Original Article



# Genetically Engineered Cell-Derived Nanoparticles for Targeted Breast Cancer Immunotherapy

Xiaojing Shi,<sup>[1](#page-0-0)</sup> Qinqin Cheng,<sup>1</sup> Tianling Hou,<sup>1</sup> Menglu Han,<sup>1</sup> Goar Smbatyan,<sup>[2](#page-0-0)</sup> Julie E. Lang,<sup>[3,](#page-0-1)[4](#page-0-2)</sup> Alan L. Epstein,<sup>[5](#page-0-3)</sup> Heinz-Josef Lenz,<sup>[2](#page-0-0)</sup> and Yong Zhang<sup>[1,](#page-0-0)[4,](#page-0-2)[6,](#page-0-3)[7](#page-0-4)</sup>

<span id="page-0-3"></span><span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>1Department of Pharmacology and Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA; 2Division of Medical Oncology, Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA; 3Department of Surgery, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA; 4Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Pathology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA; <sup>6</sup>Department of Chemistry, Dornsife College of Letters, Arts and Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA; 7Research Center for Liver Diseases, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

<span id="page-0-4"></span>Exosomes are nanosized membranous vesicles secreted by a variety of cells. Due to their unique and pharmacologically important properties, cell-derived exosome nanoparticles have drawn significant interest for drug development. By genetically modifying exosomes with two distinct types of surface-displayed monoclonal antibodies, we have developed an exosome platform termed synthetic multivalent antibodies retargeted exosome (SMART-Exo) for controlling cellular immunity. Here, we apply this approach to human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-expressing breast cancer by engineering exosomes through genetic display of both anti-human CD3 and anti-human HER2 antibodies, resulting in SMART-Exos dually targeting T cell CD3 and breast cancer-associated HER2 receptors. By redirecting and activating cytotoxic T cells toward attacking HER2-expressing breast cancer cells, the designed SMART-Exos exhibited highly potent and specific anti-tumor activity both in vitro and in vivo. This work demonstrates preclinical feasibility of utilizing endogenous exosomes for targeted breast cancer immunotherapy and the SMART-Exos as a broadly applicable platform technology for the development of next-generation immunonanomedicines.

# INTRODUCTION

Exosomes are phospholipid bilayer membranous vesicles secreted by various types of cells. By serving as nanocarriers of nucleic acids, proteins, and lipids, endogenous exosomes are known to play important roles in mediating intercellular communications through fusions with recipient cells, transfer of membrane receptors, and/or direct stimulations by surface receptor interactions. $1-6$  In contrast with conventional nanoparticles, naturally occurring exosomes are characterized by abundant membrane proteins, high biocompatibility, and low immunogenicity, conferring unique and important properties for therapeutic development.

For instance, tetraspanin CD9 abundantly expressed in exosomes can facilitate rapid membrane fusion with target cells, which enables direct cytosolic delivery of endogenous and exogenous ther-apeutic agents.<sup>[7](#page-11-0)</sup> Moreover, exosomal surface CD47 can protect exosomes from phagocytosis by the mononuclear phagocyte sys-tem.<sup>[8](#page-11-1)</sup> Clinically, human cell-derived exosomes are unlikely to have immunogenicity issues encountered by viral and synthetic nanocarriers.[7](#page-11-0)

By leveraging these valuable characteristics, endogenously derived exosomes have been increasingly utilized for the delivery of various types of cargos. $9-17$  $9-17$  A number of preclinical and clinical studies demonstrate promising efficacy and safety for exosome-based therapeutics in the treatment of many human diseases, including cancer, immune disorders, ischemic diseases, liver diseases, and neurodegen-erative diseases.<sup>[9,](#page-11-2)[13](#page-11-3),[15](#page-11-4),[18](#page-11-5)-22</sup> Given their therapeutic potential, exosomes have drawn significantly growing interest for nanomedicine development. Recently, we reported the development of an exosome platform named synthetic multivalent antibodies retargeted exosome (SMART-Exo) that functions as an artificial modulator of cellular immunity to redirect immune effector cells and control their immunoreactivity.<sup>[23](#page-11-6)</sup> By genetically displaying two types of monoclonal antibodies on exosome surfaces, which are specific for human CD3 and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), SMART-Exos simultaneously recognizing T cell surface CD3 and cancer cell-associated EGFR were generated and demonstrated to elicit highly potent in vitro and in vivo anti-cancer immunity in a controlled and directed fashion.

E-mail: [yongz@usc.edu](mailto:yongz@usc.edu)

Received 9 June 2019; accepted 21 November 2019; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2019.11.020>.

Correspondence: Yong Zhang, Department of Pharmacology and Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

## Figure 1. Schematic Representation of the Design and Application of «CD3-«HER2 SMART-Exos as a Targeted Breast Cancer Immunotherapy

binding affinity resulting from potential steric hindrance between two antibody scaffolds, we fused single polypeptide encoding in-tandem single-chain variable fragments (scFvs) against human CD3 and HER2 receptors with the PDGFR TMD. A flexible (GGGGS)<sub>3</sub> linker was inserted between two scFv antibodies. Because the orientation of individual scFvs may affect physicochemical and biological properties of the designed SMART-Exos, an anti-human CD3 UCHT1 scFv antibody was placed at the N or C terminus of the anti-human HER2 trastuzumab scFv, resulting in the  $\alpha$ CD3aHER2 and aHER2-aCD3 SMART-Exos ([Fig](#page-1-0)[ures 1](#page-1-0) and [S1\)](#page-10-1).<sup>[29](#page-11-10)</sup>  $\alpha$ CD3 and  $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos were also generated as controls by sepa-

Herein, to explore the generality of this platform, we apply this approach to human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-expressing breast cancer, which accounts for 25%–30% of the most commonly diagnosed cancers among women worldwide.<sup>[24](#page-11-7)</sup> We rationally designed an innovative class of SMART-Exos ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)), which feature genetically encoded anti-human CD3 and anti-human HER2 antibodies on the exosome surface. The resulting SMART-Exos dually targeting T cell CD3 and HER2 receptors were shown to not only recruit human T cells to HER2-positive breast cancer cells but also induce highly potent and specific killing of HER2-expressing breast cancer cells in the presence of non-activated human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). Importantly, in vivo studies using mouse xenograft models indicate excellent anti-tumor activities for the SMART-Exos. This study provides a SMART-Exos-based strategy for targeted immunotherapy of HER2-positive breast cancer and demonstrates SMART-Exos as a broadly applicable platform for the development of cell-free therapies.

# **RESULTS**

# Design, Generation, and Characterization of SMART-Exos

We envisioned that by targeting T cell CD3 and HER2, which is frequently overexpressed in human breast cancers,<sup>[25](#page-11-8)</sup> the designed SMART-Exos may induce strong immune responses against HER2 positive breast cancer through redirecting and activating endogenous cytotoxic effector cells toward attacking tumor cells overexpressing HER2 receptor. To this end, we utilized the human platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) transmembrane domain (TMD) as a fusion partner for genetic display of functional monoclonal antibodies on the exosomal surface. The TMD of PDGFR has been widely used to express functional proteins on mammalian cell surfaces and was also used to display functional proteins on exosome sur-faces.<sup>[13,](#page-11-3)[26](#page-11-9)–28</sup> To ensure co-expression of  $\alpha$ CD3 and  $\alpha$ HER2 antibodies on the same exosome nanoparticles and minimize decreased

rately fusing the respective scFv antibodies with the PDGFR TMD ([Figure S1\)](#page-10-1). Each fusion construct included an N-terminal hemagglutinin (HA) epitope tag.

Following transfection of Expi293 cells with the generated expression constructs, secreted SMART-Exos in the chemically defined culture media without fetal bovine serum (FBS) were purified through differ-ential centrifugation and ultracentrifugation.<sup>[30](#page-11-11)[,31](#page-11-12)</sup> As a widely used method for isolation of extracellular vesicles, the differential ultracentrifugation usually results in intermediate recovery with intermediate purity.[13](#page-11-3),[32](#page-11-13)[,33](#page-11-14) The overall yields for the expressed SMART-Exos were approximately 74 µg (5.4  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup> particles) per 30 mL transfected cell culture. Immunoblot analysis showed expression of antibody-PDGFR TMD fusion proteins, as well as exosomal markers (CD9, CD81, and CD63) [\(Figure 2](#page-2-0)A). The binding of SMART-Exos to plate-coated human HER2-Fc was examined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) ([Figures 2B](#page-2-0) and [S2\)](#page-10-1). No binding to HER2 was detected for aCD3 SMART-Exos. The aHER2 SMART-Exos showed the tightest binding to HER2, followed by aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos and aHER2-aCD3 SMART-Exos. This result was further confirmed by flow cytometric analysis with HER2-positive cell lines ([Figure 2](#page-2-0)C). Furthermore, flow cytometric analysis revealed tight binding of the aCD3-aHER2 and aHER2-aCD3 SMART-Exos to both the CD3<sup>+</sup> and  $HER2<sup>+</sup>$  cell lines [\(Figures 2](#page-2-0)C and  $S3$ ) and little binding to MDA-MB-468 cells  $(CD3^-$  HER2<sup>-</sup>) ([Figures S3](#page-10-1) and [S4](#page-10-1)), demonstrating functional display of dual scFv antibodies on exosome surface for targeting both CD3- and HER2-expressing cells. Consistent with ELISA results, the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos displayed higher binding affinity to both the  $CD3^+$  and HER2<sup>+</sup> cell lines in comparison with the aHER2-aCD3 SMART-Exos and were thus chosen for further in vitro and in vivo evaluation. Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos showed a size distribution peaking at 109 nm in diameter, consistent with previous studies

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

# Figure 2. In Vitro Characterization of the SMART-Exos

(A) Immunoblot analysis of the SMART-Exos. (B) ELISA analysis of binding of the SMART-Exos to human HER2. Human HER2-Fc (0.5 µg/mL) was coated on plates overnight for ELISA analysis. Data are shown as mean ± SD of duplicates. (C) Flow cytometry analysis of the binding of the SMART-Exos to SK-BR-3 (HER2<sup>+</sup> CD3<sup>-</sup>), HCC 1954 (HER2<sup>+</sup> CD3<sup>-</sup>), and Jurkat (HER2<sup>-</sup> CD3<sup>+</sup>) cells. (D) Size distribution of the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos. (E) TEM image of the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos showing immunogold<br>Islamic surface UA texts Arrows indicate and particles. Only hav labeling of surface HA tags. Arrows indicate gold particles. Scale bars: 100 nm. (F) Confocal microscopy of the crosslinking of (left panel) SK-BR-3 (red) and Jurkat cells (green), (middle panel) HCC 1954 (red) and Jurkat cells (green), and (right panel) MDA-MB-468 (red) and Jurkat cells (green) mediated by the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos. PBS and a mixture (1:1) of  $\alpha$ CD3 and  $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos were included as controls. Scale bars: 50 µm. (G) Quantitative analysis of confocal microscopy of cell-cell crosslinking induced by SMART-Exos. Based on fluorescence color, cell numbers of each cell line were counted to calculate cell number ratios (Jurkat cell/target breast cancer cell) for each treatment group. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5). \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001; <sup>ns</sup>p > 0.05. ns, not significant.

([Figure 2](#page-2-0)D).<sup>[8](#page-11-1)[,9,](#page-11-2)[34](#page-11-15),[35](#page-11-16)</sup> Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos immunogold stained with anti-HA antibody confirmed the surface expression of antibody-PDGFR TMD fusion proteins ([Figures 2](#page-2-0)E and [S5\)](#page-10-1). To examine whether aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos could recruit T cells toward HER2-expressing cancer cells, we analyzed SMART-Exos-mediated cell-cell interactions by confocal microscopy. The presence of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos resulted in significant crosslinking (p < 0.0001; [Figures](#page-2-0) [2](#page-2-0)F and 2G) of Jurkat and SK-BR-3 cells (HER2 3+) and Jurkat and HCC 1954 (HER2 2+) cells, but no crosslinking of Jurkat and MDA-MB-468 (HER2 0) cells.<sup>36</sup> In contrast, few Jurkat cells were bound to these breast cancer cells in the presence of a mixture of  $\alpha$ CD3 and aHER2 SMART-Exos or in the presence of native exosomes [\(Figures](#page-2-0) [2](#page-2-0)F, 2G, and [S6](#page-10-1)). In addition to cell-cell crosslinking induced by aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos, confocal microscopic analysis revealed cellular uptake of the PKH67-labeled aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos by both Jurkat and HCC 1954 cells ([Figure S7\)](#page-10-1). These data demonstrate cell-cell interactions induced by aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos and suggest possible therapeutic cargo delivery by SMART-Exos to target cells.

## In Vitro Cytotoxicity of SMART-Exos

We next performed in vitro cytotoxicity assays using human PBMCs (effector cells) and HER2<sup>+</sup> breast cancer cell lines (target cells). In the presence of non-activated human PBMCs, the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos resulted in potent and specific killing of SK-BR-3 cells (HER2 3+) with an  $EC_{50} = 0.85 \pm 0.23$  ng/mL and exhibited no cytotoxicity for the MDA-MB-468 cells (HER2 0) [\(Figure 3](#page-4-0)A). Minimal killing effects were observed for the mixtures of  $\alpha$ CD3 and  $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos on either cell type. In addition to SK-BR-3 (HER2 3+) cells, the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos showed potent cytotoxicity for HCC 1954 (HER2 2+) with a half maximal effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) of 50.20  $\pm$  7.67 ng/mL [\(Figure 3B](#page-4-0)), indicating that the observed cytotoxicities of the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos for different breast cancer cell lines correlate with their HER2 expression levels. In addition to the different levels of HER2 expression on breast cancer cell surface, the observed significant difference in cytotoxicity for SK-BR-3 and HCC 1954 cells could result from their differed sensitivity to immune attack. Using human PBMCs from five different healthy donors, aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos showed consistent efficacy in killing HCC 1954 cells [\(Figure S8](#page-10-1)). These results demonstrate excellent potency and specificity of the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos for inducing HER2<sup>+</sup> cancer cell-specific immune response. In addition, native exosomes displayed no cytotoxicity for HCC 1954 and MDA-MB-468 cells ([Figure S9A](#page-10-1)).

To confirm that the observed cytotoxicities induced by aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos are dependent on human CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells, we examined cytotoxicities of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos for breast cancer cells in the absence of human PBMCs or presence of CD3/CD4/CD8 depleted human PBMCs. It was shown that in the absence of human PBMCs, aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos have little effect on the viabilities of HCC 1954 and MDA-MB-468 cells at concentrations up to 1 µg/mL ([Figure 3](#page-4-0)C). In contrast with their potent cytotoxicity against HCC 1954 cells in the presence of human PBMCs,  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos resulted in minimal cytotoxicity for those breast cancer cells at concentrations as high as 10 mg/mL in the presence CD3 depleted human PBMCs ([Figures 3](#page-4-0)D and 3E). Furthermore, in vitro cytotoxicity assays for aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos with CD4- and/ or CD8-depleted PBMCs as effector cells revealed significantly increased viabilities of target breast cancer cells relative to ones with non-depleted PBMCs [\(Figures 3](#page-4-0)F and 3G). These results indicated that aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos cause only minimal effects on the growth of breast cancer cells, and CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells are required for the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos-mediated cytotoxicity against HER2-expressing breast cancer cells.

## SMART-Exos-Mediated T Cell Activation

In vitro T cell activation was then evaluated for  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos on the basis of T cell surface activation markers CD69 and CD25 and secreted interferon (IFN)- $\gamma$  and granzyme B. Robust T cell activation was observed only in the presence of both HCC 1954 (HER2<sup>+</sup>) cells and  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos ([Figures](#page-5-0) [4](#page-5-0)A–4D). Elevated granzyme B secretion indicated  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos-mediated engagement and activation of cytotoxic T cells toward HER2-positive target cells ([Figure 4D](#page-5-0)). In the absence of HER2<sup>+</sup> breast cancer cells or presence of MDA-MB-468 (HER2<sup>-</sup>) cells, the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos resulted in no T cell activation. PBS, native exosomes, and mixtures of  $\alpha$ CD3 and  $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos were unable to activate the T cells in the absence or presence of target breast cancer cells (HCC 1954 and MDA-MB-468) ([Figures](#page-10-1) [S9](#page-10-1)B–S9E). Moreover, the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos exhibited dose-dependent activation of human T cells in the presence of HER2-expressing HCC 1954 cells [\(Figures 4](#page-5-0)E–4H). In addition, pre-treatments of human PBMCs with aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos revealed no effects on T cell activation induced by anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies ([Figure S10\)](#page-10-1). These results demonstrate robust T cell activation mediated by the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos in a HER2<sup>+</sup> cancer cell-dependent manner.

#### In Vivo Stability, Efficacy, and Toxicity of SMART-Exos

The pharmacokinetics of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos was then examined in mice. The intravenously administered aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos showed a characteristic two-phase clearance profile with an elimination half-life of  $417.03 \pm 126.63$  min ([Figure S11\)](#page-10-1). Next, we evaluated in vivo efficacy of the  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos using human HER2<sup>+</sup> breast cancer xenograft mouse models with tumors derived from HCC 1954 cells. According to our in vitro studies, HCC 1954 cells express high levels of HER2 on cell surface, and aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos induce potent cytotoxicity against HCC 1954 cells in the presence of human PBMCs. Subcutaneously implanted HCC 1954 cells can result in rapid growth of human tumors in mice. Thus, HCC 1954 cell-derived mouse xenograft models were used for in vivo efficacy studies. To evaluate anti-tumor immunity induced by αCD3-αHER2 SMART-Exos,<br>immunodeficient NOD.Cg-Prkdc<sup>scid</sup> Il2rg<sup>tm1Wjl</sup>/SzJ (NSG) mice bearing tumors from HCC 1954 cells were engrafted with human PBMCs.

Mice treated with the  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos displayed significant inhibition of tumor growth [\(Figure 5A](#page-6-0)). In comparison, the PBS-treated mice showed rapid growth of tumors. These results demonstrate excellent efficacy of the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos for HER2-positive tumors in mice. Moreover, no loss in body weight or the weights of major organs was observed for mice in the PBSand SMART-Exos-treated groups [\(Figures 5](#page-6-0)B and 5C). In addition, the plasma levels of both alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (a liver damage marker) and creatinine (a kidney damage marker) at the end of the in vivo efficacy study were comparable between PBS- and SMART-Exos-treated groups, suggesting no liver or kidney damage [\(Figures](#page-6-0) [5](#page-6-0)D and 5E). Flow cytometric and immunohistofluorescence analysis

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

#### Figure 3. In Vitro Cytotoxicity of the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos

(A) Cytotoxicity of the αCD3-αHER2 SMART-Exos for SK-BR-3 (HER2+) and MDA-MB-468 (HER2-) cells. (B) Cytotoxicity of the αCD3-αHER2 SMART-Exos for three breast<br>concerned lines. Nee, astivated by map PDMCs (effector selle) y cancer cell lines. Non-activated human PBMCs (effector cells) were incubated with breast cancer cells (target cells) at an effector:target (E:T) ratio of 10 for 48 h in the presence of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos. A one-to-one mixture of aCD3 and aHER2 SMART-Exos was used as a control in (A). Following removal of human PBMCs suspensions, viabilities of target cells were determined with MTT assays. (C) In vitro cytotoxicity of  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos in the absence of human PBMCs. HCC 1954 cells (HER2 2+) and MDA-MB-468 cells (HER2 0) were incubated with various concentrations of  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos for 48 h, followed by measurements of cell viabilities by MTT assays. (D and E) In vitro cytotoxicity of  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos in the presence of human PBMCs or CD3-depleted PBMCs. (D) Flow cytometric analysis of CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells in human PBMCs without and with CD3 depletion. (E) HCC 1954 cells (HER2 2+) were incubated with various concentrations of  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos in the presence of human PBMCs or CD3-depleted PBMCs for 48 h, followed by measurements of cell viability by MTT assays. The ratios for PBMCs/tumor cells were 10:1. EC<sub>50</sub>: 16.9 ± 3.3 ng/mL for PBMCs without depletion; not applicable (N.A.) for PBMCs with CD3 depletion. (F and G) In vitro cytotoxicity of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos in the presence of human PBMCs or CD4- and/or CD8-depleted PBMCs. (F) Flow cytometric analysis of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in human PBMCs without and with CD4 and/or CD8 depletion. (G) HCC 1954 cells (HER2 2+) were incubated with aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos (200 ng/mL) in the presence of human PBMCs or CD4- and/or CD8-depleted PBMCs for 48 h, followed by measurements of cell viability by MTT assays. The ratios for PBMCs/tumor cells were 10:1. Data are shown as mean ± SD of triplicates. \*\*p < 0.01.

indicated that in comparison with low levels of tumor-infiltrating T cells for tumors from PBS-treated mice, significant T cell infiltrations were observed in tumors of the SMART-Exos-treated mice [\(Figures](#page-6-0) [5](#page-6-0)F–5H), suggesting SMART-Exos-mediated recruitment of cytotoxic T cells to the tumor microenvironments. No significant differences in T cell abundance were detected in the other tissues examined, including the spleen, blood, and bone marrow [\(Figure 5](#page-6-0)F).

## SMART-Exos-Displayed Antibody Molecules

SMART-Exos are featured with two distinct types of monoclonal antibodies displayed on the exosome surface. To determine the number of dual-scFvs on SMART-Exo nanoparticles and compare binding affinities of free bispecific scFv and SMART-Exos-displayed dual-scFvs, a bispecifi<sup>c</sup> aCD3-aHER2 scFv antibody with identical sequence and orientation was generated [\(Figure S12\)](#page-10-1). Based on particle concentrations

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

#### Figure 4. T Cell Activation Induced by aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos

(A–D) HCC 1954 cell-dependent activation of T cells by  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos. (A) The percentages of CD69<sup>+</sup> T cells. (B) The percentages of CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells. (C) The levels of secreted IFN-y. (D) The levels of secreted granzyme B. (E-H) Dose-dependent activation of T cells by aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos. (E) The percentages of CD69+ T cells. (F) The percentages of CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells. (G) The levels of secreted IFN- $\gamma$ . (H) The levels of secreted granzyme B. Non-activated human PBMCs were incubated with aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos or a one-to-one mixture of aCD3 and aHER2 SMART-Exos or PBS in the presence or absence of MDA-MB-468 (HER2) or HCC 1954 (HER2<sup>+</sup> ) cells at an E:T ratio of 10 for 24 h. The percentages of CD69<sup>+</sup> and CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. The levels of secreted IFN-y and granzyme B were measured by ELISA. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicates. \*\*\*p < 0.001; \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001.

determined by NTA and the ELISA using purified bispecifi<sup>c</sup> aCD3 aHER2 scFv antibody as standards, it was estimated that on average each aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exo particle carries approximately  $1,180 \pm 140$   $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 bispecific scFv molecules. Using plate-coated human HER2-Fc, ELISA-based binding assays indicated that in contrast with free bispecific  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 scFv antibody with an EC<sub>50</sub> of 241.5  $\pm$ 21.9 ng/mL, the accessible bispecific scFv molecules on  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos require concentrations of up to 8.3  $\pm$  0.3 ng/mL for half-maximal binding [\(Figure S13](#page-10-1)), supporting significantly increased antibody avidity through multivalent expression on the exosome surface.

In addition, the in vitro cytotoxicity and T cell activation induced by aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exo and bispecifi<sup>c</sup> aCD3-aHER2 scFv antibody were compared [\(Figures S14](#page-10-1) and [S15\)](#page-10-1). Consistent with the increased antibody avidity, the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos relative to free bispecifi<sup>c</sup> aCD3-aHER2 scFv antibody showed improved potency in inducing HER2-positive cell-specific cytotoxicity and T cell activation based on the concentrations of bispecific scFv and the molar concentrations of SMART-Exos. These results support enhanced efficacy for SMART-Exos with genetically displayed antibody molecules.

# **DISCUSSION**

Exosomes are cell-derived membranous vesicles known to mediate cellcell communications. Extensive studies have been performed by utilizing exosomes as transport vehicles for drug delivery,  $9-12$  $9-12$  but fewer studies are done to develop exosome-based therapeutics through engi-

neering their surface proteins, in particular for cancer immunotherapy. In this work, we genetically engineered exosomes to display dual scFv antibodies. As a result of multivalent expression of antibodies on exosome surface, the increased antibody avidity on spherical exosomes may enhance lateral stabilization of HER2 antigens and T cell receptor clustering and hence facilitate T cell activation. The designed  $\alpha$ CD3aHER2 SMART-Exos reveal excellent in vitro and in vivo efficacy in eliciting anti-tumor immunity against HER2-positive breast cancer cells in a controlled and directed manner.

Depending on the sources and states of parental cells, exosomes may possess immunomodulatory potential.<sup>[37](#page-11-18)</sup> To produce the  $\alpha$ CD3aHER2 SMART-Exos, we chose Expi293 cells that are derived from the HEK293 cell line. Extracellular vesicles from HEK293T cells were shown to have minimal toxicity and immunogenicity.<sup>[38](#page-11-19)</sup> No systemic cytotoxicity was observed for aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos in the animal studies. Further studies are needed to gain deeper understanding of the exosomal compositions and their effects on cellular functions and immune modulation for production of therapeutic exosomes with desired properties.

This work demonstrates preclinical feasibility of utilizing endogenous exosomes for targeted breast cancer immunotherapy. Future studies include immunogenicity of SMART-Exos, impacts of SMART-Exos administration on tumor growth and T cell functions, evolution of tumor growth following SMART-Exos treatment, and in vivo mechanism(s) of action. The therapeutic applications of the SMART-Exos could be further expanded by leveraging the broad scope of

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

#### Figure 5. In Vivo Evaluation of the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos

(A) In vivo efficacy of the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos. HCC 1954 cells were subcutaneously (s.c.) injected into the flank of female NSG mice (n = 5). In vitro activated and expanded human PBMCs from the same healthy donor were intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected into the mice on days 13 and 22 post tumor implantation. Two days post the first PBMCs injection, mice were intravenously (i.v.) injected with PBS or  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos (10 mg/kg, 1.5  $\times$  10<sup>10</sup> particles per mouse) every other day for a total of six times. Data are shown as mean ± SD. \*\*p < 0.01. (B-E) Evaluation of systemic toxicity of SMART-Exo treatments. (B) Body weights of mice during in vivo efficacy study. Body weights of mice were measured every other day. Data are shown as mean ± SD. (C) Major organ weight indexes at the end of the in vivo efficacy study. The kidneys, livers, brains, lungs, and hearts from mice were collected and weighted, and organ weight indexes were calculated as organ weight (mg) per gram (g) of mouse body weight. (D) Plasma levels of kidney damage marker creatinine at the end of the in vivo efficacy study. (E) Plasma levels of liver damage marker alanine aminotransferase (ALT) at the end of the in vivo efficacy study. Data are shown as mean ± SD (n = 5 for body weights and organ weight indexes; n = 4 for plasma levels of ALT and creatinine). (F) In vivo T cell infiltration induced by the  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos. Blood, bone marrow, and single-cell preparations of residual tumors and spleens from the PBS- and SMART-Exostreated groups were analyzed by flow cytometry. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5; \*p = 0.0195). (G) Representative immunohistofluorescence images of the margin and interior of frozen tumor sections from PBS- and SMART-Exos-treated mice. Blue: nuclei stained with DAPI. Green: CD3<sup>+</sup> cells stained with the anti-CD3 antibody. Scale bars: 50 µm. (H) Quantitative representation of the number of CD3<sup>+</sup> cells from each field of view along the margin and interior of each tumor from PBS- and SMART-Exos-treated groups (15 fields of view per region and two mice per group). Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD. \*\*\*\*p  $\leq$  0.0001.

monoclonal antibodies, as well as by functionally displaying more than two types of monoclonal antibodies and/or effector proteins. Moreover, SMART-Exos could potentially carry various forms of endogenous and exogenous therapeutic cargos for enhanced efficacy through targeted delivery. Notably, the efficacy and safety for aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos need to be further evaluated in immunocompetent mice, which will involve the generation of surrogate mouse SMART-Exos and the use of murine syngeneic cancer models. Additionally, instead of using PBMCs from healthy donors, in vivo efficacy studies could be assessed for aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos by using PBMCs

from donors with HER2-positive breast cancer, which could improve prediction of clinical outcomes.

In summary, genetically engineering exosomes with anti-CD3 and anti-HER2 antibodies resulted in SMART-Exos for potent and selective induction of HER2-expressing tumor-specific immunity, leading to the creation of an innovative class of immunotherapeutic candidates for HER2-positive breast cancer. Our results suggest that the SMART-Exos may provide a general and versatile approach for the development of next-generation immuno-nanomedicines.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Cell Culturing

SK-BR-3, HCC 1954, MDA-MB-468, and Jurkat cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Corning, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (VWR International, PA, USA) at  $37^{\circ}$ C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Expi293 cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) were cultured in Expi293 expression medium with shaking at a speed of 125 rpm at 37 $\degree$ C and 8% CO<sub>2</sub>. Human PBMCs were obtained from HemaCare (Van Nuys, CA, USA).

# Animal Study

All animal procedures were approved by the University of Southern California Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Six- to eight-week-old female NSG mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA) and housed in sterilized cages for efficacy and T cell infiltration studies.

## Molecular Cloning and Expression of SMART-Exos

Synthetic genes encoding the anti-HER2 and anti-CD3 scFvs, which were derived from anti-HER2 trastuzumab and anti-CD3 UCHT1 antibodies, respectively, were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (Skokie, IL, USA). The anti-HER2 scFv contained an N-terminal light chain variable region (VL<sub> $\alpha$ HER2</sub>) and C-terminal heavy chain variable region (VH $_{\alpha$ HER2) of the trastuzumab separated by a 218-peptide linker (GSTSGSGKPGSGEGS).[39](#page-11-20) The anti-CD3 scFv had an N-terminal light chain variable region ( $VL_{\alphaCD3}$ ) and C-terminal heavy chain variable region (VH<sub> $\alpha$ CD3</sub>) of the anti-CD3 UCHT1 antibody connected by a flexible  $(GGGGS)_3$  linker. Through overlap extension PCR using primers listed in [Table S1](#page-10-1), gene fragments encoding anti-HER2-anti-CD3 dual-scFv and anti-CD3-anti-HER2 dualscFv were generated. A flexible (GGGGS)<sub>3</sub> linker was placed between the anti-HER2 and anti-CD3 scFvs. To generate expression constructs of SMART-Exos, single and dual-scFv gene fragments were cloned into pDisplay vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) between BglII and SalI restriction enzyme sites, resulting in the fusion proteins of scFv antibody-TMD of human PDGFR. A HA-tag was located at the N terminus of the designed fusion proteins. The generated expression constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing provided by GENEWIZ (South Plainfield, NJ, USA). The endotoxin-free plasmids were prepared using ZymoPURE Plasmid Maxiprep Kits (ZYMO Research, CA, USA), followed by transfection into Expi293 cells using ExpiFectamine 293 transfection kits (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) by following the manufacturer's instructions.

# Molecular Cloning of Bispecific scFv

To generate the expression construct of anti-CD3-anti-HER2 bispecific scFv, the anti-CD3-anti-HER2 dual-scFv gene fragment was cloned into pFUSE vector (Invivogen, CA, USA) between EcoRI and NheI restriction enzyme sites. A HA-tag and a 6XHis-tag were placed at the N and the C terminus of the dual-scFv gene fragment, respectively. The resulting expression construct was confirmed by DNA sequencing provided by GENEWIZ (South Plainfield, NJ, USA). The endotoxin-free plasmids were prepared using ZymoPURE Plasmid Maxiprep Kits (ZYMO Research, CA, USA).

# Purification of SMART-Exos

Culture media of Expi293 cells transfected with the expression constructs were collected at days 3 and 6 posttransfection. Expressed SMART-Exos were isolated by differential centrifugation and ultracentrifugation. In brief, cell cultures were centrifuged at 90  $\times$  g for 10 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C to pellet suspension cells. The collected supernatants were then centrifuged at 4,000  $\times$  g for 30 min and 24,000  $\times$  g for 40 min at 4°C, followed by ultracentrifugation at 265,000  $\times$  g for 120 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C in a Type 70 Ti rotor (Beckman Instruments, IN, USA). Exosome pellets were washed once with PBS, resuspended in PBS, and filtered with 0.2-µm filters. Protein concentrations of the exosomes were determined using Bradford assays.

## Expression and Purification of Bispecific scFv

Anti-CD3-anti-HER2 bispecific scFv antibody was expressed through transient transfection into Expi293 cells using ExpiFectamine 293 transfection kits (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) by following the manufacturer's instructions. Culture media of Expi293 cells transfected with the expression construct were collected at days 3 and 6 posttransfection. Bispecific scFv was purified using HisPur Ni-NTA Resin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Immunoblot Analysis

Exosome samples (3 µg of protein) were boiled with 100 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) in NuPAGE LDS sample buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) at  $98^{\circ}$ C for 10 min, separated in  $4\%$ -20% Express-Plus-PAGE gels (GeneScript, Piscataway, NJ, USA), and then transferred to Immun-Blot PVDF (polyvinylidene fluoride) membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA) using a Trans-Blot SD Semi-Dry Transfer Cell (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA). The membranes were subsequently blocked with 5% BSA in PBST (PBS with 0.1% Tween 20) for 1 h at room temperature, followed by incubation with appropriate primary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature, which included anti-HA (2-2.2.14; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), anti-CD9 (D8O1A; Cell Signaling Technology, MA, USA), anti-CD63 (H5C6; BioLegend, CA, USA), and anti-CD81 (1.3.3.22; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). After 1-h incubation with appropriate secondary antibodies, including anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG)-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), the membranes were developed by additions of SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) and imaged using a ChemiDoc Touch Imaging System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA).

# TEM and NTA

For immunogold labeling of exosomes, 200-µm mesh grids were floated on 20 µL of exosome sample drop for 10 min and washed twice with water by floating on a drop of water for 30 s. The grids were then blocked with 1% goat serum for 30 min and were incubated with either the anti-HA antibody (2-2.2.14; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) or the IgG1 control antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) diluted with 0.1% goat serum 1:10 for 2 h at room temperature, followed by incubation with a goat anti-mouse secondary antibody gold conjugate (1:10 dilution in 0.1% goat serum in PBS) for 2 h at room temperature. The grids were washed twice by floating on a drop of water for 30 s, and negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate (UA) by floating on a drop of freshly filtered 2% UA for 30 s. The grids were blot dried with filter paper and imaged using JEOL1230 TEM (JEOL, Peabody, MA, USA).

The size distribution and particle concentration of the exosome samples were determined through NTA using a NanoSight LM10 (Malvern Instruments, UK) by following the manufacturer's instructions. Ten replicates of analysis with 60 s for each were performed.

# Bindings of SMART-Exos and Bispecific scFv to Human HER2 as Measured by ELISA

Ninety-six-well ELISA plates were coated with human HER2-Fc (0.5 and 2.5 µg/mL; R&D Systems, MN, USA) overnight at room temperature. Non-bound antigens were washed away with PBST (0.05% Tween 20) three times. The wells were blocked with PBS containing 1% BSA for 2 h, followed by washing with PBST. Various concentrations of SMART-Exos and bispecific scFv were added and incubated for 2 h, followed by washing. The anti-HA primary antibody (2-2.2.14; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) was subsequently added for 2-h incubation, followed by washing and incubation with an anti-mouse IgG-HRP secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) for 1 h. QuantaBlu Fluorogenic Peroxidase Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) was then added after washing, and the fluorescence signals were measured using a BioTek Synergy H1 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate reader (BioTek, VT, USA).

# Quantification of Surface scFv on aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos by ELISA

ELISA 96-well plates were coated with various concentrations of aCD3-aHER2 bispecific scFv and SMART-Exos overnight at room temperature. Non-bound antigens were washed away with PBST (0.05% Tween 20) three times. The wells were blocked with PBS containing 3% BSA for 2 h, followed by washing with PBST. The anti-HA primary antibody (2-2.2.14; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) was subsequently added for 2-h incubation, followed by washing and incubation with an anti-mouse IgG-HRP secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) for 1 h. QuantaBlu Fluorogenic Peroxidase Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) was then added after washing, and the fluorescence signals were measured using a BioTek Synergy H1 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate reader (BioTek, VT, USA). Serial dilutions of aCD3-aHER2 bispecific scFv in PBS were used as standards to determine the protein concentrations of surface bispecific scFv on aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos. The numbers of surface aCD3-aHER2 bispecific scFv per SMART-Exos particle were calculated based on the measured protein concentrations of sur-

face bispecific scFv and the particle concentrations of the same batch of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos by NTA.

# Flow Cytometry Analysis

The binding of SMART-Exos to human breast cancer cell lines (SK-BR-3, HCC 1954, and MDA-MB-468 cells) and Jurkat cells was analyzed by flow cytometry. Cells were incubated with exosomes (0.1 mg/mL) in PBS for 1 h at  $4^{\circ}$ C. Following three washes with PBS containing 2% FBS, cells were incubated with the anti-HA primary antibody (2-2.2.14; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) for 30 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C. After washing three times with PBS containing 2% FBS, cells were incubated with the Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (H+L) secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) for 30 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C. Following three washes, samples were analyzed using an LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, CA, USA). To evaluate cell surface expression levels of HER2 and CD3, we stained cultured SK-BR-3, HCC 1954, MDA-MB-468, and Jurkat cells with the trastuzumab followed by an anti-human IgG Fc-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) or an anti-CD3-FITC antibody (UCHT1; BioLegend, CA, USA). Samples were then analyzed using the LSR II flow cytometer. All flow cytometry data were processed with FlowJo\_V10 software (Tree Star, OR, USA).

## Confocal Microscopy of Cell Crosslinking

Breast cancer cells and Jurkat cells were stained with MitoSpy Red CMXRos (BioLegend, CA, USA) and carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE; BioLegend, CA, USA), respectively, by following the manufacturer's protocols. Jurkat cells  $(5 \times 10^5)$  were incubated with SMART-Exos (100 μg/mL), native exosomes (100 μg/mL), or bispecific scFv (5.4  $\mu$ g/mL) in 100  $\mu$ L of PBS for 30 min at 4°C. In separate tubes, Jurkat cells were incubated with a mixture (1:1) of aCD3 and aHER2 SMART-Exos as controls. After washing with 1 mL of ice-cold PBS, the Jurkat cells were resuspended with <sup>250</sup> mL of RPMI 1640 media with 10% FBS, then mixed with SK-BR-3, HCC1954, or MDA-MB-468 cells (5  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup>) in the same media (250  $\mu$ L). The cell mixtures were added onto glass coverslips in 24well cell culture plates and incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After 4 h, wells were gently washed with PBS (500  $\mu$ L) three times and imaged with a Leica SP8 confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems, IL, USA) equipped with HC PL APO  $40\times/1.30$  Oil CS2 and HC PL APO  $63\times/1.40$  Oil CS2 oil immersion objective lenses using rhodamine (for MitroSpy Red) and FITC (for CFSE) filters. Images were processed using LAS X software (Leica Microsystems, IL, USA). Five images per group were randomly chosen, and cell numbers of Jurkat and breast cancer cells were counted to calculate ratios of Jurkat/breast cancer cells.

# Confocal Microscopic Analysis of Cellular Internalization of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos

The aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos were labeled with PKH67 dye by following the manufacturer's protocols. HCC1954 cells  $(4 \times 10^4)$ and Jurkat cells  $(2 \times 10^5)$  were incubated with PKH67-labeled  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos (10 µg/mL) for 2 or 6 h at 37°C with 5% CO2. After incubation, cells were gently washed with PBS  $(500 \mu L)$  three times and imaged with a Leica SP8 confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems, IL, USA) equipped with HC PL APO  $40\times/1.30$  Oil CS2 and HC PL APO  $63\times/1.40$  Oil CS2 oil immersion objective lenses using the FITC (for PKH67) filter. Images were processed using LAS X software (Leica Microsystems, IL, USA). Five images per group were randomly chosen, and fluorescence intensity of PKH67 was measured using ImageJ and normalized to cell number.

## Human PBMCs Depletion and Isolation

CD3/CD4/CD8-depleted human PMBCs were isolated from blood of the healthy donors using RosetteSep Human CD3/CD4/CD8 Depletion Cocktail (STEMCELL Technologies, Canada) by following the manufacturer's protocols. To isolate non-depleted PBMCs, blood was mixed with an equal volume of PBS and then added on top of 3/2 volume of Ficoll (GE Healthcare, IL, USA) and spun at  $400 \times g$  for 30 min without brake. The layer of PBMCs was transferred to a clean tube and washed with PBS three times before any applications. The isolated non-depleted or depleted PBMCs were stained with a FITC-labeled anti-human CD3 antibody (clone: UCHT1; BioLegend, CA, USA), allophycocyanin (APC)-labeled anti-human CD4 antibody (clone: OKT4; BioLegend, CA, USA), and phycoerythrin (PE)-labeled anti-human CD8 antibody (RPA-T8; BioLegend, CA, USA) and analyzed by the BD Fortessa X20 flow cytometer. Flow cytometry data were processed with FlowJo\_V10 software (Tree Star, OR, USA).

# In Vitro Cytotoxicity Assay

Cytotoxicity assays were performed using non-depleted or depleted non-activated PBMCs as effector cells and breast cancer cells as target cells. Target cells  $(1 \times 10^4)$  were mixed with PBMCs  $(1 \times 10^5)$  and incubated with various concentrations of SMART-Exos, native exosomes, or bispecific scFv for 48 h at  $37^{\circ}$ C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells were washed with PBS to remove PBMC suspensions and then incubated with 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) solution for 2 h at  $37^{\circ}$ C. For cytotoxicity assays without effector cells, cancer cells  $(1 \times 10^4)$  were incubated with various concentrations of SMART-Exos for 48 h at 37°C with 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . MTT solution (10  $\mu$ L/well) was added and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. A total of <sup>100</sup> mL/well of lysis buffer (20% SDS in 50% dimethylformamide, 0.5% [v/v] 80% acetic acid, 0.4% [v/v] 1 N HCl, pH 4.7) was then added and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a BioTek Synergy H1 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate reader (BioTek, VT, USA). Percent cytotoxicity was calculated as follows:

% Viability =  $\left[ \text{ (absorbane}_{\text{experimental}} - \text{absorbane}_{\text{spontaneous average}}) \right]$  $\left( \text{absorbance}_{\text{maximum viability average}} - \text{absorbance}_{\text{spontaneous average}} \right) \right] \times 100.$ 

## T Cell Activation Analysis

Non-activated PBMCs  $(2 \times 10^5)$  were incubated with target cells  $(2 \times 10^4)$  in the presence of various concentrations of SMART-Exos, native exosomes, or bispecific scFv in 96-well cell culture plates. After 24 h, cells were stained with the anti-CD3-Alexa Fluor 488 (UCHT1; BioLegend, CA, USA), anti-CD25-PE (M-A251; BioLegend, CA, USA), anti-CD69-APC (FN50; BioLegend, CA, USA), and 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) (BioLegend, CA, USA), followed by flow cytometry analysis using a BD Fortessa X20 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, CA, USA). The levels of secreted cytokines in the collected culture media were measured using appropriate ELISA kits (R&D System). Data are shown as mean ± SD of triplicates.

# Effects of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos Pre-treatment on T Cell Activation

Non-activated human PBMCs (effector cells) were incubated with 200 ng/mL of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos or PBS (control) for 2 h at 37°C, followed by washing with PBS. PBMCs were then resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium with 10% FBS and stimulated with coated antihuman CD3 antibody (clone: OKT3; BioLegend, CA, USA) and soluble anti-human CD28 antibody (2 mg/mL) (clone: 28.2; BioLegend, CA, USA). After 24 h, cells were stained with the anti-CD3-Alexa Fluor 488 (clone: UCHT1; BioLegend, CA, USA), anti-CD25-PE (clone: M-A251, BioLegend, CA, USA), anti-CD69-APC (clone: FN50; Bio-Legend, CA, USA), and 7-AAD (BioLegend, CA, USA), followed by flow cytometry analysis using a BD Fortessa X20 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, CA, USA). The levels of secreted cytokines in the collected culture media were measured using appropriate ELISA kits (R&D System). Data are shown as mean ± SD of duplicates.

#### Pharmacokinetics of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos in Mice

The  $\alpha$ CD3- $\alpha$ HER2 SMART-Exos (800 µg/mouse) were administrated by intravenous (i.v.) injection into BALB/c mice  $(n = 4)$ . Blood samples were collected using Multivette 600 LH-Gel tubes (SAR-STEDT, Germany) at 5, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, and 360 min post i.v. injection, immediately followed by centrifugation at 10,000  $\times$  g for 5 min at room temperature. The plasma samples were diluted with PBS (1:50) and analyzed by sandwich ELISA with a mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibody (clone: 2-2.2.14; Thermo Fisher Scientific) as the capture antibody, a rabbit anti-HA polyclonal antibody (catalog number: 600-401-384; Rockland Immunochemicals, Limerick, PA, USA) as the detection antibody, and a goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) HRP (catalog number: 5220-0336; SeraCare, Milford, MA, USA). Serial dilutions of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos in PBS with 2% mouse plasma were used as standards to quantify plasma concentrations of aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos. The plasma half-life of aCD3 aHER2 SMART-Exos in mice was determined by noncompartmental analysis using MATLAB.

#### In Vivo Efficacy and T Cell Infiltration Study

HER2-positive human breast cancer cell line HCC 1954 was used to evaluate the in vivo efficacy of the aCD3-aHER2 SMART-Exos. HCC 1954 cells (1.5  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> per mouse) in 50% Matrigel (BD Biosciences, CA, USA) were subcutaneously implanted into the right flank of NSG mice  $(6-8$  weeks, female,  $n = 5$ ). Freshly thawed human PBMCs were resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium with 10% FBS at  $2\times10^6$  cells/mL and stimulated in flasks with coated anti-CD3 antibody (OKT3, BioLegend, CA, USA), soluble anti-CD28 antibody (2 mg/mL) (28.2; BioLegend, CA, USA), and recombinant human interleukin-2 (rhIL-2; 40 IU/mL; R&D Systems, MN, USA) for

3 days at 37°C with 5%  $\rm CO_2.$  Cells were then expanded in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 40 IU/mL rhIL-2. When tumor sizes reached a volume of 80-100 mm<sup>3</sup>, mice received two intraperitoneal injections of activated human PBMCs (20  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> per mouse) with a 9-day interval. Two days following first human PBMCs injection, mice were administered intravenously with the  $\alpha$ CD3aHER2 SMART-Exos (10 mg/kg) or PBS every other day for a total of six times. Tumors were measured three times a week by a caliper. Tumor volumes were calculated as follows:  $mm^3 = length \times width^2/2$ . At the end of the study, mice were euthanized. Tumors, major organs, and tissues were collected. The harvested blood and bone marrow were treated with the erythrocyte lysis solution (BioLegend, CA, USA) by following the manufacturer's instructions. Tumors and spleens were cut into small pieces and subjected to mechanical disruption and separation, followed by passing through 70-um strainers and treatment with the erythrocyte lysis solution. The resulting single-cell suspensions were stained with the FITC-labeled antihuman CD45 antibody and the Pacific Blue-labeled anti-human CD3 antibody, and analyzed by the BD Fortessa X20 flow cytometer. Flow cytometry data were processed with FlowJo\_V10 software (Tree Star, OR, USA).

# Immunohistofluorescence Analysis

<span id="page-10-1"></span>Immunostaining of tumors was performed on 7-um cryosections according to standard protocols. The tissues were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 min at room temperature and blocked with PBS containing 1% BSA and 5% goat serum for 1 h at room temperature. The tissue sections were then incubated with the anti-CD3 antibody (UCHT1; BioLegend, CA, USA) and the Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (H+L) secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) sequentially for 1 h each at room temperature, followed by counterstaining for nuclei with DAPI. Images were acquired using a Leica SP8 confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems, IL, USA) equipped with a HC PL APO  $40\times/1.30$  Oil CS2 oil immersion objective lens using DAPI and FITC (for Alexa Fluor 488) filters. Images were processed using the LAS X software (Leica Microsystems, IL, USA). For quantification, 15 random, non-overlapping regions along the margin and interior of each tumor  $(n = 2$  mice/group) were imaged.

# ALT Activity Assay

At the end of the in vivo efficacy study, collected mouse plasma (10  $\mu$ L) or standard solution (2 mM sodium pyruvate) was added to wells of clear 96-well plates, followed by additions of 50 µL of substrate solution (0.2 M alanine, 2 mM 2-oxoglutarate, pH 7.4) and incubation at 37°C for 30 min. Next, 50  $\mu$ L of 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (2,4-DNPH) (1 mM) was added and incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 10 min. Finally, 0.5 M sodium hydroxide was added, and the absorbance was measured at 510 nm using a BioTek Synergy H1 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate reader (BioTek, VT, USA). Amounts of pyruvate generated were calculated from the standard. The ALT activity was reported as nmol/min/mL = U/L, where 1 milliunit (mU) of ALT is defined as the amount of enzyme that generates 1.0 nmol of pyruvate per minute at 37°C.

# Creatinine Colorimetric Assay

Working solutions were prepared by mixing picric acid (38 mM) with sodium hydroxide (1.2 M) at 1:1 ratio. Mouse plasma samples collected at the end of in vivo efficacy study were mixed with equal volume of trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (1.2 M) and centrifuged at  $2,500 \times g$  for 10 min. The collected supernatants and creatinine standards were added to 96-well plates, followed by additions of working solution. The absorbance was measured at 500 nm using a BioTek Synergy H1 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate reader (BioTek, VT, USA). Creatinine concentrations in mouse plasma were determined on the basis of standard curves.

## Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted with GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, CA, USA). Data are shown as mean ± SD. Statistical significance between two groups was determined using two-tailed Student's t tests. One-way ANOVA analyses were carried out for comparisons of multiple groups. Significance of finding was defined as follows: not significant,  $^{ns}p > 0.05$ ;  $^{*}p < 0.05$ ;  $^{**}p < 0.01$ ;  $^{***}p < 0.001$ ; \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001.

# Data Availability

The raw/processed data required to reproduce these findings are available from the authors upon request.

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2019.11.020) [1016/j.ymthe.2019.11.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2019.11.020).

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, Y.Z.; Methodology, Y.Z., X.S., and Q.C.; Investigation, X.S., Q.C., T.H., and M.H.; Writing – Original Draft, Y.Z. and X.S.; Writing – Review & Editing, Y.Z. and X.S.; Funding Acquisition, Y.Z.; Resources, G.S., J.E.L., A.L.E., and H.-J.L.

# CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have filed a patent application on this work.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by University of Southern California School of Pharmacy Start-Up Fund for New Faculty, University of Southern California Ming Hsieh Institute for Engineering Medicine for Cancer, the American Cancer Society (grant IRG-16-181-57), STOP CANCER Research Career Development Award (to Y.Z.), PhRMA Foundation Research Starter Grant in Translational Medicine and Therapeutics (to Y.Z.), grant P30CA014089 to the USC Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, and grant P30DK048522 to the USC Research Center for Liver Diseases.

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

1. [Colombo, M., Raposo, G., and Théry, C. \(2014\). Biogenesis, secretion, and intercel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref1)[lular interactions of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles. Annu. Rev. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref1) [Dev. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref1) 30, 255–289.

- 2. [Raposo, G., and Stoorvogel, W. \(2013\). Extracellular vesicles: exosomes, microve](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref2)[sicles, and friends. J. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref2) 200, 373–383.
- 3. [Camussi, G., Deregibus, M.-C., Bruno, S., Grange, C., Fonsato, V., and Tetta, C.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref3) [\(2011\). Exosome/microvesicle-mediated epigenetic reprogramming of cells. Am. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref3) [Cancer Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref3) 1, 98–110.
- 4. [Février, B., and Raposo, G. \(2004\). Exosomes: endosomal-derived vesicles shipping](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref4) [extracellular messages. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref4) 16, 415–421.
- 5. [Mathivanan, S., Ji, H., and Simpson, R.J. \(2010\). Exosomes: extracellular organelles](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref5) [important in intercellular communication. J. Proteomics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref5) 73, 1907–1920.
- 6. [Tian, T., Zhu, Y.L., Hu, F.H., Wang, Y.Y., Huang, N.P., and Xiao, Z.D. \(2013\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref6) [Dynamics of exosome internalization and traf](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref6)ficking. J. Cell. Physiol. 228, 1487–1495.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>7. [van den Boorn, J.G., Schlee, M., Coch, C., and Hartmann, G. \(2011\). SiRNA delivery](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref7) [with exosome nanoparticles. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref7) 29, 325–326.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>8. [Kamerkar, S., LeBleu, V.S., Sugimoto, H., Yang, S., Ruivo, C.F., Melo, S.A., Lee, J.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref8) [and Kalluri, R. \(2017\). Exosomes facilitate therapeutic targeting of oncogenic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref8) [KRAS in pancreatic cancer. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref8) 546, 498–503.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>9. [Alvarez-Erviti, L., Seow, Y., Yin, H., Betts, C., Lakhal, S., and Wood, M.J. \(2011\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref9) [Delivery of siRNA to the mouse brain by systemic injection of targeted exosomes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref9) [Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref9) 29, 341–345.
- 10. [Bryniarski, K., Ptak, W., Jayakumar, A., Püllmann, K., Caplan, M.J., Chairoungdua,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref10) [A., Lu, J., Adams, B.D., Sikora, E., Nazimek, K., et al. \(2013\). Antigen-speci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref10)fic, anti[body-coated, exosome-like nanovesicles deliver suppressor T-cell microRNA-150 to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref10) [effector T cells to inhibit contact sensitivity. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref10) 132, 170–181.
- 11. [Jang, S.C., Kim, O.Y., Yoon, C.M., Choi, D.S., Roh, T.Y., Park, J., Nilsson, J., Lötvall, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref11) [Kim, Y.K., and Gho, Y.S. \(2013\). Bioinspired exosome-mimetic nanovesicles for tar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref11)[geted delivery of chemotherapeutics to malignant tumors. ACS Nano](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref11) 7, 7698–7710.
- 12. [Munoz, J.L., Bliss, S.A., Greco, S.J., Ramkissoon, S.H., Ligon, K.L., and Rameshwar, P.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref12) [\(2013\). Delivery of Functional Anti-miR-9 by Mesenchymal Stem Cell-derived](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref12) [Exosomes to Glioblastoma Multiforme Cells Conferred Chemosensitivity. Mol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref12) [Ther. Nucleic Acids](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref12) 2, e126.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>13. [Ohno, S., Takanashi, M., Sudo, K., Ueda, S., Ishikawa, A., Matsuyama, N., Fujita, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref13) [Mizutani, T., Ohgi, T., Ochiya, T., et al. \(2013\). Systemically injected exosomes tar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref13)[geted to EGFR deliver antitumor microRNA to breast cancer cells. Mol. Ther.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref13) 21, 185–[191.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref13)
- 14. [Sun, D., Zhuang, X., Xiang, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, S., Liu, C., Barnes, S., Grizzle, W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref14) [Miller, D., and Zhang, H.G. \(2010\). A novel nanoparticle drug delivery system: the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref14) anti-infl[ammatory activity of curcumin is enhanced when encapsulated in exosomes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref14) [Mol. Ther.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref14) 18, 1606–1614.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>15. [Tian, Y., Li, S., Song, J., Ji, T., Zhu, M., Anderson, G.J., Wei, J., and Nie, G. \(2014\). A](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref15) [doxorubicin delivery platform using engineered natural membrane vesicle exosomes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref15) [for targeted tumor therapy. Biomaterials](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref15) 35, 2383–2390.
- 16. [Wahlgren, J., De L Karlson, T., Brisslert, M., Vaziri Sani, F., Telemo, E., Sunnerhagen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref16) [P., and Valadi, H. \(2012\). Plasma exosomes can deliver exogenous short interfering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref16) [RNA to monocytes and lymphocytes. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref16) 40, e130.
- 17. [Zhuang, X., Xiang, X., Grizzle, W., Sun, D., Zhang, S., Axtell, R.C., Ju, S., Mu, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref17) [Zhang, L., Steinman, L., et al. \(2011\). Treatment of brain in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref17)flammatory diseases by delivering exosome encapsulated anti-infl[ammatory drugs from the nasal region to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref17) [the brain. Mol. Ther.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref17) 19, 1769–1779.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>18. [Cooper, J.M., Wiklander, P.B., Nordin, J.Z., Al-Shawi, R., Wood, M.J., Vithlani, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref18) [Schapira, A.H., Simons, J.P., El-Andaloussi, S., and Alvarez-Erviti, L. \(2014\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref18) [Systemic exosomal siRNA delivery reduced alpha-synuclein aggregates in brains of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref18) [transgenic mice. Mov. Disord.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref18) 29, 1476–1485.
- 19. Fais, S., O'[Driscoll, L., Borras, F.E., Buzas, E., Camussi, G., Cappello, F., Carvalho, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref19) [Cordeiro da Silva, A., Del Portillo, H., El Andaloussi, S., et al.; Evidence-Based Clinical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref19) [Use of Nanoscale Extracellular Vesicles in Nanomedicine \(2016\). Evidence-Based](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref19) [Clinical Use of Nanoscale Extracellular Vesicles in Nanomedicine. ACS Nano](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref19) 10, [3886](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref19)–3899.
- 20. György, B., Hung, M.E., Breakefi[eld, X.O., and Leonard, J.N. \(2015\). Therapeutic ap](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref20)[plications of extracellular vesicles: clinical promise and open questions. Annu. Rev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref20) [Pharmacol. Toxicol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref20) 55, 439–464.
- 21. [Oh, K., Kim, S.R., Kim, D.K., Seo, M.W., Lee, C., Lee, H.M., Oh, J.E., Choi, E.Y., Lee,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref21) [D.S., Gho, Y.S., and Park, K.S. \(2015\). In Vivo Differentiation of Therapeutic Insulin-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref21)[Producing Cells from Bone Marrow Cells via Extracellular Vesicle-Mimetic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref21) [Nanovesicles. ACS Nano](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref21) 9, 11718–11727.
- 22. [Wiklander, O.P.B., Brennan, M.A., Lötvall, J., Breake](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref22)field, X.O., and El Andaloussi, S. [\(2019\). Advances in therapeutic applications of extracellular vesicles. Sci. Transl.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref22) Med. 11[, eaav8521.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref22)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>23. [Cheng, Q., Shi, X., Han, M., Smbatyan, G., Lenz, H.J., and Zhang, Y. \(2018\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref23) [Reprogramming Exosomes as Nanoscale Controllers of Cellular Immunity. J. Am.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref23) [Chem. Soc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref23) 140, 16413–16417.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>24. [Ross, J.S., Slodkowska, E.A., Symmans, W.F., Pusztai, L., Ravdin, P.M., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref24) [Hortobagyi, G.N. \(2009\). The HER-2 receptor and breast cancer: ten years of targeted](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref24) [anti-HER-2 therapy and personalized medicine. Oncologist](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref24) 14, 320–368.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>25. [Shak, S.; Herceptin Multinational Investigator Study Group \(1999\). Overview of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref25) [trastuzumab \(Herceptin\) anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody clinical program in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref25) [HER2-overexpressing metastatic breast cancer. Semin. Oncol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref25) 26 (4 Suppl 12), 71–77.
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>26. [Beerli, R.R., Bauer, M., Buser, R.B., Gwerder, M., Muntwiler, S., Maurer, P., Saudan,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref26) [P., and Bachmann, M.F. \(2008\). Isolation of human monoclonal antibodies by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref26) [mammalian cell display. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref26) 105, 14336–14341.
- 27. [Ho, M., Nagata, S., and Pastan, I. \(2006\). Isolation of anti-CD22 Fv with high af](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref27)finity [by Fv display on human cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref27) 103, 9637–9642.
- 28. [Koh, E., Lee, E.J., Nam, G.H., Hong, Y., Cho, E., Yang, Y., and Kim, I.S. \(2017\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref28) Exosome-SIRPa[, a CD47 blockade increases cancer cell phagocytosis. Biomaterials](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref28) 121[, 121](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref28)–129.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>29. Zhu, Z., and Carter, P. (1995). Identifi[cation of heavy chain residues in a humanized](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref29) anti-CD3 antibody important for effi[cient antigen binding and T cell activation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref29) [J. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref29) 155, 1903–1910.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>30. [El-Andaloussi, S., Lee, Y., Lakhal-Littleton, S., Li, J., Seow, Y., Gardiner, C., Alvarez-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref30)[Erviti, L., Sargent, I.L., and Wood, M.J. \(2012\). Exosome-mediated delivery of siRNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref30) [in vitro and in vivo. Nat. Protoc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref30) 7, 2112–2126.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>31. [Greening, D.W., Xu, R., Ji, H., Tauro, B.J., and Simpson, R.J. \(2015\). A protocol for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref31) [exosome isolation and characterization: evaluation of ultracentrifugation, density](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref31)gradient separation, and immunoaffi[nity capture methods. Methods Mol. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref31) 1295[, 179](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref31)–209.
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>32. [Hung, M.E., and Leonard, J.N. \(2015\). Stabilization of exosome-targeting peptides via](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref32) [engineered glycosylation. J. Biol. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref32) 290, 8166–8172.
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>33. [Yim, N., Ryu, S.W., Choi, K., Lee, K.R., Lee, S., Choi, H., Kim, J., Shaker, M.R., Sun,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref33) [W., Park, J.H., et al. \(2016\). Exosome engineering for ef](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref33)ficient intracellular delivery of [soluble proteins using optically reversible protein-protein interaction module. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref33) [Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref33) 7, 12277.
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>34. [Gangalum, R.K., Atanasov, I.C., Zhou, Z.H., and Bhat, S.P. \(2011\). AlphaB-crystallin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref34) [is found in detergent-resistant membrane microdomains and is secreted via exo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref34)[somes from human retinal pigment epithelial cells. J. Biol. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref34) 286, 3261–3269.
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>35. [Katsuda, T., Tsuchiya, R., Kosaka, N., Yoshioka, Y., Takagaki, K., Oki, K., Takeshita,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref35) [F., Sakai, Y., Kuroda, M., and Ochiya, T. \(2013\). Human adipose tissue-derived](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref35) [mesenchymal stem cells secrete functional neprilysin-bound exosomes. Sci. Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref35) 3, [1197.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref35)
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>36. [Cao, Y., Axup, J.Y., Ma, J.S., Wang, R.E., Choi, S., Tardif, V., Lim, R.K., Pugh, H.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref36) [Lawson, B.R., Welzel, G., et al. \(2015\). Multiformat T-cell-engaging bispeci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref36)fic anti[bodies targeting human breast cancers. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref36) 54, 7022–7027.
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>37. [Zhang, B., Yin, Y., Lai, R.C., and Lim, S.K. \(2014\). Immunotherapeutic potential of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref37) [extracellular vesicles. Front. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref37) 5, 518.
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>38. [Zhu, X., Badawi, M., Pomeroy, S., Sutaria, D.S., Xie, Z., Baek, A., Jiang, J., Elgamal,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref38) [O.A., Mo, X., Perle, K., et al. \(2017\). Comprehensive toxicity and immunogenicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref38) [studies reveal minimal effects in mice following sustained dosing of extracellular ves](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref38)[icles derived from HEK293T cells. J. Extracell. Vesicles](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref38) 6, 1324730.
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>39. [Whitlow, M., Bell, B.A., Feng, S.L., Filpula, D., Hardman, K.D., Hubert, S.L., Rollence,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref39) [M.L., Wood, J.F., Schott, M.E., Milenic, D.E., et al. \(1993\). An improved linker for sin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref39)[gle-chain Fv with reduced aggregation and enhanced proteolytic stability. Protein](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref39) Eng. 6[, 989](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1525-0016(19)30538-6/sref39)–995.