



Published in final edited form as:

*Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* 2020 March ; 49(1): 165–178. doi:10.1016/j.gtc.2019.09.005.

## Implications of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis as the etiology of end stage liver disease prior to and after liver transplantation

Anchalia Chandrakumaran<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Shadab Siddiqui<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, VCU

<sup>2</sup>Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, VCU

### Abstract

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common cause of chronic liver disease. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is the clinically aggressive variant of NAFLD has a propensity for fibrosis progression and cirrhosis. While the prevalence of NAFLD and NASH is high, it is projected to increase rapidly in the near future and is expected to dramatically add to the already substantial healthcare burden. Cirrhosis and end-stage liver disease resulting from NASH is now the fastest growing indication for liver transplantation (LT) in the United States. Patients with NASH cirrhosis have higher prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases, which poses unique challenges as patients decompensate and undergo LT. Following LT, recurrence of NAFLD and NASH is common, however, the mortality resulting from NASH cirrhosis is rare as other competing causes of mortality such as cardiovascular, malignancy and infections are more common. The aim of the current manuscript is to review the current landscape of burden of NASH particularly as patients progress to cirrhosis and post-LT outcomes.

### Keywords

Liver fibrosis; NAFLD; NASH; liver transplantation; cirrhosis

### Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the leading cause of elevated liver enzymes and has rapidly risen as the leading etiology of chronically liver disease<sup>1,2</sup>. NAFLD is commonly seeing in the presence of metabolic syndrome and is considered the hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome<sup>3</sup>. NAFLD comprises a histological spectrum from non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) characterized by presence of hepatic steatosis with none to minimal inflammation to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) characterized by lobular

**Lead Author:** Anchalia Chandrakumaran, M.D., **Senior/Corresponding:** M. Shadab Siddiqui M.D., **Title:** Associate. Professor of Medicine, **Address:** MCV Box 980342, Richmond, VA 23298-0342, mohammad.siddiqui@vcuhealth.org, **Phone:** 804-828-4060, **Fax:** 804-828-5348.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Conflict of Interest:** None of the authors have any conflicts to disclose

inflammation, ballooning and varying degree of hepatic fibrosis<sup>1</sup>. From a liver perspective, while NAFL has a relatively low risk of liver related complications, NASH is associated with increased risk of fibrosis progression, cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and need for liver transplantation<sup>1,2,4,5</sup>. NAFLD is asymptomatic and serum aminotransferases are often normal to mildly elevated<sup>6</sup>. This coupled with lack of FDA or EMA approved therapy for treatment of NASH, the incidence of NASH related cirrhosis is rapidly increasing<sup>7</sup> and the current review will evaluate the impact of NASH on cirrhosis and liver transplantation (LT).

NAFLD patients are at increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) including coronary artery disease and stroke<sup>8</sup>. Studies have shown a significant association of NAFLD with subclinical CVD outcomes, particularly coronary artery calcium (CAC) and carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT), independent of many metabolic diseases with a trend towards association of NAFLD and clinical CVD outcomes<sup>9,10</sup>. There is a bidirectional relationship between NAFLD and CVD risk factors<sup>11</sup>. Cardiovascular disease is the most common cause of death in NAFLD<sup>12</sup>.

Hepatitis C is currently the most common indication for liver transplantation (LT) in the United States but the proportion of patients who require LT for hepatitis C is projected to decrease due to better screening measures and the advent of highly effective anti-viral therapies for hepatitis C. In contrast, given the rise in obesity and metabolic syndrome in the United States, the incidence of NASH and its impact on end stage liver disease is expected to increase<sup>13,14</sup>. Expectedly, NASH is poised to become the leading indication for LT in the United States and globally in countries with rising prevalence of obesity<sup>15,16</sup>. Similarly, while hepatitis B and hepatitis C have been the most common etiologies of end stage liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), NASH is now the fastest growing cause of HCC among patients listed for LT<sup>17</sup>. In this review, we have discussed the management of NASH pre and post-transplant and the implications of NASH on cirrhosis.

## **Prevalence of NASH related end stage liver disease (ESLD)**

### **Prevalence and trends of NASH in the United States and globally**

The reported prevalence of NAFLD has continued to increase but is dependent on the diagnostic modality used to diagnose NAFLD (i.e. ultrasonography, liver enzymes and liver histology) and the study population (i.e. primary care vs. tertiary care<sup>18</sup>). Within these limitations, recently, the global prevalence of NAFLD was estimated to be approximately one billion and is projected to increase even further<sup>19</sup>. In a meta-analysis comprising of 729 studies (sample size of 8,515,431) from 22 countries, the estimated global prevalence of NAFLD was 25.24% globally<sup>20</sup>. In the United States, the NAFLD is estimated to affect nearly 80 to 100 million individuals<sup>19</sup>, while the estimated prevalence of NASH is 1.5–6.45%<sup>20</sup>. The economic and public health burden from NAFLD and co-existing metabolic diseases place a growing strain on healthcare utilization and systems<sup>20</sup>. Using dynamic modeling, the estimated US prevalence of NASH cirrhosis is estimated to be 20–25% in patients with NAFLD and rates of decompensation in those with cirrhosis is about 3–4% annually<sup>14</sup>. The 15-year projection of the disease burden, showcases a significant 150% increase in the disease burden of advanced fibrosis<sup>14</sup>. The greatest increase in incidence of

advanced fibrosis is expected to occur in countries with older populations<sup>18</sup>. Estimates from the NHANES and Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) projected a decrease in active HCV prevalence to 1 million and an increase in NASH prevalence to 17 – 42 million depending on a linear or exponential trend<sup>21</sup>. Thus, the societal, medical, and economical impact of NAFLD, particularly cirrhosis resulting from NASH cirrhosis, cannot be understated.

**Effect on the waitlist and transplant lists**—Registry data from United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) and OPTN indicates that the number of adult patients with NASH related cirrhosis being registered on LT waitlist has nearly tripled from 2004 to 2013<sup>22</sup> and is the fastest growing indication for LT among new LT waitlist registrants. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is an expected complication of cirrhosis<sup>22</sup> and LT is the only curative therapy<sup>23</sup>. As a result, HCC is the most common indication for awarding model of end stage liver disease (MELD) score exception points to facilitate LT for patients with HCC<sup>24</sup>. Recent data from UNOS underscores the rapid increase in the number of patients with NASH cirrhosis and HCC in need of LT<sup>22</sup>. Furthermore, analysis of NHANES, HealthCore and UNOS database reinforce these trends by demonstrating that LT waitlist registrants or patients receiving LT for chronic hepatitis C virus infection is decreasing while patients with NASH cirrhosis is increasing<sup>15</sup>. An analysis of the OPTN database from 2000 to 2014 revealed that the number of NASH cirrhosis related waitlist additions increased nearly 4-fold and the projected annual NASH-related waitlist additions to increase by 55.4% between by 2030<sup>13</sup>. Thus, NASH cirrhosis is the fastest growing indication for LT in the United States<sup>25</sup>. There is currently paucity of data with regards to clinical outcomes in patients with decompensated NASH cirrhosis and most is extrapolated from cirrhosis data from non-NASH and mixed etiologies<sup>26</sup>. However, patients with NASH are less likely to undergo liver transplant and less likely to survive for 90 days on the waitlist than patients with other etiologies of chronic liver disease<sup>22</sup>. Single center data suggests that patients listed with a MELD of less than 15 were less likely to progress and receive a LT compared to those with HCV<sup>27</sup>. The median progression rate among patients with NASH was 1.3 MELD points per year compared to 3.2 MELD points per year for those with HCV and patients with NASH cirrhosis were more likely to die or be taken off the wait list due to clinical deterioration. However, no differences between NASH and non-NASH groups were noted in patients who were listed with a MELD scores > 15.

## Pre-Transplant Management

The optimal management of patients with compensated cirrhosis remains poorly defined. Using data from mixed etiology of cirrhosis, the annual risk of hepatic decompensation is 5% per year<sup>26</sup>. Much like NASH, there is currently no approved therapy to reduce the risk of hepatic decompensation in patients with NASH cirrhosis. However, in a single center, retrospective study, the use of vitamin E improved transplant free survival (78% vs. 49%;  $P < 0.01$ ) and lower rates of hepatic decompensation (37% vs. 62%;  $P = 0.05$ ) in patients with compensated NASH cirrhosis<sup>28</sup>. However, once a patient cirrhosis decompensates, LT is the only therapeutic modality with survival benefit<sup>26</sup>. Since patients with NASH cirrhosis have

higher risk of cardiometabolic disease, they present with unique peri- and post-LT challenges that will be discussed in detail below<sup>29</sup>.

### **NASH and Obesity (particularly as it affects survival among patients with cirrhosis and LT)**

Although bariatric surgery is an effective treatment for morbid obesity, it is associated with reduced survival in patients with cirrhosis and 5 year survival of patients with cirrhosis were lower when compared to patients without cirrhosis (58% vs. 63%  $p<0.04$ )<sup>30</sup>. Furthermore, a stepwise reduction in survival ( $p<0.01$ ) was noted when patients were stratified by compensated vs. decompensated cirrhosis (54% vs. 61%)<sup>30</sup>. History of bariatric surgery in obese patients can improve the likelihood of LT waitlist registration, however, patients undergoing bariatric surgery (vs. age, gender and MELD matched) patients were more likely to be delisted or die on the waitlist. Additionally, the transplant rate was considerably lower (49% vs. 65%,  $P=0.03$ ) in patients with history of bariatric surgery<sup>31</sup>. This is likely due to increase prevalence of sarcopenic obesity in patients with bariatric surgery, which is likely exacerbated by bariatric surgery<sup>32</sup>. Thus, the decline in muscle mass, a strong negative prognosticator in patients with cirrhosis<sup>33</sup>, is likely responsible to the increased mortality observed in patients with cirrhosis and history of bariatric surgery.

### **NASH and Diabetes (particularly as it affects survival among patients with cirrhosis and LT)**

The liver plays an important role in glucose metabolism by modulating glycolysis and gluconeogenesis<sup>34</sup>. A close association between insulin resistance and NASH has been described extensively<sup>35,36,37</sup>. Hepatogenous diabetes results from portosystemic shunting of insulin and is characterized by elevated postprandial hyperglycemia and insulin resistance<sup>38</sup>. Hyperinsulinemia in the cirrhotic patient is caused by decreased hepatic extraction and portosystemic shunts. Furthermore, since diabetes is a key risk factor for fibrosis progression, the relative prevalence of diabetes and associated complications is considerably higher among patients with NASH cirrhosis compared to other etiologies of cirrhosis<sup>39</sup>. Hypoglycemia in patients with cirrhosis is caused by impaired gluconeogenesis and is associated with increased mortality in patients with acute decompensated liver cirrhosis<sup>40</sup>. Several studies have documented the negative impact of diabetes on survival and clinical outcomes in patients with cirrhosis<sup>41–47</sup>. Theoretically, since patients with NASH cirrhosis have higher prevalence of diabetes, they may be at higher risk for decompensation, however, this requires further validation.

**NASH and CVD**—NAFLD is closely associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) and is an independent risk factor for CVD above and beyond the traditional risk factors such as diabetes, obesity and hypertension<sup>48</sup>. As such, CVD is the leading cause of long-term mortality in patients with NAFLD. This is in part due to the central role liver plays in glucose and lipid homeostasis<sup>49</sup>. In NAFLD and NASH lipid homeostasis is perturbed and is characterized by significantly increased lipid synthesis and atherogenic dyslipidemia<sup>50,51</sup>. Thus, NASH patients who have progressed to cirrhosis have higher risk of coronary artery disease (CAD) compared to non-NASH etiologies<sup>39</sup>. Furthermore, the prevalence of obstructive and multi-vessel disease was considerably higher among patients with NASH (vs. non-NASH) cirrhosis<sup>39</sup>.

Traditionally available clinical tools such as the lipid profile and non-invasive cardiac stress testing may not be as reliable tools for CVD risk stratification in patients with cirrhosis. Since the liver is responsible for lipid synthesis, progression of hepatic fibrosis and synthetic failure results in artificial improvement in the lipid profile that fails to capture the true CVD risk in these patients<sup>50,52</sup>. In fact, in patients with cirrhosis serum lipid profile is unable to predict the presence or severity of CAD<sup>39</sup>. Furthermore, the systemic hypotension resulting from dysregulated splanchnic vasodilation and ‘splanchnic steal’ phenomenon due to cirrhosis and portal hypertension may lead to amelioration of hypertension, another key risk factor for CVD<sup>53</sup>.

Accurate assessment of CAD in patients undergoing LT is of utmost importance as operative morbidity and mortality has been reported to be as high as 81% and 50%, respectively, in patients with significant CAD undergoing LT<sup>54</sup>. This is especially germane to patients with NASH cirrhosis given the close relationship between CAD and NASH<sup>39</sup>. Dobutamine Stress Echocardiography (DSE) has been used as a screening test in potential LT candidates with low-risk of CVD, however, its diagnostic accuracy is marginal as over 50% of patients had a non-diagnostic evaluation largely due to negative chronotropic effects of concomitant  $\beta$ -blocker use and failed to predict major CVD events in nearly 15% of patients who had a LT<sup>55</sup>. Myocardial Perfusion Imaging (MPI) also was unable to predict major CVD events after LT in a significant portion of patients<sup>56</sup>. Thus, coronary angiography remains the most accurate tool for assessing and managing obstructive CAD in patients with cirrhosis. However, coronary angiography is often deferred in patients with decompensated cirrhosis due to perceived high risk of complications, however, these risks are minimal as recently demonstrated<sup>39</sup>.

After diagnosis of CAD, its management centers around optimizing medical therapy and achieving revascularization of obstructive lesions prior to LT<sup>57</sup>. Optimal medical therapy in patients with CAD is initiation of statin and aspirin therapy. However, both statin and aspirin are often deferred in patients with decompensated cirrhosis due to perceived and hypothetical risk of hepatic, renal and bleeding complications<sup>57</sup>. Despite these perceived risks, both statin and aspirin were demonstrated to be safe in patients with decompensated cirrhosis as they did not increase the risk of hepatic decompensation or other complications<sup>58</sup>. In patients with obstructive disease revascularization can be achieved via percutaneous coronary angiography with minimal risk of complications<sup>39</sup>. However, in patients with severe multi-vessel disease, revascularization options may be limited to coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG)<sup>57</sup>. CABG in patients with decompensated cirrhosis should be recommended with extreme caution as the risk of morbidity and mortality is exceedingly high and presence of severe multi-vessel disease should be a contraindication to LT.

**NASH and Sarcopenia**—Sarcopenia is defined by generalized and progressive loss of skeletal muscle mass and strength and is generally associated with a poor prognosis in patients with end stage liver disease<sup>59,60</sup>. While it is common in patients with cirrhosis, it is historically less common in patients without cirrhosis. While sarcopenia is present in patients with NASH cirrhosis, sarcopenia has also been described in patients with NAFLD in the absence of cirrhosis. In patients with NAFLD, the severity of sarcopenia is directly

associated with severity of hepatic fibrosis and independent of obesity and insulin resistance<sup>60,61</sup>. Furthermore, patients with NASH are more likely to have sarcopenia when compared to non-NASH patients (35% vs. 18%,  $P < 0.001$ )<sup>62</sup>. NASH cirrhosis is also an independent predictor of sarcopenic obesity after controlling for age, gender, and hepatocellular carcinoma<sup>63</sup>. In a meta-analysis of six studies showing that sarcopenia served not only as a risk factor for the onset of NAFLD but is also related to the progression hepatic fibrosis<sup>64</sup>. Sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity is common in patients with cirrhosis undergoing LT and among LT waitlist registrants, NASH was associated with a 6-fold increased risk of having sarcopenic obesity<sup>63</sup>. Clinically, presence of sarcopenia is important in patients awaiting LT as sarcopenic patients are much more likely be delisted and die while waiting for LT<sup>65</sup>. Finally, while the theoretical impact of persistence of pre-LT sarcopenia on post-LT is profound in patients with NASH cirrhosis, additional studies are necessary to clearly delineate this relationship.

## Impact of NAFLD donor liver

### Effect of steatosis on graft function

In the addition to the impact of NAFLD on cirrhosis, increasing prevalence of NAFLD is being felt on liver donation as there is an increase in donor livers with hepatic steatosis leading to fewer high quality donors for LT<sup>66</sup>. The potential effect on graft steatosis on the postoperative liver function remains controversial<sup>67,69,70</sup>. The initial study to evaluate the impact of donor steatosis on outcomes, reported worse outcomes in patient receiving a graft that had greater than 30% liver fat content<sup>69</sup>. Liver fat in grafts maybe more susceptible to ischemia-reperfusion injury than are normal grafts, which may lead to decreased survival, delayed graft function and higher rates of primary dysfunction<sup>67</sup>. A systematic review which included 34 articles that met the inclusion criteria showed that severely steatosis in liver grafts were associated with increased risk of poor graft function, while moderate-severely steatosis in liver grafts were also associated with sub-optimal graft function<sup>68</sup>. The impact of normothermic machine perfusion as means of improving the function and utilization of marginal liver grafts with significant steatosis is promising but requires further validation<sup>71,72</sup>.

## Post Liver Transplant Outcomes for patients with NASH

Outcomes after LT in patients with NASH cirrhosis remain poorly defined and are usually reported at the level of SRTR database. A retrospective cohort study comparing post-transplant outcomes in patients with NASH, hepatitis C (HCV) and alcoholic liver disease (ALD) showed that patients with NASH had a higher post-transplant survival compared to patients with HCV (HR = 0.75, 95% CI 0.71 – 0.79,  $p < 0.001$ ) and ALD (HR = 0.80, 95% CI 0.76 0.84,  $p < 0.001$ )<sup>75</sup>, however, these studies were conducted in the era prior to advent of highly effective direct acting anti-viral therapy. A systematic review and meta-analysis of nine studies showed that survival after LT was similar in patients transplanted for NASH vs. non-NASH cirrhosis<sup>76</sup>. The reported 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival was 90%, 88%, and 85%, respectively<sup>71</sup>. Cardiovascular disease, malignancy, renal failure and infectious complications are the leading causes of mortality after LT in patients transplanted for NASH



cirrhosis<sup>78</sup>, likely representing exacerbation of underlying cardiometabolic disease by chronic exposure to immunosuppression.

While LT may instantly address decompensated liver disease, it does not address the lifetime of risk factors that lead to not only development of NAFLD but also fibrosis progression and cirrhosis. Thus, it is no surprise that recurrence of NAFLD after LT is nearly universal<sup>78,79</sup>. Additionally, the prevalence of advanced fibrosis was reported to be 21% after a median follow up of 47 months and suggestive of an accelerated course post-LT<sup>78</sup>. While patients transplanted for NASH cirrhosis are at higher risk of disease recurrence and fibrosis progression, mortality related to decompensated graft cirrhosis is uncommon as this is overshadowed by other causes of mortality<sup>78</sup>. In addition to a higher prevalence of metabolic syndrome and associated medical conditions among patients with NASH cirrhosis, the environment also likely plays a key role. The prevalence of NAFLD and advanced fibrosis was considerably higher among related and unrelated caregivers of patients with decompensated NASH cirrhosis<sup>80</sup>. This was related to significant lifestyle choices which were shared between caregivers and patients. Furthermore, donor and recipient PNPLA3 status may also promote the development of hepatic steatosis following LT<sup>81</sup>.

### Diagnosing post-LT NAFLD

Recurrent NAFLD after LT is common and the development of de novo NAFLD after LT in patients who did not carry the diagnosis of NAFLD has also been reported<sup>85</sup>. The use of liver enzymes alone is not very sensitive as a large proportion of patients with recurrence in NAFLD have normal liver enzymes<sup>86</sup>. Liver biopsies and imaging techniques have also been used to characterize post-LT NAFLD, however, their diagnostic performance remains sub-optimal<sup>87</sup>. Vibration controlled transient elastography (VCTE) is being increasingly utilized in clinical practice as a point of care test to risk stratify patients with chronic liver disease<sup>88,89</sup>. While the PPV of VCTE in chronic liver disease is lower, its NPV is high making it an excellent “rule out” test. Recently, the diagnostic performance of VCTE was evaluated in patients after LT and found to have excellent NPV albeit higher cutoff values than the general population<sup>89</sup>. Noninvasive fibrosis models, such as FIB4, AST:platelet ratio index (APRI) and NAFLD fibrosis scores have been evaluated to risk stratify patients with NAFLD in the general population<sup>89</sup>, however, their diagnostic performance in patients transplanted for NASH cirrhosis remains unknown.

### Managing obesity post-LT

Weight gain following LT is complex and multifactorial, occurring in most patients even among those who were underweight and normal weight prior to LT<sup>90</sup>. Several factors including chronic exposure to immunosuppressive medications, improved nutrient absorption and increased caloric intake have all been implicated post-LT weight gain<sup>90</sup>. Post-LT obesity negatively impacts clinical course as weight gain is associated with adipose tissue inflammation and proinflammatory adipokine profile characterized by reduction in serum adiponectin (a protective adipokine) and increase in inflammatory adipokine (resistin and IL-6). Pre-LT adiponectin level was associated with a 16% increase risk of cardiovascular event for every 1µg/mL decrement in adiponectin<sup>91</sup>. Furthermore, post-LT hypoadiponectemia is associated with increased risk of post-LT CVD, an association that is

independent of other traditional co-morbid conditions<sup>92</sup>. Weight gain post-LT is difficult to manage and there is limited data with regards to it. Office-based approach to weight loss via lifestyle modification advice delivered in hepatology clinic was recently demonstrated to be woefully ineffective<sup>93</sup>, thus, underscoring the importance of additional research and novel approaches to combating post-LT weight gain and obesity.

### Diabetes post-LT

Diabetes mellitus develops in about 30% of patients after liver transplantation and has been associated with an increased risk of mortality<sup>94</sup>. This is due to a combination of the use of immunosuppressive medications especially the calcineurin inhibitors causing insulin secretory dysfunction and steroids<sup>94</sup>. Other factors that increase the risk of developing post-LT DM include post-LT weight gain, sarcopenia, diet and metabolic perturbations that are commonly seen in patients with NASH<sup>94</sup>. Currently, metformin, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor and insulin are the preferred agents for long term management, however, the newer classes of medications particularly sodium-glucose co-transport-2 inhibitors offer more robust options given their positive impact on weight, muscle mass, renal function and cardioprotective benefits<sup>94</sup>.

### Dyslipidemia post-LT

Dyslipidemia is common after LT occurring in up to 70% of patients and is likely due to a combination of factors including exposure to chronic immunosuppression, weight gain, NASH, diet, and insulin resistance<sup>95</sup>. Historically, a lipid profile consisting of LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol and triglycerides are used in clinical practice to diagnose and titrate therapy for dyslipidemia<sup>95</sup>. However, the risk of CVD in patients after LT may not be fully captured via a traditional lipid profile<sup>96</sup>. This is due to the fact that development of NAFLD is associated with production of more pro-atherogenic lipoprotein which are smaller and denser allowing for easier translocation into the sub-epithelial layers where they can promote development of atherosclerotic events<sup>97</sup>. The importance of small dense LDL (sdLDL) in predicting CVD events were highlighted in a recent study that demonstrated that sdLDL was associated with higher likelihood of CVD events, while the parameters of a traditional lipid profile were not<sup>96</sup>. The change in serum lipid profile, particularly the more atherogenic lipoprotein sub-particles is more profound after LT when compared to matched non-LT cohort<sup>98</sup>. Furthermore, use of cyclosporine and presence of hepatic steatosis in the graft exacerbates the atherogenic dyslipidemia<sup>99</sup>. Recently, statin therapy was demonstrated to have a mortality benefit in LT patients with dyslipidemia [HR:0.20, 95%CI:0.11, 0.38], however, significant number of patients who qualified for statin therapy did not receive it<sup>97</sup>. This likely represents perceived risk of statin therapy and system-based failures and additional studies are required to better address this issue.

### Renal function post-LT

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is common following LT especially after the introduction of the MELD allocation system which favors patients with higher creatinine as serum creatinine is an integral component of the MELD score<sup>100</sup>. Pre-LT kidney disease, use of immunosuppressive protocols (especially calcineurin inhibitors) and recipient comorbidities are key risk factors for post-LT CKD<sup>101</sup>. An independent association between NASH as the



etiology of cirrhosis requiring LT and post-LT decline in renal function has been reported<sup>102</sup>. In a retrospective study, 31% of patients transplanted for NASH had developed stage IIIb chronic kidney injury compared to only 8% in patients transplanted for non-NASH cirrhosis<sup>101</sup>. These findings supporting the independent association between NASH and CKD have been reported in multiple studies<sup>100</sup>. While mTOR inhibitors are thought to be less nephrotoxic than calcineurin inhibitors<sup>103</sup>, the use of immunosuppressive protocols utilizing early introduction of everolimus produced modest improvement in renal function<sup>104</sup>. Since mTOR inhibitors are associated with several metabolic perturbations, their use in patients transplanted for NASH cirrhosis remains undefined<sup>103</sup>. Additional mechanistic studies are necessary to better understand the relationship between post-LT CKD in patients transplanted for NASH cirrhosis.

## Summary

Cirrhosis related to NASH is increasing rapidly and will be the major indication for LT in the near future. Due to presence of metabolic co-morbidities, patients with NASH cirrhosis present unique challenges that center not only optimizing complications of decompensated cirrhosis but also cardiometabolic diseases. While the overall survival after LT is similar in patients transplanted for NASH vs. non-NASH cirrhosis, the risk of cardiometabolic disease is considerably higher and requires an integrated approach to manage.

## References

1. Calzadilla Bertot L, Adams LA. The Natural Course of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2016 5 20;17(5). pii: E774. doi: 10.3390/ijms17050774. Review. [PubMed: 27213358]
2. Singh S, Allen AM, Wang Z, Prokop LJ, Murad MH, Loomba R. Fibrosis progression in nonalcoholic fatty liver vs nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of paired-biopsy studies. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2015 4;13(4):643–54.e19; quiz e39–40. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2014.04.014. Epub 2014 Apr 24. Review. [PubMed: 24768810]
3. Boppidi H, Daram SR. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: hepatic manifestation of obesity and the metabolic syndrome. *Postgrad Med.* 2008 7 31;120(2):E01–7. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2008.07.1800. Review.
4. Pais R, Charlotte F, Fedchuk L, Bedossa P, Lebray P, Poynard T, Ratziu V; LIDO Study Group. A systematic review of follow-up biopsies reveals disease progression in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver. *J Hepatol.* 2013 9;59(3):550–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2013.04.027. Epub 2013 May 9. [PubMed: 23665288]
5. McPherson S, Hardy T, Henderson E, Burt AD, Day CP, Anstee QM. Evidence of NAFLD progression from steatosis to fibrosing-steatohepatitis using paired biopsies: implications for prognosis and clinical management. *J Hepatol.* 2015 5;62(5):1148–55. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.11.034. Epub 2014 Dec 1. [PubMed: 25477264]
6. Uslusoy HS, Nak SG, Gülten M, & Biyikli Z (2009). Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis with normal aminotransferase values. *World journal of gastroenterology*, 15(15), 1863–1868. doi:10.3748/wjg.15.1863 [PubMed: 19370784]
7. Oseini AM, & Sanyal AJ (2017). Therapies in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). *Liver international : official journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver*, 37 Suppl 1(Suppl 1), 97–103. doi:10.1111/liv.13302 [PubMed: 28052626]
8. Kotronen A, Yki-Järvinen H. Fatty liver: a novel component of the metabolic syndrome. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2008 1;28(1):27–38. Epub 2007 Aug 9. Review. [PubMed: 17690317]
9. Mellinger JL, Pencina KM, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, Seshadri S, Fox CS, O'Donnell CJ, Speliotes EK. Hepatic steatosis and cardiovascular disease outcomes: An analysis of the Framingham Heart

- Study. *J Hepatol.* 2015 8;63(2):470–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.02.045. Epub 2015 Mar 14. [PubMed: 25776891]
10. Patil R, Sood GK. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and cardiovascular risk. *World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol.* 2017 5 15;8(2):51–58. doi: 10.4291/wjgp.v8.i2.51. Review. [PubMed: 28573067]
  11. Ma J, Hwang SJ, Pedley A, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, Chung RT, ... Long MT (2017). Bi-directional analysis between fatty liver and cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Journal of hepatology*, 66(2), 390–397. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2016.09.022 [PubMed: 27729222]
  12. Hagström H, Nasr P, Ekstedt M, Hammar U, Stål P, Askling J, Hultcrantz R, Kechagias S. Cardiovascular risk factors in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Liver Int.* 2019 1;39(1):197–204. doi: 10.1111/liv.13973. Epub 2018 Oct 17. [PubMed: 30253056]
  13. Parikh ND, Marrero WJ, Wang J, Steuer J, Tapper EB, Konerman M, Singal AG, Hutton DW, Byon E, Lavieri MS. Projected increase in obesity and non-alcoholicsteatohepatitis-related liver transplantation waitlist additions in the United States. *Hepatology.* 2017 8 17. doi: 10.1002/hep.29473. [Epub ahead of print]
  14. Estes C, Razavi H, Loomba R, Younossi Z, & Sanyal AJ (2018). Modeling the epidemic of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease demonstrates an exponential increase in burden of disease. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)*, 67(1), 123–133. doi:10.1002/hep.29466
  15. Goldberg D, Ditah IC, Saeian K, Lalehzari M, Aronsohn A, Gorospe EC, Charlton M. Changes in the Prevalence of Hepatitis C Virus Infection, Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis, and Alcoholic Liver Disease Among Patients With Cirrhosis or Liver Failure on the Waitlist for Liver Transplantation. *Gastroenterology.* 2017 4;152(5):1090–1099.e1. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.01.003. Epub 2017 Jan 11. [PubMed: 28088461]
  16. Flegal KM, Kruszon-Moran D, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Trends in Obesity Among Adults in the United States, 2005 to 2014. *JAMA.* 2016 6 7;315(21):2284–91. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.6458. [PubMed: 27272580]
  17. Younossi Z, Stepanova M, Ong JP, Jacobson IM, Bugianesi E, Duseja A, Eguchi Y, Wong VW, Negro F, Yilmaz Y, Romero-Gomez M, George J, Ahmed A, Wong R, Younossi I, Ziayee M, Afendy A; Global Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Council. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Is the Fastest Growing Cause of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Liver Transplant Candidates. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2019 3;17(4):748–755.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2018.05.057. Epub 2018 Jun 14. [PubMed: 29908364]
  18. Mahady SE, George J. Predicting the future burden of NAFLD and NASH. *J Hepatol.* 2018 10;69(4):774–775. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.06.025. Epub 2018 Jul 17. [PubMed: 30025620]
  19. Perumpail BJ, Khan MA, Yoo ER, Cholankeril G, Kim D, Ahmed A. Clinical epidemiology and disease burden of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2017 12 21;23(47): 8263–8276. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i47.8263. Review. [PubMed: 29307986]
  20. Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-Meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatology.* 2016 7;64(1):73–84. doi: 10.1002/hep.28431. Epub 2016 Feb 22. Review. [PubMed: 26707365]
  21. Ahmed O, Liu L, Gayed A, Baadh A, Patel M, Tasse J, Turba U, Arslan B. The Changing Face of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Forecasting Prevalence of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis and Hepatitis C Cirrhosis. *J Clin Exp Hepatol.* 2019 Jan-Feb;9(1):50–55. doi: 10.1016/j.jceh.2018.02.006. Epub 2018 Feb 16. [PubMed: 30765939]
  22. Wong RJ, Cheung R, Ahmed A. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is the most rapidly growing indication for liver transplantation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma in the U.S. *Hepatology.* 2014 6;59(6):2188–95. doi: 10.1002/hep.26986. Epub 2014 Apr 25. [PubMed: 24375711]
  23. Strassburg CP (2016). HCC-Associated Liver Transplantation - Where Are the Limits and What Are the New Regulations?. *Visceral medicine*, 32(4), 263–271. doi:10.1159/000446385 [PubMed: 27722163]
  24. Yang JD, Larson JJ, Watt KD, Allen AM, Wiesner RH, Gores GJ, ... Leise MD (2017). Hepatocellular Carcinoma Is the Most Common Indication for Liver Transplantation and Placement on the Waitlist in the United States. *Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology* : the

- official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association, 15(5), 767–775.e3. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2016.11.034 [PubMed: 28013117]
25. Charlton MR, Burns JM, Pedersen RA, Watt KD, Heimbach JK, Dierkhising RA. Frequency and outcomes of liver transplantation for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in the United States. *Gastroenterology*. 2011 10;141(4):1249–53. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.06.061. Epub 2011 Jul 2. [PubMed: 21726509]
  26. D'Amico G, Garcia-Tsao G, Pagliaro L. Natural history and prognostic indicators of survival in cirrhosis: a systematic review of 118 studies. *J Hepatol*. 2006 1;44(1):217–31. Epub 2005 Nov 9. Review. [PubMed: 16298014]
  27. O'Leary JG, Landaverde C, Jennings L, Goldstein RM, Davis GL. Patients with NASH and cryptogenic cirrhosis are less likely than those with hepatitis C to receive liver transplants. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2011 8;9(8):700–704.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2011.04.007. Epub 2011 Apr 15. [PubMed: 21570483]
  28. Vilar-Gomez E, Vuppalanchi R, Gawrieh S, Ghabril M, Saxena R, Cummings OW, & Chalasani N (2019). Vitamin E Improves Transplant-Free Survival and Hepatic Decompensation Among Patients With Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis and Advanced Fibrosis. *Hepatology*. doi:10.1002/hep.30368
  29. Siddiqui MS, Charlton M. Liver Transplantation for Alcoholic and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Pretransplant Selection and Posttransplant Management. *Gastroenterology*. 2016 6;150(8):1849–62. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.077. Epub 2016 Mar 10. [PubMed: 26971826]
  30. Griffin C, Hall L, Hasse J, Bahirwani RR, Trotter JF, Sharma S, ... Arsani SK (2018). Bariatric Surgery Is Associated with Increased Mortality in Compensated and Decompensated Cirrhosis: A Population-Based Study. *Hepatology*, 68. doi: 10.1002/hep.30256
  31. Idriss R, Hasse J, Wu T, Khan F, Saracino G, McKenna G, Testa G, Trotter J, Klintmalm G, Asrani SK. Impact of Prior Bariatric Surgery on Perioperative Liver Transplant Outcomes. *Liver Transpl*. 2019 2;25(2):217–227. doi: 10.1002/lt.25368. [PubMed: 30369002]
  32. Mastino D, Robert M, Betry C, Laville M, Gouillat C, Disse E. Bariatric Surgery Outcomes in Sarcopenic Obesity. *Obes Surg*. 2016 10;26(10):2355–62. doi: 10.1007/s11695-016-2102-7. [PubMed: 26926186]
  33. Montano-Loza AJ. Clinical relevance of sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014 7 7;20(25):8061–71. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i25.8061. Review. [PubMed: 25009378]
  34. Rui L (2014). Energy metabolism in the liver. *Comprehensive Physiology*, 4(1), 177–197. doi: 10.1002/cphy.c130024 [PubMed: 24692138]
  35. Chitturi S, Abeygunasekera S, Farrell GC, Holmes-Walker J, Hui JM, Fung C, Karim R, Lin R, Samarasinghe D, Liddle C, Weltman M, George J. NASH and insulin resistance: Insulin hypersecretion and specific association with the insulin resistance syndrome. *Hepatology*. 2002 2;35(2):373–9. [PubMed: 11826411]
  36. Pagano G, Pacini G, Musso G, Gambino R, Mecca F, Depetris N, Cassader M, David E, Cavallo-Perin P, Rizzetto M. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, insulin resistance, and metabolic syndrome: further evidence for an etiologic association. *Hepatology*. 2002 2;35(2):367–72. [PubMed: 11826410]
  37. Siddiqui MS, Cheang KL, Luketic VA, Boyett S, Idowu MO, Patidar K, Puri P, Matherly S, Stravitz RT, Sterling RK, Sanyal AJ. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH) Is Associated with a Decline in Pancreatic Beta Cell ( $\beta$ -Cell) Function. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2015 8;60(8):2529–37. doi: 10.1007/s10620-015-3627-7. Epub 2015 Mar 18. [PubMed: 25784075]
  38. García-Compeán Diego et al. "Hepatogenous diabetes: Is it a neglected condition in chronic liver disease?" *World journal of gastroenterology* vol. 22,10 (2016): 2869–74. doi:10.3748/wjg.v22.i10.2869 [PubMed: 26973383]
  39. Patel SS, Nabi E, Guzman L, Abbate A, Bhati C, Stravitz RT, Reichman T, Matherly SC, Driscoll C, Lee H, Luketic VA, Sterling RK, Sanyal AJ, Patel V, Levy M, Siddiqui MS. Coronary artery disease in decompensated patients undergoing liver transplantation evaluation. *Liver Transpl*. 2018 3;24(3):333–342. doi: 10.1002/lt.25012. [PubMed: 29328556]

40. Pfortmueller CA, Wiemann C, Funk GC, Leichtle AB, Fiedler GM, Exadaktylos AK, Lindner G. Hypoglycemia is associated with increased mortality in patients with acute decompensated liver cirrhosis. *J Crit Care.* 2014 4;29(2):316.e7–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2013.11.002. Epub 2013 Nov 7.
41. Holstein A, Hinze S, Thiessen E, Plaschke A, Egberts EH. Clinical implications of hepatogenous diabetes in liver cirrhosis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2002;17:677–681. [PubMed: 12100613]
42. Nishida T, Tsuji S, Tsujii M, Arimitsu S, Haruna Y, Imano E, Suzuki M, Kanda T, Kawano S, Hiramatsu N, et al. Oral glucose tolerance test predicts prognosis of patients with liver cirrhosis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2006;101:70–75. [PubMed: 16405536]
43. Harrison SA. Liver disease in patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2006;40:68–76. [PubMed: 16340637]
44. de Marco R, Locatelli F, Zoppini G, Verlato G, Bonora E, Muggeo M. Cause-specific mortality in type 2 diabetes. The Verona Diabetes Study. *Diabetes Care.* 1999;22:756–761. [PubMed: 10332677]
45. Bianchi G, Marchesini G, Zoli M, Bugianesi E, Fabbri A, Pisi E. Prognostic significance of diabetes in patients with cirrhosis. *Hepatology.* 1994;20:119–125. [PubMed: 8020880]
46. Moreau R, Deleque P, Pessione F, Hillaire S, Durand F, Lebrec D, Valla DC. Clinical characteristics and outcome of patients with cirrhosis and refractory ascites. *Liver Int.* 2004;24:457–464. [PubMed: 15482343]
47. Garcia-Compean Diego et al. “Liver cirrhosis and diabetes: risk factors, pathophysiology, clinical implications and management.” *World journal of gastroenterology* vol. 15,3 (2009): 280–8. doi: 10.3748/wjg.15.280 [PubMed: 19140227]
48. Targher G, Byrne CD, Lonardo A, Zoppini G, Barbui C. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of incident cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis. *J Hepatol.* 2016 9;65(3):589–600. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2016.05.013. Epub 2016 May 17. [PubMed: 27212244]
49. Postic C, Dentin R, Girard J. Role of the liver in the control of carbohydrate and lipid homeostasis. *Diabetes Metab.* 2004 11;30(5):398–408. Review. [PubMed: 15671906]
50. Siddiqui MS, Fuchs M, Idowu MO, Luketic VA, Boyett S, Sargeant C, Stravitz RT, Puri P, Matherly S, Sterling RK, Contos M, Sanyal AJ. Severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and progression to cirrhosis are associated with atherogenic lipoprotein profile. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2015 5;13(5):1000–8.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2014.10.008. Epub 2014 Oct 13. [PubMed: 25311381]
51. Siddiqui MS, Sterling RK, Luketic VA, Puri P, Stravitz RT, Bouneva I, Boyett S, Fuchs M, Sargeant C, Warnick GR, Grami S, Sanyal AJ. Association between high-normal levels of alanine aminotransferase and risk factors for atherogenesis. *Gastroenterology.* 2013 12;145(6):1271–9.e1–3. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2013.08.036. Epub 2013 Aug 21. [PubMed: 23973920]
52. Ghadir MR, Riahin AA, Havaspour A, Nooranipour M, Habibinejad AA. The relationship between lipid profile and severity of liver damage in cirrhotic patients. *Hepat Mon.* 2010 Fall;10(4):285–8. Epub 2010 Dec 1. [PubMed: 22312394]
53. Newby DE, Hayes PC. Hyperdynamic circulation in liver cirrhosis: not peripheral vasodilatation but ‘splanchnic steal’. *QJM.* 2002 12;95(12):827–30. [PubMed: 12454326]
54. Plotkin JS, Scott VL, Pinna A, Dobsch BP, De Wolf AM, Kang Y. Morbidity and mortality in patients with coronary artery disease undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl Surg.* 1996 11;2(6):426–30. [PubMed: 9346688]
55. Williams K, Lewis JF, Davis G, Geiser EA. Dobutamine stress echocardiography in patients undergoing liver transplantation evaluation. *Transplantation.* 2000 6 15;69(11):2354–6. [PubMed: 10868639]
56. Duvall WL, Singhvi A, Tripathi N, Henzlova MJ. SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging in liver transplantation candidates. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2018 8 23. doi: 10.1007/s12350-018-1388-3. [Epub ahead of print]
57. Keeffe BG, Valantine H, Keeffe EB. Detection and treatment of coronary artery disease in liver transplant candidates. *Liver Transpl.* 2001 9;7(9):755–61. Review. [PubMed: 11552207]
58. Patel SS, Guzman LA, Lin FP, Pence T, Reichman T, John B, Celi FS, Liptrap E, Bhati C, Siddiqui MS. Utilization of aspirin and statin in management of coronary artery disease in patients with

- cirrhosis undergoing liver transplant evaluation. *Liver Transpl.* 2018 7;24(7):872–880. doi: 10.1002/lt.25067. [PubMed: 29624871]
59. Bhanji RA, Narayanan P, Allen AM, Malhi H, Watt KD. Sarcopenia in hiding: The risk and consequence of underestimating muscle dysfunction in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology.* 2017 12;66(6):2055–2065. doi: 10.1002/hep.29420. Epub 2017 Oct 30. Review. [PubMed: 28777879]
  60. Yu R, Shi Q, Liu L, Chen L. Relationship of sarcopenia with steatohepatitis and advanced liver fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2018 4 19;18(1): 51. doi:10.1186/s12876-018-0776-0. Review. [PubMed: 29673321]
  61. Lee YH, Kim SU, Song K, Park JY, Kim DY, Ahn SH, Lee BW, Kang ES, Cha BS, Han KH. Sarcopenia is associated with significant liver fibrosis independently of obesity and insulin resistance in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Nationwide surveys (KNHANES 2008–2011). *Hepatology.* 2016 3;63(3):776–86. doi: 10.1002/hep.28376. Epub 2016 Jan 14. [PubMed: 26638128]
  62. Koo BK, Kim D, Joo SK, Kim JH, Chang MS, Kim BG, Lee KL, Kim W. Sarcopenia is an independent risk factor for non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and significant fibrosis. *J Hepatol.* 2017 1;66(1):123–131. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2016.08.019. Epub 2016 Sep 4. [PubMed: 27599824]
  63. Carias S, Castellanos AL, Vilchez V, Nair R, Dela Cruz AC, Watkins J, Barrett T, Trushar P, Esser K, Gedaly R. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is strongly associated with sarcopenic obesity in patients with cirrhosis undergoing liver transplant evaluation. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2016 3;31(3):628–33. doi: 10.1111/jgh.13166. [PubMed: 26399838]
  64. Pan X, Han Y, Zou T, Zhu G, Xu K, Zheng J, Zheng M, Cheng X. Sarcopenia Contributes to the Progression of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease- Related Fibrosis: A Meta-Analysis. *Dig Dis.* 2018;36(6):427–436. doi: 10.1159/000491015. Epub 2018 Jul 26. [PubMed: 30048963]
  65. Idriss R, Hasse J, Wu T, Khan F, Saracino G, McKenna G, Testa G, Trotter J, Klintmalm G, Asrani SK. Impact of Prior Bariatric Surgery on Perioperative Liver Transplant Outcomes. *Liver Transpl.* 2019 2;25(2):217–227. doi:10.1002/lt.25368. [PubMed: 30369002]
  66. Mikolasevic I, Filipec-Kanizaj T, Mijic M, Jakopcic I, Milic S, Hrstic I, Sobocan N, Stimac D, Burra P. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and liver transplantation - Where do we stand? *World J Gastroenterol.* 2018 4 14;24(14):1491–1506. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i14.1491. Review. [PubMed: 29662288]
  67. Ahmed Emad Ali et al. “Impact of Graft Steatosis on Postoperative Complications after Liver Transplantation.” *Surgery journal (New York, N.Y.)* vol. 4,4 e188–e196. 18 Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1675236
  68. Chu MJ, Dare AJ, Phillips AR, Bartlett AS. Donor Hepatic Steatosis and Outcome After Liver Transplantation: a Systematic Review. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2015 9;19(9):1713–24. doi: 10.1007/s11605-015-2832-1. Epub 2015 Apr 28. Review. [PubMed: 25917535]
  69. Angele MK, Rentsch M, Hartl WH, Wittmann B, Graeb C, Jauch KW, Loehe F. Effect of graft steatosis on liver function and organ survival after liver transplantation. *Am J Surg.* 2008 2;195(2): 214–20. [PubMed: 18154767]
  70. Andert A, Ulmer TF, Schöning W, Kroy D, Hein M, Alizai PH, Heidenhain C, Neumann U, Schmeding M. Grade of donor liver microvesicular steatosis does not affect the postoperative outcome after liver transplantation. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int.* 2017 12 15;16(6):617–623. doi: 10.1016/S1499-3872(17)60064-X. [PubMed: 29291781]
  71. Jayant K, Reccia I, Virdis F, Shapiro AMJ. The Role of Normothermic Perfusion in Liver Transplantation (TRaNsIT Study): A Systematic Review of Preliminary Studies. *HPB Surg.* 2018
  72. Laing Richard W et al. “Viability testing and transplantation of marginal livers (VITTAL) using normothermic machine perfusion: study protocol for an open-label, non-randomised, prospective, single-arm trial.” *BMJ open* vol. 7,11 e017733 28 Nov. 2017, doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017733
  73. McCormack L, Petrowsky H, Jochum W, Mullhaupt B, Weber M, Clavien PA. Use of severely steatotic grafts in liver transplantation: a matched case-control study. *Ann Surg.* 2007 12;246(6): 940–6; discussion 946–8. [PubMed: 18043095]



74. Doyle MB, Vachharajani N, Wellen JR, Anderson CD, Lowell JA, Shenoy S, Brunt EM, Chapman WC. Short- and long-term outcomes after steatotic liver transplantation. *Arch Surg*. 2010 7;145(7): 653–60. doi: 10.1001/archsurg.2010.119. [PubMed: 20644128]
75. Cholankeril G, Ahmed A. Alcoholic Liver Disease Replaces Hepatitis C Virus Infection as the Leading Indication for Liver Transplantation in the United States. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;16(8):1356–1358. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2017.11.045 [PubMed: 29199144]
76. Wang X, Li J, Riaz DR, Shi G, Liu C, Dai Y. Outcomes of liver transplantation for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014 3;12(3): 394–402.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2013.09.023. Epub 2013 Sep 25. Review. [PubMed: 24076414]
77. Kennedy C, Redden D, Gray S, et al. Equivalent survival following liver transplantation in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis compared with patients with other liver diseases. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2012;14(9):625–634. doi:10.1111/j.1477-2574.2012.00497. [PubMed: 22882200]
78. Bhati C, Idowu MO, Sanyal AJ, Rivera M, Driscoll C, Stravitz RT, Kohli DR, Matherly S, Puri P, Gilles H, Cotterell A, Levy M, Sterling RK, Luketic VA, Lee H, Sharma A, Siddiqui MS. Long-term Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Liver Transplantation for Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis-Related Cirrhosis. *Transplantation*. 2017 8;101(8):1867–1874. doi: 10.1097/TP.0000000000001709. [PubMed: 28296807]
79. Contos MJ, Cales W, Sterling RK, Luketic VA, Shiffman ML, Mills AS, Fisher RA, Ham J, Sanyal AJ. Development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease after orthotopic liver transplantation for cryptogenic cirrhosis. *Liver Transpl*. 2001 4;7(4):363–73. [PubMed: 11303298]
80. Siddiqui MS, Carbone S, Vincent R, Patel S, Driscoll C, Celi FS, Billingsley H, Rotelli B, John B, Potere N, Viscusi M, Adiletta V, Sanyal AJ, Luketic VA, Reichman T, Bhati CS. Prevalence and Severity of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Among Caregivers of Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2019 9;17(10):2132–2133. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2018.11.008. Epub 2018 Nov 15. [PubMed: 30448600]
81. Watt KD, Dierkhising R, Fan C, Heimbach JK, Tillman H, Goldstein D, ... Charlton MR (2013). Investigation of PNPLA3 and IL28B Genotypes on Diabetes and Obesity After Liver Transplantation: Insight Into Mechanisms of Disease. *American Journal of Transplantation*, 13(9), 2450–2457. doi:10.1111/ajt.12355 [PubMed: 23859071]
82. El Atrache MM, Abouljoud MS, Divine G, Yoshida A, Kim DY, Kazimi MM, Moonka D, Huang MA, Brown K. Recurrence of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and cryptogenic cirrhosis following orthotopic liver transplantation in the context of the metabolic syndrome. *Clin Transplant*. 2012 Sep-Oct;26(5):E505–12. doi: 10.1111/ctr.12014. [PubMed: 23061759]
83. Bhagat V, Mindikoglu AL, Nudo CG, Schiff ER, Tzakis A, Regev A. Outcomes of liver transplantation in patients with cirrhosis due to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis versus patients with cirrhosis due to alcoholic liver disease. *Liver Transpl*. 2009 12;15(12):1814–20. doi: 10.1002/lt.21927. [PubMed: 19938128]
84. Contos MJ, Cales W, Sterling RK, Luketic VA, Shiffman ML, Mills AS, Fisher RA, Ham J, Sanyal AJ. Development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease after orthotopic liver transplantation for cryptogenic cirrhosis. *Liver Transpl*. 2001 4;7(4):363–73. [PubMed: 11303298]
85. Dureja P, Mellinger J, Agni R, Chang F, Avey G, Lucey M, Said A. NAFLD recurrence in liver transplant recipients. *Transplantation*. 2011 3 27;91(6):684–9. doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e31820b6b84. [PubMed: 21248661]
86. Siddiqui MS, Yamada G, Vuppalanchi R, Van Natta M, Loomba R, Guy C, Brandman D, Tonascia J, Chalasani N, Neuschwander-Tetri B, Sanyal AJ; NASH Clinical Research Network. Diagnostic Accuracy of Noninvasive Fibrosis Models to Detect Change in Fibrosis Stage. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2019 8;17(9):1877–1885.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2018.12.031. Epub 2019 Jan 4. [PubMed: 30616027]
87. Said A (2013). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and liver transplantation: outcomes and advances. *World journal of gastroenterology*, 19(48), 9146–9155. doi:10.3748/wjg.v19.i48.9146 [PubMed: 24409043]
88. Vuppalanchi R, Siddiqui MS, Van Natta ML, Hallinan E, Brandman D, Kowdley K, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Loomba R, Dasarathy S, Abdelmalek M, Doo E, Tonascia JA, Kleiner DE, Sanyal AJ, Chalasani N; NASH Clinical Research Network. Performance characteristics of vibration-controlled transient elastography for evaluation of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.



- Hepatology. 2018 1;67(1):134–144. doi: 10.1002/hep.29489. Epub 2017 Nov 29. [PubMed: 28859228]
89. Siddiqui MS, Vuppalanchi R, Van Natta ML, Hallinan E, Kowdley KV, Abdelmalek M, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Loomba R, Dasarathy S, Brandman D, Doo E, Tonascia JA, Kleiner DE, Chalasani N, Sanyal AJ; NASH Clinical Research Network. Vibration-Controlled Transient Elastography to Assess Fibrosis and Steatosis in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2019 1;17(1):156–163.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2018.04.043. Epub 2018 Apr 26. [PubMed: 29705261]
  90. Richards J, Gunson B, Johnson J, Neuberger J. Weight gain and obesity after liver transplantation. *Transpl Int.* 2005 4;18(4):461–6. [PubMed: 15773968]
  91. Watt KD, Fan C, Therneau T, Heimbach JK, Seaberg EC, & Charlton MR (2014). Serum adipokine and inflammatory markers before and after liver transplantation in recipients with major cardiovascular events. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society*, 20(7), 791–797. doi:10.1002/lt.23880
  92. Siddiqui MB, Patel S, Arshad T, Lee E, Albhaisi S, Driscoll C, Wolver S, Reichman T, Bhati C, Siddiqui MS. The Relationship Between Hypoadiponectionemia and Cardiovascular Events in Liver Transplant Recipients. *Transplantation.* 2019 4 1. doi: 10.1097/TP.0000000000002714. [Epub ahead of print]
  93. Patel SS, Siddiqui MB, Chadrakumaran A, Faridnia M, Lin FP, Hernandez Roman J, Carbone S, Laurenzo J, Clinton J, Kirkman D, Wolver S, Celi F, Bhati C, Siddiqui MS. Office-Based Weight Loss Counseling Is Ineffective in Liver Transplant Recipients. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2019 8 22. doi: 10.1007/s10620-019-05800-6. [Epub ahead of print]
  94. Peláez-Jaramillo MJ, Cárdenas-Mojica AA, Gaete PV, & Mendivil CO (2018). Post-Liver Transplantation Diabetes Mellitus: A Review of Relevance and Approach to Treatment. *Diabetes therapy : research, treatment and education of diabetes and related disorders*, 9(2), 521–543. doi: 10.1007/s13300-018-0374-8
  95. Hüsing Anna et al. “Lipids in liver transplant recipients.” *World journal of gastroenterology* vol. 22,12 (2016): 3315–24. doi:10.3748/wjg.v22.i12.3315 [PubMed: 27022213]
  96. Siddiqui MB, Arshad T, Patel S, Lee E, Albhaisi S, Sanyal AJ, Stravitz RT, Driscoll C, Sterling RK, Reichman T, Bhati C, Siddiqui MS. Small Dense Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Predicts Cardiovascular Events in Liver Transplant Recipients. *Hepatology.* 2019 7;70(1):98–107. doi: 10.1002/hep.30518. Epub 2019 Mar 29. [PubMed: 30672598]
  97. Patel SS, Rodriguez VA, Siddiqui MB, Faridnia M, Lin F, Laurenzano J, Clinton J, Chandrakumaran A, Reichman T, Bhati C, Siddiqui MS. Management of Dyslipidemia after Liver Transplantation [abstract]. *Am J Transplant.* 2019; 19 (suppl 3). <https://atcmeetingabstracts.com/abstract/management-of-dyslipidemia-afterlivertransplantation/>. Accessed August 21, 2019
  98. Chhatrala R, Siddiqui MB, Stravitz RT, Driscoll C, Sanyal A, Sargeant C, Luketic V, Sharma A, Sterling R, Matherly S, Puri P, Siddiqui MS. Evolution of serum atherogenic risk in liver transplant recipients: Role of lipoproteins and metabolic and inflammatory markers. *Liver Transpl.* 2015 5;21(5):623–30. doi: 10.1002/lt.24100. Epub 2015 Apr 15. [PubMed: 25762084]
  99. Idowu MO, Chhatrala R, Siddiqui MB, Driscoll C, Stravitz RT, Sanyal AJ, Bhati C, Sargeant C, Luketic VA, Sterling RK, Contos M, Matherly S, Puri P, Siddiqui MS. De novo hepatic steatosis drives atherogenic risk in liver transplantation recipients. *Liver Transpl.* 2015 11;21(11):1395–402. doi: 10.1002/lt.24223. [PubMed: 26228654]
  100. Mikolasevic I, Filipec-Kanizaj T, Mijic M, Jakopcic I, Milic S, Hrstic I, ... Burra P (2018). Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and liver transplantation - Where do we stand?. *World journal of gastroenterology*, 24(14), 1491–1506. doi:10.3748/wjg.v24.i14.1491 [PubMed: 29662288]
  101. Houlihan DD, Armstrong MJ, Davidov Y, Hodson J, Nightingale P, Rowe IA, Paris S, Gunson BK, Bramhall SB, Mutimer DJ, Neuberger JM, Newsome PN. Renal function in patients undergoing transplantation for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis cirrhosis: time to reconsider immunosuppression regimens? *Liver Transpl.* 2011 11;17(11):1292–8. doi: 10.1002/lt.22382. [PubMed: 21761549]
  102. Yasui K, Sumida Y, Mori Y, Mitsuyoshi H, Minami M, Itoh Y, Kanemasa K, Matsubara H, Okanoue T, Yoshikawa T. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and increased risk of chronic kidney

- disease. *Metabolism*. 2011 5;60(5):735–9. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2010.07.022. Epub 2010 Sep 3. [PubMed: 20817213]
103. Klintmalm Goran B. and Nashan Björn, “The Role of mTOR Inhibitors in Liver Transplantation: Reviewing the Evidence,” *Journal of Transplantation*, vol. 2014, Article ID 845438, 45 pages, 2014 10.1155/2014/845438.
104. Saliba F, De Simone P, Nevens F, De Carlis L, Metselaar HJ, Beckebaum S, Jonas S, Sudan D, Fischer L, Duvoux C, Chavin KD, Koneru B, Huang MA, Chapman WC, Foltys D, Dong G, Lopez PM, Fung J, Junge G; H2304 Study Group. Renal function at two years in liver transplant patients receiving everolimus: results of a randomized, multicenter study. *Am J Transplant*. 2013 7;13(7):1734–45. doi: 10.1111/ajt.12280. Epub 2013 May 28. [PubMed: 23714399]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

**Key Points:**

- Cirrhosis related to NASH is increasing rapidly and will be the major indication for LT in the near future.
- Due to presence of metabolic co-morbidities, patients with NASH cirrhosis present unique challenges that center not only optimizing complications of decompensated cirrhosis but also cardiometabolic diseases.
- While the overall survival after LT is similar in patients transplanted for NASH vs. non-NASH cirrhosis, the risk of cardiometabolic disease is considerably higher and requires an integrated approach to manage.