

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants (Review)

Ohlsson A, Shah PS

Ohlsson A, Shah PS. Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2020, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD010061. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010061.pub4.

www.cochranelibrary.com

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. WILEY



TABLE OF CONTENTS

RACT
N LANGUAGE SUMMARY
MARY OF FINDINGS
(GROUND
CTIVES
10DS
ILTS
igure 1
igure 2
igure 3
igure 4
USSION
IORS' CONCLUSIONS
IOWLEDGEMENTS
RENCES
RACTERISTICS OF STUDIES
AND ANALYSES
nalysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 1 Failure of ductal closure after the irst course of treatment.
nalysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 2 Neurodevelopmental impairment.
nalysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 3 All-cause mortality during initial nospital stay.
nalysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 4 Neonatal mortality (deaths during he first 28 days of life).
nalysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 5 Infant mortality (death during the irst year of life).
nalysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 6 Re-opening of the ductus
nalysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 7 Surgical closure of the PDA following reatment failure with paracetamol or ibuprofen.
nalysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 8 Duration of ventilator support days).
nalysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 9 Pulmonary haemorrhage.
nalysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 10 Pulmonary hypertension
nalysis 1.11. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 11 Duration for need of upplementary oxygen (days).
Inalysis 1.12. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 12 BPD at 28 days.
Inalysis 1.13. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 13 BPD at 36 weeks' PMA.
Inalysis 1.14. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 14 Moderate to severe BPD according to the new criteria).
nalysis 1.15. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 15 Severe BPD (according to the new criteria).
nalysis 1.16. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 16 Intraventricular haemorrhage grade I-IV).
nalysis 1.17. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV). Outcome 17 Severe IVH (Grade III-IV).
nalysis 1.18. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV). Outcome 18 Periventricular leukomalacia
analysis 1.19. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV). Outcome 19 Necrotizing enterocolitis
Analysis 1.20. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV). Outcome 20 Intestinal perforation
Inalysis 1.21. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 21 Gastrointestinal bleed or stools positive for occult blood.
nalysis 1.22. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 22 Retinopathy of prematurity -



Analysis 1.23. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 23 Retinopathy of prematurity stage 61 ≥ 3.
Analysis 1.24. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 24 Retinopathy of prematurity requiring laser therapy.
Analysis 1.25. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 25 Sepsis
Analysis 1.26. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 26 Oliguria (< 1 mL/kg/h)
Analysis 1.27. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 27 Serum levels of creatinine after treatment μmol/L.
Analysis 1.28. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 28 Serum levels of aspartate transaminase (AST) IU/L.
Analysis 1.29. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 29 Serum levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (IU/L).
Analysis 1.30. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 30 Serum bilirubin following treatment (μmol/L)
Analysis 1.31. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 31 Hyperbilirubinaemia (serum 64 bilirubin level higher than the exchange level according to the postnatal age and BW).
Analysis 1.32. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 32 Duration of hospitalisation (days).
Analysis 1.33. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 33 MDI < 70
Analysis 1.34. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 34 PDI < 70
Analysis 1.35. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 35 Moderate to severe cerebral palsy.
Analysis 1.36. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 36 Deafness
Analysis 1.37. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 37 Blindness
Analysis 1.38. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 38 MDI.
Analysis 1.39. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 39 PDI.
Analysis 1.40. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 40 BPD (age not stated)
Analysis 1.41. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 41 Plasma PGE2 (ng/L)
Analysis 1.42. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 42 Urine PGE2 (ng/L)
Analysis 1.43. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 43 Platelet count (x109/L)
Analysis 1.44. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 44 Glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (U/L).
Analysis 1.45. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 45 Failure of PDA closure after the 2nd course of IV paracetamol versus IV ibuprofen.
Analysis 1.46. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 46 Daily urine output (mL/kg/ 68 hour).
Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, 69 Outcome 1 Failure of ductal closure after 4 to 5 days of treatment.
Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, 69 Outcome 2 Death.
Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, 69 Outcome 3 Oliguria (< 1 mL/kg/h).
Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, 70 Outcome 4 Polyuria (> 5 mL/kg/h).
Analysis 2.5. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, 70 Outcome 5 Hypernatraemia (> 150 μmol/L).
Analysis 2.6. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, 70 Outcome 6 Sepsis.
Analysis 2.7. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, 71 Outcome 7 Supplemental oxygen at 28 days.
Analysis 2.8. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, 71 Outcome 8 Supplemental oxygen at 36 weeks' PMA.
Analysis 2.9. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, 71 Outcome 9 ROP (treated).



Analysis 2.10. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention Outcome 10 IVH grades 1 to 2.	ı, 72
Analysis 2.11. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention Outcome 11 IVH grades 3 to 4.	1, 72
Analysis 2.12. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention Outcome 12 NEC stage 3.	ו, 72
Analysis 2.13. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention Outcome 13 Days of supplemental oxygen.	ו, 73
Analysis 2.14. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention Outcome 14 Highest serum bilirubin μmol/L.	ı, 73
Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 1 Failure to close a PDA.	74
Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 2 Renal impairment.	74
Analysis 3.3. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 3 GI bleed.	75
Analysis 3.4. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 4 NEC (all grades).	75
Analysis 3.5. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 5 Sepsis.	75
Analysis 3.6. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 6 Pulmonary haemorrhage	76
Analysis 3.7. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 7 ROP (all grades).	76
Analysis 3.8. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 8 Severe ROP needing treatment	76
Analysis 3.9. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 9 IVH (all grades).	76
Analysis 3.10. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 10 IVH (grades III to IV).	77
Analysis 3.11. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 11 Periventricular leukomalacia	77
Analysis 3.12. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 12 Oxygen requirement at 28 days or age.	of 77
Analysis 3.13. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 13 Oxygen requirement at ≥ 36 week PMA.	s' 78
Analysis 3.14. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 14 Death.	78
Analysis 3.15. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 15 Serum creatinine (µmol/L).	78
Analysis 3.16. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 16 Failure to close a PDA after a 2n course of IV paracetamol versus IV indomethacin.	d 78
Analysis 3.17. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 17 Surgical ligation of PDA.	79
Analysis 3.18. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 18 Serum bilirubin µmol/L.	79
Analysis 3.19. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 19 Platelet count (x109/L).	79
Analysis 3.20. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 20 Daily urine output (mL/kg/h)	80
APPENDICES	80
WHAT'S NEW	82
HISTORY	82
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	82
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	82
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	82
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	82
INDEX TERMS	. 83

[Intervention Review]

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants

Arne Ohlsson^{1a}, Prakeshkumar S Shah²

¹Departments of Paediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynaecology and Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada. ²Department of Paediatrics and Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada

^aDeceased

Contact: Prakeshkumar S Shah, Department of Paediatrics and Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto Mount Sinai Hospital, 600 University Avenue, Toronto, ON, M5G 1XB, Canada. pshah@mtsinai.on.ca.

Editorial group: Cochrane Neonatal Group. **Publication status and date:** Edited (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 1, 2020.

Citation: Ohlsson A, Shah PS. Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2020, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD010061. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010061.pub4.

Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

In preterm newborns, the ductus arteriosus frequently fails to close and the infants require medical or surgical closure of the patent ductus arteriosus (PDA). A PDA can be treated surgically; or medically with one of two prostaglandin inhibitors, indomethacin or ibuprofen. Case reports suggest that paracetamol may be an alternative for the closure of a PDA. An association between prenatal or postnatal exposure to paracetamol and later development of autism or autism spectrum disorder has been reported.

Objectives

To determine the effectiveness and safety of intravenous or oral paracetamol compared with placebo or no intervention, intravenous indomethacin, intravenous or oral ibuprofen, or with other cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors for treatment of an echocardiographically diagnosed PDA in preterm or low birth weight infants.

Search methods

We used the standard search strategy of Cochrane Neonatal to search the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL 2017, Issue 10), MEDLINE via PubMed (1966 to 6 November 2017), Embase (1980 to 6 November 2017), and CINAHL (1982 to 6 November 2017). We searched clinical trial databases, conference proceedings, and the reference lists of retrieved articles for randomised controlled trials (RCT) and quasi-randomised trials.

Selection criteria

We included RCTs in which paracetamol was compared to no intervention, placebo or other agents used for closure of PDA irrespective of dose, duration and mode of administration in preterm (\leq 34 weeks' postmenstrual age) infants. We both reviewed the search results and made a final selection of potentially eligible articles by discussion. We included studies of both prophylactic and therapeutic use of paracetamol.

Data collection and analysis

We performed data collection and analyses in accordance with the methods of the Cochrane Neonatal Review Group. We used the GRADE approach to assess the quality of evidence for the following outcomes when data were available: failure of ductal closure after the first course of treatment; neurodevelopmental impairment; all-cause mortality during initial hospital stay (death); gastrointestinal bleed or stools positive for occult blood; and serum levels of creatinine after treatment (µmol/L).



Main results

We included eight studies that reported on 916 infants. One of these studies compared paracetamol to both ibuprofen and indomethacin. Five studies compared treatment of PDA with paracetamol versus ibuprofen and enrolled 559 infants. There was no significant difference between paracetamol and ibuprofen for failure of ductal closure after the first course of drug administration (typical risk ratio (RR) 0.95, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.75 to 1.21; typical risk difference (RD) -0.02, 95% CI -0.09 to 0.09); $I^2 = 0\%$ for RR and RD; moderate quality of evidence. Four studies (n = 537) reported on gastrointestinal bleed which was lower in the paracetamol group versus the ibuprofen group (typical RR 0.28, 95% CI 0.12 to 0.69; typical RD -0.06, 95% CI -0.09 to -0.02); $I^2 = 0\%$ for RR and RD; number needed to treat for an additional beneficial outcome (NNTB) 17 (95% CI 11 to 50); moderate quality of evidence. The serum levels of creatinine were lower in the paracetamol group compared with the ibuprofen group in four studies (moderate quality of evidence), as were serum bilirubin levels following treatment in two studies (n = 290). Platelet counts and daily urine output were higher in the paracetamol group compared with the ibuprofen group to 18 to 24 months of age following treatment with paracetamol versus ibuprofen. There were no significant differences in the neurological outcomes at 18 to 24 months (n = 61); (low quality of evidence).

Two studies compared prophylactic administration of paracetamol for a PDA with placebo or no intervention in 80 infants. Paracetamol resulted in a lower rate of failure of ductal closure after 4 to 5 days of treatment compared to placebo or no intervention which was of borderline significance for typical RR 0.49 (95% CI 0.24 to 1.00; P = 0.05); but significant for typical RD –0.21 (95% CI –0.41 to –0.02); $I^2 = 0$ % for RR and RD; NNTB 5 (95% CI 2 to 50); (low quality of evidence).

Two studies (n = 277) compared paracetamol with indomethacin. There was no significant difference in the failure to close a PDA (typical RR 0.96, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.65; $I^2 = 11\%$; typical RD -0.01, 95% CI -0.09 to 0.08; $I^2 = 17\%$) (low quality of evidence). Serum creatinine levels were significantly lower in the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group and platelet counts and daily urine output were significantly higher in the paracetamol group.

Authors' conclusions

Moderate-quality evidence according to GRADE suggests that paracetamol is as effective as ibuprofen; low-quality evidence suggests paracetamol to be more effective than placebo or no intervention; and low-quality evidence suggests paracetamol as effective as indomethacin in closing a PDA. There was no difference in neurodevelopmental outcome in children exposed to paracetamol compared to ibuprofen; however the quality of evidence is low and comes from only one study. In view of concerns raised regarding neurodevelopmental outcomes following prenatal and postnatal exposure to paracetamol, long-term follow-up to at least 18 to 24 months' postnatal age must be incorporated in any studies of paracetamol in the newborn population. At least 19 ongoing trials have been registered. Such trials are required before any recommendations for the possible routine use of paracetamol in the newborn population can be made.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus (a blood vessel necessary for fetal survival) in preterm and low birth weight infants

Review question: How effective and safe are paracetamol, which has weak anti-inflammatory properties, compared with placebo (a substance with no active therapeutic effect), or no intervention, or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (indomethacin and ibuprofen), for closure of a PDA in preterm/low birth weight infants?

Background: A common complication for preterm (premature) or small babies is a patent ductus arteriosus (PDA). Blood circulation to the (as yet) non-functioning lungs is unnecessary before birth (the fetal blood supply is oxygenated via the placenta). The PDA is a temporary fetal blood vessel that connects the pulmonary artery (the vessel that, after birth, takes blood depleted of oxygen from the heart to the lungs) to the aorta (the vessel that takes freshly oxygenated blood, returned from the lungs to the heart by the pulmonary vein, away from the heart and on the beginning of its journey round the body). In other words the PDA 'short-circuits' the fetal circulation of blood through the lungs.. It is necessary to sustain life in the womb, but it should close after birth. Sometimes it remains open because of the baby's immature stage of development. A PDA can lead to life-threatening complications. The usual treatment for PDA has been indomethacin or ibuprofen which inhibit the production of prostaglandins and promotes the closure of the PDA. Recently paracetamol (acetaminophen), a commonly used drug to treat fever or pain in infants, children and adults, has been suggested as an alternative to ibuprofen, with potentially fewer side effects. A number of case reports and case series have suggested that paracetamol may be an alternative for the closure of a PDA. Exactly how paracetamol works to close the PDA is not known, but probably involves inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis. Prostaglandins are chemical compounds which are made throughout the body (i.e. not in any one particular organ), particularly wherever soft tissues are damaged, and their production (synthesis) plays a key role in healing processes. They are known to play an important role in keeping the ductus arteriosus open (patent), so lowering their production would encourage closure of the ductus arteriosus.

Study characteristics: We identified a total of eight studies that enrolled 916 preterm infants and compared the effectiveness and safety of paracetamol versus ibuprofen, indomethacin or placebo in the treatment of a PDA in early life.

Key results: When the results of the included studies were combined, the success rate for paracetamol to close a PDA was higher than that of placebo and similar to that of ibuprofen and indomethacin. Paracetamol appears to have fewer adverse effects on kidney and liver

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



functions. In one small study that followed children to 18 to 24 months of age there was no difference in neurodevelopmental impairment. The evidence is up to date as of November 2017.

Conclusions: Paracetamol appears to be a promising alternative to indomethacin and ibuprofen for the closure of a PDA with possibly fewer adverse effects.

Additional studies testing this intervention and including longer-term follow-up are needed before paracetamol can be recommended as standard treatment for a PDA in preterm infants. Several studies are ongoing that will eventually provide additional information. Because of reports of a possible association between prenatal paracetamol and the development of autism or autism spectrum disorder in childhood and language delay in girls, long-term follow-up to at least 18 to 24 months' postnatal age must be incorporated in any studies of paracetamol in the newborn population.

Quality of evidence: Although the healthcare providers were not always 'blinded' (unaware of which drug the infants received) we judged the quality of the evidence to be moderate.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Summary of findings for the main comparison. Paracetamol compared to ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants

Paracetamol (oral or IV) compared to ibuprofen (oral or IV) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants

Patient or population: preterm or low birth weight infants with patent ductus arteriosus **Settings:** hospitals in China (2 studies), Egypt, Jordan, and Turkey Intervention: paracetamol **Comparison:** ibuprofen

Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)Relative eff (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	t No of partici-Quality of pants evidence (SRADE)		Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk		(studies)				
	Oral ibuprofen	Oral paraceta- mol						
Failure of	High risk study p	population	RR 0.95	559 (5 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate	Bias: we had no concerns for random sequence gener- ation in the 5 included trials but the allocation conceal-		
sure after the first course of treatment Echocardio- gram	329 per 1000	312 per 1000 (200 to 438)	(0.13 (0 1.21)	(3 studies)	inouclute	ment was unclear in 1 of the studies. We did not down- grade the quality of evidence on this item. There were concerns about blinding of personnel and of blinding of outcome assessments. We downgraded the quality of the evidence by 1 step.		
						Heterogeneity/Consistency: we noted no heterogeneity (I ² = 0% for both RR and RD).		
						Directness of evidence: studies were conducted in the target population.		
						Precision: because of the relatively large sample size (559 infants), the point estimate was precise with a nar- row 95% Cl.		
						Presence of publication bias: Although only 5 studies were included, the funnel plot we constructed was sym- metrical.		
All-cause mor- tality during	High risk study p	population	RR 0.96 (0.55 to 1.67)	272 (3 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate	Bias: we had no concerns regarding the assessment of mortality.		

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

	Directness of evidence: st target population.
	Precision: because of the (272 infants), the point es a wide 95% CI. We downg dence by 1 step.
	Presence of publication b cluded we did not perforr
⊕⊕⊝⊝ low	Bias: of the 75 infants elig 24 months' corrected age (81%).The assessor was b ment to paracetamol or il
	Heterogeneity/Consisten ed in the analysis, tests fo plicable.
	Precision: because of the included study (61 infants precise with a wide 95% C of the evidence by 2 steps
	Presence of publication b ed we did not perform a f
⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate	Bias: we had no concerns ation in the 4 included tria ment was unclear in 1 of t grade the quality of evide concerns about blinding o outcome assessments. W the evidence by 1 step.
	Heterogeneity/Consisten (I ² = 0% for both RR and R
	Directness of evidence: st target population.

Copyright ${\ensuremath{\mathbb S}}$ 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.	Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birt
y & Sons, Ltd.	erm or low birt
	h weight infants
	s (Revie

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent c	initial hospital stay Clinical assess- ment, no risk of bias	152 per 1000	152 per 1000 (125 to 231)				 Heterogeneity/Consistency: we noted no heterogeneity (l² = 0% for both RR and RD). Directness of evidence: studies were conducted in the target population. Precision: because of the relatively small sample size (272 infants), the point estimate was not precise with a wide 95% CI. We downgraded the quality of the evidence by 1 step. Presence of publication bias: as only 3 studies were included we did not perform a funnel plot.
ductus	Neurodevelop- mental impair-	High risk study	population	RR 0.93	61 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ low	Bias: of the 75 infants eligible for follow-up at 18 to 24 months' corrected age 61 infants were evaluated
arterios	ment	323 per 1000 300 per 1000 (300 – 1 study no range) sss- ses- to		(0.11 (0 1.00)	(1) (1)		(81%).The assessor was blinded to the previous assignment to paracetamol or ibuprofen groups.
us in pretern	clinical assess- ments by asses- sors blinded to group assign-						Heterogeneity/Consistency: as only 1 study was includ- ed in the analysis, tests for heterogeneity were not ap- plicable.
n or low birth v	ment, no risk of bias						Precision: because of the small sample size of the only included study (61 infants), the point estimate was not precise with a wide 95% CI. We downgraded the quality of the evidence by 2 steps.
veight infa							Presence of publication bias: as only 1 study was includ- ed we did not perform a funnel plot.
ants (Re	Gastrointesti- nal bleed or	High risk study	population	RR 0.28 - (0.12 to 0.69)	537 (4 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate	Bias: we had no concerns for random sequence gener- ation in the 4 included trials but the allocation conceal-
view)	stools posi- tive for occult blood	78 per 1000	22 per 1000 (12 to 135)				ment was unclear in 1 of the studies. We did not down- grade the quality of evidence on this item. There were concerns about blinding of personnel and of blinding of outcome assessments. We downgraded the quality of the evidence by 1 step.
							Heterogeneity/Consistency: we noted no heterogeneity (I ² = 0% for both RR and RD).
							Directness of evidence: studies were conducted in the target population.

·IIII Cochrane Library

				Precision: because of the relatively large sample size (537 infants), the point estimate was precise with a nar- row 95% Cl.
				Presence of publication bias: as only 5 studies were in- cluded we did not construct a funnel plot.
Serum levels of creatinine after treat- ment (µmol/L) Serum samples	The weighted mean differ- ence (WMD) for serum levels of creatinine af- ter treatment mmol/L in the intervention (paracetamol) group was 8.92 µmol/L lower (=6.55 to =11.28	537 (4 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate	 Bias: we had no concerns for random sequence generation in the 4 included trials but the allocation concealment was unclear in 1 of the studies. We did not downgrade the quality of evidence on this item. There were concerns about blinding of personnel and of blinding of outcome assessments. However, for an objective outcome of serum creatinine level we have not downgraded the evidence. Heterogeneity/Consistency: there was high heterogeneity (I² = 84%) for WMD. We downgraded the quality of the evidence by 1 step.
	lower) than in the ibuprofen group			Directness of evidence: studies were conducted in the target population.
	6 F			Precision: because of the relatively large sample size (537 infants), the point estimate was precise with a nar- row 95% CI.
				Presence of publication bias: As only 4 studies were in- cluded we did not construct a funnel plot.
*The basis for the a sumed risk in the c Cl: Confidence inte	assumed risk (e.g. the median control group risk comparison group and the relative effect of the i erval; RR: Risk ratio	across studies) is provident in the state of	ed in footnotes. The Cl).	e corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the as-
GRADE Working Gro High quality: furth Moderate quality: Low quality: furth	oup grades of evidence her research is very unlikely to change our confid further research is likely to have an important ir er research is very likely to have an important im we are very uncertain about the estimate	ence in the estimate of ef npact on our confidence ipact on our confidence i	fect. in the estimate of e n the estimate of ef	ffect and may change the estimate. fect and is likely to change the estimate.

Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention for patent ductus arteriosus

•<u>IIII</u>

Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health. Patient or population: preterm infants with patent ductus arteriosus

Settings: Neonatal intensive care units

Intervention: paracetamol

Comparison: placebo or no intervention

Outcomes	Illustrative com (95% CI)	parative risks*	Relative effect (95% CI)	No of Partici- pants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk		()	(0.0.2_)	
	Placebo or no intervention	Paracetamol				
Failure of duc-	High risk popula	ation	RR 0.49 (0.24	80 (2)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ Iow	Bias: the study by Härkin 2016 was of the highest quali- ty and with no concerns about bias. For the study by As-
ter 4 to 5 days of treatment	415 per 1000	205 per 1000 (174 to 250)	- (01.00)	(2)		bagh 2015 allocation concealment was unclear as was the blinding of personnel and outcome assessments
PDA diagnosed		(11110200)				and possible reporting bias. We downgraded the quality by 1 step.
БУЕСНО						Heterogeneity/Consistency: we noted no heterogeneity (I ² = 0% for both RR and RD).
						Directness of evidence: studies were conducted in the target population.
						Precision: because of the small sample size (80 infants), the point estimate although not statistically significant for RR was significant for RD. The confidence interval was wide. We downgraded the quality by 1 step.
						Presence of publication bias: as only 2 studies were in- cluded we did not construct a funnel plot.
Death	High risk popula	ation	RR 0.35 (0.04 to 3 20)	80 (2)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate	Bias: we had no concerns regarding the assessment of mortality
Clinical assess- ment	49 per 1000	0 per 1000 0 to)	5.20)	(-)	moderate	Heterogeneity/Consistency: we noted no heterogeneity (I ² = 0% for both RR and RD).
						Directness of evidence: studies were conducted in the target population.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Precision: because of the small sample size (80 infants), the point estimate was not precise with a wide 95% CI. We downgraded the quality of the evidence by 1 step.

Presence of publication bias: as only 3 studies were included we did not perform a funnel plot.

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI). **CI:** Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk Ratio;

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: we are very uncertain about the estimate.

Summary of findings 3. Paracetamol compared with indomethacin for patent ductus arteriosus

Paracetamol (oral or IV) compared with indomethacin (IV) for patent ductus arteriosus

Patient or population: preterm infants with patent ductus arteriosus

Settings: Neonatal intensive care unit

Intervention: paracetamol

Comparison: indomethacin

Outcomes	Illustrative com (95% CI)	parative risks*	Relative effect (95% CI)	No of Partici- pants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Indomethacin	Paracetamol				
Failure to close a PDA	High-risk popula	ntion	RR 0.96 (0.55 to	273 (2)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate	Bias: we had no concerns for random sequence gener- ation or allocation concealment in the 2 included stud-
Assessed by ECHO	153 per 1000	147 per 1000 (0 to 200)	,	(-)		ies. However we did raise concerns regarding blinding of personnel and outcome assessments and for reporting bias our judgement was unclear. We downgraded the quality of evidence on this item by 1 step.

Heterogeneity/Consistency: we noted no heterogeneity ($I^2 = 11\%$ for RR and 17% for RD) (none).

Directness of evidence: studies were conducted in the target population.

Precision: because of the relatively large sample size (237 infants), the point estimate was quite precise with a narrow 95% Cl.

Presence of publication bias: although only 5 studies were included the funnel plot was symmetrical.

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI). **CI:** Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk Ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: we are very uncertain about the estimate.



BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

The ductus arteriosus connects the pulmonary artery to the descending aorta (Clyman 2000). Normal fetal circulation is dependent on the placenta and the patency of the ductus arteriosus (PDA) (Mathew 1998). During fetal life it diverts most of the combined ventricular output away from the lungs (Clyman 2000). Following birth, and with the separation of the placenta and initiation of breathing, the circulation changes and the ductus closes (Mathew 1998). In full-term newborns this happens within 24 to 48 hours after birth (Clyman 2000). In preterm newborns the ductus frequently fails to close. As a result, 70% of infants born before 28 weeks' postmenstrual age (PMA) require medical or surgical closure of the PDA (Clyman 2000). The failure of the ductus arteriosus to constrict after birth is due to lower intrinsic tone, less ductal muscle fibre and fewer subendothelial cushions in preterm as compared to term infants (Hammerman 1995). The immature ductus arteriosus has higher sensitivity to the vasodilating effects of prostaglandins and nitric oxide (Hammerman 1995). This is aggravated by haemodynamic derangements due to respiratory distress syndrome and surfactant therapy (Hammerman 1995). The clinical consequences of a PDA are related to the degree of left to right shunting through the ductus. Despite the ability of the left ventricle, in preterm infants, to increase its output in the face of a left to right shunt, blood flow distribution to vital organs is altered due to a drop in diastolic pressure and localized vasoconstriction (Clyman 2000). The presence of a PDA is associated with reduced middle cerebral artery blood flow velocity (Weir 1999). The haemodynamic instability caused by the left to right shunt has been associated with gastrointestinal, cerebral and renal effects including spontaneous intestinal perforation and necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC), intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH), decreased kidney function and bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) and, if not managed, may lead to death.

In the two Cochrane Reviews of prophylactic use of ibuprofen and indomethacin to close a PDA in preterm infants, the spontaneous closure rate in the control group was 58% and 57% respectively (Fowlie 2010; Ohlsson 2011).

Description of the intervention

A PDA can be treated surgically; or medically with one of two prostaglandin inhibitors, indomethacin or ibuprofen. Surgical closure of a symptomatic PDA improves haemodynamics and lung compliance (Naulty 1978). However, medical treatment is still considered the treatment of choice because of the risks related to the surgery. In a large Canadian cohort (n = 3779) of very low birth weight infants, 28% received treatment for a PDA; 75% were treated with indomethacin alone, 8% with surgical ligation alone, and 17% received both indomethacin and surgical ligation (Lee 2000). Infants with lower birth weights were more likely to be treated surgically (Lee 2000). Prostaglandins play a significant role in keeping the ductus arteriosus patent (Mathew 1998). Inhibiting prostaglandin synthesis with non-selective blockers of both cyclooxygenase (COX) 1 and 2 is effective for the non-surgical closure of PDA (Clyman 2000). However, indomethacin use is associated with transient or permanent derangement of renal function, NEC, gastrointestinal haemorrhage or perforation, alteration of platelet function and impairment of cerebral blood flow or cerebral blood flow velocity (Edwards 1990; Ohlsson 1993; Seyberth 1983; Wolf 1989).

Ibuprofen, a propionic acid derivative and non-selective COX inhibitor, is as effective as indomethacin in closing a PDA and reduces the risk of NEC (Ohlsson 2015a). There is less evidence of transient renal insufficiency following treatment with ibuprofen compared to indomethacin (Ohlsson 2015a).

Another non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, mefenamic acid, has been reported to close a PDA (Sakhalkar 1992), but no randomised controlled trials have been reported (Ohlsson 2011; Ohlsson 2015a).

In the sheep fetus, Peterson showed that acetaminophen has potent activity on the ductus arteriosus and produces a constriction in therapeutic analgesic quantities (Peterson 1985). In humans, Simbi 2002 reported on a pregnant woman near term who took nimesulide 400 mg and acetaminophen 500 mg twice daily for three days as a medication for pain. The women noticed diminished fetal movements and one day later ultrasound confirmed lack of fetal movements and breathing. A constricted ductus arteriosus was confirmed by fetal echocardiography. Following cesarean section the male infant presented with severe mixed acidosis. An echocardiogram showed an almost completely constricted ductus arteriosus. Following intensive care the infant improved and was discharged home on day 12 after birth. At three months' follow-up the infant was doing well. Either nimesulide or acetaminophen, or both, could be responsible for ductal closure in this case.

The complications associated with the use of indomethacin and possibly ibuprofen have encouraged the search for an alternative drug to treat a PDA. In 2011 paracetamol was suggested as an alternative (Hammerman 2011). Hammerman and colleagues reported on five preterm infants (PMA 26 to 32 weeks at birth and postnatal age of 3 to 35 days) with large, haemodynamically significant PDAs (Hammerman 2011). The infants had failed or had contraindications for treatment with ibuprofen. All infants were treated with oral paracetamol 15 mg/kg per dose every 6 hours. The treatment resulted in ductal closure in all infants within three days. No side effects were observed. The authors suggested that paracetamol could offer important therapeutic advantages over non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (indomethacin and ibuprofen) as paracetamol has no peripheral vasoconstrictive effect, can be given to infants with clinical contraindications to NSAIDs, and appears to be effective after ibuprofen treatment failure (Hammerman 2011).

Unconjugated hyperbilirubinaemia impacts upon clearance of paracetamol (Palmer 2008). Acetaminophen-induced hepatic failure with encephalopathy has been described in a term newborn who received oral acetaminophen every four hours by the parents following circumcision (Walls 2007).

Paracetamol can be given as prophylaxis for a PDA within 24 hours after birth or as treatment for a PDA diagnosed by echocardiography (ECHO). We include both approaches in this review.

How the intervention might work

Paracetamol is an analgesic, antipyretic derivative of acetanilide with weak anti-inflammatory properties and is used as a common analgesic in all age groups, but may cause liver, blood cell and

kidney damage (NLM 2012). In low concentrations paracetamol stimulates, and in high concentrations inhibits, the synthesis of prostaglandins. In vivo (in adults) 500 mg of paracetamol causes a pronounced reduction of prostacyclin synthesis but has no effect on thromboxane synthesis (Grèen 1989). Because in vitro paracetamol is a weak inhibitor of both COX 1 and COX 2, the possibility exists that it inhibits a so far unidentified form of COX, perhaps a COX 3 (Botting 2000). In a murine model paracetamol was found to be less potent than indomethacin for construction of the mouse ductus arteriosus in vitro (El-Khuffash 2014).

Since the report in 2011 by Hammerman and co-workers there have been many case series of treatment of a PDA with paracetamol in preterm infants (Hammerman 2011). In five case series, a total of 38 infants with different contraindications for the use of ibuprofen or indomethacin were included (Kessel 2014; Nadir 2014; Sinah 2013; Terrin 2014; Yurttutan 2013). Paracetamol was administered orally, intravenously or via nasogastric tube and the dose and duration of treatment varied: orally 15 mg/kg 8 hourly for 48 hours (Sinah 2013); 15 mg/kg 6 hourly for 3 days (Yurttutan 2013); 15 mg/kg 6 hourly for up to 7 days (Nadir 2014); via nasogastric tube 15 mg/kg 6 hourly for 3 to 7 days (Kessel 2014); or intravenously 7.5 to 15 mg/kg every 4 to 6 hours, with a maximum daily dose of 60 mg/kg (duration of treatment 3 days in 5 of 7 cases) (Terrin 2014). In these case reports the PDA closed in 33 of the 38 cases treated with paracetamol (86%). Kessel and co-workers measured plasma paracetamol concentrations before the fifth dose and ninth dose and 24 hours after the last dose (Kessel 2014). Most measured paracetamol blood concentrations were comparable to those recommended for pain and fever control (10 to 20 mg/mL) (Arana 2001).

In another published case series, El-Khuffash 2014 retrospectively evaluated the clinical effectiveness of paracetamol on the closure of a PDA, and prospectively examined its effect on the in vitro term and preterm murine ductus arteriosus. A total of 21 infants were included in the study from the Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada and the Rotunda Maternity Hospital, Dublin, Ireland. At the Canadian site paracetamol was either given orally as a short course (15 mg/kg 6 hourly for 48 hours) or a long course of 15 mg/kg 6 hourly for 7 days. At the Irish site paracetamol was given intravenously, 15 mg/kg 6 hourly for a minimum of 48 hours until PDA closure was confirmed on echocardiography or up to a maximum of 6 days. In both centres, the decision to administer paracetamol treatment to neonates with a haemodynamically significant PDA was after failure of two courses of either ibuprofen or indomethacin or if there were contraindications to medical treatments (El-Khuffash 2014). No changes in PDA haemodynamics were seen in the five infants treated with a short course of paracetamol. In six of the seven infants treated with a long course the PDA closed. In eight of the nine infants treated with intravenous paracetamol the PDA closed (El-Khuffash 2014). Paracetamol drug levels were not ascertained. The authors concluded that the effectiveness of paracetamol on PDA closure may depend on the duration of treatment and the mode of administration (El-Khuffash 2014). The inhibitory effect of paracetamol on prostaglandin E_2 (PGE₂) may not be present at lower gestational ages (El-Khuffash 2014).

Recently there have been concerns raised that prenatal or neonatal exposure, or both, to paracetamol could have adverse effects on brain development. Viberg and co-workers examined whether neonatal paracetamol exposure in mice could affect the development of the brain (Viberg 2014), manifested as adult behaviour and cognitive deficits, as well as changes in the response to paracetamol. They concluded that exposure to and presence of paracetamol during a critical period of brain development in mice can induce long-lasting effects on cognitive function and alter the adult response to paracetamol (Viberg 2014).

In an ecological study conducted in humans and using countrylevel data for the period 1984 to 2005, prenatal use of paracetamol was correlated with autism or autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (Bauer 2013). To explore the relationship of early neonatal paracetamol exposure to autism and ASD, population-weighted average male autism prevalence rates for all available countries and US states were compared to male circumcision rates, a procedure for which paracetamol has been widely prescribed since the mid-1990s. For studies including boys born after 1995, there was a strong correlation between country-level autism and ASD prevalence in males and a country's circumcision rate (r = 0.98) (Bauer 2013). In a Spanish birth cohort study prenatal acetaminophen exposure was associated with a greater number of autism-spectrum symptoms in males and showed adverse effects on attention-related outcomes for both genders (Avella-Garcia 2016). Ystrom 2017 in a study based on the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort study, including 2246 children with ADHD, found that long-term maternal use of paracetamol during pregnancy was substantially associated with ADHD in offspring.

In a study from Sweden, Bornehag 2017 reported on a possible association of prenatal exposure to acetaminophen and language delay in girls at 30 months of age. The same group reviewed nine prospective cohort studies that reported on associations between prenatal use of paracetamol and neurodevelopmental outcomes in the offspring (Bauer 2018). All included studies suggested an association between prenatal paracetamol exposure and the neurodevelopmental outcomes of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), autism spectrum disorder (ASD), or lower IQ. Longer duration of paracetamol use was associated with increased risk. Associations were strongest for hyperactivity and attention-related outcomes (Bauer 2018).

It is therefore of extreme importance that infants enrolled in trials of paracetamol either for pain relief or for closure of a PDA be followed long-term with conventional developmental tests and tests to diagnose autism and ASD (APA 2013).

Why it is important to do this review

Currently there are at least 19 ongoing trials on this topic (see Ongoing studies). It is likely that several trials will be conducted in the near future and, with regular updates, this review will track the progress of the research in a timely fashion. It is expected that paracetamol (oral or intravenous) will be compared with oral or intravenous ibuprofen, with placebo, with no intervention or with intravenous indomethacin for the effectiveness of closing a PDA. In view of recent findings in mice of adverse effects on brain development following neonatal exposure to paracetamol, and in humans of an association of neonatal exposure to paracetamol and autism or ASD and language delay, it is important that long-term follow-up is included in individual studies and in this systematic review.



OBJECTIVES

To determine the effectiveness and safety of intravenous or oral paracetamol compared with placebo or no intervention, intravenous indomethacin, intravenous or oral ibuprofen, or with other cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors for closure of a PDA in preterm or low birth weight infants.

Primary objectives

- 1. To determine the effectiveness and safety of intravenous or oral paracetamol compared with placebo or no intervention for closure of a PDA in preterm or low birth weight infants.
- 2. To determine the effectiveness and safety of intravenous or oral paracetamol compared with intravenous indomethacin for closure of a PDA in preterm or low birth weight infants.
- 3. To determine the effectiveness and safety of intravenous or oral paracetamol compared with intravenous ibuprofen for closure of a PDA in preterm or low birth weight infants.
- 4. To determine the effectiveness and safety of intravenous or oral paracetamol compared with oral ibuprofen for closure of a PDA in preterm or low birth weight infants.
- 5. To determine the effectiveness and safety of intravenous or oral paracetamol compared with other cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors (separate analyses for different cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors) for closure of a PDA in preterm or low birth weight infants.
- 6. To determine the effectiveness and safety of prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention within 24 hours after birth for PDA.

Secondary objectives

- 1. To determine in subgroup analyses the effectiveness and safety of paracetamol for closure of a PDA in relation to postnatal ages of less than 7 days, 7 to 14 days and more than 14 days at the time of administration of the first dose of paracetamol.
- 2. To determine in subgroup analyses the effectiveness and safety of paracetamol for closure of a PDA in relation to:
 - a. gestational age (< 28 weeks, 28 to 32 weeks, 33 to 36 weeks);
 - b. birth weight (< 1000 g, 1000 to 1500 g, 1501 to 2500 g).

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

We considered randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials for inclusion.

Types of participants

We included infants born preterm (< 37 weeks' PMA) or with low birth weight (< 2500 g at birth) who had an echocardiographic diagnosis of a PDA regardless of their postnatal age. In the Cochrane Review of ibuprofen for the treatment of a PDA all 20 included studies made the diagnoses of a PDA by echocardiography (Ohlsson 2015a), and it is likely that would be the case in studies of the effectiveness of paracetamol in closing a PDA. For prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention within 24 hours after birth for PDA, ECHO confirmation of a PDA was not required.

Types of interventions

We included paracetamol (given via any route for the purpose of closure of PDA) in any dose versus placebo or no intervention or versus another prostaglandin inhibitor. If the intention for administration of paracetamol was not closure of PDA, we would exclude the study. We included studies that used any therapeutic regimen of paracetamol.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- Failure of PDA closure after the first course of paracetamol treatment (closure and failure of closure confirmed by echocardiographic criteria).
- Neurodevelopmental outcome (neurodevelopmental outcome assessed by a standardized and validated assessment tool or a child developmental specialist, or both) at any age reported (outcome data grouped at 12, 18 and 24 months, if available).
- Death or disability (outcome data grouped at 12, 18 and 24 months, if available).

Secondary outcomes

- All-cause mortality during initial hospital stay.
- Neonatal mortality (death during the first 28 days of life).
- Infant mortality (death during the first year of life).
- Re-opening of the ductus arteriosus (defined as echocardiographic evidence of closure followed by re-opening of PDA at later stage).
- Surgical closure of the PDA.
- Treatment with indomethacin, ibuprofen or other prostaglandin inhibitor to close the PDA following treatment failure.
- Duration of ventilator support (days).
- Duration of need for supplementary oxygen (O₂) (days).
- Pulmonary haemorrhage (blood-stained liquid flowing from the trachea of the infant).
- Pulmonary hypertension (defined as an increased mean pulmonary arterial pressure of 25 mmHg at rest) (Van Loon 2011).
- Bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) at 28 days (defined as O₂ requirement at 28 days postnatal age in addition to compatible clinical and roentgenographic findings).
- BPD at 36 weeks' PMA (defined as O₂ requirement at 36 weeks' PMA in addition to compatible clinical and roentgenographic findings).
- BPD defined according to the new criteria: mild BPD defined as a need for supplemental O₂ for ≥ 28 days but not at 36 weeks' PMA or discharge, moderate BPD as O₂ for ≥ 28 days plus treatment with < 30% O₂ at 36 weeks' PMA, and severe BPD as O₂ for ≥ 28 days plus ≥ 30% O₂ or positive pressure, or both, at 36 weeks' PMA (Ehrenkranz 2005).
- Intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) (Grade I to IV) (Papile 1978).
- Severe IVH (Grade III to IV).
- Periventricular leukomalacia (PVL).
- Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) (any stage; defined as per authors) (Bell 1978).
- Intestinal perforation.
- Gastrointestinal bleed.



ochrane

- Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) (according to the international classification of ROP); any stage and stage ≥ 3 (ICCROP 2005).
- Decreased urine output (defined as < 1 mL/kg/h) during treatment.
- Sepsis (clinical symptoms and signs of sepsis and a positive blood bacterial culture); this outcome was added at the full review stage.
- Serum or plasma levels of creatinine (mmol/L) after treatment.
- Serum or plasma levels of aspartate transaminase (AST) (IU/L) following treatment.
- Serum or plasma levels of alanine transaminase (ALT) (IU/L) following treatment.
- Number of infants with AST or ALT levels > 100 IU/mL.
- Serum bilirubin (mmol/L) following treatment.
- Hyperbilirubinaemia (serum bilirubin level higher than the exchange level according to the postnatal age and body weight).
- Incidence of liver failure; evidence of acute liver injury combined with either severe coagulopathy (International Normalized Ratio (INR) > 2.0 or prothrombin time (PT) > 20 seconds) or encephalopathy with moderate coagulopathy (INR ≥ 1.5 or PT ≥ 15 seconds) (Sundaram 2011).
- Duration of hospitalisation (total length of hospitalisation from birth to discharge home or death) (days).
- Autism or autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in childhood (APA 2013); this outcome was added at the full review stage.
- Language delay (added as an outcome in 2017).
- Other side effects reported by the authors (not pre-specified).

Search methods for identification of studies

We used the criteria and standard methods of Cochrane and Cochrane Neonatal (see the Cochrane Neonatal search strategy for specialized register).

Electronic searches

We conducted a comprehensive search including: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL 2017, Issue 10) in the Cochrane Library; MEDLINE via PubMed (1966 to 6 November 2017); Embase (1980 to 6 November 2017); and CINAHL (1982 to 6 November 2017) using the following search terms: (Acetaminophen[Mesh] OR paracetamol OR acetaminophen) AND (Ductus Arteriosus, Patent[Mesh] OR patent ductus arteriosus or PDA), plus database-specific limiters for RCTs and neonates (see Appendix 1 for the full search strategies for each database). We did not apply language restrictions. Conference proceedings were not specifically searched but we identified some by the other searches.

We searched clinical trial registries for ongoing or recently completed trials (ClinicalTrials.gov; the World Health Organization's International Trials Registry and Platform, and the ISRCTN Registry).

See Appendix 2 for the search methodology of the 2015 review.

Searching other resources

We searched the reference lists of any articles selected for inclusion in this review in order to identify additional relevant articles.

Data collection and analysis

We used standard methods recommended by Cochrane and its Neonatal Review Group.

Selection of studies

Two review authors (AO, PS) independently assessed study eligibility for inclusion in this review according to the pre-specified selection criteria.

Data extraction and management

Two review authors (AO, PS) independently extracted data from the full-text articles using a specifically designed spread sheet and customized form to manage information. We used these forms to decide trial inclusion and exclusion, extract data from eligible trials, and for requesting additional published information from authors of the original report. We entered and cross-checked data using Review Manager 5 (RevMan 5) software (Review Manager 2014). We compared the extracted data for any differences. If noted, we resolved differences by mutual discussion and consensus. We contacted the authors of three identified trials and we obtained unpublished data from the Oncel group (Oncel 2014), the Dang group (Dang 2013), and Dash group (Dash 2015).

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

Two review authors (AO, PS) independently assessed the risk of bias (low, high, or unclear) of all included trials using the Cochrane 'Risk of bias' tool for the following domains (Higgins 2017).

- Sequence generation (selection bias).
- Allocation concealment (selection bias).
- Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias).
- Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias).
- Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias).
- Selective reporting (reporting bias).
- Any other bias.

We resolved any disagreements by discussion or by involving a third assessor to reach consensus. See Appendix 3 for a more detailed description of risk of bias for each domain.

Measures of treatment effect

We analysed treatment effects in the individual trials using RevMan 5 (Review Manager 2014).

Dichotomous data

We reported dichotomous data using risk ratio (RR) and risk difference (RD) with respective 95% confidence intervals (CI). For those outcomes with a statistically significant RD for the pooled estimate from the meta-analysis, we calculated the number needed to treat for an additional beneficial outcome (NNTB) or number needed to treat for an additional harmful outcome (NNTH) and respective 95% CI.

Continuous data

We reported continuous data using mean difference (MD) with 95% Cl.



Unit of analysis issues

The unit of randomisation was the individual infant. We did not include cross-over or cluster-randomised trials as those trial designs are unlikely for the intervention studied in this review indeed, no cross-over or cluster-randomised trials were identified. We only considered an infant once, even though the infant might have been randomised twice by investigators. We planned to contact the authors in order to provide data resulting from the first randomisation. If we could not separate data from the first randomisation, we planned to exclude the study.

Dealing with missing data

We requested additional data from the authors of each included trial when data on important outcomes were missing or needed clarification. We did receive clarifying information from the authors of the following included trials: Dang 2013; Dash 2015; and Oncel 2014. The authors clarified that all the analyses that were published or they provided us with were intention-to-treat analyses.

Assessment of heterogeneity

We used RevMan 5 software to assess the heterogeneity of treatment effects between trials (Review Manager 2014). We used the two formal statistics described below.

- 1. The Chi² test, to assess whether observed variability in effect sizes between studies was greater than would be expected by chance. Since this test has low power when the number of studies included in the meta-analysis is small, we set the alpha probability at the 10% level of significance.
- 2. The I² statistic to ensure that pooling of data was valid. We graded the degree of heterogeneity as: none, low, moderate, and high for values of < 25%, \ge 25% to 49%, 50% to 74%, and \ge 75% respectively (Higgins 2003).

Assessment of reporting biases

We attempted to identify the study protocols for the trials we selected for inclusion (see the table 'Characteristics of included studies'). Two studies were registered in retrospect (Al-Lawama 2017; Dash 2015). For three trials the study protocol was not available to us (Asbagh 2015; El Mashad 2017; Yang 2016). For three studies the protocol was registered before patient recruitment started (Dang 2013; Härkin 2016; Oncel 2014). We planned to assess reporting and publication bias by examining the degree of asymmetry of a funnel plot in RevMan 5 provided that a sufficient number of studies (n = 10) were available (Review Manager 2014). However, this was not feasible as only five trials were included in any one meta-analysis.

Data synthesis

We performed statistical analyses according to the recommendations of the Cochrane Neonatal Review Group (http:// neonatal.cochrane.org/resources-review-authors). We analysed all infants randomised on an intention-to-treat basis. We analysed treatment effects in the individual trials. We used a fixed-effect model in the meta-analysis to combine the data. Where substantial heterogeneity existed, the potential cause of heterogeneity would have been examined in subgroup and sensitivity analyses. When we judged meta-analysis to be inappropriate, we planned to analyse and interpret individual trials separately. For estimates of typical RR and RD, we used the Mantel-Haenszel method. For measured quantities, we used the inverse variance method. We would have used the standardized mean difference (SMD) to combine trials that measured the same outcome but used different scales.

Quality of evidence

We used the GRADE approach, as outlined in the GRADE Handbook (Schünemann 2013), to assess the quality of evidence for the five key outcomes below for the comparisons of 'paracetamol versus ibuprofen', 'paracetamol versus placebo or no intervention' and 'paracetamol versus indomethacin'. Not all outcomes were included for all comparisons as there were too few trials/infants included in the analyses.

- 1. Failure of ductal closure after the first course of treatment.
- 2. Neurodevelopmental impairment.
- 3. All-cause mortality during initial hospital stay (death).
- 4. Gastrointestinal bleed or stools positive for occult blood.
- 5. Serum levels of creatinine after treatment (μ mol/L).

Two authors (AO, PS) independently assessed the quality of the evidence for each of the outcomes above. We considered evidence from randomised controlled trials as high quality but downgraded the evidence one level for serious (or two levels for very serious) limitations based upon the following: design (risk of bias), consistency across studies, directness of the evidence, precision of estimates, and presence of publication bias. We used the GRADEpro GDT Guideline Development Tool to create 'Summary of findings' tables to report the quality of the evidence.

The GRADE approach results in an assessment of the quality of a body of evidence in one of four grades:

- 1. High: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.
- 2. Moderate: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.
- 3. Low: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.
- Very low: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

The following subgroup analyses were pre-specified.

- Gestational age (< 28 weeks, 28 to 32 weeks, 33 to 36 weeks).
- Birth weight (< 1000 g, 1000 to 1500 g, 1501 to 2500 g).

We planned to conduct subgroup analyses to determine the effectiveness and safety of paracetamol for closure of a PDA in relation to postnatal ages of less than 7 days, 7 to 14 days and more than 14 days at the time of administration of the first dose of paracetamol. However, the data from the included studies were not suitable for subgroup analyses according to the pre-specified categories.

Sensitivity analysis

We planned to perform a sensitivity analysis to determine if the findings were affected by including only studies of adequate



methodology, defined as adequate randomisation and allocation concealment, blinding of intervention and measurement, and less than 10% losses to follow-up. The eight studies were of similar (moderate) quality.

RESULTS

Description of studies

Results of the search

The literature searches in November 2017 identified six additional studies (Al-Lawama 2017; Asbagh 2015; Dash 2015; El Mashad 2017; Härkin 2016; Yang 2016), in addition to the two studies

previously included, Dang 2013 and Oncel 2014. The previously ongoing study listed as Zarkesh 2013 has now been published as Asbagh 2015. In addition to the three previously included ongoing studies (NCT01938261; NCT01291654; NCT02002741), an additional 16 studies have been entered into trials registries (ACTRN12613000289718; ACTRN12616001517460; ChiCTR-TRC-13003912; CTRI/2016/09/007261; CTRI/2017/10/009989; CTRI/2017/10/010012; EUCTR2015-003177-14-ES; EUCTR2013-003883-30-IT; IRCT2016081729404N1; Kumar 2017; NCT02056223; NCT02422966; NCT02819414; NCT03008876; NCT03103022; NCT03265782). Two studies are awaiting classification (Bagheri 2016; Kluckow 2016). For details see Figure 1.



Figure 1. Study flow diagram: review update



Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Figure 1. (Continued)

(meta-analysis)

Included studies

For details see the table 'Characteristics of included studies'.

Al-Lawama 2017 was a single-centre study conducted in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit of Jordan University Hospital, Amman, Jordan.

- Objective: to evaluate the effectiveness and safety profiles of oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen for PDA closure in preterm infants.
- Population: preterm infants with a gestational age of \leq 32 weeks or birth weight of \leq 1500 g and a haemodynamically significant PDA. Exclusion criteria: Ductal-dependent congenital heart diseases, major congenital malformation, grade 3 to 4 intraventricular haemorrhage, renal impairment (defined as a creatinine concentration of > 1.5 mg/dl), pulmonary haemorrhage, thrombocytopenia of < 60,000 / mm³, and an elevated alanine transaminase concentration.
- Intervention or contrast: the oral paracetamol group (n = 13) received 10 mg/kg/dose followed by 1 to 2 mL of 0.9% saline every 6 hours for 3 days. (10 mg/kg every 6 h for 3 days). The oral ibuprofen group received 10 mg/kg/dose followed by 1 to 2 mL of 0.9% saline once daily for 3 days. (10 mg/kg/day for 3 days).
- Outcomes assessed:
 - Primary outcomes: mortality, primary PDA closure.
 - Secondary outcomes: secondary PDA closure, pulmonary haemorrhage, BPD, Sepsis, NEC, ROP, IVH Grade 1 to 2, IVH Grade 3 to 4, PVL.
- Notes: we contacted the authors in January 2014 to obtain unpublished information regarding outcomes, and we received information in April 2014.

Asbagh 2015 was a single-centre study conducted in Tehran, Iran.

- Objective: to determine the effectiveness of prophylactic treatment with oral paracetamol for PDA in preterm infants.
- Population: preterm infants with PMA ≤ 32 weeks and BW ≤ 1500 g and postnatal age < 24 hours.
- Intervention or contrast: the paracetamol group received 15 mg/ kg of paracetamol orally every 6 hours for 48 hours; the control group received no intervention and no placebo.
- Outcomes assessed:
 - Primary outcome: failure to close a PDA by 4 to 5 days.
 - Secondary outcomes death, treatment with ibuprofen.
- Notes: we contacted the corresponding author Dr. Zarkesh on 30 November 2017 to get clarifying information, but by 6 January 2018 we had not received a response.

Dang 2013 was a single-centre study conducted in Changchun, China.

 Objective: to evaluate the effectiveness and safety profiles of oral paracetamol to those of standard ibuprofen for PDA closure in preterm infants.

- Population: preterm infants with PMA ≤ 34 weeks with echocardiographically confirmed PDA; postnatal age ≤ 14 days.
- Intervention or contrast: the paracetamol group received 15 mg/kg of paracetamol orally every 6 hours for 3 days; the ibuprofen group received oral ibuprofen at an initial dose of 10 mg/kg followed by 5 mg/kg after 24 and 48 hours.
- Outcomes assessed:
 - Primary outcome: rates of ductal closure after treatment confirmed by daily cardiography during treatment.
 - Secondary outcomes: oliguria (urine output < 1 mLkg/h), IVH, tendency to bleed, NEC, hyperbilirubinaemia, serum creatinine, death, BPD, PVL, NEC, ROP, sepsis.
- Notes: we contacted the authors in January 2014 to obtain unpublished information regarding outcomes, and we received information in April 2014.

Dash 2015 was a single-centre study conducted in Mumbai, India.

- Objective: to compare the effectiveness of enteral paracetamol and IV indomethacin for closure of PDA in preterm neonates.
- Population: preterm infants with birth weight ≤ 1500 g and echocardiography performed within the first 48 hours of life demonstrating PDA size ≥ 1.5 mm at the narrowest diameter, left to right shunt across the duct and ratio of the diameter of the left atrium to that of the aortic root (LA:AO) > 1.5:1.
- Intervention or contrast: the paracetamol group (n = 38) received paracetamol drops through an infant feeding tube at a dose of 15 mg/kg/dose four times daily for 7 days (28 doses). The indomethacin group (n = 39) received IV indomethacin at a dose of 0.2 mg/kg/dose, diluted with normal saline to make 5 mL solution and infused over 20 minutes by syringe pump once daily for three days. As per study protocol, two additional extra doses of indomethacin were allowed in the indomethacin group, if clinical evaluation after three doses showed persistence of PDA as demonstrated by clinical signs and symptoms such as tachycardia, wide pulse pressure and persistent murmur.
- Outcomes assessed:
 - Primary outcome: failure to close the PDA.
 - Secondary outcomes: surgical ligation, ROP, GI bleeding, NEC, pulmonary haemorrhage, IVH, sepsis, daily urine output, serum creatinine, serum bilirubin, and platelet count.
- Notes: Dr. Kabra provided additional information about this trial in December 2017.

El Mashad 2017 was a single-centre study from the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) of Tanta University Hospital Pediatric Department, Tanta, Egypt.

 Objective: to compare the effectiveness and side effects of paracetamol, indomethacin and ibuprofen in the closure of haemodynamically significant PDA (hs-PDA) in preterm neonates mainly on renal and liver function, platelet count, and haemoglobin level.

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



- Population: preterm infants with PMA < 28 weeks and BW < 1500 g in the first two weeks of life with hs-PDA diagnosed with ECHO and clinical examination.
- Intervention or contrast: the paracetamol group: 100 neonates received 15 mg/kg IV infusion paracetamol over 30 min followed by 15 mg/kg/6 h IV infusion for 3 days. The ibuprofen group: 100 neonates received 10 mg/kg IV infusion ibuprofen followed by 5 mg/kg/ day for 2 days. The indomethacin group: 100 neonates received 0.2 mg/kg indomethacin IV infusion over 30 min for three doses 12 h apart.
- Outcomes assessed
 - Primary outcome: failure to close the PDA.
 - Secondary outcomes: surgical ligation, ROP, GI bleeding, NEC, pulmonary haemorrhage, IVH, sepsis, daily urine output, serum creatinine, serum bilirubin, platelet count.
- Notes: none.

Härkin 2016 was a single-centre study conducted in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) of Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland.

- Objective: to study the biologic effect of paracetamol, an inhibitor of prostaglandin synthesis, on early closure of PDA, and to evaluate possible adverse effects associated with the drug.
- Population: preterm infants with PMA < 32 weeks requiring intensive care and who were < 24 hours old.
- Intervention or contrast: the paracetamol group received 20 mg/kg of paracetamol IV within 24 hours of birth, followed by 7.5 mg every 6 hours for 4 days hours for 48 hours; the control group received placebo (0.45% NaCl).
- Outcomes assessed
 - Primary outcomes: decrease in ductal calibre without side effects and failure to close a PDA by 4 to 5 days.
 - Secondary outcomes: persistent PDA treated, oliguria (<

 mL/kg/h), polyuria (> 5 mL/kg/h), hypernatremia (>
 150 mmol/L), sepsis, supplemental oxygen at 28 days, supplemental oxygen at 36 weeks' PMA, ROP treated, IVH grades 1 to 2, IVH grades 3 to 4, NEC stage 3, death, days of supplemental oxygen, highest serum bilirubin (µmol/L).
- Notes: none.

Oncel 2014 was a single-centre study conducted in Ankara, Turkey.

- Objective: to compare the effectiveness and safety of oral paracetamol and oral ibuprofen for the pharmacological closure of PDA in preterm infants.
- Population: preterm infants PMA ≤ 30 weeks, birth weight ≤ 1250 g with echocardiographically confirmed significant PDA; postnatal age 48 to 96 hours.
- Intervention or contrast: The paracetamol group received 15 mg/kg of paracetamol orally every 6 hours for 3 days; the ibuprofen group received oral ibuprofen at an initial dose of 10 mg/kg followed by 5 mg/kg after 24 and 48 hours.
- Outcomes assessed:
 - Primary outcome: rates of ductal closure after treatment by echocardiography performed by a cardiologist who was blinded to the treatment group.
 - Secondary outcomes: all-cause mortality during initial hospital stay, neonatal mortality (first 28 days of life), infant mortality, re-opening of the ductus arteriosus, surgical

closure of the PDA, duration of ventilatory support, duration of need for supplementary oxygen, pulmonary haemorrhage, pulmonary hypertension, BPD (at 28 days' and at 36 weeks' PMA, severe BPD at 36 weeks' PMA), IVH (all grades and Grade III to IV), PVL, NEC, intestinal perforation, gastrointestinal bleeding, ROP (any stage, stage \geq 3, ROP requiring laser treatment), oliguria (urine output < 1 mL/kg/h), serum levels after treatment of creatinine, bilirubin, aspartate transaminase, alanine transaminase, liver failure, duration of hospital stay, sepsis.

- In 2017 the authors published neurodevelopmental outcomes of the infants enrolled in this trial; they reported on 30 children in the paracetamol group and 31 children in the ibuprofen group. They reported on neurodevelopmental impairment, MDI < 70, PDI < 70, moderate to severe cerebral palsy, blindness, deafness and MDI and PDI at 18 to 24 months corrected age.
- Notes: we contacted the authors and in January 2014 received unpublished information regarding several of the outcomes listed above. The published report includes 80 patients who actually received the intervention whereas from the authors we received information on all outcomes for all 90 enrolled patients.

Yang 2016 was a single centre study conducted in Xuzhou, Jiangsu, China.

- Objective: to understand the effect of paracetamol treatment on preterm infants with a significant PDA, aiming to utilize and develop plasma and urinary PGE₂ levels as indicators of progress of PDA closure in a non-invasive manner.
- Population: preterm infants with PMA < 37 weeks and admitted to hospital within 24 hours after birth. A significant PDA diagnosis was made between 15 h to 10 days after birth and confirmed through ECHO to be a significant PDA. Diagnostic criteria of echocardiography were: i) left atrial:aortic root diameter ratio, (LA:Ao) > 1.4; ii) pulmonary artery diastolic back flow (reflux); and iii) PDA vessel diameter > 1.4 mm
- Intervention or contrast: the paracetamol group received 15 mg/ kg acetaminophen administered orally once every 6 hours for three days. The ibuprofen group received 10 mg/kg ibuprofen administered orally as the initial dose, followed by 5 mg/kg during the first 24 hours and 48 hours later.
- Outcomes assessed
 - Primary outcome: failure of primary ductal closure.
 - Secondary outcomes: oliguria (< 1 mL/kg/h, stools positive for occult blood, IVH (grade not stated), NEC, BPD (PMA not stated), plasma PGE₂ (ng/L), urine PGE₂ (ng/L), platelet count (x10⁹/L), serum Cr (μmol/L), glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (U/L).
- Notes: none.

Excluded studies

No randomised controlled study was excluded. In addition to three previously included ongoing studies (NCT01938261; NCT01291654; NCT02002741), an additional 16 studies were identified from trials registries (ACTRN12613000289718; ACTRN12616001517460; ChiCTR-TRC-13003912; CTRI/2016/09/007261; CTRI/2017/10/009989; CTRI/2017/10/010012; EUCTR2015-003177-14-ES; EUCTR2013-003883-30-IT; IRCT2016081729404N1; Kumar 2017;



NCT02056223; NCT02422966; NCT02819414; NCT03008876; NCT03103022; NCT03265782).

Risk of bias in included studies

For details see Figure 2 ('Risk of bias' graph) and Figure 3 ('Risk of bias' summary).

Figure 2. Risk of bias graph: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies.





Figure 3. Risk of bias summary: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study.



The randomisation sequence was adequate in all studies.

Allocation

Six studies used sequentially numbered, sealed opaque envelopes for the allocation to the two treatment groups and the risk of bias was unclear in two studies.

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Blinding

In the studies of paracetamol versus ibuprofen the two study drugs were administered at different time points after the initial dose (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014; Yang 2016). Healthcare providers and researchers were not blinded to group allocation of the infants. Dang 2013 states "doctors and nurses were not blind". Oncel 2014 reports "....the intervention was not completely blinded because of the different number of doses per day of the drugs. However, the most important outcome -PDA closure-was made by a cardiologist, who was blinded to the treatment groups". In the two studies of prophylactic use of paracetamol the risk of bias was low for the study by Härkin 2016. The researchers used a placebo but in the study by Asbagh 2015 no intervention or placebo was given in the control group. In the two studies comparing paracetamol with indomethacin the study drugs were given at different time points (Dash 2015) (El Mashad 2017). Thus in all studies the caregivers and researchers would not have been blinded to the group allocation.

Incomplete outcome data

Outcome data reported for all pre-set outcomes and for all enrolled infants in all studies.

Selective reporting

The protocols for three studies were available to us as the trials were registered (Dang 2013; Härkin 2016; Oncel 2014). The studies by Al-Lawama 2017 and Dash 2015 were registered in retrospect and the researchers may have made changes after the original study design. The studies by El Mashad 2017; Yang 2016 were not registered and we did not have access to the protocol for the study by Asbagh 2015. For the studies by Dang 2013, Härkin 2016

and Oncel 2014 there do not seem to be any deviations from the protocols.

For three trials the study protocol was not available to us (Asbagh 2015; El Mashad 2017; Yang 2016). For three studies the protocol was registered before patient recruitment started (Dang 2013; Härkin 2016; Oncel 2014). We planned to assess reporting and publication bias by examining the degree of asymmetry of a funnel plot in RevMan 5 provided that a sufficient number of studies (n = 10) were available (Review Manager 2014). However, this was not feasible as we included only five trials in any one meta-analysis.

Other potential sources of bias

There were no other sources of bias identified.

We considered the overall risk of bias in the eight studies to vary from low to moderate.

Effects of interventions

See: Summary of findings for the main comparison Paracetamol compared to ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants; Summary of findings 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol versus placebo or no intervention for patent ductus arteriosus; Summary of findings 3 Paracetamol compared with indomethacin for patent ductus arteriosus

Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV) (Comparison 1)

Primary outcomes

Failure of PDA closure after the first course of paracetamol treatment (closure and failure of closure confirmed by echocardiographic criteria) (Outcome 1.1)

See Analysis 1.1. Figure 4

Figure 4. Forest plot of comparison: 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), outcome: 1.1 Failure of ductal closure after the first course of treatment.

	Paracet	amol	lbupro	fen		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Al-Lawama 2017	4	13	2	9	2.6%	1.38 [0.32, 6.02]	
Dang 2013	35	80	42	80	45.9%	0.83 [0.60, 1.15]	
El Mashad 2017	20	100	23	100	25.1%	0.87 [0.51, 1.48]	_
Oncel 2014	16	45	14	45	15.3%	1.14 [0.64, 2.05]	_
Yang 2016	13	44	10	43	11.1%	1.27 [0.63, 2.58]	
Total (95% CI)		282		277	100.0%	0.95 [0.75, 1.21]	•
Total events	88		91				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	2.02, df=	4 (P = 0	.73); I ² =	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z=0.41 (ł	P = 0.69	0				Favours paracetamol Favours ibuprofen

Five studies (n = 559 infants) reported on this outcome (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014; Yang 2016). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in failure of PDA closure (typical RR 0.95, 95% 0.75 to 1.21; typical RD –0.02, 95% CI –0.09 to 0.06; $I^2 = 0\%$ for RR and for RD). The quality of evidence according to GRADE was moderate.

Neurodevelopmental impairment at 18 to 24 months corrected age (Outcome 1.2)

See Analysis 1.2.

One study — Oncel 2014 — has reported on this outcome in 61 children. There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the incidence of neurodevelopmental impairment (RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.44 to 1.96; RD –0.02, 95% CI –0.25 to 0.21). Tests for heterogeneity were not applicable. The quality of evidence according to GRADE was low.

There were no statistically significant differences between the groups for the following outcomes in the follow-up report by Oncel 2014 (for details see the analyses): MDI < 70 Analysis 1.33; PDI < 70 Analysis 1.34; Moderate to severe cerebral palsy Analysis 1.35;



Deafness Analysis 1.36; Blindness Analysis 1.37; MDI Analysis 1.38 or PDI Analysis 1.39. As there was only one study included in these analyses, tests for heterogeneity were not applicable.

Death or disability at 18 to 24 months

No studies reported on this combined outcome (including the follow-up study of Oncel 2014).

Secondary outcomes

All-cause mortality during initial hospital stay (Outcome 1.3)

See Analysis 1.3

Three studies (n = 272 infants) reported on this outcome (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; Oncel 2014). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in all-cause mortality during the initial hospital stay (typical RR 0.96, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.67; typical RD –0.01, 95% CI –0.09 to 0.08; $I^2 = 0\%$ (none) for both RR and RD). The quality of evidence according to GRADE was moderate.

Re-opening of the ductus arteriosus (defined as echocardiographic evidence of closure followed by re-opening of PDA at later stage) (Outcome 1.6)

See Analysis 1.6

Two studies (n = 143 infants) reported on this outcome (Dang 2013; Oncel 2014). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the risk of re-opening of the ductus arteriosus (typical RR 1.04, 95% CI 0.50 to 2.18; typical RD 0.01, 95% CI –0.11 to 0.13; $I^2 = 0\%$ (none) for RR and $I^2 = 1\%$ (none) for RD).

Surgical closure of the PDA following treatment failure with paracetamol or placebo (Outcome 1.7)

See Analysis 1.7

Two studies (n = 290 infants) reported on this outcome (El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in surgical closure of the PDA following treatment failure (RR 0.68, 95% CI 0.35 to 1.32; RD -0.04, 95% CI -0.11 to 0.03); $I^2 = 0\%$ (none) for both RR and RD.

Pulmonary haemorrhage (blood-stained liquid flowing from the trachea of the infant) (Outcome 1.9)

See Analysis 1.9

Three studies (n = 312 infants) reported on this outcome (Al-Lawama 2017; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the risk of pulmonary haemorrhage (RR 0.63, 95% Cl 0.23 to 1.74; RD -0.02, 95% Cl -0.07 to 0.03; $I^2 = 0\%$ (none) for both RR and RD).

Duration of need for supplementary oxygen (days) (Outcome 1.11)

See Analysis 1.11, Figure 4

One study (n = 90 infants) reported on this outcome (Oncel 2014). There was a significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the duration of need of supplementary oxygen (O₂) favouring the paracetamol group (MD –12.40 days, 95% Cl –22.97 to –1.83). The test for heterogeneity was not applicable.

Intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) (Grade I to IV) (Outcome 1.16)

See Analysis 1.16

Five studies reported on this outcome, in 559 infants (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014; Yang 2016). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the risk of IVH (typical RR 0.97, 95% Cl 0.77 to 1.23; typical RD –0.01, 95% Cl –0.06 to 0.04; $I^2 = 0\%$ (none) for RR and for RD).

Severe IVH (Grade III to IV) (Outcome 1.17)

See Analysis 1.17

Three studies reported on this outcome, in 272 infants (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; Oncel 2014). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the risk of severe IVH (typical RR 1.00, 95% CI 0.30 to 3.37; typical RD 0.00, 95% CI -0.05 to 0.05; $I^2 = 0\%$ (none) for RR and for RD).

Periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) (Outcome 1.18)

See Analysis 1.18

Three studies reported on this outcome, in 272 infants (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; Oncel 2014). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the risk of PVL (typical RR 1.00, 95% CI 0.36 to 2.76; typical RD –0.00, 95% CI –0.05 to 0.05; $I^2 = 0\%$ (none) for RR and for RD).

Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) (any stage) (Outcome 1.19)

See Analysis 1.19

Five studies (n = 559 infants) reported on this outcome (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014; Yang 2016). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the risk of NEC (typical RR 0.88, 95% Cl 0.46 to 1.70; typical RD –0.01, 95% Cl –0.05 to 0.03; $I^2 = 0\%$ (none) for RR and for RD).

Gastrointestinal bleed or stools positive for occult blood (Outcome 1.21)

See Analysis 1.21

Four studies (n = 537 infants) reported on gastrointestinal bleeding (Dang 2013; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014; Yang 2016). There was a significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the typical RR (typical RR 0.28, 95% Cl 0.12 to 0.69); and significant difference in the typical RD (RD –0.06, 95% Cl –0.09 to –0.02) favouring paracetamol over ibuprofen (NNTB 17, 95% Cl 11 to 50; $l^2 = 0\%$ (none) for RR and for RD). The quality of evidence according to GRADE was moderate.

Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) any stage (according to the international classification of ROP) (Outcome 1.22)

See Analysis 1.22

Four studies (n = 472 infants) reported on this outcome (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the risk of developing ROP (typical RR 0.71, 95% CI 0.42 to 1.23; typical RD –0.03, 95% CI –0.09 to 0.02; $I^2 = 0\%$ (none) for RR and for RD).



Sepsis (clinical symptoms and signs of sepsis and a positive blood bacterial culture) (Outcome 1.25)

See Analysis 1.25

Four studies (n = 472 infants) reported on this outcome (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in the risk of sepsis (typical RR 0.88, 95% Cl 0.64 to 1.21; typical RD -0.03, 95% Cl -0.11 to 0.05; $I^2 = 0\%$ (none) for RR and for RD).

Oliguria (decreased urine output (defined as < 1 mL/kg/h) during treatment) (Outcome 1.26)

See Analysis 1.26

Three studies (n = 337 infants) reported on this outcome (Dang 2013; Oncel 2014; Yang 2016). Oliguria did not occur in any infant in the study by Oncel 2014. There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in risk of oliguria (typical RR 0.46, 95% CI 0.20 to 1.10; typical RD –0.05, 95% CI –0.10 to 0.01; I² test for RR was 33% (low) and for RD 69% (moderate)).

Serum or plasma levels of creatinine (mmol/L) after treatment (Outcome 1.27)

See Analysis 1.27

Four studies (n = 537 infants) reported on this outcome (Dang 2013; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014; Yang 2016). There was a significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in creatinine levels (typical weighted mean difference (WMD) -8.92 mmol/L, 95% Cl -11.28 to -6.55; l^2 = 84% (high)). The quality of evidence according to GRADE was moderate.

Serum bilirubin (mmol/L) following treatment (Outcome 1.30)

See Analysis 1.30

Two studies reported on this outcome, in 290 infants (El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014). There was a significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in serum bilirubin (mmol/L) following treatment, with lower serum bilirubin levels in the paracetamol group (WMD –11.25 μ mol/L, 95% CI –13.88 to –8.62; I² = 39% (low)).

Hyperbilirubinaemia (serum bilirubin level higher than the exchange level according to the postnatal age and body weight) (Outcome 1.31)

See Analysis 1.31, Figure 5

One study reported on this outcome, in 160 infants (Dang 2013). There was a significant difference in hyperbilirubinaemia favouring the paracetamol groups (RR 0.57, 95% CI 0.34 to 0.97; RD -0.15, -0.29 to -0.01; NNTB 7, 95% CI 3 to 100).

BPD (age not stated) (Outcome 1.40)

Analysis 1.40

Three studies reported on this outcome in 269 infants (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; Yang 2016). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol and the ibuprofen groups in BPD (age not stated) (typical RR 0.87, 95% Cl 0.39 to 1.95; typical RD –0.01, 95% Cl –0.07 to 0.06; $l^2 = 0\%$ for both RR and RD).

Plasma PGE₂ (ng/L) (Outcome 1.41)

Analysis 1.41

One study reported on this outcome in 87 infants (Yang 2016). The plasma PGE_2 was significantly higher in the paracetamol group compared with the ibuprofen group (MD 12.60 ng/L, 95% CI 0.39 to 24.81). Tests for heterogeneity were not applicable.

Urine PGE₂ (ng/L) (Outcome 1.42)

Analysis 1.42

One study reported on this outcome in 87 infants (Yang 2016). The urine PGE_2 was significantly higher in the paracetamol group compared with the ibuprofen group (MD 23.90 ng/L, 95% CI 2.78 to 45.02). Tests for heterogeneity were not applicable.

Platelet count following treatment (Outcome 1.43)

Analysis 1.43

Two studies reported on this outcome in 287 infants (El Mashad 2017; Yang 2016). The platelet count was significantly higher in the paracetamol group compared with the ibuprofen group (WMD 30.18 (×10⁹/L), 95% Cl 16.55 to 43.81). There was high heterogeneity for this outcome ($I^2 = 92\%$).

Failure of PDA closure after the 2nd course of IV paracetamol versus IV ibuprofen (Outcome 1.45)

Analysis 1.45

Two studies reported on this outcome in 49 infants (El Mashad 2017; Yang 2016). There was no significant difference in failure of PDA closure after the 2nd course of IV paracetamol versus IV ibuprofen (typical RR 0.79, 95% Cl 0.51 to 1.21; typical RD –0.15, 95% Cl –0.42 to 0.11; $I^2 = 0\%$ for both RR and RD.

Daily urine output (mL/kg/hour) (Outcome 1.46)

Analysis 1.46

One study reported on this outcome in 200 infants (El Mashad 2017). There was a significantly higher urine output in the paracetamol group compared with the ibuprofen group (MD 0.55 mL/kg/h, 95% CI 0.41 to 0.69). Test for heterogeneity not applicable.

Other outcomes

For the following outcomes the included studies reported separately on samples ranging from 87 to 160 infants. As only one study was included in each analysis, tests for heterogeneity were not applicable. There were no significant differences between the paracetamol (oral or IV) group compared to the ibuprofen group (IV) for the following outcomes (for details see the analyses).

Neonatal mortality (death during the first 28 days of life) Analysis 1.4; Infant mortality (death during the first year of life) Analysis 1.5; Duration of ventilator support (days) Analysis 1.8; Pulmonary hypertension (defined as an increased mean pulmonary arterial pressure of 25 mmHg at rest) Analysis 1.10; Bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) at 28 days (defined as O_2 requirement at 28 days postnatal age in addition to compatible clinical and roentgenographic findings) Analysis 1.12; BPD at 36 weeks' PMA (defined as O_2 requirement at 36 weeks' PMA in addition to compatible clinical and roentgenographic findings) Analysis 1.13; Moderate to severe BPD according to the new criteria: moderate



BPD defined as O_2 for ≥ 28 days plus treatment with < 30% O_2 at 36 weeks' PMA; and severe BPD as O_2 for ≥ 28 days plus \ge 30% O_2 or positive pressure at 36 weeks' PMA, or both Analysis 1.14; Severe BPD defined according to the new criteria: severe BPD defined as O_2 for ≥ 28 days plus $\ge 30\% O_2$ or positive pressure at 36 weeks' PMA, or both Analysis 1.15; Intestinal perforation Analysis 1.20; ROP stage ≥ 3 (according to the international classification of ROP) Analysis 1.23; ROP requiring laser therapy Analysis 1.24; Serum or plasma levels of aspartate transaminase (AST) (IU/L) following treatment Analysis 1.28; Serum or plasma levels of ALT (IU/L) following treatment Analysis 1.29; Duration of hospitalisation (total length of hospitalisation from birth to discharge home or death, in days) Analysis 1.32; Glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (U/L) Analysis 1.44.

Incidence of liver failure; evidence of acute liver injury combined with either severe coagulopathy (International Normalized Ratio (INR) > 2.0 or prothrombin time (PT) > 20 seconds) or encephalopathy with moderate coagulopathy (INR \ge 1.5 or PT \ge 15 seconds)

One study (n = 90 infants) reported on this outcome. Liver failure did not occur in any infant enrolled in the study.

Other side effects reported by the authors (not pre-specified)

Oncel 2014 reported that no other side effects were noted.

Autism or autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in childhood

As defined by the American Psychiatric Association (APA 2013).

No study has reported on this outcome, but Oncel 2014 indicates in his follow-up study that the authors plan to re-evaluate their cohort in terms of autism spectrum disorders in the future.

Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention (Comparison 2)

Failure of ductal closure after 4 to 5 days of treatment (Outcome 2.1)

Analysis 2.1

Two studies reported on this outcome in 80 infants (Asbagh 2015; Härkin 2016). Paracetamol resulted in a lower rate of failure of ductal closure after 4 to 5 days of treatment compared to placebo or no intervention of borderline significance for typical RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.24 to 1.00, (P = 0.05); but significant typical RD –0.21, 95% CI –0.41 to –0.02; NNTB 5 (95% CI 2 to 50). There was no heterogeneity for this outcome; I² = 0% for both RR and RD). The quality of evidence according to GRADE was low.

Death (Outcome 2.2)

Analysis 2.2

Two studies reported on this outcome in 80 infants (Asbagh 2015; Härkin 2016). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol group compared to the placebo or no intervention group (typical RR 0.35, 95% CI 0.04 to 3.20; typical RD –0.05, 95% CI –0.14 to 0.05). There was no heterogeneity for this outcome; $I^2 = 0$ % for both RR and RD. The quality of evidence according to GRADE was moderate.

For the following outcomes one study reported on 48 infants; and as only one study was included in the different analyses, tests for heterogeneity were not applicable. There were no significant differences between the paracetamol versus the placebo group for the following outcomes (for details see the analyses): Oliguria Analysis 2.3; Polyuria Analysis 2.4; Hypernatraemia Analysis 2.5; Sepsis Analysis 2.6; Supplemental oxygen at 28 days Analysis 2.7; Supplemental oxygen at 36 weeks' PMA Analysis 2.8; ROP (treated) Analysis 2.9; IVH grades 1 to 2 Analysis 2.10; IVH grades 3 to 4 Analysis 2.11; NEC stage 3 Analysis 2.12; Days of supplemental oxygen Analysis 2.13; Highest serum bilirubin (µmol/L) Analysis 2.14.

Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV) (Comparison 3)

Failure to close a PDA (Outcome 3.1)

Analysis 3.1

Two studies reported on this outcome in 273 infants (Dash 2015; El Mashad 2017). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (typical RR 0.96, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.65; typical RD –0.01, 95% CI –0.09 to 0.08; $I^2 = 11\%$ for RR and 17% for RD). The quality of evidence according to GRADE was moderate.

Gastrointestinal bleed (Outcome 3.3)

Analysis 3.3

Two studies reported on this outcome in 277 infants (Dash 2015; El Mashad 2017). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (typical RR 0.66, 95% CI 0.33 to 1.33; typical RD –0.04, 95% CI –0.11 to 0.03) There was high heterogeneity for these analyses ($I^2 = 85\%$ for RR and 76% for RD).

NEC (all grades) (Outcome 3.4)

Analysis 3.4

Two studies reported on this outcome in 277 infants (Dash 2015; El Mashad 2017). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (typical RR 0.39, 95% CI 0.14 to 1.06; typical RD –0.06, 95% CI –0.11 to 0.00; $I^2 = 0\%$ for both RR and RD.

Sepsis (Outcome 3.5)

Analysis 3.5

Two studies reported on this outcome in 277 infants (Dash 2015; El Mashad 2017). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (typical RR 1.14, 95% CI 0.59 to 2.19; typical RD 0.01, 95% CI –0.06 to 0.09; $I^2 = 0\%$ for both RR and RD).

Pulmonary haemorrhage (Outcome 3.6)

Analysis 3.6

Two studies reported on this outcome in 277 infants (Dash 2015; El Mashad 2017). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (typical RR 0.74, 95% CI 0.25 to 2.18; typical RD –0.01, 95% CI –0.06 to 0.04; I² = 73% for RR (moderate) and 80% for RD (high)).

ROP (all grades) (Outcome 3.7)

Analysis 3.7



Two studies reported on this outcome in 259 infants (Dash 2015; El Mashad 2017). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (typical RR 0.77, 95% CI 0.58 to 1.03; typical RD –0.07, 95% CI –0.15 to 0.01; I² = 80% for RR (high) and 0% for RD (none)).

IVH (all grades) (Outcome 3.9)

Analysis 3.9

Two studies reported on this outcome in 275 infants (Dash 2015; El Mashad 2017). There was no significant difference between the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (typical RR 0.82, 95% CI 0.42 to 1.63; typical RD –0.02, 95% CI –0.09 to 0.05; I^2 = 49% for RR (low) and 29% for RD (low)).

Serum creatinine (µmol/L) (Outcome 3.17)

Analysis 3.15

One study reported on this outcome in 200 infants (El Mashad 2017). The serum creatinine was significantly lower in the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (MD –30.94 μ mol/L, 95% CI –34.34 to –27.54). Tests for heterogeneity were not applicable.

Serum bilirubin (µmol/L) (Outcome 3.18)

Analysis 3.18

One study reported on this outcome in 200 infants (El Mashad 2017). The serum bilirubin was statistically significantly higher in the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (MD 1.03 μ mol/L, 95% CI 0.13 to 1.93). Tests for heterogeneity were not applicable. The increase in serum bilirubin is not clinically significant.

Platelet count (x10⁹/L) (Outcome 3.19)

Analysis 3.19

One study reported on this outcome in 200 infants (El Mashad 2017). The platelet count was significantly higher in the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (MD 112.00 ($x10^9$ / L), 95% Cl 103.02 to 120.98). Tests for heterogeneity were not applicable.

Daily urine output (mL/kg/h) (Outcome 3.20)

Analysis 3.20

One study reported on this outcome in 200 infants (El Mashad 2017). The daily urine output was significantly higher in the paracetamol group compared with the indomethacin group (MD 1.14 (mL/kg/h), 95% Cl 1.04 to 1.24). Tests for heterogeneity were not applicable.

Other outcomes

For the following outcomes the two included studies, Dash 2015 and El Mashad 2017, reported separately on samples of 39 to 200 infants for each outcome. As only one study was included in each analysis, tests for heterogeneity were not applicable. There were no significant differences between the paracetamol (oral or IV) group compared to the indomethacin group (IV) for the following outcomes (for details see the analyses): Renal impairment Analysis 3.2; Severe ROP needing treatment Analysis 3.8; IVH (grades III to IV) Analysis 3.10; Periventricular leukomalacia Analysis 3.11; Oxygen requirement at 28 days of age Analysis 3.12; Oxygen requirement at ≥ 36 weeks' PMA Analysis 3.13; Death Analysis 3.14; Failure to close a PDA after a 2nd course of IV paracetamol versus IV indomethacin Analysis 3.16; and Surgical ligation of PDA Analysis 3.17.

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

The eight studies completed to date have compared paracetamol to ibuprofen (five studies) (Al-Lawama 2017; Dang 2013; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014; Yang 2016); paracetamol to placebo or no intervention (two studies) (Asbagh 2015; Härkin 2016); and paracetamol to indomethacin (two studies) (Dash 2015; El Mashad 2017). One study (El Mashad 2017), compared paracetamol to either ibuprofen or indomethacin. A total of 916 infants have been enrolled in these trials.

Paracetamol appears to be as effective as ibuprofen or indomethacin in closing a PDA after the first course (closure and failure of closure confirmed by echocardiographic criteria), although the trends favoured paracetamol over ibuprofen or indomethacin, and paracetamol over placebo or no intervention. Adverse effects were less common in the paracetamol group compared with the ibuprofen or the indomethacin groups. Gastrointestinal bleed or stools positive for occult blood was significantly less likely to occur in the paracetamol group versus the ibuprofen group. Serum or plasma levels of creatinine were significantly lower in the paracetamol group versus the ibuprofen group and versus the indomethacin group. Urine output was significantly higher in the paracetamol group versus the ibuprofen group and versus the indomethacin group. The platelet counts were significantly higher in the paracetamol group versus the ibuprofen and the indomethacin groups after treatment.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

To date, 916 infants have been enrolled in trials comparing the effectiveness and safety of paracetamol compared to ibuprofen for PDA closure in preterm infants. Larger trials are required to confirm the current promising evidence. Viber and co-workers reported that paracetamol administration during neonatal brain development affects cognitive function and alters its analgesic and anxiolytic response in adult male mice (Viberg 2014). The dose of paracetamol used in mice was similar to that used in the two studies included in this review. In view of the possible negative impact of paracetamol on the developing brain reported in mice (Viberg 2014), the longterm effects of paracetamol used for PDA closure or prevention and treatment of pain need to be studied carefully. In addition, in an ecological study conducted in humans and using country-level data for the period 1984 to 2005, postnatal use of paracetamol was associated with autism or autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (Bauer 2013). In 2017, Bornehag 2017 reported in a study from Sweden of a possible association between maternal prenatal exposure to paracetamol and language delay in 30-month-old girls (parental report of use of fewer than 50 words).

There are at least 19 ongoing trials that would provide additional evidence regarding this topic. The researchers should be encouraged to include pharmacokinetic data to determine optimal dosing regimen, duration of treatment and mode of administration (El-Khuffash 2014). Long-term follow-up should be planned to at least 18 to 24 months and preferably to school age.

Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

Applicability of current evidence regarding similar effectiveness of paracetamol should be appropriately evaluated considering local context. Cost of oral paracetamol is very low compared to intravenous indomethacin or intravenous ibuprofen. Oral paracetamol has a favourable side-effect profile and thus a unit may decide to use paracetamol as primary agent; however, we strongly recommend that neonates should be followed for neurodevelopmental assessment and further information gained about its impact.

Quality of the evidence

Although healthcare providers and researchers were aware of group assignment in most studies, we considered the included trials to be of good quality as the random sequence was computer generated and allocation to the two study groups was in most studies by opaque, sequentially numbered and sealed envelopes. In addition, we were able to obtain unpublished data from several studies (Dang 2013; Dash 2015; Oncel 2014), enabling us to report more accurately on outcomes. The quality of the evidence, using GRADE, was moderate for the primary outcome 'failure of ductal closure after the first course of treatment' in the comparisons of paracetamol versus ibuprofen and versus indomethacin, and moderate for other important outcomes - all cause mortality, GI bleeds, serum creatinine - but low GRADE for neurodevelopmental impairment. The quality of the evidence, using GRADE, was low for 'failure of ductal closure after the first course of treatment' but moderate for death. For the 'failure of ductal closure after the first course of treatment' for paracetamol versus indomethacin, the evidence was moderate according to GRADE.

Potential biases in the review process

We are not aware of any biases in the review process. Neither of the authors is involved in any of the trials included in the review.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

We are aware of three systematic reviews with meta-analyses on the topic (Das 2014; Huang 2017; Terrin 2016). In the previous version of this review (Ohlsson 2015b), we included two trials (Dang 2013; Oncel 2014). Das 2014 included the same two trials as did Terrin 2016. Huang 2017 included five trials of paracetamol versus ibuprofen with 677 neonates enrolled (Bagheri 2016; Dang 2013; El Mashad 2017; Oncel 2014; Yang 2016). We did not include Bagheri 2016 as the study is awaiting classification and to date we have not got a response from the authors. Huang 2017 concluded that "paracetamol may confer comparable treatment efficacy for the closure of PDA as ibuprofen, although paracetamol is associated with lower risk of adverse event". Mitra 2016 have published a protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis of the effectiveness and safety of treatments used for the management of PDA in preterm infants. In the study by Bagheri 2016 the numbers do not add up in Figure 1 and denominators are not presented in Tables 1 and 2. We contacted the authors on two occasions — on 10 January 2017 and again on 18 November 2017 — but did not receive any response. We therefore listed the study as awaiting classification.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

Moderate quality of evidence suggests that paracetamol is as effective in closing a PDA as ibuprofen or indomethacin and is associated with fewer renal and gastrointestinal side effects. Lowquality evidence indicates no difference in neurodevelopmental outcomes of those treated with paracetamol compared to those treated with ibuprofen. Clinicians may prefer to use paracetamol as a primary agent for closure of PDA; however, they need to be aware that concerns have been raised regarding effect of prenatal and postnatal use of paracetamol and developmental disorders and are advised to follow these infants carefully. Further research regarding the effectiveness and safety of paracetamol to close a PDA is needed before the evidence is established or rejected.

Implications for research

Additional larger trials are required to increase the precision of the point estimates for the primary and secondary outcomes included in this review. In view of a report in mice of adverse effects on the developing brain from paracetamol (Viberg 2014), the association between postnatal use of paracetamol and autism and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (Bauer 2013), and prenatal exposure to paracetamol and language delay in girls at 30 months Bornehag 2017, the long-term follow-up to 18 to 24 months' postnatal age and preferably to school-age should be incorporated in any studies of paracetamol to close a PDA or to prevent or treat pain.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Ms Jennifer Spano, Trials Search Co-ordinator, Cochrane Neonatal Review Group, who conducted the literature searches in 2017. We are thankful to Dr Mehmet Yekta Oncel, who provided us with unpublished data from their study (Oncel 2014). We are thankful to Dr Hui Wu, who provided us with unpublished information form their study (Dang 2013). Dr Hamid Hakak translated the article by Asbagh 2015 from Farsi to English. Dr Kabra provided us with clarifying information regarding the trial by Dash 2015.

The Methods section of this review is based on a standard template used by Cochrane Neonatal.

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Al-Lawama 2017 {published data only}

Al-Lawama M, Alammori I, Abdelghani T, Badran E. Oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen for treatment of patent ductus arteriosus. *Journal of International Medical Research* 2017;**46**(2):811-8. [DOI: 10.1177/0300060517722698; PUBMED: 29239259]

Asbagh 2015 {published data only}

Asbagh PA, Zarkesh MR, Nili F, Sadat Nayeri FS, Naeem AT. Prophylactic treatment with oral paracetamol for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants: a randomized clinical trial. *Tehran University Medical Journal* 2015;**73**(2):86-92. [http:// tumj.tums.ac.ir/article-1-6603-en.html]

Dang 2013 {published data only}

Dang D, Wang D, Zhang C, Zhou W, Zhou Q, Wu H. Comparison of oral paracetamol versus ibuprofen in premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus: a randomized controlled trial. *PLos ONE* 2013;**8**(11):e77888. [DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077888; PUBMED: 24223740]

Dash 2015 {published data only}

* Dash SK, Kabra NS, Avasthi BS, Sharma SR, Padhi P, Ahmed J. Enteral paracetamol or intravenous indomethacin for closure of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm neonates: a randomized controlled trial. *Indian Pediatrics* 2015;**52**(7):573-8. [PUBMED: 26244949]

Kabra NS, Dash SK. Comparison of enteral paracetamol and intravenous indomethacin in closure of patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) in preterm newborns: A randomized controlled trial. Pediatric Academic Societies Annual Meeting; 2014 07 17-18; Vienna, Austria. 2014.

El Mashad 2017 {published data only}

El-Mashad AE, El-Mahdy H, El Amrousy DE, Elgendy M. Comparative study of the efficacy and safety of paracetamol, ibuprofen, and indomethacin in closure of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm neonates. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 2017;**176**(2):233-40. [DOI: 10.1007/s00431-016-2830-7; PUBMED: 28004188]

Härkin 2016 {published data only}

Härkin P, Härmä A, Aikio O, Valkama M, Leskinen M, Saarela T, et al. Paracetamol accelerates closure of the ductus arteriosus after premature birth: a randomized trial. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2016;**177**:72-7. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.04.066; PUBMED: 27215779]

Oncel 2014 {published data only}

Oncel MY, Eras Z, Uras N, Canpolat FC, Erdeve O, Oguz SS. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of preterm infants treated with oral paracetamol versus ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus. *American Journal of Perinatology* 2017;**34**(12):1185–9.

* Oncel MY, Yurttutan S, Erdeve O, Uras N, Altug N, Oguz SS, et al. Oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen in the management of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2014;**164**(3):510-4.e1. [PUBMED: 24359938]

Yang 2016 {published data only}

Yang B, Gao X, Ren Y, Wang Y, Zhang Q. Oral paracetamol vs. oral ibuprofen in the treatment of symptomatic patent ductus arteriosus in premature infants: A randomized controlled trial. *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine* 2016;**12**(4):2531-6. [PUBMED: 27698754]

References to studies awaiting assessment

Babaei 2018 {published data only}

Babaei H, Nemati R, Daryoshi H. Closure of patent ductus arteriosus with oral acetaminophen in preterm neonates: A randomized trial. *Biomedical Research and Therapy* 2018;**5**(2):2034-44.

Bagheri 2016 {published data only}

Bagheri MM, Niknafs P, Sabsevari F, Torabi MH, Bijari BB, Noroozi E, et al. Comparison of oral acetaminophen versus ibuprofen in premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus. *Iranian Journal of Pediatrics* 2016;**26**(4):e3975. [DOI: 10.5812/ ijp.3975; PUBMED: 27713809]

Kluckow 2016 {published data only}

* Kluckow MR, Carlisle H, Broom M, Woods P, Jeffery M, Desai D, et al. A randomised blinded placebo controlled trial of paracetamol to treat later PDA. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health* 2016;**52**(Suppl 2):100.

References to ongoing studies

ACTRN12613000289718 {published data only}

ACTRN12613000289718. Paracetamol for patent ductus arteriosus treatment: comparison between oral and intravenous administration. Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (first received 8 March 2013).

ACTRN12616001517460 {published data only}

ACTRN12616001517460. Early paracetamol (EPAR) to promote early closure of the ductus arteriosus in preterm infants. Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (first received 1 November 2016).

ChiCTR-TRC-13003912 {published data only}

ChiCTR-TRC-13003912. Comparison of oral paracetamol versus ibuprofen in premature infants<1500g with patent ductus arteriosus: A randomized controlled trial. Chinese Clinical Trial Register (ChiCTR) (first received 7 December 2013).

CTRI/2016/09/007261 {published data only}

CTRI/2016/09/007261. Oral ibuprofen versus paracetamol on ductus arteriosus [Comparison of oral paracetamol versus ibuprofen for PDA closure in preterms - a randomized controlled single blinded study - IPOD]. apps.who.int/trialsearch/ Trial2.aspx?TrialID=CTRI/2016/09/007261 (first received 8 September 2016).

CTRI/2017/10/009989 {published data only}

CTRI/2017/10/009989. Paracetamol versus ibuprofen for closure of patent ductus arteriosus [Efficacy and safety of oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen in management of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm neonates less than or equal to 34 Weeks or less than or equal to 1800 gms: a randomized control trial - BAP trial]. apps.who.int/trialsearch/Trial2.aspx? TrialID=CTRI/2017/10/009989 (first received 10 October 2017).

CTRI/2017/10/010012 {published data only}

CTRI/2017/10/010012. A clinical trial comparing low dose versus standard dose of intravenous paracetamol for PDA closure in very premature babies [Randomized controlled trial of two different doses of intravenous paracetamol for PDA closure in preterm infants less than 30 weeks]. apps.who.int/trialsearch/ Trial2.aspx?TrialID=CTRI/2017/10/010012 (first received 05 October 2017).

EUCTR2013-003883-30-IT {published data only}

EUCTR2013-003883-30-IT. Efficacy and safety of paracetamol in the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants [Efficacy and safety of paracetamol in comparison to ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus treatment in preterm infants. A randomized, open label, comparator-controlled, prospective study. - Paracetamol in Patent Ductus Arteriosus]. apps.who.int/ trialsearch/Trial2.aspx?TrialID=EUCTR2013-003883-30-IT (first received 12 November 2013).

EUCTR2015-003177-14-ES {published data only}

EUCTR2015-003177-14-ES. Paracetamol versus ibuprofen in preterm infants with a hemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus: a randomized clinical trial. apps.who.int/ trialsearch/Trial2.aspx?TrialID=EUCTR2015-003177-14-ES (first received 20 April 2016).

IRCT2016081729404N1 {published data only}

IRCT2016081729404N1. Safety and efficacy of venous paracetamol with venous ibubrofen in treatment of patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) among premature neonates hospitalized in NICU, Zanjan Ayatollah Musavi Hospital in 2016-2017. http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/Trial2.aspx? TrialID=IRCT2016081729404N1 (first received 9 May 2017).

Kumar 2017 {published data only}

Kumar A, Sundaram V, Yadav R, Oleti TP, Murki S, Krishna A. Oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen for closure of haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus in preterm neonates (<32 weeks): a blinded, randomised, active-controlled, non-inferiority trial. *BMJ Paediatrics Open* 2017;**1**(1):e000143. [DOI: 10.1136/bmjpo-2017-000143; CTRI/2014/08/004805]

NCT01291654 {published data only}

NCT01291654. Paracetamol and patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) [Paracetamol in the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in the premature neonate]. Clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01291654 (first received 6 February 2011).

NCT01938261 {published data only}

NCT01938261. The preterm infants' paracetamol study (PreParaS). Clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01938261 (first received 10 September 2013).

NCT02002741 {published data only}

NCT02002741. Adding paracetamol to ibuprofen for treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants [Adding paracetamol to ibuprofen for treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants: a pilot, double blind, randomized, placebo-control trial]. ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT02002741 (first received 6 December 2013).

NCT02056223 {published data only}

NCT02056223. Paracetamol vs ibuprofen for PDA closure in preterm infants. (PARIDA) [Paracetamol versus ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus closure in preterm infants. A prospective, randomized, controlled, double blind, multicenter clinical trial]. clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT02056223 (first received 10 August 2005).

NCT02422966 {published data only}

NCT02422966. Paracetamol in Patent Ductus Arteriosus [Efficacy and safety of paracetamol in comparison to ibuprofen for Patent Ductus Arteriosus treatment in preterm infants: A randomized, open label, comparator-controlled, prospective study]. clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT02422966 (first received 22 April 2015).

NCT02819414 {published data only}

NCT02819414. Paracetamol treatment of the borderline significant PDA [Time to re-evaluate the kinder gentler approach to patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) in the preterm neonate]. clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT02819414 (first received 30 June 2016).

NCT03008876 {published data only}

NCT03008876. IV acetaminophen and patent ductus arteriosus [The efficacy of IV acetaminophen on patent ductus arteriosus closure in preterm infants]. clinicaltrials.gov/show/ NCT03008876 (first received 4 January 2017).

NCT03103022 {published data only}

NCT03103022. Combination of acetaminophen and ibuprofen in the management of patent ductus arteriosus in premature infants: a pilot study. clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT03103022 (first received 06 April 2017).

NCT03265782 {published data only}

NCT03265782. Paracetamol versus ibuprofen for PDA closure [Comparison between the effect of oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen in the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm and low birth weight infants]. clinicaltrials.gov/show/ NCT03265782 (first received 29 August 2017).



Additional references

APA 2013

American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th Edition. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association, 2013.

Arana 2001

Arana A, Morton NS, Hansen TG. Treatment with paracetamol in infants. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica* 2001;**45**(1):20-9. [PUBMED: 11152028]

Avella-Garcia 2016

Avella-Garcia CB, Julvez J, Fortuny J, Rebordosa C, García-Esteban R, Galán IR, et al. Acetaminophen use in pregnancy and neurodevelopment: attention function and autism spectrum symptoms. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2016;**45**(6):1987-96. [DOI: 10.1093/ije/dyw115; PUBMED: 27353198]

Bauer 2013

Bauer AZ, Kriebel D. Prenatal and perinatal analgesic exposure and autism: an ecological link. *Environmental Health* 2013;**12**(41):1-13. [DOI: 10.1186/1476-069X-12-41; PUBMED: 23656698]

Bauer 2018

Bauer AZ, Kriebel D, Herbert MR, Bornehag CG, Swan SH. Prenatal paracetamol exposure and child neurodevelopment: A review. *Hormones and Behavior* 2018;**S0018-506X**(17):30454-3. [DOI: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2018.01.003; PUBMED: 29341895]

Bell 1978

Bell MJ, Ternberg JL, Feigin RD, Keating JP, Marshall R, Barton L, et al. Neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis. Therapeutic decisions based upon clinical staging. *Annals of Surgery* 1978;**187**(1):1-7. [PUBMED: 413500]

Bornehag 2017

Bornehag CG, Reichenberg A, Hallerback MU, Wikstrom S, Koch HM, Jonsson BA, et al. Prenatal exposure to acetaminophen and children's language development at 30 months. *European Psychiatry* 2018;**S0924-9338**(17):32989-9. [DOI: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2017.10.007; PUBMED: 29331486]

Botting 2000

Botting RM. Mechanism of action of acetaminophen: is there a cyclooxgygenase 3?. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2000;**31**(Suppl 5):S202-10. [DOI: 10.1086/317520; PUBMED: 11113024]

Clyman 2000

Clyman R. Ibuprofen and patent ductus arteriosus. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;**343**(10):728-30. [DOI: 10.1056/ NEJM200009073431009; PUBMED: 10974138]

Das 2014

Das RR, Arora K, Naik SS. Efficacy and safety of paracetamol versus ibuprofen for treating patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants: Ameta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Neonatology* 2014;**3**(4):183-90.

Edwards 1990

Edwards AD, Wyatt JS, Richardson C, Potter A, Cope M, Delpy DT, et al. Effects of indomethacin on cerebral haemodynamics in very preterm infants. *Lancet* 1990;**335**(8704):1491-5. [PUBMED: 1972434]

Ehrenkranz 2005

Ehrenkranz RA, Walsh MC, Vohr BR, Jobe AH, Wright LL, Fanaroff AA, et al. National Institutes of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Validation of the National Institutes of Health consensus definition of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Pediatrics* 2005;**116**(6):1353-60. [DOI: 10.1542/peds.2005-0249; PUBMED: 16322158]

El-Khuffash 2014

El-Khuffash A, Jain A, Corcoran D, Shah PS, Hooper CW, Brown N, et al. Efficacy of paracetamol on patent ductus arteriosus closure may be dose dependent: evidence from human and murine studies. *Pediatric Research* 2014;**76**(3):238-44. [DOI: 10.1038/pr.2014.82; PUBMED: 24941212]

Fowlie 2010

Fowlie PW, Davis PG, McGuire W. Prophylactic intravenous indomethacin for preventing mortality and morbidity in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 7. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000174.pub2]

GRADEpro GDT [Computer program]

McMaster University (developed by Evidence Prime). GRADEpro GDT. Version accessed 21 March 2018. Hamilton (ON): McMaster University (developed by Evidence Prime), 2015.

Grèen 1989

Grèen K, Drvota V, Vesterqvist O. Pronounced reduction of in vivo prostacyclin synthesis in humans by acetaminophen (paracetamol). *Prostaglandins* 1989;**37**(3):311-5. [PUBMED: 2664901]

Hammerman 1995

Hammerman C. Patent ductus arteriosus. Clinical relevance of prostaglandins and prostaglandin inhibitors in PDA pathophysiology and treatment. *Clinics in Perinatology* 1995;**22**(2):457-79. [PUBMED: 7671547]

Hammerman 2011

Hammerman C, Bin-Nun A, Markovitch E, Schimmel MS, Kaplan M, Fink D. Ductal closure with paracetamol: a surprising new approach to patent ductus arteriosus treatment. *Pediatrics* 2011;**128**(6):e1618-21.

Higgins 2003

Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;**327**(7414):557-60. [DOI: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557; PUBMED: 12958120]

Higgins 2017

Higgins JP, Green S, editor(s). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.2.0 (updated June 2017). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2017. Available from training.cochrane.org/handbook.



Huang 2017

Huang X, Wang F, Wang K. Paracetamol versus ibuprofen for the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm neonates: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Journal of Maternal-fetal & Neonatal Medicine 2017 Jul 18 [Epub ahead of print]. [DOI: 10.1080/14767058.2017.1338263; PUBMED: 28720053]

ICCROP 2005

International Committee for the Classification of Retinopathy of Prematurity. The International Classification of Retinopathy of Prematurity revisited. *Archives of Ophthalmology* 2005;**123**(7):991-9. [DOI: 10.1001/archopht.123.7.991; PUBMED: 16009843]

Jobe 2001

Jobe AH, Bancalari E. Bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2001;**163**(7):1723-9. [DOI: 10.1164/ajrccm.163.7.2011060; PUBMED: 11401896]

Kessel 2014

Kessel I, Waisman D, Lavie-Nevo K, Golzman M, Lorber A, Rotschild A. Paracetamol effectiveness, safety and blood level monitoring during patent ductus arteriosus closure: a case series. *Journal of Maternal-fetal & Neonatal Medicine* 2014;**27**(16):1719-21. [DOI: 10.3109/14767058.2013.871630; PUBMED: 24460433]

Lee 2000

Lee SK, McMillan DD, Ohlsson A, Pendray M, Synnes A, Whyte R, et al. Variations in practice and outcomes in the Canadian NICU network 1996-1997. *Pediatrics* 2000;**106**(5):1070-9. [PUBMED: 11061777]

Maisels 2003

Maisels MJ, Watchko JF. Treatment of jaundice in low birthweight infants. *Archives of Disease in Childhood. Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 2003;**88**(6):F459-63. [PUBMED: 14602690]

Mathew 1998

Mathew R. Development of the pulmonary circulation: metabolic aspects. In: Polin RA, Fox WW editor(s). Fetal and Neonatal Physiology. Vol. **1**, Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders, 1998:924-9.

Mitra 2016

Mitra S, Florez ID, Tamayo ME, Aune D, Mbuagbaw L, Veroniki AA, et al. Effectiveness and safety of treatments used for the management of patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) in preterm infants: a protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2016;**6**(7):e011271. [DOI: 10.1136/ bmjopen-2016-011271; PUBMED: 27456327]

Nadir 2014

Nadir E, Kassem E, Foldi S, Hochberg A, Feldman M. Paracetamol treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants. *Journal of Perinatology* 2014;**34**(10):748-9. [DOI: 10.1038/jp.2014.96; PUBMED: 24854626]

Naulty 1978

Naulty CM, Horn S, Conry J, Avery GB. Improved lung compliance after ligation of patent ductus arteriosus in hyaline membrane disease. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1978;**93**(4):682-4. [PUBMED: 702251]

NLM 2012

U.S. National Library of Medicine. Drug Information Portal. druginfo.nlm.nih.gov/drugportal (accessed 21 March 2018).

Ohlsson 1993

Ohlsson A, Bottu J, Govan J, Ryan ML, Fong K, Myhr T. Effect of indomethacin on cerebral blood flow velocities in very low birth weight neonates with patent ductus arteriosus. *Developmental Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 1993;**20**(1-2):100-6. [PUBMED: 7924757]

Ohlsson 2011

Ohlsson A, Shah SS. Ibuprofen for the prevention of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm and/or low birth weight infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011, Issue 7. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004213.pub3]

Ohlsson 2015a

Ohlsson A, Walia R, Shah SS. Ibuprofen for the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight (or both) infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 2. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003481.pub6; PUBMED: 23633310]

Palmer 2008

Palmer GM, Atkins M, Anderson BJ, Smith KR, Culnane TJ, McNally CM, et al. I.V. acetaminophen pharmacokinetics in neonates after multiple doses. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 2008;**101**(4):523-30. [DOI: 10.1093/bja/aen208; PUBMED: 18628265]

Papile 1978

Papile LA, Burstein J, Burstein R, Koffler H. Incidence and evolution of subependymal and intraventricular hemorrhage: a study of infants with birth weights less than 1,500 gm. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1978;**92**(4):529-34. [PUBMED: 305471]

Peterson 1985

Peterson RG. Consequences associated with nonnarcotic analgesics in the fetus and newborn. *Federation Proceedings* 1985;**44**(7):2309-13. [PUBMED: 3884385]

Review Manager 2014 [Computer program]

Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager 5 (RevMan 5). Version 5.3. Copenhagen: Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014.

Sakhalkar 1992

Sakhalkar VS, Merchant RH. Therapy of symptomatic patent ductus arteriosus in preterms with mefenemic acid and indomethacin. *Indian Pediatrics* 1992;**29**(3):313-8. [PUBMED: 1612672]

Schünemann 2013

Schünemann H, Brożek J, Guyatt G, Oxman A, editor(s). Handbook for grading the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations using the GRADE approach (updated October 2013). GRADE Working Group, 2013. Available from gdt.guidelinedevelopment.org/app/handbook/handbook.html.

Seyberth 1983

Seyberth HW, Rascher W, Hackenthal R, Wille L. Effect of prolonged indomethacin therapy on renal function and selected vasoactive hormones in very-low-birth-weight infants with symptomatic patent ductus arteriosus. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1993;**103**(6):979-84. [PUBMED: 6358443]

Simbi 2002

Simbi KA, Secchieri S, Rinaldo M, Demi M, Zanardo V. In utero ductal closure following near-term maternal selfmedication with nimesulide and acetaminophen. *Journal* of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 2002;**22**(4):440-1. [DOI: 10.1080/01443610220141489; PUBMED: 12521476]

Sinah 2013

Sinah R, Negi V, Dalal SS. An interesting observation of PDA closure with oral paracetamol in preterm neonates. *Journal of Clinical Neonatology* 2013;**2**(1):30-2. [DOI: 10.4103/2249-4847.109245; PUBMED: 24027742]

Sundaram 2011

Sundaram SS, Alonso EM, Narkewicz MR, Zhang S, Squires RH, Pediatric Acute Liver Failure Study Group. Characterization and outcomes of young infants with acute liver failure. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2011;**159**(5):813-8.e1. [DOI: 10.1016/ j.jpeds.2011.04.016; PUBMED: 21621221]

Terrin 2014

Terrin G, Conte F, Scipione A, Bacchio E, Conti MG, Ferro R. Efficacy of paracetamol for the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm neonates. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics* 2014;**40**(1):21. [DOI: 10.1186/1824-7288-40-21; PUBMED: 24555510]

Terrin 2016

Terrin G, Conte F, Oncel MY, Scipione A, McNamara PJ, Simons S, et al. Paracetamol for the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm neonates: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood. Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 2016;**101**(2):F127-36. [DOI: 10.1136/archdischild-2014-307312; PUBMED: 26283668]

Van Loon 2011

Van Loon RL, Roofthooft MT, Hillege HL, ten Harkel AD, Van Osch-Gevers M, Delhaas T, et al. Pediatric pulmonary hypertension in the Netherlands, Epidemiology and

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

characterization during the period 1991 to 2005. *Circulation* 2011;**124**(16):1755-64. [DOI: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.110.969584; PUBMED: 21947294]

Viberg 2014

Viberg H, Eriksson P, Gordh T, Fredriksson A. Paracetamol (acetaminophen) administration during neonatal brain development affects cognitive function and alters its analgesic and anxiolytic response in adult male mice. *Toxicological Sciences* 2014;**138**(1):139-47. [DOI: 10.1093/toxsci/kft329; PUBMED: 24361869]

Walls 2007

Walls L, Baker CF, Sarkar S. Acetaminophen-induced hepatic failure with encephalopathy in a newborn. *Journal of Perinatology* 2007;**27**(2):133-5. [DOI: 10.1038/sj.jp.7211641; PUBMED: 17262050]

Weir 1999

Weir FJ, Ohlsson A, Myhr TL, Fong K, Ryan ML. A patent ductus arteriosus is associated with reduced middle cerebral artery blood flow velocity. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 1999;**158**(6):484-7. [PUBMED: 10378397]

Wolf 1989

Wolf WM, Snover DC, Leonard AS. Localized intestinal perforation following intravenous indomethacin in premature infants. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery* 1989;**24**(4):409-10. [PUBMED: 2732888]

Ystrom 2017

Ystrom E, Gustavson K, Brandlistuen RE, Knudsen GP, Magnus P, Susser E. Prenatal exposure to acetaminophen and risk of ADHD. *Pediatrics* 2017;**140**(5):e20163840. [DOI: 10.1542/ peds.2016-3840; PUBMED: 29084830]

Yurttutan 2013

Yurttutan S, Oncel MY, Arayici S, Uras N, Altug N, Erdeve O, et al. A different first-choice drug in the medical management of patent ductus arteriosus: oral paracetamol. *Journal of Maternal-fetal & Neonatal Medicine* 2013;**26**(8):825-7. [DOI: 10.3109/14767058.2012.755162; PUBMED: 23205872]

References to other published versions of this review

Ohlsson 2015b

Ohlsson A, Shah PS. Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low-birth-weight infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010061.pub2]

* Indicates the major publication for the study



Al-Lawama 2017						
Methods	Randomised controlled pital, Amman, Jordan.	d study conducted in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit of Jordan University Hos- Study period: from March 2015 to October 2016				
Participants	Inclusion criteria: prete haemodynamically sig	erm infants with a gestational age of \leq 32 weeks or birth weight of \leq 1500 g and a nificant PDA diagnosed by ECHO.				
	Exclusion criteria: duct 3 to 4 intraventricular h 1.5 mg/dL), pulmonary transaminase concentr	al-dependent congenital heart diseases, major congenital malformation, grade naemorrhage, renal impairment (defined as a creatinine concentration of > haemorrhage, thrombocytopenia of < 60,000/mm ³ , and an elevated alanine ration				
Interventions	The oral paracetamol group (n = 13) received 10 mg/kg/dose followed by 1 to 2 mL of 0.9% saline every 6 h for 3 days).					
	The oral ibuprofen group (n = 9) received 10 mg/kg/dose followed by 1 to 2 mL of 0.9% saline once dai for 3 days. (10 mg/kg/day for 3 days).					
	The researchers used the same dose for the 3-day course to minimize errors					
Outcomes	Primary outcome: mor	tality, primary PDA closure.				
	Secondary outcomes: s Grade 1 to 2, IVH Grade	secondary PDA closure, pulmonary haemorrhage, BPD, Sepsis, NEC, ROP, IVH 3 to 4, PVL				
Notes						
Pisk of higs						
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement				
Bias Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement Randomised by computer to receive either oral paracetamol or oral ibuprofen				
Bias Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Authors' judgement Low risk Low risk	Support for judgement Randomised by computer to receive either oral paracetamol or oral ibuprofen Randomisation numbers were placed inside sequentially numbered opaque envelopes				
Bias Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Authors' judgement Low risk Low risk High risk	Support for judgement Randomised by computer to receive either oral paracetamol or oral ibuprofen Randomisation numbers were placed inside sequentially numbered opaque envelopes There were different scheduling regimens for paracetamol and ibuprofen, so staff were not blinded to the drugs administered				
Bias Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Authors' judgement Low risk Low risk High risk Unclear risk	Support for judgement Randomised by computer to receive either oral paracetamol or oral ibuprofen Randomisation numbers were placed inside sequentially numbered opaque envelopes There were different scheduling regimens for paracetamol and ibuprofen, so staff were not blinded to the drugs administered It is not stated whether the person conducting ECHO cardiography was blinded to the treatment or not				
Bias Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Authors' judgement Low risk Low risk Unclear risk Low risk Low risk	Support for judgement Randomised by computer to receive either oral paracetamol or oral ibuprofen Randomisation numbers were placed inside sequentially numbered opaque envelopes There were different scheduling regimens for paracetamol and ibuprofen, so staff were not blinded to the drugs administered It is not stated whether the person conducting ECHO cardiography was blinded to the treatment or not All randomised infants are accounted for				
Bias Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Authors' judgement Low risk Low risk Unclear risk Unclear risk Unclear risk	Support for judgement Randomised by computer to receive either oral paracetamol or oral ibuprofen Randomisation numbers were placed inside sequentially numbered opaque envelopes There were different scheduling regimens for paracetamol and ibuprofen, so staff were not blinded to the drugs administered It is not stated whether the person conducting ECHO cardiography was blindeed to the treatment or not All randomised infants are accounted for The study was registered: ISRCTN12302923 DOI 10.1186. The study was registered in retrospect when it was completed, so we cannot judge if there were any deviations from the original protocol				
Asbagh 2015						
---------------	--					
Methods	Randomised controlled trial conducted in the Neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) of Vali-Asr Hospital, Tehran, Iran. Study period March 2012 to March 2013					
Participants	Inclusion criteria: infants \leq to 32 weeks' PMA and birth weight \leq 1500 g and $<$ 24 h old					
	Exclusion criteria: infants with congenital heart disease that required a PDA to survive, major congeni- tal malformations, history of NSAID use in the mother, hydrops fetalis, PPHN, Apgar score < 5 at 5 min, symptomatic PDA requiring treatment with ibuprofen, vomiting or hematemesis in the first 3 days of life, G6PD positive					
Interventions	The prophylaxis group (n = 16) received oral paracetamol for a period of 2 days starting during the first 24 h of life. Infants received acetaminophen drops 15 mg/kg every 6 h for 48 h (8 doses). The control group (n = 16) received no intervention or placebo. ECHOs were performed 24 to 36 h after the last given dose in the prophylaxis group and on the 4th and 5th day in the control group					
Outcomes	Primary outcome: failure to close a PDA. PDA was diagnosed by ECHO: internal diameter > 1.5 mm, LA/ AO ratio > 1.3.					
	Secondary outcomes: death; need for treatment with ibuprofen					
Notes	In the following situations, infants were removed from the study: if the infant died, if the infant was dis- charged, if the infant needed ibuprofen or if the infant developed PPHN. We contacted the correspond- ing author Dr. Zarkesh on 30 November 2017 to get clarifying information, but by 26 March 2018 we had not received a response.					

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Random number tables were used
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	No information presented
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	No information presented
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	The ECHOs were performed by a cardiologist but no information provided if the assessor was blinded
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcomes reported on all enrolled infants
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	The study protocol was not available to us so we cannot judge if there were any deviations or not
Other bias	Low risk	Appears free of other bias



Dang 2013			
Methods	Randomised controlled May 2012 to 30 March 2	d trial conducted in the First Hospital of Jilin University, China. Study period 21 2013	
Participants	Inclusion criteria: PMA namically significant P	≤ 34 weeks; postnatal age < 14 days; echocardiographic diagnosis of haemody- DA	
	Exclusion criteria: cong ing infection; recent (w 1 mL/kg/h during the p perbilirubinaemia requ liver dysfunction	genital heart disease which required PDA to maintain blood flow; life-threaten- vithin the previous 24 h) intraventricular haemorrhage, Grade 3–4; urine output < preceding 8 h; serum creatinine > 88.4 mmol/L; platelet count of < 50 × 10 ⁹ /L; hy- uiring exchange transfusion; active necrotizing NEC and/or intestinal perforation;	
Interventions	Eighty infants received ceived oral ibuprofen a doses of oral ibuprofer ter (D5W) as that given a second course of trea minor ductal shunting treatment was given.	l oral paracetamol at the dose of 15 mg/kg every 6 h for 3 days, and 80 infants re- at the initial dose of 10 mg/kg followed by 5 mg/kg after 24 and 48 h. Between n, infants of the ibuprofen group received the same volume of dextrose 5% in wa- for drug administration in the paracetamol group. Whether a subject received atment depended on echocardiography evaluation after the first course. If only was present after 2 courses without the need for respiratory support, no further	
Outcomes	Failure of PDA closure, NICHD criteria: Jobe 20 MRI), NEC (Bell staging uria (< 1 mL/kg/h), sep serum bilirubin level hi body weight), serum cr	all-cause mortality, re-opening of the ductus arteriosus, BPD (according to 001), IVH (Grade I to IV; Grade I to II, Grade III to IV), PVL (diagnosed by cranial criteria – Grade IIa and above), gastrointestinal bleed, ROP (any stage), oligsis (positive blood culture), hyperbilirubinaemia according to Maisels 2003 – a igher than the exchange transfusion level according to the postnatal age and reatinine (µmol/L) following treatment.	
Notes	In the report, although references were provided for BPD and hyperbilirubinaemia, it was not possible to ascertain exactly what criteria the authors applied. Sepsis was not defined. We wrote to the authors requesting clarification. We received a response and their definitions are included for the outcomes listed above.		
	Funded by Jilin Depart	ment of Health	
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Computer-generated randomisation table (according to the published proto- col)	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Quote: "The participants were randomly assigned at a 1:1 ratio between oral paracetamol and ibuprofen groups by using cards in sealed opaque en- velopes"	
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Quote: "doctors and nurses were not blind"	
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Quote: "doctors and nurses were not blind"	
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Results reported on an intention-to-treat basis which included patients who did not receive the complete course of treatment	

Cochrane Library	Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.	Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews	
Dang 2013 (Continued)			
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	The study was entered in the Chinese Clinical Trial Register (http://www.chic- tr.org/cn). Registration number ChiCTR-TRC-12002177 and approved by the Hospital Ethics Committee of the First Hospital of Jilin University. There do not appear to be any deviations in study conduct between the study protocol and the publication	
Other bias	Low risk	Appears free of other sources of bias	
Dash 2015			
Methods	Open-label randor private hospital in	nised controlled trial conducted in a level III neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) of a Mumbai, India. Study period: March 2012 to September 2013	
Participants	Inclusion criteria: p in the first 48 h of l across the duct and	preterm infants with birth weight ≤1500 g and echocardiography performed with- ife demonstrating PDA size ≥1.5 mm at the narrowest diameter, left to right shunt d ratio of the diameter of the left atrium to that of the aortic root (LA:AO) > 1.5:1.	
	Exclusion criteria: congenital heart di kidney), dysmorph velopment, materr h prior to giving bir talis, and infant no	inability to administer the study drug within 48 h of birth, structural duct-dependent isease, renal disease (such as multicystic dysplastic kidney and polycystic disease of ic features or congenital anomalies likely to affect life expectancy or neurologic de- nal tocolytic therapy with indomethacin or another prostaglandin inhibitor within 72 rth, overt clinical bleeding at more than 1 site, platelet count < 50 × 10 ⁹ /L, hydrops fe- t considered viable.	
Interventions	The paracetamol group (n = 38) received paracetamol drops through an infant feeding tube at a dose of 15 mg/kg/dose 4 times daily for 7 days (28 doses).		
	The indomethacin normal saline to m per study protocol if clinical evaluatio symptoms such as	group (n = 39) received IV indomethacin at a dose of 0.2 mg/kg/dose, diluted with ake 5 mL solution and infused over 20 mins by syringe pump once daily for 3 days. As , 2 additional extra doses of indomethacin were allowed in the indomethacin group, n after 3 doses showed persistence of PDA as demonstrated by clinical signs and tachycardia, wide pulse pressure and persistent murmur.	
Outcomes	Primary outcome: flow in the ductus	PDA closure; (the PDA was considered to be closed if there was no evidence of any arteriosus on echocardiographic and Doppler flow assessment).	
	Secondary outcom 0.5 mL/kg/h) over a gastro-intestinal b aspirates, necrotis orrhage was diagn screen was defined respectively, early- lected in first 72 h o culture collected a tional classification belled as severe RO Papile grading syst quirement of supp chronic lung diseas	hes: death, renal impairment defined as presence of either oliguria (urine output of < a 6 h period or serum creatinine levels more than twice the age appropriate norms, leeding defined as the presence of blood-stained or coffee ground brown gastric ing enterocolitis (NEC) diagnosed as per modified Bell's staging; pulmonary haem- osed if a blood tinged tracheal aspirate was obtained; early- and late-onset sepsis d as positive C-reactive protein (CRP) before and after first 72 h of life (CRP > 6 mg/L), onset sepsis defined as isolation of pathogenic organism from a blood culture col- of life, late-onset sepsis defined as isolation of pathogenic organism from a blood fter first 72 h of life, retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) classified as per the Interna- n of retinopathy — ROP needing either laser or anti-VEGF (Avastin) therapy was la- DP. Grading of intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) was performed according to the tem, and features of periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) were assessed, as was re- lemental oxygen at 28 days of postnatal age. Bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD)/ se (CLD) was defined by the need for supplemental oxygen at 36 weeks' PMA.	
Notes	We requested addi about IVH, PVL and from the same stud	tional information from Dr. Kabra on 30 November 2017 and obtained information I NEC. Dr. Kabra confirmed that an abstract at a meeting in Vienna was a publication dy. We received the responses on 3 December 2017.	
Risk of bias			



Dash 2015 (Continued)

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Random allocation software was used by a statistician, who was not part of the study
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes were used
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	The study was open label and study drugs were given over different length of time. Paracetamol was given through a feeding tube and indomethacin was given IV.
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Outcomes were not assessed blinded to treatment groups
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcomes were reported on all randomised infants. 2 deaths occurred in each group prior to follow-up ECHO on day 7
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	The study was registered — Trial Registration No: CTRI/2012/12/003163 — but this was a retrospective registration so we cannot ascertain if there were deviations from the original protocol or not.
Other bias	Low risk	Appears free of other bias

El Mashad 2017

Methods	Randomised controlled trial in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) of Tanta University Hospital Pe- diatric Department, Tanta, Egypt	
	Study period: January 2012 to December 2015	
Participants	Preterm neonates with PMA < 28 weeks or birth weight < 1500 g in the first 2 weeks of life with haemo- dynamically significant PDA (hs-PDA) diagnosed with ECHO and clinical examination	
Interventions	Experimental intervention:	
	Group I (paracetamol group): 100 neonates received 15 mg/kg IV infusion paracetamol over 30 min fol- lowed by 15 mg/kg/6 h IV infusion for 3 days. Dilution of paracetamol was required in neonates that weighed less than 1000 g using glucose 5% or sodium chloride 9% to achieve concentration of 2 mg/mL	
	Group II (Ibuprofen group): 100 neonates received 10 mg/kg IV infusion ibuprofen followed by 5 mg/kg/ day for 2 days	
	Group III (Indomethacin group): 100 neonates received 0.2 mg/kg indomethacin IV infusion over 30 min for 3 doses 12 h apart	
Outcomes	Primary outcome: failure to close the PDA	
	Secondary outcome: surgical ligation, ROP, GI bleeding, NEC, pulmonary haemorrhage, IVH, sepsis, dai- ly urine output, serum creatinine, serum bilirubin, and platelet count	
Notes		

Risk of bias



El Mashad 2017 (Continued)

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Random allocation software was used for random sequence generation. The random number list was generated by QuickCalc GraphPad Software Inc
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Allocation concealment was done by sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	The neonate was enrolled into the respective group by the doctor on duty who was not blinded and not a part of the study. All other treating staff and out- come assessors were blinded to the treatment group. This doctor might have spoken to the staff about the allocation. Drugs were given at different times and duration, thus precluding blinding
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Echocardiography was done by a paediatric cardiologist who was blinded – so low risk for PDA assessment
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcomes reported on all randomised infants
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	The study was not registered in a trials registry so we cannot judge if there were any deviations from a protocol
Other bias	Low risk	Appears free of other bias

Härkin 2016

Methods	Randomised controlled trial conducted in the neonatal intensive care unit of Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland. Study period: 18 September 2013 to 2 January 2015		
Participants	Very low gestational age (< 32 weeks) infants requiring intensive care (n = 48). All infants had a PDA di- agnosed by ECHO before the study drug was given and then an ECHO was performed once a day until 1 day after the study medication period		
Interventions	The paracetamol group (n = 23) received an IV loading dose of 20 mg/kg, given within 24 h of birth, fol- lowed by a maintenance dose of 7.5 mg/kg every 6 h for 4 days, given as 15-min infusions The placebo group (n= 25) received 0.45% NaCl IV		
Outcomes	Primary outcomes: decrease in ductal calibre without side effects; and failure to close a PDA by 4 to days		
	Secondary outcomes: persistent PDA treated, oliguria (< 1 mL/kg/h), polyuria (> 5 mL/kg/h), hyperna- traemia (> 150 mmol/L), sepsis, supplemental oxygen at 28 days, supplemental oxygen at 36 weeks' PMA, ROP treated, IVH grades 1 to 2, IVH grades 3 to 4, NEC stage 3, death, days of supplemental oxy- gen, highest serum bilirubin (μmol/L)		
Notes			
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement		

Härkin 2016 (Continued)

Cochrane

Librarv

Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Computed randomisation was performed using a 4-block design
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	The treatment allocation codes were sealed in sequentially labelled opaque envelopes. Both paracetamol and saline solutions appeared equally transparent in the syringe
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	All nurses and doctors involved in the treatment and study of the infants were blinded to the study medication
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	A separate team of nurses prepared the study drug in a study pharmacy out- side NICU. The drug was given to the study patient's nurse in a syringe
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcomes reported for all randomised infants
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	The study was registered in ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01938261; European Clinical Trials Database: EudraCT 2013-008142-33. No deviations from the protocols were noted
Other bias	Low risk	Appears free of other bias

Oncel 2014

Methods	Randomised controlled trial conducted in the neonatal intensive care unit of Zekai Tahir Burak Materni- ty Teaching Hospital, Ankara,Turkey. Study period February to December 2012		
Participants	90 infants with a gestational age ≤ 30 weeks, birth weight ≤ 1250 g, postnatal age 48 to 96 h, and 1 of the following echocardiographic criteria: a duct size > 1.5 mm, a left atrium-to-aorta ratio > 1.5, end diastolic reversal of blood flow in the aorta, or poor cardiac function in addition to clinical signs of a PDA		
	Exclusion criteria were: the presence of major congenital abnormalities, right-to-left ductal shunting, life-threatening infection, Grade III or Grade IV IVH, urine output of less than 1 mL/kg/h during the pre- ceding 8 h, serum creatinine level > 1.6 mg/dL, platelet count < 60,000/mm ³ , liver failure, hyperbilirubi- naemia requiring exchange transfusion, and persistent pulmonary hypertension		
Interventions	45 infants received oral paracetamol at a dose of 15 mg/kg every 6 h for 3 days and 45 infants received oral ibuprofen at an initial dose of 10 mg/kg followed by 5 mg/kg at 24 and 48 h. Both paracetamol and ibuprofen were administered via an orogastric tube, which was flushed with 1 to 2 mL of sterile water to ensure delivery of the drug		
Outcomes	Failure of PDA closure, all-cause mortality, surgical closure of the PDA, duration of ventilator support, pulmonary haemorrhage, increase in grade of IVH, NEC, gastrointestinal bleed, ROP (requiring laser treatment), oliguria (not defined), sepsis (clinical symptoms and signs of sepsis and a positive blood bacterial culture), serum creatinine, bilirubin, AST and ALT, duration of hospitalisation.		
	In 2017 the authors published neurodevelopmental outcomes of the infants enrolled in this trial; they reported on 30 children in the paracetamol group and 31 children in the ibuprofen group.		
	They reported on neurodevelopmental impairment, MDI < 70, PDI < 70, moderate to severe cerebral palsy, blindness, deafness and MDI and PDI at 18 to 24 months corrected age.		



Oncel 2014 (Continued)

Notes

We contacted Dr Oncel and he provided us with data for additional outcomes not reported in the published paper. In addition he provided outcome data for all 90 randomised infants

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Sequential numbers were generated at the computer centre of the NICU (infor- mation provided by the authors)
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	The patients were randomly assigned to a treatment group by cards in sequen- tially numbered sealed opaque envelopes
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Paracetamol and ibuprofen were given according to different schedules and therefore it is likely that healthcare providers were not blinded to the drug the infant was given. The authors write: "the intervention was not completely blinded because of the different number of doses per day of the drugs. How- ever, the most important outcome—PDA closure—was made by a cardiologist who was blinded to the treatment groups. Second, safety outcomes should have been defined more clearly before the study started to prevent overesti- mation in evaluation"
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Paracetamol and ibuprofen were given according to different schedules and therefore it is likely that health care providers were not blinded to the drug the infant was given. The authors write: "the intervention was not completely blinded because of the different number of doses per day of the drugs. How- ever, the most important outcome—PDA closure—was made by a cardiologist who was blinded to the treatment groups. Second, safety outcomes should have been defined more clearly before the study started to prevent overesti- mation in evaluation"
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	90 infants were randomised, and we received outcome data for the 10 infants (5 in ibuprofen group and 5 in paracetamol group) who died before the treat- ment was completed. Thus we received outcome data on an intention-to-treat basis for all 90 randomised infants
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	The trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov — NCT01536158 — and there does not seem to be any deviations between the protocol and the full publication
Other bias	Low risk	Appears free of other bias

Yang 2016	
Methods	Randomised controlled trial conducted at the Neonatal Ward of the Affiliated Xuzhou Hospital of Med- ical College of Southeast University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, China
	Study period from October 2012 to June 2015
Participants	Preterm infants with PMA < 37 weeks and admitted to hospital within 24 h after birth. A significant PDA diagnosis was made between 15 h to 10 days after birth and confirmed through ECHO to be a significant PDA. Diagnostic criteria of echocardiography were: i) left atrial: aortic root diameter ratio, (LA:Ao) > 1.4; ii) pulmonary artery diastolic back flow (reflux); and iii) PDA vessel diameter > 1.4 mm
Interventions	The paracetamol group (n = 44) received 15 mg/kg acetaminophen administered orally once every 6 h for 3 days

Library

Yang 2016 (Continued)

	The ibuprofen group (r lowed by 5 mg/kg duri	n = 43) received 10 mg/kg ibuprofen administered orally as the initial dose, fol- ng the first 24 h and 48 h later
Outcomes	Primary outcome: failu	ire of primary ductal closure
	Secondary outcomes: NEC, BPD (PMA not sta (µmol/L), glutamic-pyr	oliguria (< 1 mL/kg/h, stools positive for occult blood, IVH (grade not stated), ted), plasma PGE ₂ (ng/L), urine PGE ₂ (ng/L), platelet count (x10 ⁹ /L), serum Cr ruvic transaminase (U/L)
Notes	Patients were excluded	d from the study if:
	i) patients presented with any of the following medication contraindications such as thrombocytope- nia (blood platelet count < 50 × 10 ⁹ /L), haemorrhagic disease, oliguria (urine volume per 8 h < 8 mL/kg), necrotizing colitis, intestinal perforation, high serum creatinine (> 159.1 µmol/L), and alanine amino- transferase (> 40 U/L) levels;	
	ii) patients had conger	nital heart diseases such as ventricular septal defect, complex heart disease;
	iii) patients had incom	plete treatment or wished to depart from the study due to personal reasons.
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	A computer-generated random number table was used
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	No information provided
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	The dosing/timing schedule differed between the 2 drugs, so staff must have known which drug was given
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	It is not stated that the ECHOs were performed by a person blind to the treat- ment groups
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	All outcomes reported for all randomised infants
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	The authors do not indicate that the study was registered in a trials registry at the protocol stage so we cannot judge if there were any deviations from the protocol or not
Other bias	Low risk	Appears free of other bias

Characteristics of studies awaiting assessment [ordered by study ID]

Babaei 2018

Methods

Randomised controlled trial

Babaei 2018 (Continued)	
Participants	Sixty-nine neonates with PMA < 34 weeks and postnatal age < 14 days with significant PDA (con- firmed through echocardiography), who had contraindications for ibuprofen and indomethacin were recruited
Interventions	The paracetamol group (n = 36) received oral paracetamol at a dose of 15 mg/kg/dose every 6 hours for 72 hours. The control group (n = 33) did not receive any intervention. After 72 hours, both groups were re-evaluated by echocardiography. In case of failed closure of the PDA, the second course of treatment with paracetamol was administrated
Outcomes	Primary outcome: the rate of closure of PDA Secondary outcomes: the side effects of acetaminophen
Notes	This study was published on February 28, 2018, after our review had been submitted to the Cochrane Neonatal Editorial Office. The results will be included in the next update of the review

Bagheri 2016	
Methods	Randomised controlled trial
Participants	Preterm infants with PMA < 37 weeks, postnatal age \leq 14 days and with echocardiographically diagnosed PDA with a ductus size of > 1.5 mm and a left atrium to aorta ratio of > 1.2
Interventions	The paracetamol group received oral paracetamol 15 mg/kg every 6 h for 3 days. The ibuprofen group received oral ibuprofen 20 mg/kg as an initial dose followed by 10 mg/kg after 24 and 48 h
Outcomes	Primary outcome: rates of ductal closure on echocardiography after the complete course of both drugs
	Secondary outcomes: the safety of the drugs and adverse events (e.g. oliguria, IVH, tendency of bleeding, NEC, death). 160 infants were enrolled in the study, but outcomes are reported on 129 infants — 31 infants were excluded. Outcomes reported on 67 infants in the acetaminophen group and 62 in the ibuprofen group
Notes	We contacted the corresponding author on 10 January 2017 to obtain clarifying information at fasabzvari@gmail.com and again on 18 November 2017. We have not received a response, and therefore we have excluded the trial from the update of the review, as we need more clarifying information. It is not stated what are the denominators for the Clinical Characteristics in Table 1

Kluckow 2016	
Methods	Randomised blinded placebo controlled trial
Participants	Preterm infants born at < 33 weeks' PMA with a haemodynamically significant PDA (diameter > 1.5 mm with clinical symptoms). were treated with a 5-day course of oral paracetamol or placebo
Interventions	Infants were treated with a 5-day course of oral paracetamol (n = 27) or placebo (n = 28)
Outcomes	Primary outcome: ductal closure by 48 h after treatment completion and decrease in size > 25% was a secondary outcome
Notes	By early January 2018 the study has only been reported in abstract form, with insufficient informa- tion to allow us to include the study in our update of the review.



BPD = Bronchopulmonary dysplasia CLD = Chronic lung disease CRP = C-reactive protein ECHO = Echocardiogram IVH = Intraventricular haemorrhage LA/AO ratio = Left atrium/aorta ratio MDI = Mental Developmental Index MRI = Magnetic resonance imaging NEC = Necrotizing enterocolitis NICHD = National Institute of Child Health and Development NSID = Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs PDA = Patent ductus arteriosus PDI = Psychomotor Developmental Index PMA = Post Menstrual Age PPHN = Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension of the Newborn PVL = Periventricular leukomalacia ROP = Retinopathy of Prematurity

Characteristics of ongoing studies [ordered by study ID]

ACTRN12613000289718

Trial name or title	Paracetamol for patent ductus arteriosus treatment: comparison between oral and intravenous ad- ministration
Methods	Randomised controlled trial. Blinded (masking used). Researchers assessing ductal closure by ECHO will be unaware for study aims and group assignment
Participants	Neonates with PMA < 32 weeks and birth weight < 1500 g and with ECHO evidence of PDA and con- traindication to or failure of conventional medical therapy with COX inhibitors (ibuprofen or in- domethacin) Age 1 to 5 days
Interventions	Intravenous paracetamol at a loading dose of 20 mg/kg, followed by 7.5 mg/kg every 6 h for 7 days. If ductal closure evaluated by colour-Doppler ultrasound occurs before the 7th day of treatment, therapy will be discontinued Paracetamol at 15 mg/kg by oral route, every 6 h for 7 days. If ductal closure evaluated by colour- Doppler ultrasound occurs before the 7th day of treatment, therapy will be discontinued
Outcomes	Ductal closure, assessed by colour-Doppler ultrasound at 7 days after allocation to the intervention Mortality by 42 weeks' PMA
Starting date	April 2013
Contact information	Prof Gianluca Terrin, Department of Gynecology-Obstetrics and Perinatal Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy. (gianluca.terrin@uniroma1.it)
Notes	

ACTRN12616001517460	
Trial name or title	Early PARacetamol (EPAR) to promote early closure of the ductus arteriosus in preterm infants
Methods	Not clearly stated

ACTRN12616001517460 (Continued)

Participants	Preterm infants < 6 h old. Born at < 29 weeks' PMA with PDA (ductus arteriosus characteristics – patent > 1 mm – < 30% right to left shunt)
Interventions	"Early treatment of patent ductus arteriosus with paracetamol and to examine the safety and effi- cacy profile of paracetamol during the early postnatal period. We hypothesise that early treatment with paracetamol will reduce the number of infants requiring intervention for PDA and that the use of paracetamol in preterm infants with a patent ductus arteriosus will result in a higher rate of duc- tal closure compared with placebo. We also aim to show that paracetamol can be used safely in preterm infants during the early postnatal period".
Outcomes	Closure of PDA (not clearly defined)
Starting date	November 2016
Contact information	DR. Timothy Schindler, Department of Newborn Care Royal Hospital for Women Barker St Rand- wick NSW 2031, Australia (tschindl@med.usyd.edu.au)
Notes	

ChiCTR-TRC-13003912	
Trial name or title	Comparison of oral paracetamol versus ibuprofen in premature infants < 1500g with patent ductus arteriosus: A randomised controlled trial
Methods	Randomised parallel controlled trial
Participants	1. Birth weight < 1500 g; 2. postnatal age within 14 days; 3. Continuous positive airway pressure with FiO ₂ more than 25%; 4. Echocardiographic criteria for PDA included an increased left atrial di- ameter compared with the aortic root (left atrium to aortic root ratio higher than 1.4), OR visualiza- tion of the ductus (more than 1.5 mm), AND evidence of left to right blood flow through the open duct
Interventions	Oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen
Outcomes	Primary: the rate of ductal closure; side effects
Starting date	The study was executed between 21 October 2013 and 21 October 2015
Contact information	Wu Hui, Department of Neonatology, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China (wuhui97@126.com)
Notes	Retrospective registration. To our knowledge the study has not been published.

CTRI/2016/09/007261	
Trial name or title	Comparison of oral paracetamol versus ibuprofen for PDA closure in preterms – a randomised con- trolled single blinded study
Methods	Randomised, parallel group trial
Participants	Preterm neonates with 1. Congestive cardiac failure 2. Mechanical ventilation 3. LA/Aortic root ratio > 1.5. 4. Postnatal age 0 to 28 days.

CTRI/2016/09/007261 (Continued)

Interventions	Paracetamol 15 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly for 2 days by oral/orogastric route
	Ibuprofen 10 mg/kg initially followed by 5 mg/kg once daily for 2 days by oral/orogastric route
Outcomes	Echocardiographic closure of PDA 24 h after completion of course
	Secondary outcomes: cardio/respiratory morbidity and mortality by 28 days, growth and neurode- velopment by 1 year of age
Starting date	September 2014
Contact information	Dr. B Bharathi, Department of Neonatology, Pondicherry, India
Notes	Trial registered retrospectively

CTRI/2017/10/009989

Trial name or title	Efficacy and safety of oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen in management of patent ductus ar- teriosus in preterm neonates less than or equal to 34 weeks or less than or equal to 1800 g: A ran- domised control trial
Methods	Randomised open label controlled trial
Participants	Preterm neonates born at ≤ 34 weeks or birth weight ≤ 1800 g with haemodynamically significant PDA. Postnatal age 0 to 28 days.
Interventions	Paracetamol will be given at 15 mg/kg 8-hourly for 3 days
	3 doses ibuprofen will be given at 24 h interval at a dose of 10, 5, 5 mg/kg for neonates younger than 70 h; 14, 7, 7 mg/kg for neonates between 70 and 108 h; and 18, 9, 9 mg/kg for neonates more than 108 h
Outcomes	All-cause mortality, duration of hospitalisation, duration of mechanical ventilation or nasal con- tinuous positive airway pressure (nCPAP) use or duration of need for supplementary oxygen, IVH, PVL, NEC, intestinal perforation, gastrointestinal bleed, oliguria (urine output 1 mL/kg/h), acute re- nal failure, acute liver injury, hyperbilirubinaemia, sepsis, BPD, ROP, pulmonary haemorrhage, pul- monary hypertension.
Starting date	Not indicated
Contact information	Vivek Kumar Athwani, Room No. 47, Doctor hostel, SPS Hospitals, Sherpur Chowk, GT Road Ludhi- ana, Punjab, India (vathwani@gmail.com)
Notes	

CTRI/2017/10/010012	
Trial name or title	Randomised controlled trial of 2 different doses of intravenous paracetamol for PDA closure in preterm infants less than 30 weeks
Methods	Randomised controlled trial (computer-generated randomisation; sequentially numbered, sealed, opaque envelopes; participant, investigator and outcome assessor blinded)

CTRI/2017/10/010012 (Continued)

Participants	Preterm infants with a) PMA < 28 weeks or b) between 28 and 30 weeks on invasive mechanical ven- tilation or on CPAP with FiO_2 requirements more than 35% AND having 2D echocardiographic evi- dence of haemodynamically significant PDA (duct size more than 1.5 mm narrowest internal diam- eter, left atrium/aorta ratio more than 1.5, left to right flow across shunt, reversal of flow in distal aorta diagnosed at 18 to 24 h of life. Postnatal age 0 to 3 days
Interventions	Higher dose paracetamol group: this group will receive intravenous paracetamol in dosage of 15 mg/kg/dose 4 times a day for 5 days
	Lower dose paracetamol group: This group will receive intravenous paracetamol in dosage of 10 mg/kg/dose 4 times a day for 3 days
Outcomes	Primary outcome: PDA closure rate in low dose and high dose paracetamol group. PDA closure is defined as absence of flow through the ductus
	Secondary outcomes: all-cause mortality, BPD, duct reopening rate, duration of hospital stay, IVH, NEC, days on assisted ventilation and oxygen therapy, PVL, rate of adverse effects (increased serum creatinine (more than 1 mg%), oliguria (urine output less than 0.5 mL/kg/h for 6 h), increased transaminases level (more than 2 × ULN), thrombocytopenia (platelet count less than 100,000/mm ³), gastrointestinal haemorrhage), requirement of ibuprofen/indomethacin, requirement of multiple courses/higher doses, ROP requiring treatment (injection Avastin or laser) and surgical ligation rate.
Starting date	December 2017
Contact information	Dr Vaibhav Jain, Department of Neonatology, Surya Childrens Medicare, Mumbai (Suburban), Ma- harashtra, India (vaibhavjain100989@gmail.com)
Notes	Paracetamol will be given for different lengths of time — 5 days and 3 days. How will staff be blind- ed to the 2 treatment regimens?

EUCTR2013-003883-30-IT	
Trial name or title	Efficacy and safety of paracetamol in comparison to ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus treat- ment in preterm infants. A randomised, open label, comparator-controlled, prospective study
Methods	Randomised open label controlled trial of paracetamol versus ibuprofen
Participants	Preterm newborn infants (< 37 weeks' PMA)
Interventions	Paracetamol and ibuprofen
Outcomes	Primary end-point: success rate in closing PDA using paracetamol in comparison to ibuprofen after the first 3 days of treatment.
	Secondary endpoints: number of re-openings at 30 days; success rate in closing PDA after the sec- ond treatment course of ibuprofen as rescue medication; success rate of closing PDA after the first day and the second day of the first treatment course; incidence of surgical ligation at 30 days; in- cidence of renal failure, liver failure, gastrointestinal complications (including isolated intestinal perforation) at 30 days; incidence of death at 30 days and at 40 weeks' post conception; incidence of sepsis at 30 days; hospital-stay duration in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit; occurrence of adverse events at 30 days
Starting date	November 2013
Contact information	Name of Sponsor: Aziende Chimiche Riunite Angelini Francesco ACRAF S.p.A, Italy



EUCTR2013-003883-30-IT (Continued)

Notes

EUCTR2015-003177-14-ES	
Trial name or title	Paracetamol versus ibuprofen in preterm infants with a haemodynamically significant patent duc- tus arteriosus: a randomised clinical trial
Methods	Randomised double-blind trial
Participants	Preterm infants with PMA < 30 weeks and postnatal age < 2 weeks with significant PDA diagnosed by ultrasound
Interventions	Paracetamol (IV) versus ibuprofen (IV)
Outcomes	Main objective of the trial: to compare the efficacy of the standard treatment of PDA with ibupro- fen versus paracetamol in closing the patent ductus arteriosus, to determine its non-inferiority to ibuprofen. Secondary objectives of the trial: 1. To compare the safety of both treatments by the rate of early and late complications. 2. Set the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of parac- etamol in the neonatal period in infants with persistent ductus. 3. Study of biomarkers and poly- morphisms in urine
Starting date	2015
Contact information	Not provided
Notes	

IRCT2016081729404N1	
Trial name or title	Safety and efficacy of venous paracetamol with venous ibuprofen in treatment of patent ductus ar- teriosus (PDA) among premature neonates hospitalised in NICU, Zanjan Ayatollah Musavi Hospital in 2016 to 2017
Methods	Randomised non-blinded trial
Participants	Neonate \leq 34 weeks with PDA diagnosis by echocardiography
Interventions	15 infants received paracetamol 15 mg/kg every 6 h for 3 days
	15 infants received ibuprofen with a dose of 10 mg/kg in the first day and 5 mg/kg in the following second and third days
Outcomes	PDA size before and after treatment measured with echocardiography by paediatric cardiologist
Starting date	March 2017
Contact information	Dr. Abolfazl Ebadi, Musavi hospital , Gavazang, Zanjan, Iran
Notes	Recruitment complete

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Kumar 2017

Trial name or title	Oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen for closure of haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus in preterm neonates (< 32 weeks): a blinded, randomised, active-controlled, non-inferi- ority trial
Methods	Multi-site, randomised, active-controlled, blinded, non-inferiority trial
Participants	Preterm neonates of < 32 weeks' PMA with presence of a haemodynamically significant PDA
Interventions	Paracetamol oral suspension administered through an orogastric tube in a dose of 15 mg/kg/dose at 6-hourly intervals for 3 consecutive days
	Ibuprofen oral suspension (Ibugesic, Cipla India) would be administered through orogastric tubes in a dose of 10 mg/kg/dose followed by 5 mg/kg/dose after 24 and 48 h from the first dose.
Outcomes	Primary outcome: closure of PDA by the end of the last dose of the study drug or earlier, irrespec- tive of the course of the drug
	Secondary outcomes: closure of PDA following a single course of study drug, closure of PDA follow- ing surgical ligation, death (due to any cause) before discharge from the hospital, reopening of PDA following initial closure, ECHO-proven pulmonary artery hypertension, azotaemia, oliguria, hepati- tis with deranged liver transaminases, deranged coagulogram, IVH (any grade of severity), severe IVH (grade 3 and intraparenchymal extension), PVL, NEC (all stages), NEC (definite and advanced stage as per modified Bell's staging), feed intolerance, BPD and ROP
Starting date	
Contact information	
Notes	

NCT01291654	
Trial name or title	Paracetamol and patent ductus arteriosus (PDA)
Methods	Randomised controlled trial
Participants	Preterm infants with a haemodynamically significant PDA
Interventions	Group 1: paracetamol orally at a dose of 15 mg/kg every 6 h × 3 days.
	Group 2: indomethacin intravenously 0.2 mg/kg/dose for 3 doses
Outcomes	Primary outcome: closure of the ductus within 3 days.
	Secondary outcomes: absence of peripheral vasoconstriction, Doppler flow velocity in the anterior cerebral artery, superior mesenteric artery and renal artery before and after pharmacological treat- ment, absence of hepatotoxicity
Starting date	6 February 2011
Contact information	Cathy Hammerman, Shaare Zedek Medical centre, Israel. cathy@cc.huji.ac.il
Notes	ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01291654



NCT01938261

Trial name or title	The preterm infants' paracetamol study (PreParaS)
Methods	Randomised controlled, double-blind trial
Participants	Preterm infants < 32 weeks' PMA
Interventions	Paracetamol infusion solution 10 mg/mL (Perfalgan®) or placebo, 0.45% saline solution. The load- ing dose is 20 mg/kg, and the maintenance dose 7.5 mg/kg every 6 h for 4 days
Outcomes	Primary outcome: ductus diameter mm/kg at postnatal age 5 days. Cumulative dose of morphine at postnatal age 5 days.
	Secondary outcomes: number of patients who received any treatment for PDA prescribed by an at- tending clinician, postnatal age at closure of PDA, left atrium to aorta ratio, number of apneic peri- ods/day, cumulative NIAPAS screening score/day up to 5 days' postnatal age, duration of mechan- ical ventilation, long-term morbidity diagnoses, deaths, paracetamol side effects, paracetamol serum concentrations (up to 5 days' postnatal age)
Starting date	22 August 2013
Contact information	Outi Aikio, University of Oulu, Finland; outi.aikio@ppshp.fi
Notes	ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01938261

NCT02002741

Trial name or title	Adding paracetamol to ibuprofen for treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm infants
Methods	Randomised double-blind controlled trial
Participants	Preterm infants born at 24 to 37 weeks' PMA, diagnosis of haemodynamically significant PDA, med- ical staff decided to treat with ibuprofen
Interventions	Group 1: ibuprofen + paracetamol (Ibuprofen 10 mg/kg once, then 5 mg/kg twice, every 24 h for a total of 3 doses and intravenous paracetamol loading dose 20 mg/kg then 10 mg/kg every 6 h for a total of 12 doses)
	Group 2: ibuprofen + placebo (ibuprofen 10 mg/kg once, then 5 mg/kg twice, every 24 h for a total of 3 doses and placebo (NaCl 0.9%), intravenous, at equal volume to the paracetamol in the paracetamol arm, total of 12 doses given every 6 h)
Outcomes	Primary outcome: the incidence of patent ductus arteriosus closure 3 to 21 days after first dose of ibuprofen by echocardiography. The need for surgical ligation of PDA. Secondary outcomes: adverse effects until discharge home – renal and liver function, gastrointestinal complications
Starting date	February 2014
Contact information	o_hochwald@rambam.health.gov.il
Notes	ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02002741

Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

NCT02056223

Trial name or title	Paracetamol versus ibuprofen for PDA closure in preterm infants. (PARIDA)
Methods	A prospective, randomised, controlled, double-blind, multicenter clinical trial.
Participants	Preterm neonates ≤ 31 + 6 days weeks' PMA with HsPDA
Interventions	Group A: experimental boluses of paracetamol at 15 mg/kg 4 times a day for 3 consecutive days.
	Group B: standard boluses of ibuprofen at 10 - 5 - 5 - mg/kg/dose once a day for 3 consecutive days.
Outcomes	The rate of ductal closure after the first and second course of pharmacological treatment. (PDA di- agnosed by ECHO criteria) in paracetamol versus ibuprofen group. Oliguria, IVH, NEC
Starting date	9 January 2017
Contact information	Contact: Paola Lago, MD; Sabrina Salvadori, MD
Notes	

NCT02422966 Trial name or title Efficacy and safety of paracetamol in comparison to ibuprofen for Patent Ductus Arteriosus treatment in preterm infants: A randomised, open label, comparator-controlled, prospective study. Methods Randomised open label controlled trial with parallel assignment Participants 1. Male or female preterm infants with no limitation of race. 2. PMA 25(+ 0) to 31(+ 6) weeks. 3. Age 24 to 72 h. 4. Echocardiographic evidence of haemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus at the first 24 to 72 h of life. The diagnosis of haemodynamically significant PDA requiring treatment will be made by echocardiographic demonstration of a ductal left-to-right shunt, with a left atrium-toaortic root ratio > 1.3 or a ductal size > 1.5 mm and excluding the cases in which the closing flow pattern suggests a restrictive PDA. 5. Willingness of the parents/legally authorized representative to sign the Consent Informed Form. Interventions Paracetamol IV solution 15 mg/kg (corresponding to 1.5 mL/kg) per dose every 6 h for 3 days, for a total amount of 12 doses Active Comparator: ibuprofen IV solution at an initial dose of 10 mg/kg, followed by 5 mg/kg at 24 and 5 mg/kg at 48 h intervention Outcomes Success rate in closing PDA using paracetamol in comparison to ibuprofen. Incidence of surgical ligation, death, sepsis, renal failure, liver failure, gastrointestinal complications, length of stay in the NICU Starting date December 2015 Contact information Paola Lipone (p.lipone@angelini.it) and Alessandra Del Vecchio (a.delvecchio@angelini.it) Notes

NCT02819414

Cochrane

Library

Trusted evidence.

Better health.

Informed decisions.

Trial name or title	Time to re-evaluate the kinder gentler approach to patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) in the preterm neonate
Methods	Randomised quadruple-masked trial (participant, care provider, investigator, outcomes assessor)
Participants	Preterm neonates < 30 weeks' PMA with a PDA of borderline significance
Interventions	Paracetamol drops 15 mg/kg/dose × 4/day diluted 1:15 yielding dose of 2.25 mL/kg/dose to be giv- en for 3 days
Outcomes	Primary outcomes: to demonstrate a decrease in the composite outcome of death or severe mor- bidity chronic lung disease (CLD), as shown by decreased time on supplemental oxygen and assist- ed ventilation.
	Secondary outcomes: to demonstrate a decrease in subsequently diagnosed haemodynamically significant PDA, including decrease in the need for subsequent therapy for PDA closure, decrease in surgical PDA ligations; to demonstrate a decrease in necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) and/or ROP with treatment and to demonstrate no adverse effect on blood flow in anterior cerebral, superior mesenteric and renal arteries.
Starting date	June 2016
Contact information	Cathy Hammerman, Shaare Zedek Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel
Notes	

NCT03008876	
Trial name or title	The efficacy of IV acetaminophen on patent ductus arteriosus closure in preterm infants
Methods	Randomised open label controlled trial
Participants	Preterm infants with 23 to 30 weeks' PMA and a PDA requiring treatment
Interventions	IV acetaminophen versus IV ibuprofen
Outcomes	Rate of PDA closure (time frame: 3 days)
Starting date	January 2017
Contact information	Kate Tauber MD, Albany Medical College, USA (tauberk@mail.amc.edu)
Notes	

NCT03103022

Trial name or title	Combination of acetaminophen and ibuprofen in the management of patent ductus arteriosus in premature infants: A pilot study
Methods	Randomised open label controlled trial
Participants	1. Infant with PMA 23 to 30 weeks at birth and birth weight between 500 and 1000 g 2. Postnatal age ≤ 14 days

NCT03103022 (Continued)	 Hemodynamically significant PDA as defined by any of the following: increased ventilator support attributed by the clinician to be due to PDA; hypotension and/or widening pulse pressure requir- ing vasopressors; signs of congestive heart failure such as pulmonary congestion Echocardiographic criteria: ratio of the smallest ductal diameter to the ostium of the left pul- monary artery > 0.5
Interventions	 Oral acetaminophen (160 mg/5 mL concentration) administered every 6 h with dose of 15 mg/kg/ dose for a total of twelve doses Oral ibuprofen (100 mg/5 mL) at 10 mg/kg/dose on first day followed by 5 mg/kg/dose at 24 and 48 h for a total of 3 doses
Outcomes	Ductal closing rate (time frame: within 24 to 48 h after completion of treatment). To determine the ductal closure rate on echocardiography after completion of a first treatment course.
Starting date	12 June 2017
Contact information	Dr. Sanket D Shah (sanket.shah@jax.ufl.edu)
Notes	

NCT03265782

Trial name or title	Comparison between the effect of oral paracetamol versus oral ibuprofen in the treatment of patent ductus arteriosus in preterm and low birth weight infants
Methods	Randomised open label trial with parallel assignment
Participants	PMA ≤ 35 weeks, age 2 to 7 days with colour Doppler echocardiographic evidence of PDA, urine out- put more than 1 mL/kg/h and creatinine concentration level less than 1.8 mg/dl
Interventions	Ibuprofen administered with a loading dose of 10 mg/kg/day followed by 5 mg/kg/day in 2 doses with 24 h apart for 3 days
	Paracetamol drug administered for 3 consecutive days in a dose of 15 mg/kg/dose every 6 h
Outcomes	Echo-confirmed closure of PDA (time frame: 6 days)
Starting date	June 2015
Contact information	Rania Ali El-Farrash, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
Notes	

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV)

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Failure of ductal closure after the first course of treatment	5	559	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.75, 1.21]
2 Neurodevelopmental impairment	1	61	Risk Difference (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)	-0.02 [-0.25, 0.21]
3 All-cause mortality during initial hospital stay	3	272	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.55, 1.67]
4 Neonatal mortality (deaths during the first 28 days of life)	1	90	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.17 [0.43, 3.20]
5 Infant mortality (death during the first year of life)	1	90	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.14 [0.45, 2.89]
6 Re-opening of the ductus arteriosus	2	143	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.04 [0.50, 2.18]
7 Surgical closure of the PDA follow- ing treatment failure with paraceta- mol or ibuprofen	2	290	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.68 [0.35, 1.32]
8 Duration of ventilator support (days)	1	90	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-4.15 [-8.63, 0.33]
9 Pulmonary haemorrhage	3	312	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.63 [0.23, 1.74]
10 Pulmonary hypertension	1	90	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.33 [0.01, 7.97]
11 Duration for need of supplemen- tary oxygen (days)	1	90	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-12.40 [-22.97, -1.83]
12 BPD at 28 days	1	90	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.79 [0.46, 1.35]
13 BPD at 36 weeks' PMA	1	90	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.71 [0.38, 1.30]
14 Moderate to severe BPD (accord- ing to the new criteria)	1	160	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.8 [0.22, 2.87]
15 Severe BPD (according to the new criteria)	1	90	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.63 [0.32, 1.23]
16 Intraventricular haemorrhage (grade I-IV)	5	559	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.77, 1.23]
17 Severe IVH (Grade III-IV)	3	272	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.30, 3.37]
18 Periventricular leukomalacia	3	272	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.36, 2.76]



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
19 Necrotizing enterocolitis	5	559	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.88 [0.46, 1.70]
20 Intestinal perforation	1	90	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
21 Gastrointestinal bleed or stools positive for occult blood	4	537	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.28 [0.12, 0.69]
22 Retinopathy of prematurity - any stage	4	472	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.71 [0.42, 1.23]
23 Retinopathy of prematurity stage ≥ 3	1	90	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.43 [0.12, 1.55]
24 Retinopathy of prematurity requir- ing laser therapy	1	90	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.43 [0.12, 1.55]
25 Sepsis	4	472	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.88 [0.64, 1.21]
26 Oliguria (< 1 mL/kg/h)	3	337	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.46 [0.20, 1.10]
27 Serum levels of creatinine after treatment µmol/L	4	537	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-8.92 [-11.28, -6.55]
28 Serum levels of aspartate transaminase (AST) IU/L	1	90	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	4.20 [-1.83, 10.23]
29 Serum levels of alanine amino- transferase (ALT) (IU/L)	1	90	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	4.0 [-3.58, 11.58]
30 Serum bilirubin following treat- ment (μmol/L)	2	290	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-11.25 [-13.88, -8.62]
31 Hyperbilirubinaemia (serum biliru- bin level higher than the exchange level according to the postnatal age and BW)	1	160	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.57 [0.34, 0.97]
32 Duration of hospitalisation (days)	1	90	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-6.5 [-21.42, 8.42]
33 MDI < 70	1	61	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.41, 2.59]
34 PDI < 70	1	61	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.33, 3.21]
35 Moderate to severe cerebral palsy	1	61	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.07 [0.41, 10.46]
36 Deafness	1	62	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.35 [0.02, 8.39]



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
37 Blindness	1	62	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.35 [0.02, 8.39]
38 MDI	1	61	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.40 [-8.19, 7.39]
39 PDI	1	61	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.20 [-7.44, 7.04]
40 BPD (age not stated)	3	269	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.87 [0.39, 1.95]
41 Plasma PGE ₂ (ng/L)	1	87	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	12.60 [0.39, 24.81]
42 Urine PGE ₂ (ng/L)	1	87	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	23.90 [2.78, 45.02]
43 Platelet count (x10 ⁹ /L)	2	287	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	30.18 [16.55, 43.81]
44 Glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (U/ L)	1	87	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.60 [-1.84, 3.04]
45 Failure of PDA closure after the 2nd course of IV paracetamol versus IV ibuprofen	2	49	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.79 [0.51, 1.21]
46 Daily urine output (mL/kg/hour)	1	200	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.55 [0.41, 0.69]

Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 1 Failure of ductal closure after the first course of treatment.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		М-Н	, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Al-Lawama 2017	4/13	2/9				_		2.58%	1.38[0.32,6.02]
Dang 2013	35/80	42/80			-			45.91%	0.83[0.6,1.15]
El Mashad 2017	20/100	23/100						25.14%	0.87[0.51,1.48]
Oncel 2014	16/45	14/45			+			15.3%	1.14[0.64,2.05]
Yang 2016	13/44	10/43			+			11.06%	1.27[0.63,2.58]
Total (95% CI)	282	277			•			100%	0.95[0.75,1.21]
Total events: 88 (Paracetamol), 91	(Ibuprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.02, c	lf=4(P=0.73); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.41(P=0.6	9)								
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	



Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 2 Neurodevelopmental impairment.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Risk Difference				Weight	Risk Difference
	n/N	n/N		M-H	I, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Oncel 2014	9/30	10/31						100%	-0.02[-0.25,0.21]
Total (95% CI)	30	31						100%	-0.02[-0.25,0.21]
Total events: 9 (Paracetamol), 10 (Ibu	uprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.19(P=0.85)	1								
	Favo	urs paracetamol	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 3 All-cause mortality during initial hospital stay.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Al-Lawama 2017	3/13	2/9		11.06%	1.04[0.22,5.01]
Dang 2013	10/80	12/80	— <mark>—</mark> —	56.17%	0.83[0.38,1.82]
Oncel 2014	8/45	7/45	_ -	32.77%	1.14[0.45,2.89]
Total (95% CI)	138	134		100%	0.96[0.55,1.67]
Total events: 21 (Paracetamol), 21	(Ibuprofen)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.27,	df=2(P=0.87); I ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.15(P=0.	88)			1	
	E		0.01 0.1 1 10	100	

Favours paracetamol 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours ibuprofen

Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 4 Neonatal mortality (deaths during the first 28 days of life).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	7/45	6/45						100%	1.17[0.43,3.2]
Total (95% CI)	45	45			-			100%	1.17[0.43,3.2]
Total events: 7 (Paracetamol), 6 (Ibu	profen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.3(P=0.76)									
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 5 Infant mortality (death during the first year of life).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol n/N	lbuprofen n/N		Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl				Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Oncel 2014	8/45	7/45			-			100%	1.14[0.45,2.89]
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol n/N	lbuprofen n/N		M-H	Risk Ratio I, Fixed, 95%	сі		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
	45	45						100%	1 14[0 45 2 00]
10tal (95% CI)	45	45						100%	1.14[0.45,2.89]
Total events: 8 (Paracetamol), 7 (Ibup	rofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.28(P=0.78)									
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 6 Re-opening of the ductus arteriosus.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Risk I	Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dang 2013	5/45	6/38					57.38%	0.7[0.23,2.13]
Oncel 2014	7/29	5/31		-	-		42.62%	1.5[0.53,4.19]
Total (95% CI)	74	69					100%	1.04[0.5,2.18]
Total events: 12 (Paracetamol), 11 (lbuprofen)							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.96, d	f=1(P=0.33); I ² =0%							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.11(P=0.9)	1)							
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01 0).1 1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 7 Surgical closure of the PDA following treatment failure with paracetamol or ibuprofen.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
El Mashad 2017	12/100	17/100						89.47%	0.71[0.36,1.4]
Oncel 2014	1/45	2/45			+	_		10.53%	0.5[0.05,5.32]
Total (95% CI)	145	145			-			100%	0.68[0.35,1.32]
Total events: 13 (Paracetamol), 19	(Ibuprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.08, o	df=1(P=0.78); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.13(P=0.2	26)								
	Fave	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen

(ora	l or IV), Ou	tcome 8 Durat	ion of ventilator support (d	ays).

Study or subgroup	Par	acetamol	Ibuprofen		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	ixed, 95% (21			Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	45	4.7 (4)	45	8.8 (14.8)						100%	-4.15[-8.63,0.33]
Total ***	45		45				•			100%	-4.15[-8.63,0.33]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					1						
			Favours	paracetamol	-40	-20	0	20	40	Favours ibupro	fen



Study or subgroup	Par	Paracetamol Ibuprofen Mea		n Differen	ce		Weight Mean Difference			
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% Cl			I		Fixed, 95% CI
Test for overall effect: Z=1.82(P=0.07)								1	1	
			Favours	s paracetamol	-40	-20	0	20	40	Favours ibuprofen

Analysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 9 Pulmonary haemorrhage.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M	H, Fixed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Al-Lawama 2017	1/13	1/9			+			12.87%	0.69[0.05,9.68]
El Mashad 2017	2/100	5/100						54.46%	0.4[0.08,2.01]
Oncel 2014	3/45	3/45				_		32.67%	1[0.21,4.69]
Total (95% CI)	158	154						100%	0.63[0.23,1.74]
Total events: 6 (Paracetamol), 9 (Ib	uprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.65, c	lf=2(P=0.72); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.89(P=0.3	8)								
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 10 Pulmonary hypertension.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio					Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fix	ed, 95% C	I			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	0/45	1/45				_		100%	0.33[0.01,7.97]
Total (95% CI)	45	45				-		100%	0.33[0.01,7.97]
Total events: 0 (Paracetamol), 1 (Ibup	rofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.68(P=0.5)									
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.11. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 11 Duration for need of supplementary oxygen (days).

Study or subgroup	Par	acetamol	mol Ibuprofen		Mean Difference			nce		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95% (CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	45	23.1 (16.7)	45	35.5 (32.1)						100%	-12.4[-22.97,-1.83]
Total ***	45		45							100%	-12.4[-22.97,-1.83]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.3(P=0.02)											
			Favours	paracetamol	-40	-20	0	20	40	Favours ibup	rofen

Analysis 1.12. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 12 BPD at 28 days.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Oncel 2014	15/45	19/45						100%	0.79[0.46,1.35]
Total (95% CI)	45	45			•			100%	0.79[0.46,1.35]
Total events: 15 (Paracetamol), 19 (Ib	ouprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.86(P=0.39)	1								
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.13. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 13 BPD at 36 weeks' PMA.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	1	M-H, Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	12/45	17/45					100%	0.71[0.38,1.3]
Total (95% CI)	45	45		•			100%	0.71[0.38,1.3]
Total events: 12 (Paracetamol), 17 (Ib	ouprofen)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.11(P=0.27)								
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01 0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.14. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 14 Moderate to severe BPD (according to the new criteria).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95%	СІ			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Dang 2013	4/80	5/80		_				100%	0.8[0.22,2.87]
Total (95% CI)	80	80		-				100%	0.8[0.22,2.87]
Total events: 4 (Paracetamol), 5 (Ibup	orofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.34(P=0.73)	1		1						
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.15. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 15 Severe BPD (according to the new criteria).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-I	H, Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	10/45	16/45						100%	0.63[0.32,1.23]
Total (95% CI)	45 6 (Ibuprofen)	45			•			100%	0.63[0.32,1.23]
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol n/N	lbuprofen n/N	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl					Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.37(P=0.17)									
		Favours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.16. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 16 Intraventricular haemorrhage (grade I-IV).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		I	Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		М-Н,	Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Al-Lawama 2017	7/13	2/9			++			3.79%	2.42[0.65,9.09]
Dang 2013	9/80	10/80			-+-			16.02%	0.9[0.39,2.1]
El Mashad 2017	5/100	7/100		_	-+			11.22%	0.71[0.23,2.18]
Oncel 2014	36/45	39/45			-			62.49%	0.92[0.77,1.11]
Yang 2016	5/44	4/43			+			6.48%	1.22[0.35,4.25]
Total (95% CI)	282	277			•			100%	0.97[0.77,1.23]
Total events: 62 (Paracetamol), 62 ((lbuprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.59, d	lf=4(P=0.63); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.23(P=0.8	1)								
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.17. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 17 Severe IVH (Grade III-IV).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Al-Lawama 2017	0/13	0/9							Not estimable
Dang 2013	3/80	3/80		_	-	-		60%	1[0.21,4.81]
Oncel 2014	2/45	2/45						40%	1[0.15,6.79]
Total (95% CI)	138	134						100%	1[0.3,3.37]
Total events: 5 (Paracetamol), 5 (I	buprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=	=1(P=1); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Not applica	ble								
	Fave	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.18. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 18 Periventricular leukomalacia.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Risk Rati	0		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixed, 9	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Al-Lawama 2017	0/13	0/9						Not estimable
Dang 2013	6/80	5/80		<mark></mark>			71.43%	1.2[0.38,3.77]
Oncel 2014	1/45	2/45					28.57%	0.5[0.05,5.32]
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01 0.	1 1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol n/N	lbuprofen n/N		M-I	Risk Ratio H, Fixed, 959	% CI		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total (95% CI)	138	134						100%	1[0.36,2.76]
Total events: 7 (Paracetamol), 7 (Ibu	ıprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.43, df	f=1(P=0.51); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable	e								
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.19. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 19 Necrotizing enterocolitis.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95%	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Al-Lawama 2017	3/13	2/9				_		13.57%	1.04[0.22,5.01]
Dang 2013	3/80	2/80		-	+			11.48%	1.5[0.26,8.74]
El Mashad 2017	3/100	6/100						34.44%	0.5[0.13,1.94]
Oncel 2014	3/45	2/45		-	+			11.48%	1.5[0.26,8.55]
Yang 2016	4/44	5/43		-				29.03%	0.78[0.22,2.72]
Total (95% CI)	282	277			•			100%	0.88[0.46,1.7]
Total events: 16 (Paracetamol), 17	(Ibuprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.45, o	df=4(P=0.83); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.37(P=0.7	1)								
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.20. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 20 Intestinal perforation.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-I	H, Fixed, 959	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Oncel 2014	0/45	0/45							Not estimable
Total (95% CI)	45	45							Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Paracetamol), 0 (Ibu	profen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable	•					1			
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.21. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 21 Gastrointestinal bleed or stools positive for occult blood.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl					M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Dang 2013	2/80	8/80		<mark></mark>				38.01%	0.25[0.05,1.14]
El Mashad 2017	1/100	7/100			_			33.26%	0.14[0.02,1.14]
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Ri	sk Ratio)		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, F	ixed, 95	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	1/45	2/45						9.5%	0.5[0.05,5.32]
Yang 2016	2/44	4/43			_			19.22%	0.49[0.09,2.53]
Total (95% CI)	269	268			-			100%	0.28[0.12,0.69]
Total events: 6 (Paracetamol), 21 (I	buprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.09, d	lf=3(P=0.78); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=2.77(P=0.0	1)								
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.22. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 22 Retinopathy of prematurity - any stage.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen			Risk Ratio	,		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		М-	H, Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Al-Lawama 2017	0/13	0/9							Not estimable
Dang 2013	7/80	9/80						32.14%	0.78[0.3,1.99]
El Mashad 2017	7/100	10/100						35.71%	0.7[0.28,1.77]
Oncel 2014	6/45	9/45						32.14%	0.67[0.26,1.72]
Total (95% CI)	238	234			•			100%	0.71[0.42,1.23]
Total events: 20 (Paracetamol), 28	8 (Ibuprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.05,	df=2(P=0.97); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.22(P=0.	22)								
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.23. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 23 Retinopathy of prematurity stage ≥ 3.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95% C	l			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	3/45	7/45			+			100%	0.43[0.12,1.55]
Total (95% CI)	45	45						100%	0.43[0.12,1.55]
Total events: 3 (Paracetamol), 7 (Ibu	orofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.29(P=0.2)									
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.24. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 24 Retinopathy of prematurity requiring laser therapy.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95°	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	3/45	7/45						100%	0.43[0.12,1.55]
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol n/N	lbuprofen n/N		Ris M-H, Fi	k Ratio xed, 95%	6 CI		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total (95% CI)	45	45						100%	0.43[0.12.1.55]
Total events: 3 (Paracetamol), 7 (Ibur	profen)			-					,,
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.29(P=0.2)									
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.25. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 25 Sepsis.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H	I, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Al-Lawama 2017	7/13	4/9						7.91%	1.21[0.5,2.94]
Dang 2013	18/80	23/80						38.51%	0.78[0.46,1.33]
El Mashad 2017	15/100	19/100						31.81%	0.79[0.43,1.46]
Oncel 2014	14/45	13/45			-			21.77%	1.08[0.57,2.03]
Total (95% CI)	238	234			•			100%	0.88[0.64,1.21]
Total events: 54 (Paracetamol), 59	(Ibuprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.19, o	df=3(P=0.76); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.77(P=0.4	4)						1		
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.26. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 26 Oliguria (< 1 mL/kg/h).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Dang 2013	6/80	9/80		_				59.73%	0.67[0.25,1.79]
Oncel 2014	0/45	0/45							Not estimable
Yang 2016	1/44	6/43		-				40.27%	0.16[0.02,1.3]
Total (95% CI)	169	168						100%	0.46[0.2,1.1]
Total events: 7 (Paracetamol), 15 (I	buprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.5, df	=1(P=0.22); I ² =33.24%								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.75(P=0.0	8)								
	Favou	ırs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.27. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 27 Serum levels of creatinine after treatment µmol/L.

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	Ibuprofen		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% C		CI			Fixed, 95% CI	
Dang 2013	80	61.6 (14.5)	80	62.4 (15.2)						26.29%	-0.78[-5.39,3.83]
El Mashad 2017	100	48.6 (4.4)	100	61 (14.1)					66.4%	-12.38[-15.28,-9.48]	
			Favours	paracetamol	-40	-20	0	20	40	Favours ibu	orofen



Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	lbu	uprofen		Mean Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	45	74.3 (23.8)	45	76.9 (30)		+		4.47%	-2.65[-13.84,8.54]
Yang 2016	44	60.9 (30.9)	43	74.1 (35.7)		-+		2.84%	-13.2[-27.24,0.84]
Total ***	269		268			•		100%	-8.92[-11.28,-6.55]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =18.97	7, df=3(P=0)	; I ² =84.19%							
Test for overall effect: Z=7.39(P<0.	0001)								
			F		-40 -20) 0 7	20 40	Factor 14.	

Favours paracetamol -40

Favours ibuprofen

Analysis 1.28. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 28 Serum levels of aspartate transaminase (AST) IU/L.

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	Ibuprofen		Mean Difference			e		Weight M	ean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	45	44.3 (12.1)	45	40.1 (16.7)			+			100%	4.2[-1.83,10.23]
Total ***	45		45				•			100%	4.2[-1.83,10.23]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.37(P=0.17)											
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours ibuprofe	n

Analysis 1.29. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 29 Serum levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (IU/L).

Study or subgroup	Para	etamol Ibuprofen		Mean Difference				Weight M	lean Difference		
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	45	28.6 (18.5)	45	24.6 (18.2)						100%	4[-3.58,11.58]
Total ***	45		45				•			100%	4[-3.58,11.58]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.03(P=0.3)											
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours ibuprofe	n

Analysis 1.30. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 30 Serum bilirubin following treatment (μ mol/L).

Study or subgroup	Para	Paracetamol		Ibuprofen		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
El Mashad 2017	100	21.6 (3.3)	100	33.2 (13.3)			+			95.46%	-11.62[-14.31,-8.93]
Oncel 2014	45	66.7 (29)	45	70.1 (30.7)			-+			4.54%	-3.4[-15.74,8.94]
Total ***	145		145				•			100%	-11.25[-13.88,-8.62]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.63, df	=1(P=0.2)	; I ² =38.55%									
Test for overall effect: Z=8.38(P<0.00	01)										
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours ibup	rofen

Analysis 1.31. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 31 Hyperbilirubinaemia (serum bilirubin level higher than the exchange level according to the postnatal age and BW).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		М-	H, Fixed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Dang 2013	16/80	28/80						100%	0.57[0.34,0.97]
Total (95% CI)	80	80			•			100%	0.57[0.34,0.97]
Total events: 16 (Paracetamol), 28 (I	buprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=2.07(P=0.04)								
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.32. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 32 Duration of hospitalisation (days).

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol Ibuprofe		ıprofen	n Mean Difference		e		Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fiz	xed, 95% Cl				Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	45	59.3 (37.3)	45	65.8 (34.9)						100%	-6.5[-21.42,8.42]
Total ***	45		45				•			100%	-6.5[-21.42,8.42]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.85(P=0.39)											
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours ibupro	ofen

Analysis 1.33. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 33 MDI < 70.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio					Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Oncel 2014	7/30	7/31						100%	1.03[0.41,2.59]
Total (95% CI)	30	31			\bullet			100%	1.03[0.41,2.59]
Total events: 7 (Paracetamol), 7 (Ibup	rofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.07(P=0.94)									
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.34. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 34 PDI < 70.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Oncel 2014	5/30	5/31				-		100%	1.03[0.33,3.21]
Total (95% CI)	30	31			-			100%	1.03[0.33,3.21]
Total events: 5 (Paracetamol), 5 (Ib	ouprofen)								
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol n/N	lbuprofen n/N	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl				Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)									
		Favours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.35. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 35 Moderate to severe cerebral palsy.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio					Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-I	H, Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	4/30	2/31						100%	2.07[0.41,10.46]
Total (95% CI)	30	31						100%	2.07[0.41,10.46]
Total events: 4 (Paracetamol), 2 (Ibu	ıprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.88(P=0.38	3)								
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.36. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 36 Deafness.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen	Risk Ratio					Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fiz		CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Oncel 2014	0/30	1/32			_			100%	0.35[0.02,8.39]
Total (95% CI)	30	32						100%	0.35[0.02,8.39]
Total events: 0 (Paracetamol), 1 (Ibu	orofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.64(P=0.52)	1								
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.37. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 37 Blindness.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		R	isk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Fixed, 95	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	0/30	1/32		-				100%	0.35[0.02,8.39]
Total (95% CI)	30	32						100%	0.35[0.02,8.39]
Total events: 0 (Paracetamol), 1 (Ibu	ıprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.64(P=0.52	2)								
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.38. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 38 MDI.

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	Ibuprofen		Mean Difference				Weight M	lean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	30	81.7 (16.6)	31	82.1 (14.3)						100%	-0.4[-8.19,7.39]
Total ***	30		31				•			100%	-0.4[-8.19,7.39]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.1(P=0.92)											
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours ibuprofe	en

Analysis 1.39. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 39 PDI.

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol		Ibuprofen		Mean Difference				Weight M	ean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI			I	Fixed, 95% CI
Oncel 2014	30	81.7 (15.6)	31	81.9 (13.1)			+			100%	-0.2[-7.44,7.04]
Total ***	30		31				•			100%	-0.2[-7.44,7.04]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.05(P=0.96)					ı				i.		
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours ibuprofer	1

Analysis 1.40. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 40 BPD (age not stated).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 959	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Al-Lawama 2017	1/13	0/9			+			5.01%	2.14[0.1,47.38]
Dang 2013	4/80	5/80		-				42.91%	0.8[0.22,2.87]
Yang 2016	5/44	6/43			— <mark>#</mark> —			52.08%	0.81[0.27,2.47]
Total (95% CI)	137	132			•			100%	0.87[0.39,1.95]
Total events: 10 (Paracetamol), 12	1 (Ibuprofen)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.36,	df=2(P=0.84); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.33(P=0	.74)								
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.41. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 41 Plasma PGE₂ (ng/L).

Study or subgroup	Par	acetamol	ib	uprofen		Mea	n Difference	w	eight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Yang 2016	44	59.9 (32.9)	43	47.3 (24.7)					100%	12.6[0.39,24.81]
Total ***	44		43				-	:	100%	12.6[0.39,24.81]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=2.02(P=0.04)									
			Favours	paracetamol	-50	-25	0 25	⁵⁰ Fa	vours ibı	uprofen

ochrane

.ibrarv

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	Ibuprofen		Mean Difference				Weight M	lean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95	5% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Yang 2016	44	139.3 (54)	43	115.4 (46.3)			-			100%	23.9[2.78,45.02]
Total ***	44		43				-			100%	23.9[2.78,45.02]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.22(P=0.03)											
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	5	0 100	Favours ibuprofe	n

Analysis 1.42. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 42 Urine PGE₂ (ng/L).

Analysis 1.43. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 43 Platelet count (x10⁹/L).

Study or subgroup	Para	Paracetamol		Ibuprofen		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixe	d, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
El Mashad 2017	100	246 (36.6)	100	206 (65.5)					85.85%	40[25.29,54.71]
Yang 2016	44	195 (84.3)	43	224.4 (88)		+	<u> </u>		14.15%	-29.4[-65.63,6.83]
Total ***	144		143				•		100%	30.18[16.55,43.81]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =12.1, df	=1(P=0); I	² =91.74%								
Test for overall effect: Z=4.34(P<0.00	01)									
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0 50	100	Favours ib	uprofen

Analysis 1.44. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 44 Glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (U/L).

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol Ibupro		uprofen Me		Mean Difference			Weight M	lean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Yang 2016	44	17.4 (6.6)	43	16.8 (4.9)			+			100%	0.6[-1.84,3.04]
Total ***	44		43				•			100%	0.6[-1.84,3.04]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.48(P=0.63)											
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours ibuprofe	'n

Analysis 1.45. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 45 Failure of PDA closure after the 2nd course of IV paracetamol versus IV ibuprofen.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Ibuprofen		Risk Rati	o		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	Ν	M-H, Fixed, 9	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Al-Lawama 2017	1/4	1/2		+			7.78%	0.5[0.06,4.47]
El Mashad 2017	12/20	17/23					92.22%	0.81[0.53,1.25]
Total (95% CI)	24	25		•	1		100%	0.79[0.51,1.21]
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01 0.1	1	10	100	Favours ibuprofen	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol n/N	lbuprofen n/N		Risk M-H. Fix	Ratio ed. 95% CI		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H. Fixed, 95% Cl
Total events: 13 (Paracetamol), 18 (Ib	ouprofen)							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.18, df	=1(P=0.67); I ² =0%							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.1(P=0.27)								
	Fa	avours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1 1	100	Favours ibuprofen	

Analysis 1.46. Comparison 1 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus ibuprofen (oral or IV), Outcome 46 Daily urine output (mL/kg/hour).

Study or subgroup	Para	Paracetamol		Ibuprofen		Mean Difference			Weight M	lean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixe	d, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
El Mashad 2017	100	2.2 (0.4)	100	1.7 (0.6)			+			100%	0.55[0.41,0.69]
Total ***	100		100				•			100%	0.55[0.41,0.69]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=7.8(P<0.0001)				1						
			Favours	paracetamol	-4	-2	0	2	4	Favours ibuprofe	n

Comparison 2. Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Failure of ductal closure after 4 to 5 days of treat- ment	2	80	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.49 [0.24, 1.00]
2 Death	2	80	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.35 [0.04, 3.20]
3 Oliguria (< 1 mL/kg/h)	1	48	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.78 [0.29, 2.11]
4 Polyuria (> 5 mL/kg/h)	1	48	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.72 [0.31, 1.72]
5 Hypernatraemia (> 150 μmol/L)	1	48	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.45 [0.19, 1.09]
6 Sepsis	1	48	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.45 [0.36, 5.79]
7 Supplemental oxygen at 28 days	1	48	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.69 [0.32, 1.48]
8 Supplemental oxygen at 36 weeks' PMA	1	48	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.36 [0.02, 8.45]
9 ROP (treated)	1	48	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.25 [0.14, 76.01]
10 IVH grades 1 to 2	1	48	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.60 [0.24, 1.54]
11 IVH grades 3 to 4	1	48	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.09 [0.07, 16.39]
Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
--------------------------------------	----------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------------
12 NEC stage 3	1	48	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.36 [0.02, 8.45]
13 Days of supplemental oxygen	1	48	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.40 [-16.41, 11.61]
14 Highest serum bilirubin μmol/L	1	48	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [-10.35, 12.35]

Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 1 Failure of ductal closure after 4 to 5 days of treatment.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	1	I-H, Fixed, 95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Asbagh 2015	4/16	8/16		—		48.12%	0.5[0.19,1.33]
Härkin 2016	4/23	9/25				51.88%	0.48[0.17,1.36]
Total (95% CI)	39	41		•		100%	0.49[0.24,1]
Total events: 8 (Paracetamol), 17	(Placebo or none)						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, d	=1(P=0.96); I ² =0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.95(P=0	0.05)				1		
	Favou	rs paracetamol	0.01 0.1	1 1	0 100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 2 Death.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, F	ixed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Asbagh 2015	0/16	1/16						51.02%	0.33[0.01,7.62]
Härkin 2016	0/23	1/25						48.98%	0.36[0.02,8.45]
Total (95% CI)	39	41						100%	0.35[0.04,3.2]
Total events: 0 (Paracetamol), 2 (F	Placebo or none)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=	=1(P=0.97); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.93(P=0.	35)								
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 3 Oliguria (< 1 mL/kg/h).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	, Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Härkin 2016	5/23	7/25		-		1		100%	0.78[0.29,2.11]
	Favor	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total (95% CI)	23	25						100%	0.78[0.29,2.11]
Total events: 5 (Paracetamol), 7 (F	Placebo or none)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.5(P=0.6	52)								
	Favo	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 4 Polyuria (> 5 mL/kg/h).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H	H, Fixed, 95% C	I			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Härkin 2016	6/23	9/25						100%	0.72[0.31,1.72]
Total (95% CI)	23	25			•			100%	0.72[0.31,1.72]
Total events: 6 (Paracetamol), 9 (Pla	cebo or none)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.73(P=0.46)						I		
	Favou	rs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.5. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 5 Hypernatraemia (> 150 μmol/L).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 95% C	1			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Härkin 2016	5/23	12/25		_				100%	0.45[0.19,1.09]
Total (95% CI)	23	25						100%	0.45[0.19,1.09]
Total events: 5 (Paracetamol)	, 12 (Placebo or none)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0	, df=0(P<0.0001); l ² =100%								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.77(P=0.08)								
	Favor	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.6. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 6 Sepsis.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixed, 9	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Härkin 2016	4/23	3/25			<u> </u>		100%	1.45[0.36,5.79]
Total (95% CI)	23	25		-			100%	1.45[0.36,5.79]
	Favo	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1 1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Total events: 4 (Paracetamol), 3 (Pla	cebo or none)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.52(P=0.6)						1			
		Favours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.7. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 7 Supplemental oxygen at 28 days.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		F	lisk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Fixed, 959	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Härkin 2016	7/23	11/25		-				100%	0.69[0.32,1.48]
Total (95% CI)	23	25		-	\bullet			100%	0.69[0.32,1.48]
Total events: 7 (Paracetamol), 11 (Pl	acebo or none)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.95(P=0.34)					1			
	Favou	rs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.8. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 8 Supplemental oxygen at 36 weeks' PMA.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		М-Н, F	ixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Härkin 2016	0/23	1/25		+				100%	0.36[0.02,8.45]
Total (95% CI)	23	25				_		100%	0.36[0.02,8.45]
Total events: 0 (Paracetamol), 1 (Plac	ebo or none)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.63(P=0.53)									
	Favor	urs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.9. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 9 ROP (treated).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Härkin 2016	1/23	0/25					100%	3.25[0.14,76.01]
					_			
Total (95% CI)	23	25					100%	3.25[0.14,76.01]
Total events: 1 (Paracetamol), 0 (Pla	cebo or none)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
	Favou	rs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol Placebo or none			Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95°	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Test for overall effect: Z=0.73(P=0.46)							_		
		Favours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.10. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 10 IVH grades 1 to 2.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		F	isk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Härkin 2016	5/23	9/25		_				100%	0.6[0.24,1.54]
Total (95% CI)	23	25						100%	0.6[0.24,1.54]
Total events: 5 (Paracetamol), 9 (Plac	ebo or none)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.06(P=0.29)				i		1	i.		
	Favou	rs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.11. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 11 IVH grades 3 to 4.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Härkin 2016	1/23	1/25			+		100%	1.09[0.07,16.39]
Total (95% CI)	23	25					100%	1.09[0.07,16.39]
Total events: 1 (Paracetamol), 1 (Plac	ebo or none)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)				1				
	Favou	rs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	L 10	100	Favours placebo/none	

Analysis 2.12. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 12 NEC stage 3.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Placebo or none		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fix	ed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Härkin 2016	0/23	1/25						100%	0.36[0.02,8.45]
Total (95% CI)	23	25						100%	0.36[0.02,8.45]
Total events: 0 (Paracetamol), 1 (Pla	acebo or none)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.63(P=0.5	3)								
	Favou	rs paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo/none	



Analysis 2.13. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 13 Days of supplemental oxygen.

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	etamol Placebo or none		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	ixed, 95% C	I			Fixed, 95% CI
Härkin 2016	23	20 (24.5)	25	22.4 (25)						100%	-2.4[-16.41,11.61]
Total ***	23		25				•			100%	-2.4[-16.41,11.61]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.34(P=0.74)											
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours pla	cebo/none

Analysis 2.14. Comparison 2 Prophylactic administration of paracetamol (oral or IV) versus placebo (IV) or no intervention, Outcome 14 Highest serum bilirubin µmol/L.

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	Place	Placebo or none		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% Cl				Fixed, 95% CI
Härkin 2016	23	159 (21.2)	25	158 (18.7)						100%	1[-10.35,12.35]
Total ***	23		25				•			100%	1[-10.35,12.35]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.17(P=0.86)											
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours place	ebo/none

Comparison 3. Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV)

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Failure to close a PDA	2	273	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.55, 1.65]
2 Renal impairment	1	77	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.08 [0.13, 73.26]
3 GI bleed	2	277	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.66 [0.33, 1.33]
4 NEC (all grades)	2	277	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.39 [0.14, 1.06]
5 Sepsis	2	277	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.14 [0.59, 2.19]
6 Pulmonary haemorrhage	2	277	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.74 [0.25, 2.18]
7 ROP (all grades)	2	259	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.77 [0.58, 1.03]
8 Severe ROP needing treat- ment	1	59	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.18 [0.49, 2.84]
9 IVH (all grades)	2	275	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.82 [0.42, 1.63]
10 IVH (grades III to IV)	1	75	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.08 [0.34, 28.30]



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
11 Periventricular leukomala- cia	1	75	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.34 [0.01, 8.14]
12 Oxygen requirement at 28 days of age	1	58	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.88 [0.53, 1.46]
13 Oxygen requirement at ≥ 36 weeks' PMA	1	57	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.93 [0.32, 2.69]
14 Death	1	77	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.43, 2.46]
15 Serum creatinine (μmol/L)	1	200	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-30.94 [-34.34, -27.54]
16 Failure to close a PDA after a 2nd course of IV paraceta- mol versus IV indomethacin	1	39	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.88 [0.55, 1.40]
17 Surgical ligation of PDA	1	200	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.92 [0.44, 1.92]
18 Serum bilirubin µmol/L	1	200	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.13, 1.93]
19 Platelet count (x10 ⁹ /L)	1	200	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	112.0 [103.02, 120.98]
20 Daily urine output (mL/kg/ h)	1	200	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.14 [1.04, 1.24]

Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 1 Failure to close a PDA.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin			Risk Ratio	1		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-I	H, Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	0/36	2/37		+		_		11.49%	0.21[0.01,4.14]
El Mashad 2017	20/100	19/100			-			88.51%	1.05[0.6,1.85]
Total (95% CI)	136	137			•			100%	0.96[0.55,1.65]
Total events: 20 (Paracetamol)	, 21 (Indomethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.	12, df=1(P=0.29); I ² =10.77	%							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.16(P	=0.87)								
	Fai	vours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Eavours indomethacin	

Favours paracetamol 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours indomethacin

Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 2 Renal impairment.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	1/38	0/39				_	100%	3.08[0.13,73.26]
Total (95% CI)	38	39	_1			-	100%	3.08[0.13,73.26]
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01 0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol n/N	Indomethacin n/N		М-Н,	Risk Ratio Fixed, 95%	o CI		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total events: 1 (Paracetamol), 0 (Indo	omethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.69(P=0.49)									
		Favours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.3. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 3 GI bleed.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H	Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	10/38	7/39						40.86%	1.47[0.62,3.45]
El Mashad 2017	1/100	10/100		+				59.14%	0.1[0.01,0.77]
Total (95% CI)	138	139			◆			100%	0.66[0.33,1.33]
Total events: 11 (Paracetamol), 17 (I	ndomethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =6.65, df	=1(P=0.01); I ² =84.96%	6							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.17(P=0.24)								
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.4. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 4 NEC (all grades).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	Fixed, 95%	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	2/38	4/39			•			30.49%	0.51[0.1,2.64]
El Mashad 2017	3/100	9/100						69.51%	0.33[0.09,1.2]
Total (95% CI)	138	139						100%	0.39[0.14,1.06]
Total events: 5 (Paracetamol), 13 (Ir	ndomethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.17, d	f=1(P=0.68); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.85(P=0.06	5)								
	Fav	vours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.5. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 5 Sepsis.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	I, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	1/38	0/39			+			3.41%	3.08[0.13,73.26]
El Mashad 2017	15/100	14/100						96.59%	1.07[0.55,2.1]
Total (95% CI)	138	139			•			100%	1.14[0.59,2.19]
Total events: 16 (Paracetamol), 14 (I	ndomethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.41, df	=1(P=0.52); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.39(P=0.7)									
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.6. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 6 Pulmonary haemorrhage.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin		Risk	Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	3/38	0/39			+		6.59%	7.18[0.38,134.48]
El Mashad 2017	2/100	7/100	-				93.41%	0.29[0.06,1.34]
Total (95% CI)	138	139					100%	0.74[0.25,2.18]
Total events: 5 (Paracetamol), 7 (Ind	omethacin)							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =3.76, df	=1(P=0.05); I ² =73.43%	b						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.55(P=0.58))							
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1 10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.7. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 7 ROP (all grades).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	24/29	26/30			+			63.02%	0.95[0.77,1.19]
El Mashad 2017	7/100	15/100		_				36.98%	0.47[0.2,1.1]
Total (95% CI)	129	130			•			100%	0.77[0.58,1.03]
Total events: 31 (Paracetamol), 41 (I	ndomethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =4.92, df	=1(P=0.03); I ² =79.68%	6							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.74(P=0.08	3)								
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.8. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 8 Severe ROP needing treatment.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 95%	5 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	8/29	7/30						100%	1.18[0.49,2.84]
					T				
Total (95% CI)	29	30			-			100%	1.18[0.49,2.84]
Total events: 8 (Paracetamol), 7 (Ind	omethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.37(P=0.71	.)					1	1		
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Favours paracetamol Favours indomethacin

Analysis 3.9. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 9 IVH (all grades).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95°	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	8/37	6/38				-		37.19%	1.37[0.53,3.57]
El Mashad 2017	5/100	10/100						62.81%	0.5[0.18,1.41]
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		М-	H, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total (95% CI)	137	138			•			100%	0.82[0.42,1.63]
Total events: 13 (Paracetamol), 16 (I	ndomethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.97, df	=1(P=0.16); I ² =49.35%	6							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.56(P=0.58)					1			
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.10. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 10 IVH (grades III to IV).

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio Weight		Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixe	l, 95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	3/37	1/38					100%	3.08[0.34,28.3]
	27	20					100%	2 00 0 24 20 21
Total (95% CI)	31	30					100%	3.08[0.34,28.3]
Total events: 3 (Paracetamol), 1 (Indo	omethacin)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.99(P=0.32)								
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01 0).1 1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.11. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 11 Periventricular leukomalacia.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin	Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio		
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fiz	xed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Dash 2015	0/37	1/38						100%	0.34[0.01,8.14]
Total (95% CI)	37	38						100%	0.34[0.01,8.14]
Total events: 0 (Paracetamol), 1 (Indo	omethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.66(P=0.51)				1					
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.12. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 12 Oxygen requirement at 28 days of age.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 95%	СІ			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	13/27	17/31						100%	0.88[0.53,1.46]
Total (95% CI)	27	31			•			100%	0.88[0.53,1.46]
Total events: 13 (Paracetamol), 17 (Ir	ndomethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.5(P=0.61)									
	Fa	vours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	



Analysis 3.13. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 13 Oxygen requirement at ≥ 36 weeks' PMA.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-I	H, Fixed, 95% C	:1			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	5/27	6/30						100%	0.93[0.32,2.69]
Total (95% CI)	27	30			-			100%	0.93[0.32,2.69]
Total events: 5 (Paracetamol), 6 (Indo	omethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(I	P<0.0001); I ² =100%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.14(P=0.89))								
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.14. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 14 Death.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		М-Н	, Fixed, 95%	сі			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Dash 2015	8/38	8/39						100%	1.03[0.43,2.46]
Total (95% CI)	38	39			-			100%	1.03[0.43,2.46]
Total events: 8 (Paracetamol), 8 (Indo	omethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)									
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.15. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 15 Serum creatinine (µmol/L).

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	Indo	methacin		Mea	n Differer	ice		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95% (3			Fixed, 95% CI
El Mashad 2017	100	48.6 (4.4)	100	79.6 (16.8)		+				100%	-30.94[-34.34,-27.54]
Total ***	100		100			•				100%	-30.94[-34.34,-27.54]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(I	o<0.0001); I ² =100%									
Test for overall effect: Z=17.81(P<0.00	001)				1						
			Favours	paracetamol	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours inde	omethacin

Analysis 3.16. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 16 Failure to close a PDA after a 2nd course of IV paracetamol versus IV indomethacin.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
El Mashad 2017	12/20	13/19						100%	0.88[0.55,1.4]
Total (95% CI)	20 3 (Indomethacin)	19			•			100%	0.88[0.55,1.4]
	3 (Indometriacin)		1						
	Fav	ours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	



Study or subgroup	Paracetamol n/N	Indomethacin n/N		М-Н	Risk Ratio , Fixed, 95	5% CI		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.55(P=0.58)				1		1			
		Favours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.17. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 17 Surgical ligation of PDA.

Study or subgroup	Paracetamol	Indomethacin			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95% (.			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
El Mashad 2017	12/100	13/100						100%	0.92[0.44,1.92]
					—				
Total (95% CI)	100	100			•			100%	0.92[0.44,1.92]
Total events: 12 (Paracetamol), 13 (Ir	ndomethacin)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.21(P=0.83)									
	Fa	vours paracetamol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours indomethacin	

Analysis 3.18. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 18 Serum bilirubin µmol/L.

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	Indo	methacin	Mean D	ifference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed	, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
El Mashad 2017	100	21.6 (3.3)	100	20.5 (3.3)			100%	1.03[0.13,1.93]
Total ***	100		100			•	100%	1.03[0.13,1.93]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=2.24(P=0.03)								
			Favours	paracetamol	-5 -2.5	0 2.5 5	Favours indo	methacin

Analysis 3.19. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 19 Platelet count (x10⁹/L).

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	Indo	methacin	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
El Mashad 2017	100	246 (36.6)	100	134 (27.6)	+	100%	112[103.02,120.98]
Total ***	100		100		•	100%	112[103.02,120.98]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=24.45(P<0.0	001)						
			Favours	paracetamol	-500 -250 0 250 5	Favours ind	omethacin

Analysis 3.20. Comparison 3 Paracetamol (oral or IV) versus indomethacin (IV), Outcome 20 Daily urine output (mL/kg/h).

Study or subgroup	Para	acetamol	Indo	methacin	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
El Mashad 2017	100	2.2 (0.4)	100	1.1 (0.4)		100%	1.14[1.04,1.24]
Total ***	100		100		1	100%	1.14[1.04,1.24]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=21.79(P<0.00	001)			_			
			Favours	paracetamol	-5 -2.5 0 2.5 5	Favours ind	omethacin

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Standard search methodology

PubMed: ((infant, newborn[MeSH] OR infan* OR newborn OR neonat* OR premature OR low birth weight OR VLBW OR LBW) AND (randomized controlled trial [pt] OR controlled clinical trial [pt] OR randomized [tiab] OR placebo [tiab] OR drug therapy [sh] OR randomly [tiab] OR trial [tiab] OR groups [tiab]) NOT (animals [mh] NOT humans [mh]))

Embase: ((exp infant) OR (infan* OR newborn or neonat* OR premature or very low birth weight or low birth weight or VLBW or LBW).mp AND (human not animal) AND (randomized controlled trial or controlled clinical trial or randomized or placebo or clinical trials as topic or randomly or trial or clinical trial).mp

CINAHL: (infan* OR newborn OR neonat* OR premature OR low birth weight OR VLBW OR LBW) AND (randomized controlled trial OR controlled clinical trial OR randomized OR placebo OR clinical trials as topic OR randomly OR trial OR PT clinical trial)

Cochrane Library: (infan* or newborn or neonat* or premature or preterm or very low birth weight or low birth weight or VLBW or LBW)

Appendix 2. Previous Search Methodology

For the 2015 review, we used the standard search strategy of the Cochrane Neonatal Review Group as outlined in the Cochrane Library. This included electronic searches of the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL, Cochrane Library), MEDLINE (1966 to December 2013), EMBASE (1980 to December 2013) and CINAHL (1982 to December 2013). Ms Colleen Ovelman, Trials Search Co-ordinator, Cochrane Neonatal Review Group, conducted the searches modified as needed for the different databases. For MEDLINE the following search string was used: (paracetamol OR acetaminophen) AND (patent ductus arteriosus or PDA) AND ((infant, newborn[MeSH] OR newborn OR neonate OR neonatal OR premature OR low birth weight OR VLBW OR LBW or infan* or neonat*) AND (randomised controlled trial [pt] OR controlled clinical trial [pt] OR Clinical Trial[ptyp] OR randomised [tiab] OR placebo [tiab] OR clinical trials as topic [mesh: noexp] OR randomly [tiab] OR trial [ti]) NOT (animals [mh] NOT humans [mh])). Relevant reviews related to the topic were identified. No language restrictions were applied.

We conducted electronic searches of abstracts from the meetings of the Pediatric Academic Societies 2000 to 2013 and the Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand 2000 to 2013.

We searched the following clinical trials registries for ongoing or recently completed trials: clinicaltrials.gov; controlled-trials.com; anzctr.org.au; who.int/ictrp in December 2013. We searched the Web of Science for articles quoting identified RCTs in December 2013.

We searched the first 200 hits on Google ScholarTM to identify grey literature. We limited the Google ScholarTM to the first 200 hits as in our experience the yield is poor after 200 hits.

We repeated the search of MEDLINE in August 2014 and did not identify any new trials.

Appendix 3. 'Risk of bias' tool

1. Sequence generation (checking for possible selection bias). Was the allocation sequence adequately generated?

For each included study, we categorized the method used to generate the allocation sequence as:

- low risk (any truly random process e.g. random number table; computer random number generator);
- high risk (any non-random process e.g. odd or even date of birth; hospital or clinic record number); or



• unclear risk.

2. Allocation concealment (checking for possible selection bias). Was allocation adequately concealed?

For each included study, we categorized the method used to conceal the allocation sequence as:

- low risk (e.g. telephone or central randomisation; consecutively numbered sealed opaque envelopes);
- high risk (open random allocation; unsealed or non-opaque envelopes, alternation; date of birth); or
- unclear risk

3. Blinding of participants and personnel (checking for possible performance bias). Was knowledge of the allocated intervention adequately prevented during the study?

For each included study, we categorized the methods used to blind study participants and personnel from knowledge of which intervention a participant received. Blinding was assessed separately for different outcomes or class of outcomes. We categorized the methods as:

- low risk, high risk or unclear risk for participants; and
- low risk, high risk or unclear risk for personnel.

4. Blinding of outcome assessment (checking for possible detection bias). Was knowledge of the allocated intervention adequately prevented at the time of outcome assessment?

For each included study, we categorized the methods used to blind outcome assessment. Blinding was assessed separately for different outcomes or class of outcomes. We categorized the methods as:

- low risk for outcome assessors;
- high risk for outcome assessors; or
- unclear risk for outcome assessors.

5. Incomplete outcome data (checking for possible attrition bias through withdrawals, dropouts, protocol deviations). Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed?

For each included study and for each outcome, we described the completeness of data including attrition and exclusions from the analysis. We noted whether attrition and exclusions were reported, the numbers included in the analysis at each stage (compared with the total randomised participants), reasons for attrition or exclusion where reported, and whether missing data were balanced across groups or were related to outcomes. Where sufficient information was reported or supplied by the trial authors, we re-included missing data in the analyses. We categorized the methods as:

- low risk (< 20% missing data);
- high risk (≥ 20% missing data); or
- unclear risk.

6. Selective reporting bias. Are reports of the study free of suggestion of selective outcome reporting?

For each included study, we described how we investigated the possibility of selective outcome reporting bias and what we found. For studies in which study protocols were published in advance, we compared prespecified outcomes versus outcomes eventually reported in the published results. If the study protocol was not published in advance, we contacted study authors to gain access to the study protocol. We assessed the methods as:

- low risk (where it is clear that all of the study's prespecified outcomes and all expected outcomes of interest to the review have been reported);
- high risk (where not all the study's prespecified outcomes have been reported; one or more reported primary outcomes were not
 prespecified outcomes of interest and are reported incompletely and so cannot be used; study fails to include results of a key outcome
 that would have been expected to have been reported); or
- unclear risk.

7. Other sources of bias. Was the study apparently free of other problems that could put it at a high risk of bias?

For each included study, we described any important concerns we had about other possible sources of bias (for example, whether there was a potential source of bias related to the specific study design or whether the trial was stopped early due to some data-dependent process). We assessed whether each study was free of other problems that could put it at risk of bias as:

- low risk;
- high risk;

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) for patent ductus arteriosus in preterm or low birth weight infants (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



• unclear risk

If needed, we explored the impact of the level of bias through undertaking sensitivity analyses.

WHAT'S NEW

Date	Event	Description
27 January 2020	Amended	Arne Ohlsson deceased.
27 January 2020	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	Contact author changed, and contact details updated.

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 9, 2012 Review first published: Issue 3, 2015

Date	Event	Description
17 January 2018	New search has been performed	The literature was searched in November 2017. Six new pub- lished trials and 16 new ongoing trials were identified.
17 January 2018	New citation required and conclusions have changed	Paracetamol has now been compared to placebo/no interven- tion and to indomethacin. Paracetamol appears more effective in closing a PDA than placebo/no intervention and has a similar effectiveness as ibuprofen or indomethacin with fewer side ef- fects. Only one small study has reported on long-term follow-up.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Both authors (AO, PS) contributed to all sections of this review.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Arne Ohlsson - no conflict of interest to declare.

Prakeshkumar Shah – no conflict of interest to declare.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

• Department of Pediatrics, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, Other.

External sources

• National Institute for Health Research, UK.

Editorial support for Cochrane Neonatal has been funded with funds from a UK National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Cochrane Programme Grant (16/114/03). The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the NIHR or the UK Department of Health.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

We made some minor wording changes to the primary outcome. We changed from 'Failure of PDA closure within a week of administration of the first dose of paracetamol (closure and failure of closure confirmed by echocardiographic criteria)' to 'Failure of PDA closure after



the first course of paracetamol (closure and failure of closure confirmed by echocardiographic criteria)'. We have added a few outcomes that the authors of the included studies reported on but that we had not anticipated. We have indicated this for the specific outcomes that were not pre-determined.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

*Infant, Low Birth Weight; *Infant, Premature; Acetaminophen [*adverse effects] [*therapeutic use]; Cyclooxygenase Inhibitors [therapeutic use]; Ductus Arteriosus, Patent [*drug therapy]; Ibuprofen [therapeutic use]; Indomethacin [therapeutic use]; Odds Ratio; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic

MeSH check words

Humans; Infant, Newborn