Modifiable risk factors for external cause mortality after release from prison: a nested case–control study

M. J. Spittal[1]*, S. Forsyth[2], R. Borschmann[1,3,4], J. T. Young[1,5,6] and S. A. Kinner[1,3,7,8,9]

[1] *Centre for Mental Health, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia*

[2] *School of Public Health, University of Queensland, Herston, Queensland, Australia*

[3] *Centre for Adolescent Health, Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia*

[4] *Health Services and Population Research Department, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, United Kingdom*

[5] *Centre for Health Services Research, School of Population and Global Health, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia*

[6] *National Drug Research Institute, Curtin University, Perth, Australia*

[7] *Griffith Criminology Institute, Griffith University, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia*

[8] *Mater Research Institute - UQ, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia*

[9] *School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia*

Aim. People released from prison are at higher risk of mortality from potentially preventable causes than their peers in the general population. Because most studies of this phenomenon are reliant on registry data, there is little health and behavioural information available on those at risk, hampering the development of targeted, evidence-based preventive responses. Our aim was to identify modifiable risk and protective factors for external cause and cause-specific mortality after release from prison.

Methods. We undertook a nested case–control study using data from a larger retrospective cohort study of mortality after release from prison in Queensland, Australia between 1994 and 2007. Cases were 286 individuals who had died from external causes (drug overdose, suicide, transport accidents, or violence) matched with 286 controls on sex, Indigenous status, and release date. We extracted data from detention, case-management, and prison medical records.

Results. Factors associated with increased risk of external cause mortality included use of heroin and other opioids in the community [odds ratio (OR) = 2.20, 95% CI 1.41–3.43, $p < 0.001$], a prescription for antidepressants during the current prison sentence (OR = 1.94, 95% CI 1.02–3.67, $p = 0.042$), a history of problematic alcohol use in the community (OR = 1.54, 95% CI 1.05–2.26, $p = 0.028$), and having ever served two or more custodial sentences (OR = 1.51, 95% CI 1.01–2.25, $p = 0.045$). Being married (OR = 0.45, 95% CI 0.29–0.70, $p < 0.001$) was protective. Fewer predictors were associated with cause-specific mortality.

Conclusions. We identified several behavioural, psychosocial, and clinical markers associated with mortality from preventable causes in people released from prison. Emerging evidence points to interventions that could be targeted at those at increased risk of external cause mortality. These include treatment and harm reduction programmes (for substance use), improving transitional support programmes and continuity of care (for mental health), diversion and drug reform (for repeat incarceration) and nurturing stable relationships during incarceration. The period of imprisonment and shortly after release provides a unique opportunity to improve the long-term health of ex-prisoners and overcome the disadvantage associated with imprisonment.

Introduction

A growing body of research has shown that people released from prison are at higher risk of mortality than their peers in the general population. The leading causes of death are potentially preventable, external causes, including drug overdose, suicide, transport accidents, and homicide (Binswanger *et al.* 2007, 2011; Merrall *et al.* 2010; Pratt *et al.* 2010; Kinner *et al.* 2013; van Dooren *et al.* 2013; Forsyth *et al.* 2014; Kinner & Binswanger, 2014; Spittal *et al.* 2014). Although this elevation in risk of death is now well established, most studies have relied on retrospective linkage of routinely collected data. As a consequence, they tend to provide very limited health and

---

* Address for correspondence: M. J. Spittal, Centre for Mental Health, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia.

(Email: m.spittal@unimelb.edu.au)

behavioural information on those at risk, severely limiting the capacity to identify modifiable risk and protective factors, and thus inform targeted prevention.

For example, in a previous study we used linked correctional and mortality records to examine the incidence and risk factors for substance-related death in a cohort of over 42 000 adults released from prison in Queensland, Australia over 14 years (Forsyth *et al.* 2014). With the information available to us, we found that increasing age and each additional custodial sentence was associated with increased risk of death due to overdose and other drug-related causes, whereas being married was protective. In a second study using data from the same cohort, we found that risk of suicide increased with age, and that marriage was also protective against suicide (Spittal *et al.* 2014). A larger Australian study ($n = 85\,203$) using a similar design found a spike in suicides in men, but not women, in the first two weeks after release from prison, and an increase in the risk of suicide among men who had been admitted to a prison psychiatric hospital (Kariminia *et al.* 2007). Similarly, Chang *et al.* (2015) used linked population registry data for all adults released from prison in Sweden between 2000 and 2009 ($n = 47\,326$) and found that documented substance use disorders – but not other psychiatric disorders – independently predicted all-cause and external-cause mortality.

Like our own work, an important limitation of these studies was exclusive reliance on registry data, which may under-ascertain some psychiatric disorders and fail to record sub-clinical symptoms. There is now a substantial literature using data linkage to study mortality after release from prison, and the findings across these studies have been broadly similar (Merrall *et al.* 2010; Zlodre & Fazel, 2012; Kinner *et al.* 2013). Unfortunately, most of these studies also suffer from similar limitations, including that they have limited capacity to identify modifiable health and behavioural factors that could be targeted to reduce external cause mortality.

One recent study in the USA used an alternative approach to identify factors associated with death after release from prison. Using a nested, case–control design, Binswanger *et al.* (2016) examined prison medical charts for 699 deaths and 699 matched controls. They identified a number of risk factors for all-cause and overdose death including homelessness, risky substance use, and mental disorder. Substance use treatment was protective. Risk factors for other preventable causes of death were not examined.

In the present study, we expand on this approach and our own earlier work by undertaking a nested case–control study within our Australian cohort. In contrast to most previous studies, this design allowed

us to undertake an in-depth review of prison medical records for deceased ex-prisoners and matched controls, and gather detailed data on a variety of potential risk and protective factors, including medication history, physical and mental health status, use of alcohol and other drugs in prison and the community, and access to social supports. Our aims were to identify modifiable behavioural, psychosocial, and clinical factors for the leading causes of external cause mortality (drug overdose, suicide, transport accidents, and violence) and to explore the association between each factor and cause-specific mortality.

Method

Study setting and design

The original retrospective cohort study on which the present study was based consisted of all 42 015 adult men and women released from prisons in Queensland, Australia between 1 January 1994 and 31 December 2007. To establish fact of death and cause of death up to 31 December 2007, these data were linked to the National Death Index (NDI) by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) using probabilistic matching with subsequent clerical review. Deaths recorded in the NDI were coded using the International Classification of Diseases 9th revision (ICD-9) until 1996, and using the 10th revision (ICD-10) from 1997. These data are described in more detail elsewhere (van Dooren *et al.* 2013; Forsyth *et al.* 2014; Spittal *et al.* 2014). Because it was not feasible to undertake detailed coding of records for the entire sample, the present study used a subsample of cases who had died in the community from one of four external causes: drug overdose, suicide, transport accidents, or violence. These cases were matched on sex, Indigenous status, and date of release to an equal number of controls (1 : 1 matching). Ethical approval for this study was granted by the University of Queensland's Behavioural and Social Sciences Ethical Review Committee and the AIHW Ethics Committee.

Selection of cases and controls

The cases were selected from the 2158 individuals in the original cohort who died from any cause during the follow-up period in community. The controls were selected from all 42 015 individuals in the cohort by matching to cases with the same sex, Indigenous status and having a release date within 14 days of the case.

To minimise sampling bias, we prioritised the coding of records for Indigenous people ($n = 454$ deaths)

and non-Indigenous women ($n = 155$ deaths), followed by a random selection of the non-Indigenous men ($n = 1549$ deaths). We were able to identify and code a set of 774 matched pairs. Of these pairs, 255 were Indigenous people, 114 were non-Indigenous women, and 405 were non-Indigenous men.

The complete set of cases represents those individuals who had died from any cause. Because the focus of this study was death from external causes, we selected the 286 cases (and their matched controls) for analysis where the underlying cause of death was coded as external cause mortality.

Definition of external cause mortality

Our primary outcome was death due to external causes, defined as deaths due to drug overdose, suicide, transport accidents, or violence. This definition excludes accidental deaths due to falls, firearms, drowning, smoke inhalation or fire, poisoning due to unspecified solid or liquid substances, and other external causes (where the intent is unknown). Cause of death was determined from the ICD codes for the primary (underlying) cause of death field, using the definitions proposed by Randall *et al.* (2009). Drug overdoses were identified using codes 304, E850–E858 (ICD-9) and codes F11–F16, F19, F55, X40–X44 (ICD-10); suicides were identified using codes E950–E959 (ICD-9) and X60–X84, Y87.0 (ICD-10); transport accidents were identified from codes E810–E825, E929.0 (ICD-9) and V01–V04, V06, V09–V80, V81.0, V81.1, V82.0, V82.1, V84–V87, V88.0–V88.5, V88.7, V88.8, V89, V99, Y85 (ICD-10); and deaths due to violence were identified from codes E960–E969 (ICD-9) and X85–Y09, Y87.1 (ICD-10).

Data extraction

Our primary information sources were paper-based detention and case-management records collected by Queensland Corrective Services (QCS), and paper-based prison medical record data collected by Queensland Health, who are responsible for the healthcare of prisoners in Queensland. All data were routinely collected in the course of managing and treating prisoners, and were coded into a secure database, designed for this study, by two trained coders. The detention data included information on any prior detention as a juvenile or incarceration as an adult, most serious offence type (for each custodial sentence), information on any visitors in prison (personal and professional), and information on any custodial breaches. Case-management data included information on any intervention programmes started or completed while in prison; for example,

cognitive behavioural programmes, substance abuse programmes, or sex offender treatment programmes.

We extracted rich data in relation to prisoners' general and mental health from both the most recent custodial sentence and any previous custodial sentences. This included information on chronic diseases (e.g., cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer), medication history, hepatitis A, B, and C status, HIV status, diagnoses of any mental disorders (cognitive disorder, personality disorder, substance use disorder, psychotic disorder, anxiety disorder, or other disorder), and documented history of admission to a psychiatric hospital. We also extracted information on risk of self-harm (from intake assessment information) and on the occurrence of self-harm or attempted suicide in prison. Finally, we extracted information on alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use during the most recent custodial sentence, ever in custody, and ever in the community. This information was routinely collected from prisoners with alcohol and drug problems and included information on the type of drug used (for example, cannabis, heroin, pharmaceutical opioids, and amphetamines), possession of drugs or drug-injecting equipment in prison, and positive urine test results in prison (indicative of drug use in prison). We also captured a number of demographic variables (e.g., age at prison release and marital status). Most variables were coded 'Yes' if there was documented evidence that the risk factor was present, or 'No or unknown' if there was no or insufficient evidence.

Statistical analysis

Informed by the literature, our analysis focused largely on behavioural, psychosocial and clinical factors for external cause mortality. We report on the distribution of each predictor variable among cases and controls, along with univariate odds ratio (OR) generated using conditional logistic regression, which accounts for the fact that each case was matched to a control. Because this was an exploratory study, we then entered all variables that were significantly associated with external cause mortality in the univariate analyses into a stepwise multivariate conditional logistic regression model. This model uses backward elimination to remove the least significant variable (i.e., the one with the highest p-value) from the model and then re-estimates all parameters. This continues until a pre-specified threshold is met. Since we wanted to include potential confounder variables in the model, we set this threshold at $p = 0.25$ to include those variables that may themselves have no association with mortality, but may adjust for confounding (Hosmer *et al.* 2008). Finally, we used the identified predictors

of external cause mortality to estimate separate models for drug overdose, suicide, and transport accidents. We were unable to predict deaths due to violence because there were only three cases. All multivariate analyses controlled for age at release from prison (in years) and all analyses were undertaken in Stata version 13.1 (StataCorp, 2013).

Results

During the study period, there were 93 deaths due to drug overdose, 139 due to suicide, 51 due to transport accidents, and three due to violence. These 286 cases were well matched to the 286 controls on sex, Indigenous status, and release date (Table 1). Cases had a mean age at release from prison of 28.0 years (S.D. = 8.5); controls were older on average at release (M = 31.4 years, S.D. = 10.5, *p*-value for difference < 0.001).

In univariate analyses (Table 2), there was evidence that cases and controls differed on a number of variables: total number of custodial sentences; being married; being treated with antidepressants or withdrawal drugs during the current sentence; being treated with other psychiatric medications during the current sentence; evidence of mental health problems during the current sentence; history of psychiatric hospitalisation; history of suicide attempt; history of problematic alcohol or other drug use in the community; and history of heroin or other opioid use in the community. Each of these variables, except being married, was associated with increased risk of external cause mortality. Being married was associated with decreased risk.

In multivariate analysis, after removing predictors with *p* > 0.25 and adjusting for age at release, two or more custodial sentences (OR = 1.51, 95% CI 1.01–2.25), being married (OR = 0.45, 95% CI 0.29–0.70), a prescription for antidepressants during the current

sentence (OR = 1.94, 95% CI 1.02–3.67), a history of problematic alcohol use in the community (OR = 1.54, 95% CI 1.05–2.26), and use of heroin and other opioids in the community (OR = 2.20, 95% CI 1.41–3.43), were all associated with external cause mortality (Table 3). Although the OR was relatively large for a history of suicide attempt, there was insufficient evidence to conclude that it was associated with external cause mortality (OR = 1.42, 95% CI 0.88–2.29).

When these variables were entered into a model to predict drug overdose, and after adjustment for age at release, only two variables – use of heroin and other opioids in the community (OR = 4.85, 95% CI 1.99–11.79) and two or more custodial sentences (OR = 2.93, 95% CI 1.20–7.14) – distinguished between cases and controls. Being married (OR = 0.35, 95% CI 0.17–0.69) and a prescription for antidepressants during the current sentence (OR = 4.44, 95% CI 1.38–14.30) were associated with suicide. None of the assessed variables was associated with death due to a transport accident (Table 4). In all three models, there were predictors that had large but non-significant effect sizes.

Discussion

The increased risk of mortality after release from prison, primarily from potentially preventable external causes, including drug overdose, suicide, transport accidents, and violence is well documented (Binswanger *et al.* 2007; Pratt *et al.* 2010; Zlodre & Fazel, 2012; Haglund *et al.* 2014). What is less clear is what potentially modifiable factors are associated with mortality in this population (Kinner *et al.* 2013; Chang *et al.* 2015). An understanding of these factors could inform development of targeted strategies to reduce preventable deaths after release from prison.

In our sample, the most common external causes of death were suicide and drug overdose, although more than one in six deaths was due to transport accidents. In contrast to studies from other settings, most notably the USA (Binswanger *et al.* 2007; Rosen *et al.* 2008), violence accounted for only a small fraction of deaths. We identified several important behavioural, psychosocial, and clinical factors associated with external cause mortality: in-prison use of antidepressants, a history of problematic alcohol use in the community, heroin or other opioid use in the community, and multiple prior incarcerations, were each independently associated with increased risk of external cause mortality after release from prison. Being married at prison release was protective. Our findings with respect to the predictors of cause-specific mortality were less consistent, although we were able to identify several large,

Table 1. *Comparison of cases and controls on matching variables,* n = 286 *cases and* n = 286 *controls*

	Cases, *n* (%)	Controls, *n* (%)
Sex		
Male	49 (17)	49 (17)
Female	237 (83)	237 (83)
Indigenous		
No	209 (73)	209 (73)
Yes	77 (27)	77 (27)
Release date		
Same day	254 (89)	254 (89)
Within 7 days	32 (11)	32 (11)

Table 2. *Univariate conditional logistic regression results for external causes of mortality (drug overdose, suicide, transport accidents, and violence)*

	Cases (*n* = 286)	Controls (*n* = 286)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	*p*-value
Age at release, years (mean, S.D.)				
	28.0 (8.5)	31.4 (10.5)	0.96 (0.94–0.98)	<0.001
Total number of custodial sentences including current sentence				
1 or unknown	139	175	1.00	
2+	147	111	1.73 (1.22–2.47)	0.002
Ever held in juvenile detention				
No or unknown	266	273	1.00	
Yes	20	13	1.64 (0.77–3.46)	0.198
Personal visitors during current sentence				
No or unknown	249	249	1.00	
Yes	37	37	1.00 (0.59–1.69)	1.000
Professional visitors during current sentence				
No or unknown	178	185	1.00	
Yes	108	101	1.11 (0.79–1.56)	0.547
Married at beginning of current sentence				
No or unknown	234	196	1.00	
Yes	52	90	0.49 (0.33–0.73)	<0.001
Antipsychotics during current sentence				
No or unknown	274	279	1.00	
Yes	12	7	1.71 (0.67–4.35)	0.257
Antidepressants during current sentence				
No or unknown	242	262	1.00	
Yes	44	24	2.00 (1.17–3.42)	0.011
Withdrawal drugs during current sentence				
No or unknown	216	254	1.00	
Yes	70	32	2.46 (1.56–3.88)	<0.001
Anxiolytics and hypnotics during current sentence				
No or unknown	260	270	1.00	
Yes	26	16	1.83 (0.91–3.70)	0.091
Other psychiatric medications during current sentence				
No or unknown	236	263	1.00	
Yes	50	23	2.35 (1.39–3.97)	0.001
Ever hepatitis C exposed				
No or unknown	245	260	1.00	
Yes	41	26	1.71 (1.00–2.94)	0.050
Mental health problems during current sentence				
No or unknown	122	158	1.00	
Yes	164	128	1.65 (1.18–2.31)	0.003
Ever treated as psychiatric inpatient				
No or unknown	221	250	1.00	
Yes	65	36	2.04 (1.30–3.20)	0.002
Ever made a suicide attempt				
No or unknown	211	239	1.00	
Yes	75	47	1.82 (1.20–2.77)	0.005
Ever had problematic alcohol use in the community				
No or unknown	141	174	1.00	
Yes	145	112	1.65 (1.16–2.33)	0.005
Ever used amphetamines in the community				
No or unknown	180	203	1.00	
Yes	106	83	1.47 (1.02–2.11)	0.038
Ever used heroin or other opioids in the community				
No or unknown	174	231	1.00	
Yes	112	55	2.78 (1.86–4.17)	<0.001

Table 3. *Multivariate conditional logistic regression results for external cause mortality (drug overdose, suicide, transport accidents, and violence),* n = 572

	Adjusted OR	95% CI	*p*-value
Age at release (per year)	0.96	0.94–0.98	0.001
Total number of custodial imprisonments including current sentence			
1 or unknown	1.00		
2 or more	1.51	1.01–2.25	0.045
Married at beginning of current sentence			
No or unknown	1.00		
Yes	0.45	0.29–0.70	<0.001
Antidepressants during current sentence			
No or unknown	1.00		
Yes	1.94	1.02–3.67	0.042
Ever made a suicide attempt			
No or unknown	1.00		
Yes	1.42	0.88–2.29	0.154
Ever had problematic alcohol use in the community			
No or unknown	1.00		
Yes	1.54	1.05–2.26	0.028
Ever used heroin or other opioids in the community			
No or unknown	1.00		
Yes	2.20	1.41–3.43	<0.001

Table 4. *Multivariate conditional logistic regression predicting specific-cause mortality*

	Drug overdose (*n* = 186)		Suicide (*n* = 278)		Transport accidents (*n* = 102)	
	Adjusted OR		Adjusted OR		Adjusted OR	
	(95% CI)	*p*-value	(95% CI)	*p*-value	(95% CI)	*p*-value
Age at release (per year)	0.96 (0.92–1.00)	0.061	0.96 (0.93–0.99)	0.012	0.98 (0.94–1.03)	0.397
Total number of custodial imprisonments including current sentence						
1 or unknown	1.00		1.00		1.00	
2 or more	2.93 (1.20–7.14)	0.018	0.99 (0.57–1.74)	0.981	1.88 (0.68–5.17)	0.222
Married at beginning of current sentence						
No or unknown	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.58 (0.25–1.34)	0.200	0.35 (0.17–0.69)	0.002	0.52 (0.19–1.42)	0.199
Antidepressants during current sentence						
No or unknown	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	2.15 (0.65–7.12)	0.210	4.44 (1.38–14.30)	0.012	1.06 (0.29–3.88)	0.935
Ever made a suicide attempt						
No or unknown	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.98 (0.38–2.54)	0.970	1.76 (0.87–3.56)	0.115	2.20 (0.50–9.69)	0.296
Ever had problematic alcohol use in the community						
No or unknown	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.01 (0.44–2.30)	0.980	1.70 (0.97–2.98)	0.063	1.48 (0.52–4.23)	0.465
Ever used heroin or other opioids in the community						
No our unknown	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	4.85 (1.99–11.79)	0.001	1.38 (0.70–2.74)	0.356	1.00 (0.29–3.41)	0.999

albeit non-significant, predictors of cause-specific external mortality in which the observed association was plausible.

Interpretation and implications

A number of studies have identified being in a stable relationship as being protective against suicide and other causes of death after release from prison (Singleton *et al.* 2003; Spittal *et al.* 2014; Graham *et al.* 2015; Binswanger *et al.* 2016). Our study adds to this body of evidence. There are at least three potential explanations for this association. First, being married is likely a marker for stable accommodation, and unstable accommodation and homelessness are both associated with increased risk of suicide, drug overdose, and other detrimental outcomes (Merrall *et al.* 2010; Lim *et al.* 2012; Binswanger *et al.* 2016). Second, marriage is likely to be a key source of practical, emotional, and social support, and spouses may be well placed to recognise the warning signs of deteriorating health (especially mental health) and to deter their partner from engaging in risky behaviour (Visher *et al.* 2009). A third, related reason is that marriage is a marker for having children, which is also associated with desistance from a variety of risky behaviours (Moloney *et al.* 2009). However, we urge caution in applying these findings to incarcerated women, whose health and social needs after release from prison may be different to those of men (Douglas *et al.* 2009). Nonetheless, our findings add to a growing body of literature highlighting the value of positive relationships for prisoners returning to the community. Future research could investigate how interventions such as training partners and other significant social supports in mental health first aid (Jorm & Kitchener, 2011), which teaches how to recognise and respond to signs of distress, could further enhance or support the care of people released from prison.

Prisoners, in general, have higher rates of mental health problems than their peers in the community (Zlodre & Fazel, 2012), and a large proportion take psychiatric medications (Carroll *et al.* 2014). Worryingly, contact with mental health services after release from prison has been associated with elevated odds of suicide (Pratt *et al.* 2010), perhaps because of poor discharge planning, poor engagement, and retention in treatment, and inadequate continuity of care. To the extent that this is the case, our findings point to a pressing need for greater investment in transitional support for prisoners with mental health problems (Jarrett *et al.* 2012; Thomas *et al.* 2016). In our study, taking antidepressants in prison almost doubled the odds of external cause death after release from prison; those taking antidepressants in prison were also at increased risk of drug-related death and, in particular, death by suicide. Taking antidepressants in prison is indicative of significant mental health problems, and suggests a need for ongoing treatment after release from prison. Although we were unable to examine patterns of healthcare in this study, we have previously shown that engagement with mental health services after release from prison is typically poor in this population (Thomas *et al.* 2016), and that soon-to-be-released prisoners typically have very poor knowledge of their psychotropic medication needs (Carroll *et al.* 2014). Our findings in this regard are consistent with those of previous studies: one Australian study found that those with a history of psychiatric hospitalisation in prison were at increased risk of death by suicide (Kariminia *et al.* 2007), and a similar study in Sweden found that a history of diagnosed substance use disorder was an independent risk factor for all-cause and external cause death (Chang *et al.* 2015). A case–control study in the USA found that use of psychiatric medications in prison was associated with both all-cause and overdose death (Binswanger *et al.* 2016). The key message from all these studies is the importance of continuity of psychiatric care – including uninterrupted access to medications – after release from prison. There is also growing evidence that efforts to support early engagement with primary care after release from prison can yield multiple health benefits, including increased subsequent engagement with mental health services (Young *et al.* 2015; Kinner *et al.* 2016).

Our findings that problematic use of alcohol, heroin and other opioids in the community were risk factors for external cause mortality are less surprising. Risky alcohol and other drug use are key health concerns for ex-prisoners (Fazel *et al.* 2006). Alcohol use is often identified as a causal factor in transport accidents and homicide (Petridou & Moustaki, 2000; Shaw *et al.* 2006), and a prominent cause of drug-related mortality in this group is opioid overdose deaths, which occur disproportionately in the first few weeks after release from prison (Merrall *et al.* 2010; Forsyth *et al.* 2014). In relation to opioid overdose deaths, there is now good evidence that opioid substitution therapy delivered in prison and – critically – continued after release from prison, reduces mortality (Degenhardt *et al.* 2014). There is also increasing evidence that making naloxone available on release from prison may be an effective intervention (Parmar *et al.* 2017). More generally, evidence from a number of randomised controlled trials suggests several promising interventions for reducing substance abuse (Kouyoumdjian *et al.* 2015). These include motivational interviewing, which is designed to teach empathy, avert arguing, and develop discrepancy, self-efficacy, and personal choice

(Stein *et al.* 2011*a*, *b*; Clarke *et al.* 2013); psychotherapy (Sullivan *et al.* 2007; Sacks *et al.* 2012; Villagra Lanza & Gonzalez Menendez, 2013); educational and skills building programmes (Braithwaite *et al.* 2005); pharmacological interventions such as methadone (Gordon *et al.* 2008); and enhanced support after release from prison (Freudenberg *et al.* 2010). Many of these interventions could be initiated in prison, and this highlights that the period of imprisonment is a unique opportunity to link individuals with interventions that may improve their long-term health (Kinner & Wang, 2014). Less is known about how to prevent suicide or deaths due to transport accidents after release from prison, despite the fact that these causes accounted for the majority of external cause deaths in our sample.

Strengths and limitations

Our study has a number of strengths. Predictor and outcome data were gathered over a long period of time (14 years). We were able to gather objective data on a wide range of modifiable behavioural, psychosocial and clinical factors across a number of settings (in the community, in past custodial sentences, in the most recent custodial sentence). Ascertainment of cause of death was based on national data and coded to a very high standard by an independent body.

Our study has eight notable limitations. First, the study appeared to be underpowered. There were a number of effect sizes that were large but non-significant. A study with more cases would be better able to tease out some of these effects, including subgroup effects. Second, we were reliant on relatively old data (deaths were only recorded up to the end of 2007), although risk factors for external cause mortality in ex-prisoners are unlikely to have changed meaningfully in the past decade. Third, we were unable to code records for controls who were in custody throughout the study. As such, we may have under-sampled young people or people more likely to re-offend. The effects of this are countered by the relatively long timeframe over which we examine mortality. Fourth, our set of risk and protective factors was based on what was known prior to release from prison. We have no data on how people's circumstances changed after release (for instance, the effect of homelessness, which is frequently identified as a risk factor for all cause-mortality (Binswanger *et al.* 2016)). Fifth, and relatedly, because we were reliant on documented evidence in prison records, we likely under-ascertained some potential risk factors (e.g., treatment as a psychiatric inpatient in the community). Linkage with community health records, as Chang and colleagues have done in Sweden (Chang *et al.* 2015), would overcome this problem. Sixth, the external causes of death that we examined were relatively heterogeneous, and when we examined cause-specific mortality the lack of power made it difficult to detect significant predictors. Seventh, we focused only on the four leading causes of death (based on previous findings), and excluded a number of relatively rare accidental and unclassifiable causes of death. Finally, we were not able to fit a model for deaths due to violence because of the small number of cases.

Conclusions

Our study identified a number of potentially modifiable health and behavioural factors associated with external cause mortality and cause-specific mortality after release from prison. The risk factors reflected what was known about individuals prior to release from prison, and this information could be useful for designing interventions that are delivered while in prison and/or shortly after release. To advance knowledge in this area and more directly inform prevention, further research is needed to identify the causal pathways to mortality after release from prison. For instance, how and when do mental disorder and substance misuse relapses occur, and how can we prevent them, thereby preventing deaths in people with a history of incarceration? As a starting point, this involves understanding patterns of and barriers to health service engagement after release from prison. Gradual adoption of electronic medical records in custodial settings, coupled with growing capacity for data linkage in Australia and some other countries, will provide new opportunities to replicate and build on our research with much larger numbers.

supported by a Melbourne International Research Scholarship.

Conflicts of Interest

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest

Ethical Standards

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

Author Contributions

SK initiated the overarching research question and obtained funding for the study. SF and SK designed the study and oversaw data extraction. MS refined the research question, undertook the statistical analysis, interpreted the findings, wrote the first draft, and edited the revisions to the manuscript. RB, JY and SK revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Availability of Data and Materials

The data that support this research are owned in part by QCS and restrictions apply to availability of the data. The authors do not have permission to share these data and, as such, they are not publically available. The Stata code used to recode variables and conduct the analyses is available to other interested parties.

References

Binswanger IA, Stern MF, Deyo RA, Heagerty PJ, Cheadle A, Elmore JG, Koepsell TD (2007). Release from prison – a high risk of death for former inmates. *New England Journal of Medicine* **356**, 157–165.

Binswanger IA, Blatchford PJ, Lindsay RG, Stern MF (2011). Risk factors for all-cause, overdose and early deaths after release from prison in Washington state. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* **117**, 1–6.

Binswanger IA, Stern MF, Yamashita TE, Mueller SR, Baggett TP, Blatchford PJ (2016). Clinical risk factors for death after release from prison in Washington state: a nested case-control study. *Addiction* **111**, 499–510.

Braithwaite RL, Stephens TT, Treadwell HM, Braithwaite K, Conerly R (2005). Short-term impact of an HIV risk reduction intervention for soon-to-be released inmates in Georgia. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved* **16**, 130–139.

Carroll M, Kinner SA, Heffernan EB (2014). Medication use and knowledge in a sample of indigenous and non-indigenous prisoners. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* **38**, 142–146.

Chang Z, Fazel S, Lichtenstein P, Larsson H, Fazel S, Chang Z, Lichtenstein P, Larsson H (2015). Substance use disorders, psychiatric disorders, and mortality after release from prison: a nationwide longitudinal cohort study. *The Lancet Psychiatry* **2**, 422–430.

Clarke JG, Stein LAR, Martin RA, Martin SA, Parker D, Lopes CE, McGovern AR, Simon R, Roberts M, Friedman P, Bock B (2013). Forced smoking abstinence: not enough for smoking cessation. *JAMA Internal Medicine* **173**, 789–794.

Degenhardt L, Larney S, Kimber J, Gisev N, Farrell M, Dobbins T, Weatherburn DJ, Gibson A, Mattick R, Butler T, Burns L (2014). The impact of opioid substitution therapy on mortality post-release from prison: retrospective data linkage study. *Addiction* **109**, 1306–1317.

Douglas N, Plugge E, Fitzpatrick R (2009). The impact of imprisonment on health: what do women prisoners say? *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **63**, 749–754.

Fazel S, Bains P, Doll H (2006). Substance abuse and dependence in prisoners: a systematic review. *Addiction* **101**, 181–191.

Forsyth SJ, Alati R, Ober C, Williams GM, Kinner SA (2014). Striking subgroup differences in substance-related mortality after release from prison. *Addiction* **109**, 1676–1683.

Freudenberg N, Ramaswamy M, Daniels J, Crum M, Ompad DC, Vlahov D (2010). Reducing drug use, human immunodeficiency virus risk, and recidivism among young men leaving jail: evaluation of the REAL MEN re-entry program. *The Journal of Adolescent Health* **47**, 448–455.

Gordon MS, Kinlock TW, Schwartz RP, O'Grady KE (2008). A randomized clinical trial of methadone maintenance for prisoners: findings at 6 months post-release. *Addiction* **103**, 1333–1342.

Graham L, Fischbacher CM, Stockton D, Fraser A, Fleming M, Greig K (2015). Understanding extreme mortality among prisoners: a national cohort study in scotland using data linkage. *European Journal of Public Health* **25**, 879–885.

Haglund A, Tidemalm D, Jokinen J, Långström N, Lichtenstein P, Fazel S, Runeson B (2014). Suicide after release from prison: a population-based cohort study from Sweden. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* **75**, 1047–1053.

Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, May S (2008). *Applied Logistic Regression*. Wiley-Interscience: Hoboken, NJ.

Jarrett M, Thornicroft G, Forrester A, Harty M, Senior J, King C, Huckle S, Parrott J, Dunn G, Shaw J (2012). Continuity of care for recently released prisoners with mental illness: a pilot randomised controlled trial testing the feasibility of a Critical Time Intervention. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **21**, 187–193.

Jorm AF, Kitchener BA (2011). Noting a landmark achievement: Mental Health First Aid training reaches 1%

of Australian adults. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* **45**, 808–813.

Kariminia A, Law MG, Butler TG, Levy MH, Corben SP, Kaldor JM, Grant L (2007). Suicide risk among recently released prisoners in New South Wales, Australia. *Medical Journal of Australia* **187**, 387–390.

Kinner SA, Binswanger IA (2014). Mortality after release from prison. In *Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice* (ed. G Bruinsma and D Weisburd), pp. 3157–3167. Springer: New York, NY.

Kinner SA, Wang EA (2014). The case for improving the health of ex-prisoners. *American Journal of Public Health* **104**, 1352–1355.

Kinner SA, Forsyth S, Williams G (2013). Systematic review of record linkage studies of mortality in ex-prisoners: why (good) methods matter. *Addiction* **108**, 38–49.

Kinner SA, Alati R, Longo M, Spittal MJ, Boyle FM, Williams GM, Lennox NG (2016). Low-intensity case management increases contact with primary care in recently released prisoners: a single-blinded, multisite, randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, jech-2015-206565.

Kouyoumdjian FG, McIsaac KE, Liauw J, Green S, Karachiwalla F, Siu W, Burkholder K, Binswanger I, Kiefer L, Kinner SA, Korchinski M, Matheson FI, Young P, Hwang SW (2015). A systematic review of randomized controlled trials of interventions to improve the health of persons during imprisonment and in the year after release. *American Journal of Public Health* **105**, e13–e33.

Lim S, Seligson AL, Parvez FM, Luther CW, Mavinkurve MP, Binswanger IA, Kerker BD (2012). Risks of drug-related death, suicide, and homicide during the immediate post-release period among people released from New York City jails, 2001–2005. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **175**, 519–526.

Merrall ELC, Kariminia A, Binswanger IA, Hobbs MS, Farrell M, Marsden J, Hutchinson SJ, Bird SM (2010). Meta-analysis of drug-related deaths soon after release from prison. *Addiction* **105**, 1545–1554.

Moloney M, MacKenzie K, Hunt G, Joe-Laidler K (2009). The path and promise of fatherhood for gang members. *British Journal of Criminology* **49**, 305–325.

Parmar MKB, Strang J, Choo L, Meade AM, Bird SM (2017). Randomized controlled pilot trial of naloxone-on-release to prevent post-prison opioid overdose deaths. *Addiction* **112**, 502–515.

Petridou E, Moustaki M (2000). Human factors in the causation of road traffic crashese. *European Journal of Epidemiology* **16**, 819–826.

Pratt D, Appleby L, Piper M, Webb R, Shaw J (2010). Suicide in recently released prisoners: a case-control study. *Psychological Medicine* **40**, 827–835.

Randall D, Roxburgh A, Gibson A, Degenhardt L (2009). *Mortality among People who use Illicit Drugs: A Toolkit for Classifying Major Causes of Death* (NDARC Technical Report No. 301). National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre: Sydney.

Rosen DL, Schoenbach VJ, Wohl DA (2008). All-cause and cause-specific mortality among men released from state prison, 1980–2005. *American Journal of Public Health* **98**, 2278–2284.

Sacks JY, McKendrick K, Hamilton Z (2012). A randomized clinical trial of a therapeutic community treatment for female inmates: outcomes at 6 and 12 months after prison release. *Journal of Addictive Diseases* **31**, 258–269.

Shaw J, Hunt IM, Flynn S, Amos T, Meehan J, Robinson J, Bickley H, Parsons R, McCann K, Burns J, Kapur N, Appleby L (2006). The role of alcohol and drugs in homicides in England and Wales. *Addiction* **101**, 1117–1124.

Singleton N, Pendry E, Taylor C, Farrell M, Marsden J (2003). *Drug-related Mortality among Newly Released Offenders. Findings*. UK Home Office: London, UK.

Spittal MJ, Forsyth S, Pirkis J, Alati R, Kinner SA (2014). Suicide in adults released from prison in Queensland, Australia: a cohort study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **68**, 993–998.

StataCorp (2013). *Stata: Release 13.1*. StataCorp LP: College Station, TX.

Stein LAR, Clair M, Lebeau R, Colby SM, Barnett NP, Golembeske C, Monti PM (2011*a*). Motivational interviewing to reduce substance-related consequences: effects for incarcerated adolescents with depressed mood. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* **118**, 475–478.

Stein LAR, Lebeau R, Colby SM, Barnett NP, Golembeske C, Monti PM (2011*b*). Motivational interviewing for incarcerated adolescents: effects of depressive symptoms on reducing alcohol and marijuana use after release. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs* **72**, 497–506.

Sullivan CJ, McKendrick K, Sacks S, Banks S (2007). Modified therapeutic community treatment for offenders with MICA disorders: substance use outcomes. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse* **33**, 823–832.

Thomas EG, Spittal MJ, Heffernan EB, Taxman FS, Alati R, Kinner SA (2016). Trajectories of psychological distress after prison release: implications for mental health service need in ex-prisoners. *Psychological Medicine* **46**, 611–621.

van Dooren K, Kinner SA, Forsyth S (2013). Risk of death for young ex-prisoners in the year following release from adult prison. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* **37**, 377–382.

Villagra Lanza P, Gonzalez Menendez A (2013). Acceptance and commitment therapy for drug abuse in incarcerated women. *Psicothema* **25**, 307–312.

Visher C, Knight CR, Chalfin A, Roman JK (2009). *The Impact of Marital and Relationship Status on Social Outcomes for Returning Prisoners*. The Urban Institute: Washington, DC.

Young JT, Arnold-Reed D, Preen D, Bulsara M, Lennox N, Kinner SA (2015). Early primary care physician contact and health service utilisation in a large sample of recently released ex-prisoners in Australia: prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* **5**.

Zlodre J, Fazel S (2012). All-cause and external mortality in released prisoners: systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Public Health* **102**.