HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Twin Res Hum Genet. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 March 05.

Published in final edited form as:

Twin Res Hum Genet. 2019 December ; 22(6): 809–816. doi:10.1017/thg.2019.76.

IGEMS: The Consortium on Interplay of Genes and Environment across Multiple Studies-- An Update

Nancy L. Pedersen,
Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, SE 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden

Margaret Gatz,
Center for Economic and Social Research, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-3332, USA

Brian K. Finch,
Center for Economic and Social Research, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-3332, USA

Deborah Finkel,
Department of Psychology, Indiana University Southeast, New Albany, IN 47150, USA

David A. Butler,
Office of Military and Veterans Health, Health and Medicine Division, The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Washington, DC 20001, USA

Anna Dahl Aslan,
Institute of Gerontology and Aging Research Network – Jönköping (ARN-J), School of Health and Welfare, Jönköping University, SE 551 11 Jönköping, Sweden

Carol E. Franz,
University of California San Diego, Department of Psychiatry, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Jaakko Kaprio,
Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, & Institute for Molecular Medicine FIMM, HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland

Susan Lapham,
Research and Evaluation, American Institutes for Research, Washington DC 20007, USA

Matt McGue,
Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis Minnesota, Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Biodemography, University of Southern Denmark, Odense Denmark

Miriam A. Mosing,
Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, SE 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden

Corresponding Author: Nancy L. Pedersen, telephone: +46 8 5248 7418, nancy.pedersen@ki.se.

Jenae Neiderhiser,
Department of Psychology, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

Marianne Nygaard,
The Danish Twin Registry, University of Southern Denmark, 5000 Odense C, Denmark

Matthew Panizzon,
University of California San Diego, Department of Psychiatry, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Carol A. Prescott,
Department of Psychology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-1061, USA

Chandra A. Reynolds,
Department of Psychology, University of California - Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

Perminder Sachdev,
Centre for Healthy Brain Ageing (CHeBA), University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia

Keith E. Whitfield,
Department of Psychology, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, USA

IGEMS consortium

Abstract

The Interplay of Genes and Environment across Multiple Studies (IGEMS) is a consortium of 18 twin studies from 5 different countries (Sweden, Denmark, Finland, United States, and Australia) established to explore the nature of gene-environment interplay in functioning across the adult lifespan. Fifteen of the studies are longitudinal, with follow-up as long as 59 years after baseline. The combined data from over 76,000 participants aged 14–103 at intake (including over 10,000 monozygotic and over 17,000 dizygotic twin pairs) support two primary research emphases: (1) investigation of models of GE interplay of early life adversity, and social factors at micro and macro environmental levels and with diverse outcomes including mortality, physical functioning, and psychological functioning, and (2) improved understanding of risk and protective factors for dementia by incorporating unmeasured and measured genetic factors with a wide range of exposures measured in young adulthood, midlife and later life.

Although the association between social context and late-life health and functioning is well established (Cacioppo et al., 2002; Cohen, 2004), the mechanisms for these associations or how social context relates to the biological and genetic factors known to contribute to later life functioning has yet to be fully understood. The advantages of twin studies are the strengthening of causal inference through co-twin control methods (McGue, Osler, & Christensen, 2010), the use of biometric models to quantify genetic and environmental variance (Rijsdijk & Sham, 2002), studying sex effects by leveraging data from opposite sex pairs, determining the extent to which associations between risk and outcome are driven by the same genetic or the same environmental influences, and testing whether familial factors (genetic and rearing effects) on the outcome may change as a function of the exposure (van der Sluis, Posthuma, & Dolan, 2012). As an international consortium with harmonized

measures of risk, pathway, and contextual factors, assessed longitudinally on a large number of twins, IGEMS is particularly well suited for investigating the contribution of GE interplay to functioning in multiple domains across adulthood.

The IGEMS consortium includes more than 76,000 twins from 18 studies representing 5 countries (Sweden, Denmark, Finland, United States, and Australia). The sample spans a wide age range (15 to 103 years at intake) and has sufficient power to address issues that typically elude most studies. IGEMS also includes a set of well-characterized longitudinal phenotypes, including measures of physical health, cognitive health, and emotional health, and measures of multiple facets of adult SES (e.g., occupation, education, financial strain), as well as rearing SES that are harmonized over time and across studies. The twin structure of the dataset permits using established twin methods to test key hypotheses on the nature of GE interplay, while the dense genotyping of a large subset of IGEMS participants allows us to confirm and extend these twin analyses through analyses of polygenic risk scores (PRS) for health outcomes and for education. Importantly, IGEMS cohorts span multiple countries and historical periods, allowing us to determine whether models of GE interplay established at the micro (i.e., individual) level also apply at the macro (i.e., country and historical period) level.

There are two substantive emphases in the IGEMS consortium: First, socio-economic conditions are a major social determinant of health (Freedman, Martin, Schoeni, & Cornman, 2008; Mensah, Mokdad, Ford, Greenlund, & Croft, 2005; Mirowsky & Ross, 2003; Sattler, Toro, Schonknecht, & Schroder, 2012; Sharp & Gatz, 2011). The oft-cited “gradient” for socio-economic status (SES) represents the association between health and SES as continuous and monotonic and not fully explained by poorer health among those who are impoverished (Adler et al., 1994). Most research focuses on individual-level socio-economic status—social status that accrues to occupational classification, education, and income as well as access to social, human- and income- capital. Others consider the macro-economic environment, such as the extent of social inequality in a country (Kawachi, Levine, Miller, Lasch, & Amick, 1994; Lynch et al., 2004; Lynch, Smith, Kaplan, & S., 2000). IGEMS is unusual in integrating individual- and country-level contributors to health gradients. Further, although both genetic and environmental factors are known to contribute to the SES-health gradient (Lahey, D'Onofrio, & Waldman, 2009; McGue et al., 2010; Rutter, 2009), the mechanisms by which these factors combine to influence health outcomes (Gene x Environment interplay) are poorly understood.

Recent research has identified alternative models of GE interplay important to understanding health and disease (Boardman, Daw, & Freese, 2013; Reiss, Leve, & Neiderhiser, 2013; Shanahan & Boardman, 2009; Shanahan & Hofer, 2005). Although these models recognize that individuals inherit differential sensitivity to the environment, they differ in their environmental focus (disease-triggering effects of toxic environments vs. health-promoting benefits of favorable environments) and the expected genetic contribution to disease (maximized in adverse environments, in favorable environments, or at both extremes). The differences between models of GE interplay have implications beyond resolving an academic dispute. Environmental improvements would be expected to reduce or eliminate genetically based health disparities under some models (e.g., diathesis-stress) but expand

them under others (e.g., social distinction) or have a mixed impact (e.g., differential susceptibility (Boardman et al., 2013; Reiss et al., 2013; Shanahan & Boardman, 2009; Shanahan & Hofer, 2005). Understanding whether socially enriched environments compensate for genetic vulnerability or whether they preferentially promote good health among genetically selected individuals, for example, is essential for both translating research into effective prevention strategies and for anticipating consequences of social policies.

A second substantive emphasis of the IGEMS consortium is cognitive functioning in adulthood. In particular, Alzheimer's disease and related dementias (ADRD), along with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), present a major public health challenge due to the large numbers of people affected and lack of a clear path to prevention or cure (Katzman, 2004; Livingston, Sommerlad, & Orgeta, 2017; Wang, MacDonald, Dekhtyar, & Fratiglioni, 2017). While it is generally recognized that Alzheimer's disease (except for rare dominantly inherited forms) is caused by multiple genetic and environmental factors, it remains unclear how these factors contribute to the disease, whether they function independently or through interactions with each other (Gatz, Mortimer, & Fratiglioni, 2007), the ages at which these factors have the greatest impact (Wang et al., 2017), and if these factors affect men and women equally (Mielke, Vemuri, & Rocca, 2014). Moreover, it remains to be determined whether many of these factors represent modifiable targets appropriate for intervention, or actually reflect pre-existing genetic vulnerability to ADRD and its risk factors.

IGEMS STUDIES

From an original consortium of 8 twin studies (Pedersen et al., 2013), IGEMS has expanded to include 18 studies from 5 countries, representing the strongest available longitudinal twin studies of adulthood and aging in the world. The total sample size is now 76,233 including both members of 10,266 monozygotic (MZ) pairs and 17,288 dizygotic (DZ) pairs, of which 5,063 pairs are opposite sex DZ (OSDZ). The summary below outlines the sampling principles for each study. Numbers of pairs and age ranges at intake are provided in Table 1, as well as the number of waves and length of follow-up, where appropriate. Total Ns refer to individuals and include members of incomplete pairs. Note that updates for several of the studies are included in this issue.

Sweden.

Swedish studies are drawn from the population-based Swedish Twin Registry. The Swedish Adoption/Twin Study of Aging (SATSA) began in 1984 (Finkel & Pedersen, 2004). The base population comprises all pairs of twins from the registry who indicated that they had been separated before the age of 11 and reared apart, and a sample of twins reared together matched on the basis of gender, date and county of birth. The OCTO-Twin Study (Origins of Variance in the Old-Old) included twin pairs who were over the age of 80 at baseline in 1991 (McClearn et al., 1997). Aging in Women and Men: A Longitudinal Study of Gender Differences in Health Behaviour and Health among Elderly (GENDER) is a study of opposite-sex twin pairs born between 1906 and 1925 (Gold, Malmberg, McClearn, Pedersen, & Berg, 2002). The Twin and Offspring Study in Sweden (TOSS) includes pairs of same-sex twins and their offspring (Neiderhiser & Lichtenstein, 2008). The Study of

Dementia in Swedish Twins (HARMONY) was conducted between 1998 and 2004. HARMONY screened all twins age 65 and over in the Screening Across the Lifespan of Twins (SALT) effort (Lichtenstein et al., 2006) and clinically assessed those who screened positive or whose co-twin screened positive for cognitive impairment (Gatz, Fratiglioni, Johansson, & Berg, 2005)

Denmark.

The Longitudinal Study of Aging Danish Twins (LSADT) began in 1995 with the assessment of members of like-sex twin pairs born in Denmark prior to 1920; twins were recruited regardless of whether their cotwin was alive(Christensen, Holm, McGue, Corder, & Vaupel, 1999). The study of Middle-Aged Danish Twins (MADT) includes twins ranging in age from 46 to 68 years at the original assessment (Osler, McGue, Lund, & Christensen, 2008). The Mid-aged Danish Twin (MIDT) study includes twins representing members of the Danish Twin Registry for the birth years 1931 through 1969 not already participating in MADT.

Finland.

The older Finnish Twin Cohort (FTC) study spans 4 decades; it was initiated in 1975 by contacting all same-sex Finnish twin pairs born before 1958 with both co-twins alive in 1975 (Kaprio & Koskenvuo, 2002). FinnTwin16 (FT16) is a cohort of younger twins born between 1975 and 1979. Waves 4 and 5, when the study participants were in their 20s and 30s, are included in IGEMS (Kaprio, Pulkkinen, & Rose, 2002).

United States.

Each US study consists of an independent sample. The Minnesota Twin Study of Adult Development and Aging (MTSADA) is a population-based sample drawn from state birth records (Finkel & McGue, 1993; McGue, Hirsch, & Lykken, 1993). The Vietnam Era Twin Study of Aging (VETSA) is a community dwelling sample of male–male twin pairs, all of whom served in some branch of US military service sometime between 1965 and 1975 (Kremen, Franz, & Lyons, 2013). Midlife in the United States (MIDUS) is a national telephone/mail survey originally carried out in 1995–1996 that included specific recruitment methods for twins (South & Krueger, 2012). The Carolina African-American Twin Study of Aging (CAATSA) used public records to identify all living African-American twins in the State of North Carolina born between 1920 and 1970 (Whitfield, 2013). The Project Talent Twin and Sibling Study (PTTS) includes 4481 twins and triplets plus 522 of their siblings, drawn from Project Talent, a longitudinal study begun in 1960 with a nationally representative sample of U.S. high school students born 1942-1946 (Flanagan, 1962). Follow-up surveys were conducted in young adulthood (ages 19, 23 and 29) and then in 2014 (ages 68-72) and 2019 (aged 73-77). 1, 5, and 11 years following the year of expected high school graduation. The Project Talent Twin and Sibling Study (PTTS) tracked 96.4% of the original PT twins (Prescott et al., 2013). The National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council (NAS-NRC) Twin Registry consists of white male twin pairs born in the years 1917 to 1927, both of whom served in the armed forces, mostly during World War II (Page, 2006).

Australia.

The Australian Over 50's study (A50) is based on a questionnaire mailed between 1993 and 1995 to Australian twins age 50-95 (Hopper, 2002; Hopper, Foley, White, & Pollaers, 2013). The Older Australian Twins Study (OATS) incorporates in-person assessments every two years of twins age 65 and older in the three Eastern states of Australia: New South Wales, Victoria and Queensland (Sachdev et al., 2009).

The range in study years and intake ages across the 18 IGEMS studies results in unique coverage of cohorts and historical periods. As shown in Table 2, the IGEMS sample permits sequential comparisons of sex and SES effects across six cohorts.

IGEMS MEASURES

Measures used in IGEMS analyses include aging-relevant outcomes in three broad domains: physical health and functional ability (e.g., self-reported diseases, subjective health, body mass index, grip strength, motor function, activities of daily living), psychological well-being (e.g., depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, subjective well-being, loneliness), and cognitive health (i.e., scores on cognitive tests; dementia). Predictors and covariates include health behaviors (e.g., smoking, alcohol, physical activity, cognitively-engaging leisure activity), social resources, and indicators of socioeconomic status. Table 3 presents a list of some of the primary phenotypes assessed and the number of IGEMS studies that include each variable.

Because participating studies differed in how similar constructs were assessed, IGEMS gives emphasis to harmonization of relevant phenotypes and outcomes. Creating scores that are common across studies enables pooling data across samples, in order to increase power. Score harmonization requires overlapping item content across studies as well as across time for longitudinal hypotheses. For some measures, it was straightforward to create a common metric; e.g., BMI, lung function, and blood pressure. For harmonizing education and occupation, we have recoded all studies to the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED (UNESCO, 1997) and the International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO (Ganzeboom, De Graaf, Treiman, & De Leeuw, 1992), as an international standard. Where a common metric was not already available, overlapping item content and response formats were identified and item response theory (IRT) or factor-analytic techniques were implemented to create harmonized scores across studies. Where there were no common items across studies, IGEMS has collected separate samples that were administered the different measures used in different IGEMS studies to measure a given construct, with those results used to establish 'crosswalks' between the different scales (Gatz, Reynolds, et al., 2015).

Across the 18 IGEMS studies, there is genome-wide genotype information available from 22,765 subjects, including MZ cotwins of genotyped individuals (Table 4), which will be available for analysis with appropriate correction for clustering. In Sweden, there are also genotypes available from an additional 14,244 individuals who participated in Screening Across the Lifespan of Twins (SALT) substudies known as TwinGene and SALTY (Scanning Across the Lifespan of Twins – Young (Magnusson et al., 2013), but under age 65

when screened in SALT. Thus, the total number of individuals with genome wide genotyping available to us is 37,009. Polygenic risk scores (PRS) have or will be computed for cardiovascular disease, lipids, Type II diabetes, Alzheimer's disease, neuroticism, major depression and depressive symptoms, smoking and alcohol behaviors, well-being, and educational attainment. We will compute new PRS scores as new GWAS training sets become available.

APPROACHES

IGEMS harnesses the full analytic potential of twin designs to address issues of gene-environment interplay as well as risk and protective factors for aging related outcomes. Methods using MZ within-pair differences allow us to test for the presence of GxE without having a specific measured early environment. With a MZ within-pair approach, we established evidence of GxE for BMI, depressive symptoms, a physical illness index, several cognitive domains (Reynolds et al., 2016), and longitudinal grip strength trajectories (Petersen et al., 2016). Results also suggested that APOE may act as a 'variability gene' for symptoms of depression and spatial reasoning but not for other cognitive measures or BMI, with greater intrapair differences for non-ε4 carriers. For grip strength trajectories, a buffering effect for ε2 carriers emerged, with lower sensitivity to environments and better-maintained performance.

Co-twin control methods also provide the opportunity to strengthen causal inferences and test whether associations between early life exposures and late life outcomes are due to confounding by common familial (genetic and/or shared rearing) influences. For example, Mosing and colleagues (2016) found that, of a number of birth characteristics, low birth weight was associated with poorer self-rated health in adulthood, when evaluated with a generalized estimating equation adjusting for the twin structure. However, as these associations were attenuated in a co-twin control analyses (first in all pairs, then only in MZ pairs), there is evidence that the association is in part due to familial influences. In subsequent analyses of birth characteristics and cognitive impairment and dementia, we found evidence that low birth weight and small head circumference are risk factors for dementia. Further, head circumference was also significantly associated with age-related cognitive impairment (Mosing, Lundholm, Cnattingius, Gatz, & Pedersen, 2018). Here, within-pair analyses of identical twins suggested that the observed associations between birth characteristics and cognitive decline are likely not due to underlying familial etiology.

To quantify interplay, we have applied biometric moderation models (Purcell, 2002; van der Sluis, Dolan, Neale, & Posthuma, 2008). We have examined GxE interactions in relation to cognitive performance (Pahlen et al., 2018; Zavala et al., 2018), depression (Petkus et al., 2017), subjective health (Franz et al., 2017), and an index of physical illness (Gatz, Petkus, Franz, Kaprio, & Christensen, 2015). For most phenotypes, unique environmental variance was greater at older ages, presumably reflecting the accumulating importance of individual differences in environmental context with age. However, there was a non-uniform pattern for genetic factors over age, in combination with SES or sex moderation. In SES moderation analyses of cognition, for verbal ability and for perceptual speed (Zavala et al., 2018), genetic variance was diminished in those with higher SES, perhaps reflective of a buffering

effect on normative aging processes particularly for speed; whereas for short-term/working memory and spatial performance genetic variance was amplified with higher SES, suggesting stable experiences in enriched (high SES) environments may support genetic variation.

Because IGEMS has genome-wide genetic data, we are able to create PRS scores and incorporate these into our models of GE Interplay. In this case, the PRS scores will be entered as a moderator, together with other indicators of the environment, such as SES. The interaction between PRS and SES in regression models predicting health outcomes of interest will inform whether those at high genetic risk for a health outcome are more or less susceptible to e.g. health-promoting benefits of favorable environments.

Country-level SES-indicators are available from various online sources. These data provide historical measures of social and economic conditions from the mid-1800s to the early 2000s for each of the five IGEMS countries. Variables include: average years of education, educational inequality, gross domestic product per capita (GDP), Gini coefficient of income inequality, public social spending, and top 1% income share. As a demonstration of the use of country-level SES indicators, we examined harmonized depressive symptom scores across five countries and a wide range of birth cohorts from 1890 through 1970. We used Top 1% (share of wealth held by top 1% of residents) to index country-level inequality when participants were aged 10 (“World Inequality Database,” 2017). Controlling for age when the depressive symptom measure was completed, gender, and country-level GDP, adult depressive symptom scores were higher among those exposed to greater inequality as youths. Using a modified twin correlation model, we found greater genetic effects on depressive symptoms with exposure to greater inequality (Gatz, Finch, Beam, & Thomas, 2018).

SUMMARY

The IGEMS consortium harnesses a combination of twin designs and multiple studies representing different cohorts and contexts. The accomplishments of the consortium demonstrate the feasibility of this type of collaboration in addressing gene-environment interplay with respect to important age-related outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Members of the IGEMS Consortium include: *Karolinska Institutet*: Nancy Pedersen, Miriam Mosing, Malin Ericsson; *Jönköping University*: Anna Dahl Aslan, Ida Karlsson; *University of Southern California*: Margaret Gatz, Brian Finch, Kyla Thomas, Christopher Beam, Susan Luczak, Carol Prescott, Em Arpawong, , Catalina Zavala, Andrew Petkus; *University of Southern Denmark*: Kaare Christensen, Marianne Nygaard, Mette Wod; *University of Minnesota*: Matt McGue, Robert Krueger; *University of California, Riverside*: Chandra Reynolds, Elizabeth Munoz, Shandell Pahlen, Dianna Phillips; *Indiana University Southeast*: Deborah Finkel; *University of California, San Diego*: William Kremen, Carol Franz, Matthew Panizzon, Jeremy Elman, Daniel Gustavson; *Edinburgh University*: Wendy Johnson; *The Pennsylvania State University*: Jenae Neiderhiser; *Boston University*: Michael Lyons; *Wayne State University:* Keith Whitfield; *University of Helsinki*: Jaakko Kaprio, Elina Sillanpää, Eero Vuoksimaa; *University of New South Wales*: Perminder Sachdev, Vibeke Catts, Marie Kondo, Teresa Lee, Karen Mather, Anbu Thalamuthu, Simone Reppermund; *QIMR* Berghofer: Nicholas G. Martin; *American Institutes for Research*: Susan Lapham, Kelly Peters; *National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine*: David Butler; *Duke University*: Brenda Plassman. We thank Patricia St. Clair and Ellen Walters for their work on data management.

IGEMS is supported by the National Institutes of Health Grants No. R01 AG037985, R56 AG037985, R01 AG059329, R01 AG060470, RF1 AG058068. SATSA was supported by grants R01 AG04563, R01 AG10175, the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Successful Aging, the Swedish Council For Working Life and Social Research (FAS) (97:0147:1B, 2009-0795) and Swedish Research Council (825-2007-7460, 825-2009-6141). OCTO-Twin was supported by grant R01 AG08861. Gender was supported by the MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Successful Aging, The Axel and Margaret Ax:son Johnson's Foundation, The Swedish Council for Social Research, and the Swedish Foundation for Health Care Sciences and Allergy Research. TOSS was supported by grant R01 MH54610 from the National Institutes of Health. The Danish Twin Registry is supported by grants from The National Program for Research Infrastructure 2007 from the Danish Agency for Science and Innovation, the Velux Foundation and the US National Institute of Health (P01 AG08761). The Minnesota Twin Study of Adult Development and Aging was supported by NIA grant R01 AG06886. VETSA was supported by National Institute of Health grants NIA R01 AG018384, R01 AG018386, R01 AG022381, and R01 AG022982, and, in part, with resources of the VA San Diego Center of Excellence for Stress and Mental Health. The Cooperative Studies Program of the Office of Research & Development of the United States Department of Veterans Affairs has provided financial support for the development and maintenance of the Vietnam Era Twin (VET) Registry. Data collection and analyses in the Finnish Twin Cohort and Finntwin16 have been supported by ENGAGE – European Network for Genetic and Genomic Epidemiology, FP7-HEALTH-F4-2007, grant agreement number 201413, National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (grants AA-12502, AA-00145, and AA-09203, the Academy of Finland Center of Excellence in Complex Disease Genetics (grant numbers: 213506, 129680), and the Academy of Finland (grants 100499, 205585, 118555, 141054, 265240, 263278, 264146, 308248, and 312073). This MIDUS study was supported by the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Successful Midlife Development and by National Institute on Aging Grant AG20166. Funding for the Australian Over-50's twin study was supported by Mr. George Landers of Chania, Crete. We acknowledge the contribution of the OATS research team (https://cheba.unsw.edu.au/project/older-australian-twins-study) to this study. The OATS study has been funded by a National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) and Australian Research Council (ARC) Strategic Award Grant of the Ageing Well, Ageing Productively Program (ID No. 401162) and NHMRC Project Grants (ID 1045325 and 1085606). OATS participant recruitment was facilitated through Twins Research Australia, a national resource in part supported by a Centre for Research Excellence Grant (ID: 1079102), from the National Health and Medical Research Council. We thank the participants for their time and generosity in contributing to this research. The Carolina African American Twin Study of Aging (CAATSA) was funded by NIA grant R01 AG13662. The Project Talent Twin Study has been supported by National Institute of Health grants R01 AG043656 and R01 AG056163, and development funds from American Institutes of Research. Funding for archiving the NAS-NRC Twin Registry data was provided by NIH Grant No. R21 AG039572. The content of this manuscript is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIA/NIH, or the VA.

IGEMS data are not publicly available given the variety of data agreements and regulations governing the different studies and countries. However, many of the individual studies participating in IGEMS do have ways to access their data, and many of the datasets may be accessed through National Archive of Computerized Data on Aging (NACDA).

REFERENCES

Adler NE, Boyce WT, Chesney M, Cohen S, Folkman S, Kahn R, & Syme SL (1994). Socioeconomic status and health: The challenge of the gradient. American Psychologist, 49, 15–24. [PubMed: 8122813]

Boardman JD, Daw J, & Freese J (2013). Defining the Environment in Gene-Environment Research: Lessons from Social Epidemiology. American Journal of Public Health, 103(S1), S64–S72. [PubMed: 23927514]

Cacioppo JT, Hawkley LC, Crawford LE, Ernst JM, Burleson MH, Kowalewski RB, Berntson GG (2002). Loneliness and health: Potential mechanisms. Psychosomatic Medicine, 64, 407–417. [PubMed: 12021415]

Christensen K, Holm NV, McGue M, Corder L, & Vaupel JW (1999). A Danish population-based twin study on general health in the elderly. Journal of Aging and Health, 11(1), 49–64. [PubMed: 10848141]

Cohen S (2004). Social relationships and health. American Psychologist, 59, 676–684. [PubMed: 15554821]

Finkel D, & McGue M (1993). The origins of individual differences in memory among the elderly: a behavior genetic analysis. Psychology and Aging, 8(4), 527–537. [PubMed: 8292281]

Finkel D, & Pedersen NL (2004). Processing speed and longitudinal trajectories of change for cognitive abilities: The Swedish Adoption/Twin Study of Aging. Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition, 11, 325–345.

Flanagan JC (1962). Project Talent. Applied Psychology, 11, 3–14.

Franz C, Finkel D, Panizzon M, Spoon K, Christensen K, Gatz M, Pedersen N (2017). Facets of Subjective Health From Early Adulthood to Old Age. Journal of Aging and Health, 29(1), 149–171. doi:10.1177/0898264315625488 [PubMed: 26832851]

Freedman VA, Martin LG, Schoeni RF, & Cornman JC (2008). Declines in late-life disability: The role of early- and mid-life factors. Social Science & Medicine, 66(7), 1588–1602. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.11.037 [PubMed: 18222580]

Ganzeboom HBG, De Graaf P, Treiman DJ, & De Leeuw J (1992). A Standard International Socio-Economic Index of Occupational Status. Social Science Research, 21(1), 1–56.

Gatz M, Finch B, Beam C, & Thomas K (2018). Interplay of a country's income inequality in childhood and adult depressive symptoms. Behav Genet, 48, 472.

Gatz M, Fratiglioni L, Johansson B, & Berg S, et al. (2005). Complete ascertainment of dementia in the Swedish Twin Registry: The Harmony Study. Neurobiology of Aging, 26(4), 439–447. [PubMed: 15653172]

Gatz M, Mortimer JA, & Fratiglioni L, et al. (2007). Accounting for the relationship between low education and dementia: A twin study. Physiology & Behavior, 92, 232–237. [PubMed: 17597169]

Gatz M, Petkus AJ, Franz C, Kaprio J, & Christensen K (2015). Age moderation of individual differences in chronic medical illness burden. Paper presented at the Behavior Genetics Association, San Diego, CA.

Gatz M, Reynolds CA, Finkel D, Hahn C, Zhou Y, & Zavala C (2015). Data harmonization in aging research: Not so fast. Experimental Aging Research, 41(5), 475–495. [PubMed: 26524232]

Gold CH, Malmberg B, McClearn GE, Pedersen NL, & Berg S (2002). Gender and health: A study of older unlike-sex twins. Journals of Gerontology: Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, 57B, S168–S176.

Hopper JL (2002). The Australian Twin Registry. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 5(5), 329–336.

Hopper JL, Foley DL, White PA, & Pollaers V (2013). Australian Twin Registry: 30 Years of Progress. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 16(1), 34–42. [PubMed: 23200298]

Kaprio J, & Koskenvuo M (2002). Genetic and environmental factors in complex diseases: the older Finnish Twin Cohort. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 5, 358–365.

Kaprio J, Pulkkinen L, & Rose RJ (2002). Genetic and environmental factors in health-related behaviors: studies on Finnish twins and twin families. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 5(366-371).

Katzman R (2004). A neurologist’s view of Alzheimer’s disease and dementia. International Psychogeriatrics, 16(3), 259–273. [PubMed: 15559752]

Kawachi I, Levine S, Miller SM, Lasch K, & Amick B (1994). Income inequality and life expectancy: theory, research, and policy. Society and Health Working Paper Series, 94–2.

Kremen WS, Franz CE, & Lyons MJ (2013). VETSA: the Vietnam Era Twin Study of Aging. Twin Res Hum Genet,, 16, 399–402. [PubMed: 23110957]

Lahey BB, D'Onofrio BM, & Waldman ID (2009). Using epidemiologic methods to test hypotheses regarding causal influences on child and adolescent mental disorders. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 50(1-2), 53–62. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01980.x [PubMed: 19220589]

Lichtenstein P, Sullivan PF, Cnattingius S, Gatz M, Johansson S, Carlstrom E, Pedersen NL (2006). The Swedish Twin Registry in the third millenium: An update. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 9(6), 875–882. doi:10.1375/twin.9.6.875 [PubMed: 17254424]

Livingston G, Sommerlad A, & Orgeta V e. a. (2017). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. The Lancet, S0140-6736(17), 31363–31366.

Lynch J, Smith GD, Harper SA, Hillemeier M, Ross N, Kaplan GA, & Wolfson M (2004). Is income inequality a determinant of population health? Part 1. A systematic review. Millbank Quarterly, 82(1), 5–99.

Lynch J, Smith GD, Kaplan GA, & S. HJ (2000). Income inequality and mortality: importance to health of individual income, psychosocial environment, or material conditions. British Medical Journal, 320(7243), 1200. [PubMed: 10784551]

Magnusson PK, Almqvist C, Rahman I, Ganna A, Viktorin A, Walum H, & Larsson H (2013). The Swedish Twin Registry: establishment of a biobank and other recent developments. win Research and Human Genetics, 16(1), 317–329.

McClearn GE, Johansson B, Berg S, Pedersen NL, Ahern F, Petrill SA, & Plomin R (1997). Substantial genetic influence on cognitive abilities in twins 80 or more years old. Science, 276, 1560–1563. [PubMed: 9171059]

McGue M, Hirsch B, & Lykken DT (1993). Age and the self-perception of ability: a twin study analysis. Psychology and Aging, 8(1), 72–80. [PubMed: 8461118]

McGue M, Osler M, & Christensen K (2010). Causal inference and observational aging research: The utility of twins. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 5(5), 546–556. [PubMed: 21593989]

Mensah GA, Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Greenlund KJ, & Croft JB (2005). State of disparities in cardiovascular health in the United States. Circulation, 111(10), 1233–1241. doi:10.1161/01.cir.0000158136.76824.04 [PubMed: 15769763]

Mielke MM, Vemuri P, & Rocca WA (2014). Clinical epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: assessing sex and gender differences. Clinical Epidemiology, 6, 37–48. [PubMed: 24470773]

Mirowsky J, & Ross CE (2003). Education, social class, and health. New York, New York: Aldine deGruyter.

Mosing MA, Cnattingius S, Gatz M, Neiderhiser JM, & Pedersen NL (2016). Associations Between Fetal Growth and Self-Perceived Health Throughout Adulthood: A Co-twin Control Study. Behav Genet, 46, 457–466. [PubMed: 26725048]

Mosing MA, Lundholm C, Cnattingius S, Gatz M, & Pedersen NL (2018). Associations between birth characteristics and age-related cognitive impairment and dementia: A registry-based cohort study. PLOS Medicine, 15.

Neiderhiser JM, & Lichtenstein P (2008). The Twin and Offspring Study in Sweden: Advancing our understanding of genotype-environment interplay by studying twins and their families. Acta Psychologica Sinica, 40(10), 1116–1123.

Osler M, McGue M, Lund R, & Christensen K (2008). Marital status and twins' health and behavior: an analysis of middle-aged Danish twins. Psychosom Med, 70(4), 482–487. [PubMed: 18480194]

Page WF (2006). Update on the NAS-NRC Twin Registry. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 9(6), 985–987. doi:10.1375/183242706779462417 [PubMed: 17254441]

Pahlen S, Hamdi HR, Dahl Aslan AK, Horwitz BN, Panizzon I, Zavala C, McGue M (2018). Age-moderation of genetic and environmental contributions to cognitive functioning in mid- and late-life for specific cognitive abilities. Intelligence, 68, 70–81. [PubMed: 30573937]

Pedersen NL, Christensen K, Dahl A, Finkel D, Franz CE, Gatz M, Reynolds CA (2013). IGEMS: The Consortium on Interplay of Genes and Environment across Multiple Studies. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 16, 481–489. [PubMed: 23186995]

Petersen I, Pedersen NL, Rantanen T, Kremen WS, Johnson W, Panizzon MS, Reynolds CA (2016). GxE interaction influences trajectories of hand grip strength. Behavior Genetics, 46(1), 20–30. [PubMed: 26318288]

Petkus AJ, Beam CR, Johnson W, Kaprio J, Korhonen T, McGue M, Gatz M (2017). Gene–environment interplay in depressive symptoms: moderation by age, sex, and physical illness. Psychological Medicine, 47, 1836–1847. [PubMed: 28202098]

Prescott CA, Achorn DL, Kaiser A, Mitchell L, McArdle JJ, & Lapham SJ (2013). The Project TALENT Twin and Sibling Study. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 18, 437–448.

Purcell S (2002). Variance components models for gene-environment interaction in twin analysis. Twin Res, 5(6), 554–571. doi:10.1375/136905202762342026 [PubMed: 12573187]

Reiss D, Leve LD, & Neiderhiser J (2013). How Genes and the Social Environment Moderate Each Other. American Journal of Public Health, 103(S1), S111–S121. [PubMed: 23927504]

Reynolds CA, Gatz M, Christensen K, Kaprio J, Korhonen T, Kremen WS, Pedersen NL (2016). Gene-Environment interplay in physical, psychological, and cognitive domains in mid to late adulthood: Is APOE a variability gene? Behavior Genetics, 46(1), 4–19. [PubMed: 26538244]

Rijsdijk FV, & Sham PC (2002). Analytic approaches to twin data using structural equation models. Brief Bioinform, 3.

Rutter M (2009). Understanding and testing risk mechanisms for mental disorders. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 50(1-2), 44–52. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01976.x [PubMed: 19220588]

Sachdev PS, Lammel A, Trollor JN, Lee T, Wright MJ, Ames D, Schofield PR (2009). A comprehensive neuropsychiatric study of elderly twins: The Older Australian Twins Study. Twin Res Human Genet, 12(573-582). doi:10.1375/twin.12.6.573

Sattler C, Toro P, Schonknecht P, & Schroder J (2012). Cognitive activity, education and socioeconomic status as preventive factors for mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Psychiatry Research, 196(1), 90–95. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2011.11.012 [PubMed: 22390831]

Shanahan MJ, & Boardman JD (2009). Genetics and Behavior in the Life Course: A Promising Frontier In Giele G. H. E. a. J. Z. (Ed.), The Craft of Life Course Research (pp. 215–235). New York: Guilford.

Shanahan MJ, & Hofer SM (2005). Social Context in Gene–Environment Interactions: Retrospect and Prospect. Journals of Gerontology B, 60B(Special Issue I), 65–76.

Sharp ES, & Gatz M (2011). Relationship Between Education and Dementia An Updated Systematic Review. Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders, 25(4), 289–304. doi:10.1097/WAD.0b013e318211c83c [PubMed: 21750453]

South SC, & Krueger RF (2012). Genetic strategies for probing conscientiousness and its relationship to aging. Developmental Psychology, 50, 1362–1376. [PubMed: 23181432]

UNESCO. (1997). ISCED1997: International Standard Classification of Education. Montreal, Quebec: UNESCO Institute for Statistics.

van der Sluis S, Dolan CV, Neale MC, & Posthuma D (2008). A general test for gene-environment interaction in sib pair-based association analysis of quantitative traits. Behav Genet, 38(4), 372–389. doi:10.1007/s10519-008-9201-8 [PubMed: 18389355]

van der Sluis S, Posthuma D, & Dolan CV (2012). A note on false positives and power in G x E modelling of twin data. Behavior Genetics, 42(1), 170–186. doi:10.1007/s10519-011-9480-3 [PubMed: 21748401]

Wang H-X, MacDonald SWS, Dekhtyar S, & Fratiglioni L (2017). Association of lifelong exposure to cognitive reserve-enhancing factors with dementia risk: A community-based cohort study. PLOS Medicine, 14(3), e1002251. [PubMed: 28291786]

Whitfield KE (2013). A registry of adult African American Twins: The Carolina African American Twin Study of Aging. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 16(1), 476–480. [PubMed: 23088829]

World Inequality Database. (2017). Retrieved from https://wid.world/world-sptinc_p99p100_z/US;FR;DE;CN;ZA;GB;WO/last/eu/k/p/yearly/s/false/5.487/30/curve/false/country

Zavala C, Beam CR, Finch BK, Gatz M, Johnson W, Kremen WS, Reynolds CA (2018). Attained SES as a moderator of adult cognitive performance: Testing gene-environment interaction in various cognitive domains. Developmental Psychology, 54, 2356–2370. [PubMed: 30335430]

Table 1.

Number of twins in each study included in IGEMS, by age at intake.

Study		Age 14 - 40				Age 41 - 65				Age > 65				All Ages				Waves	
		MZ Pairs	All DZ Pairs	OS DZ Pairs	Total N	MZ Pairs	All DZ Pairs	OS DZ Pairs	Total N	MZ Pairs	All DZ Pairs	OS DZ Pairs	Total N	MZ Pairs	All DZ Pairs	OS DZ Pairs	Total N	Total #	Years Span
Swedish	SATSA	38	61		234	150	273		1074	95	184		902	283	518		2210	17	30
	OCTO									149	202		702	149	202		702	5	8
	GENDER										647	647	1294		647	647	1294	5	13
	TOSS	75	82		314	307	401		1420					382	483		1734	2	3
Danish	HARMONY					99	306	150	1094	1043	3055	1398	13424	1142	3361	1548	14518	1	NA
	LSADT									452	697	21	4731	452	697	21	4731	6	10
	MADT					607	1102	561	3919	63	109	56	389	670	1211	617	4308	2	11
	MIDT					654	1783	876	8197	62	417	202	2034	716	2200	1078	10231	1	NA
Finn	FTC					984	1693		7743	61	157		661	1045	1830		8404	4	36
	FT16	835	1798	944	5608									835	1798	944	5608	5	19
U.S.A.	MTSADA	45	27		159	234	200		956	54	61		244	333	288		1359	3	12
	VETSA					433	305		1484					433	305		1484	2	6
	MIDUS	150	213	90	763	176	274	117	947	19	44	19	131	345	531	226	1841	3	20
	CAATSA	36	61	28	198	54	102	37	377	14	15	5	102	104	178	70	677	1	NA
	PTTS									294	442	184	2253	294	442	184	2253	5	54
Aust	NAS-NRC					2441	2623		14299					2441	2623		14299	9	39
	A50					482	477	190	2303	237	236	112	1087	719	713	302	3390	2	20
	OATS					21	16	6	75	139	109	39	524	160	125	45	599	4	7
	Total	1185	2248	1064	7326	6618	9511	1930	43744	2463	5529	2069	25163	10266	17288	5063	76233		

Note: MZ = monozygotic, DZ = dizygotic, OSDZ = opposite sex dizygotic pairs. Total N refers to individuals from both complete and incomplete pairs. Some individuals may have participated in more than one study, e.g., in A50 and OATS. The totals in the bottom row count each pair or individual once.

Table 2:

Total number of individuals (% female) in each birthyear range (cohort) by age at intake.

	Birthyears							
Intake Age	**<1914**	**1915-1929**	**1930-1944**	**1945-1959**	**1960-1974**	**1975+**	**Total**	**# Cohorts**
<35				243 (59%)	583 (61%)	5643 (53%)	6469 (54%)	3
35-49		12,121 (0%)	341 (50%)	2842 (59%)	3420 (60%)		18,724 (21%)	4
50-64		2996 (15%)	5640 (59%)	14,466 (48%)	356 (55%)		23,428 (47%)	4
65-79	524 (65%)	11,516 (57%)	9145 (51%)	1974 (53%)			23,159 (55%)	4
80-94	3205 (66%)	1193 (61%)					4398 (64%)	2
95+	55 (71%)						55 (71%)	1
Total	3784 (66%)	27,796 (28%)	15,126 (54%)	19,525 (50%)	4359 (59%)	5643 (53%)	76,233 (44%)	

Table 3:

Number of the 18 IGEMS studies with key variables

Variables	Number of Studies
Socioeconomic Status	
Occupation: International Standard Classification of Occupations	18
Education: International Standard Classification of Education	18
Financial strain	12
Early life SES/Parental Education	15
Marital status	18
Physical Health	
Measured Blood Pressure	7
Measured Grip Strength	8
Measured Lung Function	8
Weight, height, Body Mass Index	18
Self-reported diseases (Cumulative Illness)	17
Vascular Risk (hypertension and diabetes)	14
Stroke and cardiovascular disease	13
Mortality	16
Measured Motor function and balance	10
ADL/IADL	7
Subjective Health	18
Cognitive Health	
Cognitive test scores	16
Young adult cognitive ability	12
Mini-Mental State Examination or Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status	13
Clinical dementia diagnoses	6
Emotional Health	
Anxiety (Symptoms)	10
Depression (Symptoms)	16
Subjective Well-Being	13
Loneliness	15
Health Behaviors	
Physical/Leisure activity	15
Alcohol, smoking	18

Table 4.

Genotype data available in participating IGEMS twin studies

Country	N Individuals*	Baseline Age	N full twin pairs MZ*	DZ	DZ OS
USA	1329	51-60	388	264	-
Sweden#	4705	38-108	601	633	582
additional twins <65 yrs.**	14244	44-65	2209	1535	1501
Denmark	1968	58-85	391	353	233
Finland	13087	30-95	1825	3810	89
Australia	1676	50-92	475	123	76
TOTAL	37009	30-108	5889	6718	2481

*including imputed MZ co-twins

#From SATSA, GENDER, HARMONY, TwinGene ≥65 yrs.

**From TwinGene < 65 yrs. and SALTY