

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Endocr Pract.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 March 10.

Published in final edited form as:

Endocr Pract. 2019 October; 25(10): 1077-1078. doi:10.4158/1934-2403-25.10.1077.

## Practice Patterns in the Treatment of Male Osteoporosis

## Palak Choksi, MD<sup>1</sup>, D. Reyes-Gastelum, MS<sup>1</sup>, Maria Papaleontiou, MD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology and Diabetes, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

#### To the Editor:

Osteoporosis in men has recently been recognized as a significant public health issue; yet, it remains undertreated, leaving men vulnerable to early death and disability. Current guidelines by the Endocrine Society recommend treatment for men at high risk for fracture, including those with osteopenia (T-score between -1.0 and -2.5) and a Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX) score 3% for a hip fracture or 20% for any fracture (1). However, little is known about physician practice patterns regarding osteoporosis treatment in men.

We surveyed 600 physician members of the Endocrine Society, American Academy of Family Practice and American Geriatrics Society, using the modified Dillman method of survey administration (2). In addition to providing information on type and frequency of osteoporosis medication used, respondents were asked to indicate scenarios for which they were likely to initiate treatment for osteoporosis in their male patients.

Of the 566 response-eligible physicians, 359 (63%) completed the survey. Overall, 36% of the respondents were primary care physicians, 32% were endocrinologists and 32% were geriatricians. Most respondents had > 20 years of practice experience (52%). Practice settings included private practice (51%), community-based academic affiliate (31%) and academic tertiary care center (18%). Three fourths of the respondents reported that they often to almost always prescribed bisphosphonates for treatment of osteoporosis in men (75%). Other medications used included denosumab (15%), recombinant human parathyroid hormone (2%), nasal calcitonin (1%) and raloxifene (1%). When presented with clinical scenarios, 76% of respondents reported that they would treat a male patient with a recent non-traumatic fracture and 71% a male patient on prolonged steroids. While the majority of respondents reported that they would treat a male patient aged 50 years with osteopenia and a high FRAX score (T score -1.7 at the femoral neck and FRAX score 3.2% for hip fracture). Responses were similar among specialties, except for the latter scenario. Table 1 shows that in multivariable analyses,

*Corresponding author and person to whom reprint requests should be addressed:* Maria Papaleontiou, MD, Assistant Professor of Medicine, Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology and Diabetes, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, North Campus Research Complex, 2800 Plymouth Road, Bldg 16, Rm 453S, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, Phone: (734) 764-9733, Fax: (734) 936-8944, mpapaleo@med.umich.edu.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Choksi et al.

primary care physicians and geriatricians were less likely to initiate treatment in this latter scenario compared to endocrinologists (odds ratio (OR) 0.40, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.21-0.74; OR 0.31, 95% CI 0.17-0.59, respectively). Additionally, physicians with >20 years in practice were less likely to initiate treatment for the same scenario (OR 0.36, 95% CI 0.19-0.70).

Despite current guidelines on treatment of male osteoporosis (1), we found that only onethird of physicians would treat a man with osteopenia at high risk for fractures based on their FRAX score. Data from the Rotterdam study (N=7,806) showed that only 21% of nonvertebral fractures in men 55 years occurred at a T score below -2.5 (3). Other studies have also highlighted that a high burden of subsequent fracture exists in older men with normal bone mineral density and osteopenia, which can lead to excess mortality if left untreated (4, 5). Therefore, determining treatment primarily based on T-scores may provide an incomplete evaluation of the actual fracture risk, and predispose at-risk older men to insufficient treatment. Our findings emphasize the need for continued efforts to increase awareness of osteoporosis in men, reduce undertreatment and improve patient care.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was funded by the National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Award Number K08 AG049684 to Dr. Papaleontiou. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent official views of the NIH. The authors would also like to acknowledge Brittany Gay who helped with formatting and submission of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Watts NB, Adler RA, Bilezikian JP, et al. Osteoporosis in men: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012;97:1802–1822. [PubMed: 22675062]
- 2. Dillman DA. Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method (ed Second). New York, New York: Wiley, 2007.
- Schuit SC, van der Klift M, Weel AE, et al. Fracture incidence and association with bone mineral density in elderly men and women: the Rotterdam Study. Bone. 2004;34:195–202. [PubMed: 14751578]
- 4. Bliuc D, Alarkawi D, Nguyen TV, Eisman JA, Center JR. Risk of subsequent fractures and mortality in elderly women and men with fragility fractures with and without osteoporotic bone density: the Dubbo Osteoporosis Epidemiology Study. J Bone Miner Res. 2015;30:637–646. [PubMed: 25359586]
- Pasco JA, Lane SE, Brennan SL, et al. Fracture risk among older men: osteopenia and osteoporosis defined using cut-points derived from female versus male reference data. Osteoporos Int. 2014;25:857–862. [PubMed: 24196721]

### Table 1.

Physician characteristics associated with initiation of osteoporosis treatment for a man aged 50 years-old (T score –1.7, 3.2% risk for hip fracture by FRAX score)

| Physician Characteristics     | Physicians who would Initiate Treatment |                    |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------|
|                               | N (%)                                   | OR (95% CI)        |
| Specialty                     |   |                    |
| Endocrinology                 | 63 (55)                                 | Ref                |
| Primary Care                  | 34 (27)                                 | 0.40 (0.21 – 0.74) |
| Geriatrics                    | 35 (31)                                 | 0.31 (0.17 – 0.59) |
| Practice Setting              |   |                    |
| Tertiary Academic             | 29 (46)                                 | Ref                |
| Private Practice              | 56 (32)                                 | 0.70 (0.36 – 1.37) |
| Community-Based Affiliate     | 41 (39)                                 | 0.99 (0.49 – 2.00) |
| Years in Practice             |   |                    |
| 0-10                          | 38 (57)                                 | Ref                |
| 11-20                         | 42 (41)                                 | 0.58 (0.29 – 1.16) |
| >20                           | 53 (29)                                 | 0.36 (0.19 – 0.70) |
| Percent Patients who are Male |   |                    |
| 0-25%                         | 15 (34)                                 | Ref                |
| 26-50%                        | 100 (39)                                | 1.14 (0.54 – 2.37) |
| >50%                          | 18 (35)                                 | 1.14 (0.45 – 2.90) |
| Read Guidelines               |   |                    |
| No                            | 47 (29)                                 | Ref                |
| Yes                           | 87 (45)                                 | 1.55 (0.92 – 2.62) |

Abbreviations: OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval