

# Disentangle the neural correlates of attachment style in healthy individuals

cambridge.org/eps

## Epidemiology for Behavioural Neurosciences

\*These two authors contributed equally to the manuscript

**Cite this article:** Perlini C, Bellani M, Rossetti MG, Zovetti N, Rossin G, Bressi C, Brambilla P (2019). Disentangle the neural correlates of attachment style in healthy individuals. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **28**, 371–375. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796019000271>

Received: 15 April 2019

Accepted: 18 April 2019

First published online: 15 May 2019

### Key words:

Attachment style; biological markers; neuroimaging; psychological assessment; SMRI

### Author for correspondence:

Marcella Bellani, E-mail: [marcella.bellani@univr.it](mailto:marcella.bellani@univr.it)

Cinzia Perlini<sup>1,\*</sup>, Marcella Bellani<sup>2,\*</sup>, Maria Gloria Rossetti<sup>2</sup>, Niccolò Zovetti<sup>2</sup>, Giulia Rossin<sup>1</sup>, Cinzia Bressi<sup>3</sup> and Paolo Brambilla<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Clinical Psychology, Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of Verona, Verona, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of Verona, Verona, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurosciences and Mental Health, IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, University of Milan, Milan, Italy and <sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioural Sciences, University of Texas at Houston Medical School, Houston, TX, USA

### Abstract

Since its development and theorisation in the 60s, attachment theory has greatly influenced both clinical and developmental psychology suggesting the existence of complex dynamics based on the relationship between an infant and its caregiver, that affects personality traits and interpersonal relationships in adulthood. Many studies have been conducted to explore the association between attachment styles and psychosocial functioning and mental health. By contrast, only a few studies have investigated the neurobiological underpinnings of attachment style, showing mixed results. Therefore, in this review, we described current evidence from structural and functional imaging studies with the final aim to disentangle the neural correlates of attachment style in healthy individuals. Overall, different attachment styles have been correlated with volumetric alterations mainly in the cingulate cortex, amygdala, hippocampus and anterior temporal pole. Consistently, functional imaging studies suggested patterns of activations in fronto-striatal-limbic circuits during the processing of social and attachment-related stimuli. Further studies are needed to clarify the neurobiological signature of attachment style, possibly taking into consideration a wide range of demographic, psychosocial and clinical factors that may mediate the associations between the style of attachment and brain systems (e.g., gender, personality traits, psychosocial functioning, early-life experience).

According to the attachment theory, early in life newborns shape internal working models based on the extent to which caregivers are available and provide support in situation of distress (Bowlby, 1969). Those models are defined as moderately stable mental representations of self and close relationships, which are heavily influenced by the first dyadic relationship experienced with the caregiver (Benetti *et al.*, 2010).

The research method commonly used to describe the infant attachment style is called the 'Strange Situation' (Ainsworth *et al.*, 1978). This procedure is designed for infants aged 12–18 months and is based on the assumption that the separation of an infant from his/her attachment figure (i.e., the caregiver) in an unfamiliar setting, activates the infant's attachment system. Briefly, the strange situation consists of distress-evoking events, including the caregiver leaving the infant alone in a playroom and the entrance of a stranger into the playroom, which are followed by the reunion of the infant with the caregiver. Based on the infant's reaction when the caregiver returns, three attachment styles have been theorised: (i) secure, (ii) avoidant and (iii) anxious (Ainsworth *et al.*, 1978). *Secure* infants seek contact with the caregiver upon reunion and can be easily calmed by contact if distressed. Adults with a secure attachment style show positive and satisfying relationships, feel comfortable being intimate with others and without being worried about abandonment (Bowlby, 1969; Ainsworth *et al.*, 1978). *Avoidant* infants seem undisturbed by the separation from the caregivers and actively avoid contact with them. Adults with the avoidant style of attachment tend to feel more comfortable being independent and alone often avoiding any attachment altogether. Avoidant individuals often do not care about close relationships, distancing themselves from other people and suppressing their emotions (Bowlby, 1969; Ainsworth *et al.*, 1978). *Anxious-ambivalent* infants show exaggerated distress after the separation and exhibit proximity-seeking and anger towards the caregiver at the reunion. In adulthood, these people often express a generalised feeling of abandonment and rejection, insecurity about their close relationships and high emotional expressiveness and impulsiveness. This style of attachment often emerges in children that suffered abusive experiences (Ainsworth *et al.*, 1978; Hazan and Shaver, 1987; McCarthy and Taylor, 1999). Other researchers proposed another style of attachment, i.e. *disorganised*, characterising infants who appeared to have an incoherent behavioural strategy to cope with separation and reunion (Main and Solomon, 1986). Fear-evoking and abusive

parental behaviours seem to play an important role in the formation of disorganised attachment and a positive association has been shown between disorganised attachment style and personality disorders as well as other psychopathologies in adulthood (e.g. borderline personality disorder, bad stress management and dissociative behaviours) (Van Ijzendoorn *et al.*, 1999; Khoury *et al.*, 2019).

A wide number of instruments have been developed to measure the style of attachment in both adults and children including self-reports, structured interviews and structured behavioural observations (Collins and Read, 1990; Griffin and Bartholomew, 1994; Brennan *et al.*, 1998; Kerns *et al.*, 2001). The two main instruments for the measurement and study of attachment style are the Adult Attachment Interview (George *et al.*, 1985) for adulthood and the Strange Situation for children but since they require a specific training and a complex procedure (George *et al.*, 1985) are often replaced by other tools such as the Relationship Scale Questionnaire (RSQ; Griffin and Bartholomew, 1994), the Adult Attachment Questionnaire (AAQ; Hazan and Shaver, 1987) and the Experiences in Close Relationship questionnaire (ECR; Brennan *et al.*, 1998) which are self-reports investigating secure and insecure (i.e., anxious, avoidant) attachment styles. These questionnaires have been used also in research settings.

Indeed, a growing number of studies have explored the neurobiological correlates of attachment style in healthy subjects, showing mixed results (Quirin *et al.*, 2009; Benetti *et al.*, 2010; Zhang *et al.*, 2018). In this review, we aimed to describe the latest evidence on brain structural and functional underpinnings of attachment style in healthy individuals.

The data search was conducted on the PUBMED database. The following key words were used for the search: 'neuroimaging' and 'healthy controls' and 'attachment'. The inclusion criteria were: (i) original publication published in a peer-reviewed journal between 2008 and 2018; (ii) English language; (iii) inclusion of healthy adults and the use of validated tools to assess attachment style; (iv) application of structural or functional neuroimaging techniques. After title and abstract screening, 11 studies were identified and included in the review. Sample characteristics and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings from each study are shown in Table 1. The first study on attachment ever conducted using structural MRI investigated whether differences in attachment styles were associated with specific grey matter (GM) volumes (Benetti *et al.*, 2010). Authors showed that participants with high attachment-related anxiety had smaller anterior temporal pole and larger left lateral orbital gyrus. A more recent study by Acosta *et al.* (2018) also reported a positive association between attachment-related anxiety and left insula and left inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) (i.e., pars opercularis) volumes. Other studies by Zhang *et al.* (2018) investigated the neuroanatomical correlates of attachment styles and the role of gender in healthy young adults. The authors found negative associations between attachment-related avoidance scores and GM volumes of the parahippocampus and the middle temporal gyrus (MTG), conversely attachment-related anxiety scores were positively associated with greater anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) volumes. Of note, when analysing the role of gender, Zhang *et al.* (2018) showed that attachment-related anxiety was negatively associated with the right middle occipital volume in women but positively in men.

Quirin *et al.* (2009) evaluated the influence of attachment-related insecurity on the hippocampal GM volumes on young adults and found that left and bilateral reductions of hippocampal

GM volume were associated with attachment-related avoidance and anxiety, respectively.

Lastly, two studies by Schneider-Hassloff *et al.* (2015, 2016) evaluated the neural correlates of attachment style with the use of a mentalising task, as mentalisation (i.e., the ability to understand one's state of mind and to have insight into what one is feeling) is considered an important coping skill used to regulate emotions influenced by attachment style (Huenefeldt *et al.*, 2013). The mentalising task used in the two experiments consisted of an interactive version of the Prisoner's Dilemma Game in which two players simultaneously must decide whether to cooperate or to compete at the expense of the other participant by pressing the right or the left button. In the first study, specific attachment style-brain activations were detected: avoidance was positively and anxiety negatively correlated with the right amygdala, middle frontal gyrus, mid-cingulate cortex, IFG and parietal lobule activations (Schneider-Hassloff *et al.*, 2015). Schneider-Hassloff *et al.* (2016) then expanded their previous study by studying the interaction between attachment style, genotype, brain structure and neural activations and found that insecure attachment style during childhood was associated with higher attachment-related anxiety during adulthood as well as larger amygdala volumes and lower volumes in the right superior parietal lobule, left temporal lobe and bilateral frontal regions. Other studies used functional MRI to explore the association between attachment styles and neural activations during tasks on, among others, social appraisal, emotion suppression and mentalisation. One of the earliest studies by Vrtička *et al.* (2008) used a functional MRI paradigm to explore the influence of attachment styles on brain activation during appraisal of social cues (i.e., positive or negative stimuli conveying different types of feedbacks hostile *v.* friendly) presented after a performance-based task). Authors found that activations of the striatum and ventral tegmental area (VTA) were higher in a positive feedback condition but significantly reduced in participants with attachment-related avoidance. Left amygdala was shown to be involved in the processing of hostile stimuli (angry faces feedback) and positively correlated with attachment-related anxiety scores (Vrtička *et al.*, 2008).

To further expand, Vrtička *et al.* (2014) also studied the effect of gender and age on brain activations while processing congruent and incongruent social cues: higher activity during the presentation of incongruent social feedback stimuli was seen only in women, older adolescents and individuals with high attachment-related anxiety. Conversely, congruent stimuli elicited higher activations in males and in participants with high attachment-related avoidance.

In another study, the same group investigated whether different attachment styles were associated with distinct brain activations during emotion recognition and suppression following the presentation of stimuli that could convey a social pleasant or unpleasant meaning (Vrtička *et al.*, 2012). Results showed that participants with attachment-related anxiety had (i) increased prefrontal (PFC) and ACC activations in response to unpleasant scenes; (ii) a persistent increased activation in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (PFC) and left amygdala for the same stimuli and (iii) supplementary motor area and ventral caudate activations during the suppression condition, whereas participants with attachment-related avoidance had activation increases in the right amygdala and in the left parahippocampal cortex when exposed to negative and positive social stimuli, respectively (Vrtička *et al.*, 2012).

Another recent study implemented a different task aiming to elicit participants' attachment system while undergoing functional MRI scans (Labek *et al.*, 2016). The authors used the Adult

**Table 1.** Neuroimaging studies of functional and structural neural correlates of attachment

Reference	Participants	Mean age (s.d.)	Neuroimaging technique	Attachment assessment	Main results
Vrticka <i>et al.</i> (2008)	16 HC (8 females)	23.66 (3.6)	fMRI (1.5T)	AAQ	Positive social stimuli activated striatum and VTA regardless of attachment style but avoidant attachment style reduced the activation of these areas. Negative social stimuli (i) activated left amygdala regardless of attachment style and (ii) correlated positively with anxious attachment style. Striatum and amygdala are activated in secure attachment.
Benetti <i>et al.</i> (2010)	32 HC (17 females)	25.2 (4.3)	sMRI (1.5T)	ECR	Anxious attachment style correlated negatively with GM volume in the anterior temporal area and positively with GM volume in left lateral orbital gyrus. Number of affective losses correlated positively with GM volume in the cerebellum.
Quirin <i>et al.</i> (2009)	22 HC (11 females)	24.09 (3.68)	sMRI (3T)	ECR	Bilateral hippocampal GM volume correlated negatively with avoidant attachment style. Anxious attachment style correlated negatively with the left hippocampus GM volume.
Vrticka <i>et al.</i> (2012)	19 HC (19 females)	24.82 (4.0)	fMRI (3T)	RSQ	Avoidant attachment style correlated positively with prefrontal and ACC activations in response to social negative scenes. Dorsolateral PFC, left amygdala, SMA and ventral caudate activated in response to social negative scenes during reappraisal and suppression of social positive emotions. Anxious attachment correlated positively with activations in the right amygdala and left parahippocampal cortex for social negative and positive stimuli respectively.
Vrticka <i>et al.</i> (2014)	33 HC (14 females)	15.69 (1.67)	fMRI (3T)	RSQ	Social feedback processing activated the PFC, ventral ACC, anterior insula, caudate, amygdala and hippocampus. Females, older participants and individuals with anxious attachment style showed stronger activations when exposed to incongruent feedback. Males and participants with avoidant attachment style showed stronger activations when exposed to congruent social feedback.
Scheider-Hassloff <i>et al.</i> (2016)	195 HC (97 females)	24.0 (3.2)	sMRI/fMRI (3T)	CAS RSQ	Insecure attachment in childhood correlated with a higher level of anxious attachment style and alexithymia, increased GM volume in amygdala and decreased volume in superior parietal lobule, temporal pole and bilateral frontal areas.
Labek <i>et al.</i> (2016)	25 HC (12 females)	22.7 (1.8)	fMRI (3T)	AAP	Attachment-related stimuli activated inferior parietal lobes, MTG and anterior medial PFC.
Schneider-Hassloff <i>et al.</i> (2015)	164 HC (78 females)	23.97 (3.09)	fMRI (3T)	RSQ	Avoidant attachment style correlated positively with task-related activations in the right amygdala, MFG, mid-cingulate cortex, superior parietal lobule, bilateral IFG. Anxious attachment style followed the opposite pattern.
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2018)	106 HC (57 females)	20.8 (1.55)	sMRI (3T)	ECR	Avoidant attachment style correlated negatively with MTG and right parahippocampal GM volume. Anxious attachment style correlated negatively with the right ventral ACC GM volume. Avoidant attachment style correlated negatively and positively with the right middle occipital gyrus GM volume in females and males, respectively.
Acosta <i>et al.</i> (2018)	192 HC (96 females)	24.1 (3.2)	sMRI (3T)	RSQ	Anxious attachment style correlated with left insula and the pars opercularis of the left IFG GM volume. The left IFG was negatively and positively correlated with avoidant and anxious attachment styles, respectively.

AAC, anterior cingulate cortex; AAP, Adult Attachment Projective Picture System (George and West, 2012); AAQ, Adult Attachment Questionnaire (Hazan and Shaver, 1987); CAS, Attachment Security in Childhood (Collins and Read, 1990); ECR, Experiences in Close Relationship questionnaire (Brennan *et al.*, 1998); fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; GM, grey matter; HC, healthy controls; IFG, inferior frontal gyrus; MFG, middle frontal gyrus; MTG, middle temporal gyrus; sMRI, structural magnetic resonance imaging; PFC, prefrontal cortex; RSQ, Relationship Scale Questionnaire (Griffin and Bartholomew, 1994); SMA, supplementary motor area; VTA, ventral tegmental area.

Attachment Projective Picture System (AAP, George and West, 2012), a validated set consisting of attachment-related content to study the specific correlates of attachment. They found that the inferior parietal lobes, temporo-parietal junction (TPJ), MTG and anterior medial PFC activated when participants were exposed to attachment-related stimuli (Labek *et al.*, 2016).

## Discussion

In this review, we described the existing evidence from functional and structural MRI studies investigating the neurobiological correlates of attachment. Findings suggest that different attachment styles are associated with distinct functional and structural correlates in healthy individuals and that attachment-related stimuli can activate various regions thought to be the neural correlates of attachment. These regions are the TPJ, the MTG and the medial PFC (Labek *et al.*, 2016). TPJ has been previously suggested to be the neural correlate of the theory of mind, involved in social cognition and other important cognitive functions (Carter and Huettel, 2013) and the MTG and the medial PFC seem to be active during various mentalising processes, a set of cognitive functions linked with attachment style (Nolte *et al.*, 2013). Structural studies also showed that both avoidant and anxious attachment styles are correlated with specific GM volume alterations but share a common hippocampal and parahippocampal GM volume reduction with a different lateralisation effect (Quirin *et al.*, 2009; Zhang *et al.*, 2018). These findings may suggest, as others previously theorised, that specific attachment styles are associated with different stress-coping strategies and patterns of emotion regulation (Simpson and Rholes, 2017) and that the hippocampus is involved not only in memory but also in emotions, conflicts processing and stress regulation (Herman *et al.*, 2005; O'Neil *et al.*, 2015).


When it comes to evaluate the differences between anxious and avoidant attachment styles, a recent meta-analysis suggested that major differences reside in the IFG (deactivated in avoidant individuals) and in the amygdala (hyperactivated in anxious individuals) regions specifically during social processing tasks (Ran and Zhang, 2018). The correlation between amygdala hyperactivation and anxious attachment style suggests an increased vigilance to emotional stimuli in these individuals confirming the amygdala's role in the regulation of anxiety and social behaviour (Von Der Heide *et al.*, 2014; Shackman and Fox, 2016). The positive correlation between anxious attachment style and ACC GM volume (Zhang *et al.*, 2018) might also support this idea since the ACC has been implicated in various functions such as error detection, conflict monitoring, social evaluation and emotions (Etkin *et al.*, 2010; Apps *et al.*, 2016).

The deactivations of frontal regions in avoidant individuals reported by Ran and Zhang could be explained by other studies showing the multiple roles of the IFG, a region involved in language comprehension and production, and behaviour inhibition (Aron *et al.*, 2014). Recent findings on Broca's and pars opercularis areas also indicate that these frontal regions play a role in emotion and semantic processing co-activating with networks of sensory, motor and limbic structures and that could explain why these frontal areas are influenced by specific-attachment style during emotion processing tasks (Belyk *et al.*, 2017). The reported deactivations of the VTA and striatal regions during positive feedback tasks in avoidant-attached individuals seem to suggest an involvement of the limbic regions (Vrtička *et al.*, 2008) and a different sensitivity to positive social feedbacks.

These regions are in fact deeply involved in emotions, motivation and take part in the so-called reward system (Arias-Carrión *et al.*, 2010; Schultz, 2016).

In conclusion, this review showed the involvement of different brain regions and their interaction with specific attachment styles during various social processing tasks ranging from the processing of social feedbacks to complex mentalisation tasks. We also tried to characterise the different attachment styles by disentangling the overlapping findings and analysing the most palpable differences in terms of neural activations and GM volumes showing an involvement of the amygdala, the IFG, the ACC and the hippocampus.

It is important to remember that some of the discussed articles showed how neural activations and brain structures can be influenced by the interaction between specific attachment styles, gender and lateralisation (Vrtička *et al.*, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2018). Future research should thus investigate the exact implications of these and other factors considering their influence on neural structures in relation to specific attachment styles.

**Author ORCIDs.**  Cinzia Perlini, 0000-0002-4281-0920.

**Acknowledgements.** This study was partially supported by grants from the Ministry of Health GR-2016-02361283 (CP).

## References

- Acosta H, Jansen A, Nuscheler B and Kircher T (2018) A voxel-based morphometry study on adult attachment style and affective loss. *Neuroscience* **392**, 219–229.
- Ainsworth MDS, Blehar MC, Waters E and Wall S (1978) *Patterns of Attachment: A Psychological Study of the Strange Situation*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Apps MA, Rushworth MF and Chang SW (2016) The anterior cingulate gyrus and social cognition: tracking the motivation of others. *Neuron* **90**, 692–707.
- Arias-Carrión O, Stamelou M, Murillo-Rodríguez E, Menéndez-González M and Pöppel E (2010) Dopaminergic reward system: a short integrative review. *International Archives of Medicine* **3**, 24.
- Aron AR, Robbins TW and Poldrack RA (2014) Inhibition and the right inferior frontal cortex: one decade on. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **18**, 177–185.
- Belyk M, Brown S, Lim J and Kotz SA (2017) Convergence of semantics and emotional expression within the IFG pars orbitalis. *Neuroimage* **156**, 240–248.
- Benetti S, McCrory E, Arulanantham S, De Sanctis T, McGuire P and Mechelli A (2010) Attachment style, affective loss and gray matter volume: a voxel-based morphometry study. *Human Brain Mapping* **31**, 1482–1489.
- Bowlby J (1969) *Attachment and Loss*, vol. 1: Attachment. Attachment and Loss. New York: Basic Books.
- Brennan KA, Clark CL and Shaver PR (1998) Self-report measurement of adult attachment: An integrative overview. New York, NY, US: Guilford Press.
- Carter RM and Huettel SA (2013) A nexus model of the temporal-parietal junction. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **17**, 328–336.
- Collins NL and Read SJ (1990) Adult attachment, working models, and relationship quality in dating couples. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **58**, 644–663.
- Etkin A, Egner T and Kalisch R (2010) Emotional processing in anterior cingulate and medial prefrontal cortex. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **15**, 85–93.
- George C and West M (2012) *The Adult Attachment Projective Picture System*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- George C, Kaplan N and Main M (1985) *Adult Attachment Interview*. Unpublished manuscript, Berkeley: University of California.
- Griffin D and Bartholomew K (1994) Models of the self and other: fundamental dimensions underlying measures of adult attachment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **67**, 430–445.
- Hazan C and Shaver PR (1987) Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **52**, 511–524.

- Herman JP, Ostrander MM, Mueller NK and Figueiredo H** (2005) Limbic system mechanisms of stress regulation: hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical axis. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry* **29**, 1201–1213, Epub 2005 Nov 4. Review. PubMed.
- Huenefeldt T, Laghi F, Ortu F and Belardinelli MO** (2013) The relationship between ‘theory of mind’ and attachment-related anxiety and avoidance in Italian adolescents. *Journal of Adolescence* **36**, 613–621.
- Kerns KA, Aspelmeier JE, Gentzler AL and Grabill CM** (2001) Parent-child attachment and monitoring in middle childhood. *Journal of Family Psychology* **15**, 69–81.
- Khoury JE, Zona K, Bertha E, Choi-Kain L, Hennighausen K and Lyons-Ruth K** (2019) Disorganized attachment interactions among young adults with borderline personality disorder, other diagnoses, and no diagnosis. *Journal of Personality Disorders*. 10.1521/pedi\_2019\_33\_408. [Epub ahead of print] 1–21.
- Labek K, Viviani R, Gizewski ER, Verius M and Buchheim A** (2016) Neural correlates of the appraisal of attachment scenes in healthy controls and social cognition – an fMRI study. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* **10**, 345.
- Main M and Solomon J** (1986) Discovery of an insecure disorganized/disoriented attachment pattern: Procedures, findings and implications for the classification of behavior. In Brazelton TB and Yogman M (eds.), *Affective Development in Infancy*. Westport, CT, US: Ablex Publishing, pp. 95–124.
- McCarthy G and Taylor A** (1999) Avoidant/ambivalent attachment style as a mediator between abusive childhood experiences and adult relationship difficulties. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **40**, 465–477.
- Nolte T, Bolling DZ, Hudac CM, Fonagy P, Mayes L and Pelphey KA** (2013) Brain mechanisms underlying the impact of attachment-related stress on social cognition. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* **7**, 816.
- O’Neil EB, Newsome RN, Li IH, Thavabalasingam S, Ito R and Lee AC** (2015) Examining the role of the human hippocampus in approach-avoidance decision making using a novel conflict paradigm and multivariate functional magnetic resonance imaging. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **35**, 15039–15049.
- Quirin M, Gillath O, Pruessner JC and Eggert LD** (2009) Adult attachment insecurity and hippocampal cell density. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience* **5**, 39–47.
- Ran G and Zhang Q** (2018) The neural correlates of attachment style during emotional processing: an activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis. *Attachment & Human Development* **20**, 626–633, Sable, P. Clin Soc Work J (2008) **36**: 21.
- Schneider-Hassloff H, Straube B, Nuscheler B, Wemken G and Kircher T** (2015) Adult attachment style modulates neural responses in a mentalizing task. *Neuroscience* **303**, 462–473.
- Schneider-Hassloff H, Straube B, Jansen A, Nuscheler B, Wemken G, Witt SH, Rietschel M and Kircher T** (2016) Oxytocin receptor polymorphism and childhood social experiences shape adult personality, brain structure and neural correlates of mentalizing. *Neuroimage* **134**, 671–684.
- Schultz W** (2016) Reward functions of the basal ganglia. *Journal of Neural Transmission* **123**, 679–693.
- Shackman AJ and Fox AS** (2016) Contributions of the central extended amygdala to fear and anxiety. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **36**, 8050–8063.
- Simpson JA and Rholes WS** (2017) Adult attachment, stress, and romantic relationships. *Current Opinion in Psychology* **13**, 19–24.
- Van Ijzendoorn M, Schuengel C and Bakermans-Kranenburg M** (1999) Disorganized attachment in early childhood: Meta-analysis of precursors, concomitants, and sequelae. *Development and Psychopathology* **11**, 225–250.
- Von Der Heide R, Vyas G and Olson IR** (2014) The social network-network size is predicted by brain structure and function in the amygdala and paralimbic regions. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience* **9**, 1962–1972.
- Vrtička P, Andersson F, Grandjean D, Sander D and Vuilleumier P** (2008) Individual attachment style modulates human amygdala and striatum activation during social appraisal. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e2868.
- Vrtička P, Bondolfi G, Sander D and Vuilleumier P** (2012) The neural substrates of social emotion perception and regulation are modulated by adult attachment style. *Social Neuroscience* **7**, 473–493.
- Vrtička P, Sander D, Anderson B, Badoud D, Eliez S and Debbané M** (2014) Social feedback processing from early to late adolescence: influence of sex, age, and attachment style. *Brain and Behavior* **4**, 703–720.
- Zhang X, Deng M, Ran G, Tang Q, Xu W, Ma Y and Chen X** (2018) Brain correlates of adult attachment style: a voxel-based morphometry study. *Brain Research* **1699**, 34–43.