DOI: 10.1111/bph.14831

RESEARCH PAPER

Praziquantel ameliorates $CCl₄$ -induced liver fibrosis in mice by inhibiting TGF-β/Smad signalling via up-regulating Smad7 in hepatic stellate cells

1 Department of Pathogen Biology, Key Laboratory of Pathogen Biology of Jiangsu Province, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

2 Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Immunity and Metabolism, Department of Pathogenic Biology and Immunology, Laboratory of Infection and Immunity, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China

3 Department of Pathology, Nanjing Children's Hospital, Nanjing, China

4 Department of Pathogen Biology and Immunology, Kangda College, Nanjing Medical University, Lianyungang, China

⁵Key Laboratory of Infectious Diseases, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

Correspondence

Yong Wang, Department of Pathogen Biology, Key Laboratory of Pathogen Biology of Jiangsu Province, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 211100, China. Email: yongwsh@njmu.edu.cn

Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Numbers: 81273234, 81471573; Natural Science Foundation of Higher Education of Jiangsu Province, Grant/Award Number: 11KJA330003

Background and Purpose: Praziquantel is a schistosomicide, which has been used for more than 30 years due to its efficiency, safety, and mild side effects. Previous studies showed that prolonged treatment with praziquantel suppressed the development of liver fibrosis in mice with schistosomiasis. In this study, we investigated the potential mechanisms underlying the antifibrotic effects of praziquantel.

Experimental Approach: To avoid the effect of schistosomicidal activity of praziquantel against liver fibrosis induced by Schistosoma japonicum infection, we established a mouse model of carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄)-induced liver fibrosis for in vivo studies and used TGF-β1-stimulated human hepatic stellate cell line (LX-2) in addition to other fibroblast-like cell line (MES13) and fibroblast cell line (NIH3T3) in vitro. Western blotting, immunohistochemistry, quantitative real-time PCR, siRNA, and immunofluorescence staining were utilized to assess the expression of key molecules in liver fibrosis and the TGF-β/Smad pathway.

Key Results: Praziquantel significantly attenuated $CCl₄$ -induced liver fibrosis by inhibiting the activation of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) and expression of collagen matrix via enhancement of Smad7 expression, which were confirmed in LX-2, MES13, and NIH3T3 cells in vitro. In contrast, knockdown of Smad7 in LX-2 cells prevented praziquantel-mediated inhibition of LX-2 cell activation and TGF-β1-mediated collagen type I α 1 induction, revealing the critical role of Smad7 in the antifibrotic effect of praziquantel during liver fibrosis.

Conclusions and Implications: PZQ exhibited a strong efficacy against liver fibrosis by inhibiting activation of HSCs via Smad7 up-regulation, suggesting potential broad utility in treatment of diseases characterized by liver fibrosis.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Liver fibrosis refers to a wound-healing response to liver damage, which can be due to various aetiologies such as toxic damage, chronic viral infection, chronic alcohol abuse, immunological attack, and parasitic disease (Kisseleva, 2017; Trappoliere et al., 2009). Liver fibrosis is characterized by excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix (ECM) and hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) that are undergoing myofibroblast transition, which can be identified by the expression of α -smooth muscle actin (α-SMA; Hernandez-Gea & Friedman, 2011). The quiescent HSCs undergo a remarkable morphological and functional

Abbreviations: Arg1, arginase 1; COL1A1, collagen type I α1; ECM, extracellular matrix; HSCs, hepatic stellate cells; miR-21, microRNA-21; p-Smad2/3, phosphorylated Smad2/3; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR; α-SMA, α-smooth muscle actin.

LIU ET AL. **BULLIU ET AL. ALLAND BRITISH 4667**

change, that is, become activated, in response to unresolved liver damage (Higashi, Friedman, & Hoshida, 2017). HSCs can be activated by numerous growth factors and inflammatory cytokines, including [PDGF-BB](http://www.guidetopharmacology.org/GRAC/FamilyDisplayForward?familyId=5039) and [TGF-](http://www.guidetopharmacology.org/GRAC/FamilyDisplayForward?familyId=5060)β[1](http://www.guidetopharmacology.org/GRAC/FamilyDisplayForward?familyId=5060). Among these, TGF-β1 is the most effective cytokine for the transformation and proliferation of HSCs (Derynck & Zhang, 2003). It is acknowledged that HSCs are the main collagenproducing cells during liver fibrosis and their activation has been demonstrated in the pathogenesis of liver fibrosis (Duran et al., 2016). Considering the vital role of activated HSCs in the development of liver fibrosis, inhibition of HSC activation can potentially aid in ameliorating liver fibrosis (Higashi et al., 2017; Oh et al., 2016). Therefore, inhibition of the activation and modulation of the function of HSCs is a primary therapeutic goal for the treatment of liver fibrosis.

The TGF-β/[Smad pathway](http://www.guidetopharmacology.org/GRAC/FamilyDisplayForward?familyId=303) plays a key role in liver fibrosis (Dufton et al., 2017; Inagaki & Okazaki, 2007). It is now clear that TGF-β1 activates the downstream signalling pathway, promotes phosphorylation of Smad2 and Smad3, and binds to Smad4 into the nucleus to mediate fibrosis, which is negatively regulated by Smad7 through the ubiquitin–proteasome degradation pathway (Fukasawa et al., 2004; Lei et al., 2016). Studies show that Smad3 contributes to the liver fibrosis pathogenesis because Smad3 knockout mice do not develop dimethylnitrosamine-induced liver fibrosis (Latella et al., 2009). In contrast, Smad7 has a protective role as knockout of Smad7 promotes HSC activation and liver fibrosis in vivo and in vitro and Smad7 overexpression protects against liver fibrosis (Dooley et al., 2003; Dooley et al., 2008; Feng et al., 2015). In addition, Smad7 was identified as a target of microRNA-21 (miR-21) in a study (Yuan et al., 2017), which showed that up-regulated miR-21 promoted the activation of Smad proteins via inhibiting Smad7 in HSCs, thereby increasing the expression of collagens (He et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2017). A better understanding of the mechanisms underlying the activation of the TGF-β/Smad signalling in diseases associated with fibrosis is a critical step towards the development of specific and novel antifibrosis drugs.

Praziquantel is a schistosomicide with a good safety profile that has been used for more than 30 years (Fenwick, Savioli, Engels, Robert Bergquist, & Todd, 2003; Trainor-Moss & Mutapi, 2016). Praziquantel has low toxicity and only mild side effects in animals (Sayasone et al., 2017). No obvious long-term safety issues were found in humans, and its safety was also confirmed in both pregnant women and children (Allen, Crompton, de Silva, LoVerde, & Olds, 2002; Friedman, Olveda, Mirochnick, Bustinduy, & Elliott, 2018). In addition to its proven antihelminthic activity against flukes and tapeworms, recent studies showed that praziquantel could also directly affect the tissues and the cells of the host (Pinlaor et al., 2006). Praziquantel treatment for 8 weeks could inhibit the development of pulmonary granulomas induced by intravenous injection of Schistosoma japonicum eggs, suggesting that praziquantel might be an effective antifibrotic agent (Huang et al., 2011). We previously demonstrated that prolonged treatment with praziquantel ameliorated liver fibrosis in mice infected with S. japonicum (Liang et al., 2011); however, the underlying mechanisms remain largely unknown.

Using TGF-β1-stimulated LX-2 cells in addition to other myofibroblast-like cell line and fibroblast cell line, MES13 and NIH3T3, in vitro as well as a mouse model of $CCI₄$ -induced liver

What is already known

- Activation of hepatic stellate cells is a key process in hepatic fibrosis.
- Such activation involves stimulation of the TGFβ/Smad2/3 pathway.

What this study adds

- Praziquantel inhibits liver fibrosis by blocking activation of hepatic stellate cells.
- This blockade involves the up-regulation of Smad7.

What is the clinical significance

• Praziquantel could have clinical application in the treatment of fibrotic diseases of the liver.

fibrosis in vivo, the present study was designed to test the hypothesis that praziquantel ameliorated liver fibrosis by inhibiting TGF-β/Smad signalling via up-regulating Smad7 in HSCs.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Ethics statement

All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Nanjing Medical University (Approval No. IACUC-1601159). Animal studies are reported in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines (Kilkenny, Browne, Cuthill, Emerson, & Altman, 2010) and with the recommendations made by the British Journal of Pharmacology.

2.2 | Animals and reagents

Female BALB/c mice (6–8 weeks old and weighing 18–22 g, [RRID:](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:IMSR_JAX:000651) [IMSR_JAX:000651](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:IMSR_JAX:000651)), which were purchased from the Animal Core Facility of Nanjing Medical University, were housed in groups of five mice per cage in the specific pathogen-free animal centre of Nanjing Medical University. Animals were acclimatized for 1 week prior to the experiment under controlled temperature (25 \pm 3°C) and a reverse 12-hr light-dark cycle. Animals had ad libitum access to water and food (standard laboratory chow) throughout the study. Praziquantel (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was suspended in 1% carboxymethyl cellulose solution for in vivo treatments and suspended in DMSO for in vitro studies.

2.3 | Mouse model of liver fibrosis

Liver fibrosis in mice was induced by a single intraperitoneal injection of CCI_4 (1 ml·kg⁻¹, diluted to 25% with olive oil) twice per week for

4 weeks. Mice were randomly divided into four groups: (a) control group (n = 5), (b) PZQ group (n = 5), (c) CCl₄ group (n = 5), and (d) $CCI₄ + PZQ$ group (n = 5). The mice were given praziquantel (300 mg⋅kg⁻¹ per 12 hr) by gastric gavage for 4 weeks, either alone or after the $CCl₄$ administration. Then the mice were deeply anaesthetized with [sodium pentobarbital](http://www.guidetopharmacology.org/GRAC/LigandDisplayForward?ligandId=5480) by intraperitoneal injection (3%, 10 ml⋅kg⁻¹). The depth of anaesthesia was monitored by observation of slow breathing, loss of muscular tone and no response to surgical manipulation; mice were killed by cervical dislocation. The serum, cells, or livers were collected for corresponding analyses.

2.4 | Liver function tests

Blood was collected from the inferior vena cava in anaesthetized mice. Aspartate transaminase and alanine transaminase levels were detected by an Olympus AU5400 automatic biochemistry analyser.

2.5 | Isolation of hepatic stellate cells

HSCs were isolated from mice by a modified version of a previously described method (Mederacke, Dapito, Affo, Uchinami, & Schwabe, 2015). Briefly, the livers in situ were perfused first with an EGTA solution for 5 min through the hepatic portal vein followed by perfusion with an EGTA solution containing 0.05% pronase (Roche, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) and 0.04% type IV collagenase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 15 min. Next, whole liver was homogenized using a scalpel and digested with an EGTA solution containing 0.05% pronase, 10 Uml−¹ DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 0.08% type IV collagenase for 30 min at 37°C. After a 10% Optiprep (Axis-Shield PoC AS, Oslo, Norway) solution was used for density gradient centrifugation to isolate HSCs, the CD45[−] cells were sorted using CD45 microbeads following the manufacturer's instructions (Miltenyi Biotec, San Diego, CA, USA).

2.6 | Histological and immunohistochemical assays

Liver tissues were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin solution followed by paraffin embedding. Seven-micron-thick tissue sections were stained with haematoxylin–eosin to assess inflammation and necrosis through the Ishak index score, which is a scoring system commonly used in liver fibrosis (Ishak et al., 1995).

Immunohistochemistry was performed using paraffin sections, which were incubated with the following primary antibodies: α -SMA (1:200 dilution, Abcam, ab124964, [RRID:AB_11129103\)](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:AB_11129103), [COL1A1](http://www.guidetopharmacology.org/GRAC/FamilyDisplayForward?familyId=4898) (1:200 dilution, Abcam, ab34710, [RRID:AB_731684\)](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:AB_731684), phosphorylated Smad2/3 (p-Smad2/3; 1:200 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology, #8828, [RRID:AB_2631089\)](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:AB_2631089), and Smad7 (1:100 dilution, R&D, #293039, [RRID:AB_2193479](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:AB_2193479)). Then, the sections were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:1,000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology, #7074, [RRID:AB_2099233](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:AB_2099233)). The percentage of stained positive areas was quantified by Image-Pro Plus 6 (Media Cybernetics, USA, [RRID:SCR_007369](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:SCR_007369)). The immuno-related procedures used comply with the recommendations made by the British Journal of Pharmacology.

2.7 | Cell culture

LX-2 cells ([RRID:CVCL_5792\)](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:CVCL_5792) were purchased from Xiangya Medical College (Changsha, China). The mouse glomerular mesangial cell line MES13 [\(RRID:CVCL_5368](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:CVCL_5368)) and the mouse embryonic fibroblasts cell line NIH3T3 ([RRID:CVCL_0594\)](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:CVCL_0594) were gifts from Wen Qiu and Shen Yue at Nanjing Medical University. All cell lines were cultured in DMEM (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with 10% FBS (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 100 mg⋅ml⁻¹ streptomycin and 100 U⋅ml⁻¹ penicillin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37°C in the presence of 5% $CO₂$ in a tissue culture incubator.

The LX-2, MES13, and NIH3T3 cells plated into 96-well plates were incubated with different concentrations of praziquantel for 24 hr. The dose-dependent cytotoxicity and IC_{50} of praziquantel were measured using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Japan) and Cytotoxicity Detection Kit (LDH, Roche, Switzerland).

Smad7 knockdown in LX-2 cells was performed by transfecting an siRNA targeting Smad7 into LX-2 cells. The siRNA targeting human Smad7 (5'-GGUUUCUCCAUCAAGGCUUTT-3') and negative control (5'-UUCUCCGAACGUGUCACGUTT-3') were synthesized by GenePharma (Shanghai, China). Cells were cultured in six-well plates in DMEM without antibiotics and FBS (2 ml per well) and transfected with 3 μl of 10-μM siRNA per well by using 9-μl lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen, #13778). Medium was changed to DMEM with 10% FBS after 6 hr. Another 18 hr later, the cells were treated and then harvested for quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) and Western blot analyses.

2.8 | Immunofluorescence staining

The LX-2 cells were challenged with TGF- β 1 (2.5 ng·ml⁻¹) for 12 hr followed by treatment with praziquantel (30 μ g·ml⁻¹) for 24 hr. After incubation, the cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Non-specific binding sites were blocked by incubating the cells with 2% BSA for 1 hr. The fixed cells were incubated with a primary antibody against α -SMA (1:200 dilution), COL1A1 (1:500 dilution), or Smad7 (1:100 dilution) overnight at 4° C, followed by incubation with a fluorescein-labelled secondary antibody for 1 hr. The cellular nuclei were stained with DAPI for 10 min. All samples were imaged with a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) and the AxioVision Rel. 4.8 software (Zeiss, [RRID:SCR_002677\)](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:SCR_002677).

2.9 | Western blotting

Total protein from isolated primary HSCs and the three cell lines were separated on 10% gels by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. The membranes were incubated with the following primary antibodies: α-SMA (1:2,000 dilution, Abcam, ab124964), COL1A1

(1:1,000 dilution, Abcam, ab34710), p-Smad2/3 (1:1,000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology, #8828), Smad7 (1:1,000 dilution, R&D, #293039), [arginase 1](http://www.guidetopharmacology.org/GRAC/ObjectDisplayForward?objectId=1244) (Arg1; 1:1,000 dilution, Abcam, ab133543), and GAPDH (1:2,000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology, #5174, AB_10622025). The membranes were then incubated with an HRPconjugated secondary antibody (1:1,000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology, #7074), developed by enhanced chemiluminescence (Merck Millipore). Images were acquired using ChemiDoc™ Touch Imaging System (Bio-Rad, California, USA) and quantified by the Image Lab analysis software version 4.1 (Bio-Rad, California, USA).

2.10 | Quantitative real-time PCR

RNA from the three cell lines was extracted using TRIzol® according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and was reverse transcribed into cDNA using PrimeScript RT Master Mix (Takara Bio, Japan, #RR036A). Primer sequences are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Gene expression was calculated using the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method normalized against β-actin.

2.11 | Data and statistical analysis

The data and statistical analysis comply with the recommendations of the British Journal of Pharmacology on experimental design and analysis in pharmacology (Curtis et al., 2018). All data were presented as means ± SEM. GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA, [RRID:SCR_002798](info:x-wiley/rrid/RRID:SCR_002798)) was used for statistical analysis of the data. Statistical analysis for multiple groups was performed by oneway ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's tests if F achieved statistical significance ($P \le .05$) and there was no significant variance in homogeneity. A value of $P < .05$ was considered significant. No data were excluded from any of the studies.

TABLE 1 Human primers for quantitative real-time PCR analysis

Name		Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$
β -Actin	Forward primer	CATGTACGTTGCTATCCAGGC
	Reverse primer	CTCCTTAATGTCACGCACGAT
α -SMA	Forward primer	CAGGGCTGTTTTCCCATCCAT
	Reverse primer	GCCATGTTCTATCGGGTACTTC
C ₀ 11 ₀ 1	Forward primer	GTCGAGGGCCAAGACGAAG
	Reverse primer	CAGATCACGTCATCGCACAAC
Arg1	Forward primer	GTGGAAACTTGCATGGACAAC
	Reverse primer	AATCCTGGCACATCGGGAATC
Smad ₃	Forward primer	CCATCTCCTACTACGAGCTGAA
	Reverse primer	CACTGCTGCATTCCTGTTGAC
Smad4	Forward primer	CCACCAAGTAATCGTGCATCG
	Reverse primer	TGGTAGCATTAGACTCAGATGGG
Smad ₇	Forward primer	GGACAGCTCAATTCGGACAAC
	Reverse primer	GTACACCCACACACCATCCAC

TABLE 2 Mouse primers for quantitative real-time PCR analysis

2.12 | Nomenclature of targets and ligands

Key protein targets and ligands in this article are hyperlinked to corresponding entries in [http://www.guidetopharmacology.org,](http://www.guidetopharmacology.org) the common portal for data from the IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOL-OGY (Harding et al., 2018), and are permanently archived in the Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18 (Alexander et al., 2017).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Praziquantel ameliorates CCI_4 -induced functional and histological damage in the liver

To explore the role of praziquantel in the progression of liver fibrosis, we established a mouse model of liver fibrosis by administering $CCI₄$ intraperitoneally to BALB/c mice twice per week for 4 weeks and collected tissues after the final intragastric praziquantel treatment at a dosage of 300 mg⋅kg⁻¹ per 12 hr (Figure 1a). We observed that the liver surface in the $CCI₄$ group was significantly rougher and more nodular compared with the control group, whereas the liver surface in CCl₄-administered mice treated with praziquantel was significantly improved (Figure 1b). In addition, praziquantel treatment had no effect on the appearance of the liver in healthy mice (Figure 1b). The CC I_4 group exhibited severe liver fibrosis as demonstrated by the development of severe liver damage with thick fibrotic septa and pseudolobular formation as well as the significantly higher Ishak index score of inflammation compared with the control group (Figure 1c,d). Serum alanine transaminase and aspartate transaminase levels were also significantly higher in the $CCI₄$ group compared with the control group (Figure 1e). In contrast, the praziquantel treatment resulted in a decrease in histological and functional liver damage in $CCI₄$ -treated mice. Moreover, the results also showed that praziquantel had no effect on healthy mice.

3.2 | Praziquantel reduces CCl₄-induced liver fibrosis by targeting HSCs in vivo

To further clarify the protective effect of praziquantel in the mouse model of liver fibrosis, we analysed the expression of COL1A1 and

FIGURE 1 Praziquantel (PZQ) ameliorates functional and histological damage in CCl₄-induced liver injury. (a) Schematic representation of the liver fibrosis model in BALB/c mice induced by intraperitoneal CCl₄ (1 ml·kg^{−1}, diluted to 25% with olive oil) that was administered twice a week for 4 weeks, which was followed by intragastric administration of praziquantel (300 mg⋅kg⁻¹ per 12 hr) for 4 weeks. The livers were harvested after the final administration. (b) Gross examination of the liver in mice with liver fibrosis that did or did not receive praziquantel. The ruler is on the left side of the diagram ($n = 5$). (c) Representative images of the liver sections stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E; arrows show examples of lobular inflammation). Scale bar, 100 μ m. (d) Quantification of inflammatory changes according to the Ishak index scoring (n = 5). (e) Serum alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (AST) levels after the final praziquantel administration ($n = 5$). All data are presented as means ± SEM. *P < .05, significantly different as indicated; ns, non-significant

α-SMA by immunohistochemistry. As shown in Figure 2, we found that the deposition of intrahepatic COL1A1 was increased in the mice with liver fibrosis after the administration of $CCI₄$ for 4 weeks, whereas treatment with praziquantel attenuated collagen accumulation in the liver as compared with the $CCl₄$ group (Figure 2a). This finding was further confirmed by quantification of the COL1A1-immunopositive areas (Figure 2b), indicating that mice with liver fibrosis treated with praziquantel exhibited significantly reduced collagen deposition in the liver compared with the CCI_4 group. We also assessed the expression levels of the HSCs activation marker α-SMA and found that the addition of praziquantel was capable of blocking α-SMA-positive cell accumulation along the fibrotic septa compared with the $CCl₄$ group (Figure 2a), which was confirmed by quantification of α -SMA-positive cell area (Figure 2b). Meanwhile, praziquantel had no effect on the expression of COL1A1 and $α$ -SMA in the liver in healthy mice (Figure 2a,b).

FIGURE 2 Praziquantel (PZQ) ameliorates CCl₄-induced liver fibrosis by targeting hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) in vivo. (a) Histological characterization of liver fibrosis and myofibroblast activation by immunohistochemistry for collagen type I α 1 (COL1A1) and α -smooth muscle actin (α-SMA). Scale bar, 100 μm. (b) Quantification of histological changes in different treatment groups with positive area using image analysis software (n = 5). (c) HSCs were purified from the mice with liver fibrosis and with or without praziquantel treatment. Western blot analysis for COL1A1, α-SMA, and a loading control (GAPDH) protein levels in HSCs. (d) Quantitative analysis of COL1A1, α-SMA, and GAPDH expression $(n = 5)$. All data are presented as means \pm SEM. *P < .05; significantly different as indicated; ns, non-significant

Liver fibrosis is accompanied by the activation of HSCs (Tsuchida & Friedman, 2017), which lead to increased extracellular matrix production and decreased degradation and remodelling. Therefore, we isolated primary HSCs to further explore the mechanism of praziquantel-mediated suppression of liver fibrosis. First, we analysed HSCs markers of GFAP and desmin to guarantee the specific isolations of HSCs by flow cytometry (Ichikawa, Mucida, Tyznik, Kronenberg, $\&$ Cheroutre, 2011). It was showed that the GFAP⁺ and desmin⁺ cells were about 96% (Figure S1a,b). Then, the HSCs purified from the livers of healthy mice were incubated with different concentrations of praziquantel for 24 hr. As shown in Figure S2a, praziquantel at dose under 40 μg·ml⁻¹ did not cause significant cytotoxicity as determined by CCK-8 assay and increasing LDH release. Last, we analysed apoptosis and cell cycle in HSCs isolated from healthy mice with or without praziquantel treatment using flow cytometry and determined that praziquantel at the dose of 300 mg· kg^{-1} per 12 hr did not increase apoptosis or suppress cell cycle in HSCs. As shown in Figure 2c,d, Western blot analysis showed that the $|Cl_{4}$ induced up-regulation of COL1A1 and $α$ -SMA protein levels was significantly reduced in the $CCl₄$ mice treated with PZQ. Moreover, the results also showed that praziquantel had no effect on HSCs in healthy mice. These results indicated that PZQ-mediated inhibition of HSCs activation might be the potential mechanism underlying its liver fibrosis-mitigating effect in vivo.

3.3 | Praziquantel suppresses HSCs activation by inhibiting TGF-β/Smad signalling in vivo

As TGF-β/Smad signalling plays a key contributory role in HSCs activation and ECM production that determine liver fibrosis (Yang et al., 2013), we next explored the mechanisms by which praziquantel inhibited HSCs activation by investigating the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway. Indeed, by immunohistochemistry, we found that the expression of p-Smad2/3 was significantly higher and the expression of Smad7 was significantly lower in the livers of CCL_4 -treated mice than that in the untreated animals, which were reversed in the livers of CCl4-treated mice treated with praziquantel (Figure 3a). The results were confirmed by quantification of the areas positive for p-Smad2/3 and Smad7 (Figure 3b). Furthermore, we detected the inhibitory effect of praziquantel on the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway in primary HSCs. As shown in Figure 3c,d, Western blot analysis revealed that the CCl4-induced up-regulation of p-Smad2/3 and down-regulation of Smad7 at protein levels were reversed in the $CCI₄$ -treated mice that were treated with praziquantel. Together, these results suggested that

FIGURE 3 Praziquantel (PZQ) suppresses hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) activation by inhibiting TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway in vivo. (a) Immunohistochemistry for phosphorylated Smad2/3 (p-Smad2/3) and Smad7 in liver sections. Scale bar, 100 μm. (b) Quantification of p-Smad2/3 and Smad7 expression in different treatment groups with positive area by image analysis software ($n = 5$). (c) HSCs were purified from the mice of liver fibrosis that did or did not receive praziquantel treatment. Western blot analysis for p-Smad2/3, Smad7, and a loading control (GAPDH) protein levels in HSCs. (d) Quantitative analysis of p-Smad2/3, Smad7, and GAPDH expression (n = 5). All data are presented as means \pm SEM. $*P < .05$; significantly different as indicated; ns, non-significant

praziquantel reduced the phosphorylation of Smad2/3 and increased the Smad7 expression, thereby inhibiting the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway to suppress the HSCs activation.

3.4 | TGF- β /Smad signalling mediates the inhibitory effect of HSCs activation by praziquantel in vitro

To further investigate whether the inhibitory effect of praziquantel on fibrogenesis was due to the suppression of HSCs activation through the arrest of the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway, we examined the effect of praziquantel on the LX-2 cell line in vitro. We first determined the dose of praziquantel that did not cause cytotoxicity in LX-2 cells in vitro. As shown in Figure S3a, praziquantel at doses over 40 μ g·m $^{-1}$ caused significant cytotoxicity by inhibiting LX-2 cell proliferation as determined by the CCK-8 assay and increasing LDH release. In contrast, there was no detectable cytotoxicity at praziquantel doses at and below 30 μg·ml⁻¹. Thus, praziquantel at 20 and 30 μ g·ml⁻¹ was used to examine its inhibitory effect on TGF-β1-induced HSCs activation and ECM production in vitro. To further clarify whether praziquantel at 30 μ g·ml⁻¹ could reduce the number of HSCs and prevent the development of liver fibrosis, we analysed apoptosis and cell cycle in PZQ-treated HSCs using flow cytometry and determined that praziquantel at the safe dose of 30 μ g·ml⁻¹ did not increase apoptosis or suppress cell cycle in LX-2 cells (Figure S4a–d).

As shown in Figure 4, qRT-PCR detections showed that the expression of COL1A1 and α-SMA genes associated with activation of HSCs was significantly up-regulated in TGF-β1-treated LX-2 cells, and this effect was reversed after praziquantel treatment (Figure 4a). Meanwhile, praziquantel had no effect on the expression of COL1A1 and α-SMA genes in LX-2 cells (Figure 4a). Furthermore, Western blot analysis demonstrated that praziquantel presented the inhibitory effects on the TGF-β1-induced expression of COL1A1 and α-SMA proteins in LX-2 cells (Figure 4b,c). Similar results were found at the protein level as demonstrated by immunostaining with anti-COL1A1 and anti-α-SMA antibodies of the LX-2 cells (Figure 4d).

We next explored the mechanism underlying PZQ-mediated inhibition of LX-2 cells activation by blocking the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway in vitro. As shown in Figure 5, qRT-PCR analysis revealed that praziquantel significantly reduced the mRNA level of Smad3 and increased that of Smad7 compared with the TGF-β1-induced LX-2 cells, while no significant effect of praziquantel was observed on levels of Smad4 (Figure 5a). In addition, we observed that the protein level of p-Smad2/3 was reduced and that of Smad7 was increased in LX-2 cells when cotreated with praziquantel and TGF-β1 compared with the TGF-β1-induced LX-2 cells by Western blotting (Figure 5b,c). Furthermore, we found that incubation of the TGF-β1-induced LX-2 cells with 30 μ g·ml⁻¹ praziquantel significantly induced the Smad7 expression as shown by immunostaining (Figure 5d).

FIGURE 4 Praziquantel (PZQ) inhibits TGF-β1-induced hepatic stellate cells activation in vitro. (a) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of collagen type I α 1 (COL1A1) and α -smooth muscle actin (α -SMA) mRNA expression in LX-2 cells. The $\Delta\Delta C_f$ method was used to quantify relative changes (n = 6). (b) Western blot for COL1A1, α -SMA, and a loading control (GAPDH) protein levels in LX-2 cells. (c) Quantitative analysis of COL1A1, α -SMA, and GAPDH expression ($n = 5$). (d) Immunofluorescence staining of LX-2 cells with antibodies against COL1A1 and α -SMA. Images are captured with confocal microscopy (n = 5). Scale bar, 100 μm. All data are presented as means ± SEM. *P < .05, significantly different as indicated; ns, non-significant

3.5 | Praziquantel suppresses the activation of fibroblasts by inhibiting the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway

We further determined whether our findings showing that praziquantel could suppress HSCs activation via the inhibition of the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway did occur in myofibroblast-like cells and fibroblasts. To this end, we used MES13 and NIH3T3 cells. We first determined the dose of praziquantel that did not cause cytotoxicity in MES13 and NIH3T3 cells in vitro. As shown in Figure S3b,c, praziquantel only at doses over 160 μ g·ml⁻¹ caused significant

FIGURE 5 Praziquantel (PZQ) inhibits TGF-β/Smad signalling in LX-2 cells in vitro. (a) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of Smad3, Smad4, and Smad7 mRNA expression in LX-2 cells. The $ΔΔC_t$ method was used to quantify relative changes (n = 6). (b) Western blot for phosphorylated Smad2/3 (p-Smad2/3), Smad7, and a loading control (GAPDH) protein levels in LX-2 cells. (c) Quantitative analysis of p-Smad2/3, Smad7, and GAPDH expression (n = 5). (d) Immunofluorescence staining of LX-2 cells with an antibody against Smad7, captured using confocal microscopy (n = 5). Scale bar, 100 µm. All data are presented as means \pm SEM. *P < .05, significantly different as indicated; ns, non-significant. ^{ns}P > .05

cytotoxicity by inhibiting MES13 and NIH3T3 cell proliferation as determined by CCK-8 assay and increasing LDH release. Thus, praziquantel at 20 and 30 μ g·ml⁻¹ was used to examine its inhibitory effect on TGFβ1-induced MES13 and NIH3T3 cells. As shown in Figure 6, addition of praziquantel at doses of 20–30 μ g·ml⁻¹ significantly inhibited the TGF $β1$ -induced the COL1A1 and $α$ -SMA mRNA levels and increased the Smad7 mRNA expression by qRT-PCR analysis in MES13 and NIH3T3 cells (Figure 6a,d). Similar changes were observed at the protein levels of COL1A1 and α-SMA by Western blot assay (Figure 6b,c,e,f). In addition, based on recent studies providing strong evidence that Arg1 plays a critical role in collagen synthesis in fibroblasts, which is regulated by TGFβ/Smad signalling (Ganji, Roshan, Varasteh, Moghadam, & Sankian, 2015; Kitowska et al., 2008), we investigated the effect of praziquantel on Arg1 and Smad7 expression to further verify the inhibition of the

TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway by PZQ. We detected that treatment of LX-2, MES13, and NIH3T3 cells with praziquantel at 30 µg⋅ml⁻¹ led to a significant reduction in Arg1 expression and a significant increase in Smad7 expression by both qRT-PCR and Western blot assay (Figure S5a, b). Altogether, these results suggested that the effect of praziquantel on HSCs was also present in other fibroblast-like cells and fibroblasts.

3.6 | Smad7 is a key target of praziquantel in the inhibition of the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway in HSCs

Smad7 is a well-known antagonist of the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway (Dooley et al., 2008). Thus, we investigated the praziquantelinduced up-regulation of Smad7 during the suppression of HSCs

FIGURE 6 Praziquantel (PZQ) suppresses the activation of other fibroblast-like cell or fibroblast by inhibiting the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway. (a, d) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of collagen type I α1 (COL1A1), α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), and Smad7 mRNA expression in MES13 and NIH3T3 cells. The $ΔΔC_t$ method was used to quantify relative changes (n = 6). (b, e) Western blot for COL1A1, α-SMA, Smad7, and a loading control (GAPDH) protein levels in MES13 and NIH3T3 cells. (c, f) Quantitative analysis of COL1A1, α-SMA, Smad7, and GAPDH expression (n = 5). All data are presented as means \pm SEM. $*P < .05$, significantly different as indicated; ns, non-significant

activation in vitro by siRNA-mediated knockdown of Smad7 in LX-2 cells. To elucidate the detailed mechanism, we analysed the effect of Smad7 depletion on the inhibitory effect of praziquantel on TGFβ1-mediated induction of COL1A1 and α-SMA expression. Western blot and qRT-PCR analysis showed that the efficiency of Smad7 mRNA and protein knockdown by siRNA was about 70% in LX-2 cells compared with that in normal cells and scrambled siRNA-infected cells (Figure 7a–c). Next, we detected that depletion of Smad7 was able to prevent the inhibitory effect of praziquantel on TGF-β1-mediated elevation of COL1A1, α -SMA, and p-Smad2/3 expression at both the mRNA level by qRT-PCR and the protein level by Western blot assay (Figure 7e–g). In addition, we evaluated whether praziquantel induced Smad7 expression by inhibiting miR-21 production. We found that praziquantel did not change miR-21 expression in LX-2 cells cotreated with TGF-β1 compared with the LX-2 cells treated only with TGF-β1 by qRT-PCR at different time points (Figure 7d). Collectively, these results suggested that Smad7 was a direct target of praziquantel for the inhibition of HSCs activation via the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway.

FIGURE 7 Praziquantel (PZQ) inhibits TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway by up-regulating Smad7 expression in hepatic stellate cells. (a) Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis to show the siRNA-mediated reduction in Smad7 mRNA levels in LX-2 cells (n = 6). (b, c) Western blot analysis to show the siRNA-mediated reduction in Smad7 protein levels in LX-2 cells (n = 5). (e) qRT-PCR analysis of collagen type I α1 (COL1A1) and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) mRNA expression in LX-2 cells. The $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method was used to quantify relative changes (n = 6). (f) Western blot for COL1A1, α-SMA, phosphorylated Smad2/3 (p-Smad2/3), and a loading control (GAPDH) protein levels in LX-2 cells. (g) Quantitative analysis of COL1A1, α-SMA, p-Smad2/3, and GAPDH expression (n = 5). (d) qRT-PCR analysis of miR-21 expression in LX-2 cells after treatment with praziquantel (30 μg·ml^{−1}) at different time points. The ΔΔC_t method was used to quantify relative changes (n = 6). All data are presented as means ± SEM. *P < .05, significantly different as indicated; ns, non-significant

4 | DISCUSSION

In recent years, drug repurposing has gained increased attention based on the efforts to shorten the development cycle, reduce risks, and improve the success rate during new drug development. Drug repurposing defines new indications or new uses of previously developed drugs. Due to the detailed information that is available on the pharmacokinetics and safety profiles of the approved drugs, their analysis and development for new purposes can be effectively and swiftly performed by phase II clinical trials (Cha et al., 2018). Approximately 40% of the research and development costs can be saved, and the development cycle can be shortened to 3 to 12 years (Chong & Sullivan, 2007; Sharlow, 2016). Therefore, drug repurposing is deemed to be one of the fastest and most effective strategies in drug discovery (Mercorelli, Palu, & Loregian, 2018; Panchapakesan & Pollock, 2018).

Praziquantel is a broad-spectrum antihelminthic drug developed in the 1970s (Fenwick et al., 2003), which is not only effective for five human schistosomiasis types but also has favourable features of a good curative effect, minimal adverse reactions, and convenience of use. Therefore, praziquantel is recommended by the World Health Organization as the drug of choice for treating human schistosomiasis (1993). Since the development of praziquantel, several hypotheses on its mechanism of action have been proposed but the exact mechanism has not yet been fully elucidated. The most prominent theory suggests that praziquantel leads to an increase in calcium ion levels in schistosomes, which causes damage to the tegument and death of the worms (Chan et al., 2017). In addition, the schistosomicidal effect of praziquantel is associated with immune dependence and immune synergy (Ribeiro, Mello, Tavares, Kusel, & Coelho, 2004). When used as an adjuvant to the surface antigen of the hepatitis B virus DNA vaccine pcD-S2, praziquantel could trigger T-cell proliferation, surface antigen of the hepatitis B virus-specific antibody response, and antigen-specific cytotoxic T-cellmediated immune response. The inhibition of TGF-β expression and the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway suggests that praziquantel can enhance humoral and cellular immunity of the pcD-S2 vaccine by inhibiting the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway (Zou et al., 2010). These studies revealed that the schistosomicidal effect of praziquantel depended on the host immunity. More importantly, schistosomiasis-induced liver fibrosis was effectively ameliorated by praziquantel treatment aimed at killing the schistosomes (Berhe, Myrvang, & Gundersen, 2008; el-Badrawy et al., 1988; Singh, Gerard, Hudson, & Boros, 2004). However, the antifibrotic effect of praziquantel was attributed to the self-repair after the death of the schistosomes. We previously demonstrated that, in addition to its antihelmintic activity, prolonged treatment with praziquantel could suppress the development of liver fibrosis in mice infected with S. japonicum (Liang et al., 2011). In the current study, we elucidated the exact mechanism of the praziquantel-mediated amelioration of liver fibrosis and focused on the effects of praziquantel on the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway in HSCs. Given that worm-derived factors are the main drivers of liver fibrosis in schistosomiasis, we established the mouse model of $CC|_4$ -induced liver fibrosis to avoid theeffects of the helminthicidal activity of praziquantel itself on liver fibrosis induced by S. japonicum infection. Thus, the current study provides a theoretical basis for the elucidation of a novel application of praziquantel as a drug for the treatment of clinical liver fibrosis.

Progression of liver fibrosis due to different aetiologies involves a common mechanism. Continuous activation of HSCs plays a crucial role in the development of liver fibrosis: Activated HSCs participate in the formation of liver fibrosis and the reconstruction of intrahepatic structures by proliferating and secreting ECM. Conversely, the intrahepatic sinus pressure is increased by cell contraction. These two changes are the ultimate pathological basis of liver fibrosis and portal hypertension (Thabut & Shah, 2010; Tsuchida & Friedman, 2017). In the present study, we detected that praziquantel administration at a safe dose significantly inhibited the activation of HSCs induced by $CCI₄$ and decreased liver fibrosis in mice. The safe dose of praziquantel, 30 μ g·ml^{−1}, did not ameliorate liver fibrosis by increasing apoptosis or suppressing the cell cycle, as determined in LX-2 cells (Figure S4a–d). In addition, praziquantel treatment was able to inhibit TGF-β1-induced HSCs activation as determined by α-SMA and COL1A1 expression in LX-2 cells. More importantly, up-regulating Smad7, thereby blocking the TGF-β/Smad pathway, was an underlying mechanism by which praziquantel ameliorated CCl₄induced liver fibrosis in vivo and TGF-β1-induced HSCs activation in vitro. Moreover, we demonstrated that this mechanism of action of praziquantel, which was independent of miR-21 expression, was observed in LX-2 cells. Furthermore, in agreement with recent studies presenting strong evidence for Arg1 as a critical player in collagen synthesis in fibroblasts that is regulated by TGF-β/Smad signalling (Ganji et al., 2015; Kitowska et al., 2008), we found that praziquantel decreased Arg1 expression by improving Smad7 expression, further confirming that praziquantel inhibited HSCs activation by up-regulating Smad7. All together, these findings demonstrated a new pharmacological action of praziquantel on regulating TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway.

The elucidation of Smad7 up-regulation by praziquantel that blocked the TGF-β/Smad to inhibit HSCs was an important finding of the current study. Although the mechanism of TGF-β/Smad-mediated liver fibrosis is well known, the development of therapeutic drugs that target this pathway directly should be explored further. The present study demonstrated that treatment with praziquantel could up-regulate Smad7 expression in HSCs, thereby blocking TGF-β/Smad pathway in CCl₄-

FIGURE 8 Schematic diagram of the antifibrotic effect of praziquantel in inhibition of hepatic stellate cells activation. Praziquantel increased the expression of Smad7 that inhibited Smad2/3 phosphorylation to inhibit the TGF-β/Smad signalling. This can further result in the inhibition of HSCs activation and extracellular matrix (ECM) production

4678 | RITO **AND DESCRIPTION OF ALL**U ET AL. **LIU** ET AL. **LIU ET AL.**

induced liver fibrosis in vivo and in TGF-β1-activated HSCs in vitro, indicating that up-regulation of Smad7, thereby restoring the balance in TGF-β/Smad signalling, might be a critical mechanism by which praziquantel ameliorates liver fibrosis, which was indeed confirmed by knocking down Smad7 that blocked PZQ-mediated attenuation of TGF-β1-induced activation and fibrosis in HSCs in vitro.

In conclusion, we propose a mechanism for the involvement of the TGF-β/Smad signalling pathway in the inhibition of HSCs activation by praziquantel in vivo and in vitro (Figure 8). Briefly, praziquantel can induce the expression of Smad7 in HSCs, thereby inhibiting the activation of TGF-β/Smad signalling, which is an underlying mechanism of praziquantel -mediated protection against liver fibrosis. Since the activation and collagen production of HSCs is the common mechanism of hepatic fibrosis derived from various causes, the present study also indicates a potential prospect of clinical application of praziquantel in the treatment of chronic fibrotic diseases of liver.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Dr Wen Qiu and Dr Shen Yue (Nanjing Medical University) for providing MES13 and NIH3T3 cell lines. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81273234 and 81471573) and the Natural Science Foundation of Higher Education of Jiangsu Province (No. 11KJA330003) to Y.W.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.L. conceived and performed the experiments, analysed the results, and wrote the manuscript. D.K., C.Z., Y.X., and Z.L. performed the experiments. J.Q., X.L., and R.Z. assisted with experimental design and data interpretation. Y.W. was awarded funding to support the study and conceived and wrote the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DECLARATION OF TRANSPARENCY AND SCIENTIFIC RIGOUR

This Declaration acknowledges that this paper adheres to the principles for transparent reporting and scientific rigour of preclinical research as stated in the BJP guidelines for [Design & Analysis](https://bpspubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/bph.14207), [Immunoblotting and Immunochemistry](https://bpspubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/bph.14208), and [Animal Experimentation](https://bpspubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/bph.14206) and as recommended by funding agencies, publishers, and other organizations engaged with supporting research.

ORCID

Yong Wang <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6673-8591>

REFERENCES

- (1993). Public health impact of schistosomiasis: Disease and mortality. WHO Expert Committee on the Control of Schistosomiasis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 71(6), 657–662.
- Alexander, S. P. H., Fabbro, D., Kelly, E., Marrion, N. V., Peters, J. A., Faccenda, E., … CGTP Collaborators (2017). The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2017/18: Enzymes. British Journal of Pharmacology, 174, S272–S359.<https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.13877>
- Allen, H. E., Crompton, D. W., de Silva, N., LoVerde, P. T., & Olds, G. R. (2002). New policies for using anthelmintics in high risk groups. Trends in Parasitology, 18(9), 381–382. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4922](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4922(02)02386-3) [\(02\)02386-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4922(02)02386-3)
- Berhe, N., Myrvang, B., & Gundersen, S. G. (2008). Reversibility of schistosomal periportal thickening/fibrosis after praziquantel therapy: A twenty-six month follow-up study in Ethiopia. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 78(2), 228–234. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2008.78.228) [4269/ajtmh.2008.78.228](https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2008.78.228)
- Cha, Y., Erez, T., Reynolds, I. J., Kumar, D., Ross, J., Koytiger, G., … Laifenfeld, D. (2018). Drug repurposing from the perspective of pharmaceutical companies. British Journal of Pharmacology, 175(2), 168–180.<https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.13798>
- Chan, J. D., Cupit, P. M., Gunaratne, G. S., McCorvy, J. D., Yang, Y., Stoltz, K., … Marchant, J. S. (2017). The anthelmintic praziquantel is a human serotoninergic G-protein-coupled receptor ligand. Nature Communications, 8(1), 1910. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02084-0) [02084-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02084-0)
- Chong, C. R., & Sullivan, D. J. Jr. (2007). New uses for old drugs. Nature, 448(7154), 645–646.<https://doi.org/10.1038/448645a>
- Curtis, M. J., Alexander, S., Cirino, G., Docherty, J. R., George, C. H., Giembycz, M. A., … Ahluwalia, A. (2018). Experimental design and analysis and their reporting II: Updated and simplified guidance for authors and peer reviewers. British Journal of Pharmacology, 175(7), 987–993.<https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14153>
- Derynck, R., & Zhang, Y. E. (2003). Smad-dependent and Smad-independent pathways in TGF-β family signalling. Nature, 425(6958), 577–584.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02006>
- Dooley, S., Hamzavi, J., Breitkopf, K., Wiercinska, E., Said, H. M., Lorenzen, J., … Gressner, A. M. (2003). Smad7 prevents activation of hepatic stellate cells and liver fibrosis in rats. Gastroenterology, 125(1), 178–191. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-5085\(03\)00666-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-5085(03)00666-8)
- Dooley, S., Hamzavi, J., Ciuclan, L., Godoy, P., Ilkavets, I., Ehnert, S., … Mertens, P. R. (2008). Hepatocyte-specific Smad7 expression attenuates TGF-β-mediated fibrogenesis and protects against liver damage. Gastroenterology, 135(2), 642–659. [https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2008.04.038) [2008.04.038](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2008.04.038)
- Dufton, N. P., Peghaire, C. R., Osuna-Almagro, L., Raimondi, C., Kalna, V., Chuahan, A., … Randi, A. M. (2017). Dynamic regulation of canonical TGFβ signalling by endothelial transcription factor ERG protects from liver fibrogenesis. Nature Communications, 8(1), 895. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01169-0) [10.1038/s41467-017-01169-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01169-0)
- Duran, A., Hernandez, E. D., Reina-Campos, M., Castilla, E. A., Subramaniam, S., Raghunandan, S., … Moscat, J. (2016). p62/SQSTM1 by binding to vitamin D receptor inhibits hepatic stellate cell activity, fibrosis, and liver cancer. Cancer Cell, 30(4), 595–609. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2016.09.004) [10.1016/j.ccell.2016.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2016.09.004)
- el-Badrawy, N. M., Hassanein, H. I., Botros, S. S., Nagy, F. M., Abdallah, N. M., & Herbage, D. (1988). Effect of praziquantel on hepatic fibrosis in experimental Schistosomiasis mansoni. Experimental and Molecular Pathology, 49(2), 151–160. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4800(88)90029-9) [0014-4800\(88\)90029-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4800(88)90029-9)
- Feng, T., Dzieran, J., Gu, X., Marhenke, S., Vogel, A., Machida, K., … Meindl-Beinker, N. M. (2015). Smad7 regulates compensatory hepatocyte proliferation in damaged mouse liver and positively relates to

better clinical outcome in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Clinical Science, 128(11), 761–774.<https://doi.org/10.1042/CS20140606>

- Fenwick, A., Savioli, L., Engels, D., Robert Bergquist, N., & Todd, M. H. (2003). Drugs for the control of parasitic diseases: Current status and development in schistosomiasis. Trends in Parasitology, 19(11), 509–515.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2003.09.005>
- Friedman, J. F., Olveda, R. M., Mirochnick, M. H., Bustinduy, A. L., & Elliott, A. M. (2018). Praziquantel for the treatment of schistosomiasis during human pregnancy. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 96 (1), 59–65.<https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.17.198879>
- Fukasawa, H., Yamamoto, T., Togawa, A., Ohashi, N., Fujigaki, Y., Oda, T., … Hishida, A. (2004). Down-regulation of Smad7 expression by ubiquitin-dependent degradation contributes to renal fibrosis in obstructive nephropathy in mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101(23), 8687–8692. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400035101>
- Ganji, A., Roshan, H. M., Varasteh, A., Moghadam, M., & Sankian, M. (2015). The effects of WW2/WW3 domains of Smurf2 molecule on TGF-β signaling and arginase I gene expression. Cell Biology International, 39(6), 690–695.<https://doi.org/10.1002/cbin.10446>
- Harding, S. D., Sharman, J. L., Faccenda, E., Southan, C., Pawson, A. J., Ireland, S., … NC-IUPHAR (2018). The IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHAR-MACOLOGY in 2018: Updates and expansion to encompass the new guide to IMMUNOPHARMACOLOGY. Nucleic Acids Research, 46(D1), D1091–D1106.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1121>
- He, X., Xie, J., Zhang, D., Su, Q., Sai, X., Bai, R., … Pan, W. (2015). Recombinant adeno-associated virus-mediated inhibition of micro-RNA-21 protects mice against the lethal schistosome infection by repressing both IL-13 and transforming growth factor beta 1 pathways. Hepatology, 61(6), 2008–2017. [https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.27671) [27671](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.27671)
- Hernandez-Gea, V., & Friedman, S. L. (2011). Pathogenesis of liver fibrosis. Annual Review of Pathology, 6, 425–456. [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathol-011110-130246) [annurev-pathol-011110-130246](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathol-011110-130246)
- Higashi, T., Friedman, S. L., & Hoshida, Y. (2017). Hepatic stellate cells as key target in liver fibrosis. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 121, 27–42.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2017.05.007>
- Huang, Y. X., Xu, Y. L., Yu, C. X., Li, H. J., Yin, X. R., Wang, T. S., … Liang, Y. S. (2011). Effect of praziquantel prolonged administration on granuloma formation around Schistosoma japonicum eggs in lung of sensitized mice. Parasitology Research, 109(5), 1453–1459. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-011-2485-2) [org/10.1007/s00436-011-2485-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-011-2485-2)
- Ichikawa, S., Mucida, D., Tyznik, A. J., Kronenberg, M., & Cheroutre, H. (2011). Hepatic stellate cells function as regulatory bystanders. Journal of Immunology, 186(10), 5549–5555. [https://doi.org/10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1003917) [jimmunol.1003917](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1003917)
- Inagaki, Y., & Okazaki, I. (2007). Emerging insights into transforming growth factor β Smad signal in hepatic fibrogenesis. Gut, 56(2), 284–292.<https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2005.088690>
- Ishak, K., Baptista, A., Bianchi, L., Callea, F., De Groote, J., Gudat, F., … Thaler, H. (1995). Histological grading and staging of chronic hepatitis. Journal of Hepatology, 22(6), 696–699. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-8278(95)80226-6) [8278\(95\)80226-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-8278(95)80226-6)
- Kilkenny, C., Browne, W. J., Cuthill, I. C., Emerson, M., & Altman, D. G. (2010). Improving bioscience research reporting: The ARRIVE guidelines for reporting animal research. Journal of Pharmacology & Pharmacotherapeutics, 1(2), 94–99. [https://doi.org/10.4103/0976-](https://doi.org/10.4103/0976-500X.72351) [500X.72351](https://doi.org/10.4103/0976-500X.72351)
- Kisseleva, T. (2017). The origin of fibrogenic myofibroblasts in fibrotic liver. Hepatology, 65(3), 1039–1043. [https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.28948) [28948](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.28948)
- Kitowska, K., Zakrzewicz, D., Konigshoff, M., Chrobak, I., Grimminger, F., Seeger, W., … Eickelberg, O. (2008). Functional role and species-specific contribution of arginases in pulmonary fibrosis. American Journal

of Physiology. Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology, 294(1), L34–L45. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.00007.2007>

- Latella, G., Vetuschi, A., Sferra, R., Catitti, V., D'Angelo, A., Zanninelli, G., … Gaudio, E. (2009). Targeted disruption of Smad3 confers resistance to the development of dimethylnitrosamine-induced hepatic fibrosis in mice. Liver International: Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver, 29(7), 997–1009. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1478-3231.2009.02011.x) [1478-3231.2009.02011.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1478-3231.2009.02011.x)
- Lei, X. F., Fu, W., Kim-Kaneyama, J. R., Omoto, T., Miyazaki, T., Li, B., & Miyazaki, A. (2016). Hic-5 deficiency attenuates the activation of hepatic stellate cells and liver fibrosis through upregulation of Smad7 in mice. Journal of Hepatology, 64(1), 110–117. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2015.08.026) [1016/j.jhep.2015.08.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2015.08.026)
- Liang, Y. J., Luo, J., Yuan, Q., Zheng, D., Liu, Y. P., Shi, L., … Zhang, Z. S. (2011). New insight into the antifibrotic effects of praziquantel on mice in infection with Schistosoma japonicum. PLoS ONE, 6(5), e20247. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020247>
- Mederacke, I., Dapito, D. H., Affo, S., Uchinami, H., & Schwabe, R. F. (2015). High-yield and high-purity isolation of hepatic stellate cells from normal and fibrotic mouse livers. Nature Protocols, 10(2), 305–315.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.017>
- Mercorelli, B., Palu, G., & Loregian, A. (2018). Drug repurposing for viral infectious diseases: How far are we? Trends in Microbiology., 26, 865–876.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2018.04.004>
- Oh, Y., Park, O., Swierczewska, M., Hamilton, J. P., Park, J. S., Kim, T. H., … Lee, S. (2016). Systemic PEGylated TRAIL treatment ameliorates liver cirrhosis in rats by eliminating activated hepatic stellate cells. Hepatology, 64(1), 209–223.<https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.28432>
- Panchapakesan, U., & Pollock, C. (2018). Drug repurposing in kidney disease. Kidney International, 94(1), 40-48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2017.12.026) [kint.2017.12.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2017.12.026)
- Pinlaor, S., Hiraku, Y., Yongvanit, P., Tada-Oikawa, S., Ma, N., Pinlaor, P., … Kawanishi, S. (2006). iNOS-dependent DNA damage via NF-κB expression in hamsters infected with Opisthorchis viverrini and its suppression by the antihelminthic drug praziquantel. International Journal of Cancer, 119(5), 1067–1072.<https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.21893>
- Ribeiro, F., Mello, R. T., Tavares, C. A., Kusel, J. R., & Coelho, P. M. (2004). Synergistic action of praziquantel and host specific immune response against Schistosoma mansoni at different phases of infection. Revista Do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de Sao Paulo, 46(4), 231–233. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0036-46652004000400010) doi.org/10.1590/S0036-46652004000400010
- Sayasone, S., Meister, I., Andrews, J. R., Odermatt, P., Vonghachack, Y., Xayavong, S., … Keiser, J. (2017). Efficacy and safety of praziquantel against light infections of Opisthorchis viverrini: A randomized parallel single-blind dose-ranging trial. Clinical Infectious Diseases: An Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, 64(4), 451–458.<https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw785>
- Sharlow, E. R. (2016). Revisiting repurposing. Assay and Drug Development Technologies, 14(10), 554–556. [https://doi.org/10.1089/adt.](https://doi.org/10.1089/adt.2016.766) [2016.766](https://doi.org/10.1089/adt.2016.766)
- Singh, K. P., Gerard, H. C., Hudson, A. P., & Boros, D. L. (2004). Expression of matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors during the resorption of schistosome egg-induced fibrosis in praziquantel-treated mice. Immunology, 111(3), 343–352. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0019-2805.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0019-2805.2004.01817.x) [2004.01817.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0019-2805.2004.01817.x)
- Thabut, D., & Shah, V. (2010). Intrahepatic angiogenesis and sinusoidal remodeling in chronic liver disease: New targets for the treatment of portal hypertension? Journal of Hepatology, 53(5), 976–980. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2010.07.004) doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2010.07.004
- Trainor-Moss, S., & Mutapi, F. (2016). Schistosomiasis therapeutics: Whats in the pipeline? Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology, 9(2), 157–160. <https://doi.org/10.1586/17512433.2015.1102051>
- Trappoliere, M., Caligiuri, A., Schmid, M., Bertolani, C., Failli, P., Vizzutti, F., … Pinzani, M. (2009). Silybin, a component of sylimarin, exerts anti-

inflammatory and anti-fibrogenic effects on human hepatic stellate cells. Journal of Hepatology, 50(6), 1102–1111. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2009.02.023) [1016/j.jhep.2009.02.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2009.02.023)

- Tsuchida, T., & Friedman, S. L. (2017). Mechanisms of hepatic stellate cell activation. Nature Reviews. Gastroenterology & Hepatology, 14(7), 397–411.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2017.38>
- Yang, F., Luo, L., Zhu, Z. D., Zhou, X., Wang, Y., Xue, J., … Zhao, L. (2017). Chlorogenic acid inhibits liver fibrosis by blocking the miR-21-regulated TGF-β1/Smad7 signaling pathway in vitro and in vivo. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 8, 929. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2017.00929) [2017.00929](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2017.00929)
- Yang, L., Inokuchi, S., Roh, Y. S., Song, J., Loomba, R., Park, E. J., & Seki, E. (2013). Transforming growth factor-β signaling in hepatocytes promotes hepatic fibrosis and carcinogenesis in mice with hepatocytespecific deletion of TAK1. Gastroenterology, 144(5), 1042–1054 e1044.<https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2013.01.056>
- Yuan, J., Chen, H., Ge, D., Xu, Y., Xu, H., Yang, Y., … Zhao, Y. (2017). Mir-21 promotes cardiac fibrosis after myocardial infarction via targeting Smad7. Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry: International Journal of Experimental Cellular Physiology, Biochemistry, and Pharmacology, 42(6), 2207–2219.<https://doi.org/10.1159/000479995>

Zou, Q., Zhong, Y., Su, H., Kang, Y., Jin, J., Liu, Q., … Wang, B. (2010). Enhancement of humoral and cellular responses to HBsAg DNA vaccination by immunization with praziquantel through inhibition TGFβ/Smad2,3 signaling. Vaccine, 28(8), 2032–2038. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.10.101) [1016/j.vaccine.2009.10.101](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.10.101)

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Liu J, Kong D, Qiu J, et al. Praziquantel ameliorates CCl₄-induced liver fibrosis in mice by inhibiting TGF-β/Smad signalling via up-regulating Smad7 in hepatic stellate cells. Br J Pharmacol. 2019;176;4666-4680. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14831>