What Factors Are Associated with Poor Developmental Attainment in Young Canadian Children?

Teresa To, PhD¹⁻⁶

Astrid Guttmann, MDCM, MSc, FRCPC^{1,3,4} Paul T. Dick, MDCM, MSc, FRCPC^{1,3-6} Jay D. Rosenfield, MD, Med, FRCPC^{3,5,7,8} Patricia C. Parkin, MD, FRCPC^{1,3,5,6} Hongmei Cao, MSc^{1,2} Tatiana N. Vydykhan, MSc¹ Marjan Tassoudji, MSc¹ Jennifer K. Harris, MSc¹

ABSTRACT

Background: This study was undertaken to determine the association between poor developmental attainment (PDA) and biological, home environment and socio-demographic factors in a population-based sample of Canadian children.

Methods: Cross-sectional data from two cycles (1994/95 and 1996/97) of the National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth were used. Children aged 1-5 years were included. PDA was defined as ≤15th percentile for motor and social developmental skills (1-3 year olds) or Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (4-5 year olds). Multiple logistic regression was used.

Results: The proportion of children with PDA varies across Canada, between males and females, and by age. Among 1 year olds in Cycle I, having a low birthweight (OR=3.3; 95% CI: 2.1-5.2), being male (OR=1.6; 95% CI: 1.2-2.2) and having a mother who is an immigrant (OR=1.6; 95% CI: 1.1-2.2) increased the odds of PDA. Similar results were observed in Cycle II. Among children aged 4-5 years in Cycle II, having a mother who is an immigrant (OR=5.3; 95% CI: 4.1-6.9) and a mother with low educational attainment (OR=2.8; 95% CI: 2.1-3.9) increased the odds of PDA. Low income was a significant predictor of PDA across all age groups.

Interpretation: The strong and consistent associations with living in a low-income household, having a mother with low educational attainment or a mother who is an immigrant highlight the need for targeting developmental assessments and services to this population.

La traduction du résumé se trouve à la fin de l'article.

- 1. Population Health Sciences Program, Research Institute, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON
- 2. Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Toronto
- 3. Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto
- 4. The Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences, Toronto
- 5. Division of Paediatric Medicine and the Paediatric Outcomes Research Team, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto
- 6. Department of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto
- 7. Brain and Behavioural Program, Research Institute, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto
- 8. Division of Neurology, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto

Correspondence and reprint requests: Dr. Teresa To, Population Health Sciences Program, Research Institute, The Hospital for Sick Children, 555 University Avenue, Toronto, ON M5G 1X8, E-mail: teresa.to@sickkids.ca

Disclaimer: This analysis was based on the Statistics Canada master file National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth which contains anonymized data collected in the 1994/95 and 1996/97 Special Survey. All computations were prepared by the Population Health Sciences Program, Research Institute, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. The responsibility for the use and interpretation of these data is entirely that of the authors. The opinions expressed do not represent the views of Statistics Canada.

Acknowledgements: Funding for this project was made available through the National Health Research and Development Program (NHRDP grant no. 6606-06-1999/2590112). The Paediatric Outcomes Research Team is funded by The Hospital for Sick Children Foundation. Dr. Teresa To is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care through an Investigator Award. Dr. Paul Dick is supported by the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care through a Career Scientist Award (#05239). Dr. Astrid Guttmann is supported by a Senior Research Fellowship from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. The results and conclusions are those of the authors; no official endorsement by the Ministry of Health is intended or should be inferred.

evelopmental disorders in children range from subtle learning disabilities to severe cognitive impairment. Although biological factors are the most obvious risk factors, psychosocial factors adversely affect cognitive and social/emotional competence and exert a greater influence after the first few years of life.^{1,2} In Canada, children with biological risk factors receive the most consistent screening and early referral to developmental intervention programs. However, children with developmental disorders lacking obvious risk markers may not accrue the benefit of early intervention.

In 2000, an early childhood development initiative was developed.³ Although this initiative represents significant investments towards programs designed to improve developmental outcomes in children, the challenge lies in ensuring a comprehensive approach to identifying children with early signs of developmental problems who would benefit from early intervention programs.3 This comprehensive approach should include better targeting of screening and intervention programs for children at risk of developmental problems. Development of a "high-risk" profile from biological, social, and environmental risk factors will ensure that those children who may benefit from early intervention are identified. The Canadian National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth (NLSCY) provides the opportunity to study developmental disorders in a population-based sample.³ This study uses data from the first two cycles of the NLSCY to assess factors associated with poor developmental attainment (PDA).

METHODS

Cross-sectional data from the NLSCY Cycle I (C1), 1994/95 and Cycle II (C2), 1996/97 were used in this study. The NLSCY is a prospective longitudinal survey designed to measure child development, health and well-being. A full description of the NLSCY is available elsewhere (www.statcan.ca/english/freepub/ 89F0078XIE/free.htm).^{4,5} Interviewers went to households and administered standardized questionnaires to the person most knowledgeable about the child. Children aged 1-5 years were included in our study.

TABLE I

Age- and Sex-specific Rates of PDA, NLSCY Cycles I and II

Characteristics	Total	PDA	(%) ‡	p-value [§]	Total	PDA	(%) ‡	p-value [§]
Total Sex	1,718,600	253,500	(14.8)		1,726,800	232,400	(13.5)	
Male Female	883,500 853,400	155,000 102,700	(17.5) (12)	<0.001	874,200 852,700	146,900 85,600	(16.8) (10)	<0.001
Age Groups 1 year 2-3 years 4-5 years	277,200 754,200 705,500	41,900 102,400 113,300	(15.1) (13.6) (16.1)	<0.05	272,900 739,200 714,800	34,300 79,200 119,000	(12.6) (10.7) (16.7)	<0.001
Male Age 1 year 2-3 years 4-5 years	142,800 386,400 354,300	25,300 70,300 59,300	(17.7) (18.2) (16.7)	NS	138,000 379,900 356,200	18,600 58,800 69,500	(13.5) (15.5) (19.5)	NS
Female Age 1 year 2-3 years 4-5 years	134,400 367,800 351,100	16,600 32,100 54,000	(12.3) (8.7) (15.4)	NS	134,900 359,300 358,600	15,700 20,400 49,600	(11.6) (5.7) (13.8)	NS

PDA = poor developmental attainment; NLSCY = National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth; NS = not significant (at p<0.05).

The number of children is weighted, and values are rounded to the nearest 100; the unweighted n = 8858.

The number of children is weighted, and values are rounded to the nearest 100; the unweighted n = 7955. Percentages are based on unrounded numbers.

Based on overall chi-square test using normalized weights.

Developmental attainment was measured by motor and social developmental (MSD) skills in children aged 1-3 years, and readiness to learn using the revised Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (PPVT-R) in 4-5 year olds. The MSD scale assesses motor, social and cognitive development and consists of 15 age-appropriate items that parents answer according to their observation of the child.⁶⁻⁸ The PPVT-R assesses school readiness based on receptive (hearing) vocabulary and consists of 175 items; the child nonverbally selects one of four pictures that best describes each word's meaning.6,9

Statistics Canada defines PDA at a level equivalent to or below the 15th percentile for MSD or PPVT-R.4 That is, if a child is among the 15% of children with the least developed motor and social skills or least readiness to learn for their age group, they are classified as having PDA (developing unusually slowly). This definition is consistent with that used in other studies.^{6-8,10}

Covariates under consideration were grouped as child/biological, sociodemographic and home environment. Child/biological factors included: prematurity (<37 weeks gestation), low birthweight (<2500 grams), sex, and measures of health status. Child's health was based on their mother's rating. Child hospitalization in the previous year was a dichotomous variable. Limited activity was based on the mother's report of the child having any long-term conditions or health problems

TABLE II Crude Provincial Rates of PDA Among Children Aged 1 to 5 Years, NLSCY Cycles I and II

	Су	cle I		Су		
	(N=1,7	'36,900)*		(N=1,2		
Province	Total	PDA	(%) ‡	Total	PDA	(%) ‡
Newfoundland	31,900	4,100	(12.9) [§]	29,500	3,900	(13.2) [§]
Prince Edward Island	8,600	1,000	(11.9) [§]	8,000	800	(9.5) [§]
Nova Scotia	55,200	6,400	(11.6) [§]	47,900	4,100	(8.7)§
New Brunswick	43,100	6,500	(15.1) [§]	41,000	6,300	(15.4) [§]
Quebec	423,600	58,400	(13.8)	415,000	55,600	(13.4)
Óntario	654,400	99,000	(15.1)	679,200	91,600	(13.5)
Manitoba	64,800	11,000	(17)	63,900	9,400	(14.6) [§]
Saskatchewan	58,800	7,400	(12.6)	59,100	8,100	(13.8)
Alberta	175,700	28,100	(16)	169,100	22,700	(13.4)
British Columbia	202,500	31,400	(15.5)	214,100	29,900	(14)
Total ^{II}	1.718.600	253,500	(14.8)	1.726.800	232,400	(13.5)

PDA = poor developmental attainment; NLSCY = National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth.

The number of children is weighted, and values are rounded to the nearest 100; the unweighted n = 8858

The number of children is weighted, and values are rounded to the nearest 100; the unweighted n = 7955

Percentages are based on unrounded numbers.

The coefficient of variation is between 16.6% and 33.3% which is considered marginal by

Statistics Canada.⁴ Refers only to children living in one of the 10 provinces.

which prevented their participation in any activities.

The home environment was characterized by the presence of siblings, maternal depression and maternal health. Maternal symptoms of depression were determined using an abbreviated version of the Centre for Epidemiological Studies-Depression (CES-D) Scale.¹¹ Moderate to severe symptoms of depression was defined as a score ≥ 13.12 Maternal health status was based on her self-rating of health.

Socio-demographic variables included: low income adequacy (LIA), low maternal education (\leq high school), maternal employment, and maternal immigrant

status. Income adequacy was determined using Statistics Canada's derived variable of income adjusting for household size. The two lowest categories were combined to indicate LIA.13 Current maternal employment was a dichotomous variable. Maternal immigrant status was defined as ever having immigrated to Canada.

Statistical analysis

The prevalence of PDA in each cycle was assessed to generate gender-, age- and province-specific rates. Analyses were restricted to children whose biological mother provided the information.

TABLE III

Age-specific Description of Correlates of PDA Among Children Aged 1 to 5 Years*, NLSCY Cycle I

	1 Year Olds [†] No PDA With PDA		2-3 Year Olds† No PDA With PDA		4-5 Yea No PDA	r Olds [†] With PDA	All Ages Combined [†] No PDA With PDA	
	(N=206,300)	(N=36,800)	(N=580,400)	(N=86,900)	(N=534,000)	(N=100,600)	(N=1,320,700)	(N=224,400)
Covariates	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Child/biological characteristics								
Male sex	49.9	60.1§	48.9	67.3"	50.1	52.1	49.5	59.3 ¹¹
Good/fair/bad health	11.9	21.5 ^{¶§}	9.5	12.1 [¶]	11.0	21.8"	10.5	17.9 ¹¹
Limited activity	S	U**	2.0	5.8 [¶]	2.8	4.9 ^{¶‡}	2.4	5.5 [¶]
Hospitalization in previous year	10.5	15.0 ^{¶‡}	6.1	7.5¶	4.9	5.0¶	6.3	7.6
Prematurity	8.7	18.6 [¶]	9.7	15.0 [¶]	١	NA	9.4	16.1 ^{II}
Low birthweight	5.2	13.4 [¶]	4.6	11.7 [¶]	1	NA	4.8	12.2 ¹¹
Home environment characteristics								
Excellent/very good maternal heal	th 79.8	76.0	78.7	74.1 [§]	78.9	63.8 ^{II}	78.9	69.8 ¹¹
Maternal symptoms of depressio	n S	U**	9.5	17.7	6.8	19.1 ^{II}	8.4	17.4 ¹¹
Single child	42.5	34.2 ^{¶‡}	27.1	22.9	14.6	9.5 ^{¶§}	24.5	18.8 ¹¹
Socio-demographic characteristics								
Low income adequacy	20.6	23.0 [¶]	19.7	28.7	16.3	33.5 ^{II}	18.5	29.9 ¹¹
Low maternal education	17.6	19.7¶	15.0	21.0§	12.5	28.6 ¹¹	14.4	24.2"
Mother currently working	54.3	48.1	57.0	45.9 ¹¹	58.0	41.7 ¹¹	57.0	44.4 ¹¹
Mother or father is an immigrant	21.1	27.6 ^{¶‡}	19.9	25.6 [§]	18.6	40.6	19.5	32.7"
Mother is an immigrant	17.3	24.3 ^{¶‡}	14.0	21.6"	13.6	34.1 ^{II}	14.4	27.7"

PDA = poor developmental attainment; NLSCY = National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth; NA = not applicable, variable was not measured in that age group; SU = Suppressed.

Restricted to children whose biological mother provided risk factor information; unweighted n = 8029.

The number of children is weighted, and values are rounded to the nearest 100; percentages are adjusted for missing data.

p<0.05, based on Pearson chi-square test using normalized weights.

p<0.01, based on Pearson chi-square test using normalized weights.

p<0.001, based on Pearson chi-square test using normalized weights.

The coefficient of variation is between 16.6% and 33.3% which is considered marginal by Statistics Canada.⁵ The coefficient of variation is more than 33.3% which is considered unacceptable by Statistics Canada.⁵

Characteristics of children were compared using the Pearson chi-square statistic. Multivariable logistic regression using backward elimination techniques was conducted to evaluate the association between covariates and PDA. Only statistically significant variables (p<0.05) or known confounders such as sex and LIA were permitted into the final models. The correlations between independent variables were also examined and none greater than 0.2 were found. Additionally, the interaction between LIA and maternal symptoms of depression was explored and found not to be significant. The Hosmer and Lemeshow test was used to ascertain the goodness-offit of models. All statistical analyses were stratified by age group.

Statistics Canada's data publication guidelines were followed throughout the analyses.⁴ Sample weights⁴ were applied so that the estimates could be representative of the Canadian population of children aged 1-5 years. Coefficients of variation were used to determine the quality of the estimates on the basis of sampling error.⁴ Estimates with coefficients of variation greater than 33.3% were considered unacceptable⁴ and were suppressed. For tests of significance, standardized sample weights were used to preserve the original sample size, thereby avoiding an overestimation of significance. The SAS statistical package (SAS version 8.0, Cary, North Carolina, USA) was used.

RESULTS

A weighted sample of 1,736,900 children in C1 and 1,726,900 children in C2 were included in this study. A greater proportion of boys than girls had PDA (17.5% vs. 12.0% p<0.001 in C1, 16.8% vs. 10.0% p<0.001 in C2, Table I). In both cycles, children aged 4-5 years had the highest rates of PDA.

Table II shows the rates of PDA by province. There was some variation in the ranking of the provinces between the two cycles. In both cycles, Manitoba, New Brunswick, and British Columbia maintained higher than average rates of PDA. Manitoba had the highest rate in C1 (17.0%) and the second highest rate in C2 (14.6%). Newfoundland, PEI, and Nova Scotia maintained lower than average rates of PDA, with Nova Scotia having the lowest rates (11.6% in C1 and 8.7% in C2). Alberta had the largest shift in rank, from having the second highest rate of PDA in C1 (16.0%) to the fourth lowest in C2 (13.4%), though the actual percent change was small. None of the differences between cycles reached statistical significance.

Tables III and IV show the age-specific descriptions of correlates of PDA in C1 and

C2, respectively. Children with PDA were more likely to have poor health (17.9% vs. 10.5% p<0.001 in C1, 15.0% vs. 11.2% p<0.01 in C2), have a mother with symptoms of depression (17.4% vs. 8.4% p<0.001 in C1, 13.7% vs. 9.2% p<0.001 in C2) and less likely to have a mother in excellent/very good health (69.8% vs. 78.9% p<0.001 in C1, 72.1% vs. 76.4% p<0.01 in C2), than children without PDA. In both cycles, the association of these maternal characteristics was stronger in older children. The socio-demographic characteristics of the families of children with PDA also differed. These children were more likely to come from a household with LIA (29.9% vs. 18.5% p<0.001 in C1, 29.8% vs. 17.9% p<0.001 in C2), have a mother with low education (24.2% vs. 14.4% p<0.001 in C1, 20.4% vs. 10.8% p<0.001 in C2) and have an immigrant mother (32.7% vs. 19.5% p<0.001 in C1, 33.4% vs. 18.3% p<0.01 in C2) than children without PDA. For most sociodemographic factors, the differences were more pronounced in older children.

Table V presents the adjusted odds ratios (OR) for PDA stratified by age group and cycle. For children aged 1-5 years, the following factors were associated with PDA in both cycles: being a boy, having activity limitations, belonging to a household with LIA, or having a mother who is an immi-

TABLE IV

Age-specific Description of Correlates of PDA Among Children Aged 1 to 5 Years*, NLSCY Cycle II

	1 Y No PDA (N=207,7)	'ear Ol V V) (N	ds [†] Vith PDA √=30,400)	2-3 Yea No PDA (N=598,900)	ar Olds [†] With PDA (N=68,100)	4-5 Yea No PDA (N=542,300)	r Olds† With PDA (N=109,900)	All Ages (No PDA (N=1,348,900	Combined† With PDA) (N=208,300)
Covariates	`%´		%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Child/biological characteristics									
Male sex	50.1		54.3¶	48.1	76.0 ¹¹	47.6	58.0 ¹¹	48.2	63.3 ^{II}
Good/fair/bad health	11.3		13.8¶	11.3	19.6¶	10.9	12.4 [¶]	11.2	15.0 [§]
Limited activity		SU**		1.8	6.5¶	SU	**	2.3	3.9 ^{¶§}
Hospitalization in previous year	9.0		15.1 ^{¶§}	6.0	8.1	4.8	5.8¶	6.0	7.9 [‡]
Prematurity	11.2		16.6 ^{¶‡}	N	IA	N	IA	11.2	16.6 ^{¶‡}
Low birthweight		SU**		N	A	N	A	S	U**
Home environment characteristics									
Excellent/very good maternal heal	th 76.6		72.1	75.4	73.2	77.4	71.4 ^{II}	76.4	72.1 [§]
Maternal symptoms of depressio	n	SU**		10.5	13.8¶	8.4	14.6 ^{II}	9.2	13.7 ^{II}
Single child	42.3		26.7៕	24.0	16.4 ^{¶§}	17.3	21.2	24.1	20.4‡
Socio-demographic characteristics									
Low income adequacy	18.7		30.5៕	18.3	26.8	17.1	31.6 ¹¹	17.9	29.8 ¹¹
Low maternal education	11.2		20.5¶	11.6	18.4 [¶]	9.6	21.7	10.8	20.4
Mother currently working	58.1		47.0 ^{¶§}	56.0	48.9	63.0	47.7	59.1	48.0 ¹¹
Mother or father is an immigrant	19.3		27.6¶‡	20.1	24.7‡	16.0	40.5	18.3	33.4 [§]
Mother is an immigrant	15.1		24.4 ^{¶§}	16.2	21.2 [‡]	11.2	37.7"	14.0	30.4 ^{II}

PDA = poor developmental attainment; NLSCY = National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth; NA = not applicable, variable was not measured in that age group; SU = Suppressed.

Restricted to children whose biological mother provided risk factor information; unweighted n = 7243.

The number of children is weighted, and values are rounded to the nearest 100; percentages are adjusted for missing data.

p<0.05, based on Pearson chi-square test using normalized weights. p<0.01, based on Pearson chi-square test using normalized weights.

p<0.001, based on Pearson chi-square test using normalized weights.

The coefficient of variation is between 16.6% and 33.3% which is considered marginal by Statistics Canada.⁵

The coefficient of variation is more than 33.3% which is considered unacceptable by Statistics Canada.

TABLE V

Adjusted Odds Ratio Estimates* for PDA Stratified by Age and Survey Cycle

Cycle I	1 Year Olds		2-3	Year Olds	4-5	Year Olds	All Ages Combined		
Covariate	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
Mother is an immigrant	1.55 ^s	1.12-2.16	1.70	1.31-2.21	3.37"	2.68-4.25	2.26	1.95-2.63	
Maternal symptoms of depression Low maternal education Low income adequacy Limited activity Male sex Not a single child Low birth weight	- 1.14 - 1.61" 3.28"	0.82-1.59 1.21-2.15 2.08-5.16	1.78 - 1.57 2.51 2.17 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1.33-2.37 1.24-1.99 1.53-4.10 1.75-2.69	2.74 2.24 2.02 2.21 [§] 1.02 1.74	2.04-3.69 1.73-2.89 1.59-2.57 1.33-3.67 0.83-1.26 1.23-2.44	2.00 1.54 1.57 2.18 1.48 1.45	1.67-2.40 1.31-1.81 1.35-1.83 1.61-2.96 1.30-1.69 1.23-1.71	
Goodness-of-fit [†]	$\chi^2 = 6.13, p < 0.19$		$\chi^2 = 12.15, p < 0.02$		χ ² = 16.53, p<0.01		$\chi^2 = 20.19, p < 0.003$		
Cycle II Covariate Mother is an immigrant	0R 1.92 ^{□1}	Year Olds 95% Cl 1.30-2.84	2-3 OR _	Year Olds 95% Cl -	4-5 OR 5.34 [⊓]	Year Olds 95% Cl 4.11-6.93	All Ag OR 2.73 ¹¹	es Combined 95% Cl 2.32-3.22	
depression Low maternal education Low income adequacy Limited activity Male sex Not a single child Low birthweight	- 1.70 [§] 3.56 [§] 1.15 2.02 ^{II} 2.37 ^{II}	- 1.18-2.46 1.48-8.63 0.83-1.60 1.40-2.91 1.43-3.92	1.43 [‡] - 1.57 3.40 3.34 -	1.01-2.01 1.20-2.07 2.02-5.74 2.56-4.35 -	1.65 [§] 2.82 1.47 [§] - 1.63 -	1.16-2.35 2.05-3.86 1.11-1.94 1.29-2.05	1.37 1.84 [§] 1.50 1.88 1.80 -	1.10-1.70 1.52-2.23 1.26-1.77 1.28-2.76 1.56-2.09	
Goodness-of-ĭit [†]	$\chi^2 = 5.$	76, p<0.45	$\chi^2 = 2.4$	7, p<0.48	$\chi^2 = 10.0$	64, p<0.03	$\chi^2 = 15.4$	2, p<0.004	

PDA = poor developmental attainment; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.

Based on logistic regression using normalized weights.

Hosmer & Lemeshow test.

p<0.05, based on multiple logistic regression using normalized weights.

p<0.01, based on multiple logistic regression using normalized weights.

p<0.001, based on multiple logistic regression using normalized weights.

grant, has low education or is depressed. Among 1 year olds in Cycle I, having a low birthweight (OR=3.3; 95% CI: 2.1-5.2), an immigrant mother (OR=1.6; 95% CI: 1.1-2.2), and being a male (OR=1.6; 95% CI: 1.2-2.2) increased the odds of PDA after controlling for measures of socioeconomic status. Similar results were observed in Cycle II. Biological factors such as low birthweight and activity limitations showed no statistical association with PDA among older children. Conversely, maternal or socioeconomic factors had highly significant associations with PDA, especially among the

4-5 year olds. For example, maternal immigrant status showed a greater than 5-fold risk for PDA among 4-5 year olds in Cycle II after adjusting for socio-economic factors, maternal symptoms of depression and male sex. LIA was a significant risk factor for PDA across all age groups and in both cycles.

DISCUSSION

Our results show that an estimated quarter of a million or 14% of young Canadian children are affected by PDA, with substantial age, gender and provincial variation. Important social risk factors include LIA, low maternal education, and maternal immigrant status. Consistent with previous studies,^{1,2,14-18} these associations were more pronounced in older children, while having low birthweight was a risk factor among younger children. The difference in the proportion of male and female children with PDA may be due to the social, biological and environmental interactions. Developmental research has consistently found differences between the sexes in terms of motor skills, cognitive development, and behaviour.¹⁹⁻²¹ Girls tend to be more advanced in motor skills as toddlers, and boys tend to be slower to start talking.²¹ Furthermore, it has been found that while males and females have equivalent scores in mental tests, males have greater variability.²⁰ This results in a greater proportion of males in the lowest percentiles, as seen in this study.

Clearly, the burden of PDA is the result of complex interactions among social, biological and environmental factors. Thus, early intervention strategies need to focus on effective milieus for screening and intervention. On one hand, children living in lower-income neighbourhoods are less likely to have continuity of health provider care and receive fewer preventive services,^{22,23} thus not receiving consistent developmental screening. In addition, healthcare visits become less frequent after the second year of life when social risk factors begin to predominate. On the other hand, the health system is probably the most universally utilized resource for preschool children, and as such may represent the best option for screening. Intervention programs need to be located in areas of greatest need.

Each Canadian province or territory is unique, composed of different populations with access to different educational, health and social systems. These differences may explain some of the provincial variation seen in PDA, and may help target province-specific initiatives. For example, provinces with a higher prevalence of poverty need to allocate a greater proportion of their resources to this group of children. Similarly, provinces with high rates of immigration need to target these populations and their specific needs, including language barriers, to have effective early childhood development strategies. The current federal/provincial early childhood development initiative recognizes this, allowing each province/territory to develop its own approach.²⁴ Future research should focus on evaluating the success of the different approaches adopted by the provinces/territories.

Limitations

To obtain consistent risk factor information, our analysis was limited to children whose biological mother provided the information. However, a majority (89%) of Canadian children aged 1-5 years live with their biological mother. Additionally, our definition of PDA was limited by data collected in the NLSCY. No studies have assessed the correlation between PDA defined by MSD versus PPVT-R, thus it is possible that they measure somewhat different constructs. This may partly explain some of the differences between the sexand age-groups. Moreover, caution is required in interpreting the association between maternal immigrant status and PDA. The tests used to assess PDA are largely based on verbal skills and children of mothers who are immigrants may be disadvantaged in terms of their exposure to French or English. Nevertheless, this still implies that these children would benefit from additional services to maximize their academic potential.

SUMMARY

In conclusion, our findings suggest that living in a low-income household, with a mother who has low education, and/or a mother who is an immigrant, increase the risk of PDA in children. This highlights the need for targeting screening and interventions to children with these risk factors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Laucht M, Esser G, Schmidt MH, Ihle W, Loffler W, Stohr RM, et al. "Risk children": The importance of biological and psychological risk for child development in the first two years of life [abstract]. *Prax Kinderpsychol Kinderpsychiatr* 1992;41:274-85.
- 2. Kochanek TT, Kabacoff RI, Lipsitt LP. Early identification of developmentally disabled and at-

risk preschool children. Except Child 1990;56:528-38.

- 3. Health Canada, Human Resources Development Canada, and Indian and Northern Affairs Canada. Federal/Provincial/Territorial Early Childhood Development Agreement: Report on Government of Canada Activities and Expenditures 2000-2001: Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, 2001.
- Human Resources Development Canada and Statistics Canada. National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth. User's handbook and microdata guide. Cycle 1, release 2. Ottawa: Minister of Industry, 1997.
- 5. Human Resources Development Canada and Statistics Canada. National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth. User guide. Cycle 2, release 3. Ottawa: Minister of Industry, 1999.
- Baker PC, Kech CK, Mott FL, Quinlan SV. NLSY child handbook - revised edition. A guide to the 1986-1990 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth - Child data. Columbus, Ohio: Center for Human Resource Research, The Ohio State University, 1993.
- Mott FL, Baker PC, Ball DE, Keck CC, Lenhart SM. The NLSY children 1992: Description and evaluation - revised. Columbus, Ohio: Center for Human Resource Research, The Ohio State University, 1998.
- Center for Human Resource Research. NLSY79 1996, Child and young adult data users guide. Columbus, Ohio: Center for Human Resource Research, The Ohio State University, 1998.
- 9. Dunn L, Dunn L. PPVT-Revised Manual. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service, 1981.
- Peterson JL, Moore KA. Motor and social development in infancy: Some results from a national survey. Washington, DC: Child Trends, 1987.
- Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement* 1977;1:385-404.
- 12. Landy S, Tam KK. Understanding the contribution of multiple risk factors on child development at various ages. Hull, Quebec: Applied Research Branch, Strategic Policy, Human Resources Development Canada, 1998;30.
- 13. Statistics Canada. Low Income Cut-Offs. Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 1996.
- Ramey CT, Stedman DJ, Borders-Patterson A, Mengel W. Predicting school failure from information available at birth. *Am J Ment Defic* 1978;82:525-34.
- Ramey CT, Ramey SL. Which children benefit the most from early intervention? *Pediatrics* 1994;94:1064-66.
- Andrews H, Goldberg D, Wellen N, Pittman B, Struening E. Prediction of special education placement from birth certificate data. *Am J Prev Med* 1995;11:55-61.
- 17. Caughy MO, DiPietro JA, Strobino DM. Daycare participation as a protective factor in the cognitive development of low-income children. *Child Dev* 1994;65:457-71.
- Holloman HA, Dobbins DR, Scott KG. The effect of biological and social risk factors on special education placement: Birth weight and maternal education as an example. *Res Dev Disabil* 1998;19:281-94.
- Lyytinen P, Laakso ML, Poikkeus AM, Rita N. The development and predictive relations of play and language across the second year. *Scand J Psychol* 1999;40:177-86.
- Hedges LV, Nowell A. Sex Differences in mental test scores, variability, and numbers of highscoring individuals. *Science* 1995;269:41-45.
- Prior M, Smart D, Sanson A, Oberklaid F. Sex differences in psychological adjustment from infancy to 8 years. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1993;32:291-304.

- 22. Mustard CA, Mayer T, Black C, Postl B. Continuity of pediatric ambulatory care in a universally insured population. *Pediatrics* 1996;98:1028-34.
- 23. Kozyrskyj A, Mustard C, Derksen S. Considering the Health Care Needs of Children Living in Households Receiving Income Assistance in Manitoba. Family Services and Manitoba Health Pilot Project. Winnipeg: Manitoba Centre for Health Policy and Evaluation, Department of Community Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Manitoba, 2000;113.
- 24. Childcare Resource and Research Unit. The Early Childhood Development Agreement: Provincial initiatives and spending allocations, 2001-2002. Centre for Urban and Community Studies, University of Toronto, 2002.

Received: May 29, 2003 Accepted: January 30, 2004

RÉSUMÉ

Contexte : Cette étude a été effectuée pour évaluer les liens entre le niveau de développement (ND) et les facteurs biologiques, domestiques et socio-démographiques dans un échantillon représentatif d'enfants canadiens.

Méthode : Les données transversales de deux cycles (1994-1995 et 1996-1997) de l'Enquête longitudinale nationale sur les enfants et les jeunes ont été utilisées. Les enfants âgés de 1-5 ans ont été inclus. Un faible ND a été défini comme inférieur au 15^e percentile pour les aptitudes de développement moteur et social (1-3 ans) ou selon le Test d'échelle vocabulaire en image Peabody (4-5 ans). La régression logistique multiple a été utilisée.

Résultats : La proportion d'enfants souffrant d'un faible ND varie d'une région à l'autre du Canada selon le sexe et l'âge. Chez les enfants de 1 an du Cycle I, le fait d'avoir un faible poids à la naissance (RC=3,3; IC de 95 % = 2,1-5,2), d'être un garçon (RC=1,6; IC de 95 % = 1,2-2,2) et d'avoir une mère immigrée (RC=1,6; IC de 95 % = 1,1-2,2) augmentaient le risque de présenter un faible ND. Les mêmes résultats ont été obtenus dans le Cycle II. Chez les enfants de 4-5 ans du Cycle II, le fait d'avoir une mère immigrée (RC=5,3; IC de 95 % = 4,1-6,9) et une mère avec un faible niveau d'études (RC=2,8; IC de 95 % = 2,1-3,9) augmentaient le risque de présenter un faible ND. Un foyer à faible revenu était un facteur de risque significatif de faible ND dans toutes les catégories d'âge.

Interprétation : Les liens forts et cohérents entre le fait de vivre dans un foyer à faible revenu, d'avoir une mère faiblement scolarisée et d'avoir une mère immigrée soulignent le besoin de cibler des contrôles et des services de développement pour ces enfants.

CJPH Style Requirements

The *Canadian Journal of Public Health* publishes peerreviewed original articles on all aspects of public health, preventive medicine and health promotion. All manuscripts submitted to the Journal must conform to our Style Requirements. The full-length version appears on the CPHA website: www.cpha.ca/english/cjph/stylreq/style.htm. Those contributors who do not have access to the Internet can look on pages 6-7 of the January/February 2003 issue (Vol.94, No.1) of the *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, or can contact the editorial office to receive a copy by fax.

Submit one printed original of your paper and two reviewer copies (single-sided, double-spaced) including all figures and tables, accompanied by an electronic version. Number all pages consecutively, including acknowledgements, abstract, abstract translation (if provided), text, references, tables and figures.

Manuscripts of original articles should not exceed **2,000 words** in length. Please provide a word count for your article. Short reports are welcomed and must include a structured abstract (see later paragraph).

The Journal uses a double-blind review system (authors and reviewers are anonymous). To ensure anonymity in the peer review process, authors should supply identifying information on the title page of **the original only**; the title page for the two reviewers' copies should list only the title.

The Title Page of the original should include: 1) title; 2) short running title of no more than 40 characters (count letters and spaces); 3) first name, middle initial, and last name of each author, with highest academic degree(s), and the name(s) of department(s) and institutions to which the work should be attributed; 4) name, address, telephone number, fax number and e-mail address of the author responsible for correspondence; 5) name and address of the author responsible for reprint requests (if the same author for both correspondence and reprints, list them together).

The title page should also carry any necessary disclaimers or acknowledgements of sources of support. A letter signed by all authors, stating that all agree to publication, should be included.

Material will be accepted in English or French. The second page should be a structured abstract of up to 250 words in length, with the headings: Objective, Methods, Results (or Findings), Discussion (or Interpretation). The *CJPH* requires a **professional-quality translation** of the abstract. In order to ensure consistency in the quality of translation in the Journal, the *CJPH* reserves the right to retranslate abstracts at the cost of the author, after due notification. Authors may prefer to request abstract translation by the *CJPH* official translator at a cost of 22¢/word, plus 7% GST (or 15% HST).

Letters to the Editor are welcomed. Please keep them as short as possible.

The Editor reserves the right to make editorial changes.

All material intended for publication should be submitted to the Scientific Editor, Canadian Journal of Public Health, 1565 Carling Avenue, Suite 400, Ottawa, ON, Canada K1Z 8R1.