



Published in final edited form as:

*Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2020 July ; 18(8): 1884–1886. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2019.08.003.

## Increasing Liver Fat is Associated with Incident Cardiovascular Risk Factors

Katherine T. Brunner, MD<sup>1</sup>, Alison Pedley, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Joseph M. Massaro, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Udo Hoffmann, MD<sup>2</sup>, Emelia J. Benjamin, MD, ScM<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Michelle T. Long, MD<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Gastroenterology, Boston Medical Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA.

<sup>2</sup>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's and Boston University's Framingham Heart Study, Framingham, MA.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA.

<sup>4</sup>Cardiology and Preventive Medicine Sections, Evans Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA.

### Introduction:

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is associated with increased liver and cardiovascular disease (CVD)-related morbidity and mortality. In cross-sectional analyses, NAFLD clusters with several cardiometabolic traits including obesity (1) (2), hypertension (3), diabetes (1), and dyslipidemia (3). However, liver fat is dynamic and changes over time. Aside from limited prior studies evaluating diet or exercise interventions, little is known about the association between changes in liver fat and the incidence of CVD risk factors. Additionally, previous studies often have limited follow-up, evaluate only select populations, such as individuals with obesity (4, 5) or diabetes (6–8), and may not account for changes in weight or body mass index (BMI). The aim of the present study was to examine, in a longitudinal cohort, the natural history of liver fat change and the association with the incidence of multiple CVD risk factors.

### Methods:

Study participants (n=808) were drawn from the Framingham Heart Study Third Generation cohort who participated in a substudy with two serial computed tomography (CT) scans performed 6 years apart. CT-derived liver fat attenuation was measured in Hounsfield Units and standardized to a radiographic phantom to create liver phantom ratios (LPR). We

---

**Contact information:** Michelle T. Long, MD, Boston University School of Medicine, Section of Gastroenterology, 85 East Concord Street 7th Floor, Boston MA 02118, mlong@bu.edu, Tel: (617) 638-8392, Fax: (617) 638-6529.

**Author Contributions:**

Study concept and design (KTB, EJB, MTL); acquisition of data (UH); analysis and interpretation of data (KTB, AP, JMM, UH, EJB, MTL); drafting of the manuscript (KTB); critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content (AP, JMM, UH, EJB, MTL); statistical analysis (AP, JMM); obtained funding (EJB, MTL); administrative, technical, or material support (UH); study supervision (MTL).

**Conflicts of Interest:** Alison Pedley is an employee of Merck. The other authors have no conflicts to report.

performed multivariable-adjusted logistic regression models to measure the association between changes in liver fat and the incidence of multiple CVD risk factors, accounting for baseline demographics and risk factors. We excluded participants with the outcome of interest at baseline so the sample size varied (Table). The first model adjusted for age, sex, baseline LPR, smoking, drinks per week, and baseline continuous CVD risk factors, hypertension, diabetes, impaired fasting glucose, hypercholesterolemia, low HDL, and hypertriglyceridemia, as well as treatment variables. The second model additionally adjusted for baseline BMI and change in BMI, to examine whether changing BMI drives any or all associations.

## Results:

The baseline prevalence of NAFLD in our sample (mean age  $45 \pm 6$  years, 46.9% women) was 14%, which increased to 24% at follow-up. Increasing liver fat was associated with the incidence of multiple CVD risk factors. Each standard deviation increase in liver fat was associated with incident impaired fasting glucose (OR 1.51; 95% CI: 1.22–1.87), incident diabetes (OR 1.66, 95% CI: 1.25–2.21), and incident metabolic syndrome (OR 1.76, 95% CI: 1.36–2.26) (Table). After accounting for baseline and change in BMI, increasing liver fat remained associated with incident diabetes (OR 1.68, 95% CI: 1.23, 2.30) and metabolic syndrome (OR 1.55, 95% CI 1.19, 2.03). Each standard deviation increase in liver fat was also associated with low HDL in the first model, but once baseline and change in BMI were accounted for, this association was no longer significant.

## Discussion:

In our longitudinal, observational cohort study, we observed a general increase in liver fat, along with BMI, over the 6.2-year follow-up period, as well as the incidence of several CVD risk factors. Even after accounting for increasing BMI over the follow-up period, an adverse progression of liver fat remained significantly associated with incident diabetes and metabolic syndrome.

Our study has a number of important clinical implications worth noting. Whereas clinically we often characterize patients as having NAFLD or not, those that have increasing fat over time may be at increased CVD risk. Additionally, the associations between increasing liver fat and incident diabetes and metabolic syndrome remained after accounting for change in BMI and other covariates. Clinically, weight change or BMI change may not correlate well with changes in liver fat or with changes to an individual's cardiometabolic risk. Additional studies are needed to determine if tools to quantify liver fat provide additional insights to cardiometabolic risk over changes in BMI.

The strengths of our study include the moderate sample size and follow-up period, allowing us to study the natural history of liver fat change in relation to multiple CVD risk factors. Limitations include the observational nature of the study, though this allows for examination of the course of disease. Participants were not tested for the viral hepatitis, though we expect the population prevalence to be low. We did not account for changes in diet or physical activity over the follow up period which also may have influenced CVD risk. Additionally,

CT imaging is not able to distinguish those with simple steatosis versus nonalcoholic steatohepatitis or hepatic fibrosis, though CT is a noninvasive, but reliable, method of quantifying changes in liver fat attenuation over time. In conclusion, increasing liver fat over 6.2 years is associated with the development of diabetes and metabolic syndrome after accounting for changes in generalized adiposity.

## Acknowledgments

Financial Support:

Dr. Brunner is supported in part by the Boston University Clinical and Translational Science Institute (grant UL1-TR000157). Dr. Long is supported in part by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases K23 DK113252 and the Boston University School of Medicine Department of Medicine Career Investment Award. Dr. Benjamin is supported by R01HL128914; 2R01 HL092577; 2U54HL120163; American Heart Association, 18SFRN34110082; The Framingham Heart Study is funded by HHSN268201500001I; N01-HC 25195.

## List of Abbreviations:

<b>BMI</b>	body mass index
<b>CI</b>	confidence interval
<b>CT</b>	Computed tomography
<b>CVD</b>	cardiovascular disease
<b>HDL</b>	high density lipoprotein
<b>LPR</b>	liver phantom ratio
<b>MR</b>	magnetic resonance
<b>MV</b>	multivariable
<b>NAFLD</b>	non-alcoholic liver disease
<b>OR</b>	odds ratio

## References:

- 1). Vernon G, Baranova A, Younossi ZM. Systematic review: the epidemiology and natural history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in adults. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther* 2011;34:274–285. [PubMed: 21623852]
- 2). Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Diehl AM, Brunt EM, Cusi K, et al. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and the American Gastroenterological Association. *Hepatology* 2012;55:2005–2023. [PubMed: 22488764]
- 3). Juneja A Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)—the hepatic component of metabolic syndrome. *JAPI* 2009;57:201. [PubMed: 19588647]
- 4). Phillips ML, Boase S, Wahlroos S, Dugar M, Kow L, Stahl J, et al. Associates of change in liver fat content in the morbidly obese after laparoscopic gastric banding surgery. *Diabetes Obes. Metab* 2008;10:661–667. [PubMed: 17941875]
- 5). Westerbacka J, Lammi K, Häkkinen A-M, Rissanen A, Salminen I, Aro A, et al. Dietary fat content modifies liver fat in overweight nondiabetic subjects. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab* 2005;90:2804–2809. [PubMed: 15741262]

- 6). Cassidy S, Thoma C, Hallsworth K, Parikh J, Hollingsworth KG, Taylor R, et al. High intensity intermittent exercise improves cardiac structure and function and reduces liver fat in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised controlled trial. *Diabetologia* 2016;59:56–66. [PubMed: 26350611]
- 7). Bruinstroop E, Dalan R, Yang C, Bee YM, Chandran K, Cho LW, et al. Low Dose Levothyroxine Reduces Intrahepatic Lipid Content in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and NAFLD. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab* 2018.
- 8). Lazo M, Solga SF, Horska A, Bonekamp S, Diehl AM, Brancati FL, et al. Effect of a 12-month intensive lifestyle intervention on hepatic steatosis in adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:2156–2163. [PubMed: 20664019]

**Table:**

Multivariable-Adjusted Logistic Regression Models for the Risk of Incident Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors with Increasing Liver Fat

	Number of participants	Model	OR (95% Confidence Interval)	p value
Hypertension <sup>‡</sup>	654	MV <sup>†</sup>	1.22 (0.96, 1.55)	0.11
		MV + BMI + $\Delta$ BMI <sup>†</sup>	1.06 (0.81, 1.38)	0.69
Diabetes <sup>‡</sup>	788	MV	1.66 (1.25, 2.21)	<0.001
		MV + BMI + $\Delta$ BMI	1.68 (1.23, 2.30)	0.001
Impaired Fasting Glucose <sup>‡</sup>	585	MV	1.51 (1.22, 1.87)	<0.001
		MV + BMI + $\Delta$ BMI	1.28 (1.00, 1.63)	0.05
Hypercholesterolemia <sup>‡</sup>	661	MV	1.13 (0.93, 1.37)	0.23
		MV + BMI + $\Delta$ BMI	1.05 (0.84, 1.31)	0.68
Low HDL <sup>‡</sup>	565	MV	1.38 (1.01, 1.88)	0.04
		MV + BMI + $\Delta$ BMI	1.25 (0.88, 1.76)	0.21
Hypertriglyceridemia <sup>‡</sup>	574	MV	1.14 (0.92, 1.43)	0.23
		MV + BMI + $\Delta$ BMI	1.04 (0.81, 1.34)	0.74
Metabolic Syndrome <sup>‡</sup>	608	MV	1.76 (1.36, 2.26)	<0.001
		MV + BMI + $\Delta$ BMI	1.55 (1.19, 2.03)	0.001

MV, multivariable; BMI, body mass index; HDL, high density lipoprotein.

<sup>†</sup>MV model adjusts for baseline age, sex, baseline smoking status (former, current, never), baseline drinks per week, baseline liver phantom ratio, and baseline continuous outcome variables (baseline SBP and DBP for hypertension, baseline glucose for diabetes and impaired fasting glucose, baseline total cholesterol for hypercholesterolemia, baseline HDL for low HDL, baseline log triglycerides for hypertriglyceridemia and baseline waist circumference, log triglycerides, HDL, glucose, SBP and DBP for the metabolic syndrome). The MV+ BMI +  $\Delta$ BMI was additionally adjusted for baseline BMI and change in BMI.

<sup>‡</sup>Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure  $\geq$  140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$  90 mm Hg, or treatment with antihypertensive medication. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting glucose  $\geq$  126 mg/dL or use of hypoglycemic medications including insulin. Impaired fasting glucose was defined as fasting glucose 100 to 125 mg/dL in the absence of hypoglycemic medications. Hypercholesterolemia was defined as a total cholesterol  $\geq$  240 mg/dL or use of lipid-lowering medication. Low HDL cholesterol was defined as  $<$  50mg/dL for women or  $<$  40 mg/dL for men. Hypertriglyceridemia was defined as triglycerides  $\geq$  150 mg/dL or lipid treatment. Metabolic syndrome was defined as present if a participant had three or more of the following characteristics: abdominal obesity, defined as waist circumference greater  $>$  35 inches for women or 40 inches for men, triglycerides  $\geq$  150 mg/dL, HDL cholesterol  $<$  50 mg/dL for women and  $<$  40 mg/dL for men, a systolic blood pressure  $\geq$  130 mm Hg or a diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$  85 mm Hg, or a fasting glucose  $\geq$  110 mg/dL.