Estimated Ventricular Size, Asthma Severity, and Exacerbations
The Severe Asthma Research Program III Cohort

Check for updates

Samuel Y. Ash, MD, MPH; Gonzalo Vegas Sanchez-Ferrero, PhD; Mark L. Schiebler, MD; Farbod N. Rahaghi, MD, PhD; Ashish Rai, MD; Carolyn E. Come, MD, MPH; James C. Ross, PhD; Alysha G. Colon, BS; Juan Carlos Cardet, MD, MPH; Eugene R. Bleecker, MD; Mario Castro, MD, MPH; John V. Fahy, MD; Sean B. Fain, PhD; Benjamin M. Gaston, MD; Eric A. Hoffman, PhD; Nizar N. Jarjour, MD; Jason K. Lempel, MD; David T. Mauger, PhD; Matthew C. Tattersall, DO; Sally E. Wenzel, MD; Bruce D. Levy, MD; George R. Washko, MD; Elliot Israel, MD; and Raul San Jose Estepar, PhD; for the SARP Investigators*

BACKGROUND: Relative enlargement of the pulmonary artery (PA) on chest CT imaging is associated with respiratory exacerbations in patients with COPD or cystic fibrosis. We sought to determine whether similar findings were present in patients with asthma and whether these findings were explained by differences in ventricular size.

METHODS: We measured the PA and aorta diameters in 233 individuals from the Severe Asthma Research Program III cohort. We also estimated right, left, and total epicardial cardiac ventricular volume indices (eERVVI, eELVVI, and eETVVI, respectively). Associations between the cardiac and PA measures (PA-to-aorta [PA/A] ratio, eERVVI-to-eELVVI [eRV/eLV] ratio, eERVVI, eELVVI, eETVVI) and clinical measures of asthma severity were assessed by Pearson correlation, and associations with asthma severity and exacerbation rate were evaluated by multivariable linear and zero-inflated negative binomial regression.

RESULTS: Asthma severity was associated with smaller ventricular volumes. For example, those with severe asthma had 36.1 mL/m^2 smaller eETVVI than healthy control subjects ($P =$ .003) and 14.1 mL/m^2 smaller eETVVI than those with mild/moderate disease ($P =$ .011). Smaller ventricular volumes were also associated with a higher rate of asthma exacerbations, both retrospectively and prospectively. For example, those with an eETVVI less than the median had a 57% higher rate of exacerbations during follow-up than those with eETVVI greater than the median ($P =$ .020). Neither PA/A nor eRV/eLV was associated with asthma severity or exacerbations.

CONCLUSIONS: In patients with asthma, smaller cardiac ventricular size may be associated with more severe disease and a higher rate of asthma exacerbations.

In patients with COPD or cystic fibrosis, a larger diameter of the pulmonary artery (PA) on chest CT imaging may be a marker of pulmonary hypertension and adverse outcomes.[1-3] Similarly, the ratio of the right ventricular volume to the left ventricular volume may be used as a marker of disease severity in COPD.[4-6] However, little work has been done to explore these relationships in patients with asthma.

We have shown that loss of the peripheral pulmonary vasculature, a finding termed pulmonary vascular pruning, is associated with asthma severity and exacerbations.[1,7] Pulmonary vascular pruning is also present in patients with emphysema, and may be one of several underlying causes for the changes seen in the heart and central pulmonary vasculature of patients with COPD.[1,8] These findings raise the question of whether patients with asthma may also have measurable changes to their cardiac ventricles and central pulmonary vasculature on chest CT imaging.

We have developed an automated method to estimate epicardial cardiac chamber size on noncontrast, non-ECG-gated chest CT scans.[4,6] We hypothesized that both the pulmonary artery-to-aorta diameter ratio (PA/A) and the ratio of the estimated right ventricular volume to the estimated left ventricular volume (eRV/eLV) measured by this approach may be associated with disease severity and respiratory exacerbations in patients with asthma. We also sought to explore whether any association between eRV/eLV and asthma severity was driven by relative enlargement of the right ventricle or by a relative decrease in size of the left ventricle.

Materials and Methods
Cohort Description

The Severe Asthma Research Program (SARP) is a prospective, multicenter investigation designed to improve the understanding of severe asthma. For this study we used data from adult participants with both severe and nonsevere asthma from the third phase of SARP (SARP III) as well as from a smaller group of participants characterized as healthy control subjects.[9] Additional details regarding the cohort, including clinical definitions, are available in the online article (Supplemental Methods, e-Appendix 1).[9-12] All participants provided informed consent, and the study was approved by the institutional review board at each center (e-Table 1).

CT Image Acquisition and Analysis

Volumetric, noncontrast, non-ECG-gated CT scans of the chest were obtained as previously described.[7,13,14] Similarly, lung segmentation and the assessment of imaging covariates including the percentage of lung occupied by low-attenuation area (LAA%), the percentage of lung occupied by air trapping (AT%), PA diameter, aorta diameter, and the presence of emphysema were performed according to previously published methods. Additional details regarding these methods are available in the online article (Supplemental Methods, e-Appendix 1).

Cardiac ventricular size was estimated on the basis of a previously described statistical model of the heart that uses 50 modes of variation of the cardiac structure to describe anatomical variability.[4,6,15] Briefly, the model was developed with anatomical segmentations of four-dimensional (three-dimensional plus time) data from 138 subjects in an independent cohort that included individuals with and without a broad range of diseases. A trained

Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA; the Applied Chest Imaging Laboratory (Drs Ash, Vegas Sanchez-Ferrero, Rahaghi, Come, Ross, Washko, and San Jose Estepar), Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA; the Department of Radiology (Dr Schiebler), University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI; the Department of Medicine (Dr Rai), North Shore Medical Center, Salem, MA; the College of Medicine (Ms Colon), University of Florida, Gainesville, FL; the Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Medicine (Dr Cardet), University of South Florida, Tampa, FL; the Division of Genetics, Genomics and Precision Medicine (Dr Bleecker), University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ; the Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine (Dr Castro), Washington University, St. Louis, MO; the Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine (Dr Fahy), University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA; the Departments of Medical Physics, Radiology, and Biomedical Engineering (Dr Fain), University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI; the Division of Pulmonology, Allergy, and Immunology, and Pediatric Pulmonology (Dr Gaston), Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital and Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, OH; the Departments of Radiology, Biomedical Engineering, and Medicine (Dr Hoffman), University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA; the Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine (Dr Jarjour), University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI; the Department of Radiology (Dr Lempel), Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; the Division of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics (Dr Mauger), Penn State Eberly College of Science, University Park, PA; the Division of Cardiovascular Medicine (Dr Tattersall), University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI; and the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care (Dr Wenzel), University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA.

*Collaborators from SARP are listed in the Acknowledgments.

Dr Ash and Dr Vegas Sanchez-Ferrero contributed equally to this work (co-first authors).

Dr Israel and Dr San Jose Estepar contributed equally (co-senior authors).

CORRESPONDENCE TO: Samuel Y. Ash, MD, MPH, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis St, PBB, CA-3, Boston, MA 02130; e-mail: syash@bwh.harvard.edu

operator begins the supervised segmentation by manually fitting the average shape model to the CT scan. Surface fitting is then performed by an active shape model method that deforms the model according to a probabilistic map of the boundary between pericardial fat and myocardium (ie, the epicardial surface). Because of limitations related to the lack of intravenous contrast, the ventricular volumes were defined on the basis of epicardial surface fitting and therefore included both the wall and chamber volumes.[4,6] The eRV/eLV was defined as the estimated epicardial right ventricular volume divided by the estimated epicardial left ventricular volume. All of the cardiac volumes were normalized by patient body surface area (in square meters) to yield the estimated volume indices, that is, the estimated epicardial right ventricular volume index (eERVVI), the estimated epicardial left ventricular volume index (eELVVI), and the estimated epicardial total ventricular volume index (eETVVI).[16]

Statistical Analysis

Univariate associations of PA/A, eRV/eLV, eERVVI, eELVVI, and eETVVI with duration of disease (age at enrollment – age at diagnosis), inspiratory CT scan-measured lung volume (normalized by height), LAA%, AT%, prebronchodilator percent predicted FEV_1, prebronchodilator percent predicted FVC, Asthma Control Test (ACT) score, peripheral percent eosinophils, and bronchoreversibility [defined as (postalbuterol FEV_1 − prealbuterol FEV_1)/(prealbuterol FEV_1)] were analyzed by Pearson correlation. In addition, associations between the pulmonary vascular and cardiac measures with childhood diagnosis of asthma (defined as patient-reported diagnosis of asthma at age < 18) were assessed by t tests.

Associations between asthma severity and the PA/A and cardiac measures were analyzed by multivariable linear regression with adjustments for height-normalized, CT scan-measured lung volume as well as for age, sex, race, prebronchodilator percent predicted FEV_1, BMI, and systolic BP. Associations between exacerbations (both retrospective [in the year enrollment] and prospective [during follow-up]) and the PA/A and cardiac measures (dichotomized as described above) were evaluated by zero-inflated negative binomial regression with adjustments for the same covariates as the linear regression analyses as well as for ACT score and asthma severity category.[2,17] Analyses of prospective exacerbations included a time scale factor and were additionally adjusted for a history of asthma exacerbation in the year before enrollment.[18] The exacerbation analyses were performed both in the entire cohort and in the subgroup with severe asthma.

Multiple secondary analyses were performed as detailed in the online article (Supplemental Methods, e-Appendix 1). These included the following: multivariable logistic regression analyses to evaluate the association between PA/A (dichotomized at 1) and cardiac measures (dichotomized at their medians) and the presence of severe asthma (yes/no), multivariable linear regression analyses to evaluate the association between cardiac size and corticosteroid dose, and secondary analyses of these and the primary outcomes in the subgroup of participants without visually defined emphysema.

All statistical tests were two-sided, and P values < .05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. The analyses were performed with R version 3.5.0.[19]

Results

Two hundred and thirty-seven participants had clinical and imaging data available for analysis. Of those, cardiac segmentation was successfully performed on 233, 211 of whom had longitudinal follow-up data available and 185 of whom had expiratory imaging available (Fig 1). Sample cardiac segmentation images are shown in Figure 2. The cohort had a mean age of 46.2 years, was largely white, and had a female predominance (Table 1).

As shown in Table 2, PA/A was weakly inversely correlated with the duration of disease and AT%, and weakly directly correlated with prebronchodilator percent predicted FVC. The left, right, and total ventricular volumes were weakly inversely correlated with CT-measured lung volume and LAA%. Notably, no statistically significant associations were present between AT% and cardiac volume. In addition, no association was found between childhood diagnosis of asthma and any of the cardiac measures (e-Figs 1, 2).

In the multivariable analyses, there was no association between asthma severity and PA/A or eRV/eLV (Table 3). However, participants with severe asthma had smaller left ventricular, right ventricular, and biventricular volumes than healthy control subjects and smaller ventricular volumes than those with mild/moderate asthma (Table 4). In addition, those with mild/moderate asthma also had smaller right ventricular volume than healthy control subjects (Table 4). Similarly, smaller ventricular size was associated with higher odds of having severe asthma (e-Table 2).

PA/A and eRV/eLV were not associated with the exacerbation rate in the year before enrollment or during

Figure 1 – CONSORT diagram. CONSORT = Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials.

Severe Asthma Research Program III Baseline Enrollment (n = 762)

- Baseline demographic data unavailable (n = 19)
- Computed tomography data unavailable (n = 506)
- Ventricular segmentation failure (n = 4)

Overlapping Clinical and Radiologic Data (n = 233)

Longitudinal (Exacerbation) Data Available (n = 211)

Expiratory Imaging Data Available (n = 185)

Figure 2 – *Sample cardiac segmentation images. Sample heart segmentation images from participants with mild/moderate asthma (A; cardiac volume, 362.8 mL) and severe asthma (B; cardiac ventricular volume, 152.6 mL). The red surface represents the epicardial surface of the left ventricle, and the blue surface represents the epicardial surface of the right ventricle. Note that the images are shown to scale.*

follow-up (Tables 5, 6). However, those individuals with smaller ventricular volumes generally had higher rates of asthma exacerbations. This was true both in the year before enrollment and during follow-up, and these relationships were present in the entire cohort (Table 7) and in the subgroup of individuals with severe asthma (Table 8).

TABLE 1] Clinical and Radiologic Characteristics of the Cohort

Characteristic	Mean	SD	No.	Characteristic	No	%
Clinical Characteristics						
Age, y	46.2	14.7	233	Female	153	65.7
Duration of disease, y	27.6	15.0	233	Nonwhite	93	39.9
Height, m	1.7	0.1	233	Healthy control subjects	10	4.3
BMI	32.9	9.0	233	Mild/moderate asthma	66	28.3
Systolic BP, mm Hg	124.7	15.9	233	Severe asthma	157	67.4
Heart rate	72.8	12.0	233	Exacerbation in year before enrollment	126	54.1
Prebronchodilator FEV_1 % predicted	72.9	20.8	233	Exacerbation during follow-up	125	53.7
Prebronchodilator FVC % predicted	84.6	18.0	233			
Asthma Control Test score	16.3	5.0	223			
Duration of follow-up, y	2.9	0.8	211			
Prospective exacerbation rate, per year	0.9	1.2	211			
Radiologic Characteristics						
Percentage of lung with density < −950 HU, LAA%	2.1	2.3	233	Visual paraseptal emphysema	7	0.9
Pulmonary artery-to-aorta diameter ratio	0.9	0.1	233	Visual centrilobular emphysema	5	2.2
CT-measured lung volume, L	5.1	1.2	233			
Normalized by height, m	3.0	0.6	233			
Left ventricular volume, mL	173.3	43.8	233			
Normalized by body surface area, mL/m², eELVVI	104.3	24.2	233			
Right ventricular volume, mL	106.2	28.7	233			
Normalized by body surface area, mL/m², eERVVI	63.9	16.1	233			
Total ventricular volume, mL	279.5	70.8	233			
Normalized by body surface area, mL/m², eETVVI	168.2	39.2	233			
RV volume-to-LV volume ratio, eRV/eLV	0.61	0.07	233			

eELWI = estimated epicardial left ventricular volume index; eERWI = estimated epicardial right ventricular volume index; eETWI = estimated epicardial total ventricular volume index; eRV/eLV = estimated right ventricular volume to estimated left ventricular volume ratio; HU = Hounsfield unit; LAA = low-attenuation area.

TABLE 2] Univariate Associations

Clinical/Radiologic Characteristic	PA/A r	PA/A P	eRV/eLV r	eRV/eLV P	eELVWI r	eELVWI P	eERVWI r	eERVWI P	eETVWI r	eETVWI P
Duration of disease	−0.19	.006	−0.09	.197	−0.09	.197	0.13	.048	0.12	.081
CT scan-measured lung volume	0.03	.654	0.02	.784	−0.23	< .001	−0.26	< .001	−0.26	< .001
Percentage of lung with density < −950 HU, LAA%	−0.07	.321	0.04	.537	−0.27	< .001	−0.31	< .001	−0.30	< .001
Air trapping	−0.17	.024	0.04	.573	−0.12	.117	−0.13	.079	−0.13	.084
Percent predicted FEV_1	0.08	.197	0.05	.462	−0.06	.354	−0.10	.123	−0.09	.184
Percent predicted FVC	0.13	.041	0.10	.111	−0.09	.188	−0.15	.020	−0.13	.049
Asthma Control Test score	0.002	.979	0.11	.117	−0.02	.760	−0.64	.339	−0.05	.474
Peripheral percent eosinophils	−0.09	.187	−0.04	.512	−0.11	.095	−0.12	.067	−0.12	.075
Bronchoreversibility	0.06	.387	0.07	.311	0.03	.637	0.06	.390	0.042	.520

CT scan-measured lung volume normalized by height in meters; all cardiac volumes normalized (indexed) by body surface area in square meters; bronchoreversibility defined as (postalbuterol FEV_1 − prealbuterol FEV_1)/prealbuterol FEV_1. PA/A = pulmonary artery-to-aorta diameter ratio. See Table 1 legend for expansion of other abbreviations.

The distribution of annual average corticosteroid dose is shown in e-Table 4A. In general, there was a trend toward high-dose corticosteroid use being associated with smaller ventricular size, but this reached statistical significance only for eERVVI and eRV/eLV.

Similar results for all of the analyses were found when those with visually defined emphysema were excluded (e-Tables 3, 4B, 5B, 6-12).

Discussion

In this study we found that there was no association between PA/A or eRV/eLV and asthma exacerbations in patients with asthma, nor was PA/A or eRV/eLV associated with asthma severity. However, smaller ventricular volumes were independently associated with an increased rate of both retrospective and prospective asthma exacerbations and with asthma severity.

The lack of association between PA/A and respiratory exacerbations in asthma runs counter to findings in COPD and cystic fibrosis, in which a PA/A ratio greater than 1 has been associated with respiratory exacerbations.[2,3,5] This suggests that it may be that parenchymal destruction and regional hypoxemia, which are more prominent features of COPD and emphysema, are the driving forces behind enlargement of the PA/A in COPD, and that regional hyperinflation

TABLE 3] Multivariable Associations Between Asthma Severity, Pulmonary Artery-to-Aorta Ratio, and Right Ventricular Volume-to-Left Ventricular Volume Ratio

Asthma Severity	Pulmonary Artery/Aorta Diameter Difference	Pulmonary Artery/Aorta Diameter 95% CI	Pulmonary Artery/Aorta Diameter P Value	Estimated Right Ventricular/Estimated Left Ventricular Volume Difference	Estimated Right Ventricular/Estimated Left Ventricular Volume 95% CI	Estimated Right Ventricular/Estimated Left Ventricular Volume P Value
Healthy Control Subjects as Reference						
Healthy control subjects	Reference			Reference		
Mild/moderate asthma	−0.031	−0.126 to 0.063	.512	−0.023	−0.066 to 0.021	.306
Severe asthma	−0.057	−0.153 to 0.039	.246	−0.031	−0.075 to 0.013	.171
Mild/Moderate Asthma as Reference						
Mild/moderate asthma	Reference			Reference		
Severe asthma	−0.025	−0.069 to 0.019	.260	−0.008	−0.028 to 0.012	.427

Multivariable models adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, systolic BP, percent predicted FEV_1, the percentage of lung occupied by low-attenuation area, and height-normalized, CT scan-measured lung volume.

TABLE 4] Multivariable Associations Between Asthma Severity and Cardiac Ventricular Volumes

Asthma Severity	eELVVI Difference	eELVVI 95% CI	eELVVI P Value	eERVVI Difference	eERVVI 95% CI	eERVVI P Value	eETVVI Difference	eETVVI 95% CI	eETVVI P Value
Healthy Control Subjects as Reference									
Healthy control subjects	Reference			Reference			Reference		
Mild/moderate asthma	−12.1	−26.3 to 2.1	.095	−9.9	−19.7 to −0.1	.047	−22.0	−45.3 to 1.24	.063
Severe asthma	−20.3	−34.8 to −5.9	.006	−15.8	−25.8 to −5.8	.002	−36.1	−59.8 to −12.5	.003
Mild/Moderate Asthma as Reference									
Mild/moderate asthma	Reference			Reference			Reference		
Severe asthma	−8.3	−14.9 to −1.6	.015	−5.9	−10.4 to −1.3	.012	−14.1	−24.9 to −3.3	.011

Multivariable models adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, systolic BP, percent predicted FEV_1, percentage of lung occupied by low-attenuation area, and height-normalized, CT scan-measured lung volume. See Table 1 legend for expansion of abbreviations.

and decreased ventilation/perfusion matching associated with asthma are insufficient to result in central changes to the pulmonary vasculature.[2,5,7,20-22]

Perhaps the more interesting findings in our study are the associations between smaller estimated cardiac ventricular size, asthma severity, and exacerbations. Prior work using echocardiography has shown that asthma is associated with right and left ventricular dysfunction, especially in children.[23,24] Clinically, asthma has long been associated with small cardiac size on chest radiography.[25,26] Although this latter finding has largely been attributed to air trapping and lung hyperinflation, several autopsy studies have suggested that severe asthma may be associated with an

anatomically smaller heart independent of the functional effect of expiratory airflow limitation.[25,27,28]

There are several reasons why our findings are also unlikely to be related to air trapping and hyperinflation alone. Hyperinflation due to airway disease is thought to result in smaller cardiac size on chest radiography for two primary reasons. The first is a visual effect caused by the lowering of the diaphragm, which results in an anterior rotation of the cardiac apex and clockwise rotation (as viewed from above) of the heart that leads to a smaller visible cross-section on a standard posterior-anterior or anterior-posterior chest radiograph.[26,29] This effect is mitigated in our study by the use of three-dimensional ventricular volumes. The second is through

TABLE 5] Multivariable Associations Between PA/A and eRV/eLV Measures and Exacerbations in the Entire Cohort

Radiologic Measure	Incident Rate Ratio[a]	95% CI	P Value
Exacerbations in the Year Before Enrollment			
Pulmonary artery-to-aorta diameter ratio	0.87	0.48-1.57	.635
Estimated right ventricular-to-estimated left ventricular volume ratio	1.38	0.96-1.96	.079
Exacerbations During Follow-Up			
Pulmonary artery-to-aorta diameter ratio	1.05	0.62-1.79	.860
Estimated right ventricular-to-estimated left ventricular volume ratio	0.98	0.68-1.42	.921

Multivariable models adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, systolic BP, low-attenuation area, height-normalized CT scan-measured lung volume, percent predicted FEV_1, Asthma Control Test score, and asthma severity (mild/moderate vs severe). Prospective analyses also adjusted for exacerbation reported in the year before enrollment. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of abbreviations.
[a]Incident rate ratios are expressed as those with high vs those with low eRV/eLV (greater than the median vs less than the median) and high vs low PA/A (greater than 1 vs less than 1).

TABLE 6] Multivariable Associations Between PA/A and eRV/eLV Measures and Exacerbations in the Subgroup With Severe Asthma

Radiologic Measure	Incident Rate Ratio[a]	95% CI	P Value
Exacerbations in the Year Before Enrollment			
Pulmonary artery-to-aorta diameter ratio	0.81	0.45-1.45	.480
Estimated right ventricular-to-estimated left ventricular volume ratio	1.42	0.99-2.04	.057
Exacerbations During Follow-Up			
Pulmonary artery-to-aorta diameter ratio	1.67	1.00-2.81	.052
Estimated right ventricular-to-estimated left ventricular volume ratio	0.84	0.59-1.20	.342

Multivariable models adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, systolic BP, low-attenuation area, height-normalized CT scan-measured lung volume, percent predicted FEV$_1$, and Asthma Control Test score. Prospective analyses also adjusted for exacerbation reported in the year before enrollment. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of abbreviations.
[a]Incident rate ratios are expressed as those with high eRV/eLV vs those with low eRV/eLV (greater than the median vs less than the median) and high vs low PA/A (greater than 1 vs less than 1).

increased intrathoracic pressure and decreased venous return.[26] In our study, estimated ventricular volume was negatively correlated with CT scan-measured lung volume, and this effect likely does play some role in explaining our findings. However, the strength of that association was very weak, and the estimated ventricular volumes were not associated with air trapping on expiratory CT imaging. In addition, our findings remained present in multivariable analyses adjusted for lung volume, suggesting there may be an explanation for the relationship between heart size and disease severity beyond the physiologic effect of hyperinflation.

One conceivable, albeit speculative, anatomical explanation for the associations between heart size and asthma severity in our study may be that smaller heart size is anatomically associated with smaller airway caliber. This hypothesis might also help explain the lack of correlation between the cardiac measures and other measures of disease severity such as the ACT score, eosinophilia, and bronchoreactivity, as it may be

that those patients with asthma with smaller hearts have an asthma subtype primarily related to anatomy and those with eosinophilia may have a subtype more driven by inflammation.[30-32] Arguing against this hypothesis is the lack of association in our study between childhood diagnosis of asthma and cardiac size, as well as prior work demonstrating an association between childhood asthma diagnosis and higher left ventricular mass in asymptomatic adults.[33] Additional work, including incorporation of quantitative airway analyses as well as analyses of the peripheral pulmonary vasculature with arterial/venous segmentation, is needed to investigate this more fully.[7,34] Another possibility is that either asthma alone, or its treatment with chronic corticosteroids, is associated with cardiac wasting. Although limited, our finding of a possible relationship between steroid dose and ventricular size support this latter hypothesis, as does prior work using a large record linkage database showing the association between corticosteroid use and cardiovascular disease.[35] Finally, given the low rate of

TABLE 7] Multivariable Associations Between Cardiac Volume Measures and Exacerbations in the Entire Cohort

Radiologic Measure	Incident Rate Ratio[a]	95% CI	P Value
Exacerbations in the Year Before Enrollment			
Estimated epicardial left ventricular volume index	1.41	0.97-2.05	.069
Estimated epicardial right ventricular volume index	1.72	1.21-2.44	.003
Estimated epicardial total ventricular volume index	1.60	1.10-2.33	.015
Exacerbations During Follow-Up			
Estimated epicardial left ventricular volume index	1.48	1.03-2.13	.035
Estimated epicardial right ventricular volume index	1.39	0.96-2.02	.079
Estimated epicardial total ventricular volume index	1.57	1.08-2.28	.020

Multivariable models adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, systolic BP, low-attenuation area, height-normalized CT scan-measured lung volume, percent predicted FEV$_1$, Asthma Control Test score, and asthma severity (mild/moderate vs severe). Prospective analyses also adjusted for exacerbation reported in the year before enrollment.
[a]Incident rate ratios are expressed as those with lower volume compared with those with higher volume dichotomized at the median.

TABLE 8] Multivariable Associations Between Cardiac Volume Measures and Exacerbations in the Subgroup With Severe Asthma

Radiologic Measure	Incident Rate Ratio[a]	95% CI	P Value
Exacerbations in the Year Before Enrollment			
Estimated epicardial left ventricular volume index	1.59	1.21-2.51	.012
Estimated epicardial right ventricular volume index	1.82	1.28-2.59	.001
Estimated epicardial total ventricular volume index	1.90	1.33-2.73	< .001
Exacerbations During Follow-Up			
Estimated epicardial left ventricular volume index	1.35	0.94-1.95	.104
Estimated epicardial right ventricular volume index	1.41	0.99-2.00	.054
Estimated epicardial total ventricular volume index	1.55	1.08-2.22	.017

Multivariable models adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, systolic BP, low-attenuation area, height-normalized, CT scan-measured lung volume, percent predicted FEV_1, and Asthma Control Test score. Prospective analyses also adjusted for exacerbation reported in the year before enrollment.
[a]Incident rate ratios are expressed as those with lower volume compared with those with higher volume dichotomized at the median.

visually defined emphysema in this cohort and the fact that our findings did change minimally when those with emphysema were excluded, it does not appear that undiagnosed emphysema is the etiology of the findings in this study.

There are a number of limitations to our work. Perhaps most important was the use of an automated technique for the measurement of estimated ventricular volume based on noncontrast, non-ECG-gated CT imaging.[4,6] We have found in prior work that the ventricular volume measurements made by the CT scan-based method are correlated with cardiac MRI-based measurements and clinical outcomes in COPD.[4,6] However, they are not the same as the measurements made when using the more established techniques of MRI and transthoracic echocardiography.[6] Of particular note is the fact that because the CT scans were noncontrast, detection of the intraventricular septum is likely to be one of the least accurate aspects of the CT scan technique, thus limiting inferences drawn from our eRV/eLV findings. In addition, the absolute values of the ventricular estimates differ both from those obtained by MRI and transthoracic echocardiography, likely because the CT scan-based measurements in this study are epicardial and include structures such as the papillary muscles, and also because the CT images are non-ECG gated, leading to what is likely a temporal average of the ventricular volume across the cardiac cycle instead of the typically reported end-diastolic values.[36,37] For these reasons we also cannot comment on whether any of the differences seen in cardiac size are primarily due to changes in this endocardial volume or the myocardial thickness/volume. Despite these limitations, should this technique be validated in

other cohorts and diseases, then it may be a useful adjuvant tool for the assessment of cardiac size from imaging studies acquired for other indications. This may be of particular use as an epidemiologic tool in large population-based studies in which CT imaging of the chest is acquired but no dedicated cardiac imaging is performed.

Other limitations include the lack of expiratory imaging for all of the participants and the use of inspiratory rather than expiratory imaging for cardiac image analyses. Also, because the CT images used were acquired at several institutions, albeit using a standard research protocol, we cannot exclude the fact that the results may vary due to institution and scanner differences. In addition, because the CT scans were not always obtained on the same day as a study visit in which questions about recent exacerbations were asked, we are unable to account for effects related to a recent asthma exacerbation. It should also be noted that, although the associations between ventricular size and exacerbations were generally consistent, several did not reach statistical significance. Finally, because of limitations in the event rate and overall size of the study, other possible confounders such as bronchoreactivity and eosinophilia could not be controlled for in the multivariable analyses. The study size also limited our investigation into relationships between our findings and other biologic variables such as sex. A variety of future work is needed to overcome the limitations described above, including studies of larger or combined cohorts that use multimodal imaging of the heart and chest, as well as clinical and laboratory measures of disease severity, muscle wasting, and inflammation.[38]

Conclusions

In summary, our findings in the SARP III cohort, using objective analysis of noncontrast, non-ECG-gated images, suggest that neither PA/A nor eRV/eLV is associated with asthma severity or exacerbations, but that smaller estimated ventricular volume indices may be associated with both asthma severity and exacerbations. If our findings are replicated, they may suggest that severe asthma, or its treatments, are associated with changes in cardiac morphology, potentially opening new avenues of investigation for the etiology and treatment of asthma.

References

1. Schiebler ML, Bhalla S, Runo J, et al. Magnetic resonance and computed tomography imaging of the structural and functional changes of pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2013;28(3):178-193.

2. Wells JM, Washko GR, Han MK, et al. COPDGene Investigators; ECLIPSE Study Investigators. Pulmonary arterial enlargement and acute exacerbations of COPD. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(10):913-921.

3. Wells JM, Farris RF, Gosdin TA, et al. Pulmonary artery enlargement and cystic fibrosis pulmonary exacerbations: a cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2016;4(8):636-645.

4. Bhatt SP, Vegas-Sanchez-Ferrero G, Rahaghi FN, et al. Cardiac morphometry on computed tomography and exacerbation reduction with β-blocker therapy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2017;196(11):1484-1488.

5. Wells JM, Iyer AS, Rahaghi FN, et al. Pulmonary artery enlargement is associated with right ventricular dysfunction and loss of blood volume in small pulmonary vessels in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;8(4):e002546.

6. Rahaghi FN, Vegas-Sanchez-Ferrero G, Minhas JK, et al. Ventricular geometry from non-contrast non-ECG-gated CT scans: an imaging marker of cardiopulmonary disease in smokers. *Acad Radiol*. 2017;24(5):594-602.

7. Ash SY, Rahaghi FN, Come CE, et al. SARP Investigators. Pruning of the pulmonary vasculature in asthma: the Severe Asthma Research Program (SARP) cohort. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2018;198(1):39-50.

8. Estepar RS, Kinney GL, Black-Shinn JL, et al. Computed tomographic measures of pulmonary vascular morphology in smokers and their clinical implications. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013;188(2):231-239.

9. Moore WC, Bleecker ER, Curran-Everett D, et al. Characterization of the

severe asthma phenotype by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Severe Asthma Research Program. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2007;119(2):405-413.

10. Jarjour NN, Erzurum SC, Bleecker ER, et al. Severe asthma: lessons learned from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Severe Asthma Research Program. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2012;185(4):356-362.

11. Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J*. 2014;43(2):343-373.

12. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert panel report: guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma: update on selected topics—2002. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2002;110(5 suppl):S141-S219.

13. Sieren JP, Newell JD Jr, Barr RG, et al. SPIROMICS protocol for multicenter quantitative computed tomography to phenotype the lungs. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2016;194(7):794-806.

14. Choi S, Hoffman EA, Wenzel SE, et al. Quantitative computed tomographic imaging-based clustering differentiates asthmatic subgroups with distinctive clinical phenotypes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2017;140(3):690-700.e698.

15. Hoogendoorn C, Duchateau N, Sanchez-Quintana D, et al. A high-resolution atlas and statistical model of the human heart from multislice CT. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2013;32(1):28-44.

16. Mosteller RD. Simplified calculation of body-surface area. *N Engl J Med*. 1987;317(17):1098.

17. McCoy K, Shade DM, Irvin CG, et al. Predicting episodes of poor asthma control in treated patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2006;118(6):1226-1233.

18. Miller MK, Lee JH, Miller DP, Wenzel SE; TENOR Study Group. Recent asthma exacerbations: a key predictor of future exacerbations. *Respir Med*. 2007;101(3):481-489.

19. RStudio Team. *RStudio: Integrated Development for R*. [computer program]. Boston, MA: RStudio, Inc.; 2015. www.rstudio.com/. Accessed September 26, 2019.

20. Matsuoka S, Washko GR, Dransfield MT, et al. Quantitative CT measurement of cross-sectional area of small pulmonary vessel in COPD: correlations with emphysema and airflow limitation. *Acad Radiol*. 2010;17(1):93-99.

21. Matsuoka S, Washko GR, Yamashiro T, et al. Pulmonary hypertension and computed tomography measurement of small pulmonary vessels in severe emphysema. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2010;181(3):218-225.

22. Matsuoka S, Yamashiro T, Diaz A, et al. The relationship between small pulmonary vascular alteration and aortic atherosclerosis in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: quantitative CT analysis. *Acad Radiol*. 2011;18(1):40-46.

23. Ozdemir O, Ceylan Y, Razi CH, Ceylan O, Andiran N. Assessment of ventricular functions by tissue Doppler echocardiography in children with asthma. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2013;34(3):553-559.

24. Uyan AP, Uyan C, Ozyurek H. Assessment of right ventricular diastolic filling parameters by Doppler echocardiography. *Pediatr Int*. 2003;45(3):263-267.

25. Rubin EL. The size of the heart in asthma and emphysema. *Lancet*. 1936;228(5906):1089-1093.

26. Baratto O, Muehsam GE. Heart size in pulmonary emphysema. *JAMA*. 1968;203(4):293-295.

27. Rackemann FM. Fatal asthma: report of a case with autopsy. *Boston Med Surg J*. 1926;194(12):531-534.

28. Götzl A, Kienböck R. [Bronchial asthma and cardiac atrophy] [article in German]. *Wien Klin Wochenschr*. 1908;21(36):1261-1265.

29. Palmieri GC Jr. [Morphology of the heart in emphysema; comparison of radiographic and plastic model data] [article in Italian]. *Bull Sci Med (Bologna)*. 1958;130(2):185-189.

30. Denlinger LC, Phillips BR, Ramratnam S, et al. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Severe Asthma Research Program-3 Investigators. Inflammatory and comorbid features of patients with severe asthma and frequent exacerbations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2017;195(3):302-313.

31. Israel E, Reddel HK. Severe and difficult-to-treat asthma in adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(10):965-976.

32. Lange P, Celli B, Agusti A, et al. Lung-function trajectories leading to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(2):111-122.

33. Sun D, Wang T, Heianza Y, et al. A history of asthma from childhood and left ventricular mass in asymptomatic young adults: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2017;5(7):497-504.

34. Washko GR, Nardelli P, Ash SY, et al. Arterial vascular pruning, right ventricular size, and clinical outcomes in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a longitudinal observational study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2019;200(4):454-461.

35. Wei L, MacDonald TM, Walker BR. Taking glucocorticoids by prescription is associated with subsequent cardiovascular disease. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;141(10):764-770.

36. Ostenfeld E, Flachskampf FA. Assessment of right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction by echocardiography: from geometric approximations to realistic shapes. *Echo Res Pract*. 2015;2(1):R1-R11.

37. Kawel-Boehm N, Maceira A, Valsangiacomo-Buechel ER, et al. Normal values for cardiovascular magnetic resonance in adults and children. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2015;17:29.

38. McDonald ML, Diaz AA, Ross JC, et al. Quantitative computed tomography measures of pectoralis muscle area and disease severity in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a cross-sectional study. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2014;11(3):326-334.