II (Cosmo Bio). Anti-GFP polyclonal antibody (cat. no. 290; Abcam) and protein A agarose beads (Merck Millipore) were used for immunoprecipitation. DNA recovered from agarose beads was purified using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). qPCR was performed using the KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Kit (KAPA Biosystems) and gene-specific primers [\(Supplemental Table](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1)). Fold-enrichment was calculated as described previously by [Sakuraba et al. \(2014a\).](#page-19-0) The number of biological replicates in each experiment is indicated in figure legends.

Protoplast Transient Assay

Reporter plasmids were constructed by the insertion of $Dof2.1$ (-1,347 to $+153$ bp) and MYC2 (-1,051 to $+494$ bp) promoters into the pJD301 vector ([Luehrsen et al., 1992\)](#page-19-0). Introduction of nucleotide substitutions in the Dof2.1 promoter was performed using the Megaprimer PCR method [\(Ke](#page-19-0) [and Madison, 1997](#page-19-0)). To construct effector plasmids, Dof2.1 and MYC2 cDNAs were cloned upstream of a sequence encoding four copies of a MYC epitope tag in the pGWB17 Gateway binary vector [\(Nakagawa et al.,](#page-19-0) [2009\)](#page-19-0). Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts were isolated from rosette leaves of 3-week–old wild-type or atmyc2-2 plants grown on peat moss, as described previously by [Wu et al. \(2009\)](#page-20-0). A reporter plasmid (2 μ g) and an effector plasmid (4 μ g) were cotransfected, together with 1 μ g of an internal control plasmid (pUBQ10-GUS), into 5×10^4 protoplasts using the polyethylene glycol-mediated transfection method ([Yoo et al., 2007](#page-20-0)). Transfected protoplasts were incubated in protoplast culture solution (0.4 M of mannitol, 15 mM of MgCl₂, and 4 mM of MES-KOH at pH 5.8) in the dark at room temperature for 16 h. To examine the effect of MeJA on the activity of the Dof2.1 promoter, 5 or 100 μ M of MeJA was added to the protoplast culture solution. LUC activity in each cell lysate was determined using the Luciferase Assay System Kit (Promega). LUC activity was normalized against GUS activity derived from the internal control plasmid. The number of biological replicates in each experiment is indicated in figure legends.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data generated in this study were deposited in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative Database under the following accession numbers: ACT2 (At3g18780), ANAC016 (At1g34180), ANAC029 (At1g69490), ANAC092 (At5g39610), COI1 (At2g39940), COR1 (At1g19670), Dof2.1 (At2g28510), JAZ7 (At2g34600), LOX2 (At3g45140), MYC2 (At1g32640), MYC3 (At5g46760), MYC4 (At4g17880), NON-YELLOW COLORING1 (At4g13250), PDF1.2b (At2g26020), SAG12 (At5g45890), SAG13 (At2g29350), SEN4 (At4g30270), SGR1 (At4g22920), UBQ10 (At4g05320), VSP1 (At5g24780), and the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene (At1g16300). Microarray data generated in this study was deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus ([https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE129682)= [GSE129682](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE129682)) under the accession number GSE129682.

Supplemental Data

[Supplemental Figure 1.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Phytohormone response of 24 Arabidopsis Dof genes.

[Supplemental Figure 2.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) MeJA does not induce the expression of Dof5.3, HCA2/Dof5.6, and DOF6/Dof2.3.

[Supplemental Figure 3.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Characterization of the dof2.1-KO mutant.

[Supplemental Figure 4.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Characterization of Dof2.1-OX lines.

[Supplemental Figure 5.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX leaves floated on 3 mM of MES buffer in the absence of MeJA.

[Supplemental Figure 6.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Phenotypic characterization of proDof2.1: Dof2.1/dof2.1-KO complementation lines.

[Supplemental Figure 7.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Accelerated yellowing of dof2.1-D leaves in the presence of MeJA.

[Supplemental Figure 8.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Numbers of rosette leaves at bolting and the time to bolting of wild-type, dof2.1-KO, and Dof2.1-OX plants.

[Supplemental Figure 9.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Alleviated and enhanced inhibitory effects of MeJA on primary root elongation in dof2.1-KO and Dof2.1-OX seedlings that were grown from seeds sown on MeJA-containing plates.

[Supplemental Figure 10.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Alleviated and enhanced inhibitory effects of MeJA on germination in the dof2.1-KO and Dof2.1-OX lines.

[Supplemental Figure 11.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Hierarchical average linkage clustering of 2,464 genes showing differential expression between wild-type and dof2.1-KO mutant plants subjected to mock or MeJA treatment.

[Supplemental Figure 12.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Expression levels of MYC2-regulated genes in myc2-KO and dof2.1-KO mutants.

[Supplemental Figure 13.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Rapid MeJA-induction of MYC2 and LOX2 expression is modified in dof2.1-KO and Dof2.1-OX leaves.

[Supplemental Figure 14.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) The myc2-2 mutation does not affect the expression of Dof2.1 in the Dof2.1-OX line.

[Supplemental Figure 15.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Effects of Dof2.1 overexpression on darkinduced leaf senescence in the atmyc2-2 background.

[Supplemental Figure 16.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Effects of *Dof2.1* overexpression on root growth in the atmyc2-2 mutant background.

[Supplemental Figure 17.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Characterization of MYC2-OX lines.

[Supplemental Figure 18.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) The dof2.1 KO mutation does not affect the expression of MYC2 in the MYC2-OX line.

[Supplemental Figure 19.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) dof2.1 KO and atmyc2-2 mutations did not additively attenuate reductions in the chlorophyll content.

[Supplemental Figure 20.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Root growth of dof2.1-KO atmyc2-2 seedlings in the presence of MeJA.

[Supplemental Figure 21.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Root growth of MYC2-OX dof2.1-KO seedlings.

[Supplemental Figure 22.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) Dof2.1 affects MYC3 and MYC4 expression but does not bind to MYC3 and MYC4 promoters.

[Supplemental Table.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) List of primers used in this study.

[Supplemental Data Set 1.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) List of genes upregulated or downregulated in dof2.1-KO seedlings in the absence of MeJA.

[Supplemental Data Set 2.](http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/content/full/tpc.19.00297/DC1) List of genes upregulated or downregulated in dof2.1-KO seedlings in the presence of MeJA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center for providing seeds of the T-DNA insertion lines and Miyuki Tsumura in our laboratory for assistance in plant cultivation. This work was supported in part by the Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JPMJCR 15O5 to S.Y.) and by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (18H03940 to S.Y., and 17H05024 to Y.S).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.Z., Y.S., and S.Y. designed the experiments; M.Z. and Y.S. performed the experiments and analyzed the data; M.Z., Y.S., and S.Y. wrote the article.

Received April 24, 2019; revised October 1, 2019; accepted October 21, 2019; published October 22, 2019.

REFERENCES

- Alon, U. (2007). Network motifs: Theory and experimental approaches. Nat. Rev. Genet. 8: 450–461.
- Berger, S., Bell, E., Sadka, A., and Mullet, J.E. (1995). Arabidopsis thaliana Atvsp is homologous to soybean VspA and VspB, genes encoding vegetative storage protein acid phosphatases, and is regulated similarly by methyl jasmonate, wounding, sugars, light and phosphate. Plant Mol. Biol. 27: 933–942.
- Boter, M., Ruíz-Rivero, O., Abdeen, A., and Prat, S. (2004). Conserved MYC transcription factors play a key role in jasmonate signaling both in tomato and Arabidopsis. Genes Dev. 18: 1577–1591.
- Bueso, E., Muñoz-Bertomeu, J., Campos, F., Martínez, C., Tello, C., Martínez-Almonacid, I., Ballester, P., Simón-Moya, M., Brunaud, V., Yenush, L., Ferrándiz, C., and Serrano, R. (2016). Arabidopsis COGWHEEL1 links light perception and gibberellins with seed tolerance to deterioration. Plant J. 87: 583–596.
- Chen, Q., et al. (2011). The basic helix–loop–helix transcription factor MYC2 directly represses PLETHORA expression during jasmonate-

mediated modulation of the root stem cell niche in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 23: 3335–3352.

- **Chen, W., Chao, G., and Singh, K.B.** (1996). The promoter of a H_2O_2 -inducible, Arabidopsis glutathione s-transferase gene contains closely linked OBF- and OBP1-binding sites. Plant J. 10: 955–966.
- Chico, J.M., Fernández-Barbero, G., Chini, A., Fernández-Calvo, P., Díez-Díaz, M., and Solano, R. (2014). Repression of jasmonatedependent defenses by shade involves differential regulation of protein stability of MYC transcription factors and their JAZ repressors in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 26: 1967–1980.
- Chini, A., Fonseca, S., Fernández, G., Adie, B., Chico, J.M., Lorenzo, O., García-Casado, G., López-Vidriero, I., Lozano, F.M., Ponce, M.R., Micol, J.L., and Solano, R. (2007). The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. Nature 448: 666–671.
- Chini, A., Gimenez-Ibanez, S., Goossens, A., and Solano, R. (2016). Redundancy and specificity in jasmonate signalling. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 33: 147–156.
- Chini, A., Monte, I., Zamarreño, A.M., Hamberg, M., Lassueur, S., Reymond, P., Weiss, S., Stintzi, A., Schaller, A., Porzel, A., García-Mina, J.M., and Solano, R. (2018). An OPR3-independent pathway uses 4,5-didehydrojasmonate for jasmonate synthesis. Nat. Chem. Biol. 14: 171–178.
- Dathe, W., Rönsch, H., Preiss, A., Schade, W., Sembdner, G., and Schreiber, K. (1981). Endogenous plant hormones of the broad bean, Vicia faba L. $(-)$ -jasmonic acid, a plant growth inhibitor in pericarp. Planta 153: 530–535.
- Dave, A., Hernández, M.L., He, Z., Andriotis, V.M.E., Vaistij, F.E., Larson, T.R., and Graham, I.A. (2011). 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid accumulation during seed development represses seed germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 23: 583–599.
- Dombrecht, B., Xue, G.P., Sprague, S.J., Kirkegaard, J.A., Ross, J.J., Reid, J.B., Fitt, G.P., Sewelam, N., Schenk, P.M., Manners, J.M., and Kazan, K. (2007). MYC2 differentially modulates diverse jasmonate-dependent functions in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 19: 2225–2245.
- Fernández-Calvo, P., et al. (2011). The Arabidopsis bHLH transcription factors MYC3 and MYC4 are targets of JAZ repressors and act additively with MYC2 in the activation of jasmonate responses. Plant Cell 23: 701–715.
- Fonseca, S., Chini, A., Hamberg, M., Adie, B., Porzel, A., Kramell, R., Miersch, O., Wasternack, C., and Solano, R. (2009). (+)-7iso-Jasmonoyl-L-isoleucine is the endogenous bioactive jasmonate. Nat. Chem. Biol. 5: 344–350.
- Fornara, F., Panigrahi, K.C.S.S., Gissot, L., Sauerbrunn, N., Rühl, M., Jarillo, J.A., and Coupland, G. (2009). Arabidopsis DOF transcription factors act redundantly to reduce CONSTANS expression and are essential for a photoperiodic flowering response. Dev. Cell 17: 75–86.
- Gabriele, S., Rizza, A., Martone, J., Circelli, P., Costantino, P., and Vittorioso, P. (2010). The Dof protein DAG1 mediates PIL5 activity on seed germination by negatively regulating GA biosynthetic gene AtGA3ox1. Plant J. 61: 312-323.
- Godoy, M., Franco-Zorrilla, J.M., Pérez-Pérez, J., Oliveros, J.C., Lorenzo, O., and Solano, R. (2011). Improved protein-binding microarrays for the identification of DNA-binding specificities of transcription factors. Plant J. 66: 700–711.
- Goossens, J., Mertens, J., and Goossens, A. (2017). Role and functioning of bHLH transcription factors in jasmonate signalling. J. Exp. Bot. 68: 1333–1347.
- Gualberti, G., Papi, M., Bellucci, L., Ricci, I., Bouchez, D., Camilleri, C., Costantino, P., and Vittorioso, P. (2002). Mutations in the Dof

zinc finger genes DAG2 and DAG1 influence with opposite effects the germination of Arabidopsis seeds. Plant Cell 14: 1253–1263.

- Guo, Y., Qin, G., Gu, H., and Qu, L.J. (2009). Dof5.6/HCA2, a Dof transcription factor gene, regulates interfascicular cambium formation and vascular tissue development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 21: 3518–3534.
- Ibáñez-Salazar, A., Rosales-Mendoza, S., Rocha-Uribe, A., Ramírez-Alonso, J.I., Lara-Hernández, I., Hernández-Torres, A., Paz-Maldonado, L.M.T., Silva-Ramírez, A.S., Bañuelos-Hernández, B., Martínez-Salgado, J.L., and Soria-Guerra, R.E. (2014). Over-expression of Dof-type transcription factor increases lipid production in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. J. Biotechnol. 184: 27–38.
- Imaizumi, T., Schultz, T.F., Harmon, F.G., Ho, L.A., and Kay, S.A. (2005). FKF1 F-box protein mediates cyclic degradation of a repressor of CONSTANS in Arabidopsis. Science 309: 293–297.
- Jibran, R., A Hunter, D., and P Dijkwel, P. (2013). Hormonal regulation of leaf senescence through integration of developmental and stress signals. Plant Mol. Biol. 82: 547–561.
- Jung, C., Zhao, P., Seo, J.S., Mitsuda, N., Deng, S., and Chua, N.H. (2015). PLANT U-BOX PROTEIN10 regulates MYC2 stability in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 27: 2016–2031.
- Kazan, K., and Manners, J.M. (2013). MYC2: The master in action. Mol. Plant 6: 686–703.
- Ke, S.H., and Madison, E.L. (1997). Rapid and efficient site-directed mutagenesis by single-tube 'megaprimer' PCR method. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 3371–3372.
- Kim, H.S., Kim, S.J., Abbasi, N., Bressan, R.A., Yun, D.J., Yoo, S.D., Kwon, S.Y., and Choi, S.B. (2010). The DOF transcription factor Dof5.1 influences leaf axial patterning by promoting Revoluta transcription in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 64: 524–535.
- Konishi, M., and Yanagisawa, S. (2015). Transcriptional repression caused by Dof5.8 is involved in proper vein network formation in Arabidopsis thaliana leaves. J. Plant Res. 128: 643–652.
- Lorenzo, O., Chico, J.M., Sánchez-Serrano, J.J., and Solano, R. (2004). JASMONATE-INSENSITIVE1 encodes a MYC transcription factor essential to discriminate between different jasmonateregulated defense responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 16: 1938–1950.
- Luehrsen, K.R., de Wet, J.R., and Walbot, V. (1992). Transient expression analysis in plants using firefly luciferase reporter gene. Methods Enzymol. 216: 397–414.
- Moreno-Risueno, M., Martínez, M., Vicente-Carbajosa, J., and Carbonero, P. (2007). The family of DOF transcription factors: From green unicellular algae to vascular plants. Mol. Genet. Genomics 277: 379–390.
- Murashige, T., and Skoog, F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol. Plant. 15: 473–497.
- Nakagawa, T., Ishiguro, S., and Kimura, T. (2009). Gateway vectors for plant transformation. Plant Biotechnol. 26: 275–284.
- Negi, J., Moriwaki, K., Konishi, M., Yokoyama, R., Nakano, T., Kusumi, K., Hashimoto-Sugimoto, M., Schroeder, J.I.I., Nishitani, K., Yanagisawa, S., and Iba, K. (2013). A Dof transcription factor, SCAP1, is essential for the development of functional stomata in Arabidopsis. Curr. Biol. 23: 479-484.
- O'Brien, J.A., and Benková, E. (2013). Cytokinin cross-talking during biotic and abiotic stress responses. Front. Plant Sci. 4: 451.
- Park, D.H., Lim, P.O., Kim, J.S., Cho, D.S., Hong, S.H., and Nam, H.G. (2003). The Arabidopsis COG1 gene encodes a Dof domain transcription factor and negatively regulates phytochrome signaling. Plant J. 34: 161–171.
- Pauwels, L., et al. (2010). NINJA connects the co-repressor TOP-LESS to jasmonate signalling. Nature 464: 788–791.
- Porra, R.J., Thompson, W.A., and Kriedemann, P.E. (1989). Determination of accurate extinction coefficients and simultaneous equations for assaying chlorophylls a and b extracted with four different solvents: Verification of the concentration of chlorophyll standards by atomic absorption spectroscopy. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg 975: 384–394.
- Qi, T., Wang, J., Huang, H., Liu, B., Gao, H., Liu, Y., Song, S., and Xie, D. (2015). Regulation of jasmonate-induced leaf senescence by antagonism between bHLH subgroup IIIe and IIId factors in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 27: 1634–1649.
- Ravindran, P., Verma, V., Stamm, P., and Kumar, P.P. (2017). A novel RGL2–DOF6 complex contributes to primary seed dormancy in Arabidopsis thaliana by regulating a GATA transcription factor. Mol. Plant 10: 1307–1320.
- Reymond, P., Weber, H., Damond, M., and Farmer, E.E. (2000). Differential gene expression in response to mechanical wounding and insect feeding in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 12: 707–720.
- Rueda-Romero, P., Barrero-Sicilia, C., Gómez-Cadenas, A., Carbonero, P., and Oñate-Sánchez, L. (2012). Arabidopsis thaliana DOF6 negatively affects germination in non-after-ripened seeds and interacts with TCP14. J. Exp. Bot. 63: 1937–1949.
- Sakuraba, Y., Jeong, J., Kang, M.-Y., Kim, J., Paek, N.-C., and Choi, G. (2014a). Phytochrome-interacting transcription factors PIF4 and PIF5 induce leaf senescence in Arabidopsis. Nat. Commun. 5: 4636.
- Sakuraba, Y., Park, S.-Y., Kim, Y.-S., Wang, S.-H., Yoo, S.-C., Hörtensteiner, S., and Paek, N.-C. (2014b). Arabidopsis STAY-GREEN2 is a negative regulator of chlorophyll degradation during leaf senescence. Mol. Plant 7: 1288–1302.
- Saleh, A., Alvarez-Venegas, R., and Avramova, Z. (2008). An efficient chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) protocol for studying histone modifications in Arabidopsis plants. Nat. Protoc. 3: 1018–1025.
- Schildhauer, J., Wiedemuth, K., and Humbeck, K. (2008). Supply of nitrogen can reverse senescence processes and affect expression of genes coding for plastidic glutamine synthetase and lysineketoglutarate reductase/saccharopine dehydrogenase. Plant Biol (Stuttg) 10 (Suppl 1): 76–84.
- Schweizer, F., Fernández-Calvo, P., Zander, M., Diez-Diaz, M., Fonseca, S., Glauser, G., Lewsey, M.G., Ecker, J.R., Solano, R., and Reymond, P. (2013). Arabidopsis basic helix–loop–helix transcription factors MYC2, MYC3, and MYC4 regulate glucosinolate biosynthesis, insect performance, and feeding behavior. Plant Cell 25: 3117–3132.
- Sheard, L.B., et al. (2010). Jasmonate perception by inositolphosphate-potentiated COI1-JAZ co-receptor. Nature 468: 400–405.
- Shigyo, M., Tabei, N., Yoneyama, T., and Yanagisawa, S. (2007). Evolutionary processes during the formation of the plant-specific Dof transcription factor family. Plant Cell Physiol. 48: 179–185.
- Shin, J., Heidrich, K., Sanchez-Villarreal, A., Parker, J.E., and Davis, S.J. (2012). TIME FOR COFFEE represses accumulation of the MYC2 transcription factor to provide time-of-day regulation of jasmonate signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 24: 2470-2482.
- Skirycz, A., Jozefczuk, S., Stobiecki, M., Muth, D., Zanor, M.I., Witt, I., and Mueller-Roeber, B. (2007). Transcription factor At-DOF4;2 affects phenylpropanoid metabolism in Arabidopsis thaliana. New Phytol. 175: 425–438.
- Skirycz, A., Radziejwoski, A., Busch, W., Hannah, M.A., Czeszejko, J., Kwaśniewski, M., Zanor, M.I., Lohmann, J.U., De Veylder, L., Witt, I., and Mueller-Roeber, B. (2008). The DOF transcription

factor OBP1 is involved in cell cycle regulation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 56: 779–792.

- Skirycz, A., Reichelt, M., Burow, M., Birkemeyer, C., Rolcik, J., Kopka, J., Zanor, M.I., Gershenzon, J., Strnad, M., Szopa, J., Mueller-Roeber, B., and Witt, I. (2006). DOF transcription factor AtDof1.1 (OBP2) is part of a regulatory network controlling glucosinolate biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 47: 10–24.
- Smet, W., et al. (2019). DOF2.1 controls cytokinin-dependent vascular cell proliferation downstream of TMO5/LHW. Curr. Biol. 29: 520–529.e6.
- Takahashi, F., Yoshida, R., Ichimura, K., Mizoguchi, T., Seo, S., Yonezawa, M., Maruyama, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (2007). The mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade MKK3-MPK6 is an important part of the jasmonate signal transduction pathway in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 19: 805–818.
- Thines, B., Katsir, L., Melotto, M., Niu, Y., Mandaokar, A., Liu, G., Nomura, K., He, S.Y., Howe, G.A., and Browse, J. (2007). JAZ repressor proteins are targets of the SCF(COI1) complex during jasmonate signalling. Nature 448: 661-665.
- Vijayan, P., Shockey, J., Lévesque, C.A., Cook, R.J., and Browse, J. (1998). A role for jasmonate in pathogen defense of Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 7209–7214.
- Ward, J.M., Cufr, C.A., Denzel, M.A., and Neff, M.M. (2005). The Dof transcription factor OBP3 modulates phytochrome and cryptochrome signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 17: 475–485.
- Wasternack, C. (2007). Jasmonates: An update on biosynthesis, signal transduction and action in plant stress response, growth and development. Ann. Bot. 100: 681–697.
- Wasternack, C., and Hause, B. (2013). Jasmonates: Biosynthesis, perception, signal transduction and action in plant stress response, growth and development. An update to the 2007 review in Annals of Botany. Ann. Bot. 111: 1021–1058.
- Wei, P.C., Tan, F., Gao, X.Q., Zhang, X.Q., Wang, G.Q., Xu, H., Li, L.J., Chen, J., and Wang, X.C. (2010). Overexpression of At-DOF4.7, an Arabidopsis DOF family transcription factor, induces floral organ abscission deficiency in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 153: 1031–1045.
- Weidhase, R.A., Lehmann, J., Kramell, H., Sembdner, G., and Parthier, B. (1987). Degradation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase and chlorophyll in senescing barley leaf segments triggered by jasmonic acid methylester, and counteraction by cytokinin. Physiol. Plant. 69: 161-166.
- Woo, H.R., Kim, H.J., Lim, P.O., and Nam, H.G. (2019). Leaf senescence: Systems and dynamics aspects. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 70: 347–376.
- Wu, F.H., Shen, S.C., Lee, L.Y., Lee, S.H., Chan, M.T., and Lin, C.S. (2009). Tape-Arabidopsis Sandwich—a simpler Arabidopsis protoplast isolation method. Plant Methods 5: 16.
- Xie, D.X., Feys, B.F., James, S., Nieto-Rostro, M., and Turner, J.G. (1998). COI1: An Arabidopsis gene required for jasmonate-regulated defense and fertility. Science 280: 1091–1094.
- Yadav, V., Mallappa, C., Gangappa, S.N., Bhatia, S., and Chattopadhyay, S. (2005). A basic helix–loop–helix transcription factor in Arabidopsis, MYC2, acts as a repressor of blue lightmediated photomorphogenic growth. Plant Cell 17: 1953–1966.
- Yan, Y., Stolz, S., Chételat, A., Reymond, P., Pagni, M., Dubugnon, L., and Farmer, E.E. (2007). A downstream mediator in the growth repression limb of the jasmonate pathway. Plant Cell 19: 2470–2483.
- Yanagisawa, S. (1995). A novel DNA-binding domain that may form a single zinc finger motif. Nucleic Acids Res. 23: 3403–3410.
- Yanagisawa, S. (1996). Dof DNA-binding proteins contain a novel zinc finger motif. Trends Plant Sci. 1: 213–214.
- Yanagisawa, S. (2015). Structure, function, and evolution of the Dof transcription factor family. In Plant Transcription Factors: Evolutionary, Structural and Functional Aspects, D. Gonzalez, ed (New York: Elsevier), pp. 183–197.
- Yanagisawa, S. (2002). The Dof family of plant transcription factors. Trends Plant Sci. 7: 555–560.
- Yanagisawa, S., and Schmidt, R.J. (1999). Diversity and similarity among recognition sequences of Dof transcription factors. Plant J. 17: 209–214.
- Yanagisawa, S., and Sheen, J. (1998). Involvement of maize Dof zinc finger proteins in tissue-specific and light-regulated gene expression. Plant Cell 10: 75–89.
- Yoo, S.D., Cho, Y.H., and Sheen, J. (2007). Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: A versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. Nat. Protoc. 2: 1565–1572.
- Zhai, Q., Yan, L., Tan, D., Chen, R., Sun, J., Gao, L., Dong, M.Q., Wang, Y., and Li, C. (2013). Phosphorylation-coupled proteolysis of the transcription factor MYC2 is important for jasmonate-signaled plant immunity. PLoS Genet. 9: e1003422.
- Zhang, F., et al. (2015). Structural basis of JAZ repression of MYC transcription factors in jasmonate signalling. Nature 525: 269–273.
- Zhang, L., Zhang, F., Melotto, M., Yao, J., and He, S.Y. (2017). Jasmonate signaling and manipulation by pathogens and insects. J. Exp. Bot. 68: 1371–1385.
- Zhang, X., Henriques, R., Lin, S.S., Niu, Q.W., and Chua, N.H. (2006). Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana using the floral dip method. Nat. Protoc. 1: 641–646.
- Zhu, X., Chen, J., Xie, Z., Gao, J., Ren, G., Gao, S., Zhou, X., and Kuai, B. (2015). Jasmonic acid promotes degreening via MYC2/3/4 and ANAC019/055/072-mediated regulation of major chlorophyll catabolic genes. Plant J. 84: 597–610.
- Zou, H.F., et al. (2013). The transcription factor AtDOF4.2 regulates shoot branching and seed coat formation in Arabidopsis. Biochem. J. 449: 373–388.
- Zuo, J., Niu, Q.W., and Chua, N.H. (2000). Technical advance: An estrogen receptor-based transactivator XVE mediates highly inducible gene expression in transgenic plants. Plant J. 24: 265–273.