



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Br J Psychiatry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 March 06.

Published in final edited form as:

Br J Psychiatry. 2015 February ; 206(2): 167. doi:10.1192/bjp.206.2.167.

More data on speed of remission with ECT in geriatric depression

Charles H. Kellner [Professor],

Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine, USA

Emma T. Geduldig [Clinical Research Coordinator],

Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine

Rebecca G. Knapp [Professor Emerita],

Department of Public Health Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina

Robert C. Young [Professor],

Department of Psychiatry, Weill Medical College of Cornell University

Richard D. Weiner [Professor],

Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University School of Medicine

Robert M. Greenberg [Chief],

Geriatric Psychiatry, Lutheran Medical Center

Joan Prudic,

Clinical Professor of Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute and the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University

W. Vaughn McCall [Professor and Case Distinguished Chairman],

Department of Psychiatry and Health Behavior, Georgia Regents University

Georgios Petrides [Associate Professor],

Department of Psychiatry, Hofstra North Shore–LIJ School of Medicine at Hofstra University

Mustafa M. Husain [Professor],

Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University School of Medicine

Matthew V. Rudorfer [Program Chief],

Somatic Treatments Program, National Institute of Mental Health

Sarah H. Lisanby [JP Gibbons Professor and Chair]

Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University School of Medicine, USA

We appreciate the important contribution of Spaans *et al*¹ to the evidence that electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is a rapidly acting treatment in geriatric depression. Their data are a reminder that, despite the recent excitement about other neuromodulation modalities for the treatment of depression, ECT remains a standard and vital treatment for our most seriously ill patients, particularly those in the geriatric age group. We would like to

add data about the speed of ECT remission in geriatric depression from the ongoing National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)-supported multicentre trial, Prolonging Remission in Depressed Elderly (PRIDE, [ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01028508) Identifier: NCT01028508).

Our group has just completed enrolment of 237 patients in phase 1 of a trial in which patients with unipolar depression over 60 years of age receive a course of ultra-brief pulse right unilateral ECT augmented with venlafaxine. (Phase 2 of the trial is random allocation to venlafaxine plus lithium or venlafaxine plus lithium plus flexible maintenance ECT. This phase of the trial will be completed in the next 3 months.) The cohort of 133 remitters in phase 1 required a mean of 7.3 (s.d.= 3.1) ECT sessions to reach remission, defined as a Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD-24) score of ≤ 10 on two consecutive occasions (personal communication, R. Knapp). Because ECT was administered three times a week in our study, seven treatments approximate 2.5 weeks until remission, a time comparable to that reported by Spaans *et al.*

In our previous study, comparing the efficacy of the three standard electrode placements in ECT,² the mean number of ECT sessions needed to achieve remission in patients over 60 years of age was also consistently low: bi-temporal (5.5, s.d.= 2.2, $n= 19$), bi-frontal (5.4, s.d.=2.1, $n= 11$), right unilateral brief pulse (5.1, s.d.=2.1, $n= 19$). Speed of response takes on added importance when patients are urgently ill and present with severe suicidal urges, agitation, psychosis, or malnutrition from profound depression. Because of its unsurpassed efficacy and now better-documented speed of response in geriatric depression, ECT should no longer be relegated to last place in treatment algorithms for severe depression.³ Finally, it should be noted that in both Spaans *et al* and the PRIDE study, newer techniques allow practitioners to prescribe ECT in a form that is more tolerable for patients than in the past.⁴

References

1. Spaans HP, Sienaert P, Bouckaert F, van den Berg JF, Verwijk E, Kho KH, et al. Speed of remission in elderly patients with depression: electroconvulsive therapy v. medication. *Br J Psychiatry* 2015; 206 67–71. [PubMed: 25323140]
2. Kellner CH, Knapp R, Husain MM, Rasmussen K, Sampson S, Cullum M, et al. Bifrontal, bitemporal and right unilateral electrode placement in ECT: randomised trial. *Br J Psychiatry* 2010; 196: 226–34. [PubMed: 20194546]
3. Eranti SV, McLoughlin DM. Electroconvulsive therapy – state of the art. *Br J Psychiatry* 2003; 182: 8–9. [PubMed: 12509311]
4. Prudic J Strategies to minimize cognitive side effects with ECT: aspects of ECT technique. *J ECT* 2008; 24: 46–51. [PubMed: 18379335]