



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



The plasticity of inflammatory monocyte responses to the inflamed central nervous system



Thomas Myles Ashhurst¹, Caryn van Vreden¹, Paula Niewold¹, Nicholas Jonathan Cole King^{*}

Viral Immunopathology Laboratory, Discipline of Pathology, Bosch Institute and The Marie Bashir Institute for Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity, School of Medical Sciences, Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 June 2014

Accepted 1 July 2014

Available online 11 July 2014

Keywords:

Cellular infiltration

Myeloid

Monocyte

Macrophages

Dendritic cells

Plasticity

Virus encephalitis

Experimental autoimmune

encephalomyelitis

ABSTRACT

Over the last three decades it has become increasingly clear that monocytes, originally thought to have fixed, stereotypic responses to foreign stimuli, mediate exquisitely balanced protective and pathogenic roles in disease and immunity. This balance is crucial in core functional organs, such as the central nervous system (CNS), where minor changes in neuronal microenvironments and the production of immune factors can result in significant disease with fatal consequences or permanent neurological sequelae. Viral encephalitis and multiple sclerosis are examples of important human diseases in which the pathogenic contribution of monocytes recruited from the bone marrow plays a critical role in the clinical expression of disease, as they differentiate into macrophage or dendritic cells in the CNS to carry out effector functions. While antigen-specific lymphocyte populations are central to the adaptive immune response in both cases, in viral encephalitis a prominent macrophage infiltration may mediate immunopathological damage, seizure induction, and death. However, the autoimmune response to non-replicating, non-infectious, but abundant, self antigen has a different disease progression, associated with differentiation of significant numbers of infiltrating monocytes into dendritic cells in the CNS. Whilst a predominant presence of macrophages or dendritic cells in the inflamed CNS in viral encephalitis or multiple sclerosis is well described, the way in which the inflamed CNS mobilizes monocytes in the bone marrow to migrate to the CNS and the key drivers that lead to these specific differentiation pathways *in vivo* are not well understood. Here we review the current understanding of factors facilitating inflammatory monocyte generation, migration and entry into the brain, as well as their differentiation towards macrophages or dendritic cells in viral and autoimmune disease in relation to their respective disease outcomes.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Monocytes, macrophages (MΦ), and dendritic cells (DC) are part of the ‘mononuclear phagocyte system’, also known as the ‘reticuloendothelial system’, found throughout the body. In normal

tissues most MΦ and DC are considered to be ‘tissue-resident’, populating the tissue early in life, often specifically named. In the CNS, the resident MΦ are microglia. They originate from the yolk sac [1] and are renewed *in situ* [2]. During inflammation, however, monocytes can migrate from the bloodstream into affected tissues, including the CNS, where they differentiate into “infiltrating” MΦ or DC. Whilst these resident and infiltrating cells may play prominent roles in the CNS during viral or autoimmune disease, the methods by which the inflamed CNS induce the mobilisation of monocytes in the bone marrow is poorly understood. Moreover, the signalling events responsible for alternate monocyte or DC differentiation in the local inflammatory environment of the CNS are not well described. As these signalling events dictate the nature and progression of the immune response to CNS pathologies, an understanding of the mechanisms involved is crucial to identify novel targets for immune modulating therapy in these diseases.

Abbreviations: MΦ, macrophage; IFN, interferon; IRF, interferon regulatory factor; WNV, West Nile virus; EAE, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; MS, multiple sclerosis; BM, bone marrow; LN, lymph node; DLN, draining lymph node; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; MDP, macrophage/dendritic cell progenitor; CCL, C-C motif ligand; BBB, blood-brain barrier; IFNAR, IFN-α receptor; cMoP, common monocyte progenitor; GM-CSF, granulocyte/macrophage colony stimulating factor; M-CSF, macrophage colony stimulating factor; CNS, central nervous system; HSC, haematopoietic stem cell; TLR, Toll-like receptor.

* Corresponding author. Address: Discipline of Pathology, Charles Perkins Centre (D17), University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia. Fax: +61 2 9351 3429.

E-mail address: nickk@pathology.usyd.edu.au (N.J.C. King).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

2. Monocytes and CNS pathologies: viral encephalitis and experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis

2.1. Monocyte subtypes

Monocytes are one of the mononuclear cell types circulating in the blood that are produced in hemopoietic tissues of the bone marrow (BM) throughout life. They can be identified in human and mouse by flow cytometry, using a combination of cell surface markers. Under normal conditions, the entire monocyte population is identified as CD14⁺ (including CD14^{lo} and CD14^{hi} subpopulations) in humans, and CD115⁺CD11b⁺ in mice and humans. In both species, two principle populations and an 'intermediate' population are identifiable. Classical (also termed 'inflammatory') monocytes are CD14^{hi} CD16⁻ in humans and Ly6C^{hi} (CD43^{lo} CCR2^{hi} CX3CR1^{lo}) in mice and are the major monocyte population in the blood [3,4]. Non-classical (also termed 'patrolling') monocytes, represent a much smaller subset (approximately 10%) of blood monocytes and are CD14^{lo} CD16^{hi} in humans, and Ly6C^{lo} (CD43^{hi} CCR2^{lo} CX3CR1^{hi}) in mice [3,4]. The intermediate group is CD14^{hi}CD16^{hi} in humans and Ly6C^{hi}CD43^{hi} population in mice. There are transcriptional similarities between humans and mice comparing their respective subsets, but functionally, mouse classical monocytes appear to be more related to human intermediate monocytes, based on their pro-inflammatory roles [5]. During normal hematopoiesis in mice, the MΦ/DC progenitor (MDP) gives rise to a pre-DC [6] and a recently-described common monocyte progenitor (cMoP), distinguished from the MDP by its downregulated CD135 and upregulated Ly6C, although it still lacks CD11b. The cMoP differentiates into c-kit⁻ CD115⁺ CD11b⁺ Ly6C⁺ monocytes and in turn into c-kit⁻ CD115⁺ CD11b⁺ Ly6C⁻ monocytes [7].

Ly6C^{hi} monocytes are generated in the BM and during homeostasis they likely emigrate, but eventually give rise to Ly6C^{lo} (CX3CR1^{hi}) monocytes [3]. Under homeostatic conditions, Ly6C^{lo} CX3CR1^{hi} monocytes patrol the luminal side of vascular endothelium in a programmatic, albeit peripatetic manner [8]. During inflammation, however, Ly6C^{hi} monocytes emigrate from the BM along the CCR2–CCL2 axis into foci of tissue inflammation, differentiating into inflammatory MΦ, TipDC, or inflammatory DC, which may then migrate to the draining lymph node (DLN), presumably transporting antigen acquired on the way [5,9]. While microglia are normally self-renewing [2], they may be supplemented and/or replenished by infiltrating Ly6C^{hi} monocytes during CNS infection and/or irradiative inflammation [10,11] and these immigrants become Ly6C^{lo} on entry into inflamed tissue [5,9]. Ly6C^{lo} monocytes are also recruited in later stages of inflammation where they are involved in tissue repair. These cells typically differentiate into M2, i.e., anti-inflammatory, MΦ, supporting healing in the injured spinal cord [12]. However, the developmental connection between these 2 phenotypically similar but often temporally disparate populations is not completely clear.

2.2. Induction of bone marrow monocyte responses

The mechanisms by which CNS inflammation induces monocyte mobilisation in the BM are not well understood. The recruitment of BM monocytes during inflammation appears to depend on two initiating events: induction of emigration of existing BM monocytes into the circulation, and generation of new monocytes in the BM to replace the diminished population, which subsequently contribute to the emigrating monocyte population. Monocyte generation relies on two processes, the 'pull' of a diminished downstream population, and/or the 'push' or direct stimulation of hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) or other progenitors. This process has been

reviewed in depth elsewhere [13], but relevant factors are considered here.

2.2.1. Emigration of monocytes from the bone marrow

CCL2 is crucial for Ly6C^{hi} inflammatory monocyte emigration from the BM [14]. Recently, BM stromal cells, but not HSC, were shown to secrete CCL2 in response to low levels of circulating Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands [5]. This induced monocyte migration towards the vascular sinuses, and was dependent on myeloid differentiation primary response protein 88 (MyD88) (involved in responses to TLR ligand binding in all but TLR-3 [15]), but was independent of TNF and type-I interferon (IFN) expression. As the CCL2-expressing cells also expressed various TLR, it was suggested that these cells function to detect infection and rapidly induce monocyte emigration into the circulation.

2.2.2. Generation of new monocytes by myeloid progenitors

Whilst this provides some insight into the mechanisms of monocyte emigration, it does not explain the 'push' signal for monocyte production. This signal is presumably provided by direct inflammatory stimulation of HSC or other progenitors, inducing increased differentiation of self-renewing HSC into downstream progenitors [13]. Direct inflammatory modulation of HSC (which are CCR2⁺) by circulating TLR has been described, inducing such differentiation [16]. Furthermore, soluble immune-mediators may induce BM changes; Seo et al. showed that IFN- α signalling to HSC was required for the generation of Ly6C^{hi} monocytes in a model of viral pneumonia [17] and type I IFN, produced in the spleen in response to infection with *Listeria monocytogenes*, promoted monocyte emigration from the BM [16]. Interestingly, mice deficient in either MyD88 or IFN- α receptor (IFNAR) still had significant monocyte migration from the BM to the site of inflammation, whereas mice deficient in both did not [16]. Interestingly, in these studies, IFN- γ did not play an important role in monocytopoiesis. However, in a model of chronic *Mycobacterium avium* infection, IFN- γ , but not IFN- α , was found to activate HSC, resulting in differentiation into downstream myeloid and lymphoid progenitors replacing the diminished populations [18,19]. On the other hand, in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), GM-CSF produced by CNS cells triggers monocyte mobilisation and emigration from the BM [20].

2.2.3. Differential pathways of monocyte mobilisation

In CNS-initiated mobilisation of the BM, it is unclear if there is a difference in initiating events that predisposes to the preferential differentiation of monocytes towards a MΦ or DC phenotype, prior to entering the CNS. The fact that TLR, type-I and -II IFN, and GM-CSF each induce monocyte emigration and the production of monocytes from progenitors in different situations may explain some differences in the manner of BM mobilisation by different CNS pathologies. Monocyte infiltration during viral encephalitis is rapid, with lethality in animal models occurring within days of initial infiltration [10,21]. The pathogenesis of EAE, on the other hand, is chronic and/or relapsing and depends on T cell responses against myelin proteins that are initially induced by DC [22]. Nevertheless, a potential connection exists between viral and auto-immune causes of CNS infiltration by monocytes. In multiple sclerosis (MS), there is evidence to suggest that reactivity against myelin proteins may occur following CNS viral infection, if anti-viral responses cross-react with myelin proteins [23]. The initial presence of viral RNA or DNA in the circulation could certainly induce monocyte emigration from the BM, via binding of intracellular RNA by TLR within BM stromal cells [24], and TLR stimulation or direct infection of HSC could induce downstream differentiation of these cells [13]. However, although TLR ligands may be present initially, recurrent episodes of MS would have few if any virus-associated

TLR ligands. It is also possible that whilst type I IFN (IFN- α/β) and type II IFN (IFN- γ) are involved in both CNS diseases, the innate differential production of IFN- α/β , crucial for virus control in the early response against CNS viruses [25], by a variety of cell types during infection [26] may induce monocyte mobilisation differently from that seen in MS/EAE. High levels of IFN- γ , which play a role in viral disease pathogenesis [27], is associated with the later development of the adaptive immune response, and may contribute here to the continued recruitment of monocytes. In contrast to acute viral infection, in EAE that progresses as a relapsing or chronic condition, IFN- γ production occurs over a long period of time, similar to the role of IFN- γ in HSC stimulation in chronic infection of the lung [18,19]. As such, the differences in type-I and type-II IFN production may differentially skew the monocyte phenotype towards a M Φ profile in acute infection and a DC profile in a chronic non-infectious setting. However, this has not been investigated experimentally.

2.3. Monocyte migration and infiltration into the CNS: chemotaxis and transmigration

The separation of the CNS from the peripheral circulation by the blood–brain barrier (BBB), limits the access of soluble factors and leukocytes to the CNS. However, during inflammation various changes enable the recruitment of leukocytes into the brain. The process of infiltration involves detection of local chemokine gradients by susceptible migratory leukocytes in the vicinity of the affected CNS parenchyma secreting the chemokines, rolling followed by firm adhesion to the local endothelium, and finally diapedesis and transmigration into the brain parenchyma [28].

2.3.1. Migrating to the brain via chemokine gradients

Chemokines produced at the site of inflammation mediate chemotaxis of leukocytes passing through the neighbouring blood vessels to that site. The chemokine receptors expressed on monocytes include: CCR2, CX3CR1, CCR1, CCR5, CCR6, CCR7, CCR8 and CXCR2 [5]. Of these, CCR2, CCR1, and CCR5 appear to be among the most important for migration.

The CCR2–CCL2 axis is the best-studied chemokine pathway in monocyte migration and infiltration into the CNS. CCR2, which is upregulated on monocytes in a variety of CNS pathologies, binds to CCL2 (or CCL7), which is produced at high levels by infected neurons in animal models of WNV encephalitis [10] and likely by glial cells in EAE [29]. CCL2 neutralisation in WNV encephalitis [10] or CCL2 (or CCL7) deletion in bacterial infection [30] result in reduced monocyte recruitment. Whilst this resulted in higher bacterial loads, in the latter case, reduced monocyte infiltration during WNV encephalitis led to extended, but not permanent survival in mice [10]. This highlights the severity of the immunopathology induced by infiltrating monocytes in the CNS. CCL5 is highly upregulated in a variety of viral CNS infections [10,31] and binds to CCR5 (expressed on Ly6C^{hi} monocytes) and CCR1. In MS, infiltrating monocytes express both CCR1 and CCR5, with their ligands being expressed in the inflamed CNS [32,33]. CCL2 and CCL5 are both upregulated in CNS infection with WNV [10,31]. In EAE, mRNA and protein levels of CX3CL1 and CX3CR1 are elevated in the dorsal root ganglia and spinal cord [34]. Whilst these studies were focused on neuropathic pain, they highlight the potential for recruiting CX3CR1⁺ non-classical monocytes to the inflamed CNS during EAE/MS. Interestingly, in atherosclerosis, CCR2^{lo} monocytes did not rely on CX3CR1 to enter plaques, instead using CCR5 to some extent, while CCR2^{hi} monocytes used CX3CR1, CCR2, and CCR5 [35], emphasising the ability of monocytes to adapt in different disease settings.

2.3.2. Trafficking into the CNS: selection, adhesion, and transmigration

The entry of monocytes into the CNS requires their margination and initial binding to endothelium, followed by firm adhesion to enable transmigration across the BBB into the CNS parenchyma. Ly6C^{hi} monocytes express a variety of cell surface molecules involved in adhesion to vascular endothelium in the CNS, including L-selectin (CD62L), P-selectin glycoprotein ligand 1 (PSGL1), lymphocyte function-associated antigen-1 (LFA-1), macrophage receptor-1 (MAC-1), platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (PECAM-1), and very late antigen-4 (VLA-4). These are reviewed in more detail elsewhere [5].

CD62L is required for entry into the inflamed peritoneum and is also critical for the migration of monocytes into the DLN through high endothelial venules [36,37]. The high expression of CD62L on Ly6C^{hi} monocytes appears to be relevant for their entry into the CNS in EAE [38]. This not only suggests a role for CD62L in tissue entry, but possibly that monocytes predisposed towards a DC phenotype retain CD62L expression when they migrate to the DLN as efficient APC.

During murine WNV encephalitis, the infiltration of pathogenic Ly6C^{hi} monocytes correlates with the upregulation of VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 on CNS vascular endothelium [39], implicating VLA-4 and LFA-1, respectively, in Ly6C^{hi} monocyte infiltration. VLA-4 antibody blockade reduced monocyte infiltration by ~60% and increased survival by up to 60% in infected mice. Not surprisingly, as VLA-4 antibody blockade is used in MS to reduce T cell infiltration, this treatment also reduced T cell infiltration into the CNS in these animals, however, importantly, this was not sufficient to abrogate virus clearance. On the other hand, despite reducing monocyte infiltration by >30% in these experiments, LFA-1 blockade had no effect on survival [21]. It has been shown that patrolling of the luminal side of vascular endothelium by Ly6C^{lo} monocytes is mediated by LFA-1 [8]. This raises the possibility that interfering with LFA-1-mediated interactions might prevent Ly6C^{lo}, potentially M2 monocytes, from entering the CNS, which may abrogate normal anti-inflammatory processes in viral encephalitis and enhance immunopathology mediated by infiltrating Ly6C^{hi} monocytes. However, this was not explored in these studies. This study highlights the differential function of monocyte subsets using different adhesion molecule-integrin receptor pairs, and the importance of VLA-4 use by Ly6C^{hi} monocytes in CNS invasion. Moreover, this was the first study to demonstrate *in vivo* that carefully timed suppression of specific elements of the innate immune system during an acute lethal neurotropic infection could enhance survival by reducing immunopathology without interfering with the generation of immunity.

3. Monocyte differentiation into macrophages and dendritic cells

Inflammatory monocyte migration and subsequent differentiation into DC or M Φ are hallmarks of several immunopathogenic CNS diseases, but the factors directing this differentiation have not been defined clearly. It has been suggested that M Φ and DC, as well as undifferentiated monocytes may cause immunopathology. However, the reported studies have not always distinguished unambiguously between monocytes and M Φ , which makes drawing firm conclusions difficult.

3.1. Monocyte infiltration in CNS pathologies

Invasion of the CNS by a replicating virus results in local activation of resident microglia and astrocytes, with obvious migratory responses by these cells within the brain parenchyma, as well as the immigration of a range of leukocytes from the blood stream.

This infiltrate typically contains monocytes, which differentiate into MΦ or activated microglial phenotypes in the brain, and these have been implicated in several diseases. Thus, inflammatory MΦ infiltration precedes the onset and peak of disease symptoms in neurotropic coronavirus infection [40]. In lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV), monocytes (as well as neutrophils) play a highly pathogenic role [41]. Theiler's encephalomyelitis virus (TMEV) infection results in major inflammatory monocyte infiltration into the CNS within 48 h and ultimately induces severe monocyte-dependent CNS damage, with subsequent differentiation into activated MΦ linked to the development of CNS lesions [42–44]. Several other neuroinvasive viruses, such as neurotropic mouse hepatitis virus (MHV), tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) and WNV, are associated with MΦ infiltration into the CNS [45–48]. Inflammatory (Ly6C^{hi}) monocyte differentiation into Ly6C^{hi} MΦ and/or activated microglia upon entry into the CNS is a key feature of WNV encephalitis and plays a significant role in the pathology (and lethality) of this disease [10].

Inflammatory monocytes also play a role in mediating CNS damage in autoimmune diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and MS [49]. In EAE, the mouse model widely used to study T cell-mediated autoimmune disease in general and MS specifically, inflammatory monocytes have a major impact. Breaking peripheral tolerance to myelin proteins, leads to activation of myelin-specific T cells in secondary lymphoid organs. Once these T cells arrive in the CNS they become re-activated by APCs, resulting in the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, IFN-γ, IL-17, GM-CSF and TNF, as well as chemokines by T cells. The circulating Ly6C^{hi} monocyte population, which expands exponentially before EAE onset, represents a major proportion of the inflammatory cells in the EAE CNS and are DC precursors. Although MΦ are observed in the CNS of EAE mice, DC are more efficient APC and activated DC co-localize with CD4⁺ T cells responsible for demyelination in the CNS, implicating DC, rather than MΦ, in the amplification of responses [20,50,51].

While both DC and MΦ may be present in the diseased CNS in autoimmune or viral encephalitis, MΦ appear to have a more prominent role in viral encephalitis, while DC are more common in autoimmune diseases. Interestingly, however, dramatically reducing immigration of inflammatory monocytes into the CNS at particular timepoints in either of these diseases using negatively charged microparticles, which mediate sequestration and apoptosis of these cells in the spleen, abrogates the symptomatology and in the case of WNV encephalitis, results in up to 60% survival with immunity in an otherwise lethal disease [52]. This suggests that these cells are very similar, if not the same, in the blood stream in both diseases, and that their differentiation is mediated in the CNS, presumably by the prevailing milieu, despite their different fates there. Alternatively, it is possible that separate MΦ and DC precursors may share a common receptor that mediates particle uptake, resulting in both being sequestered by the spleen with the same apoptotic outcomes.

3.2. Monocyte differentiation in steady state

Historically, monocyte-to-DC differentiation *in vivo* was hypothesized to be restricted to inflammatory scenarios, however, studies using fluorescent latex bead uptake to track circulating monocytes, indicated that during steady state conditions Ly6C^{hi} monocytes differentiate into CD103⁺ DC, whereas Ly6C^{lo} monocytes give rise to a CD11b^{hi} DC subtype [53]. A separate study utilizing microspheres as monocyte markers, also suggests that the decision of monocytes to differentiate into MΦ or DC might not solely be determined by cytokines. The authors found that adding microspheres to phagocytic monocytes travelling to the lymph node (LN) induced differentiation into DCs, while monocytes

staying at the site of activation became MΦ [54]. The differentiation of monocyte-derived DC (MDDC) in the absence of inflammatory stimuli is likely a result of basal levels of signaling factors present in this environment. These studies, while useful, do not account for the confounding possibility that the microspheres themselves, once phagocytosed, may have their own influence on the subsequent differentiation of monocytes [52].

Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and macrophage-colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) are well known to influence the differentiation of monocytes in culture. GM-CSF, also known as CSF-2, is secreted by a broad range of cells upon stimulation with cytokines, microbial products and/or antigens, and regulates survival, differentiation, and activation of target cells such as neutrophils, monocytes, MΦ and DC [55,56]. M-CSF, also known as CSF-1, through its receptor, CD115, influences several cell types and its effects include mediating the regulation, development, survival, proliferation and differentiation of MΦ [57]. Culturing human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) with M-CSF alone favors the differentiation of monocytes to MΦ. The outcome of PBMC culture with GM-CSF, on the other hand, depends on the density of GM-CSF receptors (GM-CSFR) on the surface of the monocytes; low GM-CSFR expression is associated with MΦ differentiation, higher GM-CSFR density with differentiation towards DC. The addition of IL-4, which has a M-CSF inhibiting function, overrides the effect of different levels of GM-CSFR and blocks differentiation towards MΦ, thus favoring a DC phenotype [58,59].

GM-CSF and IL-4 function by downregulating CD14 on PBMC at a transcriptional level [60,61]. Culturing human PBMC with GM-CSF, IFN-γ and IL-4 skews the differentiation of monocytes to functional DC, which are not terminally differentiated but capable of presenting antigen to T cells [62–65]. Human monocyte-enriched PBMC cultured with only M-CSF differentiate into immature MΦ but, with the addition of GM-CSF and IL-4, convert to immature MDDC. These DC lose their phagocytic ability and become potent APC [66]. However, removal of these factors convert MDDC back to a MΦ phenotype, indicating that the plasticity enabling differentiation into either MΦ or DC remains intact for some time.

Though culture with M-CSF or GM-CSF can both result in MΦ differentiation, MΦ obtained with different treatments exhibit different morphology. M-CSF-stimulated MΦ (M-BMM) exhibit a spindle-shaped morphology, while GM-CSF-derived MΦ (GM-BMM) appear more rounded [64,66].

3.3. Monocyte differentiation during inflammation

3.3.1. Inflammatory signals and monocyte differentiation

The fate of monocytes in an inflammatory milieu is markedly different from their fate under homeostatic conditions. This can be seen with adoptively-transferred Ly6C^{hi} monocytes, which give rise to regulatory MΦ in the non-inflamed colon, but become inflammatory DC capable of priming T cells and producing IL-12, IL-23, IL-6 and TNF in colitic mice [67]. The fate of monocytes in inflammatory scenarios such as EAE or viral encephalitis is difficult to predict due to the intricate interplay between cytokines, chemokines and receptor-ligand interactions. As would be expected, simulating an “inflammatory” environment by adding pro-inflammatory factors alters the differentiation outcome. In M-CSF- and GM-CSF-directed differentiation of BM monocytes (BMM) to MΦ, LPS induced high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, IL-6, TNF and IL-23, from GM-BMM, whereas M-BMM produced higher levels of IL-10 and CCL2. This raises the possibility that GM-CSF-induced MΦ represent differentiation down the Classical/M1 activated pathway and M-CSF-induced MΦ represent differentiation down the Alternative/M2 regulatory pathway associated with IL-10 production. These two MΦ populations were not terminally differentiated, as

the addition or removal of M-CSF or GM-CSF to the relevant cultures results in an interchange between M Φ phenotypes [68].

Inflammatory stimuli, such as LPS, combined with GM-CSF and IL-4 result in the maturation and irreversible commitment of MDDC to mature DCs [64,69]. Addition of TNF to immature DC *in vitro* reduces their APC capacity, possibly making them functionally mature [62]. Culturing human PBMC with M-CSF, IL-6 and IL-10 shifts monocyte differentiation from DC to M Φ . However, these factors do not have the capacity to convert immature DC to M Φ or monocytes [70].

Current dogma suggests that GM-CSF is crucial for the differentiation of MDDC from inflammatory monocytes, and although this is true for several *in vitro* experiments, the *in vivo* scenario is markedly different. MDDC differentiation in the absence of GM-CSF was examined in several disease models *in vivo*, including infection with Influenza A, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *L. monocytogenes*, LPS stimulation and EAE [71]. CSF-2rb^{-/-} and CSF-2rb2^{-/-} mice in all these inflammatory scenarios had similar inflammatory DC numbers compared to wild type (WT) mice. These DC were identified as fully functional TipDC, indicating that GM-CSF is not required for monocyte accumulation and differentiation into DC during these inflammatory conditions. The authors did however detect high levels of M-CSFR and M-CSF levels on DC and in inflamed tissues, respectively. Removing the M-CSF signal by antibody blockade of M-CSF or the excision of M-CSFR allele did not alter the number of Ly6C^{hi} monocytes infiltrating inflamed tissue. However, MDDC numbers were significantly reduced, indicating that M-CSFR signaling is likely critical for the differentiation of MDDC *in vivo* but not for the recruitment of inflammatory monocytes [71]. The importance of M-CSF in DC differentiation was also confirmed in an inflammatory skin model. UV irradiation resulted in homing of circulating GR1^{hi} (Ly6C/G complex) monocytes to the inflamed dermis and epidermis, which differentiated into Langerhans cells (LC) upon entry. M-CSFR-deficient mice exhibited impaired LC differentiation and tissue M Φ development. Although these mice had similar monocyte numbers homing to inflamed skin, they possessed significantly fewer LC, indicating that M-CSFR is directly involved in monocyte-to-DC differentiation in the skin [72].

3.3.2. Effect of resident cells on monocyte differentiation

During infection, inflammatory stimuli are not only derived from pathogens (e.g. LPS from bacteria) but cells from the host also contribute factors changing the microenvironment, which directly influence monocyte differentiation. The differentiation of monocytes derived from the blood occurs once they reach target tissue [72] and cross the endothelium [20]. Stromal cells like fibroblasts have been shown to impact upon this differentiation. Although monocytes cultured with GM-CSF and IL-4 yield DC [64], the co-culture of these cells with fibroblasts in steady-state conditions, skews the differentiation to M Φ . Monocytes, which become activated when they cross the endothelium, secrete M-CSF and stimulate the release of IL-6 from fibroblasts. IL-6 in turn increases the expression of functional M-CSFR responsible for transducing the M-CSF signal and thereby initiates M Φ differentiation [73]. However, co-culturing these human PBMC with fibroblasts, IL-4, GM-CSF and TNF, induces a terminally differentiated DC phenotype. This occurs because IL-6 facilitates the utilization of M-CSF, whereas TNF induces the internalization of M-CSFR resulting in monocytes being unresponsive to autocrine IL-6 and M-CSF. These results suggest that the balance of the TNF/IL-6 may be crucial in determining the fate of monocytes during inflammation [73]. This is further supported by the overexpression of CCL2 in the brain induced by adeno-associated virus, which results in microglial activation and elevated IL-6 and GM-CSF levels [74]. IL-6, which is involved in monocyte-to-M Φ differentiation, is elevated in the

cerebrospinal fluid of JEV-infected patients [75]. Activated murine microglia in JEV and HSV infection release pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-1 β [76–78]. This release of pro-inflammatory cytokines from microglia likely occurs via a RIG-I-mediated pathway [79]. Infiltrating M Φ are also a major source of IL-6 in TMEV infection of mice; along with the IL-6 produced by microglia this might induce monocyte to M Φ proliferation through an autocrine loop [47,77]. High levels of IL-6 are present in the WNV-infected brain although it is not clear which cells produce it [80].

Other resident cells influencing monocyte differentiation include endothelial cells in the BBB, astrocytes, microglia and oligodendrocytes, which secrete TGF- β and GM-CSF in inflammatory conditions. These factors promote human PBMC (CD14⁺) differentiation to CD83⁺ CD209⁺ (DC-SIGN⁺) DCs, which secrete IL-12p70, TGF- β and IL-6 [81].

Another factor influencing monocyte differentiation is the presence of apoptotic cells, for example in influenza virus infection. These actively dying cells release soluble mediators, directing monocyte differentiation towards M Φ [82]. The activation of the caspase pathway associated with apoptosis, has also been identified as playing a role in the fate of monocyte differentiation. Caspase-8 deletion arrested the M-CSF-induced differentiation of BM-derived monocytes to M Φ [83]. Caspase-8 and caspase-9 were specifically activated in human PBMC stimulated with M-CSF to become M Φ but not by GM-CSF and IL-4. Treatment with a broad-spectrum caspase inhibitor induced a switch from M Φ differentiation to death, further implicating these proteins as crucial factors in the pathway of monocyte differentiation [84]. Human cytomegalovirus-stimulated monocytes relied on caspase-3 rather than the caspase-8 activation seen in M-CSF-induced differentiation [85]. This raises the possibility that infected neurons undergoing apoptosis may skew the differentiation of infiltrating monocytes towards a M Φ phenotype.

3.3.3. Effect of pathogen-induced TLR activation

In addition to resident infected cells, the pathogen itself also influences monocyte differentiation. Differentiation of monocytes into either M Φ or DC *in vivo* can be triggered indirectly by the recognition of microbial ligands by pattern recognition receptors (PRR) or directly by cytokine activation. PRR are a very diverse group of receptors that function by signalling from a cell membrane or cytoplasmic location, or following endocytosis of pathogens in order to destroy them. TLR are important and abundant PRR on monocytes that contribute crucially to the activation of innate and adaptive immune responses. Binding of microbial or viral products by TLR results in dimerization of the receptors and the subsequent triggering of intracellular signalling pathways that operate via NF- κ B and MAP kinase pathways and result in the production and release of cytokines potentially inducing M Φ or DC differentiation. Both TLR2/1 and IL-1 β receptor signalling have been implicated in monocyte differentiation through the common MyD88 signalling pathway. Impairing TLR-4 signalling by using tunicamycin-induced ER stress to suppress NF- κ B activation, markedly suppressed the ability of LPS-stimulated monocytes to differentiate into M Φ [86].

TLR2/1-induced differentiation of monocytes to M Φ or DC relied on specific cytokine-receptor interactions, with IL-15 and GM-CSF inducing CD209⁺ M Φ and DC, respectively. IL-1 β activation favored the proliferation of M Φ over DC, while CD209⁺ M Φ proliferating from IL-1 β -activated culture showed enhanced phagocytosis of mycobacteria compared to TLR2/1-induced M Φ in culture [87,88]. In leprosy, lesions from patients with tuberculoid leprosy (T-Lep) contain both CD209⁺ M Φ and CD1b⁺ DC, while in lepromatous leprosy (L-Lep), lesions contain only CD209⁺ M Φ [88]. In this disease, where TLR2/1 becomes activated, it is the form

of the developing disease that influences which effector populations differentiate from monocytes.

Dengue virus RNA has been shown to co-localize with TLR-3 in a human monocyte cell line, which results in IL-8 and IFN- α/β release. TLR-3 also plays a role in WNV, by restricting infection in neurons; its importance was confirmed in MyD88^{-/-} mice, which show much faster viral spread in the CNS than control animals. Szretter et al. showed that monocyte-derived M Φ (and T cell) recruitment to the CNS was reduced in the absence of MyD88 [89]. The production of TLR-pathway inhibitors by pathogens is a widespread immune evasion method; WNV NS-1 protein for instance blocks TLR-3-induced NF- κ B nuclear translocation and thereby prevents IL-6 production, which plays a role in monocyte-to-M Φ differentiation [90].

Even in EAE, in the absence of pathogens, TLR have been found to influence potential mediators of monocyte differentiation. TLR can be activated by endogenous ligands, which, for example, come from dying cells and thus contribute to autoimmunity and neurodegeneration [91]. In mice immunized with MOG peptide, treatment with 1,25(OH)₂D₃ resulted in a reduction of symptoms, inflammatory cell infiltrate and TNF, IFN γ and IL-17 expression. These findings correlated with a reduction of EAE-induced TLR expression in the spinal cord of mice after 1,25(OH)₂D₃ treatment, especially of TLR8. Testing of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ effects in a human monocyte cell line confirmed the reduction in TLR8 expression and indicated lower mRNA levels of TNF and IL-1 β [92].

Activation of NOD2, an intracellular PRR, by its ligand (NODL), also stimulates monocyte responses. Netea et al. showed that IL-32 directly induced the differentiation of monocytes to a cell type that exhibited the morphology and functionality of a M Φ but possessed some DC-specific markers [93]. Interestingly, NOD2L activation primarily induced the differentiation of human PBMC to CD1b⁺ DC, whereas TLR2/1 activation resulted in both M Φ and DC populations. The DC derived from NOD2L-activated cells were superior APC to TLR2/1-induced DC. Transfection with siRNA resulting in the knockdown of IL-32 RNA, subsequently blocked the NOD2L- but not TLR2/1-mediated differentiation of PBMC into CD1b⁺ DC. In this study, increased IL-32 mRNA and NOD2 expression in patients with T-Lep correlated with higher CD1b⁺ DC numbers present in lesions. This identifies NOD2L-induced IL-32 as a distinct pathway of DC differentiation in humans [94]. Thus, IL-1 β seems to be a more potent stimulator of monocyte-to-M Φ differentiation, whereas TLR2/1 and especially NOD2 favor differentiation to DC.

Interferon regulatory factors (IRF), which are activated by TLR and RIG-1-like receptors, are transcription factors playing a crucial role in host defense mainly by controlling the production of IFN [95,96]. Increased IRF expression can be found in several CNS pathologies associated with M Φ or DC infiltration, although the extent to which these factors may be involved in modulating the monocyte differentiation in the CNS has not been examined. IRF-7 is crucial for the control of WNV infection and spread to the CNS [97] and along with IRF-9 and -5 is upregulated in lymphocytic choriomeningitis infection [98]. IRF-3 is necessary to control viral replication and IL-6 production in TMEV [99] and flaviviruses such as JEV and dengue virus can induce IRF-3, -7 and -1 in culture, respectively. Although in the periphery IRF-7 is mainly expressed in pDC, in the CNS IRF-7 can be upregulated on neurons during viral encephalitis and correlates with Type I IFN production [100]. However, increased IRF-7 gene expression is also present in EAE CNS disease progression, with the absence of IRF-7 associated with increased severity of disease and M Φ infiltration [101]. IRF-8 is well known for its role in the differentiation of non-monocyte-derived DC and maturation of myeloid DC [102–104], as well as the normal differentiation of microglia [105]. There are several examples of IRF-7 and -8 directing monocyte differentiation to

M Φ *in vitro* [106,107]. Interestingly, IRF-8 has been shown to negatively regulate TLR3, which is constitutively expressed upon monocyte-to-DC differentiation. IRF-8 and IRF-1 compete for binding, which induces TLR3 promoter activity on MDDC [108]. IRF-4, in turn, has been associated with monocyte-to-MDDC differentiation *in vitro* [109,110]. Although no established link has been made between IRF in the CNS and monocyte differentiation, *in vitro* studies suggest that these factors might form a link in the sequence of events steering monocyte differentiation during viral infection or autoimmune disease.

3.3.4. T cells, NK cells, NKT cells and IFN- γ

Other effector cells recruited to the inflammatory milieu are stimulated to secrete factors influencing monocyte differentiation. IFN- γ , which is secreted by NK cells and activated T cells, can suppress the differentiation of monocytes to DC by inducing M-CSF and IL-6 production from monocytes *in vitro* [70]. However, IFN- γ has also been implicated in M Φ induction. NK cells isolated from the blood of patients suffering from rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis induced differentiation of monocytes into DC in a cell contact-, GM-CSF- and CD154-dependent manner. These MDDC differ phenotypically from DC obtained from *in vitro* culture of monocytes with GM-CSF and IL-4, but still efficiently presented antigen and activated CD4⁺ T cells, which were polarized toward Th1 [111]. Ly6C⁺ monocytes in *Trypanosoma brucei brucei*-infected mice gave rise to TNF- and iNOS-producing inflammatory TipDC (CD103⁻). IL-10 treatment significantly reduced this differentiation of DC from inflammatory monocytes [112].

Inflammatory monocytes expressing Ly6C and producing TNF and IL-12 are crucial for the defense against intestinal infection by *Toxoplasma gondii* (*T. gondii*) [113,114]. Activation and function of this subset was severely impaired in *T. gondii*-infected CXCR3 knockout (KO) mice and disease symptoms were exacerbated. CXCR3 KO mice also show impaired recruitment of CD4⁺ T cells and production of IFN- γ by these cells. CXCR3 was identified as important for CD4⁺ T cell trafficking and consequent IFN- γ production in inflamed intestine. Adoptively transferred IFN^{+/+} CD4⁺ T cells but not IFN^{-/-} CD4⁺ T cells restored Ly6C⁺ monocyte function and activation [114]. NK cell-produced IFN- γ may also regulate the differentiation of monocytes to DC, and IL-12 production during intraperitoneal infection with *T. gondii*. These experiments identify IFN- γ , produced by either NK or CD4⁺ T cells, as a key player in monocyte activation and differentiation to DC during inflammation [113,114]. In the autoimmune scenario represented by EAE, CXCR3 KO mice also presented with exacerbated disease; however, in contrast to the effect of CXCR3 deletion in *T. gondii* infection, the number of T cells trafficking to the CNS was not affected. In EAE and MS, infiltrating activated T cells expressing CXCR3 are attracted to CXCR3 ligands, CXCL9, CXCL10 and CXCL11 produced in and around the perivascular space and are thus restricted to this location. Deletion of CXCR3 leads to uncontrolled spread of T cells throughout the CNS but also reduces the recruitment of Foxp3⁺ T cells and effector T cell interaction, and therefore results in more severe autoimmune-mediated tissue damage [115].

Non-activated inflammatory monocytes and inflammatory MDDC are APC capable of stimulating T cells, which in turn produce GM-CSF, inducing the differentiation of inflammatory monocytes to activated inflammatory DC. Activated inflammatory DC are potent APC, capable of stimulating large numbers of antigen-specific T cells, which secrete more GM-CSF, TNF and IFN- γ . The resulting activation of inflammatory monocytes and DC leads to increased nitric oxide (NO) production. NO production by inflammatory monocytes, activated by a combination of IFN- γ , GM-CSF and LPS, has been shown to suppress CD4⁺ T cells cultured with MOG-peptide *in vitro* [116]. However, it should be noted while NO clearly has antiviral efficacy [80], sustained levels *in vivo* may

cause disease and result in substantial bystander damage during WNV encephalitis either directly [21] or indirectly, probably via IFN- γ stimulation of inflammatory M Φ [105,21].

Another effector function of CD4⁺ T cells in EAE was revealed by co-culture of myelin specific CD4⁺ T cells with monocytes from EAE mice; this resulted in monocyte upregulation of MHC-II, CD11c, CD86 and CD40, and downregulation of Ly6C, indicating a shift toward DC phenotype. This was further confirmed by adding CD4⁺ T cells isolated directly from the spinal cord of EAE mice to monocyte culture, resulting in monocytes from healthy animals upregulating DC markers and co-stimulatory molecules, becoming more granular, larger and forming dendrites [59].

NKT cells are a group of regulatory immune cells of emerging importance, which mainly recognize lipids and glycolipids presented by CD1d. Their capacity to rapidly release an array of different cytokines allows them to influence the direction of the immune response. NKT cells secrete GM-CSF, IL-4 and IFN- γ when they bind CD1d [117], which is expressed on monocytes, *inter alia*. As a consequence, monocytes isolated from human blood are capable of inducing NKT cell cytokine production, which then drives monocytes to differentiate into DC [118]. A more recent study suggests that during acute neuroinflammation in EAE, monocyte differentiation is skewed to M2 M Φ by invariant NKT cell (iNKT) activation with CD1d induction and IL-4 production. The switch from M1 M Φ to M2 M Φ resulted in improved disease outcome [119].

4. Conclusions

Although the factors determining monocyte fate are not completely understood, studies to date strongly suggest that multiple factors at the site of differentiation, including those secreted by resident and immune effector cells, play crucial roles in this process. Clear differences between mediators in an infectious setting, such as viral encephalitis, and the autoimmune response, which drives EAE, likely determine the outcome of monocyte differentiation into M Φ or DC, respectively. These differences may also affect the mechanisms by which the CNS mobilizes monocytes in the bone marrow. As monocyte differentiation can have both protective and immunopathological outcomes in CNS disease, it is crucial to gain better insight into defining factors that govern differentiation to inform more tailored approaches for intervention in these diseases.

Acknowledgments

TMA is supported by and Australian Postgraduate Award, CvV is supported by a University of Sydney International Scholarship, PN is supported by an International Postgraduate Research Scholarship. This work was supported by NH&MRC Project Grant 1030897 to NJCK.

References

- [1] F. Ginhoux, M. Greter, M. Leboeuf, S. Nandi, P. See, S. Gokhan, M.F. Mehler, S.J. Conway, L.G. Ng, E.R. Stanley, I.M. Samokhvalov, M. Merad, Fate mapping analysis reveals that adult microglia derive from primitive macrophages, *Science* 330 (2010) 841–845.
- [2] S. Yona, K.-W. Kim, Y. Wolf, A. Mildner, D. Varol, M. Breker, D. Strauss-Ayali, S. Viukov, M. Guillemins, A. Misharin, D.A. Hume, H. Perlman, B. Malissen, E. Zelzer, S. Jung, Fate mapping reveals origins and dynamics of monocytes and tissue macrophages under homeostasis, *Immunity* 38 (2013) 79–91.
- [3] C. Sunderkotter, T. Nikolic, M.J. Dillon, N. Van Rooijen, M. Stehling, D.A. Drevets, P.J. Leenen, Subpopulations of mouse blood monocytes differ in maturation stage and inflammatory response, *J. Immunol.* 172 (2004) 4410–4417.
- [4] F. Geissmann, S. Jung, D.R. Littman, Blood monocytes consist of two principal subsets with distinct migratory properties, *Immunity* 19 (2003) 71–82.
- [5] C. Shi, E.G. Pamer, Monocyte recruitment during infection and inflammation, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 11 (2011) 762–774.
- [6] D.K. Fogg, C. Sibon, C. Miled, S. Jung, P. Aucouturier, D.R. Littman, A. Cumano, F. Geissmann, A clonogenic bone marrow progenitor specific for macrophages and dendritic cells, *Science* 311 (2006) 83–87.
- [7] J. Hettinger, D.M. Richards, J. Hansson, M.M. Barra, A.-C. Joschko, J. Krijgsvelde, M. Feuerer, Origin of monocytes and macrophages in a committed progenitor, *Nat. Immunol.* (2013) 1–12.
- [8] C. Auffray, D. Fogg, M. Garfa, G. Elain, O. Join-Lambert, S. Kayal, S. Sarnacki, A. Cumano, G. Lauvau, F. Geissmann, Monitoring of blood vessels and tissues by a population of monocytes with patrolling behavior, *Science* 317 (2007) 666–670.
- [9] A.M. Davison, N.J. King, Accelerated dendritic cell differentiation from migrating Ly6C(lo) bone marrow monocytes in early dermal West Nile virus infection, *J. Immunol.* 186 (2011) 2382–2396.
- [10] D.R. Getts, R.L. Terry, M.T. Getts, M. Müller, S. Rana, B. Shrestha, J. Radford, N. Van Rooijen, I.L. Campbell, N.J.C. King, Ly6c⁺ “inflammatory monocytes” are microglial precursors recruited in a pathogenic manner in West Nile virus encephalitis, *J. Exp. Med.* 205 (2008) 2319–2337.
- [11] A. Mildner, H. Schmidt, M. Nitsche, D. Merkler, U.K. Hanisch, M. Mack, M. Heikenwalder, W. Bruck, J. Priller, M. Prinz, Microglia in the adult brain arise from Ly-6ChiCCR2⁺ monocytes only under defined host conditions, *Nat. Neurosci.* 10 (2007) 1544–1553.
- [12] R. Shechter, O. Miller, G. Yovel, N. Rosenzweig, A. London, J. Ruckh, K.-W. Kim, E. Klein, V. Kalchenko, P. Bendel, S.A. Lira, S. Jung, M. Schwartz, Recruitment of beneficial M2 macrophages to injured spinal cord is orchestrated by remote brain choroid plexus, *Immunity* 38 (2013) 555–569.
- [13] K.Y. King, M.A. Goodell, Inflammatory modulation of HSCs: viewing the HSC as a foundation for the immune response, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 11 (2011) 685–692.
- [14] N.V. Serbina, E.G. Pamer, Monocyte emigration from bone marrow during bacterial infection requires signals mediated by chemokine receptor CCR2, *Nat. Immunol.* 7 (2006) 311–317.
- [15] T. Kondo, T. Kawai, S. Akira, Dissecting negative regulation of Toll-like receptor signaling, *Trends Immunol.* 33 (2012) 449–458.
- [16] T. Jia, I. Leiner, G. Dorothee, K. Brandl, E.G. Pamer, MyD88 and Type I interferon receptor-mediated chemokine induction and monocyte recruitment during *Listeria monocytogenes* infection, *J. Immunol.* 183 (2009) 1271–1278.
- [17] S.-U. Seo, H.-J. Kwon, H.-J. Ko, Y.-H. Byun, B.L. Seong, S. Uematsu, S. Akira, M.-N. Kweon, Type I interferon signaling regulates Ly6C(hi) monocytes and neutrophils during acute viral pneumonia in mice, *PLoS Pathog.* 7 (2011) e1001304.
- [18] M.T. Baldrige, K.Y. King, N.C. Boles, D.C. Weksberg, M.A. Goodell, Quiescent haematopoietic stem cells are activated by IFN- γ in response to chronic infection, *Nature* 465 (2010) 793–797.
- [19] M.A.G. Essers, S. Offner, W.E. Blanco-Bose, Z. Waibler, U. Kalinke, M.A. Duchosal, A. Trumpp, IFN α activates dormant haematopoietic stem cells in vivo, *Nature* 458 (2009) 904–908.
- [20] I.L. King, T.L. Dickendesher, B.M. Segal, Circulating Ly-6C⁺ myeloid precursors migrate to the CNS and play a pathogenic role during autoimmune demyelinating disease, *Blood* 113 (2009) 3190–3197.
- [21] D.R. Getts, R.L. Terry, M.T. Getts, M. Müller, S. Rana, C. Deffrasnes, T.M. Ashhurst, J. Radford, M. Hofer, S. Thomas, I.L. Campbell, N.J. King, Targeted blockade in lethal West Nile virus encephalitis indicates a crucial role for very late antigen (VLA)-4-dependent recruitment of nitric oxide-producing macrophages, *J. Neuroinflammation* 9 (2012) 246.
- [22] S.L. Bailey, B. Schreiner, E.J. McMahon, S.D. Miller, CNS myeloid DCs presenting endogenous myelin peptides ‘preferentially’ polarize CD4⁺ T(H)-17 cells in relapsing EAE, *Nat. Immunol.* 8 (2007) 172–180.
- [23] J.E. Libbey, M.F. Cusick, R.S. Fujinami, Role of pathogens in multiple sclerosis, *Int. Rev. Immunol.* (2013).
- [24] C. Shi, T. Jia, S. Mendez-Ferrer, T.M. Hohl, N.V. Serbina, L. Lipuma, I. Leiner, M.O. Li, P.S. Frenette, E.G. Pamer, Bone marrow mesenchymal stem and progenitor cells induce monocyte emigration in response to circulating toll-like receptor ligands, *Immunity* 34 (2011) 590–601.
- [25] F. Sorgeloos, M. Kreit, P. Hermant, C. Lardinois, T. Michiels, Antiviral type I and type III interferon responses in the central nervous system, *Viruses* 5 (2013) 834–857.
- [26] M. Colonna, G. Trinchieri, Y.-J. Liu, Plasmacytoid dendritic cells in immunity, *Nat. Immunol.* 5 (2004) 1219–1226.
- [27] D.R. Getts, I. Matsumoto, M. Muller, M.T. Getts, J. Radford, B. Shrestha, I.L. Campbell, N.J. King, Role of IFN- γ in an experimental murine model of West Nile virus-induced seizures, *J. Neurochem.* 103 (2007) 1019–1030.
- [28] E.H. Wilson, W. Weninger, C.A. Hunter, Trafficking of immune cells in the central nervous system, *J. Clin. Invest.* 120 (2010) 1368–1379.
- [29] S. Ge, B. Shrestha, D. Paul, C. Keating, R. Cone, A. Guglielmotti, J.S. Pachter, The CCL2 synthesis inhibitor bindarit targets cells of the neurovascular unit, and suppresses experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, *J. Neuroinflammation* 9 (2012) 171.
- [30] T. Jia, N.V. Serbina, K. Brandl, M.X. Zhong, I.M. Leiner, I.F. Charo, E.G. Pamer, Additive roles for MCP-1 and MCP-3 in CCR2-mediated recruitment of inflammatory monocytes during *Listeria monocytogenes* infection, *J. Immunol.* 180 (2008) 6846–6853.
- [31] W.G. Glass, J.K. Lim, R. Cholera, A.G. Pletnev, J.-L. Gao, P.M. Murphy, Chemokine receptor CCR5 promotes leukocyte trafficking to the brain and survival in West Nile virus infection, *J. Exp. Med.* 202 (2005) 1087–1098.

- [32] C. Trebst, T.L. Sørensen, P. Kivisäkk, M.K. Cathcart, J. Hesselgesser, R. Horuk, F. Sellebjerg, H. Lassmann, R.M. Ransohoff, CCR1+/CCR5+ mononuclear phagocytes accumulate in the central nervous system of patients with multiple sclerosis, *Am. J. Pathol.* 159 (2001) 1701–1710.
- [33] D.J. Mahad, C. Trebst, P. Kivisäkk, S.M. Staugaitis, B. Tucky, T. Wei, C.F. Lucchinetti, H. Lassmann, R.M. Ransohoff, Expression of chemokine receptors CCR1 and CCR5 reflects differential activation of mononuclear phagocytes in pattern II and pattern III multiple sclerosis lesions, *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 63 (2004) 262–273.
- [34] W. Zhu, C. Acosta, B. MacNeil, C. Cortes, H. Intrater, Y. Gong, M. Namaka, Elevated expression of fractalkine (CX3CL1) and fractalkine receptor (CX3CR1) in the dorsal root ganglia and spinal cord in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis: implications in multiple sclerosis-induced neuropathic pain, *BioMed Res. Int.* 2013 (2013) 480702.
- [35] F. Tacke, D. Alvarez, T.J. Kaplan, C. Jakubczick, R. Spanbroek, J. Llodra, A. Garin, J. Liu, M. Mack, N. van Rooijen, S.A. Lira, A.J. Habenicht, G.J. Randolph, Monocyte subsets differentially employ CCR2, CCR5, and CX3CR1 to accumulate within atherosclerotic plaques, *J. Clin. Investig.* 117 (2007) 185–194.
- [36] T.F. Tedder, D.A. Steeber, P. Pizcueta, L-selectin-deficient mice have impaired leukocyte recruitment into inflammatory sites, *J. Exp. Med.* 181 (1995) 2259–2264.
- [37] B. Leon, C. Ardavin, Monocyte migration to inflamed skin and lymph nodes is differentially controlled by L-selectin and PSGL-1, *Blood* 111 (2008) 3126–3130.
- [38] M.K. Mishra, J. Wang, C. Silva, M. Mack, V.W. Yong, Kinetics of proinflammatory monocytes in a model of multiple sclerosis and its perturbation by laquinimod, *Am. J. Pathol.* 181 (2012) 642–651.
- [39] N.J.C. King, B. Shrestha, A.M. Kesson, Immune modulation by flaviviruses, in: T. Monath, T. Chambers (Eds.), *The Flaviviruses: Pathogenesis and Immunity*, Elsevier Academic Press, 2003, pp. 121–155.
- [40] N.B. Butchi, D.R. Hinton, S.A. Stohlman, P. Kapil, V. Fensterl, G.C. Sen, C.C. Bergmann, Ifit2 deficiency results in uncontrolled neurotropic coronavirus replication and enhanced encephalitis via impaired IFN α /beta induction in macrophages, *J. Virol.* (2013).
- [41] J.V. Kim, S.S. Kang, M.L. Dustin, D.B. McGavern, Myelomonocytic cell recruitment causes fatal CNS vascular injury during acute viral meningitis, *Nature* 457 (2009) 191–195.
- [42] C.L. Howe, R.G. Lafrance-Corey, R.S. Sundsbak, B.M. Sauer, S.J. Lafrance, E.J. Buenz, W.F. Schmalstieg, Hippocampal protection in mice with an attenuated inflammatory monocyte response to acute CNS picornavirus infection, *Sci. Rep.* 2 (2012) 545.
- [43] J.L. Bennett, A. Elhogy, M.C. Canto, M. Tani, R.M. Ransohoff, W.J. Karpus, CCL2 transgene expression in the central nervous system directs diffuse infiltration of CD45(high)CD11b(+) monocytes and enhanced Theiler's murine encephalomyelitis virus-induced demyelinating disease, *J. Neurovirol.* 9 (2003) 623–636.
- [44] J.L. Bowen, J.K. Olson, IFN γ influences type I interferon response and susceptibility to Theiler's virus-induced demyelinating disease, *Viral Immunol.* 26 (2013) 223–238.
- [45] E. Gelpi, M. Preusser, F. Garzuly, H. Holzmann, F.X. Heinz, H. Budka, Visualization of Central European tick-borne encephalitis infection in fatal human cases, *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 64 (2005) 506–512.
- [46] E. Gelpi, M. Preusser, U. Lagner, F. Garzuly, H. Holzmann, F.X. Heinz, H. Budka, Inflammatory response in human tick-borne encephalitis: analysis of postmortem brain tissue, *J. Neurovirol.* 12 (2006) 322–327.
- [47] M.F. Cusick, J.E. Libbey, D.C. Patel, D.J. Doty, R.S. Fujinami, Infiltrating macrophages are key to the development of seizures following virus infection, *J. Virol.* 87 (2013) 1849–1860.
- [48] S.P. Templeton, T.S. Kim, K. O'Malley, S. Perlman, Maturation and localization of macrophages and microglia during infection with a neurotropic murine coronavirus, *Brain Pathol.* 18 (2008) 40–51.
- [49] O. Butovsky, S. Siddiqui, G. Gabriely, A.J. Lanser, B. Dake, G. Murugaiyan, C.E. Doykan, P.M. Wu, R.R. Gali, L.K. Iyer, R. Lawson, J. Berry, A.M. Krichevsky, M.E. Cudkovic, H.L. Weiner, Modulating inflammatory monocytes with a unique microRNA gene signature ameliorates murine ALS, *J. Clin. Investig.* 122 (2012) 3063–3087.
- [50] B. Zhu, Y. Bando, S. Xiao, K. Yang, A.C. Anderson, V.K. Kuchroo, S.J. Khoury, CD11b+Ly-6C(hi) suppressive monocytes in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, *J. Immunol.* 179 (2007) 5228–5237.
- [51] E.J. McMahon, S.L. Bailey, C.V. Castenada, H. Waldner, S.D. Miller, Epitope spreading initiates in the CNS in two mouse models of multiple sclerosis, *Nat. Med.* 11 (2005) 335–339.
- [52] D.R. Getts, R.L. Terry, M.T. Getts, C. Deffrasnes, M. Müller, C. van Vreden, T.M. Ashhurst, B. Chami, D. McCarthy, H. Wu, J. Ma, A. Martin, L.D. Shae, P. Witting, G.S. Kansas, J. Kühn, W. Hafezi, I.L. Campbell, D. Reilly, J. Say, L. Brown, M.Y. White, S.J. Cordwell, S.J. Chadban, E.B. Thorp, S. Bao, S.D. Miller, N.J.C. King, Therapeutic inflammatory monocyte modulation using immune-modifying microparticles, *Sci. Transl. Med.* 6 (2014). 219ra217.
- [53] C. Jakubczick, F. Tacke, F. Ginhoux, A.J. Wagers, N. van Rooijen, M. Mack, M. Merad, G.J. Randolph, Blood monocyte subsets differentially give rise to CD103+ and CD103– pulmonary dendritic cell populations, *J. Immunol.* 180 (2008) 3019–3027.
- [54] G.J. Randolph, S. Beaulieu, S. Lebecque, R.M. Steinman, W.A. Muller, Differentiation of monocytes into dendritic cells in a model of transendothelial trafficking, *Science* 282 (1998) 480–483.
- [55] B. Sallerfors, Endogenous production and peripheral blood levels of granulocyte–macrophage (GM-) and granulocyte (G-) colony-stimulating factors, *Leuk. Lymphoma* 13 (1994) 235–247.
- [56] A.J. Fleetwood, A.D. Cook, J.A. Hamilton, Functions of granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor, *Crit. Rev. Immunol.* 25 (2005) 405–428.
- [57] S. Wei, X.M. Dai, E.R. Stanley, Transgenic expression of CSF-1 in CSF-1 receptor-expressing cells leads to macrophage activation, osteoporosis, and early death, *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 80 (2006) 1445–1453.
- [58] L. Conti, S. Gessani, GM-CSF in the generation of dendritic cells from human blood monocyte precursors: recent advances, *Immunobiology* 213 (2008) 859–870.
- [59] M.G. Davidson, M.N. Alonso, R. Yuan, R.C. Axtell, J.A. Kenkel, M.M. Suhsoski, J.C. Gonzalez, L. Steinman, E.G. Engleman, Th17 cells induce Th1-polarizing monocyte-derived dendritic cells, *J. Immunol.* 191 (2013) 1175–1187.
- [60] M. Kruger, J.G. Van de Winkel, T.P. De Wit, L. Coorevits, J.L. Ceuppens, Granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor down-regulates CD14 expression on monocytes, *Immunology* 89 (1996) 89–95.
- [61] R.P. Lauener, S.M. Goyert, R.S. Geha, D. Vercelli, Interleukin 4 down-regulates the expression of CD14 in normal human monocytes, *Eur. J. Immunol.* 20 (1990) 2375–2381.
- [62] F. Sallusto, A. Lanzavecchia, Efficient presentation of soluble antigen by cultured human dendritic cells is maintained by granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor plus interleukin 4 and downregulated by tumor necrosis factor alpha, *J. Exp. Med.* 179 (1994) 1109–1118.
- [63] R. Gieseler, D. Heise, A. Soruri, P. Schwartz, J.H. Peters, In-vitro differentiation of mature dendritic cells from human blood monocytes, *Dev. Immunol.* 6 (1998) 25–39.
- [64] K.S. Akagawa, N. Takasuka, Y. Nozaki, I. Komuro, M. Azuma, M. Ueda, M. Naito, K. Takahashi, Generation of CD1+RelB+ dendritic cells and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase-positive osteoclast-like multinucleated giant cells from human monocytes, *Blood* 88 (1996) 4029–4039.
- [65] B. Leon, M. Lopez-Bravo, C. Ardavin, Monocyte-derived dendritic cells, *Semin. Immunol.* 17 (2005) 313–318.
- [66] F. Chapuis, M. Rosenzweig, M. Yagello, M. Ekman, P. Biberfeld, J.C. Gluckman, Differentiation of human dendritic cells from monocytes in vitro, *Eur. J. Immunol.* 27 (1997) 431–441.
- [67] A. Rivollier, J. He, A. Kole, V. Valatas, B.L. Kelsall, Inflammation switches the differentiation program of Ly6Chi monocytes from antiinflammatory macrophages to inflammatory dendritic cells in the colon, *J. Exp. Med.* 209 (2012) 139–155.
- [68] A.J. Fleetwood, T. Lawrence, J.A. Hamilton, A.D. Cook, Granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor (CSF) and macrophage CSF-dependent macrophage phenotypes display differences in cytokine profiles and transcription factor activities: implications for CSF blockade in inflammation, *J. Immunol.* 178 (2007) 5245–5252.
- [69] K.A. Palucka, N. Taquet, F. Sanchez-Chapuis, J.C. Gluckman, Dendritic cells as the terminal stage of monocyte differentiation, *J. Immunol.* 160 (1998) 4587–4595.
- [70] Y. Delneste, P. Charbonnier, N. Herbault, G. Magistrelli, G. Caron, J.Y. Bonnefoy, P. Jeannin, Interferon-gamma switches monocyte differentiation from dendritic cells to macrophages, *Blood* 101 (2003) 143–150.
- [71] M. Greter, J. Helft, A. Chow, D. Hashimoto, A. Mortha, J. Agudo-Cantero, M. Bogunovic, E.L. Gautier, J. Miller, M. Leboeuf, G. Lu, C. Aloman, B.D. Brown, J.W. Pollard, H. Xiong, G.J. Randolph, J.E. Chipuk, P.S. Frenette, M. Merad, GM-CSF controls nonlymphoid tissue dendritic cell homeostasis but is dispensable for the differentiation of inflammatory dendritic cells, *Immunity* 36 (2012) 1031–1046.
- [72] F. Ginhoux, F. Tacke, V. Angeli, M. Bogunovic, M. Loubéau, X.M. Dai, E.R. Stanley, G.J. Randolph, M. Merad, Langerhans cells arise from monocytes in vivo, *Nat. Immunol.* 7 (2006) 265–273.
- [73] P. Chomarat, J. Banachereau, J. Davoust, A.K. Palucka, IL-6 switches the differentiation of monocytes from dendritic cells to macrophages, *Nat. Immunol.* 1 (2000) 510–514.
- [74] M.L. Selenica, J.A. Alvarez, K.R. Nash, D.C. Lee, C. Cao, X. Lin, P. Reid, P.R. Mouton, D. Morgan, M.N. Gordon, Diverse activation of microglia by chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 overexpression in brain, *J. Neuroinflammation* 10 (2013) 86.
- [75] S.K. Pujhari, S. Prabhakar, R. Ratho, B. Mishra, M. Modi, S. Sharma, P. Singh, Th1 immune response takeover among patients with severe Japanese encephalitis infection, *J. Neuroimmunol.* 263 (2013) 133–138.
- [76] A. Ghoshal, S. Das, S. Ghosh, M.K. Mishra, V. Sharma, P. Koli, E. Sen, A. Basu, Proinflammatory mediators released by activated microglia induces neuronal death in Japanese encephalitis, *Glia* 55 (2007) 483–496.
- [77] C.P. Marques, M.C. Cheeran, J.M. Palmquist, S. Hu, S.L. Urban, J.R. Lokensgard, Prolonged microglial cell activation and lymphocyte infiltration following experimental herpes encephalitis, *J. Immunol.* 181 (2008) 6417–6426.
- [78] C.J. Chen, Y.C. Ou, S.Y. Lin, S.L. Raung, S.L. Liao, C.Y. Lai, S.Y. Chen, J.H. Chen, Glial activation involvement in neuronal death by Japanese encephalitis virus infection, *J. Gen. Virol.* 91 (2010) 1028–1037.
- [79] A. Nazmi, K. Dutta, A. Basu, RIG-I mediates innate immune response in mouse neurons following Japanese encephalitis virus infection, *PLoS ONE* 6 (2011) e21761.
- [80] A.L. Nocon, J.P. Ip, R. Terry, S.L. Lim, D.R. Getts, M. Muller, M.J. Hofer, N.J. King, I.L. Campbell, The bacteriostatic protein lipocalin 2 is induced in the central nervous system of mice with West Nile virus encephalitis, *J. Virol.* 88 (2014) 679–689.

- [81] I. Ifergan, H. Kebir, M. Bernard, K. Wosik, A. Dodelet-Devillers, R. Cayrol, N. Arbour, A. Prat, The blood–brain barrier induces differentiation of migrating monocytes into Th17-polarizing dendritic cells, *Brain* 131 (2008) 785–799.
- [82] N. Uchida, K. Ohshima, B. Yuan, T. Bessho, T. Yamakawa, Differentiation of monocytes to macrophages induced by influenza virus-infected apoptotic cells, *J. Gen. Virol.* 83 (2002) 747–751.
- [83] T.B. Kang, T. Ben-Moshe, E.E. Varfolomeev, Y. Pewzner-Jung, N. Yogev, A. Jurewicz, A. Waisman, O. Brenner, R. Haffner, E. Gustafsson, P. Ramakrishnan, T. Lapidot, D. Wallach, Caspase-8 serves both apoptotic and nonapoptotic roles, *J. Immunol.* 173 (2004) 2976–2984.
- [84] O. Sordet, C. Rebe, S. Plenchette, Y. Zermati, O. Hermine, W. Vainchenker, C. Garrido, E. Solary, L. Dubrez-Daloz, Specific involvement of caspases in the differentiation of monocytes into macrophages, *Blood* 100 (2002) 4446–4453.
- [85] G. Chan, M.T. Nogalski, A.D. Yurochko, Human cytomegalovirus stimulates monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation via the temporal regulation of caspase 3, *J. Virol.* 86 (2012) 10714–10723.
- [86] T. Komura, Y. Sakai, M. Honda, T. Takamura, T. Wada, S. Kaneko, ER stress induced impaired TLR signaling and macrophage differentiation of human monocytes, *Cell. Immunol.* 282 (2013) 44–52.
- [87] M. Schenk, M. Fabri, S.R. Krutzik, D.J. Lee, D.M. Vu, P.A. Sieling, D. Montoya, P.T. Liu, R.L. Modlin, IL-1beta triggers the differentiation of macrophages with enhanced capacity to present mycobacterial antigen to T cells, *Immunology* (2013).
- [88] S.R. Krutzik, B. Tan, H. Li, M.T. Ochoa, P.T. Liu, S.E. Sharfstein, T.G. Graeber, P.A. Sieling, Y.J. Liu, T.H. Rea, B.R. Bloom, R.L. Modlin, TLR activation triggers the rapid differentiation of monocytes into macrophages and dendritic cells, *Nat. Med.* 11 (2005) 653–660.
- [89] K.J. Szretter, S. Daffis, J. Patel, M.S. Suthar, R.S. Klein, M. Gale Jr., M.S. Diamond, The innate immune adaptor molecule MyD88 restricts West Nile virus replication and spread in neurons of the central nervous system, *J. Virol.* 84 (2010) 12125–12138.
- [90] J.R. Wilson, P.F. de Sessions, M.A. Leon, F. Scholle, West Nile virus nonstructural protein 1 inhibits TLR3 signal transduction, *J. Virol.* 82 (2008) 8262–8271.
- [91] M. Gambuzza, N. Licata, E. Palella, D. Celi, V. Foti Cuzzola, D. Italiano, S. Marino, P. Bramanti, Targeting Toll-like receptors: emerging therapeutics for multiple sclerosis management, *J. Neuroimmunol.* 239 (2011) 1–12.
- [92] B. Li, D.J. Baylink, C. Deb, C. Zannetti, F. Rajaallah, W. Xing, M.H. Walter, K.H. Lau, X. Qin, 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 suppresses TLR8 expression and TLR8-mediated inflammatory responses in monocytes in vitro and experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in vivo, *PLoS ONE* 8 (2013) e58808.
- [93] M.G. Netea, E.C. Lewis, T. Azam, L.A. Joosten, J. Jaekal, S.Y. Bae, C.A. Dinarello, S.H. Kim, Interleukin-32 induces the differentiation of monocytes into macrophage-like cells, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105 (2008) 3515–3520.
- [94] M. Schenk, S.R. Krutzik, P.A. Sieling, D.J. Lee, R.M. Teles, M.T. Ochoa, E. Komisopoulou, E.N. Sarno, T.H. Rea, T.G. Graeber, S. Kim, G. Cheng, R.L. Modlin, NOD2 triggers an interleukin-32-dependent human dendritic cell program in leprosy, *Nat. Med.* 18 (2012) 555–563.
- [95] P. Genin, R. Lin, J. Hiscott, A. Civas, Differential regulation of human interferon A gene expression by interferon regulatory factors 3 and 7, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 29 (2009) 3435–3450.
- [96] T. Taniguchi, K. Ogasawara, A. Takaoka, N. Tanaka, IRF family of transcription factors as regulators of host defense, *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 19 (2001) 623–655.
- [97] S. Daffis, M.A. Samuel, M.S. Suthar, B.C. Keller, M. Gale Jr., M.S. Diamond, Interferon regulatory factor IRF-7 induces the antiviral alpha interferon response and protects against lethal West Nile virus infection, *J. Virol.* 82 (2008) 8465–8475.
- [98] S.S. Ousman, J. Wang, I.L. Campbell, Differential regulation of interferon regulatory factor (IRF)-7 and IRF-9 gene expression in the central nervous system during viral infection, *J. Virol.* 79 (2005) 7514–7527.
- [99] T.C. Moore, L. Cody, P.M. Kumm, D.M. Brown, T.M. Petro, IRF3 helps control acute TMEV infection through IL-6 expression but contributes to acute hippocampus damage following TMEV infection, *Virus Res.* 178 (2013) 226–233.
- [100] S. Delhay, S. Paul, G. Blakqori, M. Minet, F. Weber, P. Staeheli, T. Michiels, Neurons produce type I interferon during viral encephalitis, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103 (2006) 7835–7840.
- [101] M. Salem, J.T. Mony, M. Lobner, R. Khorrooshi, T. Owens, Interferon regulatory factor-7 modulates experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in mice, *J. Neuroinflammation* 8 (2011) 181.
- [102] H. Tsujimura, T. Tamura, C. Gongora, J. Aliberti, C. Reis e Sousa, A. Sher, K. Ozato, ICSBP/IRF-8 retrovirus transduction rescues dendritic cell development in vitro, *Blood* 101 (2003) 961–969.
- [103] G. Schiavoni, F. Mattei, P. Sestili, P. Borghi, M. Venditti, H.C. Morse 3rd, F. Belardelli, L. Gabriele, ICSBP is essential for the development of mouse type I interferon-producing cells and for the generation and activation of CD8alpha(+) dendritic cells, *J. Exp. Med.* 196 (2002) 1415–1425.
- [104] J. Aliberti, O. Schulz, D.J. Pennington, H. Tsujimura, C. Reis e Sousa, K. Ozato, A. Sher, Essential role for ICSBP in the in vivo development of murine CD8alpha+ dendritic cells, *Blood* 101 (2003) 305–310.
- [105] C. Minten, R. Terry, C. Deffrasnes, N.J. King, I.L. Campbell, IFN regulatory factor 8 is a key constitutive determinant of the morphological and molecular properties of microglia in the CNS, *PLoS ONE* 7 (2012) e49851.
- [106] T. Tamura, T. Nagamura-Inoue, Z. Shmeltzer, T. Kuwata, K. Ozato, ICSBP directs bipotential myeloid progenitor cells to differentiate into mature macrophages, *Immunity* 13 (2000) 155–165.
- [107] R. Lu, P.M. Pitha, Monocyte differentiation to macrophage requires interferon regulatory factor 7, *J. Biol. Chem.* 276 (2001) 45491–45496.
- [108] A. Fragale, E. Stellacci, R. Ilari, A.L. Remoli, A. Lanciotti, E. Perrotti, I. Shtaj, R. Orsatti, H.R. Lawrence, N.J. Lawrence, J. Wu, M. Rehli, K. Ozato, A. Battistini, Critical role of IRF-8 in negative regulation of TLR3 expression by Src homology 2 domain-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase-2 activity in human myeloid dendritic cells, *J. Immunol.* 186 (2011) 1951–1962.
- [109] A. Lehtonen, V. Veckman, T. Nikula, R. Lahesmaa, L. Kinnunen, S. Matikainen, I. Julkunen, Differential expression of IFN regulatory factor 4 gene in human monocyte-derived dendritic cells and macrophages, *J. Immunol.* 175 (2005) 6570–6579.
- [110] M.C. Gauzzi, C. Purificato, L. Conti, L. Adorini, F. Belardelli, S. Gessani, IRF-4 expression in the human myeloid lineage: up-regulation during dendritic cell differentiation and inhibition by 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3, *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 77 (2005) 944–947.
- [111] A.L. Zhang, P. Colmenero, U. Purath, C. Teixeira de Matos, W. Hueber, L. Klareskog, I.H. Tarner, E.G. Engleman, K. Soderstrom, Natural killer cells trigger differentiation of monocytes into dendritic cells, *Blood* 110 (2007) 2484–2493.
- [112] M. Williams, K. Movahedi, T. Bosschaerts, T. VandenDriessche, M.K. Chuah, M. Herin, A. Acosta-Sanchez, L. Ma, M. Moser, J.A. Van Ginderachter, L. Brys, P. De Baetselier, A. Beschin, IL-10 dampens TNF/inducible nitric oxide synthase-producing dendritic cell-mediated pathogenicity during parasitic infection, *J. Immunol.* 182 (2009) 1107–1118.
- [113] R.S. Goldszmid, P. Caspar, A. Rivollier, S. White, A. Dzutsev, S. Hieny, B. Kelsall, G. Trinchieri, A. Sher, NK cell-derived interferon-gamma orchestrates cellular dynamics and the differentiation of monocytes into dendritic cells at the site of infection, *Immunity* 36 (2012) 1047–1059.
- [114] S.B. Cohen, K.J. Maurer, C.E. Egan, S. Oghumu, A.R. Satoskar, E.Y. Denkers, CXCR3-dependent CD4(+) T cells are required to activate inflammatory monocytes for defense against intestinal infection, *PLoS Pathog.* 9 (2013) e1003706.
- [115] M. Muller, S.L. Carter, M.J. Hofer, P. Manders, D.R. Getts, M.T. Getts, A. Dreykluft, B. Lu, C. Gerard, N.J. King, I.L. Campbell, CXCR3 signaling reduces the severity of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by controlling the parenchymal distribution of effector and regulatory T cells in the central nervous system, *J. Immunol.* 179 (2007) 2774–2786.
- [116] B. Zhu, J.K. Kennedy, Y. Wang, C. Sandoval-Garcia, L. Cao, S. Xiao, C. Wu, W. Elyaman, S.J. Khoury, Plasticity of Ly-6C(hi) myeloid cells in T cell regulation, *J. Immunol.* 187 (2011) 2418–2432.
- [117] J.E. Gumperz, S. Miyake, T. Yamamura, M.B. Brenner, Functionally distinct subsets of CD1d-restricted natural killer T cells revealed by CD1d tetramer staining, *J. Exp. Med.* 195 (2002) 625–636.
- [118] S. Hegde, X. Chen, J.M. Keaton, F. Reddington, G.S. Besra, J.E. Gumperz, NKT cells direct monocytes into a DC differentiation pathway, *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 81 (2007) 1224–1235.
- [119] L. Denney, W.L. Kok, S.L. Cole, S. Sanderson, A.J. McMichael, L.P. Ho, Activation of invariant NKT cells in early phase of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis results in differentiation of Ly6Chi inflammatory monocyte to M2 macrophages and improved outcome, *J. Immunol.* 189 (2012) 551–557.