

OPEN

Nutrients, minerals, antioxidant pigments and phytochemicals, and antioxidant capacity of the leaves of stem amaranth

Umakanta Sarker^{1*}, Shinya Oba² & Moses Ahmed Daramy³

We evaluated 17 genotypes of stem amaranth (*Amaranthus lividus*) in terms of dietary fiber, moisture, carbohydrates, fat, ash, gross energy, protein, minerals, phytopigments, total antioxidant capacity (TAC), vitamins, total flavonoids (TFC), total polyphenols (TPC) and their variations. Stem amaranth leaves have abundant dietary fiber, moisture, carbohydrates, and protein. We found significant amount of potassium, calcium, magnesium (9.61, 24.40, and 29.77 mg g $^{-1}$ DW), iron, manganese, copper, zinc, (1131.98, 269.89, 25.03, and 1006.53 µg g $^{-1}$ DW), phytopigments such as chlorophyll a, total carotenoids, beta-carotene (1675.38, 1289.26 µg g $^{-1}$ FW), vitamin C (1355.46 µg g $^{-1}$ FW), total carotenoids, beta-carotene (1675.38, 1289.26 µg g $^{-1}$ FW), vitamin C (1355.46 µg g $^{-1}$ FW), TPC, TFC (228.63 GAE and 157.42 RE µg g $^{-1}$ DW), and TAC (DPPH, ABTS $^+$) (26.61, 51.73 TEAC µg g $^{-1}$ DW) in the leaves of stem amaranth. Genotypes exhibited a wide range of variations. Three genotypes DS40, DS30, and DS26 could be used as an antioxidant profile enriched stem amaranth. Phenolics, phytopigments, flavonoids, and vitamins of stem amaranth leaves exhibited strong antioxidant activity. Stem amaranth could be a potential source of dietary fiber, moisture, carbohydrates, protein, minerals, phenolics, phytopigments, flavonoids, and vitamins in our daily diet for attaining nutritional and antioxidant sufficiency.

Amaranth has great variability and phenotypic plasticity¹ with many culinary uses. In Bangladesh including south-east Asia, Africa, South America, the edible stem amaranth leaves are a very famous vegetable. Its popularity is continuously increasing in the Asian continent and elsewhere because of high nutritional value, taste, and attractive leaf color. In Bangladesh, stem amaranth is grown year-round and it could be grown in the gaps period of leafy vegetables between winter and hot summer^{2,3}. It is an inexpensive vegetable and has abundant dietary fiber and protein with essential amino acids such as methionine and lysine, minerals, pigments and phytochemicals like betacyanin, betaxanthin, chlorophyll, carotenoids, beta-carotene, vitamin C, phenolic compounds, and flavonoids⁴⁻¹⁰.

In the world, food insecurity results in a continuous calorie deficit of approximately 795 million malnourished people¹¹. Deficiency of vitamins or minerals results in hidden hunger in over two billion people¹². Staple foods are deficient of micronutrients, mainly iron, zinc and iodine, pro-vitamin A, carotenoids, vitamin C, E, albeit these are a source of energy¹³. Consequently, staple foods in our daily diet result in hidden hunger¹². We can ensure a balanced and healthy diet by consumption of fruit and vegetables as a source of vitamins and minerals accomplished with staple food. Furthermore, we protect human health and reduce the risk of cancer, cardiovascular, and other chronic diseases by feeding fruit and vegetables. Phytochemical compounds such as leaf pigments, vitamin C, phenolic and flavonoids are thought to contribute to those health benefits¹⁴⁻¹⁶.

Recently, natural antioxidants of vegetables attracted consumers and researchers. Leaf pigments (betacyanin, betaxanthin, chlorophyll, and carotenoids), vitamin C, phenolics and flavonoids are available natural antioxidants in amaranths^{4,17}. These natural antioxidants phytochemicals defense against several diseases like cardiovascular

¹Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur, 1706, Bangladesh. ²Laboratory of Field Science, Faculty of Applied Biological Sciences, Gifu University, Yanagido, 1-1, Gifu, Japan. ³Soil, Crop and Natural Resource Management, Sierra Leone Agricultural Research Institute, Tower Hill Freetown Sierra Leone, PMB 1313, Sierra Leone. *email: umakanta@bsmrau.edu.bd

diseases, cancer, cataracts, atherosclerosis, retinopathy, arthritis, emphysema, and neurodegenerative diseases^{17–19}. Amaranth is also tolerant to abiotic stresses like drought and salinity^{20–24}.

Stem amaranth is a very popular vegetable in Bangladesh. It is consumed both as a leafy vegetable in early stages and vegetables (stem only) in the later stage. In the younger stage, around 30 days old, the whole plant including leaves and tender succulent stems are used as leafy vegetables. The large barreled stem of this amaranth is succulent and juicy and become edible as vegetables up to initiation of flowering. It takes approximately two to three months to flower, even though some photosensitive cultivar takes 9 to 12 months to flower. Those large barreled juicy and succulent stems are a famous vegetable in Bangladesh and consumed year-round. However, the literature has shown that amaranth leaf had much higher nutrients, minerals, pigments, phytochemicals, and antioxidants in comparison to the stem of the plant 4,25. For this reason, we evaluated the stem amaranth as leafy vegetables in terms of nutrients, minerals, antioxidant pigments and phytochemicals, and antioxidant capacity. Although it is abiotic stress tolerant and inexpensive sources of minerals, dietary fiber, protein, and antioxidant phytochemicals like leaf pigments, vitamin C, phenolics, and flavonoids, there is a scarce of information in this species. In our earlier study, we evaluated A. tricolor for morphological, proximate, minerals, antioxidant leaf pigments, antioxidant phytochemicals^{2,3,5-10}. To our knowledge, it is the first report on proximate and mineral compositions, phenolics, flavonoids, leaf pigments, and vitamins in a huge number of diversified stem amaranth germplasms available in Bangladesh and elsewhere. Therefore, to fill these gaps, the present investigation was undertaken to evaluate proximate and mineral compositions, leaf pigments, vitamins, phenolics, and flavonoids content in 17 stem amaranth genotypes. To determine the variability of these traits in 17 stem amaranth genotypes.

Results and Discussion

Proximate compositions. Table 1 represents the proximate compositions of stem amaranth. The leaf water content ranged from 82.05 to 88.43 g $100 \, \text{g}^{-1}$ FW. As high leaf dry matter obtained from lower moisture contents, five genotypes (17–18% dry matter) had a considerable dry matter. The maturity of the plant directly associated with the leaf moisture content of stem amaranth. The findings obtained in this study were fully agreed to the reports of amaranth and sweet potato leaves by Sarker and Oba²⁶ and Sun *et al.*²⁷, respectively.

The protein content of the leaf of stem amaranth exerted much pronounced variations. The protein content ranged from 5.76 to $1.47\,\mathrm{g}$ $100\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ FW. Nine genotypes had higher protein content compared to their average values. As leafy vegetables, the genotype DS36, DS34, DS26, DS30, DS25, and DS39 had high protein content. Stem amaranth is the main source of protein for poor people of the low-income countries and vegetarians. Our results showed that stem amaranth exhibited high protein content (3.46 g $100\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ FW) than *A. tricolor* (1.26%) of our previous study².

The fat of stem amaranth ranged from 0.43, 0.42 to 0.21 g 100 g $^{-1}$ FW with a grand mean value of 0.29 g 100 g $^{-1}$ FW, and showing the following order: DS33 > DS32 > DS34 > DS37 > DS41. Sarker and Oba 26 and Sun *et al.*²⁷ observed similar results in *A. tricolor* and the leaf of sweet potato, respectively, They reported that cell function, body temperature, and the insulation of body organs were maintained through catabolism of fat. Fats are an excellent source of omega-6 and omega-3 fatty acids. Absorption, digestion, and transport of fat-soluble vitamins such as A, D, E, and K mainly depend on fats. The carbohydrates content ranged from 9.85 to 2.21 g 100 g $^{-1}$ FW with a mean value of 7.24 g 100 g $^{-1}$ FW. The energy ranged from 53.38 to 35.91 Kcal 100 g $^{-1}$ FW with a grand mean value of 43.66 Kcal 100 g $^{-1}$ FW. Ash content ranged from 5.43 to 2.09 g 100 g $^{-1}$ FW with a grand mean value of 3.58 g 100 g $^{-1}$ FW.

The significant variations were observed in 17 stem amaranth genotypes in terms of dietary fiber. Dietary fiber ranged from 95.72 to 62.40 μ g g⁻¹ FW with a mean value of 78.89 μ g g⁻¹ FW. Dietary fiber significantly contributed to the cure of constipation, digestibility, and palatability⁶. Our results showed that the leaf of stem amaranth were a good source of dietary fiber, moisture, carbohydrates, and protein. The results of this study corroborated with the results of Sarker and oba²⁶.

Composition of minerals. Table 2 represents the content of minerals of stem amaranth. In this study, the content of potassium (K) varied from $6.54\,\mathrm{mg\,g^{-1}}$ to $14.21\,\mathrm{mg\,g^{-1}}$ DW. High potassium content was obtained from eight genotypes with a grand mean value of $9.61\,\mathrm{mg\,g^{-1}}$ DW. The potassium content of ten genotypes was much higher than their grand mean. The range of Ca content was $16.06-31.22\,\mathrm{mg\,g^{-1}}$ DW. High Ca content was noted in eight genotypes which were better than the respective average value. Mg content did not exhibit pronounced variations in 17 stem amaranth genotypes (27.71 to $32.53\,\mathrm{mg\,g^{-1}}$ DW). The average Mg content was $29.77\,\mathrm{mg\,g^{-1}}$ DW. High Mg content was noted in three genotypes. In our present study, we found a significant amount of K ($9.61\,\mathrm{mg\,g^{-1}}$), calcium ($24.40\,\mathrm{mg\,g^{-1}}$) and magnesium ($29.77\,\mathrm{mg\,g^{-1}}$) in the leaf of stem amaranth, albeit we determined based on the dry weight. Chakrabarty *et al.*²⁸ in stem amaranth and Sarker and Oba²⁶ in *A. tricolor* also observed similar results. Jimenez-Aguiar and Grusak²⁹ reported a good amount of Mg, K, and Ca in different species of amaranth. They reported that Mg, Ca, and K content of different species of amaranth was much higher than kale, black nightshade, spider flower, and spinach.

Iron content showed the prominent variations in terms of genotypes (739.04 μ g g⁻¹ DW to 2546.25 μ g g⁻¹ DW). The grand mean value of 17 genotypes was 1131.98 μ g g⁻¹ DW. High iron content was obtained from four genotypes which were higher than the mean value. The range of manganese content varied from 174.63 μ g g⁻¹ DW to 375.33 μ g g⁻¹ DW, with a mean value of 269.89 μ g g⁻¹ DW. Six genotypes had high manganese content. The significant and notable variations in copper content were reported in the genotypes studied (17.56–42.15 μ g g⁻¹ DW). High copper was obtained from eight genotypes which were higher than the mean value. The zinc content of stem amaranth varied significantly in terms of genotypes (741.50 μ g g⁻¹ DW to 1525.92 μ g g⁻¹ DW). High zinc content was observed in five genotypes which were higher than the grand mean value (1006.53 μ g g⁻¹ DW). Stem amaranth leaves contained higher zinc and iron content than the cassava leaves³⁰ and beach pea³¹. Our study

Genotypes	Moisture (g)	Protein (g)	Fat (g)	Carbohydrates (g)	Energy (Kcal)	Ash (g)	Dietary fiber (μg g ⁻¹ FW)
DS25	$86.45 \pm 0.98c$	$4.20 \pm 0.02e$	0.42 ± 0.01 a	$5.81 \pm 0.06l$	41.02 ± 0.34 n	$3.12 \pm 0.02h$	$85.74 \pm 0.95c$
DS26	$82.15 \pm 0.88g$	$5.38 \pm 0.03c$	$0.21 \pm 0.01 f$	7.11 ± 0.10 j	$52.99 \pm 0.48b$	5.15 ± 0.01 b	$78.21 \pm 0.75 h$
DS27	$83.74 \pm 1.71 f$	1.47 ± 0.03 n	$0.28 \pm 0.01 \text{d}$	$9.85 \pm 0.12a$	$46.61 \pm 0.82d$	$4.66 \pm 0.01c$	$83.56 \pm 0.85 d$
DS28	$85.66 \pm 2.41e$	$3.53 \pm 0.03 f$	0.27 ± 0.03 d	$8.26 \pm 0.16e$	$46.23 \pm 0.76 \mathrm{f}$	2.28 ± 0.021	$83.85 \pm 0.41d$
DS29	$85.55 \pm 1.83e$	3.22 ± 0.03 g	$0.24 \pm 0.03e$	$8.07 \pm 0.11 f$	$43.85 \pm 0.88 h$	2.92 ± 0.06 j	$77.46 \pm 0.46i$
DS30	$82.05 \pm 1.26g$	5.16 ± 0.05 d	$0.24 \pm 0.04e$	$7.12 \pm 0.21j$	$53.38 \pm 0.46a$	$5.43 \pm 0.04a$	$82.75 \pm 0.77e$
DS31	$86.26 \pm 1.11d$	$2.25\pm0.04k$	$0.22 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{f}$	$8.03 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{f}$	$41.22 \pm 0.43l$	$3.24 \pm 0.03g$	$73.82 \pm 0.47 k$
DS32	$85.41 \pm 1.18e$	$3.56 \pm 0.05 f$	$0.35 \pm 0.02b$	$7.60 \pm 0.10 h$	$48.17 \pm 0.82c$	$3.08 \pm 0.02i$	$79.41 \pm 0.65 f$
DS33	$85.77 \pm 1.44e$	$2.57 \pm 0.05 h$	$0.36 \pm 0.02b$	$7.38 \pm 0.13i$	$42.64 \pm 0.56\mathrm{i}$	$3.92 \pm 0.03e$	62.40 ± 0.46 o
DS34	$88.43 \pm 1.03a$	5.56 ± 0.04 b	$0.35 \pm 0.03b$	$2.21\pm0.10n$	$35.91 \pm 0.48q$	$3.45 \pm 0.05 f$	74.54 ± 0.74 j
DS35	$85.45 \pm 1.15e$	2.38 ± 0.04 j	$0.27 \pm 0.02 d$	$8.46\pm0.05\mathrm{d}$	$41.72\pm0.43k$	$3.44 \pm 0.05 f$	78.73 ± 0.48 g
DS36	$83.57 \pm 1.31 \mathrm{f}$	$5.76 \pm 0.03a$	$0.28 \pm 0.01 d$	5.73 ± 0.15 l	$46.45 \pm 0.49e$	$4.66 \pm 0.04c$	72.87 ± 0.48 l
DS37	$87.52 \pm 1.49b$	1.87 ± 0.011	$0.32 \pm 0.03c$	$7.84 \pm 0.15 g$	37.74 ± 0.51 o	$2.45 \pm 0.05 k$	$95.72 \pm 0.52a$
DS38	$83.55 \pm 1.58 \mathrm{f}$	$2.49 \pm 0.05i$	$0.22 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{f}$	9.68 ± 0.15 b	44.07 ± 0.46 g	4.06 ± 0.03 d	66.54 ± 0.27 n
DS39	$86.75 \pm 1.46c$	$4.24 \pm 0.03e$	$0.43 \pm 0.02a$	5.13 ± 0.11 m	41.95 ± 0.47 j	$3.45 \pm 0.02 f$	$89.52 \pm 0.42b$
DS40	$86.55 \pm 1.57c$	$3.57 \pm 0.06f$	$0.22 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{f}$	$6.21 \pm 0.14 k$	37.08 ± 0.52 p	$3.45 \pm 0.02 f$	$83.76 \pm 0.41d$
DS41	$87.38 \pm 1.24b$	1.57 ± 0.02 m	$0.31 \pm 0.02c$	$8.65 \pm 0.15c$	41.13 ± 0.34 m	2.09 ± 0.01 m	72.28 ± 0.56 m
Mean	85.43	3.46	0.29	7.24	43.66	3.58	78.89
CV%	1.6258	0.3542	0.1284	0.1675	0.3245	0.5365	0.6345

Table 1. Proximate compositions (per 100 g fresh weight) and dietary fiber (μ g g⁻¹ FW) of 17 stem amaranth genotypes. CV, Coefficient of variation; n = 6; **Significant at 1% level, Different letters in each columns are differed significantly by Tukey's HSD test.

showed that leaves of stem amaranth had considerable iron (1131.98 μ g g⁻¹), manganese (269.89 μ g g⁻¹), copper (25.03 μ g g⁻¹), and zinc (1006.53 μ g g⁻¹), albeit it was measured based on the dry weight. Jimenez-Aguiar and Grusak²⁹ reported a good amount of iron, manganese, copper, and zinc in the different species of amaranth. They reported that iron, manganese, copper, and zinc content of different species of amaranth were much higher than kale, black nightshade, spider flower, and spinach.

Composition of antioxidant leaf pigments. Table 3 represents the composition of antioxidant leaf pigments of stem amaranth. chlorophyll a content differed remarkably in stem amaranth (12.25 to 50.86 mg $100\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$). Chlorophyll a content was high in three stem amaranth genotypes. Chlorophyll a content of seven genotypes was higher than the average value. There were prominent variations in chlorophyll b content of 17 stem amaranth genotypes (5.67 to 27.38 mg $100\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$). Prominent variations were also observed in chlorophyll ab (18.86 to 74.37 mg $100\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$). Four genotypes exhibited high chlorophyll ab content, Nine genotypes had higher chlorophyll ab than the mean value. Our study revealed that stem amaranth genotypes had a considerable amount of chlorophyll ab (42.06 mg $100\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$), chlorophyll a (27.76 mg $100\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$), and chlorophyll b (14.30 mg $100\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$), whereas, chlorophylls content of a tricolor reported by Khanam and Oba³² were relatively lower.

Betacyanin ranged from $15.\dot{4}2$ to $53.36\,\mu g$ $100\,g^{-1}$ with a mean value of $31.12\,\mu g$ $100\,g^{-1}$. Betaxanthin content showed the significant and notable differences in 17 stem amaranth genotypes (17.27 to $55.24\,\mu g$ $100\,g^{-1}$). High betaxanthin content was observed in four genotypes. Eight genotypes had higher betaxanthin content than the mean value. Betalain ranged from 32.70 to $108.60\,\mu g$ $100\,g^{-1}$. High betalain content was observed in five genotypes. Eight genotypes had higher betalain content than average value. The range of total carotenoids content was $469.29\,\mu g\,g^{-1}$ to $1675.38\,\mu g\,g^{-1}$. Three genotypes showed the highest total carotenoids content. Similarly, high total carotenoids were found in four genotypes. Ten genotypes had higher total carotenoids than average value. In this study, we found a significant amount of betacyanin ($31.12\,\mu g\,100\,g^{-1}$), betaxanthin ($31.81\,\mu g\,100\,g^{-1}$), betalain ($62.92\,\mu g\,100\,g^{-1}$) and total carotenoids ($1675.38\,\mu g\,g^{-1}$) in the stem amaranth. Khanam *et al.*³³ reported corroborative results for betacyanin, betaxanthin, betalain and total carotenoids content of *A. tricolor*.

Antioxidant phytochemicals. Table 4 represents TAC, vitamins, TPC, and TFC of stem amaranth. The range of beta-carotene content was 355.35 μ g g⁻¹ to 1289.26 μ g g⁻¹. Four genotypes showed high beta-carotene. Ten genotypes had higher beta-carotene than average beta-carotene. The range of vitamin C content was 431.14 to 431.22 μ g g⁻¹ with a mean value of 746.58 μ g g⁻¹. Seven genotypes had higher vitamin C than average vitamin C. Vitamin C content was high in four genotypes. The range of total polyphenol content (TPC) was 78.22 GAE μ g g⁻¹ DW to 228.66 GAE μ g g⁻¹ DW with a mean value of 156.25 GAE μ g g⁻¹ DW. Five genotypes showed high polyphenol content. Ten genotypes showed higher polyphenol than average polyphenol content. Prominent variations were noted in the TFC content of stem amaranth genotypes, with a range of 65.89 RE μ g g⁻¹ DW to 157.42 RE μ g g⁻¹ DW. The mean value of TFC was 105.84 RE μ g g⁻¹ DW. TFC showed the following order: DS30 > DS26 > DS40 > DS35 > DS34. Eight genotypes showed higher TFC value than average TFC. The range of TAC (DPPH) was 8.94 TEAC μ g g⁻¹ DW to 26.61 TEAC μ g g⁻¹ DW. Five genotypes had high TAC (DPPH). Seven genotypes exhibited higher TAC (DPPH) than average value. The range of TAC (ABTS⁺) was 16.71 TEAC μ g g⁻¹

	Macroelement	ts (mg g ⁻¹ DW)		Microelements (μg g ⁻¹ DW)					
Genotypes	K	Ca	Mg	Fe	Mn	Cu	Zn		
DS25	$7.34 \pm 0.02 f$	16.24 ± 0.05 j	$29.97 \pm 0.07c$	1047.74 ± 0.86 g	$228.28 \pm 0.27 \mathrm{j}$	26.32 ± 0.04 d	852.24 ± 0.74 o		
DS26	$14.43 \pm 0.06a$	$17.94 \pm 0.05i$	$31.88 \pm 0.12a$	1732.94 ± 0.56 b	345.34 ± 0.46 b	$23.56\pm0.06\text{g}$	$1534.56 \pm 0.51a$		
DS27	$9.85 \pm 0.07 d$	$25.67 \pm 0.04e$	$29.32 \pm 0.14 \mathrm{f}$	$989.67 \pm 0.87i$	$198.72 \pm 0.39 k$	$20.68 \pm 0.04\mathrm{i}$	$914.88 \pm 0.46l$		
DS28	$7.52 \pm 0.04 f$	$25.66 \pm 0.05e$	$29.86 \pm 0.16d$	$986.69 \pm 0.76j$	188.76 ± 0.28 l	$20.73 \pm 0.04\mathrm{i}$	941.74 ± 0.64 k		
DS29	$11.55 \pm 0.05c$	$24.23 \pm 0.06 f$	$29.55 \pm 0.14e$	$1033.56 \pm 0.48 h$	$272.27 \pm 0.57 f$	$28.17 \pm 0.07 \text{c}$	944.42 ± 0.51 j		
DS30	10.34 ± 0.05 d	$31.32 \pm 0.08a$	30.23 ± 0.18 d	$1116.91 \pm 0.34e$	$321.83 \pm 0.37c$	$27.95 \pm 0.07 \text{c}$	1432.27 ± 0.41 b		
DS31	$9.98 \pm 0.04d$	$29.65 \pm 0.06c$	$29.22 \pm 0.17 f$	$1384.65 \pm 0.62c$	$381.26 \pm 0.64a$	$18.14 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{j}$	$1241.35 \pm 0.37c$		
DS32	$8.36 \pm 0.06e$	30.46 ± 0.06 b	30.84 ± 0.14 b	$2572.22 \pm 0.46a$	$310.87 \pm 0.68d$	$25.34 \pm 0.04e$	$1023.28 \pm 0.46e$		
DS33	$11.37 \pm 0.07c$	28.25 ± 0.05 d	30.24 ± 0.16 d	968.42 ± 0.61 k	$312.65 \pm 0.53d$	$29.33 \pm 0.03 \text{b}$	$988.33 \pm 0.34g$		
DS34	12.41 ± 0.06 b	$19.34 \pm 0.07 h$	29.89 ± 0.15 d	752.23 ± 0.42 n	176.84 ± 0.45 m	$44.42\pm0.04a$	$748.47 \pm 0.48 p$		
DS35	6.62 ± 0.06 g	$24.21 \pm 0.05 f$	$29.32 \pm 0.09 f$	$985.65 \pm 0.82j$	$246.72 \pm 0.81 h$	$28.46 \pm 0.06 \text{c}$	$957.18 \pm 0.29i$		
DS36	10.06 ± 0.07 d	28.78 ± 0.04 d	29.82 ± 0.14 d	$1128.56 \pm 0.48e$	$271.55 \pm 0.68 f$	$24.78 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{f}$	$1052.33 \pm 0.48 d$		
DS37	$12.16 \pm 0.08b$	$19.28 \pm 0.05 h$	28.68 ± 0.15 g	743.12 ± 0.15 o	$296.76 \pm 0.66e$	$24.87 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{f}$	$1005.32 \pm 0.68 f$		
DS38	6.63 ± 0.04 g	$24.13 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{f}$	$29.56 \pm 0.17e$	788.43 ± 0.54 m	$239.54 \pm 0.38i$	$27.85 \pm 0.06 \text{c}$	$889.38 \pm 0.57 \text{m}$		
DS39	$7.37 \pm 0.06 f$	$22.79 \pm 0.05g$	$27.76 \pm 0.12h$	$1135.29 \pm 0.62d$	$251.31 \pm 0.61g$	$23.54 \pm 0.07 \text{g}$	$976.87 \pm 0.45 h$		
DS40	$11.54 \pm 0.04c$	$24.86 \pm 0.07e$	$30.58 \pm 0.16c$	$1062.84 \pm 0.52 f$	$276.67 \pm 0.85 f$	$22.32 \pm 0.03 h$	878.46 ± 0.51 n		
DS41	$7.64 \pm 0.05 f$	$23.26 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{fg}$	$28.71 \pm 0.15g$	932.25 ± 0.381	$337.21 \pm 0.53b$	$25.36 \pm 0.03e$	901.38 ± 0.271		
Mean	9.72	24.47	29.73	1138.89	273.92	25.99	1016.62		
CV%	2.876	1.352	1.754	0.528	0.645	0.543	0.462		

Table 2. Mineral compositions (Macroelements mg g $^{-1}$ DW and microelements μ g g $^{-1}$ DW elements) of 17 stem amaranth genotypes. CV, Coefficient of variation; K, Potassium; Ca. Calcium, Mg, Magnesium; Fe, Iron; Mn, Manganese; Cu, Copper; Zn, Zinc; n = 6; **Significant at 1% level, Different letters in each columns are differed significantly by Tukey's HSD test.

DW to 51.73 TEAC μ g g⁻¹ DW. Five genotypes exhibited high TAC (ABTS⁺) with a mean value of TAC (ABTS⁺) of 30.92 TEAC μ g g⁻¹ DW. Seven genotypes exhibited higher TAC (ABTS⁺) than average TAC (ABTS⁺).

In this study, we found a significant amount of beta-carotene ($1289.26 \,\mu g \, g^{-1}$), vitamin C ($1355.14 \,\mu g \, g^{-1}$) in the stem amaranth, which was relatively higher than *A. tricolor*³ of our earlier studies. Our obtained TPC ($228.66 \, GAE \,\mu g \, g^{-1} \, FW$) was higher than the TPC of *A. tricolor* reported by Khanam *et al.*³³. Our observed TFC ($157.42 \, RE \,\mu g \, g^{-1} \, DW$), TAC (DPPH) ($26.61 \, TEAC \,\mu g \, g^{-1} \, DW$), and TAC ($ABTS^+$) ($51.73 \, TEAC \,\mu g \, g^{-1} \, DW$) were corroborative to the results of *A. tricolor* of Khanam *et al.*³³. The genotype DS40 showed high phenolics and vitamin antioxidants along with high TAC. Similarly, genotypes, DS30 and DS26 had high phenolics, minerals, and antioxidants along with high TAC. These three genotypes could be used as antioxidant profile enriched high-yielding varieties. The high and moderate antioxidant profile enriched genotypes could be used as parents for a future breeding program to generate high-yielding and antioxidant potential varieties. The present investigation revealed that it is a good source of proximate and minerals, antioxidant leaf pigments, vitamins, and phenolics antioxidants offered huge prospects for feeding the mineral, vitamin, and antioxidant deficient community.

Correlation studies. Correlations of phytochemicals, antioxidant pigments, and antioxidant potential of stem amaranth are shown in Table 5. The correlation coefficients shown in Table 5 had encouraging findings. We observed a significant positive correlation among TAC (DPPH), chlorophyll ab, betacyanin, chlorophyll a, betaxanthin, betalain, TAC (ABTS⁺), chlorophyll b, and TFC. Shukla et al.³⁴ also reported positive associations in their earlier work in A. tricolor. Similarly, betacyanin, betaxanthin, and betalain showed positive and significant interrelationship among each of them and with TAC (ABTS+), chlorophylls, TFC, TAC (DPPH), and TPC which was corroborated with the results of our earlier studies in amaranth^{8,9,26–24} indicating increase in any pigment was directly related to increment of another pigment. The positive and significant interrelationship of TAC (DPPH), pigments, TFC, TPC, and TAC (ABTS⁺) indicated that pigments, TFC, and TPC exhibited strong antioxidant potential. The significant negative association was observed between pigments vs. total carotenoids and pigments vs. beta-carotene, while total carotenoids and beta-carotene exhibited a significant positive association with TAC (ABTS+), TAC (DPPH), TPC, and TFC which was corroborated with the results of our earlier studies in amaranth^{20–24}. It indicated that the increment of any leaf pigment had a direct decrement of total carotenoids and beta-carotene. Beta-carotene and total carotenoids exhibited strong antioxidant potential as these traits had significantly and positively associated with TAC (ABTS+), TAC (DPPH), TPC, and TFC. There were positive associations between beta-carotene and total carotenoids. In contrast, the negligible insignificant association was observed between vitamin C and all the leaf pigments. Jimenez-Aguilar and Grusak²⁹ reported negligible insignificant association for ascorbic acid in amaranth. Whereas, vitamin C was positively and significantly correlated with TAC (ABTS+), TAC (DPPH), TPC, and TFC indicating the strong contribution of vitamin C of stem amaranth to antioxidant activity. TAC (ABTS+), TAC (DPPH), TPC, and TFC associated significantly and positively among each other, as well as vitamins and pigments, indicated that vitamins, flavonoids, pigments, phenolics strongly contributed to the antioxidant activity of amaranth. In the present investigation, it revealed

Genotypes	chlorophyll a (mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Chlorophyll b (mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Chlorophyll ab (mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Betacyanin (μg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Betaxanthin (μg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Betalain (μg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Total carotenoids (μg g ⁻¹ FW)
DS25	$24.19 \pm 0.04j$	10.45 ± 0.08 j	$34.66 \pm 0.15 h$	26.23 ± 0.11 k	27.68 ± 0.15 k	$53.92 \pm 0.42 k$	562.78 ± 1.15 n
DS26	$50.86 \pm 0.08a$	$23.49 \pm 0.08c$	$74.37 \pm 0.13a$	48.67 ± 0.14 b	49.59 ± 0.16 b	98.28 ± 0.15 b	761.41 ± 0.431
DS27	$25.59 \pm 0.08h$	8.45 ± 0.08 k	$34.06 \pm 0.16i$	25.17 ± 0.15 l	$24.89 \pm 0.24l$	50.07 ± 0.18 l	$1451.89 \pm 1.25 f$
DS28	17.89 ± 0.09 o	7.61 ± 0.081	25.52 ± 0.13 m	$30.44 \pm 0.18\mathrm{i}$	$31.42 \pm 0.21\mathrm{i}$	$61.87 \pm 0.28i$	1560.27 ± 1.29d
DS29	12.25 ± 0.04 q	6.59 ± 0.04 m	18.86 ± 0.12 o	23.66 ± 0.14 o	24.24 ± 0.17 m	$47.91 \pm 0.42n$	$1175.19 \pm 1.42j$
DS30	$42.97 \pm 0.09c$	23.98 ± 0.05 b	$66.98 \pm 0.11c$	$53.36 \pm 0.18a$	$55.24 \pm 0.15a$	$108.60 \pm 0.26a$	469.29 ± 1.580
DS31	$25.27 \pm 0.07i$	5.67 ± 0.08 n	30.98 ±0.14k	$34.65 \pm 0.34e$	$37.27 \pm 0.16d$	$71.93 \pm 0.51e$	1587.20 ± 1.29 b
DS32	13.35 ± 0.06 p	6.62 ± 0.07 m	19.99 ± 0.21 n	$15.42 \pm 0.16q$	17.27 ± 0.19 o	32.70 ± 0.62 p	$1567.93 \pm 1.25c$
DS33	$34.61 \pm 0.02e$	$18.64 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{f}$	$53.27 \pm 0.13d$	$33.50 \pm 0.34g$	$32.57 \pm 0.17 h$	66.09 ± 0.26h	$1458.13 \pm 1.82e$
DS34	43.57 ± 0.07 b	$27.38 \pm 0.03a$	$70.97 \pm 0.12b$	$34.19 \pm 0.19 f$	$34.82 \pm 0.24 \mathrm{f}$	$69.02 \pm 0.31 f$	755.01 ± 1.52 m
DS35	20.87 ± 0.08 n	5.87 ± 0.06 n	26.77 ± 0.13 l	17.59 ± 0.28 p	17.60 ± 0.28 n	35.20 ± 0.280	$1675.38 \pm 1.29a$
DS36	$29.60 \pm 0.05 f$	$17.23 \pm 0.07g$	$46.87 \pm 0.14 \mathrm{f}$	$33.25 \pm 0.24 h$	$33.55 \pm 0.24g$	66.81 ± 0.42 g	$1342.62 \pm 1.65 h$
DS37	36.28 ± 0.06d	$12.50 \pm 0.05i$	$48.80 \pm 0.18e$	35.52 ± 0.21 d	$36.76 \pm 0.16e$	$72.29 \pm 0.24 d$	$1354.02 \pm 1.62g$
DS38	$22.14 \pm 0.09l$	$21.40 \pm 0.04e$	43.55 ± 0.19 g	24.67 ± 0.42 m	24.85 ± 0.221	49.53 ± 0.24 l	$1672.97 \pm 1.22a$
DS39	29.08 ± 0.06 g	$14.38 \pm 0.08 h$	43.16 ± 0.14 g	$30.16 \pm 0.28j$	30.67 ± 0.28 j	$60.51 \pm 0.35j$	$1194.80 \pm 1.05i$
DS40	20.89 ± 0.08 m	22.52 ± 0.05 d	43.43 ± 0.18 g	$38.25 \pm 0.42c$	$37.49 \pm 0.18c$	$75.76 \pm 0.35c$	892.04 ± 1.25 k
DS41	22.56 ± 0.04 k	10.27 ± 0.06 j	$32.85 \pm 0.17j$	24.35 ± 0.16 n	24.86 ± 0.19 l	49.22 ± 0.74 m	$1672.89 \pm 1.26a$
Mean	27.76	14.30	42.06	31.12	31.81	62.92	1244.34
CV%	3.3542	1.1285	2.6532	2.6358	1.3284	3.4587	4.3265

Table 3. Mean performance for antioxidant leaf pigments in 17 stem amaranth genotypes. CV, Coefficient of variation; n = 6; **Significant at 1% level, Different letters in each columns are differed significantly by Tukey's HSD test.

that leaf pigments, vitamins, phenolics, flavonoids played a significant contribution to the antioxidant capacity of stem amaranth.

In conclusion, stem amaranth leaves were good sources of potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron, manganese, copper, zinc, chlorophylls, vitamin C, betacyanin, betaxanthin, TAC, betalain, carotenoids, betacarotene, protein, dietary fiber, TPC, carbohydrates, and TFC. It could be used as a leafy vegetable for potential sources of antioxidant leaf pigments, betacarotene, vitamin C, phenolics, minerals and proximate, flavonoids in the human diet for attaining nutritional and antioxidant sufficiency.

Methods

Experiment materials, layout, design, and cultural practices. Seventeen stem amaranth genotypes selected from 156 genotypes were sown in Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur, in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. It is consumed as a leafy vegetable in the early stage (30 days old). In the later stage (up to 4 months) only stems were eaten as vegetables in different curries which tend to be less nutritious. The experimental unit was $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$. Stem amaranth genotype was grown maintaining the distance of 20 cm between rows and 5 cm between plants. The experimental site was located in the center of the Madhupur Tract (AEZ 28), about 24°23′N 90°08′E, with a mean elevation of 8.4 msl. The site falls under the subtropical zone and has mean temperatures of 29 °C (summer) and 18 °C (winter). There was no precipitation during the cropping season. The experimental field was a high land having silty clay soil. The soil was slightly acidic (pH 6.4) and low in organic matter (0.87%), total N (0.09%) and exchangeable K (0.13 cmol/kg). The soil S content was at par with a critical level, while P and Zn contents were above the critical level (Critical levels of P, S, and Zn were 14, 14 and 0.2 mg kg⁻¹, respectively and that of K was 0.2 cmol kg⁻¹). During land preparation total compost (10 ton/ha) was applied. We applied recommended fertilizer doses, such as Urea, triple super phosphate, murate of potash and gypsum at 200, 100, 150, and 30 kg/ha, respectively. Thinning was done to maintain appropriate spacing between plants of a row. As a necessity, weeding and hoeing were done at 7 days interval to control the weeds. Proper irrigations were provided to maintain the normal growth of the crop. Leaf samples were collected 30 days after the sowing of seed.

Chemicals. Solvent: methanol, ethanol, and acetone. Reagents: dithiothreitol (DTT), HNO₃, standard compounds of pure Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-chroman-2-carboxylic acid), H₂O₂, potassium persulfate, ascorbic acid, folin-ciocalteu reagent, gallic acid, DPPH (2,2-diphenyl1-picrylhydrazyl), ABTS⁺, rutin, 2, 2-dipyridyl, sodium carbonate, aluminum chloride hexahydrate, and potassium acetate. We bought all solvents and reagents from Kanto Chemical Co. Inc. (Tokyo, Japan) and Merck (Germany).

Measurement of the composition of proximate. Ash, crude fat, moisture, crude protein contents, fiber, and gross energy were determined through AOAC method 35,36 . Crude protein was estimated through the Micro-Kjeldahl method multiplying nitrogen by 6.25 (AOAC method 976.05). To estimate carbohydrate (g $100\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}\,\mathrm{FW}$), the sum of the percentage of crude protein, ash, crude fat, and moisture was subtracted from 100.

Genotypes	Beta-carotene (μg g ⁻¹ FW)	Vitamin C (μg g ⁻¹ FW)	TPC (GAE μg g ⁻¹ DW)	TFC (RE μg g ⁻¹ DW)	TAC (DPPH) (TEAC μg g ⁻¹ DW)	TAC (ABTS ⁺) (TEAC µg g ⁻¹ DW)
DS25	426.45 ± 1.26n	$1355.46 \pm 2.44a$	123.83 ± 0.32 m	$85.34 \pm 0.24 k$	$15.25 \pm 0.12 f$	$29.50 \pm 0.05 f$
DS26	578.26 ± 1.26m	739.33 ± 2.06 g	$156.96 \pm 0.42i$	155.41 ± 0.25 b	25.24 ± 0.15 b	45.17 ± 0.11 b
DS27	$1105.62 \pm 1.17g$	862.28 ± 2.86 d	146.35 ± 0.58 j	$95.77 \pm 0.25j$	$12.78 \pm 0.13 h$	$25.89 \pm 0.05 h$
DS28	1187.28 ± 1.26e	$801.34 \pm 3.25 f$	$156.46 \pm 0.46i$	85.25 ± 0.28 k	$9.21 \pm 0.13 k$	18.21 ± 0.06 j
DS29	894.44 ± 1.85k	$616.12 \pm 2.46i$	$162.41 \pm 0.85 h$	$108.31 \pm 0.24 h$	11.25 ± 0.16 j	$21.83 \pm 0.04\mathrm{i}$
DS30	355.35 ± 1.880	$616.26 \pm 2.48i$	$195.54 \pm 0.92b$	$157.42 \pm 0.16a$	$26.56 \pm 0.11a$	49.64 ± 0.04 a
DS31	$1208.52 \pm 1.02d$	985.44 ± 2.42b	125.82 ± 0.35 l	$104.98 \pm 0.25i$	$20.11 \pm 0.15c$	$37.59 \pm 0.08c$
DS32	1207.55 ± 1.19d	$887.24 \pm 3.55c$	78.22 ± 0.35 o	65.89 ± 0.35 n	$16.28 \pm 0.17e$	$30.43 \pm 0.07e$
DS33	$1116.45 \pm 1.35 f$	431.14 ± 2.28 k	146.26 ± 0.23 j	68.02 ± 0.36 m	8.94 ± 0.211	16.71 ± 0.06 k
DS34	576.43 ± 1.22m	$616.28 \pm 2.54 \mathrm{i}$	$173.54 \pm 0.38e$	$125.71 \pm 0.42e$	$21.61 \pm 0.11c$	$40.39 \pm 0.06c$
DS35	$1289.26 \pm 2.05a$	369.47 ± 1.45 l	168.71 ± 0.52 g	143.28 ± 0.24 d	$12.47 \pm 0.14\mathrm{i}$	$23.31 \pm 0.09i$
DS36	$1013.40 \pm 1.65i$	554.43 ± 1.29 j	$184.29 \pm 0.36c$	$122.64 \pm 0.25 \mathrm{f}$	$16.82 \pm 0.20e$	$31.44 \pm 0.03e$
DS37	1037.28 ± 1.35hh	554.43 ± 1.29 j	119.45 ± 0.27 n	64.41 ± 0.48 o	$11.54 \pm 0.24j$	$21.57 \pm 0.05i$
DS38	$1271.46 \pm 1.85c$	$677.51 \pm 2.45 h$	176.22 ± 0.46 d	84.77 ± 0.16 k	14.55 ± 0.26 g	27.19 ± 0.02 g
DS39	$909.35 \pm 1.88j$	$838.84 \pm 2.56e$	$170.26 \pm 0.16 f$	$111.14 \pm 0.34g$	18.85 ± 0.16 d	35.23 ± 0.07 d
DS40	680.64 ± 1.34 l	$1355.14 \pm 1.38a$	$228.66 \pm 0.42a$	$144.55 \pm 0.36c$	$26.61 \pm 0.16a$	$51.73 \pm 0.03a$
DS41	1275.20 ± 1.39b	431.22 ± 2.56 k	$143.20 \pm 0.32 k$	76.37 ± 0.351	10.58 ± 0.18 j	19.77 ± 0.02 j
Mean	949.00	746.58	156.25	105.84	16.39	30.92
CV%	3.4853	1.3258	1.7568	0.4326	0.3254	0.3524

Table 4. Mean performance for betacarotene, vitamin C, TPC, TFC, TAC (DPPH) and TAC (ABTS⁺) of 17 stem amaranth genotypes. CV, Coefficient of variation; TAC = Total antioxidant capacity, TPC = Total polyphenol content, TFC = Total flavonoid content, n = 6; **Significant at 1% level, Different letters in each columns are differed significantly by Tukey's HSD test.

Estimation of composition of minerals. Stem amaranth leaves were dried at 70 °C for 24 hours in an oven. We ground the dried leaves finely in a mill. The method described by Jimenez-Aguilar and Grusak^{29,36} was used to estimate minerals. Concentrated HNO₃ was used to digest the samples (250 mg) overnight (room temperature). Then it was set for 2.5 h at 125 °C, followed with 30% H_2O_2 for 2 h at 125 °C. The temperature was then increased to 200 °C, and the samples were heated until they were completely dry. After cooling, the samples were resuspended in 15 mL 2% HNO₃. The following wavelengths (nm): K (404.721), Ca (219.77), Mg (294.20), Fe (262.82), Mn (257.6), Cu (327.39), and (Zn 206.19) were used to determine the concentrations through an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Ciros ICP-FCE12, Kleve, Germany). Certified mineral standard was followed to calibrate the ICP-OES daily. Results are expressed in mg and μg per gram of sample dry weight (DW).

Estimation of carotenoids and chlorophylls. Method of Sarker and Oba 36,37 was followed to estimate chlorophyll ab, chlorophyll b, total carotenoids, and chlorophyll a through extracting the fresh leaves of stem amaranth in 80% acetone. The absorbance was read at 663 nm for chlorophyll a, 646 nm for chlorophyll b, and 470 nm for total carotenoids, respectively through a spectrophotometer (Hitachi, U-1800, Tokyo, Japan). Data were expressed as mg chlorophyll per 100 g and μ g total carotenoids per g fresh weight.

Estimation of betaxanthin and betacyanin content. Method of Sarker and Oba^{36,38} was followed to estimate betacyanin and betaxanthin through extracting the leaves of stem amaranth in 80% methyl alcohol having 50 mM ascorbate. Betacyanin and betaxanthin were estimated using a spectrophotometer (Hitachi, U-1800, Tokyo, Japan) at 540 nm for betacyanin and 475 nm for betaxanthin, respectively. The results were expressed as microgram betanin equivalent per 100 gram fresh weight (FW) for betacyanin and micrograms indicaxanthin equivalent per 100 gram FW for betaxanthin.

Determination of beta-carotene. Beta-carotene content was extracted following the method of Sarker and Oba 36 . 500 mg of fresh leaf sample was ground thoroughly in a mortar and pestle with 10 ml of 80% acetone. After removing the supernatant in a volumetric flask, the extract was centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 3-4 min. The final volume was brought up to 20 ml. The absorbance was taken at 510 nm and 480 nm using a spectrophotometer (Hitachi, U-1800, Tokyo, Japan). Data were expressed as μg beta-carotene per g fresh weight.

The following formula was used to measure the beta-carotene content:

 $Beta-carotene = 7.6 \, (Abs. \ at \, 480) \, - \, 1.49 \, (Abs. \ at \, 510) \, \times \, Final \, volume/(1000 \, \times \, fresh \, weight \, of \, leaf \, taken)$

Determination of vitamin C. A spectrophotometer was used to measure ascorbate (AsA) and dehydroascorbic acid (DHA) acid from the fresh stem amaranth leaves. Dithiothreitol (DTT) was used for the pre-incubation of the sample and reduction of DHA into AsA. AsA reduced Fe_3^+ to Fe_2^+ . AsA was estimated

Traits	Chl b (mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Chl ab (mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Beta cyanin (μg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Beta xanthin (μg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Betalain (μg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	Total catonenoirds (μg g ⁻¹ FW)	Beta carotene (μg g ⁻¹ FW)	Vitamin C (μg g ⁻¹ FW)	TPC (GAE μg g ⁻¹ DW)	TFC (RE µg g ⁻¹ DW)	TAC (TEAC μg g ⁻¹ DW)	TAC (ABTS ⁺) (TEAC μg g ⁻¹ DW)
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)	0.75**	0.82**	0.76**	0.78**	0.77**	-0.56**	-0.48**	-0.02	0.75**	0.64**	0.58**	0.83**
Chlorophyll b (mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)		0.86**	0.80**	0.75**	0.72**	-0.72**	-0.67**	-0.04	0.74**	0.65**	0.63**	0.67**
Chlorophyll <i>ab</i> (mg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)			0.82**	0.74**	0.84**	-0.77**	-0.66**	-0.04	0.77**	0.46**	0.77**	0.83**
Betacyanin (μg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)				0.88**	0.89**	-0.79**	-0.69**	-0.13	0.73**	0.65**	0.71**	0.78**
Betaxanthin (μg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)					0.87**	-0.76**	-0.72**	-0.14	0.71**	0.64**	0.70**	0.78**
Betalain (μg 100 g ⁻¹ FW)						-0.87**	-0.73**	-0.16	0.72**	0.74**	0.71**	0.85**
Total catonenoirds (μg g ⁻¹ FW)							0.88**	-0.16	0.84**	0.68**	0.78**	0.95**
Betacarotene (μg g ⁻¹ FW)								-0.15	0.69*	0.74**	0.67**	0.64**
Vitamin C (μg g ⁻¹ FW)									0.68**	0.65**	0.69**	0.76**
TPC (GAE μg g ⁻¹ DW)										0.78**	0.76**	0.96**
TFC (RE μg g ⁻¹ DW)											0.84**	0.89**
TAC (DPPH) (TEAC μg g ⁻¹ DW)												0.95**

Table 5. The correlation coefficient for antioxidant leaf pigments, beta-carotene, vitamin C, TPC, TFC, TAC (DPPH) and TAC (ABTS⁺) in17 stem amaranth genotypes. Chl *a*, Chlorophyll *a*; Chl *ab*, Chlorophyl *ab*; TAC, Total antioxidant capacity; TPC, Total polyphenol content; TFC, Total flavonoid content; **Significant at 1% level.

through measuring Fe_2^+ complexes with 2, 2-dipyridyl 36,39 . Finally, the absorbance of the sample solution was read at 525 nm using a spectrophotometer (Hitachi, U-1800, Tokyo, Japan) and data were expressed as μg vitamin C per g fresh weight.

Extraction of sample for TAC, TFC, and TPC. The leaves were dried in the air in a shade for chemical analysis. 1 g of grounded dried leaves was extracted in 40 ml of 90% aqueous methanol in a tightly capped bottle (100 ml). The bottle was then placed in a shaking water bath (Thomastant T-N22S, Thomas Kagaku Co. Ltd., Japan) for 1 h. The extract was filtered for estimation of total antioxidant capacity, flavonoids, and polyphenols.

Polyphenols estimation. Method of Sarker and Oba 36,40 was followed to estimate the total phenolic conten of stem amaranth using the folin-ciocalteu reagent with gallic acid as a standard phenolic compound. Folin-ciocalteu reagent was previously diluted 1:4, reagent: distilled water. In a test tube, 1 ml of diluted folin-ciocalteu was added to $50\,\mu$ l extract solution and then mixed thoroughly for 3 min. 1 ml of Na $_2$ CO $_3$ (10%) was added to the tube and stand for 1 h in the dark. A Hitachi U1800 spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) was used to read the absorbance at 760 nm. A standard gallic acid graph was made to determine the concentration of phenolics in the extracts. The results are expressed as μg gallic acid equivalent (GAE) g^{-1} DW.

Flavonoids estimation. The AlCl $_3$ colorimetric method 26,36,41 was used to estimate the total flavonoid content of stem amaranth extract. In a test tube, 1.5 ml of methanol was added to 0.1 ml of 10% aluminum chloride, 0.1 ml of 1 M potassium acetate, 2.8 ml of distilled water and 500 µl of leaf extract for 30 min at room temperature. A Hitachi U1800 spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) was used to take the absorbance of the reaction mixture at 415 nm. TFC is expressed as µg rutin equivalent (RE) g^{-1} dry weight (DW) using rutin as the standard compound.

Assay of antioxidant capacity (TAC). Diphenyl-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical degradation method 26,36 was used to estimate the antioxidant activity. In a test tube, 1 ml of $250\,\mu\text{M}$ DPPH solution was added to $10\,\mu\text{l}$ of leaf extract solution (in triplicate) and 4 ml of distilled water and allowed to stand for 30 min in the dark. A Hitachi U1800 spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) was used to read the absorbance at $517\,\text{nm}$. Method of Sarker and Oba 26,36 was followed for ABTS+ assay. $7.4\,\text{mM}$ ABTS+ solution and $2.6\,\text{mM}$ potassium persulfate were used in the stock solutions. The two stock solutions were mixed in equal quantities and allowing them to react for $12\,\text{h}$ at room temperature in the dark for preparation of the working solution. Exactly $2850\,\mu\text{l}$ of ABTS+ solution (1 ml ABTS+ solution mixed with $60\,\text{ml}$ methanol) was mixed with $150\,\mu\text{l}$ sample of leaf extract and allowed to react for $2\,\text{h}$ in the dark. A Hitachi U1800 spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) was used to read the absorbance

against methanol at 734 nm. The percent of inhibition of DPPH and ABTS⁺ relative to the control were used to determine antioxidant activity using the following equation:

```
Antioxidant activity(%) = (Abs. blank – Abs. sample/Abs. blank) \times 100
```

where, Abs. blank is the absorbance of the control reaction [10 μ l methanol for TAC (DPPH), 150 μ l methanol for TAC (ABTS⁺) instead of leaf extract] and Abs. sample is the absorbance of the test compound. Trolox was used as the reference standard, and the results were expressed as μ g Trolox equivalent g^{-1} DW.

Statistical analysis. Mineral, chlorophylls, carotenoids, beta-carotene, vitamin C, polyphenols, flavonoids, and antioxidant activity (DPPH & ABTS $^+$) analysis were evaluated in three independent samples per replication (each sample was prepared from a combined sample of leaves from multiple plants) and nine samples per genotype. Results were expressed as mean value \pm standard deviation per genotype. Every mean represents the average of all measurements for the same genotype (Tables 1–4). ANOVA was performed using Statistix 8 software and the means were compared by Tukey's HSD test at 1% and level of probability.

Ethical statement. The lab and field experiment in this study was carried out following guidelines and recommendations of "Biosafety Guidelines of Bangladesh" published by the Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh (2005).

Data availability

Data used in this manuscript will be available to the public.

Received: 5 August 2019; Accepted: 7 February 2020;

Published online: 03 March 2020

References

- 1. Rajan, S. & Markose, B. L. Horticultural Science Series-6. In Peter, K. M. V. (Ed.), *Propagation of horticultural crops*. New Delhi, India: New India Publishing Agency. (2007).
- 2. Sarker, U., Islam, M. T., Rabbani, M. G. & Oba, S. Variability, heritability and genetic association in vegetable amaranth (*Amaranthus tricolor*). Span. J. Agric. Res. 13, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2015132-6843 (2015a).
- 3. Sarker, U., Islam, M. T., Rabbani, M. G. & Oba, S. Genotype variability in composition of antioxidant vitamins and minerals in vegetable amaranth. *Genetika*. 47, 85–96 (2015b).
- Venskutonis, P. R. & Kraujalis, P. Nutritional components of amaranth seeds and vegetables: A review on composition, properties, and uses. Comp. Review in Food Sci. Food Saf. 12, 381–412 (2013).
- 5. Sarker, U., Islam, M. T., Rabbani, M. G. & O ba, S. Genotypic variability for nutrient, antioxidant, yield and yield contributing traits in vegetable amaranth. *J. Food Agri. Environ.* 12, 168–174 (2014).
- Sarker, U., Islam, M. T., Rabbani, M. G. & Oba, S. Genetic variation and interrelationship among antioxidant, quality and agronomic traits in vegetable amaranth. *Turk. J. Agric. For.* 40, 526–535 (2016).
- 7. Sarker, U., Islam, M. T., Rabbani, M. G. & Oba, S. Genotypic diversity in vegetable amaranth for antioxidant, nutrient and agronomic traits. *Indian J. Genet. Pl. Br.* 77, 173–176 (2017).
- 8. Sarker, U., Islam, M. T., Rabbani, M. G. & Oba, S. Variability in total antioxidant capacity, antioxidant leaf pigments and foliage yield of vegetable amaranth. *J. Integrative Agric.* 17, 1145–1153 (2018a).
- 9. Sarker, U., Islam, M. T., Rabbani, M. G. & Oba, S. Antioxidant leaf pigments and variability in vegetable amaranth. *Genetika*. **50**, 209–220 (2018b).
- Sarker, U., Islam, M. T., Rabbani, M. G. & Oba, S. Phenotypic divergence in vegetable amaranth for total antioxidant capacity, antioxidant profile, dietary fiber, nutritional and agronomic traits. Acta Agric. Scand. Section B- Soil Plant Sci. 68, 67–76 (2018c).
- FAO, IFAD, & WFP. The state of food security in the world, 2015. Meeting the 2015 International Hunger Targets: Taking Stock of Uneven Progress Retrieved January 3, 2019, from http://www.fao.org/3/a-i4646e.pdf (2015).
- 12. Von Grebmer, K. et al. 2014 Global Hunger Index: The Challenge of Hidden Hunger. Welthungerhilfe, International Food Policy Research Institute, and Concern Worldwide, Bonn, Washington, D.C., and Dublin (2014).
- Afari-Sefa, V., Tenkouano, A., Ojiewo, C. O., Keatinge, J. D. H. & Hughes, J. D. A. Vegetable breeding in Africa: constraints, complexity, and contributions toward achieving food and nutritional security. Food Security. 4, 115–127 (2011).
- 14. Grosso, G. et al. Effects of vitamin C on health: a review of evidence. Frontier Biosci. 18, 1017–1029 (2013).
- 15. Isabelle, M. et al. Antioxidant activity and profiles of common fruits in Singapore. Food Chem. 123, 77-84 (2010).
- 16. Randhawa, M. A., Khan, A. A., Javed, M. S., & Sajid, M. W. Green leafy vegetables: a health-promoting source. In Watson, R. R. (Ed.), Handbook of Fertility (pp. 205–220). San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press (2015).
- Repo-Carrasco-Valencia, R., Hellstrom, J. K., Pihlava, J. M. & Mattila, P. H. Flavonoids and other phenolic compounds in Andean indigenous grains: Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa), kaniwa (Chenopodium pallidicaule) and kiwicha (Amaranthus caudatus). Food Chem. 120, 128–133 (2010).
- 18. Dusgupta, N. & De, B. Antioxidant activity of some leafy vegetables of India: A comparative study. Food Chem. 101, 471-474 (2007).
- 19. Steffensen, S. K. et al. Variations in the polyphenol content of seeds of field grown Amaranthus genotypes. Food Chem. 129, 131–138 (2011).
- Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Catalase, superoxide dismutase, and ascorbate-glutathione cycle enzymes confer drought tolerance of Amaranthus tricolor. Sci. Rep. 8, 16496, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34944-0 (2018d).
- Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Drought stress enhances nutritional and bioactive compounds, phenolic acids and antioxidant capacity of Amaranthus leafy vegetable. BMC Plant Biol. 18, 258, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1484-1 (2018e).
- 22. Sarker, U., Islam, M. T. & Oba, S. Salinity stress accelerates nutrients, dietary fiber, minerals, phytochemicals and antioxidant activity in *Amaranthus tricolor* leaves. *PLOS One*. 1–18 (2018f). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206388.
- 23. Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Augmentation of leaf color parameters, pigments, vitamins, phenolic acids, flavonoids and antioxidant activity in selected *Amaranthus tricolor* under salinity stress. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 12349, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30897-6 (2018g).
- Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Salinity stress enhances color parameters, bioactive leaf pigments, vitamins, polyphenols, flavonoids and antioxidant activity in selected *Amaranthus* leafy vegetables. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 99, 2275–2284, https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9423 (2019a).
- 25. Li, H. et al. Characterization of phenolics, betacyanins and antioxidant activities of the seed, leaf, sprout, flower and stalk extracts of three Amaranthus species. J. Food Compos. Anal. 37, 75–81 (2015).

- 26. Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Response of nutrients, minerals, antioxidant leaf pigments, vitamins, polyphenol, flavonoid and antioxidant activity in selected vegetable amaranth under four soil water content. *Food Chem.* 252, 72–83 (2018h).
- 27. Sun, H., Mu, T., Xi, L., Zhang, M. & Chen, J. Sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) leaves as nutritional and functional foods. *Food Chem.* **156**, 380–389 (2014).
- 28. Chakrabarty, T., Sarker, U., Hasan, M. & Rahman, M. M. Variability in mineral compositions, yield, and yield contributing traits of stem amaranth (*Amaranthus lividus*). *Genetika*. **50**, 995–1010 (2018).
- 29. Jimenez-Aguilar, D. M. & Grusak, M. A. Minerals, vitamin C, phenolics, flavonoids and antioxidant activity of *Amaranthus* leafy vegetables. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **58**, 33–39 (2017).
- 30. Madruga, M. S. & Camara, F. S. The chemical composition of "Multimistura" as a food supplement. Food Chem. 68, 41-44 (2000).
- 31. Shahidi, F., Chavan, U. D., Bal, A. K. & McKenzie, D. B. Chemical composition of beach pea (*Lathyrus maritimus* L.) plant parts. Food Chem. 64, 39–44 (1999).
- 32. Khanam, U. K. S. & Oba, S. Bioactive substances in leaves of two amaranth species, *Amaranthus lividus* and *A. hypochondriacus*. *Canadian J. Plant Sci.* **93**, 47–58 (2013).
- 33. Khanam, U. K. S., Oba, S., Yanase, E. & Murakami, Y. Phenolic acids, flavonoids, and total antioxidant capacity of selected leafy vegetables. *J. Functional Foods.* 4, 979–987 (2012).
- 34. Shukla, S. et al. Mineral profile and variability in vegetable amaranth (Amaranthus tricolor). Plant Foods Hum. Nutri. 61, 23–28 (2006)
- 35. AOAC (Association of Analytical Chemists). Official methods of analysis (17th ed.). Gaithersburg, MD, USA: AOAC International
- 36. Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Protein, dietary fiber, minerals, antioxidant pigments and phytochemicals, and antioxidant activity in selected red morph *Amaranthus* leafy vegetable. *PLOS One.*, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222517 (2019b).
- 37. Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Drought stress effects on growth, ROS markers, compatible solutes, phenolics, flavonoids and antioxidant activity in *Amaranthus tricolor*. *Appl. Biochem. Biotech.* **186**, 999–1016, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-018-2784-5 (2018i).
- 38. Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Antioxidant constituents of three selected red and green color *Amaranthus* leafy vegetable. *Sci. Rep.*, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52033-8 (2019c).
- 39. Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Nutraceuticals, antioxidant pigments, and phytochemicals in the leaves of *Amaranthus spinosus* and *Amaranthus viridis* weedy species. *Sci. Rep.* (2019d). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50977-5.
- 40. Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Nutritional and antioxidant components and antioxidant capacity in green morph *Amaranthus* leafy vegetable. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 1336 (2020a). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57687-3.
- 41. Sarker, U. & Oba, S. Nutrients, minerals, pigments, phytochemical, and radical scavenging activity in *Amaranthus blitum* leafy vegetable. Sci. Rep. (2020c). (accepted). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59848-w.

Author contributions

U.S. initiated the research work and conceived the study; U.S. performed the experiments; biochemical analysis and statistical analysis; U.S. M.A.D. drafted, edited, interpreted data and prepared the manuscript; S.O. edited the manuscript, provided valuable suggestions during the experiment and also provided valuable support and guidance preparing the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to U.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020