

Viewpoint

Novel Digital Architecture of a “Low Carb Program” for Initiating and Maintaining Long-Term Sustainable Health-Promoting Behavior Change in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes

Charlotte Summers¹, BSc; Kristina Curtis², PhD

¹Diabetes Digital Media, Coventry, United Kingdom

²Faculty Research Centre for Advances in Behavioural Science, University of Coventry, Coventry, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author:

Charlotte Summers, BSc

Diabetes Digital Media

Technology House, Sir William Lyons Road,

University of Warwick Science Park

Coventry, CV4 7EZ

United Kingdom

Phone: 44 07969091134

Email: Charlotte@ddm.health

Abstract

Globally, the burden of noncommunicable diseases such as type 2 diabetes is crippling health care systems. Type 2 diabetes, a disease linked with obesity, affects 1 in every 30 people today and is expected to affect 1 in 10 people by 2030. Current provisions are struggling to manage the trajectory of type 2 diabetes prevalence. Offline, face-to-face education for patients with type 2 diabetes has shown to lack long-term impact or the capacity for widespread democratized adoption. Digitally delivered interventions have been developed for patients with type 2 diabetes, and the evidence shows that some interventions provide the capacity to support hyperpersonalization and real-time continuous support to patients, which can result in significant engagement and health outcomes. However, digital health app engagement is notoriously difficult to achieve. This paper reviews the digital behavior change architecture of the Low Carb Program and the application of health behavioral theory underpinning its development and use in scaling novel methods of engaging the population with type 2 diabetes and supporting long-term behavior change.

(*JMIR Diabetes* 2020;5(1):e15030) doi: [10.2196/15030](https://doi.org/10.2196/15030)

KEYWORDS

type 2 diabetes; behaviour change; nutrition; digital intervention; low carb; type 2 diabetes remission; eHealth

Background

The prevalence of both prediabetes and type 2 diabetes is increasing globally. Currently, 4 million people are diagnosed with diabetes in the United Kingdom, 90% of whom have type 2 diabetes. By 2030, nearly 10% of the UK adult population may require diabetes treatment [1]. In terms of health inequality, diabetes more commonly affects people of low (rather than high) socioeconomic status, particularly women [2,3]. By 2035, the UK National Health Service (NHS) is predicted to spend approximately £17 billion a year on treatment for diabetes and avoidable diabetes-related complications, which is approximately 17% of its entire budget [4].

Patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes are known to have difficulties adhering to their treatments (medications, diet, and lifestyle change) [5,6], and despite many recent technical

breakthroughs in health care, human behavior remains the largest source of variance in health-related outcomes [7]. Nonadherence to treatment negatively affects NHS treatment efficacy and finances [8-11]. Nonadherent patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes are more likely to have higher blood glucose levels (hyperglycemia), resulting in higher hemoglobin A_{1c} (HbA_{1c}) levels [12]. Previous prospective studies in patients with type 2 diabetes have shown an association between the degree of hyperglycemia and increased incidence and progression of microvascular complications (diabetic retinopathy, loss of vision, and nephropathy) [13,14], sensory neuropathy [13,15], myocardial infarction (heart attack) [13,16,17], stroke [18], macrovascular mortality [19-21], and all-cause mortality [20,22-25].

In the UK Prospective Diabetes Study, a 1% reduction in the average HbA_{1c} level was associated with a 21% reduced risk

of any adverse outcome related to diabetes, 21% reduced risk for deaths related to diabetes, 14% reduced risk for myocardial infarction, and 37% reduced risk for microvascular complications [26].

Research has shown that having better glycemic control is associated with a better quality of life [27]. Psychosocial factors often determine self management behaviors and the ability to adhere to treatment. Psychosocial variables (such as depression) are often strong predictors of medical outcomes such as hospitalization [28]. The American Diabetes Association released a position statement; the first recommendation stated that psychosocial care should be integrated with collaborative, patient-centered medical care and provided to all people with diabetes, with the goals of optimizing health outcomes and health-related quality of life [29].

Patients' Behavior

Patients' behavior directly contributes to their treatment success, with doctors relying on patients to take their prescribed medication alongside making and maintaining dietary and lifestyle changes. Many of the most significant challenges in health care, specifically in long-term or chronic conditions, such as type 2 diabetes, will only be resolved if we can influence behavior and support sustainable behavior change.

An analysis from a secondary care diabetes clinic in the United Kingdom found that 86% of those with type 2 diabetes are overweight or obese. Obesity is associated with significantly worse cardiovascular risk factors, suggesting that more active interventions to control weight gain would be appropriate to help address the increasing burden of obesity and type 2 diabetes on the NHS. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines established that adults with type 2 diabetes who are overweight, should be set an initial body weight loss target of 5%–10%. [30] Regardless of the interventions used to lose weight—pharmacological [31] or behavioral [32]—the weight is commonly regained [33]. Typically, half the weight lost is regained in the first year. Weight regain often continues up to 3–5 years after treatment and, on average, 80% of people return to or exceed their preintervention weight [34]. Similarly, relapse rates are high for individuals who initiate attempts to stop smoking [35,36] and those who try to reduce alcohol consumption [37]. Therefore, effective interventions that consider known factors associated not only with initial weight loss but also critically with weight loss maintenance such as building on internal motivations to lose weight, establishing social support mechanisms, identifying coping strategies, or providing support for self-efficacy and autonomy can all enhance weight loss maintenance, which is crucial for the long-term success of any weight loss interventions [38].

There is considerable evidence that health behaviors can be effectively modified through behavior change interventions [39–42]. However, there is a disproportionate number of behavior change theories in the academic literature, including both those that assess the use of interventions for health behavior initiation and those that theorize interventions essential to behavior change maintenance [43]. In addition, behavior change

theory is most frequently used to explain behavior itself rather than potential behavioral change interventions [44].

Novel Application of Behavior Change Theory

This paper introduces the Low Carb Program Health Behaviour Change platform—a digital architecture developed to initiate and maintain behavior change in patients with type 2 diabetes and other chronic metabolic health conditions.

The purpose of this paper is to explore the conceptual hypotheses and theories around which the digital architecture has been built, with the aim of contributing to current psychological literature, simulating research, and encouraging the development of new digital applications created with the intention of initiating and maintaining health-related behavior change.

The Low Carb Program is a digitally delivered, automated, structured health intervention for adults, personalized to people with type 2 diabetes, prediabetes, and obesity. User data are used to personalize the experience member's receive. The use of user data has been suggested to improve patient engagement through individualization of the participant's experience [45].

In the on boarding of the program, patients are instructed to select a health goal and input their current health status and demographics including age, gender, ethnicity, and dietary preferences—all of which are used to personalize the participant's experience of the platform.

Participants are given access to therapeutic nutrition education modules. Education is personalized to the user's health status, age, ethnicity, and dietary preferences. A new module is available each week over the course of 12 weeks. Lessons are taught through videos, written content, or podcasts of varying lengths (approximately 3–12 minutes long). The program encourages participants to make behavior changes based on "Action Points" or behavior-change goals at the end of each education module.

Participant's health goals are supported with behavior change resources that are available to download including information sheets, meal plans, and suggested food substitution ideas.

Users are matched within the platform to a digital buddy and are given access to a peer-support forum available 24 hours a day. Behavior change is maintained through continual engagement, new modules, and nudges to track health outcomes and interact with the support community.

Automated feedback and nudges are provided to users based on their use of the program through emails and native in-app push notifications, and participants are notified when the next week's module is available.

Outcomes of the Platform in the Real World

The 1-year outcomes of the Low Carb Program, which utilizes the behavior change architecture, were previously published

[46]. The 1-year outcomes for people with type 2 diabetes were reported in a single-arm longitudinal study that assessed users engagement within the platform as well as their health outcomes including weight, HbA_{1c} levels, and medication dependency.

Participants who completed the program lost an average of 7% body weight and reduced their HbA_{1c} levels by 1.2%; in addition, 40% eliminated a diabetes medication from their treatment. Further, 26% of participants completing the program were classified as being in remission from type 2 diabetes at 1 year. The platform also demonstrated a 71% retention at 1 year.

The results were collected after a year of the individual joining the platform, indicating that the behavior change wheel is also of clinical importance for maintaining positive health behaviors acquired during the initiation period.

The Low Carb Program, launched in November 2015, is available as an iOS, Android, and Web app and has been downloaded over 425,000 times. It includes digital tools for submitting self-monitoring data on a number of different variables including blood glucose levels, blood pressure, mood, sleep, food intake, activity, medication consumption, and body weight. The program is integrated with wearable and Bluetooth-enabled devices. As such, data can also be brought into the platform without requiring user input ([Multimedia Appendix 1](#) and [2](#)).

The Capability, Opportunity, Motivation, and Behavior Model of Behavior Change and Low Carb Program

Overview

The COM-B (capability, opportunity, motivation, and behavior) model was developed as a response to the inability of the majority of prevailing theories to provide strategies to change behavior and as part of a “method for characterizing interventions and linking them to an analysis of the targeted behavior” [47]. It is essentially a behavioral system that posits the interaction of three components—capability, opportunity, and motivation—which result in the performance of behavior [48]. COM-B canvases a range of mechanisms involved in behavior change and is “intended to be comprehensive, parsimonious and applicable to all behaviours” [48].

Each component can be subdivided into two heuristics: capability can be either “psychological” (involving knowledge and psychological skills) or “physical” (involving physical skills); opportunity can be either “social” (involving social influences and cultural norms) or “physical” (involving environmental resources, triggers, time, locations, and physical barriers); motivation can be either “reflective” (involving conscious planning or evaluation) or “automatic” (involving emotional responses, impulses, and reflexive responses) [47].

The following section will map each feature within the Low Carb Program to the relevant COM-B domain.

Social Opportunity

Peer Support Feature

Social opportunity refers to the people’s environment that either hinders or facilitates their behavior [49]. Social influences can be defined as “interpersonal processes that can cause individuals to change their thoughts, feelings, or behaviours” and includes constructs such as social norms, social comparisons, modelling, social support, and social pressure [50].

Social relationships are adaptive and crucial for survival. Social connections have powerful influences on health and longevity. Lacking social connection qualifies as a risk factor for premature mortality [51].

Social support has received attention as a mediator or moderator of health outcomes [52]. Social support has been facilitated in behavior change interventions in distinctive approaches in diabetes education. Researchers have examined the impact of group-based training [53,54]; peer group support that included telephone calls [55,56]; organized internet peer group forums with and without the addition of personal coach support [57,58]; and support from peers, spouse, family, and friends [59].

An empirical study of knowledge creation and social support on a diabetes online community forum concluded that being a member of the community forum had a positive impact on its members’ wellbeing and can help members manage their relationship with health care professionals. The authors concluded that members felt less emotionally burdened while managing their diabetes as a result of being a member of the community [57].

In an overview of peer support models to improve diabetes self-management and clinical outcomes, interventions that facilitate peer support are found to be a low-cost approach to encouraging dietary changes both in weight and diabetes managements [60,61]. Social networking and publicly sharing your progress on social media has been shown to be a beneficial and effective strategy for weight loss [62,63]. The Low Carb Program accommodates a dedicated peer support community forum. Patients are able to access the forum 24/7, providing users a dynamic social network that allows real-time interactions with their peers on a continuous basis. This facilitates a constant source of information, knowledge, personal anecdotes, and behavioral reinforcement from their peers worldwide. Users are encouraged to ask questions and share their goals and progress via facilitated discussions such as “Weigh in Wednesday” threads. Users of the Low Carb Program have access to the social support forum even after they have completed all the education modules. It is hypothesized that a significant proportion of the success of the Low Carb Program could be attributed to the forum, even users who do not actively post are able to “lurk,” meaning that they regularly read threads but do not necessarily comment or actively engage with the content.

Buddy System Feature

The Low Carb Program seeks to facilitate the use of a social support network in a digital setting by partnering up new members with existing users who have successfully completed the intervention, providing each user with a digital “buddy.”

Members are matched on a number of attributes, including self-selected health goals, demographics including age and ethnicity, diabetes type, and starting medication regime. Buddies facilitate observational learning in a digital setting, “communicating” with the new members via emails and in-app push notifications. The “social opportunity” element of the behavior change wheel asserts that people can witness and observe a behavior conducted by others and then reproduce those actions. If individuals see successful demonstration of a behavior, they are also likely to complete the behavior successfully.

Research on the effectiveness of a buddy system in other digital settings is somewhat contradictory, particularly when analyzing different age groups. Sylvestry et al [64] found that assigning young, healthy, and motivated volunteer partners or “buddies” to adolescents with type 2 diabetes did not result in an improvement of HbA_{1c} levels; however, this was not the case for adults with type 2 diabetes, where “buddying up” resulted in an effective improvement of HbA_{1c} levels. The latter findings were also observed by Greaney et al [65]: Individuals paired with a buddy who offered support showed greater reduction in multiple risk behaviors compared to nonpaired controls. This research suggests that engagement with individuals that share similar conditions and demographics could enhance goal attainment and result in more desirable health outcomes.

Reflective Motivation

Goal Setting Feature

Reflective motivation involves our conscious and reflective processes that motivate our behavior [47] and includes goal setting. Goals represent an individual’s goals to achieve personal self-change, enhanced meaning, and purpose in life [66]. Evidence suggests that goal setting can act as an effective behavioral treatment strategy to change health behaviors and improve adherence to lifestyle intervention programs, such as diabetes management [63] and obesity prevention [67]. To enhance engagement and adherence to behavior change interventions in adults with obesity, goal setting has been suggested to be essential in the improvement of health outcomes [67].

The Low Carb Program provides patients with the opportunity to self-select their goals for the platform. Beyond simply setting a goal, the “Crystal Ball Technique” [68] is used, whereby members are nudged to consider a future reality in which their goal has been achieved; they are asked to think about what achieving their goal would mean to them and draw on their social norms to share who they think will notice if they are to be successful in attaining their goal. A systematic qualitative review of effectiveness of solution-focused therapy found that 74% of studies reported significant positive benefit from this solution-focused therapy [69]. Motivational solution-focused therapy has been previously utilized to encourage entry into an intervention intended to improve glycemic control in young people with poorly controlled type 1 diabetes. The researchers found that the approach produced a significant improvement of 1.5% in HbA_{1c} levels, concluding that a solution-focused group intervention is promising for improving HbA_{1c} levels [70].

Locke and Loatham [71] developed the theory of goal setting and theorized that in order for a goal to be motivating, it needs to be specific and challenging; it also requires commitment, feedback, and task complexity [71].

When setting a goal within the platform, users are nudged to reflect on how close they perceive themselves to be to achieve their goal using a sliding scale of 1 and 10 points. Periodically, as they are using the platform, they are prompted to “check-in” with their initial goal and report on the same scale.

When it comes to maintaining behavior change, a systematic review of the psychosocial and sociodemographic determinants of physical activity maintenance [72] revealed that maintainers had higher self-efficacy and intention compared with those who relapse. Therefore, beliefs about capabilities, motivations, and goals may be among the strongest variables associated with behavior change maintenance. Additionally, a motivation-focused weight loss maintenance program is an effective alternative to a skill-based approach [73]. The combined research on goal setting across many different contexts and fields of study demonstrates that goal setting encourages a person to try harder and for longer periods of time, with less distraction from the task at hand [74] and therefore is rightfully integral to the Low Carb Program.

Psychological Capability

Health Tracking Feature

Psychological capability refers to people’s physical psychological skills, for example, knowledge, strength, or stamina to engage in mental processes [49]. Included in this domain is “behavioural regulation” defined as “anything aimed at managing or changing objectively observed or measured actions” and includes constructs such as self-monitoring, action planning, and habit breaking [50].

Monitoring goal progress is an effective self-regulation strategy that promotes goal attainment, as it serves to identify discrepancies between the current state and the desired state and thus enables people to recognize when additional effort or self-control is needed. Interventions that increase the frequency of progress monitoring are likely to promote behavior change [75].

According to literature reviews, in addition to setting a goal to promote behavior change, tracking its progress is just as crucial and effective to promote sustained behavior change [63]. Recent findings suggest that program interventions that elevate the frequency of progress monitoring are likely to induce behavior change [75]. Among the several benefits of self-tracking and reviewing tracked data are the following: patients can identify trends and correlations from their data and become more independent in managing their conditions; tracking can also provide opportunities for patient education [76].

The Low Carb Program offers an integrated tracking mechanism whereby patients can self-track their weight, food, mood, blood glucose levels, medications, sleep, blood pressure changes, cholesterol levels, insulin levels, and ketone levels. The platform also has many wearable devices and Bluetooth-enabled devices such as blood glucose meters or weighing scales, with which

users can bring in data from devices to monitor trends and view interactions with other variables they may be tracking. The platform also nudges patients to embrace novel methods of tracking progress, for example, taking selfies, from which there are machine learning algorithms that can predict waist-to-hip ratios. The Low Carb Program reinforces behavior change by providing intelligent insights based on the tracked data into trends. The platform then nudges users when their tracked data are congruent with the trends required for their self-selected goal attainment.

Memory Aids and User-Engagement

One reason that behavioral change interventions do not deliver sustained effects is that they do not consider unintentional reasons for patients failing to adhere to their treatment plan. “Simply forgetting” is an example of unintentional nonadherence and serves as the most commonly reported reason for people not taking their medication [77-79]. Recent trials have demonstrated the benefits of telephone interventions to remind patients to pick up new prescriptions and talk about adherence [80,81]. However, utilizing staff to telephone patients is often cost prohibitive. Short message service or text message reminders are a less expensive way forward [82]. Research

suggests that reminders can significantly increase patient attendance to clinic appointments [83] and reduce no-shows across health care settings. A recent paper showed that sending multiple notifications could improve attendance and text notifications improved attendance [84]. A text messaging support system was also shown to improve self efficacy and adherence, engaging a classically difficult-to-reach group of young people [85]. Texting messages has proven to be a productive communication method for promoting behaviors that support weight loss in overweight adults [86]. Unfortunately, text-message interventions are difficult to implement in organizations that do not have a large-scale text-message distributor. For these reasons, a richer and more comprehensive set of behavior change techniques and technology-based interventions should be explored. The Low Carb Program architecture (Figure 1) utilizes email and in-app push notifications to encourage user’s continual engagement with the program. Users receive notifications when a new module is added or opened with that week’s “actions.” When they have a new reply from a member of the community, they also receive nudges to continue tracking their progress and when feedback is provided, for example, a new insight is generated from their tracked data.

Figure 1. Low Carb Program Behaviour Change platform architecture.



The language used within these notifications and nudges is also considered, building on Locke's Goal Setting Theory [87]: Telling someone to "Try hard" or "Do your best" is less effective than "Try to get more than 80% correct" or "Concentrate on beating your best time." The Low Carb Program behavior change architecture encourages health promoting behavior such as "Try to log more hours of sleep" when they are getting less than the recommended amount or "Continue your great blood glucose streak today, track your readings and stay within your targets." These notifications have been tested within the architecture and optimized for different users within the behavior change programs.

In addition to the emails and push notifications running alongside the initial implementation phases of the education programs, notifications and emails are used to re-engage users who have not maintained their engagement within the programs. Users are nudged back into the program with tailored messages based on demographics, time elapsed, and their self-selected goal.

Automatic Features

Personalized Content Contextualized Within Cultural Norms

Research has previously demonstrated that gender, attitudes, subjective norms, perceived pros, different self-efficacy expectations, and habit strength are significantly associated with healthy eating habits [88]. The NICE guidelines in the United Kingdom actually state that information should be provided in an accessible format (particularly for people with physical, sensory, or learning disabilities and those who do not speak or read English) and educational materials should be translated, if needed [89]. The general consensus from the behavior change literature is that tailored interventions, which address an individual's specific circumstances and concerns, should be more likely to stimulate change than untailored interventions. Studies have found that compared to untailored messages, tailored messages are more likely to be read and remembered; saved; discussed with others and perceived by readers as interesting, personally relevant, and written especially for them [90-93]. In addition, culturally tailored education, health-promoting information, and guidance to ethnic/linguistic minority groups has found to significantly improve their risk factors for progression to diabetes such as excessive weight and obesity [94] as well as glycemic control and diabetes knowledge compared to nonculturally tailored content [95-97]. Across several economically developed countries, including the United Kingdom, a number of ethnic groups experience higher levels of morbidity and mortality compared to the majority of the white European-origin population. Thus, creating culturally tailored health-promoting approaches is essential to improve health outcomes in people affected by diseases such as diabetes [97].

However, some literature highlights that although tailoring information delivered as part of behavior change interventions is a proven approach to enhancing message applicability, it is not the only approach to do so, and under many circumstances, it may not be the preferred choice, with some researchers citing insufficient evidence on the clinical effectiveness or

cost-effectiveness of these adapted approaches [96,98]. This is where the Low Carb Program behavior change architecture may be advantageous over other more traditional methods of education delivery. As a Web and mobile behavior change platform, the education can be tailored as an individual signs up to the program with intelligent coding used to determine the tailored information that users subsequently see; this includes personalized education video modules delivered in native language and tailored to cultural norms determined by users' ethnicity and language preferences, modified meal plans, and recipes tailored to dietary preferences and tailored content within the "lifestyle" area of the app based on their self-selected goal, age, and gender. The onboarding process also assigns the users a virtual buddy based on a "best fit" criteria, matching previous program completers as far as possible to the user's gender, age, and disease profile and starting a medication regime and self-selected goal, increasing the perceived personal relevance and applicability of the information received within the behavior change intervention.

Incremental Stages of Change

Key recommendations from leading experts in the field of behavior change advise to start with small behavioral changes and build upon these incrementally [49]. In addition, insights from goal setting theories that support sustainable behavior change show that deadlines at stages need to be set, and they need to apply an appropriate amount of pressure while still being achievable [71]. The Low Carb Program architecture has a number of elements to support incremental changes. These stages of change do not exclusively facilitate the five transtheoretical model stages of change, but support change, aggregating over time to establish sustainable health-promoting behavior. The education modules are unlocked on a weekly basis, encouraging incremental behavior changes over time. Each education module is supported with an "action points" video, outlining suggested changes to make over the subsequent 7 days before the next module opens; these are precise actions with a set deadline by which the behavior modifications are to be made. By delivering the education in this way, the user will not be overwhelmed with lifestyle changes and instead, build on them week by week. The user also gets the opportunity to pause and restart their program at any time, closing opened modules and restarting their journey to take account of circumstances that may be impeding their ability to succeed.

Web-Based and Mobile-Based Delivery of Information

In order to address the growing burden of type 2 diabetes, prediabetes, and obesity as well as other chronic conditions, the promotion of wellbeing and behavior change interventions requires the delivery of scalable, engaging, and effective interventions aimed at sustainable behavioral change. The internet and pervasiveness of mobile devices offers an opportunity to reach this goal.

Research demonstrates that smartphone or Web apps offer significant benefits for patients in terms of patient care, education, and promoting behavior change, although the impact on several aspects of Web and mobile health delivery have not been clear, such as the cost-effectiveness and the adequacy of the infrastructure [99]. Burner et al [100] suggest that mobile

health is a promising approach to support patients with diabetes and their health outcomes, and others [101] suggested that the integration of mobile apps with diabetes management can be beneficial for the lifestyle of the patients by providing useful health and nutritional information. However, research points to the need of further studies to be undertaken to establish the effectiveness of in-person delivery compared to Web-based delivery of behavioral change programs [102].

Internet-based interventions have been utilized with success in behavior change interventions promoting mental fitness [103] and to deliver cognitive behavioral therapy for people experiencing symptoms of depression and anxiety [104]. One of the major advantages of internet-based interventions is their scalability, as they are able to engage hard-to-reach individuals and can reduce the cost of care by reducing therapists' time [105].

From a diabetes perspective, technology-enabled diabetes self-management solutions significantly improve blood sugar levels (usually, these effects are observed at 3-12 months). The evidence from this systematic review indicates that organizations, policy makers, and health care payers should consider integrating these solutions in the design of diabetes self-management education and support services. In conclusion, digital (mobile phone) health solutions that incorporate evidence-based, behaviorally designed interventions can improve access to diabetes self-management education and ongoing support [106].

A meta-analysis of 13 studies including 6 randomized controlled trials found that there were statistically significant reductions of HbA_{1c} levels in the intervention groups at the end of the studies. The researchers concluded that beyond improving HbA_{1c} levels in patients with diabetes, the use of apps reinforces the perception of self-care by contributing better information and health education to patients. Patients also become more self-confident in their ability to manage their diabetes, mainly by reducing their fear of not knowing how to deal with potential hypoglycemia episodes that may occur [107]. One interesting observation of the researchers was from an exploratory subgroup analysis, which showed that having a clinical decision-making function in app-based interventions was not associated with a greater HbA_{1c} reduction. This implies that the value of the app-based delivery of behavior change may be in the self-efficacy generated by the patients themselves using the app rather than its use as a replacement of their clinical reviews with their own health care professional teams.

The use of the Low Carb Program digital platform was never designed or intended to replace the intricate relationship between patient and health care professionals. Instead, it serves to augment or assist usual care, for instance, support behavior and lifestyle changes, which doctors feel inadequately trained to counsel patients on [108,109], despite the fact that the NICE guidelines specify giving lifestyle advice as a first-line intervention for diabetes, obesity, and high cholesterol levels.

Conclusions

The prevalence of obesity and subsequent noncommunicable, metabolic conditions such as type 2 diabetes, polycystic ovarian syndrome, Alzheimer Disease, and some cancers is drastically increasing. Patients diagnosed with diabetes have problems adhering to their treatments including medications and lifestyle change. Current health care systems are struggling to provide adequate training and education provisions necessary to empower patients to adequately self-manage their conditions. Patients' behavior contributes significantly to their treatment success, which implies the necessity for behavioral solutions to achieve long-term sustainable change. However, there still remains uncertainty over how long the behavior change effects last and the optimal methods of delivery, in particular, the intricate interactions of program characteristics required to support sustainable change.

The key elements that make up the Low Carb Program are grounded in the COM-B model and evidence-based behavior change techniques that are shown to be effective in digital platforms for behavior change interventions that support weight loss, increase physical activity, and improve self-efficacy of chronic disease management.

The Low Carb Program is an effective tool to help support the initiation and maintenance of health promoting behavior in people with type 2 diabetes, as demonstrated by industry-leading health and engagement outcomes of education delivered using the platform architecture. There is a clear requirement for programs to be utilized as an adjunct to the current care pathways for people with chronic conditions and obesity. This poses further research questions, such as how digital interventions can be used within a blended model of health care and other long-term health conditions.

Regarding the Low Carb Program, further research is required to systematically test the different elements of the ecosystem for their influence on both engagement and sustainable change. For instance, it may be the case that certain individuals require access to a peer support community to see long-term change and health outcomes, while others may require access to data to see real-time feedback to reinforce behaviors. Due to the size of the population within the platform, there is also an opportunity to understand how to improve the effectiveness of support for patients to achieve and maintain remission.

Research is required to explore the biological and psychological characteristics, online social engagement, interactions, and social context of patients with type 2 diabetes who use the digital platform and achieve type 2 diabetes remission and maintenance compared to patients who do not. This could be used to develop risk stratification models that can be applied to effectively triage patients and identify the targeted support they need to achieve and maintain type 2 diabetes remission as well as further hyperpersonalize the behavior change ecosystem.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Diabetes.co.uk community and Low Carb Program for sharing their experiences and behavior change journeys. Thank you to Arjun Panesar for his persistent encouragement and Harkrishan Panesar for his assistance with data analysis.

Conflicts of Interest

CS is employed by Diabetes Digital Media, which runs the Low Carb Program.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Low Carb Program apps on desktop, iOS, and Apple Watch.

[[PNG File , 738 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1](#)]

Multimedia Appendix 2

App screenshot: home.

[[PNG File , 1079 KB-Multimedia Appendix 2](#)]

References

1. Gatineau M, Hancock C, Holman N, Outhwaite H, Oldridge L, Christie AL. Public Health England. Adult obesity and type 2 diabetes URL: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/338934/Adult_obesity_and_type_2_diabetes_.pdf [accessed 2019-12-15]
2. Espelt A, Borrell C, Roskam AJ, Rodríguez-Sanz M, Stirbu I, Dalmau-Bueno A, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in diabetes mellitus across Europe at the beginning of the 21st century. *Diabetologia* 2008 Nov 9;51(11):1971-1979. [doi: [10.1007/s00125-008-1146-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-008-1146-1)] [Medline: [18779946](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18779946/)]
3. Imkampe AK, Gulliford MC. Increasing socio-economic inequality in type 2 diabetes prevalence--repeated cross-sectional surveys in England 1994-2006. *Eur J Public Health* 2011 Aug 04;21(4):484-490. [doi: [10.1093/eurpub/ckq106](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckq106)] [Medline: [20685812](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20685812/)]
4. Hex N, Bartlett C, Wright D, Taylor M, Varley D. Estimating the current and future costs of Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes in the UK, including direct health costs and indirect societal and productivity costs. *Diabet Med* 2012 Jul;29(7):855-862. [doi: [10.1111/j.1464-5491.2012.03698.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2012.03698.x)] [Medline: [22537247](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22537247/)]
5. Capoccia K, Odegard PS, Letassy N. Medication Adherence With Diabetes Medication. *Diabetes Educ* 2015 Dec 04;42(1):34-71. [doi: [10.1177/0145721715619038](https://doi.org/10.1177/0145721715619038)]
6. Gadkari AS, McHorney CA. Unintentional non-adherence to chronic prescription medications: How unintentional is it really? *BMC Health Serv Res* 2012 Jun 14;12(1). [doi: [10.1186/1472-6963-12-98](https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-12-98)]
7. Schroeder SA. We Can Do Better — Improving the Health of the American People. *N Engl J Med* 2007 Sep 20;357(12):1221-1228. [doi: [10.1056/nejmsa073350](https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmsa073350)]
8. Osterberg L, Blaschke T. Adherence to Medication. *N Engl J Med* 2005 Aug 04;353(5):487-497. [doi: [10.1056/nejmra050100](https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmra050100)]
9. Cramer JA, Benedict A, Muszbek N, Keskinaslan A, Khan ZM. The significance of compliance and persistence in the treatment of diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidaemia: a review. *Int J Clin Pract* 2008 Jan;62(1):76-87 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1111/j.1742-1241.2007.01630.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2007.01630.x)] [Medline: [17983433](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17983433/)]
10. Trueman P, Taylor DG, Lowson K, Bligh A, Meszaros A, Wright D, et al. Evaluation of the scale, causes and costs of waste medicines: final report. 2010. URL: https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/1350234/1/Evaluation_of_NHS_Medicines_Waste_web_publication_version.pdf [accessed 2019-12-16]
11. Iuga AO, McGuire MJ. Adherence and health care costs. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy* 2014;7:35-44 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2147/RMHP.S19801](https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S19801)] [Medline: [24591853](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24591853/)]
12. Krapek K, King K, Warren SS, George KG, Caputo DA, Mihelich K, et al. Medication adherence and associated hemoglobin A1c in type 2 diabetes. *Ann Pharmacother* 2004 Sep;38(9):1357-1362. [doi: [10.1345/aph.1D612](https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1D612)] [Medline: [15238621](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15238621/)]
13. Klein R. Hyperglycemia and microvascular and macrovascular disease in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 1995 Feb;18(2):258-268. [doi: [10.2337/diacare.18.2.258](https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.18.2.258)] [Medline: [7729308](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7729308/)]
14. Pirart J. Diabetes Mellitus and Its Degenerative Complications: A Prospective Study of 4,400 Patients Observed Between 1947 and 1973. *Diabetes Care* 1978 May 01;1(3):168-188. [doi: [10.2337/diacare.1.3.168](https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.1.3.168)]
15. Adler AI, Boyko EJ, Ahroni JH, Stensel V, Forsberg RC, Smith DG. Risk Factors for Diabetic Peripheral Sensory Neuropathy: Results of the Seattle Prospective Diabetic Foot Study. *Diabetes Care* 1997 Jul 01;20(7):1162-1167. [doi: [10.2337/diacare.20.7.1162](https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.20.7.1162)]
16. Turner RC, Millns H, Neil HAW, Stratton IM, Manley SE, Matthews DR, et al. Risk factors for coronary artery disease in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: United Kingdom prospective diabetes study (UKPDS: 23). *BMJ* 1998 Mar 14;316(7134):823-828. [doi: [10.1136/bmj.316.7134.823](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.316.7134.823)]

17. Kuusisto J, Mykkänen L, Pyörälä K, Laakso M. NIDDM and Its Metabolic Control Predict Coronary Heart Disease in Elderly Subjects. *Diabetes* 1994 Aug 01;43(8):960-967. [doi: [10.2337/diab.43.8.960](https://doi.org/10.2337/diab.43.8.960)]
18. Lehto S, Rönnemaa T, Pyörälä K, Laakso M. Predictors of Stroke in Middle-Aged Patients With Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes. *Stroke* 1996 Jan;27(1):63-68. [doi: [10.1161/01.str.27.1.63](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.str.27.1.63)]
19. Standl E, Balletshofer B, Dahl B, Weichenhain B, Stiegler H, Hörmann A, et al. Predictors of 10-year macrovascular and overall mortality in patients with NIDDM: the Munich General Practitioner Project. *Diabetologia* 1996 Nov 21;39(12):1540-1545. [doi: [10.1007/s001250050612](https://doi.org/10.1007/s001250050612)]
20. Groeneveld Y, Petri H, Hermans J, Springer MP. Relationship between blood glucose level and mortality in Type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Diabet Med* 1999 Jan;16(1):2-13. [doi: [10.1046/j.1464-5491.1999.00003.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1464-5491.1999.00003.x)]
21. Uusitupa MIJ, Niskanen LK, Siitonen O, Voutilainen E, Pyörälä K. Ten-year cardiovascular mortality in relation to risk factors and abnormalities in lipoprotein composition in type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetic and non-diabetic subjects. *Diabetologia* 1993 Nov;36(11):1175-1184. [doi: [10.1007/bf00401063](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00401063)] [Medline: [8270133](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8270133/)]
22. Wei M, Gaskill SP, Haffner SM, Stern MP. Effects of Diabetes and Level of Glycemia on All-Cause and Cardiovascular Mortality: The San Antonio Heart Study. *Diabetes Care* 1998 Jul 01;21(7):1167-1172. [doi: [10.2337/diacare.21.7.1167](https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.21.7.1167)]
23. Hanefeld M, Fischer S, Julius U, Schulze J, Schwanebeck U, Schmechel H, et al. Risk factors for myocardial infarction and death in newly detected NIDDM: the Diabetes Intervention Study, 11-year follow-up. *Diabetologia* 1996 Nov 21;39(12):1577-1583. [doi: [10.1007/s001250050617](https://doi.org/10.1007/s001250050617)]
24. Knuiiman MW, Welborn TA, Whittall DE. An analysis of excess mortality rates for persons with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in Western Australia using the Cox proportional hazards regression model. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992 Mar 15;135(6):638-648. [doi: [10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116343](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116343)] [Medline: [1580240](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1580240/)]
25. Sasaki A, Uehara M, Horiuchi N, Hasagawa K. A long-term follow-up study of Japanese diabetic patients: mortality and causes of death. *Diabetologia* 1983 Oct;25(4):309-312. [doi: [10.1007/bf00253191](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00253191)] [Medline: [6642095](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6642095/)]
26. Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, Matthews DR, Manley SE, Cull CA, et al. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. *BMJ* 2000 Aug 12;321(7258):405-412 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1136/bmj.321.7258.405](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.321.7258.405)] [Medline: [10938048](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10938048/)]
27. Rubin RR, Peyrot M. Quality of life and diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 1999 May;15(3):205-218. [doi: [10.1002/\(sici\)1520-7560\(199905/06\)15:3<205::aid-dmrr29>3.0.co;2-o](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1520-7560(199905/06)15:3<205::aid-dmrr29>3.0.co;2-o)]
28. Ho PM, Rumsfeld JS, Masoudi FA, McClure DL, Plomondon ME, Steiner JF, et al. Effect of Medication Nonadherence on Hospitalization and Mortality Among Patients With Diabetes Mellitus. *Arch Intern Med* 2006 Sep 25;166(17):1836. [doi: [10.1001/archinte.166.17.1836](https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.17.1836)]
29. Young-Hyman D, de Groot M, Hill-Briggs F, Gonzalez J, Hood K, Peyrot M. Psychosocial Care for People With Diabetes: A Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* 2016 Dec 22;39(12):2126-2140 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2337/dc16-2053](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2053)] [Medline: [27879358](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27879358/)]
30. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). 2017. Type 2 diabetes in adults: management - Blood glucose management HbA1c measurement and targets URL: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng28/chapter/1-Recommendations#hba1c-measurement-and-targets> [accessed 2019-12-16]
31. Padwal R, Li SK, Lau DCW. Long-term pharmacotherapy for overweight and obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2003 Dec 16;27(12):1437-1446. [doi: [10.1038/sj.ijo.0802475](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0802475)] [Medline: [12975638](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12975638/)]
32. Stevens VJ, Obarzanek E, Cook NR, Lee IM, Appel LJ, Smith West D, Trials for the Hypertension Prevention Research Group. Long-term weight loss and changes in blood pressure: results of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase II. *Ann Intern Med* 2001 Jan 02;134(1):1-11. [doi: [10.7326/0003-4819-134-1-200101020-00007](https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-134-1-200101020-00007)] [Medline: [11187414](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11187414/)]
33. Dombrowski SU, Knittle K, Avenell A, Araújo-Soares V, Snihotta FF. Long term maintenance of weight loss with non-surgical interventions in obese adults: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2014 May 14;348(may14 6):g2646-g2646 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1136/bmj.g2646](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g2646)] [Medline: [25134100](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25134100/)]
34. Karlsson J, Taft C, Rydén A, Sjöström L, Sullivan M. Ten-year trends in health-related quality of life after surgical and conventional treatment for severe obesity: the SOS intervention study. *Int J Obes* 2007 Mar 13;31(8):1248-1261. [doi: [10.1038/sj.ijo.0803573](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0803573)]
35. Carpenter MJ, Jardin BF, Burris JL, Mathew AR, Schnoll RA, Rigotti NA, et al. Clinical Strategies to Enhance the Efficacy of Nicotine Replacement Therapy for Smoking Cessation: A Review of the Literature. *Drugs* 2013 Apr 10;73(5):407-426. [doi: [10.1007/s40265-013-0038-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-013-0038-y)]
36. Hughes JR, Keely J, Naud S. Shape of the relapse curve and long-term abstinence among untreated smokers. *Addiction* 2004 Jan;99(1):29-38. [doi: [10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00540.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00540.x)] [Medline: [14678060](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14678060/)]
37. Moos RH, Moos BS. Rates and predictors of relapse after natural and treated remission from alcohol use disorders. *Addiction* 2006 Feb;101(2):212-222 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01310.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01310.x)] [Medline: [16445550](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16445550/)]
38. Elfhag K, Rossner S. Who succeeds in maintaining weight loss? A conceptual review of factors associated with weight loss maintenance and weight regain. *Obesity Reviews* 2005 Feb;6(1):67-85. [doi: [10.1111/j.1467-789x.2005.00170.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789x.2005.00170.x)]

39. Kwasnicka D, Dombrowski SU, White M, Sniehotta F. Theoretical explanations for maintenance of behaviour change: a systematic review of behaviour theories. *Health Psychol Rev* 2016 Sep 07;10(3):277-296 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1080/17437199.2016.1151372](https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2016.1151372)] [Medline: [26854092](#)]
40. Albarracín D, Gillette JC, Earl AN, Glasman LR, Durantini MR, Ho M. A test of major assumptions about behavior change: a comprehensive look at the effects of passive and active HIV-prevention interventions since the beginning of the epidemic. *Psychol Bull* 2005 Nov;131(6):856-897 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1037/0033-2909.131.6.856](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.131.6.856)] [Medline: [16351327](#)]
41. Hobbs N, Godfrey A, Lara J, Errington L, Meyer TD, Rochester L, et al. Are behavioral interventions effective in increasing physical activity at 12 to 36 months in adults aged 55 to 70 years? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med* 2013 Mar 19;11(1):75 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1186/1741-7015-11-75](https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-11-75)] [Medline: [23506544](#)]
42. Garnett CV, Crane D, Brown J, Kaner EFS, Beyer FR, Muirhead CR, et al. Behavior Change Techniques Used in Digital Behavior Change Interventions to Reduce Excessive Alcohol Consumption: A Meta-regression. *Ann Behav Med* 2018 May 18;52(6):530-543 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.1093/abm/kax029](https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kax029)] [Medline: [29788261](#)]
43. Voils CI, Gierisch JM, Yancy WS, Sandelowski M, Smith R, Bolton J, et al. Differentiating Behavior Initiation and Maintenance: Theoretical Framework and Proof of Concept. *Health Educ Behav* 2014 Jun 16;41(3):325-336. [doi: [10.1177/1090198113515242](https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198113515242)] [Medline: [24347145](#)]
44. Hardeman W, Johnston M, Johnston D, Bonetti D, Wareham N, Kinmonth AL. Application of the Theory of Planned Behaviour in Behaviour Change Interventions: A Systematic Review. *Psychology & Health* 2002 Jan;17(2):123-158. [doi: [10.1080/08870440290013644a](https://doi.org/10.1080/08870440290013644a)]
45. Panesar A. *Machine Learning And AI For Healthcare: Big Data For Improved Health Outcomes*. London, UK: Apress; 2019.
46. Saslow LR, Summers C, Aikens JE, Unwin DJ. Outcomes of a Digitally Delivered Low-Carbohydrate Type 2 Diabetes Self-Management Program: 1-Year Results of a Single-Arm Longitudinal Study. *JMIR Diabetes* 2018 Aug 03;3(3):e12. [doi: [10.2196/diabetes.9333](https://doi.org/10.2196/diabetes.9333)]
47. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implementation Sci* 2011 Apr 23;6(1). [doi: [10.1186/1748-5908-6-42](https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-6-42)]
48. Jackson C, Eliasson L, Barber N, Weinman JA. Applying COM-B to medication adherence. *Eur Health Psychol* 2014;16:7-17.
49. Michie S, Abraham C, Eccles MP, Francis JJ, Hardeman W, Johnston M. Strengthening evaluation and implementation by specifying components of behaviour change interventions: a study protocol. *Implementation Sci* 2011 Feb 7;6(1). [doi: [10.1186/1748-5908-6-10](https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-6-10)]
50. Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implementation Sci* 2012 Apr 24;7(1). [doi: [10.1186/1748-5908-7-37](https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-7-37)]
51. Holt-Lunstad J. Why Social Relationships Are Important for Physical Health: A Systems Approach to Understanding and Modifying Risk and Protection. *Annu. Rev. Psychol* 2018 Jan 04;69(1):437-458. [doi: [10.1146/annurev-psych-122216-011902](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-122216-011902)]
52. Levy RL. Social support and compliance: A selective review and critique of treatment integrity and outcome measurement. *Social Science & Medicine* 1983 Jan;17(18):1329-1338. [doi: [10.1016/0277-9536\(83\)90192-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(83)90192-2)]
53. Deakin T, McShane CE, Cade JE, Williams R. Group based training for self-management strategies in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005 Apr 18(2):CD003417. [doi: [10.1002/14651858.CD003417.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003417.pub2)] [Medline: [15846663](#)]
54. Trento M, Passera P, Tomalino M, Bajardi M, Pomero F, Allione A, et al. Group Visits Improve Metabolic Control in Type 2 Diabetes: A 2-year follow-up. *Diabetes Care* 2001 Jun 01;24(6):995-1000. [doi: [10.2337/diacare.24.6.995](https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.24.6.995)]
55. Sani M, Makeen A, Albasheer OBA, Solan YMH, Mahfouz MS. Effect of telemedicine messages integrated with peer group support on glycemic control in type 2 diabetics, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries* 2018 Jan 29;38(4):495-501. [doi: [10.1007/s13410-018-0608-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13410-018-0608-3)]
56. Keyserling TC, Samuel-Hodge CD, Ammerman AS, Ainsworth BE, Henríquez-Roldán CF, Elasy TA, et al. A randomized trial of an intervention to improve self-care behaviors of African-American women with type 2 diabetes: impact on physical activity. *Diabetes Care* 2002 Sep 01;25(9):1576-1583. [doi: [10.2337/diacare.25.9.1576](https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.25.9.1576)] [Medline: [12196430](#)]
57. Bernardi R, Wu PF. The Impact of Online Health Communities on Patients' Health Self-Management. 2017 Dec Presented at: Proceedings of the Thirty-Eight International Conference of Information Systems (ICIS 2017); December 10-13, 2017; Republic of Seoul, Seoul, Korea.
58. Barrera M, Glasgow RE, McKay H, Boles S, Feil E. Do Internet-based support interventions change perceptions of social support?: An experimental trial of approaches for supporting diabetes self-management. *Am J Community Psychol* 2002 Oct;30(5):637-654. [doi: [10.1023/A:1016369114780](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016369114780)] [Medline: [12188054](#)]
59. Wing RR, Marcus MD, Epstein LH, Jawad A. A "family-based" approach to the treatment of obese type II diabetic patients. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1991 Feb;59(1):156-162. [doi: [10.1037//0022-006x.59.1.156](https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-006x.59.1.156)] [Medline: [2002132](#)]
60. Heisler M. Overview of Peer Support Models to Improve Diabetes Self-Management and Clinical Outcomes. *Diabetes Spectrum* 2007 Oct 01;20(4):214-221. [doi: [10.2337/diaspect.20.4.214](https://doi.org/10.2337/diaspect.20.4.214)]
61. Caro JF, Fisher EB. A solution might be within people with diabetes themselves. *Fam Pract* 2010 Jun 17;27 Suppl 1(suppl 1):i1-i2. [doi: [10.1093/fampra/cmn082](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmn082)] [Medline: [20483799](#)]

62. Turner-McGrievy GM, Tate DF. Weight loss social support in 140 characters or less: use of an online social network in a remotely delivered weight loss intervention. *Transl Behav Med* 2013 Sep 11;3(3):287-294 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s13142-012-0183-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13142-012-0183-y)] [Medline: [24073180](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24073180/)]
63. Teyhen DS, Aldag M, Centola D, Edinborough E, Ghannadian JD, Haught A, et al. Key enablers to facilitate healthy behavior change: workshop summary. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2014 May;44(5):378-387. [doi: [10.2519/jospt.2014.0301](https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2014.0301)] [Medline: [24787328](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24787328/)]
64. Sylvetsky AC, Nandagopal R, Nguyen TT, Abegg MR, Nagarur M, Kaplowitz P, et al. Buddy Study: Partners for better health in adolescents with type 2 diabetes. *World J Diabetes* 2015 Dec 25;6(18):1355-1362 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.4239/wjd.v6.i18.1355](https://doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v6.i18.1355)] [Medline: [26722619](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26722619/)]
65. Greaney ML, Puleo E, Sprunck-Harrild K, Haines J, Houghton SC, Emmons KM. Social Support for Changing Multiple Behaviors: Factors Associated With Seeking Support and the Impact of Offered Support. *Health Educ Behav* 2018 Apr 07;45(2):198-206. [doi: [10.1177/1090198117712333](https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198117712333)] [Medline: [28683577](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28683577/)]
66. Sheldon KM, Kasser T, Smith K, Share T. Personal Goals and Psychological Growth: Testing an Intervention to Enhance Goal Attainment and Personality Integration. *J Personality* 2002 Feb;70(1):5-31. [doi: [10.1111/1467-6494.00176](https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6494.00176)]
67. Burgess E, Hassmén P, Welvaert M, Pumpa K. Behavioural treatment strategies improve adherence to lifestyle intervention programmes in adults with obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Obes* 2017 Feb 15;7(2):105-114. [doi: [10.1111/cob.12180](https://doi.org/10.1111/cob.12180)]
68. De Shazer S. Brief hypnotherapy of two sexual dysfunctions: the crystal ball technique. *Am J Clin Hypn* 1978 Jan;20(3):203-208. [doi: [10.1080/00029157.1978.10403931](https://doi.org/10.1080/00029157.1978.10403931)] [Medline: [757323](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/757323/)]
69. Gingerich WJ, Peterson LT. Effectiveness of Solution-Focused Brief Therapy. *Research on Social Work Practice* 2013 Jan 27;23(3):266-283. [doi: [10.1177/1049731512470859](https://doi.org/10.1177/1049731512470859)]
70. Viner RM, Christie D, Taylor V, Hey S. Motivational/solution-focused intervention improves HbA1c in adolescents with Type 1 diabetes: a pilot study. *Diabet Med* 2003 Sep;20(9):739-742. [doi: [10.1046/j.1464-5491.2003.00995.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1464-5491.2003.00995.x)] [Medline: [12925054](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12925054/)]
71. Locke E, Latham GP. *A theory of goal setting & task performance*. NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall, Inc; 1990.
72. Amireault S, Godin G, Vézina-Im L. Determinants of physical activity maintenance: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Health Psychology Review* 2013 Mar;7(1):55-91. [doi: [10.1080/17437199.2012.701060](https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2012.701060)]
73. West DS, Gorin AA, Subak LL, Foster G, Bragg C, Hecht J, et al. A motivation-focused weight loss maintenance program is an effective alternative to a skill-based approach. *Int J Obes* 2010 Aug 3;35(2):259-269. [doi: [10.1038/ijo.2010.138](https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2010.138)]
74. Strecher VJ, Seijts GH, Kok GJ, Latham GP, Glasgow R, DeVellis B, et al. Goal setting as a strategy for health behavior change. *Health Educ Q* 1995 May 04;22(2):190-200. [doi: [10.1177/109019819502200207](https://doi.org/10.1177/109019819502200207)] [Medline: [7622387](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7622387/)]
75. Harkin B, Webb TL, Chang BPI, Prestwich A, Conner M, Kellar I, et al. Does monitoring goal progress promote goal attainment? A meta-analysis of the experimental evidence. *Psychol Bull* 2016 Feb;142(2):198-229. [doi: [10.1037/bul0000025](https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000025)] [Medline: [26479070](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26479070/)]
76. Chung C, Cook J, Bales E, Zia J, Munson SA. More Than Telemonitoring: Health Provider Use and Nonuse of Life-Log Data in Irritable Bowel Syndrome and Weight Management. *J Med Internet Res* 2015 Aug 21;17(8):e203. [doi: [10.2196/jmir.4364](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.4364)]
77. Gadkari AS, McHorney CA. Unintentional non-adherence to chronic prescription medications: How unintentional is it really? *BMC Health Serv Res* 2012 Jun 14;12(1). [doi: [10.1186/1472-6963-12-98](https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-12-98)]
78. Osterberg L, Blaschke T. Adherence to Medication. *N Engl J Med* 2005 Aug 04;353(5):487-497. [doi: [10.1056/nejmra050100](https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmra050100)]
79. Wroe A. Intentional and Unintentional Nonadherence: A Study of Decision Making. *J Behav Med* 2002 Aug;25(4):355-372. [doi: [10.1023/A:1015866415552](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015866415552)]
80. Lawrence DB, Allison W, Chen JC, Demand M. Improving Medication Adherence with a Targeted, Technology-Driven Disease Management Intervention. *Disease Management* 2008 Jun;11(3):141-144. [doi: [10.1089/dis.2007.0013](https://doi.org/10.1089/dis.2007.0013)]
81. Walker EA, Shmukler C, Ullman R, Blanco E, Scollan-Koliopoulus M, Cohen HW. Results of a Successful Telephonic Intervention to Improve Diabetes Control in Urban Adults: A randomized trial. *Diabetes Care* 2010 Dec 29;34(1):2-7. [doi: [10.2337/dc10-1005](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc10-1005)]
82. Kamal AK, Shaikh Q, Pasha O, Azam I, Islam M, Memon AA, et al. A randomized controlled behavioral intervention trial to improve medication adherence in adult stroke patients with prescription tailored Short Messaging Service (SMS)-SMS4Stroke study. *BMC Neurol* 2015 Oct 21;15(1). [doi: [10.1186/s12883-015-0471-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12883-015-0471-5)]
83. Guy R, Hocking J, Wand H, Stott S, Ali H, Kaldor J. How Effective Are Short Message Service Reminders at Increasing Clinic Attendance? A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. *Health Serv Res* 2011 Nov 08;47(2):614-632. [doi: [10.1111/j.1475-6773.2011.01342.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2011.01342.x)]
84. Robotham D, Satkunanathan S, Reynolds J, Stahl D, Wykes T. Using digital notifications to improve attendance in clinic: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2016 Oct 24;6(10):e012116. [doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012116](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012116)]
85. Franklin VL, Waller A, Pagliari C, Greene SA. A randomized controlled trial of Sweet Talk, a text-messaging system to support young people with diabetes. *Diabetic Med* 2006 Dec;23(12):1332-1338. [doi: [10.1111/j.1464-5491.2006.01989.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2006.01989.x)]
86. Patrick K, Raab F, Adams MA, Dillon L, Zabinski M, Rock CL, et al. A Text Message-Based Intervention for Weight Loss: Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Med Internet Res* 2009 Jan 13;11(1):e1. [doi: [10.2196/jmir.1100](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.1100)]

87. Locke EA. Toward a theory of task motivation and incentives. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance* 1968 May;3(2):157-189. [doi: [10.1016/0030-5073\(68\)90004-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(68)90004-4)]
88. Brug J, de Vet E, de Nooijer J, Verplanken B. Predicting fruit consumption: cognitions, intention, and habits. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2006 Mar;38(2):73-81. [doi: [10.1016/j.jneb.2005.11.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2005.11.027)] [Medline: [16595285](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16595285/)]
89. Brug J, Steenhuis I, van Assema P, de Vries H. The impact of a computer-tailored nutrition intervention. *Prev Med* 1996 May;25(3):236-242. [doi: [10.1006/pmed.1996.0052](https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.1996.0052)] [Medline: [8781000](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8781000/)]
90. Skinner CS, Strecher VJ, Hospers H. Physicians' recommendations for mammography: do tailored messages make a difference? *Am J Public Health* 1994 Jan;84(1):43-49. [doi: [10.2105/ajph.84.1.43](https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.84.1.43)]
91. Kreuter MW, Strecher VJ, Glassman B. One size does not fit all: The case for tailoring print materials. *Ann Behav Med* 1999 Dec;21(4):276-283. [doi: [10.1007/bf02895958](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02895958)]
92. Kroeze W, Werkman A, Brug J. A systematic review of randomized trials on the effectiveness of computer-tailored education on physical activity and dietary behaviors. *Ann Behav Med* 2006 Jun;31(3):205-223. [doi: [10.1207/s15324796abm3103_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15324796abm3103_2)] [Medline: [16700634](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16700634/)]
93. Lagisetty PA, Priyadarshini S, Terrell S, Hamati M, Landgraf J, Chopra V, et al. Culturally Targeted Strategies for Diabetes Prevention in Minority Population. *Diabetes Educ* 2017 Jan 24;43(1):54-77. [doi: [10.1177/0145721716683811](https://doi.org/10.1177/0145721716683811)]
94. Kim MT, Kim KB, Huh B, Nguyen T, Han H, Bone LR, et al. The Effect of a Community-Based Self-Help Intervention: Korean Americans With Type 2 Diabetes. *Am J Prev Med* 2015 Nov;49(5):726-737 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.amepre.2015.04.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.04.033)] [Medline: [26184986](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26184986/)]
95. Hawthorne K, Robles Y, Cannings-John R, Edwards AGK. Culturally appropriate health education for Type 2 diabetes in ethnic minority groups: a systematic and narrative review of randomized controlled trials. *Diabet Med* 2010 Jun;27(6):613-623. [doi: [10.1111/j.1464-5491.2010.02954.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2010.02954.x)] [Medline: [20546277](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20546277/)]
96. Clark M, Hampson SE, Avery L, Simpson R. Effects of a tailored lifestyle self-management intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Br J Health Psychol* 2004 Sep;9(Pt 3):365-379. [doi: [10.1348/1359107041557066](https://doi.org/10.1348/1359107041557066)] [Medline: [15296683](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15296683/)]
97. Liu J, Davidson E, Bhopal R, White M, Johnson M, Netto G, et al. Adapting health promotion interventions to meet the needs of ethnic minority groups: mixed-methods evidence synthesis. *Health Technol Assess* 2012 Nov;16(44). [doi: [10.3310/hta16440](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta16440)]
98. Kreuter MW, Wray RJ. Tailored and targeted health communication: strategies for enhancing information relevance. *Am J Health Behav* 2003 Nov 01;27 Suppl 3(1):S227-S232. [doi: [10.5993/ajhb.27.1.s3.6](https://doi.org/10.5993/ajhb.27.1.s3.6)] [Medline: [14672383](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14672383/)]
99. David SK, Rafiullah M. Innovative health informatics as an effective modern strategy in diabetes management: a critical review. In: *Case Study of Innovative Projects - Successful Real Cases*. Croatia: IntechOpen; Aug 2017.
100. Burner E, Lam CN, DeRoss R, Kagawa-Singer M, Menchine M, Arora S. Using Mobile Health to Improve Social Support for Low-Income Latino Patients with Diabetes: A Mixed-Methods Analysis of the Feasibility Trial of TEXT-MED + FANS. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2018 Jan;20(1):39-48 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1089/dia.2017.0198](https://doi.org/10.1089/dia.2017.0198)] [Medline: [29227155](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29227155/)]
101. Butt S, Navarro KF, Shorab M, Onn A. Using Mobile Technology to Improve Nutritional Information of Diabetic Patients. In: *New Advances in Information Systems and Technologies*. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2016.
102. Pillay J, Armstrong MJ, Butalia S, Donovan LE, Sigal RJ, Vandermeer B, et al. Behavioral Programs for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2015 Dec 01;163(11):848-860. [doi: [10.7326/M15-1400](https://doi.org/10.7326/M15-1400)] [Medline: [26414227](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26414227/)]
103. Bolier L, Haverman M, Kramer J, Boon B, Smit F, Riper H, et al. Internet-Based Intervention to Promote Mental Fitness in Mildly Depressed Adults: Design of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *JMIR Res Protoc* 2012 Apr 26;1(1):e2. [doi: [10.2196/resprot.1791](https://doi.org/10.2196/resprot.1791)]
104. Spek V, Cuijpers P, Nyklíček I, Riper H, Keyzer J, Pop V. Internet-based cognitive behaviour therapy for symptoms of depression and anxiety: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2007 Mar 20;37(3):319-328. [doi: [10.1017/S0033291706008944](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291706008944)] [Medline: [17112400](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17112400/)]
105. Murray E, Hekler EB, Andersson G, Collins LM, Doherty A, Hollis C, et al. Evaluating Digital Health Interventions: Key Questions and Approaches. *Am J Prev Med* 2016 Nov;51(5):843-851 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.amepre.2016.06.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2016.06.008)] [Medline: [27745684](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27745684/)]
106. Faruque LI, Wiebe N, Ehteshami-Afshar A, Liu Y, Dianati-Maleki N, Hemmelgarn BR, Alberta Kidney Disease Network. Effect of telemedicine on glycated hemoglobin in diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *CMAJ* 2017 Mar 06;189(9):E341-E364 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1503/cmaj.150885](https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.150885)] [Medline: [27799615](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27799615/)]
107. Bonoto BC, de Araújo VE, Godói IP, de Lemos LLP, Godman B, Bennie M, et al. Efficacy of Mobile Apps to Support the Care of Patients With Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2017 Mar 01;5(3):e4. [doi: [10.2196/mhealth.6309](https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.6309)]
108. Levy MD, Loy L, Zatz LY. Policy approach to nutrition and physical activity education in health care professional training. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014 May;99(5 Suppl):1194S-1201S [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3945/ajcn.113.073544](https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.113.073544)] [Medline: [24646822](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24646822/)]
109. Campbell D. The Guardian. Doctors 'know too little about nutrition and exercise' URL: <https://www.theguardian.com/society/2016/oct/19/doctors-know-too-little-about-effects-of-nutrition-and-exercise> [accessed 2019-12-16]

Abbreviations

COM-B: capability, opportunity, motivation, and behavior

NHS: National Health Service

NICE: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 13.06.19; peer-reviewed by E Da Silva, E Puigdomènech, P Matthews; comments to author 22.07.19; revised version received 29.09.19; accepted 29.09.19; published 04.03.20

Please cite as:

Summers C, Curtis K

Novel Digital Architecture of a “Low Carb Program” for Initiating and Maintaining Long-Term Sustainable Health-Promoting Behavior Change in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes

JMIR Diabetes 2020;5(1):e15030

URL: <http://diabetes.jmir.org/2020/1/e15030/>

doi: [10.2196/15030](https://doi.org/10.2196/15030)

PMID:

©Charlotte Summers, Kristina Curtis. Originally published in JMIR Diabetes (<http://diabetes.jmir.org>), 04.03.2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Diabetes, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://diabetes.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.