## **Journal Club**

Editor's Note: These short reviews of recent *JNeurosci* articles, written exclusively by students or postdoctoral fellows, summarize the important findings of the paper and provide additional insight and commentary. If the authors of the highlighted article have written a response to the Journal Club, the response can be found by viewing the Journal Club at www.jneurosci.org. For more information on the format, review process, and purpose of Journal Club articles, please see https://www.jneurosci.org/content/jneurosci-journal-club.

## PERK-STING Signaling Drives Neuroinflammation in Traumatic Brain Injury

## Alfred C. Chin

Weill Cornell/Rockefeller/Sloan Kettering Tri-Institutional MD-PhD Program, New York, New York 10065 Review of Sen et al.

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) resulting from excessive contact in sports, blast injuries in war, or occupational hazards is a widespread public health concern. Symptoms associated with TBI include neurocognitive deficits, psychiatric disorders, and encephalopathies. Neuroinflammation plays an integral role in the pathophysiology of TBI. Although neuroinflammation is initially beneficial, because it promotes debris clearance and regeneration, prolonged neuroinflammation elicits secondary injury, leading to progressive neurodegeneration (Simon et al., 2017). Dysregulated neuroinflammation also contributes to neurodegenerative diseases, brain cancers, and neurological infections (Dickens et al., 2017; Bright et al., 2019; Doron et al., 2019). Therefore, targeted immunomodulation is an actively pursued therapeutic avenue for treating these conditions. Such strategies aim to restrict acute inflammation to protective levels, prevent chronic neuroinflammation, and maximize regenerative programs.

Previous work has identified several contributors to secondary injury related

to inflammation after TBI. Karve et al. (2016) found that type I interferons (IFNs) such as IFN $\beta$  are upregulated in postmortem human TBI brains and that IFN $\beta$  activates proinflammatory microglia in mouse models of TBI. Sen et al. (2017) showed that phosphorylation of protein kinase R-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) initiates endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress after TBI, and PERK blockade rescued synaptic damage and memory deficits. Liu et al. (2012) showed that ER stress involves activation of the ER protein stimulator of interferon genes (STING), and Abdullah et al. (2018) found that STING expression was elevated in postmortem human TBI brains. Abdullah et al. (2018) also showed that STING deletion suppressed TBI-induced type I IFNs, reduced lesion volume, and attenuated microglial reactivity in mouse models. Notably, microglia, the key regulators of neuroinflammation, can be classically activated to adopt a proinflammatory (M1) phenotype or alternatively activated to adopt an anti-inflammatory (M2) phenotype. These studies establish that TBI polarizes microglia toward an M1 phenotype and that ablation of STING-induced type I IFNs produces an M2-polarizing environment.

Sen et al. (2020) sought to establish a link between PERK and STING in the pathophysiology of TBI. They found that subjecting adult mice to unilateral controlled cortical impact, a TBI paradigm, led to robust phosphorylation of PERK, STING, and interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3; a downstream STING target that initiates transcription of type I IFNs) in neurons. Administration of a PERK inhibitor or a PERK siRNA abrogated TBIinduced STING phosphorylation, IRF3 phosphorylation, and IFN $\beta$  expression in pericontusional cortices, suggesting that PERK activates STING during TBI. Consistent with this, treating primary neuronal cultures with the ER stress inducer tunicamycin increased STING phosphorylation, IRF3 phosphorylation, and IFN $\beta$ expression. Tunicamycin had no effect on primary microglia cultures. However, treating microglia with conditioned media from tunicamycin-treated primary neurons, which was rich in IFN $\beta$ , induced activation and polarization to an M1 phenotype (evidenced by phosphorylation of the proinflammatory transcription factor NF-kB), and treatment with a PERK inhibitor attenuated M1 polarization. Finally, in the TBI model, a PERK inhibitor reduced multiple pathological features that occur in response to neuroinflammation driven by M1 microglia, including T-cell infiltration, loss of white matter proteins, thinning of the corpus callosum, loss of oligodendrocytes, and increased anxiety- and depressive-like behaviors.

The identification of PERK-STING signaling by Sen et al. (2020) is an important advance in our understanding of neuroinflammatory mechanisms with

Received Dec. 3, 2019; revised Feb. 3, 2020; accepted Feb. 17, 2020.
This work was supported by NIH Medical Scientist Training Program
Training Grant T32GM007739 to A.C.C.

The author declares no competing financial interests.

Correspondence should be addressed to Alfred C. Chin at acc4002@med.comell.edu.

 $https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2881-19.2020 \\ Copyright © 2020 the authors$ 

potentially wide-ranging implications for immunology. STING is canonically activated by cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP) generated by the cytosolic DNA sensor cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS), which detects foreign pathogenic DNA and mislocalized genomic or mitochondrial DNA (Barber, 2015; Chin, 2019; Motwani et al., 2019). STING can also be activated noncanonically, independently of cGAS and cGAMP, by various triggers including double-strand DNA breaks (Dunphy et al., 2018), membrane perturbation (Holm et al., 2012), and infection with Brucella abortus (Costa Franco et al., 2018), Streptococcus pyogenes (Movert et al., 2018), or influenza A virus (Holm et al., 2016). Noncanonical STING activation by double-strand DNA breaks results in preferential activation of NF-kB over IRF3 (both are needed for expressing IFN $\beta$ ), requires ubiquitination, and lacks characteristics of canonical STING activation such as STING phosphorylation and translocation to perinuclear foci (Dunphy et al., 2018). Holm et al. (2012) observed that PI3K signaling may be involved in nucleic acid-independent, or cGASindependent, STING activation. Noncanonical STING activation by infection occurs via various mechanisms, including bacterially-derived cyclic dinucleotides (Costa Franco et al., 2018), virulence factors (Movert et al., 2018), and hemagglutinins (Holm et al., 2016). Despite observing STING phosphorylation, a feature of canonical STING activation, several findings by Sen et al. (2020) support a cGAS-independent mechanism of STING activation by PERK in neurons during TBI. Although the authors did not measure cytosolic DNA or cGAMP levels to definitively exclude the involvement of cGAS, they found that STING was activated selectively in neurons and not glial cells; because cGAS is enriched in microglia and astrocytes, but not in neurons (Cox et al., 2015; Reinert et al., 2016), these findings suggest noncanonical activation of STING in neurons. In addition, it was previously shown that administering cGAMP does not lead to phosphorylation of PERK and other ER stress transducers, demonstrating that cGAS signaling and ER stress are likely decoupled (Imanishi et al., 2019). Ascertaining whether STING is canonically or noncanonically activated during TBI may pave the way for identifying the molecular mechanisms by which PERK activates STING.

A study by Barrett et al. (2020) published recently in the *Journal of Neurosci*-

ence sheds additional light on STING activation following TBI. Notably, Barrett et al. (2020) found acute (within 72 h post-injury) upregulation of cGAS and STING expression in a mouse model of TBI. However, during the chronic phase of TBI (60 d post-injury), only STING expression and not cGAS expression remained upregulated. Because Barrett et al. (2020) neither assessed cytosolic DNA or cGAMP levels nor inhibited cGAS, it is unclear whether cGAS activation causes neuroinflammation during TBI and whether STING switches mechanisms of activation over time.

Further elucidation of PERK-STING signaling, particularly how noncanonical activation might affect downstream mechanisms, is crucial for developing STING-targeting strategies to treat TBI. STING agonists and antagonists are currently being developed to treat various diseases such as lupus, interferonopathy, and cancer, and they show promising results in clinical trials (Sheridan, 2019). The FDA-approved antiviral nucleoside analog ganciclovir was shown to suppress microglial reactivity and neuroinflammation in a STING-dependent manner, but the exact mechanism of action is unknown (Mathur et al., 2017). Significant drug discovery efforts have focused on developing cyclic dinucleotides that directly target the cGAMP binding site on STING (Berger et al., 2019), but it is unlikely these ligands would be effective for cGASindependent STING signaling. Pharmacological inhibition of noncanonical STING activation would presumably necessitate targeting allosteric sites because cGAMP binding, by definition, does not play any role. Recently, a noncyclic dinucleotide small-molecule inhibitor was developed that functions by covalently modifying the STING transmembrane domain (Haag et al., 2018). Smallmolecule PERK inhibitors, including those similar to the compound used by Sen et al. (2020), are being actively developed for neurological diseases and cancer (Cubillos-Ruiz et al., 2017; Halliday et al., 2017), but progress has been hampered by significant pancreatic toxicity because of excessive type I IFN signaling (Yu et al., 2015). STING inhibitors, which have already been extensively administered in clinical trials (Sheridan, 2019), can circumvent this complication and may be a more attractive therapeutic avenue for TBI.

Although Sen et al. (2020) showed that PERK activates STING, they did not inhibit or knock down STING to explicitly demonstrate that the observed increases in IRF3 phosphorylation and IFNB production were STING-dependent. This is notable because literature on the link between ER stress and IRF3 activation is stimulus- and context-dependent. Liu et al. (2012) demonstrated in mouse embryonic fibroblasts that tunicamycin induces STING-independent IRF3 phosphorylation. Contrastingly, Sen et al. (2020) observed that tunicamycin induced STING and IRF3 in neurons. Additionally, the observation by Sen et al. (2020) that tunicamycin-induced ER stress in microglia failed to recapitulate the phenotypic effects of IFNB treatment, combined with the cell type-specific involvement of STING in IRF3 activation (Liu et al., 2012; Sen et al., 2020) and the significant enrichment of STING in microglia over neurons in C57BL/6 mice (Reinert et al., 2016; the background used by Sen et al., 2020), suggests that there may be neuronspecific coupling of tunicamycin-induced ER stress and IRF3 activation.

Together, the findings by Sen et al. (2020) present a novel and pharmacologically targetable signaling axis underlying neuroinflammation during secondary injury after TBI that not only harbors clinical potential but also spurs a myriad of interesting basic science questions in neuroscience and immunology.

## References

Abdullah A, Zhang M, Frugier T, Bedoui S, Taylor JM, Crack PJ (2018) STING-mediated type-I interferons contribute to the neuroinflammatory process and detrimental effects following traumatic brain injury. J Neuroinflammation 15:323.

Barber GN (2015) STING: infection, inflammation and cancer. Nat Rev Immunol 15:760–770.

Barrett JP, Henry RJ, Ann Shirey K, Doran SJ, Makarevich OD, Ritzel RR, Meadows VA, Vogel SN, Faden AI, Stoica BA, Loane DJ (2020) Interferon-β plays a detrimental role in experimental traumatic brain injury by enhancing neuroinflammation that drives chronic neurodegeneration. J Neurosci. Advance online publication. Retrieved February 5, 2020. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2516-19.202.

Berger G, Marloye M, Lawler SE (2019) Pharmacological modulation of the STING pathway for cancer immunotherapy. Trends Mol Med 25:412–427.

Bright F, Werry EL, Dobson-Stone C, Piguet O, Ittner LM, Halliday GM, Hodges JR, Kiernan MC, Loy CT, Kassiou M, Kril JJ (2019) Neuroinflammation in frontotemporal dementia. Nat Rev Neurol 15:540–555.

Chin AC (2019) Neuroinflammation and the cGAS-STING pathway. J Neurophysiol 121: 1087–1091.

Costa Franco MM, Marim F, Guimarães ES, Assis NRG, Cerqueira DM, Alves-Silva J, Harms J,

- Splitter G, Smith J, Kanneganti TD, de Queiroz NMGP, Gutman D, Barber GN, Oliveira SC (2018) Brucella abortus triggers a cGAS-independent STING pathway to induce host protection that involves guanylate-binding proteins and inflammasome activation. J Immunol 200:607–622.
- Cox DJ, Field RH, Williams DG, Baran M, Bowie AG, Cunningham C, Dunne A (2015) DNA sensors are expressed in astrocytes and microglia in vitro and are upregulated during gliosis in neurodegenerative disease. Glia 63:812–825.
- Cubillos-Ruiz JR, Bettigole SE, Glimcher LH (2017) Tumorigenic and immunosuppressive effects of endoplasmic reticulum stress in cancer. Cell 168:692–706.
- Dickens AM, Yoo SW, Chin AC, Xu J, Johnson TP, Trout AL, Hauser KF, Haughey NJ (2017) Chronic low-level expression of HIV-1 tat promotes a neurodegenerative phenotype with aging. Sci Rep 7:7748.
- Doron H, Pukrop T, Erez N (2019) A blazing landscape: neuroinflammation shapes brain metastasis. Cancer Res 79:423–436.
- Dunphy G, Flannery SM, Almine JF, Connolly DJ, Paulus C, Jønsson KL, Jakobsen MR, Nevels MM, Bowie AG, Unterholzner L (2018) Non-canonical activation of the DNA sensing adaptor STING by ATM and IFI16 mediates NF-κB signaling after nuclear DNA damage. Mol Cell 71:745–760.e5.
- Haag SM, Gulen MF, Reymond L, Gibelin A, Abrami L, Decout A, Heymann M, van der Goot FG, Turcatti G, Behrendt R, Ablasser A (2018) Targeting STING with covalent smallmolecule inhibitors. Nature 559:269 –273.
- Halliday M, Hughes D, Mallucci GR (2017) Fine-tuning PERK signaling for neuroprotection. J Neurochem 142:812–826.
- Holm CK, Jensen SB, Jakobsen MR, Cheshenko N, Horan KA, Moeller HB, Gonzalez-Dosal R,

- Rasmussen SB, Christensen MH, Yarovinsky TO, Rixon FJ, Herold BC, Fitzgerald KA, Paludan SR (2012) Virus-cell fusion as a trigger of innate immunity dependent on the adaptor STING. Nat Immunol 13:737–743.
- Holm CK, Rahbek SH, Gad HH, Bak RO, Jakobsen MR, Jiang Z, Hansen AL, Jensen SK, Sun C, Thomsen MK, Laustsen A, Nielsen CG, Severinsen K, Xiong Y, Burdette DL, Hornung V, Lebbink RJ, Duch M, Fitzgerald KA, Bahrami S, et al. (2016) Influenza A virus targets a cGAS-independent STING pathway that controls enveloped RNA viruses. Nat Commun 7:10680.
- Imanishi T, Unno M, Kobayashi W, Yoneda N, Matsuda S, Ikeda K, Hoshii T, Hirao A, Miyake K, Barber GN, Arita M, Ishii KJ, Akira S, Saito T (2019) Reciprocal regulation of STING and TCR signaling by mTORC1 for T-cell activation and function. Life Sci Alliance 2:e201800282.
- Karve IP, Zhang M, Habgood M, Frugier T, Brody KM, Sashindranath M, Ek CJ, Chappaz S, Kile BT, Wright D, Wang H, Johnston L, Daglas M, Ates RC, Medcalf RL, Taylor JM, Crack PJ (2016) Ablation of type-1 IFN signaling in hematopoietic cells confers protection following traumatic brain injury. eNeuro 3:ENEURO.0128–15.2016.
- Liu YP, Zeng L, Tian A, Bomkamp A, Rivera D, Gutman D, Barber GN, Olson JK, Smith JA (2012) Endoplasmic reticulum stress regulates the innate immunity critical transcription factor IRF3. J Immunol 189:4630–4639.
- Mathur V, Burai R, Vest RT, Bonanno LN, Lehallier B, Zardeneta ME, Mistry KN, Do D, Marsh SE, Abud EM, Blurton-Jones M, Li L, Lashuel HA, Wyss-Coray T (2017) Activation of the STING-dependent type I interferon response reduces microglial reactivity and neuroinflammation. Neuron 96:1290-1302.e6.

- Motwani M, Pesiridis S, Fitzgerald KA (2019) DNA sensing by the cGAS-STING pathway in health and disease. Nat Rev Genet 20:657– 674.
- Movert E, Lienard J, Valfridsson C, Nordström T, Johansson-Lindbom B, Carlsson F (2018) Streptococcal M protein promotes IL-10 production by cGAS-independent activation of the STING signaling pathway. PLoS Pathog 14:e1006969.
- Reinert LS, Lopušná K, Winther H, Sun C, Thomsen MK, Nandakumar R, Mogensen TH, Meyer M, Vægter C, Nyengaard JR, Fitzgerald KA, Paludan SR (2016) Sensing of HSV-1 by the cGAS-STING pathway in microglia orchestrates antiviral defence in the CNS. Nat Commun 7:13348.
- Sen T, Gupta R, Kaiser H, Sen N (2017) Activation of PERK elicits memory impairment through inactivation of CREB and downregulation of PSD95 after traumatic brain injury. J Neurosci 37:5900–5911.
- Sen T, Saha P, Gupta R, Foley LM, Jiang T, Abakumova OS, Hitchens TK, Sen N (2020) Aberrant ER stress induced neuronal-IFNβ elicits white matter injury due to microglial activation and T-cell infiltration after TBI. J Neurosci 40:424–446.
- Sheridan C (2019) Drug developers switch gears to inhibit STING. Nat Biotechnol 37:199– 201
- Simon DW, McGeachy MJ, Bayır H, Clark RS, Loane DJ, Kochanek PM (2017) The farreaching scope of neuroinflammation after traumatic brain injury. Nat Rev Neurol 13: 171–191.
- Yu Q, Zhao B, Gui J, Katlinski KV, Brice A, Gao Y, Li C, Kushner JA, Koumenis C, Diehl JA, Fuchs SY (2015) Type I interferons mediate pancreatic toxicities of PERK inhibition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:15420–15425.