Prevalence and mechanisms of azole resistance in clinical isolates of *Aspergillus* section *Fumigati* species in a Canadian tertiary care centre, 2000 to 2013

Maxime Parent-Michaud¹, Philippe J. Dufresne², Eric Fournier², Benjamin Folch³, Christine Martineau², Sandrine Moreira², Nicolas Doucet³, Louis De Repentigny¹ and Simon F. Dufresne D^{1,4*}

¹Department of Microbiology, Infectious Diseases and Immunology, University of Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ²Laboratoire de santé publique du Québec, Institut national de santé publique du Québec, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada; ³INRS-Institut Armand Frappier, University of Quebec, Laval, Quebec, Canada; ⁴Division of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Department of Medicine, Maisonneuve-Rosemont Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

*Corresponding author. E-mail: sf.dufresne@umontreal.ca

Received 8 August 2019; returned 8 October 2019; revised 14 November 2019; accepted 1 December 2019

Objectives: Azole resistance among *Aspergillus fumigatus* isolates is a growing concern worldwide. Induction of mutations during azole therapy, environment-acquired mutations caused by azole fungicides and intrinsic resistance of cryptic *Fumigati* species all contribute to the burden of resistance. However, there is a lack of data in Canada on this emerging threat.

Methods: To gain insights into the magnitude and mechanisms of resistance, a 14 year collection of *Aspergillus* section *Fumigati* comprising 999 isolates from 807 patients at a Montreal hospital was screened for azole resistance, and resistance mechanisms were investigated with the combined use of genome sequencing, 3D modelling and phenotypic efflux pump assays.

Results: Overall azole resistance was low (4/807 patients; 0.5%). A single azole-resistant *A. fumigatus sensu stricto* strain, isolated from a patient with pulmonary aspergillosis, displayed efflux-pump-mediated resistance. Three patients were colonized or infected with azole-resistant cryptic *Fumigati* species (one *Aspergillus thermo-mutatus*, one *Aspergillus lentulus* and one *Aspergillus turcosus*). Evidence is presented that azole resistance is efflux-pump-mediated in the *A. turcosus* isolate, but not in the *A. lentulus* and *A. thermomutatus* isolates.

Conclusions: Azole resistance is rare in our geographic area and currently driven by cryptic *Fumigati* species. Continued surveillance of emergence of resistance is warranted.

Introduction

Aspergillus species can produce a wide spectrum of fungal diseases, including life-threatening invasive aspergillosis (IA) in the most vulnerable hosts.^{1,2} Many different species may cause aspergillosis, among which the ubiquitous species *Aspergillus fumigatus* is the leading agent.^{3–5} Antifungal triazoles are the mainstay of prophylaxis and treatment of IA.⁶ However, a growing number of cases of aspergillosis implicating azole-resistant strains are reported worldwide,⁷ raising significant public health concerns.

Azole resistance in *A. fumigatus* is associated with three distinct mechanisms. First, wild-type (WT) isolates can acquire resistance by mutating in the tissues of infected patients exposed to prolonged azole therapy.⁸ Second, azole-naive patients may be infected by isolates that have already acquired resistance in the environment. The majority of these resistant isolates, although not clonal, harbour identical mutations in the *cyp51a* gene (TR34/L98H

and TR46/Y121F/T289A), likely acquired through environmental exposure to azole fungicides.^{9,10} These mutations have now been identified worldwide, including in the USA,¹¹ but not in Canada. Finally, 'cryptic' species within the section *Fumigati* also contribute to the burden of resistance. The *Aspergillus* section *Fumigati* complex comprises >30 species.^{12,13} So-called cryptic species are phenotypically very close to *A. fumigatus* sensu stricto and are often misidentified as *A. fumigatus* by clinical laboratories.^{14,15} Several of the cryptic species have been shown to be intrinsically resistant to azole antifungals and are recognized as agents of aspergillosis.^{16,17} Yet, the true prevalence of these cryptic species and their relative contribution to azole resistance remain unclear. The molecular mechanisms that govern azole resistance in these species are also unexplored,^{18,19} except for *Aspergillus lentulus*.^{20,21}

We aimed to provide a comprehensive retrospective survey of azole resistance within the *A. fumigatus* species complex at a

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

tertiary care Canadian hospital. Specifically, we sought to define the relative contribution of intrinsically resistant cryptic species to the burden of resistance, and to establish the presence of environment-associated mutations. We screened a collection of *Aspergillus* section *Fumigati* clinical isolates collected at our centre from 2000 to 2013. We determined the prevalence and clinical significance of a culture positive for any cryptic species or resistant *A. fumigatus*. We also characterized efflux pump- and *cyp51a*mediated resistance mechanisms in resistant isolates, including previously unexplored cryptic species.

Materials and methods

Ethics

This study was approved by the hospital ethics committee (reference number 2015-598-14034) and conducted in accordance with national standards aligned with the Declaration of Helsinki. Patient consent was not deemed necessary.

Hospital description and clinical isolates

Maisonneuve-Rosemont is a 520 bed university-affiliated teaching hospital, serving patients at risk of aspergillosis including transplant recipients. From 2000 onwards, all clinical isolates routinely morphotyped as *A. fumigatus* at the hospital clinical mycology laboratory were archived by suspending colony fragments in 15% glycerol, stored at -80° C. In this retrospective study, all isolates collected from 2000 to 2013 were included.

Screening for cryptic species and azole-resistant isolates

Frozen isolates were thawed and cultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Oxoid, Netean, ON, Canada) at 37°C for 48–72 h. Upon regrowth, viable organisms were first re-identified by morphotyping and those compatible with A. fumigatus were retained for further study. Isolates were then screened for cryptic species using phenotypic characteristics (thermotolerance and sporulation rate).¹² All atypical isolates were submitted to sequence-based identification, along with a subset of typical isolates. Additional details on cryptic species screening and confirmation can be found in the Supplementary data (available at JAC Online). Confirmed cryptic species were subjected to CLSI M38-compliant antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) for MIC determination with eight antifungal agents $^{\rm 22}$ A. fumigatus sensu stricto isolates were screened for azole resistance using a modified broth microdilution (BMD) assay (Figure S1). All potentially resistant (screening-positive) isolates, as well as a subset of screening-negative isolates, underwent standard AST. Because CLSI clinical breakpoints are not available, both cryptic and A. fumigatus sensu stricto isolates displaying azole MICs above the epidemiological cut-off values (ECVs) for A. fumigatus^{23,24} were considered resistant, regardless of species. The term 'resistant' was favoured over 'non-susceptible' or 'non-WT' for simplicity and consistency across the manuscript, recognizing that it does not represent clinical resistance.

For details regarding conidia collection and genomic DNA extraction, control strains, reference sequences and accession numbers, please see the Supplementary data.

Efflux pump inhibitor assay

A 96-well microplate chequerboard assay was designed to quantify the effect of an efflux pump inhibitor on susceptibility to voriconazole of cryptic species isolates. The assay contained, each in duplicate, voriconazole concentrations ranging from 0 to 64 mg/L (2-fold dilutions) and the broad-spectrum

efflux pump inhibitor MC-207,110 (L-Phe-L-Arg- β -naphthylamide)²⁵ at concentrations of 0, 32, 64 and 128 mg/L. Inoculum, growth conditions and interpretation were the same as the CLSI BMD method. After incubation at 35°C for 48 h, MICs of voriconazole were determined for each concentration of the inhibitor.

Nile red efflux pump assay

A previously described microplate Nile red assay²⁶ was adapted to the requirements of a filamentous fungus. Detailed methodology can be found in the Supplementary data.

WGS

Three cryptic species isolates underwent WGS: one azole-resistant *Aspergillus turcosus* (HMR-AF-1038), one azole-susceptible *A. turcosus* (HMR-AF-23; randomly selected out of three) and one azole-resistant *Aspergillus thermomutatus* (HMR-AF-39; one of seven isolates from a single patient). Detailed methodology of genome sequencing and annotation of these isolates was previously reported.^{27,28} In addition, two *A. fumigatus sensu stricto* isolates were sequenced in a similar fashion: one azole-resistant isolate (HMR-AF-270) and one randomly selected susceptible isolate (HMR-AF-706).

Sequencing of A. lentulus cyp51a gene

The *cyp51a* gene of azole-resistant *A. lentulus* isolate HMR-AF-1185, which did not undergo WGS, was amplified by PCR (see Supplementary data) and sequenced using the Sanger method at the Génome Québec Innovation Centre using a 3730*xl* DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

Efflux pump and metabolic gene identification

BLAST was used to identify efflux pumps and metabolic genes implicated in antifungal azole resistance, from genomic sequences determined in this study or reported elsewhere.²⁹ Genes previously reported in *A. fumigatus* strain AF293³⁰⁻³³ or *Candida albicans* strain SC5314^{26,34-36} were used as references. Identity percentages were calculated using BLAST.

CYP51A homology modelling

Multiple sequence alignments were performed using the default settings of Clustal Omega.³⁷ 3D models of CYP51A were constructed by homology modelling using MODELLER 9.18,³⁸ following the same multiple template procedure. The *A. fumigatus* CYP51A sequence was 3D-modelled from the structural alignment performed using three homologous crystal structure templates: (i) the voriconazole-bound 14- α demethylase (CYP51B) from *A. fumigatus* (PDB 4UYM); (ii) the lanosterol-bound lanosterol 14- α demethylase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (PDB 4LXJ); and (iii) the ketoconazole-bound lanosterol 14- α demethylase from *Homo sapiens* (PDB 3LD6). These templates respectively share 65%, 51% and 38% sequence identity with CYP51A from *A. fumigatus*. From the five homology models generated, the one presenting the best DOPE score was selected and further validated using PROCHECK.³⁹ Cavity volumes for the different CYP51A models were computed using CAVER Analyst.⁴⁰

Medical chart review

Medical charts of patients with cryptic and/or resistant isolates were reviewed to collect demographic and clinical data. *Aspergillus*-associated disease was classified according to the standard definitions.¹ IA was further categorized following EORTC/MSG definitions.⁴¹

Description of isolates included in the study

From 2000 to 2013, 1182 clinical isolates were identified as presumptive A. *fumigatus* by morphotyping in the diagnostic laboratory (Figure 1). Upon regrowth, 184 were excluded because of loss of viability, misidentification, unavailability or contamination, leaving 998 presumptive A. *fumigatus* isolates. In addition, two isolates (one each of A. *lentulus* and Aspergillus hiratsukae) that had been sent to a reference laboratory as part of clinical care were included, for a total of 1000 isolates. One isolate lost viability after initial thawing, leaving 999 isolates for further identification and susceptibility screening (Figure 1). These 999 isolates were obtained from 807 patients.

Prevalence of cryptic species

We first established the prevalence of cryptic species. Upon phenotypic screening, 22 of 999 isolates displayed atypical characteristics (decreased thermotolerance and/or slow sporulation). Of these, sequence-based identification revealed 8 *A. fumigatus sensu stricto*, while 14 isolates were confirmed as cryptic species: *A. lentulus* (*n*=1), *A. hiratsukae* (*n*=2), *A. turcosus* (*n*=4)⁴² and *A. thermomutatus* (*n*=7). To assess the sensitivity of our phenotypic screening, 82 randomly selected typical *A. fumigatus* isolates underwent sequence-based identification and all were confirmed



Figure 1. Identification and susceptibility testing algorithm of 1184 *Aspergillus* section *Fumigati* isolates. Numbers in parentheses represent isolates susceptible (S) or resistant (R) to any triazole (itraconazole, posaconazole or voriconazole). ^{*a*}All seven *A. thermomutatus* isolates were collected from a single patient.

as *A. fumigatus sensu stricto*. All *A. thermomutatus* isolates were collected from a single patient, while all other cryptic isolates were recovered from separate patients. Overall, the per-sample and per-patient prevalence of cryptic species in this collection of *Aspergillus* section *Fumigati* isolates were 1.4% (14/999) and 0.99% (8/807), respectively.

Antifungal susceptibility

We then screened the entire collection for azole resistance. Isolates deemed to be A. fumigatus sensu stricto (n = 985) were submitted to microplate antifungal susceptibility screening (Figure S1), which revealed 12 potentially resistant isolates (itraconazole, n=3; voriconazole, n=9), all of which were further tested using the CLSI BMD method. Of these, only one A. fumigatus isolate (HMR-AF-270) was confirmed as resistant, displaying mildly elevated MICs of itraconazole, posaconazole and voriconazole (Table 1 and Table S1). CLSI BMD testing was also performed on 32 randomly selected resistance-screening-negative A. fumigatus sensu stricto isolates and all displayed WT MICs for triazoles. This confirmed that the screening method reliably detected azole resistance. Finally, antifungal susceptibility testing (CLSI BMD) of cryptic Aspergillus section Fumigati isolates (n = 14) showed that all seven A. thermomutatus isolates were resistant to itraconazole, posaconazole and voriconazole, one A. turcosus isolate was resistant to itraconazole and voriconazole and the single A. lentulus isolate was resistant to both itraconazole and voriconazole (Table 1). The resistance level was also low in the cryptic isolates. Susceptibility testing results for other antifungal agents are provided in Table S1. Overall, 1.0% (10/999) of all the Aspergillus section Fumigati isolates were azole resistant. Per-patient prevalence of resistance was 0.5% (4/807), including one patient with A. fumigatus sensu stricto and three patients with cryptic species.

Clinical findings

Clinical significance of resistant/cryptic isolates was assessed by chart review (Table 1). Three patients had an *Aspergillus*-associated clinical condition: one patient had nasal sinus aspergillosis with nasal septum abscess (without evidence of necrosis or extension across bony barriers), while two others had chronic cavitary pulmonary aspergillosis. Two patients had received antifungal therapy prior to collection of the resistant isolate. One of these (Patient 3) was the only patient infected with a resistant *A. fumigatus sensu stricto* and had been treated with itraconazole for 8 months prior to the recovery of the resistant isolate. He was experiencing clinical and radiological failure at that time. Interestingly, two prior isolates recovered from the same patient were fully susceptible, suggesting acquired resistance within the host.

Resistance mechanisms

Finally, we investigated the mechanisms of azole resistance in the resistant isolates, with a focus on the unexplored cryptic species *A. thermomutatus* and *A. turcosus*. Based on known mechanisms in *A. fumigatus, A. lentulus* and *C. albicans*,^{21,43} we specifically explored CYP51A- and efflux-pump-mediated mechanisms.

						Antifungals			MIC (mg/L) ^b
Patient	Sex/ age (years)	Comorbidities	Aspergillus-associated clinical condition ^a	Specimen	Collection date (dd/mm/yyyy)	received prior to collection date	Isolate	Identification	ITC P	SC VRC
-	M/62	CLL; COPD; community-	colonization	BAL	23/05/2000	none	HMR AF 23	A. turcosus	0.25 0	.12 0.25
2	M/52	acquired pneumonia allogeneic stem cell transplantation	non-invasive sinus aspergillosis and nasal	nasal sinus abscess	31/07/2000	FLC	HMR AF 39 ^c	A. thermomutatus	2 1	2
m	M/55	probable old TB cavity	septum abscess chronic cavitary pulmonary	expectorated	18/12/2002	ITC	HMR AF 270	A. fumigatus	2 1	2
4	F/70	lymphoma (cutaneous); COPD	aspergillosis chronic cavitary pulmonary asperaillosis	sputurn BAL	26/06/2009	none	HMR AF 832	A. hiratsukae	0.25 0	.12 1
ß	M/51	COPD; SAHS; AECB	colonization	BAL	14/10/2010	none	HMR AF 1185	A. lentulus	2	.5 2
9	M/71	congestive heart failure;	colonization	BAL	08/12/2011	none	HMR AF 1186	A. hiratsukae	0.5 0	.25 0.5
~	0Z/W	haemoptysis diahetes mellitus: COPD:	colonization	BAI	16/01/2012	none	HMR AF 1038	A, turcosus	2	2
		pulmonary Mycobacterium avium								
[∞]	M/68	disease COPD; AECB	colonization	expectorated	15/02/2012	none	HMR AF 1041	A. turcosus	0.25 0	.12 0.5
6	F/57	kidney transplantation; PCP	colonization	BAL	23/01/2013	none	HMR AF 1120	A. turcosus	0.25 0	.06 0.25
Abbrev lar lavc °Condit	iations: M, mc ige; FLC, fluco ions shown ir Ilues shown i	ale; F, female; SAHS, sleep ap nazole; ITC, itraconazole; PSG n bold are considered Asperg o bold are considered non-W	noea/hyponoea syndrome; AECE C, posaconazole; VRC, voriconazo jillus-related diseases. VT (indirciting resistance)	3, acute exacerba	tion of chronic br	onchitis; PCP, <i>P</i> r	neumocystis jirc	<i>iveci</i> i pneumonia; B	3AL, bronc	choalveo-



Figure 2. Molecular phylogenetic analysis of the CYP51A amino acid sequences of *Aspergillus* strains, using the maximum likelihood method based on the JTT matrix-based model. Reference strains are in bold, bootstrap results greater than 0.90 are on each branch and percentage identities compared with strain CM237 are indicated in parentheses.

CYP51A-mediated mechanisms

Tandem repeats were not found within the promoter of the azole-resistant HMR-AF-270 *A. fumigatus* isolate. Upon alignment of the CYP51A amino acid sequences, no mutation was identified for this azole-resistant *A. fumigatus* isolate, compared with a reference sequence (CM237) (Figure S2) and the promoter region of this isolate was also WT. The azole-susceptible *A. fumigatus* HMR-AF-706 isolate exhibited an amino acid substitution at position 9 that has been previously shown to be silent.⁴⁴ Numerous differences in CYP51A amino acid sequences were found between species (Figure S2). A phylogenetic tree of the CYP51A amino acid sequences illustrates relative conservation of the enzyme across species (Figure 2). Interestingly, differences in CYP51A amino acid sequences were found between azole-susceptible and -resistant *A. turcosus* isolates.

To clarify the significance of such differences, we undertook structural investigation of CYP51A homologues through in silico computational analyses. We constructed 3D homology models of CYP51A from WGS isolates and A. lentulus. As shown in Figure 3(a) and Tables S2 and S3, the overall CYP51A fold is highly conserved among structural homologues, exhibiting small differences primarily in unstructured regions (i.e. surface loops). The active site of CYP51A is buried, forming a hydrophobic pocket inside which the haem cofactor is located (Figure 3b). Most mutations observed in our enzyme variants are structurally localized away from the active site of CYP51A (Figure 3c-e). Consistently, the geometry and volume of the active-site cavity in both the azole-susceptible and azole-resistant CYP51A variants is highly similar (Tables S2 and S3). This indicates that resistance amongst our isolates is not the consequence of a reduction in azole recognition and stabilization resulting from a significant reshaping of the active-site cavity.

CYP51A sequence comparison between the azole-susceptible *A. turcosus* HMR-AF-23 and a WT *A. fumigatus* strain (CM237) displays 30 amino acid replacements (Figure S2). Two of these mutations (I367L and R453G) are close to the active site (Figure 3c). However, since HMR-AF-23 is azole susceptible, these amino acid replacements do not appear to alter CYP51A structure or function. CYP51A variants from *A. turcosus* strains HMR-AF-23 and HMR-AF-1038 (azole resistant) almost share the same mutational pattern, except for F61L and F478L, both only present in HMR-AF-1038. Assuming that all other CYP51A amino acid replacements between WT *A. fumigatus* and HMR-AF-23 are silent, these two remaining mutations were of high interest. However, these mutations are located outside the active-site cavity and far from the substrate channels (Figure 3d).

Azole-resistant strains HMR-AF-39 (A. *thermomutatus*) and HMR-AF-1185 (A. *lentulus*) show 36 and 31 amino acid replacements relative to the WT A. *fumigatus* strain (CM237) (Figure S2), of which 21 and 18 replacements are located in silent positions, respectively. They also each exhibit 15 and 13 amino acid replacements lying outside the range of CYP51A entry channels or the active site (Figure 3e and f).

Efflux pumps

We next explored the possible contribution of efflux pumps to azole resistance. As a first step, we probed the genomes of cryptic species, looking for orthologues of known efflux pump genes in *A. fumigatus* and *C. albicans* (Table S4). Many orthologues were found in *A. turcosus*, *A. thermomutatus* and *A. lentulus*, with some sharing high identity, including ABC transporter genes previously associated with azole resistance (e.g. *cdr1B*, *AfuMDR1-4*, *atrF*). These observations confirmed that efflux-pump-mediated resistance was a biologically plausible mechanism for our cryptic species isolates.

We devised a phenotypic assay, based on voriconazole susceptibility in the presence of the broad-spectrum efflux pump inhibitor MC-207,110 (Figure 4). At all tested inhibitor concentrations, the voriconazole MICs for the azole-susceptible A. fumigatus control strain ATCC MYA-3627 only decreased 2-fold. Conversely, the azole-resistant A. fumigatus control strain F20140, known to overexpress efflux pump genes and carrying WT cyp51a, showed a 4-fold lower MIC, at inhibitor concentrations of 32 and 64 mg/L, and a 16-fold lower MIC at a concentration of 128 mg/L. The resistant A. fumigatus isolate HMR-AF-270 displayed an 8-fold MIC reduction in the presence of the inhibitor. Azole-resistant A. turcosus strain HMR-AF-1038 also displayed a strong decrease (32-fold) in MIC at the highest inhibitor concentration. Interestingly, A. turcosus strain HMR-AF-23, despite being susceptible to voriconazole, showed an inhibitor concentration-dependent 8-fold decrease in MIC, from 0.125 to 0.016 mg/L, suggesting an effect of the inhibitor on basal efflux pump activity. In contrast, the efflux pump inhibitor had little or no effect on the MICs for A. thermomutatus HMR-AF-39 and A. lentulus HMR-AF-1185.

Since resistance and its underlying mechanisms have not been previously reported in *A. turcosus*, we sought to corroborate these findings with a second method. We designed another phenotypic assay, utilizing the fluorescent efflux pump substrate Nile red. The assay quantifies Nile red accumulation in germ tubes of tested



Figure 3. Structural homology models of the five CYP51A variants investigated in this study. (a) Structural overlay of CYP51A models from HMR-AF-270 (beige; azole-resistant *A. fumigatus* with WT CYP51A), HMR-AF-23 (blue; azole-susceptible *A. turcosus*), HMR-AF-1038 (pink; azole-resistant *A. turcosus*), HMR-AF-39 (green; azole-resistant *A. thermomutatus*) and HMR-AF-1185 (orange; azole-resistant *A. lentulus*). (b) 3D homology model of WT CYP51A from HMR-AF-270, highlighting the buried active-site cavity (purple surface). (c) 3D homology model of CYP51A from HMR-AF-23, highlighting silent amino acid replacements diverging from the WT *A. fumigatus* sequence (in red). (d–f) 3D homology models of CYP51A from HMR-AF-1185 (f, orange), highlighting amino acid replacements diverging from the WT *A. fumigatus* sequence (in red). For positional comparison, active-site cavity surface transparency is shown in all CYP51A variants.



Figure 4. Efflux pump inhibitor assay. MICs of voriconazole for *Aspergillus* strains at three concentrations of MC-207,110 efflux pump inhibitor. A second experiment produced comparable results (data not shown).

isolates as an indirect measurement of efflux pump activity. As predicted, the control azole-resistant *A. fumigatus* strain F20140, overexpressing efflux pumps, accumulated significantly less Nile red than the control azole-susceptible strain AF293 (Figure 5).

Two other control azole-resistant *A. fumigatus* strains (F17727 and F18304) with overexpression of efflux pumps produced comparable results (data not shown). Azole-resistant *A. turcosus* strain HMR-AF-1038 accumulated significantly less Nile red than



Figure 5. Accumulation of Nile red in azole-susceptible (S) and -resistant (R) Aspergillus isolates. Data represent mean \pm SEM of a single experiment. A second experiment produced comparable results (data not shown). An asterisk indicates P < 0.001 compared with the susceptible strain of the same species, Student's t-test. RFU, relative fluorescence units.

azole-susceptible *A. turcosus* strain HMR-AF-23, again consistent with enhanced efflux pump activity.

Discussion

This first (to the best of our knowledge) hospital-based survey of *Aspergillus* section *Fumigati* isolates in Canada reveals a low prevalence of azole resistance. Overall, 4 of 807 patients (0.5%) yielded resistant isolates, including only one *A. fumigatus sensu stricto* and three cryptic species (*A. thermomutatus, A. lentulus* and *A. turcosus*). The level of resistance was low in all the isolates, with MICs close to the reported ECV for *A. fumigatus*.^{23,24} Our findings suggest that resistance in the single resistant *A. fumigatus* isolate was efflux-pump mediated and that it was acquired *in vivo* during prolonged azole therapy (host-derived), although typing of serially collected isolates was not performed. In contrast to many other countries, *cyp51a* mutations associated with environment-derived resistance were not observed in these Canadian *A. fumigatus* isolates.

The percentage of cryptic Aspergillus species isolates in this study (8/807; 1%) falls within the range of previous surveys conducted in other countries (0.7%–5.4%).^{15,45–50} This finding adds to the body of evidence supporting that cryptic Aspergillus section Fumigati species share the geographic ubiquity of A. fumigatus sensu stricto, while they consistently differ by their far less frequent isolation from clinical specimens. Low prevalence in clinical samples could be a result of low environmental abundance or reduced virulence, but this remains speculative. The study of the relative pathogenicity of cryptic species has been limited to Aspergillus udagawae, which exhibited reduced virulence compared with A. fumigatus.⁵¹ With the exception of A. turcosus,⁴² the cryptic Aspergillus section Fumigati species isolated in the present survey (A. thermomutatus, A. hiratsukae and A. lentulus) had all been previously identified as agents of aspergillosis.^{15,18,19,46,48,50,52,53} This study underlines the pathogenic potential of these species by providing two additional cases of significant infections. Our recovery from respiratory samples of four A. turcosus isolates from four individual patients documents for the first time the isolation of this cryptic Asperaillus species from human clinical material.

We investigated the underlying mechanisms of azole resistance in three cryptic Aspergillus species. Our 3D model of CYP51A homologues provided important insights on possible molecular mechanisms of azole resistance. Much like other cvtochrome P450 superfamily members, we observed that the structural fold adopted by CYP51A enzymes is highly tolerant of amino acid substitutions, displaying high structural plasticity. Consequently, mutations distant from the active site are unlikely to cause significant reshaping of its buried cavity. This is in accordance with previous findings in A. fumigatus showing that the main codons involved in resistance (G54, G138 and M220) are located near the opening of a ligand access channel.⁵⁴ In the newly described A. turcosus specifically, comparison between azole-susceptible and -resistant isolates revealed CYP51A amino acid variations that did not translate into meaningful structural differences. On the other hand, two distinct phenotypic assays consistently showed the role of efflux pumps, suggesting that this mechanism is associated with azole resistance, at least in this particular isolate. Whether this finding extends to other representatives of this species remains to be determined. Quantitative efflux pump gene expression studies will be required to identify the specific genes responsible for this phenotype. In A. lentulus, the contribution of altered CYP51A structural characteristics to intrinsic azole resistance is supported by previous in silico and transformation studies.^{20,21} It has been deduced from docking studies that BC loop positioning differences observed in A. lentulus are responsible for weaker voriconazole stabilization at its putative binding site. Consistent with the report of Alcazar-Fuoli et al.,²⁰ no significant difference could be deduced from CYP51A structural comparisons between our A. lentulus isolate and A. fumigatus. Furthermore, our isolate displayed very high CYP51A sequence identity compared with the strain reported by Alcazar-Fuoli et al.,²⁰ suggesting that a similar mechanism could be at play. Importantly, the present work further emphasized the contribution of target alteration in azole resistance in A. lentulus, by demonstrating the lack of effect of the efflux pump inhibitor, a mechanism that was not investigated in previous work on A. lentulus. Finally, no contribution of efflux pumps could be demonstrated for A. thermomutatus. As with A. lentulus, this species displayed CYP51A differences located away from the active site or binding channels. Hypothetically, longrange mutations could still explain decreased voriconazole binding through a potential allosteric mechanism, similar to that observed in *A. lentulus*, especially considering the high sequence and structural identity between the two enzymes. This warrants further studies.

This work has several limitations. First, although the sensitivity and specificity of our identification and azole susceptibility screening methods were assessed, we cannot formally rule out that some cryptic and/or resistant isolates were missed. Also, the spectrum of the efflux pump inhibitor MC-207,110 is not precisely known, and hence the potential contribution of uninhibited efflux pumps to the resistance phenotype may have been overlooked. Finally, only known resistance mechanisms were investigated, based on current knowledge derived from *A. fumigatus* studies. The contribution of transcription factors relevant to *cyp51a* and/or efflux pump expression (e.g. HapE, AtrR, SbrA) was not assessed in the present work and will require further investigation.

In conclusion, overall azole resistance was rare in this large survey of *Aspergillus* section *Fumigati* clinical isolates and mainly driven by cryptic species. *A. turcosus* is now recognized as a human colonizer and this cryptic species may exhibit azole resistance, likely through efflux pump activity. Surveillance of the emergence of cryptic species is warranted and further studies are required to uncover resistance mechanisms.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Michel Laverdière for having set up the *Aspergillus* clinical isolate collection in 2000. We also thank Martine Raymond for helpful discussions on efflux pump assays and Monique Vasseur for assistance with fluorescence microscopy. We are also grateful to the platform personnel at Génome Québec Innovation Center for performing the Sanger sequencing. The information contained in this manuscript was presented in part at ASM Microbe 2016 in Boston, MA, USA (Poster FRIDAY-306).

Funding

This work was supported in part by a start-up grant from the Hôpital Maisonneuve-Rosemont Microbiology Research Fund (to S.F.D.). This work was also supported in part by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (under award number R01GM105978, to N.D.). N.D. holds a Fonds de Recherche Québec – Santé (FRQS) Research Scholar Junior 2 Career Award. M.P.-M. is the recipient of an award from the Gabriel-Marquis Scholarship Fund.

Transparency declarations

S.F.D. has received funds for advisory boards (Merck Canada Inc., Avir Pharma Inc.) and investigator-initiated research grants (bioMérieux Canada Inc.). All other authors: none to declare.

Supplementary data

Supplementary Methods, Tables S1 to S4 and Figures S1 and S2 are available as Supplementary data at JAC Online.

References

1 Hope WW, Walsh TJ, Denning DW. The invasive and saprophytic syndromes due to *Aspergillus* spp. *Med Mycol* 2005; **43**: S207–38.

2 Kosmidis C, Denning DW. The clinical spectrum of pulmonary aspergillosis. *Thorax* 2015; **70**: 270–7.

3 Latge JP. Aspergillus fumigatus and aspergillosis. Clin Microbiol Rev 1999; **12**: 310–50.

4 Kontoyiannis DP, Marr KA, Park BJ *et al.* Prospective surveillance for invasive fungal infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients, 2001-2006: overview of the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network (TRANSNET) database. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; **50**: 1091–100.

5 Steinbach WJ, Marr KA, Anaissie EJ *et al.* Clinical epidemiology of 960 patients with invasive aspergillosis from the PATH Alliance registry. *J Infect* 2012; **65**: 453–64.

6 Patterson TF, Thompson GR 3rd, Denning DW *et al*. Practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of aspergillosis: 2016 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; **63**: e1–60.

7 Hagiwara D, Watanabe A, Kamei K *et al.* Epidemiological and genomic landscape of azole resistance mechanisms in *Aspergillus* fungi. *Frontiers Microbiol* 2016; **7**: 1382.

8 Howard SJ, Cerar D, Anderson MJ *et al.* Frequency and evolution of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* associated with treatment failure. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2009; **15**: 1068–76.

9 Chowdhary A, Kathuria S, Xu J *et al*. Emergence of azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* strains due to agricultural azole use creates an increasing threat to human health. *PLoS Pathog* 2013; **9**: e1003633.

10 Snelders E, Camps SM, Karawajczyk A *et al.* Triazole fungicides can induce cross-resistance to medical triazoles in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e31801.

11 Wiederhold NP, Gil VG, Gutierrez F *et al.* First detection of TR34 L98H and TR46 Y121F T289A Cyp51 mutations in *Aspergillus fumigatus* isolates in the United States. *J Clin Microbiol* 2016; **54**: 168–71.

12 Samson RA, Hong S, Peterson SW *et al.* Polyphasic taxonomy of *Aspergillus* section *Fumigati* and its teleomorph *Neosartorya. Stud Mycol* 2007; **59**: 147–203.

13 Samson RA, Visagie CM, Houbraken J *et al*. Phylogeny, identification and nomenclature of the genus *Aspergillus*. *Stud Mycol* 2014; **78**: 141–73.

14 Balajee SA, Gribskov J, Brandt M *et al.* Mistaken identity: *Neosartorya pseudofischeri* and its anamorph masquerading as *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005; **43**: 5996–9.

15 Balajee SA, Kano R, Baddley JW *et al.* Molecular identification of *Aspergillus* species collected for the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009; **47**: 3138–41.

16 Khare R, Gupta S, Arif S *et al.* Misidentification of *Neosartorya pseudofischeri* as *Aspergillus fumigatus* in a lung transplant patient. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014; **52**: 2722–5.

17 Alcazar-Fuoli L, Mellado E, Alastruey-Izquierdo A *et al.* Aspergillus section *Fumigati:* antifungal susceptibility patterns and sequence-based identification. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; **52**: 1244–51.

18 Howard SJ. Multi-resistant aspergillosis due to cryptic species. *Mycopathologia* 2014; **178**: 435–9.

19 Lamoth F. Aspergillus fumigatus-related species in clinical practice. *Frontiers Microbiol* 2016; **7**: 683.

20 Alcazar-Fuoli L, Cuesta I, Rodriguez-Tudela JL *et al.* Three-dimensional models of 14α -sterol demethylase (Cyp51A) from *Aspergillus lentulus* and *Aspergillus fumigatus*: an insight into differences in voriconazole interaction. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2011; **38**: 426–34.

21 Mellado E, Alcazar-Fuoli L, Cuenca-Estrella M *et al.* Role of *Aspergillus lentulus* $14-\alpha$ sterol demethylase (Cyp51A) in azole drug susceptibility. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011; **55**: 5459–68.

22 CLSI. Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Filamentous Fungi—Second Edition: M38. 2008.

23 CLSI. Epidemiological Cutoff Values for Antifungal Susceptibility Testing— Second Edition: M59. 2018.

24 Espinel-Ingroff A, Diekema DJ, Fothergill A *et al.* Wild-type MIC distributions and epidemiological cutoff values for the triazoles and six *Aspergillus* spp. for the CLSI broth microdilution method (M38-A2 document). *J Clin Microbiol* 2010; **48**: 3251–7.

25 Rajendran R, Mowat E, McCulloch E *et al*. Azole resistance of *Aspergillus fumigatus* biofilms is partly associated with efflux pump activity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011; **55**: 2092–7.

26 Tsao S, Weber S, Cameron C *et al.* Positive regulation of the *Candida albicans* multidrug efflux pump Cdr1p function by phosphorylation of its N-terminal extension. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2016; **71**: 3125–34.

27 Parent-Michaud M, Dufresne PJ, Fournier É *et al.* Draft genome sequences of azole-resistant and azole-susceptible *Aspergillus turcosus* clinical isolates recovered from bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples. *Microbiol Resourc Announce* 2019; **8**: e01446-18.

28 Parent-Michaud M, Dufresne PJ, Fournier É *et al.* Draft genome sequence of azole-resistant *Aspergillus thermomutatus (Neosartorya pseudofischeri)* strain HMR-AF-39, isolated from a human nasal septum abscess aspirate. *Microbiol Res Announce* 2019; **8**: e01444-18.

29 Kusuya Y, Sakai K, Kamei K *et al*. Draft genome sequence of the pathogenic filamentous fungus *Aspergillus lentulus* IFM 54703T. *Genome Announce* 2016; **4**: e01568-15.

30 Liu M, Zeng R, Zhang L *et al.* Multiple *cyp51A*-based mechanisms identified in azole-resistant isolates of *Aspergillus fumigatus* from China. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015; **59**: 4321–5.

31 Losada L, Sugui JA, Eckhaus MA *et al.* Genetic analysis using an isogenic mating pair of *Aspergillus fumigatus* identifies azole resistance genes and lack of MAT locus's role in virulence. *PLoS Pathog* 2015; **11**: e1004834.

32 Fraczek MG, Bromley M, Buied A *et al*. The *cdr1B* efflux transporter is associated with non-*cyp51a*-mediated itraconazole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013; **68**: 1486–96.

33 Mellado E, Diaz-Guerra TM, Cuenca-Estrella M *et al.* Identification of two different $14-\alpha$ sterol demethylase-related genes (*cyp51A* and *cyp51B*) in Aspergillus fumigatus and other Aspergillus species. J Clin Microbiol 2001; **39**: 2431–8.

34 Schubert S, Barker KS, Znaidi S *et al.* Regulation of efflux pump expression and drug resistance by the transcription factors Mrr1, Upc2, and Cap1 in *Candida albicans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011; **55**: 2212–23.

35 Kanafani ZA, Perfect JR. Antimicrobial resistance: resistance to antifungal agents: mechanisms and clinical impact. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; **46**: 120–8.

36 Tsao S, Rahkhoodaee F, Raymond M. Relative contributions of the *Candida albicans* ABC transporters Cdr1p and Cdr2p to clinical azole resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; **53**: 1344–52.

37 Goujon M, McWilliam H, Li W *et al*. A new bioinformatics analysis tools framework at EMBL-EBI. *Nucl Acids Res* 2010; **38**: W695–9.

38 Sali A, Blundell TL. Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints. *J Mol Biol* 1993; **234**: 779–815.

39 Laskowski RA, Rullmann JA, MacArthur MW *et al*. AQUA and PROCHECK-NMR: programs for checking the quality of protein structures solved by NMR. *J Biomol NMR* 1996; **8**: 477–86.

40 Kozlikova B, Sebestova E, Sustr V *et al*. CAVER Analyst 1.0: graphic tool for interactive visualization and analysis of tunnels and channels in protein structures. *Bioinformatics* 2014; **30**: 2684–5.

41 De Pauw B, Walsh TJ, Donnelly JP *et al.* Revised definitions of invasive fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group (EORTC/MSG) Consensus Group. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; **46**: 1813–21.

42 Hong SB, Shin HD, Hong J et al. New taxa of *Neosartorya* and *Aspergillus* in Aspergillus section *Fumigati*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 2008; **93**: 87–98.

43 Cowen LE, Sanglard D, Howard SJ *et al*. Mechanisms of antifungal drug resistance. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2014; **5**: a019752.

44 Camps SM, van der Linden JW, Li Y et al. Rapid induction of multiple resistance mechanisms in *Aspergillus fumigatus* during azole therapy: a case study and review of the literature. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012; **56**: 10–6.

45 Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Mellado E, Pelaez T *et al.* Population-based survey of filamentous fungi and antifungal resistance in Spain (FILPOP Study). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013; **57**: 3380–7.

46 Escribano P, Pelaez T, Munoz P *et al*. Is azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* a problem in Spain? *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013; **57**: 2815–20.

47 Sabino R, Verissimo C, Parada H *et al.* Molecular screening of 246 Portuguese *Aspergillus* isolates among different clinical and environmental sources. *Med Mycol* 2014; **52**: 519–29.

48 Negri CE, Goncalves SS, Xafranski H *et al*. Cryptic and rare *Aspergillus* species in Brazil: prevalence in clinical samples and in vitro susceptibility to triazoles. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014; **52**: 3633–40.

49 Mortensen KL, Johansen HK, Fuursted K *et al*. A prospective survey of *Aspergillus* spp. in respiratory tract samples: prevalence, clinical impact and antifungal susceptibility. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2011; **30**: 1355–63.

50 Hubka V, Kubatova A, Mallatova N *et al*. Rare and new etiological agents revealed among 178 clinical *Aspergillus* strains obtained from Czech patients and characterized by molecular sequencing. *Med Mycol* 2012; **50**: 601–10.

51 Sugui JA, Vinh DC, Nardone G *et al. Neosartorya udagawae* (Aspergillus *udagawae*), an emerging agent of aspergillosis: how different is it from Aspergillus fumigatus? J Clin Microbiol 2010; **48**: 220–8.

52 Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Alcazar-Fuoli L, Cuenca-Estrella M. Antifungal susceptibility profile of cryptic species of *Aspergillus*. *Mycopathologia* 2014; **178**: 427–33.

53 Van Der Linden JW, Warris A, Verweij PE. *Aspergillus* species intrinsically resistant to antifungal agents. *Med Mycol* 2011; **49**: S82–9.

54 Snelders E, Karawajczyk A, Schaftenaar G *et al*. Azole resistance profile of amino acid changes in *Aspergillus fumigatus* CYP51A based on protein homology modeling. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; **54**: 2425–30.