## **Jose-An ´ ´ıbal Mora-Villalobos1,2 An-Ping Zeng1**

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering, Hamburg University of Technology, Hamburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Centro Nacional de Innovaciones Biotecnológicas, Centro Nacional de Alta Tecnología, San Jose, Costa Rica

## Research Article

# **Protein and pathway engineering for the biosynthesis of 5-hydroxytryptophan in** *Escherichia coli*

The hydroxylation of tryptophan is an important reaction in the biosynthesis of natural products. 5-Hydroxytryptophan (5HTP) is not only an important compound for its pharmaceutical value but also because it is the precursor of other molecules, such as serotonin. In this study, we have extended the metabolism of an *E. coli* strain to produce 5HTP. Aromatic amino acid hydroxylase from *Cupriavidus taiwanensis* (*Ct*AAAH) was selected using an *in silico* structure-based approach. We have predicted and selected several substrate-determining residues using sequence, phylogenetic and functional divergence analyses; we also did rational design on *Ct*AAAH to shift the enzyme preference from phenylalanine to tryptophan. Whole cell bioconversion assays were used to show the effect of predicted sites. In general, all of them decreased the preference toward phenylalanine and increased the tryptophan synthesis activity. The best performer, *Ct*AAAH-W192F, was transformed into a strain that had the tryptophanase gene disrupted and carried a human tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) regeneration pathway. The resulting strain was capable of synthesizing 2.5 mM 5HTP after 24 hours. This work demonstrates the application of computational approaches for protein engineering and further coupling with the bacterial metabolism.

**Keywords:** 5-Hydroxytryptophan / Aromatic amino acid hydroxylase / Protein engineering / Protein *in silico* screening / Synthetic pathway

 $\Box$ Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site

*Received:* March 15, 2017; *revised:* May 16, 2017; *accepted:* May 29, 2017

**DOI:** 10.1002/elsc.201700064

### **1 Introduction**

5-Hydroxytryptophan (5HTP) is a natural non-canonical amino acid, and it is the precursor of the neurotransmitter serotonin. 5HTP has been used for clinical purposes for over 30 years since is effective for the treatment of a variety of conditions (e.g. depression, insomnia, chronic headaches, binge eating associated with obesity). Unlike serotonin, 5HTP is well absorbed from oral doses and can easily cross the blood–brain barrier [1]. In terms of volume demand, 5HTP stood at 136.4 tons in 2012, which corresponds to a value of 31.7 million US dollars [\(www.transparencymarketresearch.com\)](http://www.transparencymarketresearch.com). A key challenge for its

manufacture stands in the fact that the production depends on the extraction from seeds of the African plant *Griffonia simplicifolia*, and therefore the supply of the raw material is linked to seasonal and regional conditions. Chemical synthesis of 5HTP has been reported [2]; however, this is not economically feasible in large scale. Therefore, the biotechnological production provides a promising alternative for 5HTP production, especially because microorganisms have simpler genetic background and metabolic network than the native producer.

To date, *Escherichia coli* remains as the dominant industrial microorganism producer of many complex compounds [3], and as the prime prokaryotic genetic model, *E. coli* also has been engineered to produce 5HTP [4, 5]. In the past two decades, *E. coli* aromatic amino acid producers have been significantly improved with the advent of rational metabolic engineering [6, 7]. The construction of tryptophan producers has driven the deep research and engineering of its pathways, a condition that entails the emergence of new and effective strategies for the production of tryptophan derivatives. Unlike natural amino

**Correspondence**: An-Ping Zeng (aze@tuhh.de). Institute of Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering, Hamburg University of Technology, Denicke straße 15, D – 21073, Hamburg, Germany

**Abbreviations: AAAH**, Aromatic amino acid hydroxylase; **FDI**, Functional diverse type-I; **FDII**, Functional diverse type-II; **5HTP**, 5 hydroxytrptophan; **ML**, Maximum Likelihood; **NJ**, Neighbor-Joining

in Life Sciences

acids, the biosynthesis of non-canonical amino acids from simple sugars often requires the incorporation of an artificial metabolic pathway to expand the cell's capabilities, the optimization of this pathway usually require extensive protein engineering [8, 9].

Efforts have been made to engineer *E. coli* strains capable of converting tryptophan to 5HTP [4, 5]. Mammalian tryptophan 5-hydroxylase is capable of synthesizing 5HTP via tryptophan hydroxylation, but it has low activity and poor stability when expressed in prokaryotes [10]; therefore, this method is not suitable for production purposes. Often, metabolic engineering uses enzymes with a non-native substrate to perform transformations within the novel synthetic pathways. Pioneering studies have used bacterial aromatic amino acid hydroxylases (AAAH), which has a strong preference toward phenylalanine, and shifted the substrate preference for tryptophan, based on sequence comparison and modification [5, 11]. Protein engineering can facilitate the tailoring of the biocatalysts by shifting the substrate specificity with high yields of the desired molecules.

The combination of crystallographic studies and the continuous progress in molecular modeling methods have opened new perspectives for structure-based protein engineering, further comparison of the sequences and functions of related proteins can be used to identify important residues for substrate specificity [12, 13]. The development of these areas allows for example the design and optimization of the binding pocket conformation guided by the features of the ligands. In the same way, point mutations could also be rationally designed and the preference of different substrates could be evaluated *in silico*, reducing significantly the number of mutants to be tested in the laboratory.

In this work, we aimed to expand the tryptophan pathway for the production of 5HTP. We performed protein engineering on *Cupriavidus taiwanensis* AAAH based on structural models generated *in silico*. Using sequence, phylogenetic and functional divergence analyses, we identified important residues responsible for the substrate specificity and compared the protein selectivity at both *in silico* and *in vivo* levels. The best candidate was used to elongate the tryptophan pathway in an *E. coli* strain which has a cofactor recycling pathway.

### **2 Materials and methods**

#### **2.1 Computational analyses**

To identify members of the AAAH superfamily the keyword "aromatic amino acid hydroxylase" was used as a query to search against the NCBI protein reference sequence database. Hypothetical and predicted sequences were eliminated, as well as redundant entries. Amino acid sequences were aligned using MUSCLE [14], and refinements within the alignments were done manually.

Phylogenetic analyses employing the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method with 10 000 bootstrap values were done in MEGA v7 [15]. NJ trees were compared with Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis, using the best-fit models predicted with ProtTest v2.4 [16] and constructing the tree in MEGA using a bootstrap of 1000 to assess the reliability of interior branches. Functional divergence type-I and type-II (FDI and FDII, respectively) analyses were done using DIVERGE v3.0 [17].

Tertiary structures of bacterial AAAH were generated with the I-TASSER server [18] and compared with homologous validated protein structures. A rotamer library was used during the structure determination [19], and side chains were refined using the Protein Database [\(http://www.rcsb.org\)](http://www.rcsb.org) entries 1MMK and 3E2T as guides. Molecular docking analysis of tryptophan and phenylalanine using the generated AAAH (wild type and variants) were performed using AutoDock Vina v1.1.2 [20]. The ligands were manually placed in the active site using the substrate position in other AAAHs crystal structures as a guide, for these analyses we used a grid box of  $15 \times 15 \times 15$  Å and the level of exhaustiveness set to 10. Molecular graphics and analyses of all the structures were performed with the UCSF Chimera package [21].

#### **2.2 DNA manipulation**

Chromosomal DNA from *C. taiwanensis* was prepared using NucleoSpin® Tissue (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The *aaah* gene from *C. taiwanensis* was amplified by PCR using the following primers (5 to 3 ): *CtAAAH*-forward, TATGGATCCATGCGCATTATATG-CATGATCTATCGAAATC and*CtAAAH*-reverse, ATCAAGCTT-TCAGATGTCTTCGGTATCGGCC. The generated fragment was cloned within the *Bam*HI and *Hind*III sites of the pACYCDuet vector to yield the plasmid p*CtAAAH*.

Site-direct mutagenesis experiments were carried out using QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, USA). The resulting plasmids were transformed separately into NEB10ß strains by  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$  method [22]. All mutations were verified by DNA sequencing. The obtained mutant plasmids were introduced into *E. coli* BL21(DE3)-*tnaA. Tryptophanase A* gene was knocked out using the λ recombination system [23]. Details of the strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1.

#### **2.3** *In vivo* **enzyme assays**

The *in vivo* assays were carried out to evaluate the activity of *Ct*AAAH (wild types and variants) toward phenylalanine and tryptophan. E. coli BL21(DE3)△tnaA harboring different plasmids were cultivated in LB medium containing 50  $\mu$ g/mL ampicillin. Isopropyl-ß-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 0.4 mM was added to the culture when  $OD_{600}$ reached 0.4. Cells were then cultivated at 25°C with constant agitation at 220 rpm. After a 16 h induction period, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3300 x *g* and washed twice with 100 mM HEPES-NaOH buffer (pH 8.0).

5HTP and tyrosine were produced in a reaction mixture containing 100 mM HEPES-NaOH buffer (pH 8.0), 4 mM L-tryptophan or L-phenylalanine, 4 mM BH4, 0.1 mM FeSO<sub>4</sub>, 50 mM D-glucose, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100 and *E. coli* whole cells (OD<sub>600</sub> between 15 and 20) in a total volume of 500  $\mu$ L. The reaction was performed for 30 min at 30°C. After the reaction, cells were removed by centrifugation at 12 000 x *g* for 10 min

in Life Sciences





at 4°C, the supernatant was filtrated and stored at 4°C before HPLC analysis.

#### **2.4 Microbial production of 5HTP**

*E. coli* BL21(DE3) $\Delta$ *tnaA* strain was transformed with corresponding plasmids. Batch fermentations were carried out in 300 mL baffled shake flasks with a total volume of 30 mL. Overnight LB cultures were inoculated in Seed Medium to an initial OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.1 and grew at 37 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  for 8–10 h with constant agitation. Seed Medium contained (per liter): glucose (12 g),  $MgSO_4·7H_2O$  (0.5 g),  $KH_2PO_4$  (2 g),  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  (4 g), yeast extract (5 g), monosodium citrate dehydrate (2 g), biotin (0.1 mg), DL-calcium pantothenate (0.5 mg), ascorbic acid (176 mg) and  $10 \text{ mL of } 100 \times \text{stock trace elements.}$  The stock trace elements solution was composed of (per liter in 0.1 N HCl):  $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2.5 g), AlCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (2.5 g), FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (10 g), CoCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O  $(1.75 \text{ g})$ , CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O  $(10 \text{ g})$ , ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O  $(0.5 \text{ g})$ , CuCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O  $(0.25 \text{ g})$ , H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.125 g), Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 g). Afterward, the cells were transferred to Fermentation Medium to an initial  $OD_{600}$  of 0.05 and grew at 30°C with constant agitation. Fermentation Medium was the same as Seed Medium, except for 1 g/L of yeast extract instead of 5 g/L, and 1 g/L of tryptophan. The pH of both media were adjusted at 6.7 using a 5M KOH solution.

#### **2.5 Analytics**

Aromatic amino acids and 5HTP were analyzed by HPLC as described in da Luz et al. [24]. Samples were derivate using the AccQ-tag method (Waters, USA) and fluorescence was detected as described in the manufacturer's manual. Measurements were done on an Ultimate-3000 HPLC system (ThermoFisher, Germany) with a binary gradient where eluent "A" was 140 mM sodium acetate with 0.1% v/v ACN and eluent "B" was 60% v/v ACN. The gradient was as follows: at 0 min 0% B; at 0.5 min 1% B; at 21 min 12% B; at 26 min 17% B; at 55 min 33% B; and remained constant from 62 until 80 min at 100% B. All gradient changes were linear between the points given above. Separation was done using a Kinetex RP column (2.6  $\mu$ m, C18,  $100 \times 4.6$  mm, Phenomenex, USA) at 45°C, and an injection volume of 10  $\mu$ L was used.

### **3 Results and discussion**

#### **3.1 Computational analysis and selection of an AAAH prototype**

A total of 507 AAAH protein sequences were collected after the database search and selection process. The results revealed the presence of AAAH homologs in different taxa: 284 eukaryotic sequences of which the mayor group, 235 sequences, belonged to the Metazoan taxon (Animal Kingdom), the other 49 sequences were distributed among the Fungi, Viridiplantae (mosses and green algae), and Protista groups. For the Prokaryote group, 223 sequences were retrieved from the database, these sequences were distributed among different taxa: Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and so on (Supporting Information Table 1).

To explore the phylogenetic relationship among AAAH paralogous proteins, a NJ phylogenetic tree with the 507 sequences was inferred from the amino acid sequences. A ML tree was also constructed under the best-fit model, Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT), with discrete gamma distribution in three categories (gamma shape  $= 3,163$ ). The tree topologies assessed by ML and NJ methods were substantially similar. The phylogenetic analysis placed the prokaryotic AAAH at the base of the tree. The eukaryotic taxon showed three distinct clusters: phenylalanine (PAH), tryptophan (TPH), and tyrosine (TH) hydroxylase (Supporting Information Fig. 1). These groups were unambiguously separated from protists, fungi and lower plants (mosses/green algae). The results are consistent with previous phylogenetic studies of AAAHs [5, 25].

Functional diverse analyses among prokaryotic and eukaryotic clusters appear to be of great interest, given that with these we could identify potential sites that differ between the groups

in Life Sciences

of enzymes that have phenylalanine or tryptophan preference. Such sites could be helpful in designing novel enzymes for the production of 5HTP. The FDI analysis for these clusters revealed significant  $\theta$ <sub>*I*</sub> values between the bacterial and eukaryotic AAAH, indicating that diversification has taken place during evolution (Table 2). We identified three different groups of residues in our analysis: (i) sites that are conserved within all the clusters, many of these amino acids are involved in the enzyme activity, e.g. conserved motifs and functionally important residues such as the 2-His-1-caboxylate facial triad which is involved in the coordination of the iron molecule in the active pocket of all hydroxylases [26]; (ii) sites that are conserved within Eukaryotes and Prokaryotes taxa, but that are different between them; and (iii) sites that seem to be related with specific substrate preference (Fig. 1). The FDII analysis showed a low  $\theta_{II}$  value of the TPH/PAH pair (Table 2.). Since there is compiling evidence regarding of the substrate specificity of these two groups, the FDII analysis confirms that the predicted substrate-determining residues between the latter group might have relevant functions specifically related to phenylalanine or tryptophan (activity, inhibition, activation, etc.). To gain more insight into the possible function of these predicted FDII sites, we mapped residues with high posterior probability (Qk) values in the modeled AAAHs structures (Fig. 2).

By combining phylogenetic evidence with the FDI and FDII analyses, we hypothesized that even after a long-term evolution process, prokaryotic AAAH and eukaryotic PAH still share some conserved amino acids that determine their substrate preference. Furthermore, the low divergence between animal PAH and TPH (and high sequence similarity) indicate that the substrate preference may involve only a small number of residues. Based on this hypothesis we selected six residues and did site-directed mutagenesis of the protein.

Before exploring the substrate-determining amino acids, we generated protein structure models of the AAAHs of 10 bacteria: *Chromobacterium violaceum, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Xanthomonas campestris, Streptomyces kanamyceticus, Echinicola vietnamensis, Pseudoalteromonas atlantica, Pseudomonas putida, Mesorhizobium plurifarium, Archangium violaceum* and *Cupriavidus taiwanensis*. Some of the crystal structures of these proteins have been already determined [26, 27], and we use these, as well as others eukaryotic AAAHs for verification and com-

**Table 2.** Functional divergence between eukaryotic TPH/PAH and prokaryotic paralogous proteins

| Group 1    | Group 2    |                          | Type-I         | Type-II                               |                |  |
|------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|--|
|            |            | $\theta_I$ <sup>a)</sup> | $Qk > 0.9^{b}$ | $\theta$ <sub>II</sub> <sup>a</sup> ) | $Qk > 0.9^{b}$ |  |
| AAAH       | <b>PAH</b> | $0.664 +$<br>0.090       | 37             | $0.374 +$<br>0.160                    | 66             |  |
| AAAH       | <b>TPH</b> | $0.747 +$<br>0.094       | 34             | $0.445 +$<br>0.161                    | 78             |  |
| <b>TPH</b> | <b>PAH</b> | $0.201 +$<br>0.060       | $\Omega$       | $0.059 \pm$<br>0.095                  | 15             |  |

<sup>a)</sup> $\theta$ *I* and  $\theta$ *II*, correspond to the functional divergence Type-I and Type-II coefficient, respectively. Coefficients were estimated using DIVERGE v3.0 [17].

b)Qk, posterior probability.

parison purposes. We performed phenylalanine and tryptophan docking analyses using the generated structures. AAAH from *C. taiwanensis* (*Ct*AAAH) performed better during the *in silico* tryptophan docking analysis, hence we decided to use this enzyme as a prototype for our further work. Moreover, when we used this model and created variants with homologous sites that have been reported to increase the tryptophan affinity for bacterial AAAHs, the docking results showed higher affinity toward tryptophan than the other structures. Relative binding energies of *Ct*AAAH for phenylalanine and tryptophan are present in Table 3.

#### **3.2 Protein engineering for the modification of substrate preference**

The design of synthetic metabolic pathways often requires different roles of the wild-type proteins we would like to use. The *Ct*AAAH enzyme has high similarity with other hydroxylase reported to have phenylalanine preference. We aimed to alter its substrate specificity and create a new catalyst with characteristics that fulfill our needs.

The *aaah* gene from *C. taiwanensis* was cloned into the low copy plasmid pACYCDuet under control of an isopropyl ß-D-1-tiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible T7 promoter. The resulting expression construction, p*Ct*AAAH, was transformed in strain BL21(DE3)  $\Delta$ *tnaA*. Because tryptophanase encoded by *tnaA* has been reported to catalyze the degradation of tryptophan and 5HTP to pyruvate, ammonia, and indole or hydroxy-indole, respectively [4, 28], we knocked out this gene from *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3).

The selectivity and activity of the selected model was evaluated using *E. coli* strains expressing *Ct*AAAH wild-type. The *in vivo* specific activity assay results showed that the enzyme has a strong preference toward phenylalanine (86.8  $\pm$  4.3  $\mu$ M · h<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  OD<sub>600</sub><sup>-1</sup>) when compared with tryptophan (10.5 ± 0.4  $\mu$ M  $\cdot$  h<sup>-1</sup> · OD<sub>600</sub><sup>-1</sup>). However, when the tryptophan activity was compared with other bacterial wild-type AAAHs reported in the literature, *Ct*AAAH showed around three-fold higher activity toward tryptophan (*X. campestris* = 2.91  $\pm$  0.21  $\mu$ M · h<sup>-1</sup> ·  $OD_{600}$ <sup>-1</sup>) [5]. These confirm the prediction of our initial computational screening.

The FDII analysis showed 15 residues with high Qk: large Qk values indicate high evolutionary rates or physiochemical differences between homologous amino acids of two clades. These sites were tracked within the alignments and the corresponding position of the *C. taiwanensis* sequence was compared with the sequence from the enzymes that have either phenylalanine or tryptophan preference. Six out of this 15 sites were selected because the *C. taiwanensis* amino acids were similar (belong to the same chemical group) to the sequences of the PAH cluster, and at the same time different from the TPH group (L113Y, W192F, Y222H, S223C, P229A, Y244C). Three amino acids L113, W192 and Y244 seem to be involved in the substrate and ligand stabilization and predicted mutations would be favorable for the tryptophan hydroxylation due to binding interactions and volume effect [29] (Fig. 2).

In the modeled *Ct*AAAH·BH4·Trp complex, the pterin ring of BH4  $\pi$ -stacks with P119, the L113 residue is also involved

in Life Sciences

|                                       | 510 | 520 | 530  | 540 | 550 | 560 | 570 | 580 |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|                                       |     |     |  |     |     |     |     |     |
| AAAH Betaproteobacteria               |     |     | ATGWEIVAVPGLVPDQVFFEHLANRRFPVTWWMRRPDQLDYLQEPDCFHDLFGHVPLLINPVFADYMHAYG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| AAAH Betaproteobacteria               |     |     | ATGWEIVAVPGLVPDRVFFEHLAHRRFPVTWWMRRPDOLDYLOEPDCFHDLFGHVPLLIEPVFADYMOAYG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| AAAH Betaproteobacteria               |     |     | ATGWOIVAVPGLVPDRVFFEHLANRRFPVTWWMRRPDOLDYLOEPDCFHDLFGHVPLLIEPVFADYMOAYG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>AAAH Chromobacterium violaceum</b> |     |     | ATGWKIVAVPGLIPDDVFFEHLANRRFPVTWWLREPHOLDYLOEPDVFHDLFGHVPLLINPVFADYLEAYG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>AAAH Betaproteobacteria</b>        |     |     | ATGWOIVAVPGLVPDEVFFEHLANRRFPASWWMRRPDOLDYLOEPDGFHDIFGHVPLLINPVFADYMOAYG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>AAAH Cupriavidus taiwanensis</b>   |     |     | ATGWOVVAVPGLVPDEVFFEHLANRRFPASWWMRKPEOLDYLOEPDCFHDVFGHVPLLINPVFADYMEAYG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>PAH</b> Insecta                    |     |     | CTGFTLRPVAGLLSSRDFLAGLAFRVFHSTQYIRHPSKPMYTPEPDVCHELMGHVPLFADPAFAQFSQEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>PAH</b> Insecta                    |     |     | CTGFTLRPVAGLLSSRDFLAGLAFRVFHSTOYIRHHSRPLYTPEPDVCHELLGHAPLFADPAFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| PAH Actinopterygii                    |     |     | CTGFRLRPVAGLLSSRDFLAGLAFRVFHSTOYIRHSSKPMYTPEPDICHELLGHVPLFADPNFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| PAH Actinopterygii                    |     |     | CTGFRLRPVAGLLSSRDFLAGLAFRVFHSTOYIRHGSEPTYTPEPDVCHELLGHVPLFADSRFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| PAH Amphibia                          |     |     | CTGFRLRPVAGLLSSRDFLAGLAFRVFHSTQYIRHWSKPMYTPEPDVCHELLGHAPLFADPGFAQFSQEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>PAH Aves</b>                       |     |     | CTGFRLRPVAGLLSSRDFLAGLAFRVFHSTQYIRHASKPMYTPEPDICHELLGHVPLFADPSFAQFSQEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>PAH Mammalia</b>                   |     |     | CTGFRLRPVAGLLSSRDFLGGLAFRVFHCTOYIRHGSKPMYTPEPDICHELLGHVPLFSDRSFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>PAH Mammalia</b>                   |     |     | CTGFRLRPVAGLLSSRDFLGGLAFRVFHCTOYIRHGSKPMYTPEPDICHELLGHVPLFSDRSFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH1 Actinopterygii</b>            |     |     | RTGFTIRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAFRVFHCTOYVRHSSDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLAEPSFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH1 Mammalia</b>                  |     |     | RTGFSIRPVAGYLSPRDFLSGLAFRVFHCTQYVRHSSDPFYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLAEPSFAQFSQEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH1 Mammalia</b>                  |     |     | RTGFSIRPVAGYLSPRDFLSGLAFRVFHCTOYVRHSSDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLAEPSFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH1 Amphibia</b>                  |     |     | RTGFTIRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAFRVFHCTQYVRHDSDPLNTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLAEPSFAQFSQEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH1 Testudines</b>                |     |     | RTGFTIRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAFRVFHCTOYVRHSSDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLAEPSFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH1 Aves</b>                      |     |     | RTGFTIRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAFRVFHCTQYVRHSSDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLAEPSFAQFSQEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH1 Aves</b>                      |     |     | RTGFTIRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAFRVFHCTOYVRHSSDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLAEPSFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| TPH2 Actinopterygii                   |     |     | RSGFTVRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAYRVFNCTQYVRHSTDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLADPKFAQFSQEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| TPH2 Actinopterygii                   |     |     | RSGFTVRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAYRVFNCTOYIRHSTDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLADPKFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH2 Mammalia</b>                  |     |     | RSGFTVRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAYRVFHCTOYVRHGSDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLADPKFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH2 Mammalia</b>                  |     |     | RSGFTVRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAYRVFHCTOYVRHGSDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLADPKFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH2 Testudines</b>                |     |     | <b>RSGFTVRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAYRVFHCTOYVRHGSDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLADPKFAOFSOEIG</b> |     |     |     |     |     |
| <b>TPH2 Aves</b>                      |     |     | RSGFTVRPVAGYLSPRDFLAGLAYRVFHCTOYVRHGSDPLYTPEPDTCHELLGHVPLLADPKFAOFSOEIG        |     |     |     |     |     |
| Tree scale: 0.1                       |     |     |  |     |     |     |     |     |

**Figure 1.** Protein tree and alignment for the AAAH superfamily: unrooted protein distance tree and alignment for 30 aromatic amino acid hydroxylases with phenylalanine (AAAH and PAH) or tryptophan (TPH1 and TPH2) affinity. Amino acids in the alignment are mainly present in the active pocket, amino acids colored in orange indicate conserve residues, amino acids highlighted with blue and light blue are strongly related to the substrate preference. A consensus graph is present below the alignment.

in the binding since it is located within  $3.5$  Å from the cofactor. The mutation L113Y adds an extra  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interaction  $(3.2 \text{ Å})$ , so that the enzyme-cofactor complex could be stabilized and orientated. Homologous mutations Y235L of human TPH decreased the  $K<sub>m</sub>$  of BH4 [30], which in turn affected the apparent affinity for tryptophan. Similar results were reported by Kino et al. 2009 [11] using DMPH4 as an analog cofactor. In our model tryptophan is docked in the structure and stabilized by ionic interaction with S215 and R135, and through  $\pi$  stacking interactions with H150, F197 and W192. TPHs present a phenylalanine in the W192 homologous site, in our W192F-model this position seems to be involved in the

binding of the indole ring of the L-tryptophan. Also, volumeeffect may play an important role in the stabilization of the substrate, since the distance between the residue and the substrate (or an analog) is between 3.8 to 4.1 Å in different reported structures. In our case, when the wild-type is docked with tryptophan the distance to the W192 residue is 3.6 Å, and it increases to 4.0 Å in the case of the W192F variant. Residue Y244 stabilizes the position W192 through a  $\pi$ -  $\pi$  interaction, a modification in this position could give more flexibility to the W192 residue, thus increasing the tryptophan preference due to a volume-effect as mentioned before. The residues Y222, S223 and P229 are out from the binding pocket, predicted mutations could



Figure 2. Prediction of substrate-determining residues based on functional divergence type-II analysis of tryptophan and phenylalanine hydroxylase, and their position in *Cupriavidus taiwanensis* modeled structure: (A) posterior probability (Qk) of the homologous sites, a threshold line of  $Qk = 0.9$  is indicated in the graph; (B) predicted amino acids (blue) are mapped in the structure, phenylalanine (magenta) is present at the active site, as well as the cofactor, 2-His-1-caboxylate facial triad and the iron molecule (orange).

in Life Sciences



**Table 3.** *In silico* relative docking binding energy and *in vivo* activity of *Cupriavidus taiwanensis* AAAH (wild-type and mutants) using phenylalanine or tryptophan as substrate

a)RBE, relative binding energy estimated using AutoDock Vina v1.1.2 [20].

<sup>b)</sup>Activity estimated as  $\mu$ M · h<sup>-1</sup> · OD<sub>600</sub><sup>-1</sup>. All data are reported as the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) from three independent experiments.

play a role in the interaction or stabilization of the flexible loop (242-251).

To investigate the performance of the predicted substratedetermining residues, enzyme assays were done using generated mutants. As a result, the W192F mutant exhibited a 5.5-fold increase in tryptophan hydroxylation activity when compared with the wild type, meanwhile the activity toward phenylalanine decreased by  $\sim$  10%. The substrate preference for phenylalanine over tryptophan was shifted from 8.2 to 1.3. The other mutations, in general, presented a similar pattern: phenylalanine activity decreased, meanwhile the tryptophan activity increased. It is worth noting that although we did not observe a strong correlation between the *in silico* and *in vivo* Phe/Trp preference  $(R<sup>2</sup> = 0.61)$ , we did observe correspondence among the high and the low performers in terms of substrate selectivity: mutants W192F and P229A were predicted to have more preference toward tryptophan, meanwhile L113Y and S223C showed low Phe/Trp ratio (Table 3).

Advances have been made to predict mathematically favorable mutations for the creation of enzymes with wanted characteristics based on limited experimental data. This approach would facilitate protein engineering greatly, especially in the absence of high-throughput screening methods. The example developed in this paper has great potential for the tailoring of enzymes with desired substrate specificity for synthetic biology and metabolic

engineering. Nevertheless, final scope of this method should be prudently addressed, since we are aware that this is a specific example, and future experiments could bring different insights.

#### **3.3 Pathway engineering for the production of 5HTP**

If one aims to expand the tryptophan metabolism to produce 5HTP in *E. coli*, three important components are needed: the hydroxylase enzyme, a pterin cofactor, and a cofactor regeneration systems since *E. coli* lacks it. One of the issues of the expression of AAAHs in bacteria is the availability of the cofactor. The most common cofactor reported to participate in the hydroxylation of aromatic amino acids is tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4). While a few bacterial taxa, such as *Cyanobacteria* and *Chlorobia*, produce BH4, most of the prokaryotes cannot synthesize this cofactor. As *aaah* genes are present in different groups of bacteria, they must use a different cofactor available in prokaryotes. Pribat et al. [31] reported that tetrahydromonapterin (MH4), which is naturally produced in *E. coli*, is the cofactor of *Pseudomonas* AAAH and can also be used in eukaryotic tyrosine hydroxylase as well. Therefore, we explored the possibility that *Ct*AAAH could use endogenous MH4 as a cofactor.

When compared *E. coli* BL21(DE3)*tnaA* cultures harboring p*Ct*AAAH, wild-type and W192F, the latter showed



**Figure 3.** Synthetic pathway for the hydroxylation of tryptophan to 5-hydroxytryptophan. *Ct*AAAH hydroxylates tryptophan in the presence of oxygen and tetrahydromonapterin. In this reaction the cofactor is oxidated. Afterward, the pterin-4a-carbinolamine dehydratase (PCD) dehydrates MH-4a-carbinolamine, and dihydropteridine reductase (DHPR) reduces back the pterin cofactor.

in Life Sciences



**Figure 4.** Modification of *Ct*AAAH via protein engineering: (A) *in vivo* activity of wild-type (wt) *Ct*AAAH and its mutants, dark gray and blue bars indicates the activities toward phenylalanine and tryptophan, respectively. (B) Cell growth (dark gray) and 5HTP production (blue) during fermentation by fed-batch using shaken flasks. BL21(DE3)-*tnaA* (squares), BL21(DE3) p*Ct*AAAH-W192F (diamonds), BL21(DE3) cofactor (triangles), BL21(DE3) cofactor p*Ct*AAAH-W192F (circles). Bars present in the graphs correspond to the standards errors means (SEM) from three independent experiments.

a stagnation during cell growth and low 5HTP production (0.21 mM), whereas the wild-type reached a maximum growth of  $OD_{600} = 7.6$  (comparable to the control) and no 5HTP was detected. A similar effect has been observed in previous studies [5]. This result could be explained because the enzyme might have been using MH4 as a cofactor and there is no recycling system in *E. coli*. To establish an artificial MH4 regeneration pathway we transformed plasmid pBbE1k-2, which encodes for human pterin-4a-carbinolamine dehydratase (PCD) and dihydropteridine reductase (DHPR). PCD and DHPR participate in a well-known BH4 recycling system in animals [32]. After the tryptophan hydroxylation, the pterin cofactor is converted into pterin-4a-carbinoalamine, and PCD catalyzes its dehydration and produces dihydropteridine. The cycle is closed when DHPR reduces and reactivates the pterin (Fig. 3) [33].

There were no differences in the growth of BL21(DE3)  $\Delta$  tnaA when these cells were carrying the cofactor regeneration system; and as expected, when p*CtAAAH-*W192F was cotransformed with pBbE1k-2, cell viability dramatically improved, comparable with the values of the strains without the *CtAAAH-*W192F. These cells grew in media supplied with 5 mM tryptophan, they produced up to 2.5 mM 5HTP in a 24 hs cultivation period (Fig. 4), three times more than previously reported by Lin et al. 2014 [5]. This result shows that the strain expressing the engineered *Ct*AAAH-W192F and the MH4 regeneration pathway genes successfully produces 5HTP.

### **4 Concluding remarks**

The dramatic increase in healthcare cost has become a significant burden to the world. The biosynthesis of chiral drugs intermediates with environmentally friendly approaches help provide more affordable pharmaceuticals.

Here, we have expanded the natural metabolic capability of *E. coli* to produce the non-canonical amino acid 5HTP using protein engineering added with a computational screening approach of a selection of a wild-type enzyme and the prediction of key mutations. Initially, we generated 10 structures and selected *Ct*AAAH as the prototype enzyme to work in the laboratory. Using sequence comparison, phylogenetic and functional diverge

analyses we successfully identified relevant residues for phenylalanine/tryptophan substrate preference. All these sites were shown to increase the tryptophan preference of the enzyme at the expense of phenylalanine. We succeeded in introducing an artificial pathway for the L-tryptophan oxidation into *E. coli* using the best generated mutant *Ct*AAAH-W192F, the endogenous MH4 cofactor, and the human proteins PDC and DHPR for the cofactor regeneration pathway.

This engineered strain is capable of producing 5HTP, and when combined with a tryptophan overproducing strain, a simple sugar could be used as a carbon source for the production, which will make the microbial system more economically attractive.

### Practical applications

The selection of native enzymes is keynote before protein and metabolic engineering. In this work, we present a practical approach for the prediction of suitable enzymes based sequence and structure analysis. We identify key residues involved in the protein-ligand interactions and based on these we selected a fit enzyme as starting material for protein engineering. The paper details the elongation of the tryptophan pathway for the biosynthesis of 5 hydroxytryptophan (5HTP) via the incorporation of a modified AAAH and a cofactor regeneration system. These results can help to reduce production costs 5HTP, which is used by the pharmaceutical industry and serves as a chemical platform to produce other derivatives. Based on computational analyses, we also identified novel sites involved in the AAAH's phenylalanine/tryptophan selectivity. A further combination of these mutations could increase the selectivity and/or activity toward tryptophan.

*The authors would liketo acknowledgethe Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD), the Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnolog´ıa y Telecomunicaciones (MICITT) and Consejo Nacional para Investigaciones Cient´ıficas y Tecnologicas (CONICIT) from Costa Rica ´ for financial support.*

in Life Sciences

*The authors have declared no conflict of interest.*

### **5 References**

- [1] Birdsall, T. C., 5-Hydroxytryptophan: a clinically-effective serotonin precursor. *Altern. Med. Rev.* 1998, *3*, 271–280.
- [2] Frangatos, G., Chubb, F. L., A new synthesis of 5 hydroxytryptophan. *Can. J. Chem.* 1959, *37*, 1374–1376.
- [3] Chen, X., Zhou, L., Tian, K., Kumar, A. et al., Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli*: a sustainable industrial platform for bio-based chemical production. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2013, *31*, 1200–1223.
- [4] Hara, R., Kino, K., Enhanced synthesis of 5-hydroxy-ltryptophan through tetrahydropterin regeneration. *AMB Express* 2013, *3*, 70.
- [5] Lin, Y., Sun, X., Yuan, Q., Yan, Y., Engineering bacterial phenylalanine 4-hydroxylase for microbial synthesis of human neurotransmitter precursor 5-hydroxytryptophan. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 2014, *3*, 497–505.
- [6] Yakandawala, N., Romeo, T., Friesen, A. D., Madhyastha, S., Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* to enhance phenylalanine production. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2008, *78*, 283– 291.
- [7] Chen, L., Zeng, A. P., Rational design and metabolic analysis of *Escherichia coli*for effective production of L-tryptophan at high concentration. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2016, *101*, 559–568.
- [8] Arnold, F. H., Combinatorial and computational challenges for biocatalyst design. *Nature* 2001, *409*, 253–257.
- [9] Chen, Z., Zeng, A. P., Protein engineering approaches to chemical biotechnology. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2016, *42*, 198–205.
- [10] Wang, L., Erlandsen, H., Haavik, J., Knappskog, P. M. et al., Three-dimensional structure of human tryptophan hydroxylase and its implications for the biosynthesis of the neurotransmitters serotonin and melatonin. *Biochemistry* 2002, *41*, 12569–12574.
- [11] Kino, K., Hara, R., Nozawa, A., Enhancement of l-tryptophan 5-hydroxylation activity by structure-based modification of l-phenylalanine 4-hydroxylase from *Chromobacterium violaceum*. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* 2009, *108*, 184–189.
- [12] Chen, Z., Zeng, A. P., Protein design in systems metabolic engineering for industrial strain development. *Biotechnol. J.* 2013, *8*, 523–533.
- [13] Chen, Z., Wilmanns, M., Zeng, A. P., Structural synthetic biotechnology: from molecular structure to predictable design for industrial strain development. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2010, *28*, 534–542.
- [14] Edgar, R. C., MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2004, *32*, 1792–7.
- [15] Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Tamura, K., MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2016, *33*, 1870–1874.
- [16] Abascal, F., Zardoya, R., Posada, D., ProtTest: selection of bestfit models of protein evolution. *Bioinformatics* 2005, *21*, 2104– 2105.
- [17] Gu, X., Statistical methods for testing functional divergence after gene duplication. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 1999, *16*, 1664–1674.
- [18] Roy, A., Kucukural, A., Zhang, Y., I-TASSER: a unified platform for automated protein structure and function prediction. *Nat. Protoc.* 2010, *5*, 725–738.
- [19] Shapovalov, M. V., Dunbrack, R. L., A smoothed backbonedependent rotamer library for proteins derived from adaptive kernel density estimates and regressions. *Structure* 2011, *19*, 844–858.
- [20] Trott, O., Olson, A. J., Software news and update AutoDock Vina: Improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2010, *31*, 455–461.
- [21] Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S. et al., UCSF Chimera - A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2004, *25*, 1605–1612.
- [22] Sambrook, J., Russell, D. W., *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York 2000.
- [23] Datsenko, K. A., Wanner, B. L., One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2000, *97*, 6640–6645.
- [24] da Luz, J. A., Hans, E., Zeng, A. P., Automated fast filtration and on-filter quenching improve the intracellular metabolite analysis of microorganisms. *Eng. Life Sci.* 2014, *14*, 135– 142.
- [25] McKinney, J. A., Turel, B., Winge, I., Knappskog, P. M. et al., Functional properties of missense variants of human tryptophan hydroxylase 2. *Hum. Mutat.* 2009, *30*, 787–794.
- [26] Erlandsen, H., Kim, J. Y., Patch, M. G., Han, A. et al., Structural comparison of bacterial and human iron-dependent phenylalanine hydroxylases: similar fold, different stability and reaction rates. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2002, *320*, 645–661.
- [27] Ekström, F., Stier, G., Eaton, J. T., Sauer, U. H., Crystallization and X-ray analysis of a bacterial non-haem iron-containing phenylalanine hydroxylase from the Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Acta Crystallogr. - Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 2003, *59*, 1310–1312.
- [28] Gong, F., Ito, K., Nakamura, Y., Yanofsky, C., The mechanism of tryptophan induction of tryptophanase operon expression: tryptophan inhibits release factor-mediated cleavage of TnaCpeptidyl-tRNA(Pro). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2001, *98*, 8997– 9001.
- [29] Daubner, S. C., Moran, G. R., Fitzpatrick, P. F., Role of tryptophan hydroxylase phe313 in determining substrate specificity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2002, *292*, 639–41.
- [30] McKinney, J., Teigen, K., Frøystein, N. A., Salaün, C. et al., Conformation of the substrate and pterin cofactor bound to human tryptophan hydroxylase. Important role of Phe313 in substrate specificity. *Biochemistry* 2001, *40*, 15591–15601.
- [31] Pribat, A., Blaby, I. K., Lara-Núñez, A., Gregory, J. F. et al., FolX and FolM are essential for tetrahydromonapterin synthesis in *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2010, *192*, 475–482.
- [32] Satoh, Y., Tajima, K., Munekata, M., Keasling, J. D. et al., Engineering of l-tyrosine oxidation in *Escherichia coli* and microbial production of hydroxytyrosol. *Metab. Eng.* 2012, *14*, 603–610.
- [33] Davis, M. D., Kaufman, S., Milstien, S., Conversion of 6 substituted tetrahydropterins to 7-isomers via phenylalanine hydroxylase-generated intermediates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1991, *88*, 385–389.