

cently published European Association for the Study of the Liver–Asociación Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Hígado guidelines (8).

Disclosures of Conflicts of Interest: L.E. disclosed no relevant relationships. P.E.R. Activities related to the present article: disclosed no relevant relationships. Activities not related to the present article: receives personal fees from Gilead. Other relationships: disclosed no relevant relationships. L.C. Activities related to the present article: disclosed no relevant relationships. Activities not related to the present article: receives lecture fees from Echosens. Other relationships: disclosed no relevant relationships.

References

1. Elkrief L, Rautou PE, Ronot M, et al. Prospective comparison of spleen and liver stiffness by using shear-wave and transient elastography for detection of portal hypertension in cirrhosis. *Radiology* 2015;275(2):589–598.
2. Procopet B, Berzigotti A, Abraldes JG, et al. Real-time shear-wave elastography: applicability, reliability and accuracy for clinically significant portal hypertension. *J Hepatol* 2015;62(5):1068–1075.
3. Stefanescu H, Grigorescu M, Lupsor M, Procopet B, Maniu A, Badea R. Spleen stiffness measurement using Fibroscan for the non-invasive assessment of esophageal varices in liver cirrhosis patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011;26(1):164–170.
4. Colecchia A, Montrone L, Scaiola E, et al. Measurement of spleen stiffness to evaluate portal hypertension and the presence of esophageal varices in patients with HCV-related cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 2012;143(3):646–654.
5. Sharma P, Kirnake V, Tyagi P, et al. Spleen stiffness in patients with cirrhosis in predicting esophageal varices. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013;108(7):1101–1107.
6. Takuma Y, Nouse K, Morimoto Y, et al. Measurement of spleen stiffness by acoustic radiation force impulse imaging identifies cirrhotic patients with esophageal varices. *Gastroenterology* 2012;144(1):92–101.e2.
7. Castera L, Garcia-Tsao G. When the spleen gets tough, the varices get going. *Gastroenterology* 2013;144(1):19–22.
8. EASL-ALEH clinical practice guidelines: non-invasive tests for evaluation of liver disease severity and prognosis. *J Hepatol* 2015;63(1):237–264.

Errata

Originally published in:

Radiology 2013;267(1):106–118
DOI: 10.1148/radiol.12121823
Intravenous Contrast Material–induced Nephropathy: Causal or Coincident Phenomenon?
Robert J. McDonald, Jennifer S. McDonald, John P. Bida, Rickey E. Carter, Chad J. Fleming, Sanjay Misra, Eric E. Williamson, and David F. Kallmes

Erratum in:

Radiology 2016;278(1):306
DOI:10.1148/radiol.2015154044

Table E2, under the category “Medium-Risk Subgroup,” the odds ratio data for strata row 1 should read as follows: 1.68 (1.35, 2.10).

Originally published in:

Radiology 2015;275(1):177–187
DOI:10.1148/radiol.14141282
Targeted Screening of Individuals at High Risk for Pancreatic Cancer: Results of a Simulation Model
Pari V. Pandharipande, Curtis Heberle, Emily C. Dowling, Chung Yin Kong, Angela Tramontano, Katherine E. Perzan, William Brugge, and Chin Hur

Erratum in:

Radiology 2016;278(1):306
DOI:10.1148/radiol.2015154045

In the first paragraph of “Implementation of PDAC Screening in the Model,” fourth sentence, the authors wish to make the following clarification: “**Without more granular data available to inform this type of misidentification, we applied the same false-negative rate to patients with a low-risk cystic lesion, a high-risk cystic lesion, or PDAC.**”