SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN

Biogenic amorphous silica as main driver for plant available water in soils

Jörg Schaller^{1,2*}, Andreas Cramer^{1,3}, Andrea Carminati³ & Mohsen Zarebanadkouki³

More frequent and longer drought periods are predicted threatening agricultural yield. The capacity of soils to hold water is a highly important factor controlling drought stress intensity for plants. Biogenic amorphous silica (bASi) pools in soils are in the range of 0–6% and are suggested to help plants to resist drought. In agricultural soils, bASi pools declined to values of ~1% or lower) due to yearly crop harvest, decreasing water holding capacity of the soils. Here, we assessed the contribution of bASi to water holding capacity (WHC) of soil. Consequently, ASi was mixed at different rates (0, 1, 5 or 15%) with different soils. Afterwards, the retention curve of the soils was determined via Hyprop method. Here we show that bASi increases the soil water holding capacity substantially, by forming silica gels with a water content at saturation higher than 700%. An increase of bASi by 1% or 5% (weight) increased the water content at any water potential and plant available water increased by up to > 40% or > 60%, respectively. Our results suggest that soil management should be modified to increase bASi content, enhancing available water in soils and potentially decreasing drought stress for plants in terrestrial ecosystems.

Drought is a main issue in terms of terrestrial ecosystem performance and crop production^{1–3}. Drought risks are suggested to increase in the future on the continental and the global scale due to climate change^{4,5}, threatening agricultural yield and ecosystem performance⁶. During longer drought periods the soil water storage decreases to values at which water is no longer available for plants, leading to severe drought stress and wilting⁷. A key function controlling the plant available water content in soils is the water retention curve (WRC), which describes the capacity of soils to hold water at different water potentials⁸. Soils differ quite substantial in WRC⁹ and agricultural intensification was shown to reduce soil water holding capacity¹⁰.

Soil biogeochemistry, in particular, soil organic matter content, influences the WRC of soils, with a positive correlation between soil organic matter content and water holding capacity^{11,12}. However, less is known about other important biogeochemical processes affecting WRC. It was suggested that silica (Si) fertilization may help plants to survive drought^{13,14}, but underlying mechanisms are not clear, yet. Currently, agricultural practice is decreasing the biogenic amorphous silica (bASi) content of soils¹⁵⁻¹⁷ due to of yearly extractions of bASi by crop harvest¹⁷, because many crop plants are Si accumulators¹⁸. Biogenic ASi is present in soils besides other silicon-containing compounds, such as silicates, quartz, or clay¹⁹. The bASi pool in soils includes phytogenic, zoogenic, microbial, and protozoic Si fractions, with the phytogenic pool being the most frequent in terms of quantity^{20,21}. The phytogenic ASi pool consists of pure phytoliths and other amorphous forms of silica like the Si double layer, as a result of silicic acid uptake and sequestration in the plant biomass. This bASi returns back to the soil by littler fall and litter decomposition. For soils from different climate regions, different parent material and different vegetation forms, a large range of bASi content in soils (0.1 to ~ 6%) was found²².

There is sparse literature suggesting that ASi addition to soils could potentially increase the water holding capacity of soils²³, in some cases dramatically to a value of ~ $500\%^{24}$. However, a comprehensive picture of the effect of ASi content on water holding capacity of soils is still missing.

In summary, drought stress of plants (due to low soil water availability) is a main issue for terrestrial ecosystem performance and global agricultural yield. The soil ASi content was suggestd to be positively related to the soil water holding capacity of soils and with this the amount of plant available water. However, no study has analyzed

¹Environmental Geochemistry, Bayreuth Center for Ecology and Environmental Research (BayCEER), University Bayreuth, Universitätsstraße 30, 95447, Bayreuth, Germany. ²Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), 15374, Müncheberg, Germany. ³Chair of Soil Physics, University Bayreuth, Universitätsstraße 30, 95447, Bayreuth, Germany. *email: Schaller@zalf.de



Figure 1. Water potential of biogenic ASi in relation to volumetric water content. The water content of pure bASi as a function of soil matric potential was determined by the combination of pressure plate and dew point measurements. A significant linear relationship was found between the water content and the negative matric potential (Pearson r 0.96, p < 0.001, after logarithmic transformation of the matric potential data).

the interdependency between soil ASi content and soil water holding capacity, yet. Therefore, the aim of this study is to determine the importance of soil ASi contents for water holding capacity and water supply to plants. In the context of the highly different amounts of bASi as the main part of soil ASi pools, of the declining contents of these silica pools in agricultural soils and their putative importance for the soil water holding capacity, we analyzed the effect of bASi on WRC of different soils. Specifically, we show the importance of bASi for soil WRC in relation to important soil minerals (montmorillonite, aluminum hydroxide, and calcium carbonate), other biogeochemical controlled soil compounds (calcium oxalate), and dissolved silica as a dissolution product of bASi. Our hypothesis was that: (i) bASi has is very high water holding capacity and (ii) increasing the soil bASi content will lead to an increase of the soil water holding capacity and plant available water.

Results and Discussion

High water holding capacity of amorphous silica. Plant bASi derived from rice straw (with Si concentration of $40 \pm 3\%$) reached a maximum water content greater than 700% (g g⁻¹) at saturation and had a water holding capacity (WHC) of $522 \pm 12\%$ after centrifuging at $5,000 \times \text{g}$ for ten minutes (Fig. S1). The same value (WHC of 515%) was found at a water potential of -10^2 cm measured by pressure plate apparatus. The WHC found this plant derived ASi is nearly the same as found in the experiments using the artificial analog ASi Aerosil 300 (Evonic, Germany) which was $525 \pm 15\%$ (Fig. S1). The maximum water content of this material was the same as for the bASi, reaching values greater than 700% (g g^{-1}) at saturation (Fig. 1). The explanation of the equal WHC is that plant ASi and Aerosil 300 have similar surface area (\sim 400 m²g⁻¹ for plant bASi and \sim 300 m²g⁻¹ for Aerosil 300) and porosity $(0.6-0.9 \text{ cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1} \text{ for plant bASi and } 0.56 \text{ cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1} \text{ for Aerosil } 300)^{25,26}$. The high surface area increases the adsorption of water films on the particle surfaces. This high surface area, which is in the range of that of clay minerals^{27,28}, combined with the high porosity may lead to the formation of silica gels which are known to have a water holding capacity of more than 500%²⁹. As the properties of the plant-derived bASi were comparable to the artificial analog Aerosil 300 in terms of WHC, we used Aerosil 300 as a analog for plant bASi for future experiments, because extraction and purification of the ~1000 g bASi needed for further experiments was impossible due to the large amounts of plant material needed for such extraction. The relation between the volumetric water content and the negative matric potential of bASi revealed a power-law relation (linear relationship, Pearson r 0.96, p < 0.001, after logarithmic transformation of the matric potential data) (Fig. 1).

Amorphous silica amendments strongly increase the soil water holding capacity. Increasing the bASi content in soils resulted in a strong increase in soil water content at any water potential (Fig. 2a,b). Biogenic ASi increased the soil water content also at saturation (water potential close to zero). An increase of bASi content by 1%, 5% and 15% (g g^{-1}) increased the soil water content at saturation by >3%, >15% and >25%, for both pure sand (Fig. 2a) and the sandy clay loam (SCL) (Fig. 2b), respectively. The greater water content at saturation can be explained by the strong swelling capacity of bASi which increased the soil porosity. The role of bASi in enhancing the water holding capacity of soils can be seen at more negative water potentials. For instance, at a water potential of -10^3 cm the water content of the control soil was 0.03, while it was 0.08, 0.25 and 0.40 at bASi contents of 1%, 5% and 15% (g g⁻¹) for the sandy soil, respectively. The enhanced water retention upon the ASi addition can be explained by the water adsorption capacity of the added bASi. Water in soils is retained by capillary and adsorptive forces³⁰. In the pure sand, capillary forces hold water in the soil pores till a matric potential of ca. -80 cm to -100 cm. At more negative water potentials most of the soil water was drained. The enhanced water retention after bASi addition was obtained by adding the adsorptive potential to the matric potential of the control soil. At any given soil water content, the gravimetric water fraction of bASi was calculated and then the corresponding water potential induced by the presence of bASi was estimated from the fit of Fig. 1. This water potential was added to that of the control soil at the same water content. In this calculation it was assumed that bASi does not





alter the pore geometry and the capillary forces. As seen in Fig. 2a, this calculation was capable to well reproduce the enhanced water retention due to bASi addition in the sandy soil, particularly at negative water potentials $(<-10^{3} \text{ cm})$. However, this calculation strongly underestimated the effect bASi on WRC of the sandy clay loam (Fig. 2b). The fact that the fit was not perfect indicates additional effects on bASi on capillary forces and pore geometry. Indeed, bASi affects the pore geometry by largely increasing the soil porosity at bASi of 5% and 15% (g g⁻¹).

Amorphous silica amendments increase the plant available water in soils. By altering the WRC, bASi increased the volume of water that is available to plants, the so-called plant available water (AW) (Fig. 3a,b). Such value can be calculated as the water held by the soil between water potentials of -60 cm and -15000 cm. These values correspond approximately to the field capacity (FC) (Fig. 3c,d) and the permanent wilting point (PWP) (Fig. S4a,d)³¹ and are defined as the water that is stored in soils after precipitation and that can be extracted by the plants. The plant available water for the pure sand and for the 1%, 5% and 15% (g g⁻¹) bASi addition were 0.31, 0.35, 0.39 and 0.43 g g⁻¹, respectively (significant linear relationship between bASi content and plant available water, Pearson r 0.92, p = 0.04). Besides increasing AW, the addition of bASi increased water content at permanent wilting point. The PWP for the pure sand and for the 1%, 5% and 15% (g g⁻¹) bASi addition were 0.02, 0.04, 0.13, and 0.23 g g⁻¹ respectively. When the soil approaches the PWP in such a coarse-textured soil, liquid phase may become fragmented³² and microbial activities and nutrient diffusion may drop. The addition of bASi increased the water content at PWP, possibly facilitating microbial activities and diffusion of solutes and nutrients.

Measurements of a sandy clay loam (SCL) showed similar results, with the addition of bASi enhancing the water content, both at saturation and at more negative water potentials. As for the sandy soil, also in the sandy clay loam bASi increased the plant available water, but to even higher values (more than 40% due to addition of 1% bASi and 60% due to addition of 5% bASi) (Fig. S4d). The plant available water for the sandy clay loam and for the 1%, 5% and 15% (g g⁻¹) bASi addition were 0.21, 0.3, 0.34 and 0.47 g g⁻¹ respectively (significant linear relationship between bASi content and plant available water, Pearson r 0.96, p = 0.02).



Figure 3. Changes in soil available water and field capacity due to biogenic ASi. Available water of sand mixed with different contents of bASi (**a**), of a sandy clay loam (SCL) mixed with different contents of bASi (**b**) and field capacity of sand mixed with different contents of bASi (**c**), of a sandy clay loam (SCL) mixed with different contents of bASi (**d**). Significant linear relationship were found between available water and sand ASi content (Pearson r 0.92, p = 0.04) and SCL ASi content (Pearson r 0.9, p = 0.05).

The soil silica cycle affects the water holding capacity of soils. The result that bASi increases the plant available water is highly important as agricultural practice tends to decrease soil bASi pools due to yearly bASi export by crop harvest¹⁷. Hence, soils used for agriculture exhibit very low bASi content (~1% or lower for most soils)³³. As soil bASi content in soils is in the range of 0 and 6%, the increase by 5% bASi as shown in Fig. 2 is within the natural range of soil bASi pools. Biogenic ASi (mostly phytoliths) can be preserved in soils for many years (as bASi) until Si is mobilized to dissolved Si (DSi) by phytolith dissolution³⁴. Increasing DSi concentration in soils slightly decreased the soil WRC (Fig. S2b). On the other hand, high concentrations of DSi can lead to neoformation of clay minerals^{20,35}, which are known to have a high water holding capacity²³. However, the WRC of the soil with 5% (g g⁻¹) bASi content is even higher compared with the soil with 5% (g g⁻¹) montmorillonite addition (Fig. S2b), a mineral of the fine clay fraction, known to increase the soil water holding capacity. Hence, the speciation of Si is highly important because it determines the WRC of soils.



Figure 4. The importance of biogenic ASi cycling on soil water holding capacity. Scheme showing the role of biogenic amorphous silica (bASi) cycling via dissolved silicon (DSi) uptake and bASi cycling via litterfall for water holding (WHC) capacity and available water (AW) of soils.

By enhancing the soil water content at negative water potentials, bASi affects the soil hydraulic conductivity (Fig. 2c,d). The addition of bASi decreased the soil hydraulic conductivity at saturation but it increases its values at negative water potentials. This is particularly evident in the sand, whose drop in conductivity between soil matric potentials of -100 cm to -10000 cm was strongly attenuated by the presence of bASi (Fig. 2c).

Effect of different soil amendments on water holding capcity. Plants may accumulate not only bASi but also calcium oxalate (Ca-ox) to concentration up to more than 20% dry weight³⁶. Accumulation of Ca-ox in soils after plant dieback and litter decomposition leads to an enrichment of soil by Ca-ox. Besides plants, also soil fungi increase the Ca-ox content in the soil, as Ca-ox is a major metabolite of fungi³⁷. Soils differ in Ca-ox contents (~0 to > 200 mg kg⁻¹ DW⁻¹³⁸). Increasing soil Ca-ox content increased the WRC (Fig. S2a), especially under negative water potentials. However, common field values of Ca-ox in soils (<1%)³⁹ suggest that Ca-ox effects on soil WRC are negligible. An increase of other soil minerals by addition of 5% (g g⁻¹) of either calcium carbonate or aluminum hydroxide had only negligible effects on soil WRC, with calcium carbonate slightly increasing and aluminum hydroxide slightly decreasing the soil water content at any water potential (Fig. S2b). Compared to the strong effects of bASi on available water, field capacity and wilting point, the addition of Ca-ox, DSi, calcium carbonate or aluminum hydroxide are much less important for soil water relations (Figs. S3 and S4).

Conclusion

The effect of bASi on the soil water retention capacity is extremely high compared to that of clay minerals¹², with bASi strongly increasing WHC. As agricultural practices tend to deplete bASi pools in soils, the water holding capacity of soils exposed to intensive agriculture is expected to continuously decrease. Soils with a high Si availability provide large amounts of Si to plants. Hence, plants may accumulate higher amounts of bASi and recycle more bASi to soils via plant dieback followed by litter decomposition. A higher bASi accumulation in soils will lead to higher water holding capacity and more available water in soils, hence reducing drought stress for plants (Fig. 4). The large effect of the amorphous Si structures with high surface area and porosity on water holding capacity may also explain why Andosols (soil with high tephra content, which are also Si structures with high surface area and porosity) have a very high water holding capacity⁴⁰, in addition to the known effects of allophane⁴¹. To cope with the predicted increased intentsification of drought periods, potentially strongly affecting ecosystem performance, increasing bASi content may potentially decrease negative effects of drought on ecosystem performance. An increase of soil bASi content may potentially decrease negative effects of drought on ecosystem performance. An increase of the soils "bASi" content can also be achieved by amending soils using purchasable artificial silica with the same properties as bASi.

Materials and Methods

Extraction of biogenic amorphous silica. Plant-derived bASi was extracted from rice straw by dry ashing method followed by ten successive extractions with *aqua regia*. These ten successive extractions each used 5g of plant Si in 100 mL *aqua regia* for one day at room temperature, modified after Parr, *et al.*⁴². Afterward, the material was rinsed with pure water until all added acid was washed out. The material was afterwards analyzed for Si concentration by alkaline extraction and ICP-OES measurements according to DeMaster⁴³. As the bASi content constitute only a little fraction of the dry matter of the used plant material (~3%) we could extract only a few g of bASi. The extracted bASi was dried at 40 °C in oven for 48 h.

Analysis of the water holding capacity of plant derived biogenic silica and its artificial analog. The water content of this extracted bASi was determined as follows: a known quantity of dry bASi was placed in centrifuge vials and mixed with water, for 5 hours. One aliquot of the mixture was centrifuged at 5,000 g for 10 min and the exceeding water was discarded and the sample was weighed again. The other aliquot was analyzed by pressure plate apparatus (Eijkelkamp, Netherlands). The difference between weight of dry sample and then the one after centrifugation was used to quantify maximum water holding capacity of the sample. The same was done for the amorphous silicate Aerosil 300 (Evonic, Germany). Each experiment was performed with five replications.

In parallel experiments the retention curve (relation between soil water content and soil water potential) of pure bASi treatment was determined by combination of hanging column method, pressure plate apparatus (Eijkelkamp, Netherlands) and dew point tensiometer (Meter Group, Germany). The hanging column method was used for water potentials of -1, -10, -31, and -100 cm, the pressure plate method for water potentials of -340 -850, -3130 and -5250 cm. For these measurements, pure bASi was pre-saturated with water for 48 h and then afterward equilibrated with different water potential. Equilibrium was assumed to be reached when no water was flowing out of the samples for at least two successive days. When equilibrium was reached, the samples were weighed, and the water content was gravimetrically determined. For lower water potential, a dew point tensiometer (WP4C, Meter Group, Germany) was used to determine water potential at drier range. The pure bASi was adjusted to different water contents and let to equilibrate for two days (no evaporation was occurring during this time. Afterwards, the soil water potential was measured using the WP4C and the soil water content was determined gravimetrically.

Experimental design and analysis. The treatments for the experiments to determine the water retention curve were: quartz sand with 0, 1, 5, or 15% (weight) the artificial bASi (Aerosil 300, Evonic), quartz sand with 5% montmorillonite (naturally occurring, 200 nm mesh powder, Alfa Aesar, as naturally occurring clay mineral), quartz sand with 5% dissolved silica (DSi) (sodium metasilicate nonahydrate, >98%, Sigma-Aldrich, which dissolves into DSi), quartz sand with 5% aluminum hydroxide (fine powder, pure hydrargillite, Merck), quartz sand with 5% calcium carbonate (powder < 30 µm particle size, >98% pure, Aldrich), and quartz sand with three different levels of calcium oxalate (Ca-ox; calcium oxalate monohydrate, fine powder, >98% pure, Carl Roth GmbH & Co KG) (in the same concentration level as for bASi; 1, 5, or 15%, for better comparability). Additionally, we used a sandy clay loam (SCL) mixed with 0, 1, 5, or 15% of the artificial bASi. The soil mixture was prepared with mixing air-dried soils with different amendments. The pure sand had a particle size in the range between 100 and 200 µm, a pH of 5.7 (measured in CaCl₂ solution), an electric conductivity of 4µS cm⁻¹ and no other mineral or organic matter inside. The sandy clay loam had particle content of 64.2% sand, 3.88% silt and 31.92% clay, a pH of 7 (measured in CaCl₂ solution), an electric conductivity of 234µS cm⁻¹ and organic matter content of 2.9%.

The effect of the different additions on water-related properties of soil such as soil retention and soil hydraulic conductivity curves was determined using an evaporation measurement device (HYPROP meter group, Germany).

HYPROP system was used to measure soil matric potential at two different locations with an interval of 2.5 cm, the average soil water content and the evaporative flux during soil drying cycle via evaporation⁴⁴. From the gathered data (soil water potentials and soil water contents) we calculated the soil available water, the permanent wilting point, and field capacity.

Received: 14 August 2019; Accepted: 17 January 2020; Published online: 12 February 2020

References

- 1. Fahad, S. *et al.* Crop production under drought and heat stress: plant responses and management options. *Frontiers in plant science* **8**, 1147 (2017).
- 2. Engelbrecht, B. M., Kursar, T. A. & Tyree, M. T. Drought effects on seedling survival in a tropical moist forest. *Trees* **19**, 312–321 (2005).
- Michaelian, M., Hogg, E. H., Hall, R. J. & Arsenault, E. Massive mortality of aspen following severe drought along the southern edge of the Canadian boreal forest. *Glob. Change Biol.* 17, 2084–2094 (2011).
- 4. Lehner, B., Döll, P., Alcamo, J., Henrichs, T. & Kaspar, F. Estimating the impact of global change on flood and drought risks in Europe: a continental, integrated analysis. *Climatic Change* 75, 273–299 (2006).
- 5. Allen, C. D. *et al.* A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **259**, 660–684 (2010).
- IPCC. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013).
- 7. Anjum, S. A. et al. Morphological, physiological and biochemical responses of plants to drought stress. African Journal of Agricultural Research 6, 2026–2032 (2011).
- Saxton, K. E. & Rawls, W. J. Soil water characteristic estimates by texture and organic matter for hydrologic solutions. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 70, 1569–1578 (2006).
- 9. Kern, J. S. Geographic patterns of soil water-holding capacity in the contiguous United States. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 59, 1126–1133 (1995).
- Mahe, G., Paturel, J.-E., Servat, E., Conway, D. & Dezetter, A. The impact of land use change on soil water holding capacity and river flow modelling in the Nakambe River, Burkina-Faso. J. Hydrol. 300, 33–43 (2005).
- 11. Franzluebbers, A. J. Water infiltration and soil structure related to organic matter and its stratification with depth. Soil and Tillage Research 66, 197-205 (2002).
- 12. Brady, N. & Weil, R. The nature and properties of soil 12th ed. (Prentice-Hall Inc. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, US, 1999)
- Gong, H. & Chen, K. The regulatory role of silicon on water relations, photosynthetic gas exchange, and carboxylation activities of wheat leaves in field drought conditions. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum 34, 1589–1594 (2012).
- 14. Pei, Z. *et al.* Silicon improves the tolerance to water-deficit stress induced by polyethylene glycol in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) seedlings. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 29, 106–115 (2010).
- 15. Struyf, E. et al. Historical land use change has lowered terrestrial silica mobilization. Nat. Commun. 1, 129 (2010).
- Carey, J. C. & Fulweiler, R. W. Human appropriation of biogenic silicon–the increasing role of agriculture. *Funct. Ecol.* 30, 1331–1339 (2016).
- 17. Vandevenne, F., Struyf, E., Clymans, W. & Meire, P. Agricultural silica harvest: have humans created a new loop in the global silica cycle? *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **10**, 243–248 (2012).
- Haynes, R. J. A contemporary overview of silicon availability in agricultural soils. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 177, 831–844 (2014).
- Iler, R. K. The chemistry of silica: solubility, polymerization, colloid and surface pro perties, and biochemistry. (Wiley, New York, US, 1979).

- Sommer, M., Kaczorek, D., Kuzyakov, Y. & Breuer, J. Silicon pools and fluxes in soils and landscapes a review. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.-Z. Pflanzenernahr. Bodenkd. 169, 310–329 (2006).
- Puppe, D., Ehrmann, O., Kaczorek, D., Wanner, M. & Sommer, M. The protozoic Si pool in temperate forest ecosystems— Quantification, abiotic controls and interactions with earthworms. *Geoderma* 243, 196–204 (2015).
- 22. Saccone, L. *et al.* Assessing the extraction and quantification of amorphous silica in soils of forest and grassland ecosystems. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* **58**, 1446–1459 (2007).
- 23. Matichenkov, V. & Bocharnikova, E. In *Studies in Plant Science* Vol. 8 eds G. H. Snyder L.E. Datnoff & G. H. Korndörfer) 209–219 (Elsevier, 2001).
- 24. del Carmen Gutiérrez-Castorena, M., Stoops, G., Solorio, C. A. O. & Avila, G. L. Amorphous silica materials in soils and sediments of the Ex-Lago de Texcoco, Mexico: an explanation for its subsidence. *Catena* **60**, 205–226 (2005).
- Sola-Rabada, A. *et al.* Biogenic porous silica and silicon sourced from Mexican Giant Horsetail (Equisetum myriochaetum) and their application as supports for enzyme immobilization. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* 166, 195–202 (2018).
- 26. Evonik-Industries. Aerosil Fumed Silica Technical Overview. 104 (2018).
- 27. Hang, P. T. & Brindley, G. Methylene blue absorption by clay minerals. Determination of surface areas and cation exchange capacities (clay-organic studies XVIII). *Clay Clay Min.* **18**, 203–212 (1970).
- Scribner, A. M., Kurtz, A. C. & Chadwick, O. A. Germanium sequestration by soil: Targeting the roles of secondary clays and Feoxyhydroxides. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 243, 760–770 (2006).
- 29. Iler, R. K. Surface and colloid science. Vol. 6 311 (Wiley, New York, 1973).
- Tuller, M., Or, D. & Dudley, L. M. Adsorption and capillary condensation in porous media: Liquid retention and interfacial configurations in angular pores. Water Resources Research 35, 1949–1964 (1999).
- 31. Hillel, D. Introduction to environmental soil physics. (Elsevier, Oxford, UK, 2003).
- 32. Tecon, R. & Or, D. Biophysical processes supporting the diversity of microbial life in soil. Fems Microbiol. Rev. 41, 599-623 (2017).
- 33. Desplanques, V. et al. Silicon transfers in a rice field in Camargue (France). J. Geochem. Explor. 88, 190-193 (2006).
- Alexandre, A., Meunier, J.-D., Colin, F. & Koud, J.-M. Plant impact on the biogeochemical cycle of silicon and related weathering processes. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 61, 677–682 (1997).
- Frings, P. J. et al. Tracing silicon cycling in the Okavango Delta, a sub-tropical flood-pulse wetland using silicon isotopes. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 142, 132–148 (2014).
- 36. Libert, B. & Franceschi, V. R. Oxalate in crop plants. J. Agric. Food Chem. 35, 926–938 (1987).
- Graustein, W. C., Cromack, K. & Sollins, P. Calcium oxalate: occurrence in soils and effect on nutrient and geochemical cycles. Science 198, 1252–1254 (1977).
- Certini, G., Corti, G. & Ugolini, F. C. Vertical trends of oxalate concentration in two soils under Abies alba from Tuscany (Italy). Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 163, 173–177 (2000).
- Smith, K. T., Shortle, W. C., Connolly, J. H., Minocha, R. & Jellison, J. Calcium fertilization increases the concentration of calcium in sapwood and calcium oxalate in foliage of red spruce. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 67, 277–283 (2009).
- Hodnett, M. & Tomasella, J. Marked differences between van Genuchten soil water-retention parameters for temperate and tropical soils: a new water-retention pedo-transfer functions developed for tropical soils. *Geoderma* 108, 155–180 (2002).
- Fontes, J., Gonçalves, M. & Pereira, L. Andosols of Terceira, Azores: measurement and significance of soil hydraulic properties. *Catena* 56, 145–154 (2004).
- 42. Parr, J. F., Dolic, V., Lancaster, G. & Boyd, W. E. A microwave digestion method for the extraction of phytoliths from herbarium specimens. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* **116**, 203–212 (2001).
- 43. DeMaster, D. J. The supply and accumulation of silica in the marine environment. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 45, 1715–1732 (1981).
- Peters, A., Iden, S. C. & Durner, W. Revisiting the simplified evaporation method: Identification of hydraulic functions considering vapor, film and corner flow. J. Hydrol. 527, 531–542 (2015).

Acknowledgements

We thank J. Pausch (Agroecology, University of Bayreuth) for supplying the soils.

Author contributions

J.S. had the idea. M.Z., J.S. and A. Carminati designed the experiments. M.Z. and A. Cramer conducted the experiments and did the measurements. J.S., M.Z. and A. Carminati wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59437-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020