

# Retrospective Analysis of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiles of *Nocardia* Species from a Tertiary Hospital and Reference Laboratory, 2011 to 2017

Ahmed M. Hamdi,<sup>a</sup> Madiha Fida,<sup>a</sup> Sharon M. Deml,<sup>b</sup> Omar M. Abu Saleh,<sup>a</sup> Nancy L. Wengenack<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA <sup>b</sup>Division of Clinical Microbiology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Antimicrobial Agents

MICROBIOLOGY and Chemotherapy®

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

**ABSTRACT** Nocardia species are found worldwide and are opportunistic pathogens of both immunocompromised and immunocompetent hosts. Recent updates to the taxonomy of this genus have indicated that there are more than 90 recognized species of *Nocardia* with 54 species reported to be clinically relevant. In this paper, we report the species distribution, specimen source distribution, and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of 2,091 clinical isolates recovered for the years 2011 to 2017 using the updated taxonomy. The most commonly isolated species included *Nocardia nova* complex, *Nocardia cyriacigeorgica*, and *Nocardia farcinica* complex, with an additional 25 species or species complexes recovered from clinical specimens. The antimicrobial susceptibility profile was highly variable between the species, but in general, amikacin, linezolid, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole demonstrated good *in vitro* activity against most species.

**KEYWORDS** Nocardia, antimicrobial agents, epidemiology

**N** matter. Although these are generally considered opportunistic pathogens that cause disease in immunocompromised patients, approximately one-third of cases involve immunocompetent hosts (1, 2).

The data available on the incidence of nocardiosis are limited, but it is estimated that there are 500 to 1,000 new cases annually in the United States (3). *Nocardia* is usually acquired through inhalation of the organism, but traumatic inoculation into the skin also occurs, and the organism has been reported to be nosocomially acquired through direct inoculation of the skin by contaminated catheters or surgical equipment. *Nocardia* infections are not thought to be transmitted from person to person (4, 5).

*Nocardia* species can cause a wide variety of clinical syndromes, with pulmonary infections being the most common manifestation. *Nocardia* species are also responsible for extrapulmonary infections with a predilection for the central nervous system, skin, and subcutaneous tissue. *Nocardia* bacteremia is extremely rare, even in an immuno-compromised population (6).

Since first being identified in 1889, *Nocardia* taxonomy has been challenging and has continued to evolve, with more than 90 currently recognized species (7). Differences in susceptibility profiles, clinical presentation, and treatment options necessitate the identification of *Nocardia* to species level in order to provide optimal patient care.

In the laboratory, *Nocardia* species are slow-growing, aerobic, weakly acid-fast, Gram-positive, branching bacteria that are classically described as having a beaded appearance on Gram stain. Phenotypic and biochemical identification methods are not able to sufficiently discriminate between the species, so currently, matrix-assisted laser desorp-

**Citation** Hamdi AM, Fida M, Deml SM, Abu Saleh OM, Wengenack NL. 2020. Retrospective analysis of antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of *Nocardia* species from a tertiary hospital and reference laboratory, 2011 to 2017. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 64:e01868-19. https://doi .org/10.1128/AAC.01868-19.

**Copyright** © 2020 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

Address correspondence to Ahmed M. Hamdi, Hamdi.ahmed@mayo.edu.

Received 13 September 2019 Returned for modification 11 October 2019 Accepted 30 November 2019

Accepted manuscript posted online 9 December 2019 Published 21 February 2020

| Characteristic               | No. (%)      |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| Patient demographics         |              |
| Median age (range) (yrs)     | 66 (55–76)   |
| Male                         | 1,175 (56.2) |
| Female                       | 916 (43.8)   |
| Year specimen submitted      |              |
| 2011 (August to December)    | 53 (2.5)     |
| 2012                         | 319 (15)     |
| 2013                         | 325 (15.5)   |
| 2014                         | 348 (16.6)   |
| 2015                         | 403 (19)     |
| 2016                         | 412 (19.7)   |
| 2017 (January to August)     | 231 (11)     |
| Specimen source              |              |
| Respiratory specimens        | 1,295 (62)   |
| Sputum                       | 631 (30)     |
| Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid | 499 (23.8)   |
| Lung tissue                  | 110 (5.2)    |
| Pleural fluid                | 42 (2)       |
| Tracheal secretions          | 13 (0.6)     |
| Nonrespiratory specimens     | 796 (38)     |
| Musculoskeletal              | 372 (17.8)   |
| Blood                        | 110 (5.2)    |
| Brain biopsy                 | 78 (3.7)     |
| Cerebrospinal fluid          | 10 (0.4)     |
| Others                       | 226 (10.8)   |

tion ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) or DNA sequencing are the methods of choice for identification to the species or species complex level (8).

The available data on *Nocardia* species drug susceptibilities are limited, often lack supportive prospective clinical outcomes data, and are usually highly individualized (2). The aim of this work was to perform a retrospective evaluation of *Nocardia* species identified at a tertiary medical center with a reference laboratory over a 6-year period and to provide antimicrobial susceptibility data for the identified *Nocardia* species.

## RESULTS

**Description of** *Nocardia* **species isolated.** A total of 2,091 cultures were positive for *Nocardia* species from 1 August 2011 through 31 August 2017. Of these, 1,891 (90.4%) were identified to the species level, and 200 (9.5%) were able to be identified only to the genus level as *Nocardia* species. Of the 200 isolates identified only to the genus level, 95 (48%) were identified by partial 16S rRNA gene sequencing, and 105 (52%) were identified by MALDI-TOF MS.

General characteristics of the samples submitted are shown in Table 1. Our sample population included 1,175 (56.2%) men and 916 (43.8%) women. The median age was 66 years (interquartile range, 55 to 76 years). We excluded pediatric samples (any patients 18 years or younger).

Our samples included 22 specimens from international countries. These included 12 samples from Saudi Arabia, 3 from Lebanon, 2 from Qatar, 2 from the United Arab Emirates, 1 from Chile, 1 from Brazil, and 1 from Italy. Among isolates from the United States, 1,056 (50.5%) of the reported specimens were from Florida, Minnesota, Texas, Arizona, Michigan, and Louisiana (300, 256, 143, 135, 114, and 108 specimens, respectively) (Fig. S1 and S2 in the supplemental material).

The number of specimens received per year has steadily increased from 319 in 2012 to 412 in 2016 (Table 1).

The majority of positive cultures were from respiratory specimens (1,295 [62%]), including 631 (30%) from sputum, 499 (23.8%) from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid, 110 (5.2%) from lung biopsies, 42 (2%) from pleural fluid, and 13 (0.6%) specimens from

|   | Data for study   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Characteristic  | This study   | Schlaberg et al. 2014 (9)   | Valdezate et al. 2017 (10)  | Lebeaux et al. 2018 (11)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of isolates   | 2,091  | 1,299   | 1,119   | 736   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patient age (median [IQR])<br>(yrs) <sup>a</sup>  | 66 (55–76)   | 63, DNC   | DNC   | 66 (4–90)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex (%)   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male  | 56.2   | 53  | DNC   | 58  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female  | 43.8   | 47  | DNC   | 42  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years specimens submitted   | 2011–2017  | 2006–2011   | 2005–2014   | 2010–2015   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specimen source or reference<br>(no. [%])   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lung  | 110 (5.2)  | 54%   | 961 (85.9)  | 427 (53.8)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sputum  | 631 (30)   | DNC   | DNC   | 156 (19.7)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAL   | 499 (23.8)   | DNC   | DNC   | 112 (14.1)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blood   | 110 (5.2)  | 6%  | 13 (1.3)  | 39 (4.9)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brain   | 78 (3.7)   | 3%  | 27 (2.8)  | 37 (4.7)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSF   | ( )  |   | DNC   | . ,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Others  | 10 (0.4)<br>653 (31.2)   | DNC<br>DNC  | DNC   | 6 (0.8)<br>DNC  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antibiotic (% of <i>N. nova</i><br>complex, <i>N.</i><br><i>cyriacigeorgica</i> , <i>N.</i><br><i>farcinica</i> , <i>N. brasiliensis</i> ,<br><i>N. abscessus</i> complex,<br>and <i>N. transvalensis</i><br>complex isolates,<br>respectively, susceptible)<br>Amikacin<br>Amoxicillin-clavulanate<br>Cefepime<br>Ceftriaxone<br>Clarithromycin<br>Imipenem<br>Linezolid<br>Minocycline<br>TMP-SMX | 100, 99, 100, 100, 100, 26<br>4, 8, 96, 99, 61, 89<br>47, 24, 1 ,0, 69, 27<br>14, 64, 3, 2, 93, 64<br>97, 1, 0, 0, 38, 2<br>100, 99, 83, 8, 64, 9<br>100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100<br>19, 14, 7, 16, 94, 31<br>100, 100, 99, 100, 100, 88   | 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 28<br>9, 3, 76, 95, 78, 57<br>DNC<br>47, 88, 3, 49, 98, 63<br>97, 1, 0.5, 3, 29, 4<br>99, 43, 33, 1, 31, 6<br>100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100<br>12, 6, 5, 24, 85, 15<br>100, 100, 99.5, 100, 100, 81 | 100, 99, 98, 100, 100, 52<br>32, 28, 82,92, 96, 100<br>DNC<br>DNC<br>98, 97, 96, 44, 88, 78<br>99, 99, 97, 100, 100, 100<br>11.3, 16,11, 41, 91, 30<br>80.4, 96, 55, 100, 97, 60  | 100, 99, 99, 100, 99, 70<br>8, 9, 80, 92, 74, 88<br>77, 65, 9, 44, 92, 83<br>70, 96, 20, 69, 97, 96<br>DNC<br>99, 89, 77, 15, 88, 63<br>100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100<br>93, 81, 87, 87, 98.7, 96<br>91.7, 97, 96, 96, 98.7, 88  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tobramycin<br>Study highlight   | y highlight<br>Most commonly isolated<br>species (constituting<br>~90%) included <i>N. nova</i><br>complex, <i>N.</i><br><i>cyriacigeorgica</i> , <i>N.</i><br><i>farcinica</i> complex, <i>N.</i><br><i>brasiliensis</i> , <i>N. abscessus</i><br>complex, and <i>N.</i><br><i>transvalensis</i> complex. |   | <ul> <li>52, 97, 16, 92, 99, 4</li> <li>Prevalence values for<br/>different <i>Nocardia</i><br/>species were similar<br/>during 2005–2009 and<br/>2010–2014, except for<br/>those of <i>N. abscessus</i>, <i>N.</i><br/><i>farcinica</i>, and <i>N.</i><br/><i>transvalensis</i>, which fell<br/>significantly in the<br/>second subperiod<br/>(<i>P</i> &lt; 0.05).</li> </ul> | The most frequent species<br>were <i>N. farcinica</i> (20.2%),<br><i>N. abscessus</i> complex<br>(19.9%), and <i>N. nova</i><br>complex (19.5%). The <i>N.</i><br><i>farcinica</i> proportion<br>increased significantly<br>over time from 13% in<br>2010 to 27.6% in 2014. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

<sup>a</sup>Abbreviations: BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CNS, central nervous system; DNC, data not collected; IQR, interquartile range; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

tracheal secretions. Cultures from other sources included musculoskeletal in 372 (17.8%), blood cultures in 110 (5.2%), brain biopsy cultures in 78 specimens (3.7%), and cerebrospinal fluid culture from 10 samples. This is similar to what has been previously described in the literature (Table 2) (9–11).

Table 3 indicates that the most commonly isolated species were *N. nova* complex, 452 (21.6%); *Nocardia cyriacigeorgica*, 352 (16.8%); *N. farcinica* complex, 319 (15.3%); *Nocardia brasiliensis*, 223 (10.7%); *N. abscessus* complex, 205 (9.8%); and *N. transvalensis* complex, 121 (5.8%). These 6 species and species complexes constituted more than 80% of all *Nocardia* species isolated in the study period.

TABLE 3 Identified species for 2,091 Nocardia isolates from 2011 to 2017

| Species                                     | No. of isolates (%) |
|---|---------------------|
| Nocardia nova complex <sup>a</sup>          | 452 (21.6)          |
| Nocardia cyriacigeorgica                    | 352 (16.8)          |
| Nocardia farcinica complex <sup>b</sup>     | 319 (15.3)          |
| Nocardia brasiliensis                       | 223 (10.7)          |
| Nocardia abscessus complex <sup>c</sup>     | 205 (9.8)           |
| Nocardia species                            | 200 (9.6)           |
| Nocardia transvalensis complex <sup>d</sup> | 121 (5.8)           |
| Nocardia asteroides                         | 76 (3.6)            |
| Nocardia otitidiscaviarum                   | 30 (1.4)            |
| Nocardia pseudobrasiliensis                 | 21 (1)              |
| Nocardia brevicatena/Nocardia paucivorans   | 19 (0.9)            |
| Nocardia niwae                              | 14 (0.7)            |
| Nocardia puris                              | 9 (0.4)             |
| Nocardia amikacinitolerans                  | 6 (0.3)             |
| Nocardia carnea                             | 5 (0.2)             |
| Nocardia takedensis                         | 5 (0.2)             |
| Nocardia thailandica                        | 5 (0.2)             |
| Nocardia araoensis                          | 4 (0.2)             |
| Nocardia flavorosea                         | 3 (0.1)             |
| Nocardia mexicana                           | 3 (0.1)             |
| Nocardia exalbida                           | 2 (0.1)             |
| Nocardia ignorata                           | 2 (0.1)             |
| Nocardia jiangxiensis                       | 2 (0.1)             |
| Nocardia niigatensis                        | 2 (0.1)             |
| Nocardia testacea                           | 2 (0.1)             |
| Nocardia amamiensis                         | 1 (<0.1)            |
| Nocardia anaemiae                           | 1 (<0.1)            |
| Nocardia blacklockiae                       | 1 (<0.1)            |
| Nocardia brevicatena                        | 1 (<0.1)            |
| Nocardia higoensis                          | 1 (<0.1)            |
| Nocardia higoensis/shimofusensis            | 1 (<0.1)            |
| Nocardia rhamnosiphila                      | 1 (<0.1)            |
| Nocardia salmonicida                        | 1 (<0.1)            |
| Nocardia shimofusensis                      | 1 (<0.1)            |
| Nocardia sienata                            | 1 (<0.1)            |
| Nocardia vinacea                            | 1 (<0.1)            |

<sup>a</sup>N. nova complex includes Nocardia africana, Nocardia aobensis, Nocardia cerradoensis, Nocardia elegans, Nocardia kruczakiae, Nocardia mikamii, N. nova, Nocardia vermiculata, and Nocardia veterana.

<sup>b</sup>N. farcinica complex includes N. farcinica and Nocardia kroppenstedtii.

<sup>c</sup>N. abscessus complex includes N. abscessus, Nocardia arthritidis, Nocardia asiatica, Nocardia beijingensis, and Nocardia pneumoniae.

<sup>d</sup>N. transvalensis complex includes N. blacklockiae, N. transvalensis, and Nocardia wallacei.

Grouping the isolates according to the specimen source submitted, the most common species isolated from respiratory sources (sputum, BAL fluid, lung biopsies, pleural fluid, and tracheal secretions) were *N. nova* complex, 328/1,295 (25.3%); *N. cyriacigeorgica*, 290/1,295 (22.3%); *N. farcinica* complex, 151/1,295 (11.6%); and *N. abscessus* complex, 128/1,295 (9.8%).

The most common *Nocardia* species isolated from brain specimens was *N. farcinica* complex, 33/78 (42.3%), followed by *N. abscessus* complex, 20/78 (25.6%). The most common *Nocardia* species isolated from blood was *N. farcinica* complex, 39/110 (35.4%), followed by *N. nova* complex, 36/110 (32.7%) and *N. cyriacigeorgica*, 16/110 (14.5%).

Table 2 compares our results with other literature reports, and the antimicrobial susceptibility results across the 4 highlighted studies are in good agreement (9–11).

**Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles.** Antimicrobials *in vitro* susceptibility profiles for some agents were highly variable between different *Nocardia* species (Table 4).

Antimicrobials that generally demonstrated a high level of activity (>90% susceptible [S]) against most *Nocardia* species include amikacin, linezolid, and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX). All *Nocardia* isolates that were tested against linezolid were susceptible using the current CLSI interpretive criteria.

### TABLE 4 Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles by Nocardia species

|  |     | Susceptibility (%) of indicated species to: |           |          |             |     |     |             |     |     |     |     |      |     |
|--|-----|---|-----------|----------|-------------|-----|-----|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|
|  |     |   |           |          |             |     |     |             |     |     |     |     | TMP- |     |
| Nocardia species                               | n   | Amk <sup>a</sup>                            | Amox/clav | Cefepime | Ceftriaxone | Cip | Clr | Doxycycline | Imp | Lzd | Min | Mxf | SMX  | Tob |
| Nocardia nova complex <sup>b</sup>             | 452 | 100   | 4         | 47       | 14          | 1   | 97  | 1           | 100 | 100 | 19  | 3   | 100  | 3   |
| Nocardia cyriacigeorgica                       | 352 | 99  | 8         | 24       | 64          | 0   | 1   | 11          | 99  | 100 | 14  | 1   | 100  | 99  |
| <i>Nocardia farcinica</i> complex <sup>c</sup> | 319 | 100   | 96        | 1        | 3           | 49  | 0   | 2           | 83  | 100 | 7   | 76  | 99   | 1   |
| Nocardia brasiliensis                          | 223 | 100   | 99        | 0        | 2           | 0   | 0   | 5           | 8   | 100 | 16  | 40  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia abscessus complex <sup>d</sup>        | 205 | 100   | 61        | 69       | 93          | 3   | 38  | 87          | 64  | 100 | 94  | 13  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia species                               | 200 | 93  | 37        | 33       | 40          | 14  | 42  | 25          | 76  | 100 | 39  | 39  | 99   | 60  |
| Nocardia transvalensis complex <sup>e</sup>    | 121 | 26  | 89        | 27       | 64          | 49  | 2   | 10          | 9   | 100 | 31  | 72  | 88   | 0   |
| Nocardia asteroides                            | 76  | 99  | 26        | 51       | 49          | 21  | 51  | 29          | 88  | 100 | 43  | 25  | 100  | 58  |
| Nocardia otitidiscaviarum                      | 30  | 100   | 0         | 0        | 0           | 0   | 17  | 43          | 3   | 100 | 60  | 23  | 87   | 53  |
| Nocardia pseudobrasiliensis                    | 21  | 62  | 5         | 0        | 0           | 100 | 95  | 0           | 0   | 100 | 0   | 86  | 33   | 100 |
| Nocardia brevicatena/Nocardia                  | 19  | 95  | 47        | 95       | 95          | 100 | 100 | 95          | 100 | 100 | 95  | 84  | 100  | 95  |
| paucivorans                                    |     |   |           |          |             |     |     |             |     |     |     |     |      |     |
| Nocardia niwae                                 | 14  | 100   | 0         | 7        | 100         | 21  | 100 | 36          | 100 | 100 | 86  | 86  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia puris                                 | 9   | 100   | 0         | 0        | 0           | 11  | 0   | 100         | 78  | 100 | 100 | 11  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia amikacinitolerans                     | 6   | 67  | 100       | 17       | 33          | 0   | 0   | 83          | 100 | 100 | 100 | 17  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia carnea                                | 5   | 100   | 20        | 100      | 100         | 80  | 60  | 20          | 100 | 100 | 40  | 80  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia takedensis                            | 5   | 100   | 40        | 100      | 100         | 0   | 100 | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100 | 20  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia thailandica                           | 5   | 100   | 0         | 20       | 60          | 0   | 80  | 0           | 100 | 100 | 0   | 20  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia araoensis                             | 4   | 100   | 100       | 75       | 100         | 0   | 75  | 25          | 25  | 100 | 25  | 50  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia flavorosea                            | 3   | 100   | 0         | 100      | 100         | 100 | 33  | 67          | 100 | 100 | 67  | 100 | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia mexicana                              | 3   | 0   | 0         | 0        | 33          | 0   | 0   | 0           | 100 | 100 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   |
| Nocardia exalbida                              | 2   | 100   | 0         | 100      | 100         | 0   | 50  | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0   | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia ignorata                              | 2   | 100   | 0         | 0        | 0           | 50  | 0   | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100 | 50  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia jiangxiensis                          | 2   | 100   | 100       | 0        | 0           | 0   | 100 | 0           | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0   | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia niigatensis                           | 2   | 50  | 0         | 0        | 0           | 50  | 50  | 0           | 0   | 100 | 0   | 0   | 50   | 100 |
| Nocardia testacea                              | 2   | 100   | 0         | 50       | 100         | 100 | 0   | 0           | 100 | 100 | 0   | 50  | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia amamiensis                            | 1   | 100   | 0         | 100      | 100         | 0   | 100 | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0   | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia anaemiae                              | 1   | 100   | 0         | 0        | 100         | 0   | 100 | 0           | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0   | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia blacklockiae                          | 1   | 0   | 100       | 0        | 100         | 0   | 100 | 0           | 100 | 100 | 0   | 100 | 100  | 0   |
| Nocardia brevicatena                           | 1   | 100   | 0         | 0        | 0           | 0   | 0   | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0   | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia higoensis                             | 1   | 100   | 100       | 0        | 0           | 100 | 0   | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia higoensis/shimofusensis               | 1   | 100   | 0         | 0        | 0           | 0   | 0   | 0           | 100 | 100 | 0   | 0   | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia rhamnosiphila                         | 1   | 100   | 0         | 0        | 0           | 100 | 0   | 0           | 100 | 100 | 0   | 100 | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia salmonicida                           | 1   | 100   | 0         | 100      | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia shimofusensis                         | 1   | 100   | 0         | 100      | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia sienata                               | 1   | 100   | 0         | 0        | 100         | 100 | 0   | 100         | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100  | 100 |
| Nocardia vinacea                               | 1   | 100   | 0         | 0        | 100         | 0   | 0   | 0           | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0   | 100  | 100 |

<sup>a</sup>AMK, amikacin; Amox/clav, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid; Cip, ciprofloxacin; Clr, clarithromycin; Imp, imipenem; Lzd, linezolid; Min, minocycline; Mxf, moxifloxacin; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; Tob, tobramycin.

<sup>b</sup>N. nova complex includes Nocardia africana, Nocardia aobensis, Nocardia cerradoensis, Nocardia elegans, Nocardia kruczakiae, Nocardia mikamii, N. nova, Nocardia vermiculata, and Nocardia veterana.

<sup>c</sup>N. farcinica complex includes N. farcinica and Nocardia kroppenstedtii.

<sup>a</sup>N. abscessus complex includes N. abscessus, Nocardia arthritidis, Nocardia asiatica, Nocardia beijingensis, and Nocardia pneumoniae.

<sup>e</sup>N. transvalensis complex includes N. blacklockiae, N. transvalensis, and Nocardia wallacei.

In general, susceptibility to amikacin was typical. However, a high rate of resistance (R) to amikacin was noted with *N. transvalensis* complex (74% R) and *Nocardia pseudobrasiliensis* (38% R) and to TMP-SMX with *N. pseudobrasiliensis* (67% R), *Nocardia otitidiscaviarum* (13% R), and *N. transvalensis* complex (12% R).

Imipenem had good *in vitro* activity against *N. cyriacigeorgica* (99% S) and *N. nova* complex (100% S), but high rates of resistance were noted in *N. brasiliensis* (92% R), *N. transvalensis* complex (91% R), and *N. abscessus* complex (36% R).

Ceftriaxone had good *in vitro* activity against *N. abscessus* complex (93% S) and *Nocardia paucivorans* (95% S) but poor activity with *N. farcinica* complex (97% R) and *N. brasiliensis* (98% R).

Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid demonstrated a high level of *in vitro* activity with *N*. *farcinica* complex (96% S), *N. brasiliensis* (99% S), and *N. transvalensis* complex (~90% S), while this agent performed poorly with *N. nova* complex (96% R) and *N. cyriacigeorgica* (92% R). Ciprofloxacin had poor *in vitro* activity in general with exception of *N. pseudobrasiliensis* (100% S) and *N. paucivorans* (100% S), while clarithromycin demonstrated good *in vitro* susceptibility against *N. nova* complex (97% S), *N. paucivorans* (100% S), and *N. pseudobrasiliensis* (95% S).

The tetracyclines had good activity only against *N. abscessus* complex (doxycycline, 87% S; minocycline, 94% S) and *N. paucivorans* (doxycycline, 95% S; minocycline, 95% S). Tobramycin demonstrated high *in vitro* activity against *N. cyriacigeorgica* (99% S), *N. brasiliensis* (100% S), *N. abscessus* complex (100% S), *N. pseudobrasiliensis* (100% S), and *N. paucivorans* (95% S). In general, resistance was high for most species against cefepime and moxifloxacin.

Among our 2,091 isolates, we identified 462 isolates (22%) as MDR *Nocardia* species. Of those, >75% belong to *N. brasiliensis* (201[43.5%]), *N. transvalensis* complex (92 [20%]), and *N. farcinica* (56 [12%]).

*N. brasiliensis* was frequently resistant to imipenem (92% R) and ceftriaxone (98% R) but demonstrated good susceptibility to amikacin, tobramycin, and TMP-SMX.

*N. transvalensis* complex was frequently resistant to imipenem (91% R) and amikacin (74% R) but retained good susceptibility to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (89% S) and TMP-SMX (88% S).

In addition, *N. pseudobrasiliensis* was often MDR, with resistance to imipenem (100% R), ceftriaxone (100% R), and TMP-SMX (67% R), but had good susceptibility to ciprofloxacin, clarithromycin, linezolid, and tobramycin.

### DISCUSSION

As expected, the most common source for positive *Nocardia* cultures was respiratory sources. *Nocardia* species were recovered from blood cultures in about 5% and from brain biopsies in 3.7% of patients with suspected nocardiosis.

Most of our patient population were of older age with a median age of 60 years, and the highest number of specimens were sent from southern states, including Florida, Texas, Louisiana, and Arizona (with the exception of high numbers from Minnesota and Michigan). This may be a reflection of geographic differences in *Nocardia* distribution, or it could be due to the location of laboratories using the Mayo Clinic as a reference laboratory.

We also noted a steady increase in the number of specimens over the study time frame, which might indicate an increasing incidence or, alternatively, more awareness of the need for, and easier access to, *Nocardia* culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing.

The susceptibility profiles of *Nocardia* species against the antimicrobials recommended by the CLSI for *in vitro* susceptibility testing were highly variable by agent and by species, demonstrating the need to routinely identify *Nocardia* isolates to the species level and to perform *in vitro* susceptibility testing for all isolates thought to be clinically significant. In general, amikacin, linezolid, and TMP-SMX demonstrated good *in vitro* activity against most *Nocardia* species, and these agents are often used in combination empirically while awaiting laboratory susceptibility testing results. Possible exceptions to this rule are *N. pseudobrasiliensis* and *N. transvalensis* complex, which can show variable susceptibility to amikacin and sometimes TMP-SMX *in vitro*.

To our knowledge, this report provides the largest data set to date on the epidemiology and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of *Nocardia* isolates from across the United States and worldwide and is consistent in many aspects with previously published reports (Table 2) (9, 10, 12).

The time between the isolation of *Nocardia* species and the availability of susceptibility results can be several weeks, so the choice of empirical antibiotic regimen can be aided by the *in vitro* data provided in this report and in previously published reports while awaiting completion of laboratory testing.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board. A retrospective review of laboratory data was conducted to identify microbiologic cultures from adult patients who were positive for *Nocardia* species in the period between 1 August 2011 and 31 August 2017 and for which antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed.

The clinical microbiology laboratory at Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota, receives specimens for microbiologic culture, identification, and susceptibility testing from Mayo Clinic hospitals and from Mayo Clinic Laboratory client hospitals throughout the United States and from many countries throughout the world.

Specimens received were cultured in BD Bactec mycobacteria growth indicator tube (MGIT) 960 broth in mycobacterial growth indicator tubes (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and on Middlebrook 7H11/7H11S agar biplates incubated at 35 to 37°C for up to 6 weeks. Positive MGIT broth was subcultured to a Middlebrook 7H11 agar plate, and isolated colony growth was identified using Sanger sequencing of a 500-bp region of the 16S rRNA gene as previously described (13). Databases used included the MicroSeq ID 16S rDNA 500 library with the current version for the year the isolate was identified from 2011 to 2017 and a Mayo Clinic custom database containing well-characterized clinical isolates and type strains with multiple strains per species in order to augment strain diversity found in the MicroSeq database, and, if neither the MicroSeq nor the Mayo database provided a match, NCBI GenBank BLAST analysis was used with the sequence required to be published in peer-reviewed literature in order to accept the result. A 100% match to the database entry was required for identification. Sequence length was required to be >30. In 2015, the use of MALDI-TOF MS was added to supplement species identification using Sanger sequencing (14).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using CLSI guidelines current during the study period (15), and the Trek Sensititre Rapmyco plate was used for rapidly growing mycobacteria and *Nocardia* species. Interpretation of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) as susceptible or resistant for each isolate was done using current CLSI interpretive criteria (16). MICs reported as intermediate (I) were combined with resistant (R) for this study.

**Taxonomy.** A recent review by Conville et al. (7) was used to determine the most current taxonomy for *Nocardia* species. Thus, the *Nocardia abscessus* complex includes *N. abscessus*, *Nocardia arthritidis*, *Nocardia asiatica*, *Nocardia beijingensis*, and *Nocardia pneumoniae*. The *Nocardia nova* complex includes *Nocardia africana*, *Nocardia aobensis*, *Nocardia cerradoensis*, *Nocardia elegans*, *Nocardia kruczakiae*, *Nocardia mikamii*, *N. nova*, *Nocardia vermiculata*, and *Nocardia veterana*. The *Nocardia farcinica* complex includes *N. farcinica* and *Nocardia kroppenstedtii*. The *Nocardia transvalensis* complex includes *Nocardia blacklockiae*, *N. transvalensis*, and *Nocardia wallacei*.

**Multidrug-resistant** *Nocardia*. To our knowledge, there is no current consensus for defining multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Nocardia*, but in this work, the definition by Schlaberg et al. (9) was followed, with MDR *Nocardia* species defined as an isolate that is nonsusceptible (resistant or intermediate) to 2 or more of the most commonly used empirical agents, which would currently be amikacin, ceftriaxone, imipenem, and TMP-SMX.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. **SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1**, PDF file, 0.4 MB.

### REFERENCES

- Saubolle MA, Sussland D. 2003. Nocardiosis: review of clinical and laboratory experience. J Clin Microbiol 41:4497–4501. https://doi.org/10 .1128/jcm.41.10.4497-4501.2003.
- Wilson JW. 2012. Nocardiosis: updates and clinical overview. Mayo Clin Proc 87:403–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2011.11.016.
- Beaman BL, Burnside J, Edwards B, Causey W. 1976. Nocardial infections in the United States, 1972–1974. J Infect Dis 134:286–289. https://doi .org/10.1093/infdis/134.3.286.
- Kandi V. 2015. Human Nocardia infections: a review of pulmonary nocardiosis. Cureus 7:e304. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.304.
- Al Akhrass F, Hachem R, Mohamed JA, Tarrand J, Kontoyiannis DP, Chandra J, Ghannoum M, Haydoura S, Chaftari AM, Raad I. 2011. Central venous catheter-associated Nocardia bacteremia in cancer patients. Emerg Infect Dis 17:1651–1658. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1709.101810.
- Ambrosioni J, Lew D, Garbino J. 2010. Nocardiosis: updated clinical review and experience at a tertiary center. Infection 38:89–97. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s15010-009-9193-9.
- Conville PS, Brown-Elliott BA, Smith T, Zelazny AM. 2018. The complexities of Nocardia taxonomy and identification. J Clin Microbiol 56:e01419. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01419-17.
- Kiska DL, Hicks K, Pettit DJ. 2002. Identification of medically relevant Nocardia species with an abbreviated battery of tests. J Clin Microbiol 40:1346–1351. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.4.1346-1351.2002.
- Schlaberg R, Fisher MA, Hanson KE. 2014. Susceptibility profiles of Nocardia isolates based on current taxonomy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:795–800. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01531-13.
- Valdezate S, Garrido N, Carrasco G, Medina-Pascual MJ, Villalón P, Navarro AM, Saéz-Nieto JA. 2017. Epidemiology and susceptibility to anti-

microbial agents of the main Nocardia species in Spain. J Antimicrob Chemother 72:754–761. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw489.

- Lebeaux D, Bergeron E, Berthet J, Djadi-Prat J, Mouniee D, Boiron P, Lortholary O, Rodriguez-Nava V. 2018. Antibiotic susceptibility testing and species identification of Nocardia isolates: a retrospective analysis of data from a French expert laboratory. Clin Microbiol Infect 25:489–495. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2018.06.013.
- Yu HX, Liu M, Pu ZH, Liu Y, Zhao MM. 2018. Microbiological and clinical data analysis of 32 patients with Nocardia infections in Yantai. Turk J Med Sci 48:366–371. https://doi.org/10.3906/sag-1801-138.
- Hall L, Doerr KA, Wohlfiel SL, Roberts GD. 2003. Evaluation of the MicroSeq system for identification of mycobacteria by 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing and its integration into a routine clinical mycobacteriology laboratory. J Clin Microbiol 41:1447–1453. https://doi.org/10 .1128/jcm.41.4.1447-1453.2003.
- Buckwalter SP, Olson SL, Connelly BJ, Lucas BC, Rodning AA, Walchak RC, Deml SM, Wohlfiel SL, Wengenack NL. 2016. Evaluation of matrixassisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for identification of Mycobacterium species, Nocardia species, and Other aerobic Actinomycetes. J Clin Microbiol 54:376–384. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JCM.02128-15.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2018. Susceptibility testing of Mycobacteria, Nocardia spp., and other aerobic Actinomycetes, 3rd ed. CLSI standard M24. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2018. Performance standards for susceptibility testing of Mycobacteria, Nocardia spp., and other aerobic Actinomycetes. CLSI supplement M62. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.