

**Cochrane** Database of Systematic Reviews

# Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Geeganage C, Bath PMW

Geeganage C, Bath PMW. Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD002839. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002839.pub2.

www.cochranelibrary.com

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER
ABSTRACT 1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY
BACKGROUND
OBJECTIVES
METHODS
RESULTS 4
DISCUSSION
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
REFERENCES
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES
DATA AND ANALYSES
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 1 Early death (≤ 1 month). 70
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 2 Death at end of trial 72
Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 3 Early death or 74 deterioration (≤ 1month).
Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 4 Death or disability at 76 end of trial.
Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 5 Systolic blood pressure, 78 early.
Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 6 Systolic blood pressure, 80 late.
Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 7 Diastolic blood pressure, 82 early.
Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 8 Diastolic blood pressure, 84 late.
Analysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 9 Heart rate, early 86
Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 10 Heart rate, late 87
Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 1 Early death (≤ 1 90 month).
Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 2 Death at end of trial
Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 3 Death or disability at 91 end of trial.
Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 4 Systolic blood pressure, 92 early.
Analysis 2.5. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 5 Systolic blood pressure, 92 late.
Analysis 2.6. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 6 Diastolic blood pressure, 93 early.
Analysis 2.7. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 7 Diastolic blood pressure, 93 late.
Analysis 2.8. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 8 Heart rate, early 94
APPENDICES
WHAT'S NEW
HISTORY
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST
SOURCES OF SUPPORT
INDEX TERMS



# [Intervention Review]

# Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke

Chamila Geeganage<sup>1</sup>, Philip MW Bath<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Stroke Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

**Contact address:** Philip MW Bath, Division of Stroke Medicine, University of Nottingham, Clinical Sciences Building, Nottingham City Hospital, Nottingham, NG5 1PB, UK. philip.bath@nottingham.ac.uk.

Editorial group: Cochrane Stroke Group.

Publication status and date: New search for studies and content updated (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 7, 2010.

**Citation:** Geeganage C, Bath PMW. Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD002839. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002839.pub2.

Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

# ABSTRACT

# Background

It is unclear whether blood pressure (BP) should be altered actively during the acute phase of stroke.

# Objectives

To assess the effect of lowering or elevating BP in people with acute stroke, and the effect of different vasoactive drugs on BP in acute stroke.

# Search methods

We searched the Cochrane Stroke Group Trials Register (last searched June 2009), the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (*The Cochrane Library* Issue 4, 2009), MEDLINE (1966 to October 2009), EMBASE (1980 to October 2009), and Science Citation Index (1981 to October 2009).

# **Selection criteria**

Randomised trials of interventions that would be expected, on pharmacological grounds, to alter BP in patients within one week of the onset of acute stroke.

# Data collection and analysis

Two review authors independently applied the trial inclusion criteria, assessed trial quality, and extracted data.

### **Main results**

We identified 131 trials involving in excess of 18,000 patients; a further 13 trials are ongoing. We obtained data for 43 trials (7649 patients). Among BP-lowering trials, beta receptor antagonists lowered BP (early systolic BP (SBP) mean difference (MD) -6.1 mmHg, 95% CI -11.4 to -0.9; late SBP MD -4.9 mmHg, 95% CI -10.2 to 0.4; late diastolic BP (DBP) MD -4.5 mmHg, 95% CI -7.8 to -1.2). Oral calcium channel blockers (CCB) lowered BP (late SBP MD -3.2 mmHg, 95% CI -5.4 to -1.1; early DBP MD -2.5, 95% CI -5.6 to 0.7; late DBP MD -2.1, 95% CI -3.5 to -0.7). Nitric oxide donors lowered BP (early SBP MD -10.3 mmHg, 95% CI -17.6 to -3.0). Prostacyclin lowered BP (late SBP MD, -7.7 mmHg, 95% CI -15.6 to 0.2; late DBP MD -3.9 mmHg, 95% CI -8.1 to 0.4). Among BP-increasing trials, diaspirin cross-linked haemoglobin (DCLHb) increased BP (early SBP MD 15.3 mmHg, 95% CI -4.0 to 26.6; late SBP MD 15.9 mmHg, 95% CI -18 to 30.0). None of the drug classes significantly altered outcome apart from DCLHb which increased combined death or dependency (odds ratio (OR) 5.41, 95% CI 1.87 to 15.64).

# **Authors' conclusions**

There is not enough evidence to evaluate reliably the effect of altering BP on outcome after acute stroke. However, treatment with DCLHb was associated with poor clinical outcomes. Beta receptor antagonists, CCBs, nitric oxide, and prostacyclin each lowered BP during the acute phase of stroke. In contrast, DCLHb increased BP.

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



# PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

# Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke

In patients who have just had a stroke (a sudden catastrophe in the brain either because an artery to the brain blocks, or because an artery in or on the brain ruptures and bleeds) very high and very low blood pressure may be harmful. Drugs which raise low blood pressure or lower high blood pressure might benefit acute stroke patients. This review of 43 trials involving 7649 participants found that there was not enough evidence to decide if drugs which can alter blood pressure should or should not be used in patients with acute stroke. More research is needed.



# BACKGROUND

# **Description of the condition**

Stroke is the third most common cause of death and the commonest cause of disability in the western world. Acute stroke, whether due to infarction or haemorrhage, is associated with high blood pressure in 75% of patients of whom 50% have a previous history of high blood pressure (International Society of Hypertension 2003). The mechanisms underlying hypertension in stroke are complex but pre-existing hypertension (present in 50% to 60% of patients), hospitalisation stress, activation of the neuro-endocrine pathways, and the Cushing reflex, each contribute (International Society of Hypertension 2003; Sprigg 2005). Low blood pressure is not common in acute stroke but it, like high blood pressure, is associated with a poor outcome (Castillo 2004; Leonardi-Bee 2002; Vemmos 2004). Possible reasons for low blood pressure include potentially reversible conditions such as hypovolaemia, sepsis, impaired cardiac output secondary to cardiac failure, arrhythmias or cardiac ischaemia, and aortic dissection (Sprigg 2005).

# **Description of the intervention**

Although debated more than 20 years ago, it still remains unclear whether hypertension should (Spence 1985) or should not (Yatsu 1985) be treated acutely following stroke. Recent guidelines recommend that acute lowering of blood pressure should be delayed for several days or even weeks unless blood pressure is higher than 220/120 mmHg, higher than 200/100 mmHg with end organ involvement (hypertensive encephalopathy, aortic dissection, cardiac ischaemia, pulmonary oedema, acute renal failure), or higher than 200/120 mmHg with primary intracerebral haemorrhage (PICH) (AHA-HS 2007; AHA-IS 2007; ESO 2008). Though the evidence is weak (class 1, level of evidence B) guidelines now recommend that patients who have elevated blood pressure and are otherwise eligible for treatment of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) may have their blood pressure lowered so that systolic blood pressure (SBP) is ≤ 185 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) is  $\leq$  110 mmHg before thrombolysis using intravenous labetalol, nitropaste or nicardipine and it should be maintained below 180/105 mmHg for at least the first 24 hours after therapy (AHA-IS 2007; ESO 2008). Similarly, guidelines recommend that causes of low blood pressure in the setting of acute stroke should be sought with a view to correcting reversible causes such as hypovolaemia and cardiac arrhythmias (AHA-IS 2007; ESO 2008).

# How the intervention might work

A number of small studies have assessed the relationship between blood pressure and outcome. A meta-analysis of these and other studies found that elevated blood pressure was associated with a poor outcome (Willmot 2004). Data from 17,398 patients in the International Stroke Trial (IST) identified a U-shaped relationship such that both low and high blood pressure was associated independently with increased early death and later death or dependency (Leonardi-Bee 2002). A high blood pressure is also associated with increased early recurrence (Leonardi-Bee 2002; Sprigg 2006). In ischaemic stroke, hypertension also appears to affect adversely through increasing the risk of cerebral oedema, but not haemorrhagic transformation (Leonardi-Bee 2002) as shown in the IST analysis. Haematoma expansion is related to high blood pressure in patients with PICH although this relationship may be confounded by stroke severity and time to presentation (Bath 2003). Since cerebral autoregulation is lost following stroke (Burke 1986; Paulson 1990; Strandgaard 1973) such that cerebral blood flow becomes dependent on systemic blood pressure, some researchers have hypothesised that blood pressure should be increased (Sandercock 1992) after stroke to improve perfusion to the penumbral region, and several case series and small trials have been published. In a recent meta-regression of blood pressure in acute stroke involving data from randomised controlled trials, large increases or reductions in blood pressure were associated with harm whereas moderate reductions were associated with a nonsignificant reduction in death or dependency (Geeganage 2009).

# Why it is important to do this review

This systematic review included randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of interventions that would be expected, on pharmacological grounds, to alter blood pressure in patients within one week of the onset of acute ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke. A related review restricted inclusion to those trials which specifically studied the effect of changing blood pressure in acute stroke (BASC I). The aim of this review is to assess the effect of lowering or elevating blood pressure in people with acute stroke, and the effect of different vasoactive drugs on blood pressure in acute stroke.

# OBJECTIVES

- 1. To determine whether lowering or elevating blood pressure in patients with acute stroke is safe and effective in reducing the risk of early and late death and functional dependency.
- 2. To determine the effect of vasoactive drugs on blood pressure patients with acute stroke.

# METHODS

### Criteria for considering studies for this review

### **Types of studies**

We included published and unpublished randomised or quasirandomised controlled trials (i.e. trials that used a non-random method of treatment allocation, for example hospital number, date of birth or day of the week), of vasoactive drugs in acute ischaemic stroke or acute primary intracerebral haemorrhage where drug therapy was initiated within one week of stroke onset. We excluded uncontrolled studies, confounded controlled studies where the intervention was compared with another active therapy, and studies of patients with subarachnoid haemorrhage.

# **Types of participants**

Adults (aged 18 years and over) of either sex with acute ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke (within one week of onset) who were eligible for randomisation to either active treatment or placebo/ open control.

#### **Types of interventions**

All randomised controlled acute stroke trials where vasoactive drugs were used in the acute treatment of stroke.

# Types of outcome measures

Early (within one month) and end-of-trial mortality; early death or deterioration; end-of-trial mortality or dependency; blood pressure

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Copyright  $\ensuremath{\mathbb S}$  2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



and heart rate at baseline, and during early (less than 24 hours) and late (24 to 72 hours) treatment; length of hospital stay and discharge destination. We defined disability or dependency as a Barthel Index 0 to 55 or Rankin score 3 to 5. We also noted the presence of 'hypotension' (however defined by trialists) where given.

# Search methods for identification of studies

See the 'Specialized register' section in the Cochrane Stroke Group module.

We searched the Cochrane Stroke Group Trials Register, which was last searched by the Managing Editor in June 2009 using a search strategy designed to identify all relevant trials. In addition, we searched the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (*The Cochrane Library* Issue 4, 2009), MEDLINE (1966 to October 2009) (Appendix 1), EMBASE (1980 to October 2009) (Appendix 2), and Science Citation Index (ISI Web of Science, 1981 to October 2009) (Appendix 3). We did not apply any language restrictions.

In an effort to identify further published, unpublished, and ongoing trials:

- we searched reviews of hypertension in acute stroke from the CDSR and existing Cochrane and other stroke overviews relating to drugs which may alter blood pressure, including: calcium channel blockers (CCBs) (Horn 2001), nitric oxide (Bath 2002), pentoxifylline (Bath 2004/2), amphetamine (Martinsson 2007; Sprigg 2007), tirilazad (Tirilazad International Steering Committee 2001), naftidrofuryl (Leonardi-Bee 2007), vinpocetine (Bereczki 2008) and prostacyclin (Bath 2004/1) as well as other generic reviews (Geeganage 2009);
- we searched the Ongoing Trials section of *Stroke* and the Internet Stroke Center Stroke Trials Registry (Stroke Center) (October 2009);
- 3. we scanned the reference lists of relevant trials and existing review articles;
- we contacted research workers in this field (see Acknowledgements);
- we contacted the following pharmaceutical companies: Bayer (nimodipine), Napp (pentoxifylline), Novartis (isradipine), Lipha Sante (naftidrofuryl), Hoffmann la Roche (N Methyl D Aspartate), Hoechst (flunarizine) and UCB Pharma (piracetam) in 1999 for the previous version of the review.

# Data collection and analysis

We identified and independently assessed published and unpublished trials and decided whether to include or exclude them. One review author (CG) identified data in published material and sought additional information from the principal investigators of the trials where necessary. We resolved disagreements by discussion. Where available, we re-analysed individual patient data and used the resulting group data in preference to published data. We recorded information on the methods of randomisation, concealment of allocation, blinding, analysis (intention-to-treat or efficacy analysis), stroke type (ischaemia or haemorrhage), drug dose, route of administration (oral, transdermal or intravenous) and timing, blood pressure and heart rate (before and during treatment), numbers of deaths, functional disability, quality of life, length of stay, and adverse effects such as hypotension, We assessed the methodological quality of trials, especially relating to concealment of allocation as detailed in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2008). We calculated the weighted estimate of the typical treatment effect across trials (odds ratio (OR) for binary data, mean difference (MD) for continuous data) using aggregated patient data in Review Manager 5.0 (RevMan 2008); this software also tests for heterogeneity between the trials.

# RESULTS

### **Description of studies**

Where a trial used more than one dose of a particular drug then the reference is written as author followed by date followed by dose of drug. When referencing the whole trial the references for all the doses will be used (e.g. Saxena 1999 50 mg/Saxena 1999 100 mg). Blood pressure (BP) data were available in 43 trials including 7649 patients (Characteristics of included studies). We excluded more than 80 studies as the relevant data were unobtainable, either because they were not present in trial reports and could not be provided by trialists, or because they had been discarded or they could not be released until publication of the final trial reports (Characteristics of excluded studies).

The trials involved 16 combinations of drug classes and routes of administration: oral or sublingual angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors (perindopril, captopril and lisinopril); oral angiotensin receptor antagonists (ARA) (candesartan); oral beta receptor antagonists ( $\beta$ RA) (atenolol, propanolol); combined alpha and beta receptor antagonists (labetalol); oral thiazide diuretics (bendrofluazide), intravenous CCBs (flunarizine, isradipine, nimodipine); oral CCBs (nimodipine, nicardipine); intravenous DCLHb (a haemoglobin analogue); intravenous magnesium sulphate; intravenous naftidrofuryl; transdermal glyceryl trinitrate (a nitric oxide donor); intravenous piracetam; combined intravenous prostacyclin; intravenous glucose potassium insulin (GKI); intravenous insulin; intravenous phenylephrine; and intravenous and or oral mixed antihypertensive therapy (Characteristics of included studies).

Patients were recruited into trials within six to 168 hours from stroke onset; most were enrolled within 24 to 168 hours (Characteristics of included studies). Nine studies included patients who were hypertensive at the time of recruitment (Characteristics of included studies); the other studies involved patients with a range of BPs. Two trials studied phenylephrine and DCLHb which elevate BP (Saxena 1999 25 mg/Saxena 1999 50 mg/Saxena 1999 100 mg; Hillis 2003). Thirty-eight trials were published and five trials unpublished (IMAGES Pilot; Lowe 1993; Pokrupa 1986; Strand 1984; Uzuner 1995/180 mg). Routes of administration included oral, intravenous (iv), transdermal, sublingual or combinations of these (Characteristics of included studies). The treatment duration varied from 24 hours to nine months (Characteristics of included studies). Some drugs were given in two phases, initially intravenously then orally (CCB, magnesium sulphate, naftidrofuryl, piracetam) (Characteristics of included studies). Combinations of intravenous and oral antihypertensive drugs were used to lower BP in the intensive as well as guideline group of INTERACT pilot trial (INTERACT pilot 2008). Three trials used transdermal glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) 5 mg daily for 12 days (Bath 2000); GTN 5 mg, 5/10 mg, 10 mg (Rashid 2003 5 mg/Rashid 2003 5/10 mg/Rashid 2003 10 mg) for 10 days; GTN 5 mg (Willmot 2006) for seven days. There

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Copyright  $\ensuremath{\mathbb S}$  2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



was one dose escalation study of 8 mmol, 12 mmol, 16 mmol of magnesium sulphate over 24 hours (Muir 1995).

# **Risk of bias in included studies**

The methods used in the 43 trials are summarised in the Characteristics of included studies table. All trials were doubleblind, with the exceptions of one single-blinded (Saxena 1999 100 mg/Saxena 1999 25 mg/Saxena 1999 50 mg), four outcome-blinded (Gray 2007; INTERACT pilot 2008; Rashid 2003 10 mg/Rashid 2003 5 mg/Rashid 2003 5/10 mg; Willmot 2006) and two open studies (Barer 1988 atenolol/Barer 1988 propanolol; Walters 2006). The method of randomisation was only given for 22 trials (Ahmed 2000 1 mg/Ahmed 2000 2 mg; Barer 1988 atenolol/Barer 1988 propanolol; Bath 2000; Bogousslavsky 1990; Dyker 1997; Eames 2005; Eveson 2007; Gray 2007; IMAGES Pilot; INTERACT pilot 2008; Kaste 1994/120 mg; Lees 1995; Limburg 1990; Lowe 1993; PASS 1995; Pokrupa 1986; Potter 2009 labetalol/Potter 2009 lisinopril; Rashid 2003 5 mg/Rashid 2003 5/10 mg/Rashid 2003 10 mg; Strand 1984; Walters 2006; Willmot 2006; Wimalarat 1994/120mg/Wimalarat 1994/240mg). All trials were analysed by the intention-to-treat analysis with the exception of two (Huczynski 1988; Martinez-Vila 1990).

There were 23 single-centred trials. All trials used computerised tomography (CT) to exclude patients with PICH with the exception of nine trials that included both types of stroke (Ahmed 2000 1 mg/Ahmed 2000 2 mg; Barer 1988 atenolol/Barer 1988 propanolol; Barer 1988/50 mg/Barer 1988/80 mg; Fagan 1988/120 mg/Fagan 1988/240 mg; Gray 2007; Potter 2009 labetalol/Potter 2009 lisinopril; Rashid 2003 5 mg/Rashid 2003 5/10 mg/Rashid 2003 10 mg; VENUS 1995; Willmot 2006). One trial only included patients with acute spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) diagnosed by CT (INTERACT pilot 2008). For the lisinopril study randomisation was done before neuroimaging and those with non-ischaemic stoke were subsequently withdrawn from the study (Eveson 2007).

### **Effects of interventions**

### General

We identified a total of 131 trials involving in excess of 18,000 patients. However, data were only available for 43 trials involving 7649 patients. We excluded 86 trials as BP or outcome data were not available. The patients receiving placebo or control treatment in eight trials (Ahmed 2000 1 mg/Ahmed 2000 2 mg; Barer 1988 atenolol/Barer 1988 propanolol; Barer 1988/50 mg/Barer 1988/80 mg; Fagan 1988/120 mg/Fagan 1988/240 mg; Rashid 2003 5 mg/Rashid 2003 5/10 mg/Rashid 2003 10 mg; Saxena 1999 25 mg/Saxena 1999 50 mg/Saxena 1999 100 mg; Wimalarat 1994/120mg/Wimalarat 1994/240mg; Potter 2009 labetalol/Potter 2009 lisinopril) acted as controls for more than one group of actively treated patients; control participants in these studies were divided equally between each active treatment group to ensure that the total number of control participants was correct. This strategy is recommended by the Cochrane Stroke Group and avoids artificially inflating patient numbers and therefore narrowing confidence intervals.

### **Blood pressure**

Baseline SBP was mismatched between the treatment and control groups across all treatments (MD -1.6 mmHg, 95% Cl -2.8 to -0.4)

and especially for intravenous CCBs (MD -6.6 mmHg, 95% CI -13.4 to 0.2) (Appendix 4). Several drug classes lowered BP, including: beta receptor antagonists (early SBP, MD -6.1 mmHg, 95% CI -11.4 to -0.9; late SBP MD -4.9 mmHg, 95% CI -10.2 to 0.4; late DBP MD -4.5 mmHg, 95% CI -7.8 to -1.2); oral CCBs (late SBP MD -3.2 mmHg, 95% CI -5.4 to -1.1; early DBP MD -2.5, 95% CI -5.6 to 0.7; late DBP MD -2.1, 95% CI -3.5 to -0.7); nitric oxide donors (early SBP MD -10.3 mmHg, 95% CI -17.6 to -3.0), and prostacyclin (late SBP MD -7.7 mmHg, 95% CI -15.6 to 0.2; late DBP MD -3.9 mmHg, 95% CI -8.1 to 0.4).

BP lowering is also seen for several other antihypertensive agents although the small number of participants studied meant that differences in BP were not always statistically significant. Drugs showing hypotensive properties included: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor antagonists, bendrofluazide, intravenous CCBs and GKI. Neither magnesium, naftidrofuryl nor piracetam had appreciable effects on BP. INTERACT pilot 2008 lowered SBP (early MD -14.0 mmHg 95% CI -17.2 to -10.8, late MD -11.0 mmHg, 95% CI -14.0 to -8.0) in the intensive treatment versus guideline treatment arm.

In contrast, DCLHb increased BP (early SBP MD 15.3 mmHg, 95% CI 4.0 to 26.6; late SBP MD 15.9 mmHg, 95% CI 1.8 to 30.0). Intravenous phenylephrine also showed a trend towards an increase in SBP (MD 20.6, 95% CI -13.3 to 54.5) as compared to control.

#### Heart rate

Heart rate was lowered by beta blockers (early heart rate (HR) MD -6.8 beats/minute, 95% CI -9.6 to -4.0; late HR MD -9.3 beats/minute, 95% CI -12.0 to -6.6); and oral CCBs (late HR MD -2.8 beats/minute, 95% CI -3.9 to -1.7); and increased by nitric oxide donors (MD 6.3 beats/minute 95% CI 2.9 to 9.7). Intravenous CCBs, ACE inhibitors, naftidrofuryl, magnesium, and DCLHb did not alter heart rate.

### **Death or dependency**

There was no evidence of an effect on death for any agent except DCLHb which significantly increased the odds of death or dependency (OR 5.41, 95% CI 1.87 to 15.64). A trend for an increase in combined end of trial death or disability was observed for oral CCBs (odds ratio (OR) 1.30, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.86).

#### Hypotensive events

There was no significant difference for oral CCBs (total events four active, six control, OR 0.73, 95% CI 0.19 to 2.74) and mixed antihypertensive therapy (total events five active, six control, OR 1.24, 95% CI 0.33 to 4.7) in the number of hypotensive events. None of the other agents reported hypotensive events in trial publications.

## Relationship between blood pressure and outcome

The numbers of trials and participants with data on BP and outcome were not identical and it was not possible to relate group differences in BP with group differences in outcome. This problem was compounded by biologically important differences in baseline BP between treated and control groups.

# DISCUSSION

Beta receptor antagonists, oral calcium channel blockers (CCBs), glyceryl trinitrate (GTN), prostacyclin and mixed antihypertensive therapy lowered blood pressure (BP) during the first three days of

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



treatment. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, bendroflumethiazide, intravenous CCBs, insulin and GKI also appeared to lower BP as compared to the controls. In contrast, magnesium, naftidrofuryl, and piracetam had no effect on BP. Nevertheless, these observations may be partly confounded by mismatches in baseline BP (significantly so for intravenous CCBs). Baseline imbalances in BP would have profound effects on outcome and therefore change the BP-outcome relationship. A definitive assessment as to whether these drugs change BP will be dependent on analysis of individual patient data from these trials. Unfortunately, individual patient data were not available for most of the included trials; the presence of these data would have addressed this issue. Further, the apparent BP-reducing effect of GKI may be due to the confounding in the GIST trial, in which the controls were treated with intravenous saline, and the treatment group received intravenous dextrose, so the BP difference may not be attributable to the GKI lowering BP, but to the control group having less hypovolaemia/hypotension because of the saline (Gray 2007).

None of the drug classes altered outcome apart from diaspirin cross-linked haemoglobin (DCLHb) which significantly increased combined death and dependency compared with control. There was no significant difference in outcome for CCBs, beta blockers, ACE-I, magnesium, and nitric oxide. The relationship between BP and outcome could not be studied for methodological reasons in the present review. However, the relationship between BP and outcome based on many of the included trials has been assessed in a meta-regression (Geeganage 2009). The results revealed a Ushaped relationship between BP changes and outcome, with the lowest risk of death or combined death or dependency at the end of follow up in patients with BP reductions ranging from eight to 15 mmHg. Although large falls or increases in BP were associated with a higher risk of poor outcomes, a modest reduction may reduce death and combined death or dependency, although confidence intervals were wide and compatible with an overall benefit or hazard.

# AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

# **Implications for practice**

Trials of vasoactive drugs in acute stroke reveal that beta receptor antagonists, oral calcium channel blockers (CCBs), glyceryl trinitrate (GTN), prostacyclin and mixed antihypertensive therapy each lower blood pressure (BP). In contrast, diaspirin cross-linked haemoglobin (DCLHb) and phenylephrine increases BP. However, these data do not allow the effect of changing BP on outcome to be assessed. In the absence of definitive information, there is no clear indication for the deliberate alteration of BP during the first few days after stroke.

### Implications for research

The existing completed studies of vasoactive drugs in acute stroke are all small or medium sized (fewer than 1000 participants) and, hence, likely to be underpowered. One or more large trials (several thousand participants) are now required to determine whether altering (raising or lowering) BP can be safe and efficacious; such studies are ongoing (ENOS 2006; INTERACT 2 2007; SCAST 2005).

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Blood pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration (BASC) comprises the following people.

- Data collation and analysis, and review writing for this version of the review: Chamila Geeganage, Philip Bath (previous version: Fiona J Bath and R Iddenden)
- Trialists: Ahmed N (Sweden), Asplund K (Sweden), Autret A (France), Barer D (UK), Bath PMW (UK), Bereczki D (Hungary), Bogousslavsky J (Switzerland), Chan YW (Hong Kong), Davis S (Australia), de Deyn PP (Belgium), Donnan G (Australia), Dyker AG (UK), Eveson D (UK), Fogelholm R (Finland), Gelmers HJ (Netherlands), Gray CS (UK), Grotta J (USA), Hachinski V (Canada), Hakim RP (Canada), Heiss WH (Germany), Herrschaft H (Germany), Hillis AB (USA), Horn J (Netherlands), Hsu CY (USA), Huczynski J (Poland), Kaste M (Finland), Koudstall PJ (Netherlands), Kramer G (Switzerland), Lees KR (UK), Limberg M (Netherlands), Lisk R (Cameroon), Lowe G (UK), Muir KW (UK), Mistri A (UK), Murphy JJ (UK), Orgogozo JM (France), Pokrupa RP (Canada), Rashid P (UK), Saxena R (Netherlands), Steiner T (UK), Strand T (Sweden), Uzuner N (Turkey), Wahlgren N (Sweden), Walters MR (UK), Willmot M (UK), Wimalaratna HSK (UK), Wong WJ (Taiwan).
- Companies (for the previous version of this review): Bayer (Canada), Lipha Sante (France), UCB pharma (Belgium).

We are grateful to the Cochrane Stroke Group Editorial Board and external peer reviewers for their comments on this review. All the analyses and their interpretation reflect the opinions of BASC; no pharmaceutical company was involved in the analysis or interpretation of data, or in the writing of this review.



# REFERENCES

### References to studies included in this review

# ACCESS 2003 {published data only}

Schrader J. The ACCESS study: evaluation of acute candesartan cilexetil therapy in stroke survivors. *Stroke* 2003;**34**:1699-703.

### Ahmed 2000 1 mg {published and unpublished data}

Ahmed N, Nasman P, Wahlgren NG. Effects of intravenous nimodipine on blood pressure and outcome after acute stroke. *Stroke* 2000;**31**:1250-5.

#### Ahmed 2000 2 mg {published and unpublished data}

\* Ahmed N, Nasman P, Wahlgren NG. Effects of intravenous nimodipine on blood pressure and outcome after acute stroke. *Stroke* 2000;**31**:1250-5.

Wahlgren NG, MacMahon DG, Keyser JD, Indredavik B, Ryman T. Intravenous nimodipine West European stroke trial (INWEST) of nimodipine in the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 1994;**4**:204-10.

# ASCLEPIOS 1990 {unpublished data only}

Azcona A, Lataste X. Isradipine in patients with acute ischaemic cerebral infarction. *Drugs* 1990;**40 Suppl 2**:52-7.

### Barer 1988 atenolol {unpublished data only}

Barer DH, Cruickshank JM, Ebrahim SB, Mitchell JRA. Low dose beta blockade in acute stroke (BEST trial): an evaluation. *BMJ* 1988;**296**:737-41.

# Barer 1988 propanolol {unpublished data only}

Barer DH, Cruickshank JM, Ebrahim SB, Mitchell JRA. Low dose beta blockade in acute stroke (BEST trial): an evaluation. *BMJ* 1988;**296**:737-41.

#### Barer 1988/50 mg {published data only}

Barer DH, Cruickshank JM, Ebrahim SB, Mitchell JRA. Low dose beta blockade in acute stroke (BEST trial): an evaluation. *BMJ* 1988;**296**:737-41.

# Barer 1988/80 mg {published data only}

Barer DH, Cruickshank JM, Ebrahim SB, Mitchell JRA. Low dose beta blockade in acute stroke (BEST trial): an evaluation. *BMJ* 1988;**296**:737-41.

#### Bath 2000 {unpublished data only}

Bath PMW, Pathansali R, Iddenden R, Bath FJ. The effect of transdermal glyceryl trinitrate, a nitric oxide donor, on blood pressure and platelet function in acute stroke. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2001;**11**:265-72.

# Bogousslavsky 1990 {published data only}

Bogousslavsky J, Regli F, Zumstein V, Kobberling W. Doubleblind study of nimodipine in non-severe stroke. *European Neurology* 1990;**30**:23-6.

#### Dyker 1997 {published and unpublished data}

Dyker AG, Grosset DG, Lees K. Perindopril reduces blood pressure but not cerebral blood flow in patients with recent cerebral ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1997;**28**:580-3.

#### Eames 2005 {published and unpublished data}

Eames PJ, Robinson TG, Panerai RB, Potter JF. Bendrofluazide fails to reduce elevated blood pressure levels in the immediate post-stroke period. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2005;**19**:253-9.

#### Eveson 2007 {published and unpublished data}

Eveson DJ, Robinson TG, Potter JF. Lisinopril for the treatment of hypertension within the first 24 hours of acute ischemic stroke and follow-up. *American Journal of Hypertension* 2007;**20**:270-7.

#### Fagan 1988/120 mg {published data only}

Fagan SC, Gengo FM, Bates V, Levine SR, Kinkel WR. Effect of nimodipine on blood pressure in acute ischemic stroke in humans. *Stroke* 1988;**19**:401-2.

# Fagan 1988/240 mg {published data only}

Fagan SC, Gengo FM, Bates V, Levine SR, Kinkel WR. Effect of nimodipine on blood pressure in acute ischemic stroke in humans. *Stroke* 1988;**19**:401-2.

### German-Austrian 120mg {published data only}

Hacke W. German-Austrian-Multicenter Nimodipine Stroke Study. *Neurology India* 1989;**3 Suppl**:246.

Hennerici MG, German-Austrian Multicenter Nimodipine Stroke Study Group. Nimodipine in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1990;**21 Suppl**:I-127.

Hornig CR, Kaps M, Kramer G, Busse O, Aichner F. Nimodipine in acute ischaemic stroke. Results of the Nimodipine German Austrian Stroke Trial. *Stroke* 1991;**22**:153.

Kramer G, Tattenborn B, Rothacher G, Hacke W, Busse O, Hornig C, et al. Nimodipine German Austrian Stroke Trial. *Neurology* 1990;**40 Suppl**:415.

\* Kramer G, Tettenborn B, Schmutzhard E, Aichner F, Schwartz A, Busse O, et al. Nimodipine in acute ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 1994;**4**:182-8.

# Gray 2007 {published data only}

Gray CS, Hildreth AJ, Sandercock PA, O'Connell JE, Johnston DE, Cartlidge NEF, et al. Glucose-potasium-insulin infusions in the management of post-stroke hyperglycaemia: the UK glucose insulin in stroke trial. *Lancet Neurology* 2007;**6**:397-406.

#### Herrschaft 1988 {published and unpublished data}

Herrschaft H. The effectiveness of piracetam in acute cerebral ischemia in the human. A clinical controlled double-blind study of piracetam/10% dextran 40 versus 10% dextran 40/placebo [Die wirksamkeit von piracetam bei der akuten zerebralen ischamie des menschen]. *Medizinische Klinik* 1988;**83**:667-77.



# Hillis 2003 {published and unpublished data}

Hillis AE, Ulatowski JA, Barker PB, Torbey M, Ziai W, Beauchamp NJ, et al. A pilot randomised trial of induced blood pressure elevation: effects on function and focal perfusion in acute and subacute stroke. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2003;**16**:236-46.

# Hsu 1987 {published data only}

Hsu CY, Faught RE, Furlan AJ, Coull BM, Huang DC, Hogan EL, et al. Intravenous prostacyclin in acute nonhaemorrhagic stroke: a placebo-controlled double-blind trial. *Stroke* 1987;**18**:352-8.

### Huczynski 1988 {published data only}

\* Huczynski J, Gryglewski J, Trabka EK, Kiec AD, Mazur EP, Sotowska W, et al. Use of prostacyclin in patients with ischemic stroke. A double-blind method II [Zastosowanie prostacykliny u chorych z udarem niedokrwiennym mozgu. Proba podwojnie slepa II]. *Neurologia i Neurochirurgia Polska* 1988;**22**(4):299-304.

Huczynski J, Kostka-Trabka E, Sotowska W, Bieron K, Grodzinska L, Dembinska-Kiec A, et al. Double-blind controlled trial of the therapeutic effects of prostacyclin in patients with completed ischaemic stroke. *Stroke* 1985;**16**:810-4.

# **IMAGES Pilot** {unpublished data only}

Lees K, Muir KW. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled pilot trial of intravenous magnesium sulphate in acute stroke. Personal communication.

### **INTERACT pilot 2008** {published data only}

Anderson CS, Huang Y, Wang JG, Arima H, Neal B, Peng B, et al. Intensive blood pressure reduction in acute cerebral haemorrhage trial (INTERACT): a randomised pilot trial. *Lancet Neurology* 2008;**7**(5):391-9.

### Kaste 1994/120 mg {published and unpublished data}

Kaste M, Fogelholm R, Erila T, Palomaki H, Murros K, Rissanen A, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled rial of nimodipine in acute ischemic hemispheric stroke. *Stroke* 1994;**25**:1348-53.

# Lamsudin 1997 {published data only}

Lamsudin R, Misbach J, Andradi, Petru Cahyadi A, Hadirioto S, Sumaryanto F. A controlled trial of nimodipine in acute ischaemic stroke. A multicenter Indonesian Neurological Association Study Groups. *Indonesian Journal of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics* 1997;**2**:1.

### Lees 1995 {published and unpublished data}

Muir KW, Lees KR. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled pilot trial of intravenous magnesium sulphate in acute stroke. *Stroke* 1995;**26**:1183-8.

# Limburg 1990 {published data only}

Limburg M, Hijdra A. Flunarizine in acute ischemic stroke: a pilot study. *European Neurology* 1990;**30**:121-2.

# Lisk 1993 {published data only}

Lisk DR, Grotta JC, Lamki LM, Tran HD, Taylor JW, Molony DA, et al. Should hypertension be treated after acute stroke? A

randomized controlled trial using SPECT. *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences* 1993;**Suppl 4**:S246.

\* Lisk DR, Grotta JC, Lamki LM, Tran HD, Taylor JW, Molony DA, et al. Should hypertension be treated after acute stroke? A randomized controlled trial using single photon emission computed tomography. *Archives of Neurology* 1993;**50**:855-62.

### Lowe 1993 {unpublished data only}

Lowe DGO, Forbes CD. Nimodipine in acute cerebral hemispheric infarction. Unpublished report BAY e 9736/0449.

#### Martinez-Vila 1990 {published data only}

\* Martinez-Vila E, Guillen F, Villanueva JA, Matias-Guiu J, Bigorra J, Gil P, et al. Placebo-controlled trial of nimodipine in the treatment of acute ischemic cerebral infarction. *Stroke* 1990;**21**:1023-8.

Martinez-Vila E, Martinez-Lage J, Guillen F, Villanueva JA, Matias-Guiu J, Biggorra J. Nimodipine in acute ischaemic stroke. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1988;**319**:249.

Martinez-Vila E, Matias-Guiu J, Guillen F, Villanueva JA, Biggora J, Martinez-Lage J. Nimodipine in acute ischaemic stroke. A controlled trial. *Neurology India* 1989;**37 Suppl**:148.

# Muir 1995 {published and unpublished data}

Muir KW, Lees KR. Dose optimization of intravenous magnesium sulphate after acute stroke. *Stroke* 1998;**29**:918-23.

\* Muir KW, Lees KR. Dose-ranging study of magnesium sulphate after acute stroke. *European Journal of Neurology* 1995;**2 Suppl 2**:7-8.

### Norris 1994 {published and unpublished data}

Norris JW, Le Brun LH, Anderson BA. Intravenous nimodipine in acute ischaemic stroke. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 1994;**4**:194-6.

# Paci 1989/120 mg {published data only}

Paci A, Ottaviano P, Trenta A, Iannone G, De Santis L, Lancia G, et al. Nimodipine in acute ischemic stroke: a double-blind controlled study. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 1989;**80**:282-6.

### PASS 1995 {published and unpublished data}

De Deyn P-P, De Ruck J, Orgogozo J-M, Deberdt W, for the Piracetam Study Group. The Piracetam in Acute Stroke Study (PASS). *Stroke* 1996;**27**(1):196.

\* PASS study. Treatment of acute ischemic stroke with piracetam. *Stroke* 1997;**28**:2347-52.

# **Pokrupa 1986** {*published and unpublished data*}

\* Pokrupa R, Hakim A, Villanueva J, Francis G, Wolfe L. Clinical study of prostacyclin infusion after acute ischemic stroke. *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences* 1986;**13**(2):165.

Pokrupa RP, Hakim AM, Villanueva JA, Diksic M, Evans AE, Meyer E, et al. Prostacyclin infusion after acute cerebral infarction: clinical and PET studies. Unpublished manuscript.

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



# Potter 2009 labetalol {published data only}

Potter JF, Robinson TG, Ford GA, Mistri A, James M, Chernova J, et al. Controlling hypertension and hypotension immediately post-stroke (CHHIPS): a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind pilot trial. *Lancet Neurology* 2008;**8**:48-56.

# Potter 2009 lisinopril {published data only}

Potter JF, Robinson TG, Ford GA, Mistri A, James M, Chernova J, et al. Controlling hypertension and hypotension immediately post-stroke (CHHIPS): a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind pilot trial. *Lancet Neurology* 2008;**8**:48-56.

# **PRISTINE** {unpublished data only}

Steiner TJ. Naftidrofuryl in the treatment of acute cerebral hemisphere infarction (ACHI). *Stroke* 1996;**27**(1):195.

### Rashid 2003 10 mg {published and unpublished data}

Rashid P, Weaver C, Leonardi-Bee J, Bath F, Fletcher S, Bath P. The effects of transdermal glyceryl trinitrate, a nitric oxide donor, on blood pressure, cerebral and cardiac haemodynamics, and plasma nitric oxide levels in acute stroke. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2003;**12**(3):143-51.

# Rashid 2003 5 mg {published and unpublished data}

Rashid P, Weaver C, Leonardi-Bee J, Bath F, Fletcher S, Bath P. The effects of transdermal glyceryl trinitrate, a nitric oxide donor, on blood pressure, cerebral and cardiac haemodynamics, and plasma nitric oxide levels in acute stroke. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2003;**12**(3):143-51.

# Rashid 2003 5/10 mg {published and unpublished data}

Rashid P, Weaver C, Leonardi-Bee J, Bath F, Fletcher S, Bath P. The effects of transdermal glyceryl trinitrate, a nitric oxide donor, on blood pressure, cerebral and cardiac haemodynamics, and plasma nitric oxide levels in acute stroke. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2003;**12**(3):143-51.

### Saxena 1999 100 mg {published data only}

Saxena R, Wijnhoud AD, Carton H, Hacke W, Kaste M, Przybelski RJ, et al. Controlled safety study of a hemoglobinbased oxygen carrier, DCLHb, in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1999;**30**:993-6.

### Saxena 1999 25 mg {published data only}

Saxena R, Wijnhoud AD, Carton H, Hacke W, Kaste M, Przybelski RJ, et al. Controlled safety study of a hemoglobinbased oxygen carrier, DCLHb, in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1999;**30**:993-6.

# Saxena 1999 50 mg {published data only}

Saxena R, Wijnhoud AD, Carton H, Hacke W, Kaste M, Przybelski RJ, et al. Controlled safety study of a hemoglobinbased oxygen carrier, DCLHb, in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1999;**30**:993-6.

# Squire 1996 {published and unpublished data}

\* Squire IB, Lees KR, Pryse-Phillips W, Kertesz A, Bamford J. The effects of lifarizine in acute cerebral infarction: a pilot safety study. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 1996;**6**:156-60.

Squire IB, Lees KR, Pryse-Phillips W, Kertesz A, Bamford J, for the lifarizine Study Group. The effects of lifarizine in acute cerebral infarction: a pilot study. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1995;**765**:317-8.

# Steiner 1986 {published and unpublished data}

Steiner TJ, Rose C. Randomized double-blind placebo controlled clinical trial of naftidrofuryl in hemiparetic CT-proven acute cerebral hemisphere infarction. Royal Society of Medicine Internal Congress Symposium (Series No 99, 85). 1986:85-98.

\* Steiner TJ, Rose C. Towards a model stroke trial. The singlecentre naftidrofuryl study. *Neuroepidemiology* 1986;**5**:121-47.

### Strand 1984 {published and unpublished data}

\* Strand T, Wester PO, CVD Group. A double blind randomized pilot trial of magnesium therapy in acute cerebral infarction. Proceedings of the 7th Scandinavian Meeting on Cerebrovascular Disease. Finland, Jyvaskyla, 14-17 August 1993:37 (Abstract 19).

Wester PO, Asplund K, Eriksson S, Hagg E, Lithner F, Strand T. Infusion of magnesium in patients with acute brain infarction. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 1984;**70**(2):143.

### Uzuner 1995/180 mg {published data only}

Uzuner N, Ozdemir G, Gucuyener D. The interaction between nimodipine and systemic blood pressure and pulse rate. Pan-European Consensus Meeting on Stroke Management, Helsingborg, Sweden. 8-10 November, 1985.

# VENUS 1995 {unpublished data only}

Limburg M, Horn J, Vermeulen M, for the VENUS Group. VENUS: Very Early Nimodipine Use in Stroke. *Stroke* 1995;**26**(2):353.

### Walters 2006 {published and unpublished data}

Walters MR, Weir CJ, Lees KR. A randomised controlled pilot study to investigate the potential benefit of intervention with insulin in hyperglycaemic acute ischaemic stroke patients. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2006;**22**:116-22.

#### Willmot 2006 {published and unpublished data}

Willmot M, Ghadami A, Whysall B, Clarke W, Wardlaw J, Bath PMW. Transdermal glyceryl trinitrate lowers blood pressure and maintains cerebral blood flow in recent stroke. *Hypertension* 2006;**47**:1209-15.

# Wimalarat 1994/120mg {published and unpublished data}

\* Wimalaratna HSK, Capildeo R. Nimodipine in acute ischaemic cerebral hemisphere infarction. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 1994;**4**:179-81.

Wimalaratna HSK, Capildeo R. Nimodipine in acute ischaemic stroke. *Journal of Neurology* 1990;**237**:146.

Wimalaratna HSK, Capildeo R. What dosage of nimodipine for stroke? A randomised double-blind controlled trial comparing

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



two doses of nimodipine in acute ischaemic stroke. *Neurology India* 1998;**37 Suppl**:147.

# Wimalarat 1994/240mg {published data only}

Wimalaratna HSK, Capildeo R. Nimodipine in acute ischaemic stroke. *Journal of Neurology* 1990;**237**:146.

Wimalaratna HSK, Capildeo R. Nimodopine in acute ischaemic cerebral hemisphere infarction. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 1994;**4**:179-81.

Wimalaratna HSK, Capildeo R. What dosage of nimodipine for stroke? A randomised double-blind controlled trial comparing two doses of nimodipine in acute ischaemic stroke. *Neurology India* 1998;**37 Suppl**:147.

# References to studies excluded from this review

# Albers 1995a {published data only}

Albers GW, Atkinson RP, Kelley RE, Rosenbaum DM, the Dextrophan Study Group. Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of the N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist dextrorphan in patients with acute stroke. *Stroke* 1995;**26**:254-8.

### Albers 1995b {published data only}

Dextrorphan Study Group, Hoffmann-La Roche. Safety tolerability and pharmacokinetics of the N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist R0-01-6794/706 in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Annals of the New York Academy of Science* 1995;**15**(765):249-61.

### Ameriso 1992 {published data only}

Ameriso SF, Wenby RB, Meiselman HJ, Fisher M. Nimodipine and the evolution of haemorrheological variables after acute ischemic stroke. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases* 1992;**2**:22-5.

# ANS 1992 {published data only}

American Nimodipine Study Group. Clinical trial of nimodipine in acute ischaemic stroke. *Stroke* 1992;**23**:3-8.

### ATTACH 2006 {published data only}

Qureshi AI. ATTACH - Antihypertensive Treatment in Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage. Stroke Trials Directory, Internet Stroke Center: www.strokecenter.org/trials/. 2007.

\* Qureshi AI. Antihypertensive Treatment of Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage (ATACH). *Neurocritical Care* 2007;**6**:56-66.

#### Autret 1992 {published data only}

Autret A, Lehert P, Monsnier M. Study of naftidrofuryl in cerebral ischaemic accidents. *Psychologie Medicale* 1992;**24**(1):103-14.

### Bogousslavsky 2002 {published data only}

Bogousslavsky J, Victor SJ, Salinas EO, Pallay A, Donnan GA, Fieschi C, et al. Fiblast (trafermin) in acute stroke: results of the European-Australian phase II/III safety and efficacy trial. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2002;**14**:239-51.

#### Britton 1980 {published data only}

Britton M, de Faire U, Helmers C, Miah K, Rane A. Lack or effect of theophylline on the outcome of acute cerebral infarction. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 1980;**62**:116-23.

#### Brola 1998 {published data only}

Brola W, Czernicki J. The effect of pentoxifylline on treatment results and course of rehabilitation of patients after ischemic stroke. *Polski Merkuriusz Lekarski* 1998;**4**(21):116-8.

### Busse 1985 {published data only}

Busse O, Hornig CR, Agnoli AL. Behandlung des ischamischen hirninfarktes mit naftidrofuryl eine randomisierte doppel blindstudie. *Therapiewoche* 1985;**35**:4147-54.

#### Cao 2003 {published data only}

Cao F, Sun S-G, Tong E-T, Luo F, Wang J. Clinical application of nimodipine in the treatment of acute intracerebral haemorrhage. *Herald of Medicine (Yi Yao Dao Bao)* 2003;**22**(2):82-4.

#### Capon 1983 {unpublished data only}

Bayer AG, Wuppertal, Germany. Unpublished work. Unpublished 1984.

# CARING 2005 {published data only}

Wang DZ, Honings D, Mathews M, Milbrandt J, Graumlich J, Avelino R. Open-label prospective study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of double or triple concentrated intravenous nicardipine for treatment of hypertension in patients with ischemic stroke, intracerebral haemorrhage or subarachnoid hemorrhage - the CARING trial. International Stroke Conference. 2005.

#### Chan 1993 {published data only}

Chan YW, Kay CS. Pentoxifylline in the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke - a reappraisal in Chinese stroke patients. *Clinical and Experimental Neurology* 1993;**30**:110-6.

### Chandra 1995 {published data only}

\* Chandra B. A new form of management of stroke. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases* 1995;**5**:241-3.

Chandra B. Nimodipine in the management of stroke. *Journal of Neurology* 1994;**241 Suppl 1**:S30.

### CHERISH 2006 {published data only}

\* Hong K-S, Park J-M, Kang D-W, Lee Y-S, Koo J-S, Rha J-H. Cilnidipine effect on high blood pressure and cerebral perfusion in ischemic stroke patients with hypertension. Proceedings of the International Stroke Conference. 2006.

Park S-H. CHERISH. Cilnidipine effect on high blood pressure and cerebral perfusion in ischemic stroke patients with hypertension. Stroke Trials Directory, Internet Stroke Center: www.strokecenter.org/trials/ 2006.

### Davalos 1989 {published data only}

Davalos A, Cendra E, Gonzalez B, Genis D, Teruel J, Ruibal A. Double-blind randomized clinical trial of nicardipine vs placebo in acute ischemic stroke: clinical, radiological and biochemical

evaluation of the ischaemic area. Preliminary results. *Neurology India* 1998;**37 Suppl**:283.

\* Davalos EA, De Cendra E, Genis D, Teruel J, Ruibal A, Musoles SD. Double blind controlled trial of nicardipine versus placebo in the treatment of the acute phase of cerebral infarction. *Neurologia* 1992;**7**(6):157.

# Dekoninck 1978 {published data only}

Dekoninck WJ, Jocquet P, Jacquy J, Henriet M. Comparative study of the clinical effect of vincamine + glycerol + placebo in the acute phase of stroke. *Arzneimittel Forschung* 1978;**28**:1654-7.

# Domzal 1986 {published data only}

Domzal T, Kozlowski P, Zaleska B. Cavinton in the treatment of ischaemic cerebral stroke. Clinical and computerizedtomographic evaluation. *Neurologia i Neurochirurgia Polska* 1986;**20**(3):234-40.

# FIST 1996 {published data only}

Franke CL, Palm R, Dalby M, Schoonderwaldt HC, Hantson L, Eriksson B, et al. Flunarazine in stroke treatment (FIST): a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in Scandinavia and the Netherlands. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 1996;**93**:56-60.

# Galeas 1998 {published data only}

Galeas TH, Ziogas G, Valotasiou A, Galea B, Karapanos F, Lappas H. The role of magnesium (Mg) - a national calcium (Ca) antagonist on the Ca channels of the patients in the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke. Proceedings of the Consensus Conference on Medical Management of Stroke, Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh. May 1998.

### Gamez 1988 {published data only}

Marin Gamez N, Sota Mas JA, Aguilar Martinez JL, Bermudez Garcia JM, Salim A, Ramos Jimenez A, et al. A controlled double blind clinical trial of nicardipine versus placebo in acute focal cerebral ischaemia. *Medicina Clinica* 1988;**90**:690-2.

### Geismar 1976 {published data only}

Geismar P, Marquardsen J, Sylvest J. Controlled trial of intravenous aminophylline in acute cerebral infarction. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 1976;**54**:173-80.

### Gelmers 1984/120 {published data only}

Gelmers HJ. The effects of nimodipine on the clinical course of patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 1984;**69**:232-9.

# Gelmers 1988/120 {published data only}

Gelmers HJ, Gorter K, Weerdt CJ, Weizer HJA. A controlled trial of nimodipine in acute ischemic stroke. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1988;**318**:203-7.

### Gladstone 2006 {published data only}

Gladstone DJ, Danells CJ, Armesto A, McIlroy WE, Staines R, Graham SJ, et al. Physiotherapy coupled with dextroamphetamine for rehabilitation after hemiparetic stroke. *Stroke* 2006;**37**:179-85.

# Gray 1990 {published data only}

Gray CS, French JM, Venables GS, Cartlidge NEF, James OFW, Bates D. A randomized double-blind controlled trial of naftidrofuryl in acute stroke. *Age and Ageing* 1990;**19**:356-63.

#### Haley 1994/0.6 {published data only}

The RANTTAS investigators. A randomized trial of tirilazad mesylate in patients with acute stroke (RANTTAS). *Stroke* 1996;**27**:1453-8.

# Haley 1994/2 {published data only}

The RANTTAS investigators. A randomized trial of tirilazad mesylate in patients with acute stroke (RANTTAS). *Stroke* 1996;**27**:1453-8.

# Haley 1994/6 {published data only}

The RANTTAS investigators. A randomized trial of tirilazad mesylate in patients with acute stroke (RANTTAS). *Stroke* 1996;**27**:1453-8.

### Hartmann 2005 {published data only}

Hartmann A, Pavlidis C, Dettmers C, Rommel T. The effect of antihypertensive drugs on intracranial pressure and cerebral blood flow in patients with acute stroke. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2005;**19 Suppl 2**:Abst 6.

# Hoechst 1986 {published data only}

Aschenbrenner KM. Addendum to the final report. Efficacy, safety and tolerance of Trental (pentoxifylline) in the treatment of acute non-hemorrhagic focal cerebral infarction (12 - 36 hours after onset). HRPI protocol 402 B - modified, Hoechst AG 1987.

\* Hentschel B, Forthofer R. Efficacy, safety and tolerance of Trental (pentoxifylline) in the treatment of acute nonhemorrhagic focal cerebral infarction (12-36 hours after onset). HRPI protocol 402 B - modified. Final report. Hoechst 1986.

# Holthoff 1990 {published data only}

Heiss WD, Holthoff V, Pawlik G, Neveling M. Effect of nimodipine on regional cerebral glucose metabolism in patients with acute ischaemic stroke as measured by positron emission tomography. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism* 1990;**10**:127-32.

\* Holthoff V, Beil C, Hartmann-Klosterkotter U, Neveling M, Pawlik G, Herholz K, et al. Effect of nimodipine on glucose metabolism in the course of ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1990;**21 Suppl IV**:IV 95-7.

### Hsu 1988 {published data only}

Hoechst. Clinical/statistical report for pentoxifylline (Trental, BL 191). Protocol 402A. Hoechst report 1988.

\* Hsu CY, Norris JW, Hogan EL, Bladin P, Dinsdale HB, Yatsu FM, et al. Pentoxifylline in acute nonhemorrhagic stroke. A randomised, placebo-controlled double-blind trial. *Stroke* 1988;**19**(6):716-22.

Pentoxifylline Study Group. Pentoxifylline (PTX) in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1987;**18**(1):298.

# Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Copyright  $\ensuremath{\mathbb S}$  2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



# Huber 1993 {published data only}

Huber M, Hojer C, Fink G R, Neveling B, Kittner B, Heiss WD. Adenosine reuptake inhibition by propentofylline improves brain glucose metabolism as measured by FDG-PET in human acute ischemic stroke. A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. 2nd International Conference on Stroke, Geneva 1993;**124**:2.

\* Huber M, Kittner B, Hojer C, Fink GR, Neveling M, Heiss WD. Effect of propentofylline on regional cerebral glucose metabolism in acute ischemic stroke. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism* 1993;**13**:526-30.

# IMAGES 2004 {published data only}

IMAGES investigators. Magnesium for acute stroke (intravenous magnesium efficacy in stroke trial): randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004;**363**:439-45.

# Infeld 1999 {published data only}

Infeld B, Davis SM, Donnan AD, Yasaka M, Lichtenstein M, Mitchell PJ, et al. Nimodipine and perfusion changes after stroke. *Stroke* 1999;**30**:1417-23.

# Karoutas 1990 {published data only}

Karoutas G. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of piracetam in patients with acute ischaemic cerebral infarct in the carotid territory. Proceedings of Piracetam Symposium, Athens. 30 April 1990.

### Kornhuber 1993 {published data only}

\* Kornhuber HH, Hartung J, Herrlinger JD, Hertel G, Hulser PJ, Prange H, et al. Flunarazine in ischemic stroke: a randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled, double blind study. *Neurological and Psychological Brain Research* 1993;**1**:173-80.

Prange H, Hartung J, Hertel G, Herrlinger D, Hulser G, Kornhuber HH, et al. Treatment of acute stroke with flunarizine iv. Proceedings of the International Conference on Stroke, Geneva. 1991:39.

### Lampl 2001 {published data only}

Lampl Y, Gilad R, Geva D, Eshel Y, Sadeh M. Intravenous administration of magnesium sulphate in acute stroke: a randomised double blind study. *Clinical Neuropharmacology* 2001;**24**(1):11-5.

# Lipani 1984 {unpublished data only}

Lipani G. Clinical/experimental evaluations of the therapeutic efficacy and tolerability of the medical preparation "Cavinton" of Ayerst Italiana S.p.A. RGD document No 30709 1984.

### Martin 1985 {published data only}

Martin JF, Hamdy N, Nicholl J, Lewtas N, Bergvall U, Owen P, et al. Double-blind controlled trial of prostacyclin in cerebral infarction. *Stroke* 1985;**16**:386-90.

### Martinsson 2002 {published data only}

Martinsson L, Wahlgren NG. Safety of dexamphetamine in acute ischaemic stroke: a randomised, double blind, controlled dose-escalation trial. *Stroke* 2003;**34**:475-81.

### MAST-I {published data only}

Multicentre Acute Stroke Trial-Italy (MAST-I) Group. Randomised controlled trial of streptokinase, aspirin and combination of both in treatment of acute ischaemic stroke. *Lancet* 1995;**346**:1509-14.

### Meier 1991 {published data only}

Meier F, Wessel G, Thiele R, Gottschild D, Brandstatt H. Induced hypertension as an approach to treating acute cerebrovascular ischaemia: possibilities and limitations. *Experimental Pathology* 1991;**42**:257-63.

### Miller 1984 {published data only}

Miller VT, Coull BM, Yatsu FM, Shah AB, Beamer NB. Prostacyclin infusion in acute cerebral infarction. *Neurology* 1984;**34**:1431-5.

# Ming 1990 {published data only}

Ming A, Fritz VU, Winterton R, Esser J, Hinton S. Piracetam versus placebo in first, acute, non-haemorrhagic, carotid territory stroke: a double-blind pilot study. Proceedings of the Piracetam symposium, Athens, Greece. 1990:139-51.

# Misra 2005 {published data only}

Misra UK, Kalita J, Ranjan P, Mandal SK. Mannitol in intracerebral haemorrhage: a randomized controlled study. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences* 2005;**234**:41-5.

# Mohr 1992/120 {published data only}

Mohr JP, The American Nimodipine study Group. Clinical trial of nimodipine in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1992;**23**:3-8.

### Mohr 1992/240 {published data only}

Mohr JP, The American Nimodipine study Group. Clinical trial of nimodipine in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1992;**23**:3-8.

# Mohr 1992/60 {published data only}

Mohr JP, The American Nimodipine study Group. Clinical trial of nimodipine in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1992;**23**:3-8.

# Molnar 1979 {published data only}

Molnar L, Vamosi B. The effect of cavinton and xavin on the redox changes in the brain of stroke patients. Proceedings of the Third Congress of the Hungarian Pharmacological Society, Budapest. 1979:369-74.

### Mousavi 2004 {published data only}

Mousavi SA, Ziaei J, Saadatnia M. Magnesium sulphate in acute stroke: a randomised double blind clinical trial. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences* 2004;**4**:7-10.

# Nakamura 2007 {published data only}

Nakamura T, Uchiyama S, Tsutsumi Y, Shimizu Y, Iwata M. Reninangiotensin system blockade safely reduces blood pressure without affecting cerebral blood flow in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2007;**38**(2):517.

# Nazir 2004 {published data only}

Nazir FS, Overell JR, Bloster A, Hilditch TE, Reid JL, Lees KR. The effect of losartan on global and focal cerebral perfusion and on renal function in hypertensives in mild early ischaemic stroke. *Journal of Hypertension* 2004;**22**:989-95.

### Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Copyright  $\ensuremath{\mathbb S}$  2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



# NEST 1994/120 {published and unpublished data}

Hennerici M, Kramer G, North PM, Schmitz H, Tettenborn D. Nimodipine in the treatment of acute MCA ischaemic stroke. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 1994;**4**:189-93.

# NIMPAS 1997 {published data only}

Infeld B, Davis S, Donnan G. Nimodipine and perfusion after acute stroke (NIMPAS) progress report. Proceedings of the Stroke Society of Australian Annual Scientific Meeting, Sydney. 6-7 October 1994.

# Oczkowski 1989 {published data only}

Oczkowski WJ, Hachinski VC, Bogousslavsky J, Barnett HJM, Curruthers SG. A double-blind, randomized trial of PY108-068 in acute ischemic cerebral infarction. *Stroke* 1989;**20**:604-8.

# Ohtomo 1986 {published data only}

Ohtomo E, Katsuzawa T, Araki S, Itoh E, Kuzuya F, Mijazaki M, et al. Clinical evaluation of LS-121 in the treatment of cerebrovascular disorders. *Clinical Evaluation* 1986;**14**:279-80.

# Ohtomo 1987a {published data only}

Mizushima Y, Toyota T, Okita K, Ohtomo E. Recent clinical studies on lipo-PGE1 and lipo-PGI2: PGE1 and PGI2 incorporated in lipid microspheres, for target delivery. *Journal of Controlled Release* 1994;**28**:243-9.

# Ohtomo 1987b {published data only}

Ohtomo E, Nakajima K, Araki G, Itoh E, Mujazaki M, Sawach T, et al. Clinical evaluation of LS-121 injection in the treatment of cerebral infarction and cerebral haemorrhage in acute and subacute stroke stages. *Clinical Evaluation* 1987;**15**:107-42.

# **Orgogozo** {unpublished data only}

Orgogozo JM. A nicardipine stroke trial. Unpublished work 1995.

# Piradov 1992 {published data only}

Piradov MA. Piracetam in acute stroke. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 1992;**2**:235.

# Piriyawat 2003 {published data only}

Piriyawat P, Labiche LA, Burgin WS, Aronowski JA, Grotta JC. Pilot dose-escalation study of caffeine plus ethanol (caffeinol) in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2003;**34**:1242-5.

# Platt 1993 {published data only}

Platt D, Horn J, Summa JD, Schmitt-Ruth R, Kauntz J, Kronert E. On the efficacy of piracetam in geriatric patients with acute cerebral ischaemia: a clinically controlled double-blind study. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics* 1993;**16**:149-64.

# Popa 1995 {published data only}

Popa G, Voiculescu V, Popa C, Stanescu A, Nistorescu A, Jepescu I. Stroke and hypertension. Antihypertensive therapy withdrawal. *Romanian Journal of Neurology and Psychiatry* 1995;**33**:29-36.

### Rosenbaum 1991 {published data only}

Rosenbaum D, Zabramski J, Frey J, Yatsu F, Marler J, Spetzler R, et al. Early treatment of ischemic stroke with a calcium antagonist. *Stroke* 1991;**22**:437-41.

### Saver 2004 {published data only}

Saver JL, Kidwell C, Eckstein M, Starkman S. Prehospital neuroprotective therapy for acute stroke: results of the field administration of stroke therapy-magnesium (FAST-MAG) pilot trial. *Stroke* 2004;**35**:106-8.

# Sherman 1986/120 {published data only}

Sherman DG, Easton JD, Hart RG, Sherman CP, Battye R. Nimodipine in acute cerebral infarction: a double blind study of safety and efficacy. In: Battistini N, Fiorani P, Courbier R, Plum F, Fieschi C editor(s). Acute Brain Ischemia: Medical and Surgical Therapy. New York: Raven Press, 1986:257.

# Sprigg 2007 {published data only}

Sprigg N, Willmot MR, Gray LJ, Sunderland A, Pomeroy V, Walker M, et al. Amphetamine increases blood pressure and heart rate but has no effect on motor recovery or cerebral haemodynamics in ischaemic stroke: a randomised controlled trial (ISRCTN 36285333). *Journal of Human Hypertension* 2007;**21**:616-24.

# Su 2004 {published data only}

Su W, Luo S, Cai K, Gao P, Chu D-F, Wang X-D. Effect of flunarizine on ischemic penumbra in patients with acute ischemic cerebral infarction. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation* 2004;**8**(31):6948-9.

# Suslina 1999 {published data only}

Suslina ZA, Fedorova TN, Kistenev BA, Khrapova EV, Maksimova MYU. Dynamics of lipid peroxidation in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Zhurnal Nevrologii I Psikhiatrii Imeni S.S. Korsakova* 1999;**99**(7):33-6.

# Szakall 1998 {published data only}

Szakall S, Boros I, Balkay L, Emri M, Fekete I, Kerenyi L, et al. Cerebral effects of a single dose of intravenous vinpocetine in chronic stroke patients: a PET Study. *Journal of Neuroimaging* 1998;**8**(4):197-204.

### Szczechowski 1994 {published data only}

Szczechowski L, Wajgt A. Ocena skutecznosci leczenia ostrych udarow niedokrwiennych dozylnym wlewem nimodypiny. *Neurologia i Neurochirurgia Polska* 1994;**28**:299-306.

# TRUST 1990/120 {published and unpublished data}

Murphy J. UK Calcium antagonist trial (TRUST). *Journal of Neurology* 1990;**237**:130.

\* Murphy J, Trust Study Group. Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of nimodipine in acute stroke. *Lancet* 1990;**336**:1205-9.

Pandita-Gunawardena ND, TRUST Investigators Group. A randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled trial of nimodipine in acute stroke. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society* 1991;**39**:A11.

### Vamosi 1976 {published data only}

Vamosi B, Molnar L, Demeter J, Tury F. Comparative study of the effect of ethyl apovincaminate and xantinol

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



nicotinate in cerebrovascular diseases. *Arzneimittel Forschung* 1976;**26**(10a):3-7.

# Vamosi 1979 {unpublished data only}

Vamosi B, Molnar L, Gal J, Demeter J, Tury F. Cavinton (apovincaminate) and Xavin (xanthinolnicotinate) in acute cerebrovascular disorders (clinical effect, EEG, cerebral serial angiography). RDG document No 13977 1979.

### Wang 2004 {published data only}

Wang X, Xu J, Zhu B. Recent effect of magnesium sulphate on neurological function and the quality of life in patients with acute cerebral infarction. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation* 2004;**8**(34):7625-7.

# Wang 2006 {published data only}

Wang L, Li J, Zhang Y. A clinical observation of efficacy of vinpocetine injection on acute cerebral haemorrhage. *Progress in Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2006;**30**(12):563-5.

# Wasilewski 1985 {unpublished data only}

Wasilewski R. Effect of Cavinton treatment on the dynamics of the clinical syndromes of acute and chronic cerebral vascular disturbances. RGD document No 32140. 1985.

# Werner 1986 {published data only}

Werner J, Apecechea M, Schaltenbrand R, Fenz E. Clinical study to evaluate the efficacy and tolerance of vinpocetine iv added to standard therapy in patients suffering from an acute apoplectic insult. In: Bes A, et al. editor(s). Senile Dementias: Early Detection. John Libbey Eurotext, 1986:636-41.

### Wong 1987 {published data only}

Wong WJ, Hu HH, Lo YK, Chu FL. A control trial of pentoxifylline plus glycerine in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke. Proceedings of the 7th Asian Oceanian Congress of Neurology, Bali. September 1987:45.

# Woollard 1978 {published data only}

Woollard ML, Pearson RM, Dorf G, Griffith D, James IM. Controlled trial of ornithine alpha ketoglutarate (OAKA) in patients with stroke. *Stroke* 1978;**9**:218-22.

### Yu 2003 {published data only}

Yu L, Zhao Y. Efficacy of aescine sodium, mannitol, and albumin on cerebral post-infarction. *China Pharmacist* 2003;**6**(12):801-2.

### Zhao 2003 {published data only}

Zhao Y, Dong Q, Jiang J. A clinical trial of the efficacy and safety of high-dose naloxone at the early stage of cerebral haemorrhage secondary to hypertension. *Journal of Apoplexy and Nervous Diseases* 2003;**20**(3):261-2.

# Zorzon 1987 {published data only}

Zorzon M, Monti F, Del Pio Luogo T, Cazzato G. La ticlopidina e la pentossifillina nell'infarto cerebrale acuto. *Giornale di Clinica Medica* 1987;**11**:569-72.

### **References to ongoing studies**

### ACCOST 2006 {published data only}

Gray C. ACCOST. Acute Candesartan Cilexetil Outcomes Stroke Trial. Stroke Trials Registry, Internet Stroke Center: www.strokecenter.org/trials. 2006.

### ASTART 2005 {published data only}

Beer C. Acute stroke treatment with atorvastatin and irbesartan. Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ANZCTR) http:// www.anzctr.org.au/ 2005.

### ATACH-2 2008 {published data only}

Qureshi AI, Qureshi Z. Antihypertensive Treatment of Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage (ATACH) trial. Proceedings of the International Stroke Conference 2008. USA, New Orleans: American Stroke Association, 20-22 February 2008.

# BLAST 2007 {published data only}

Albers GW. Blood Pressure Lowering in Acute Stroke Trial (BLAST). ClinicalTrials.gov 2007.

# **COSSACS 2005** {published data only}

COSSACS Trial Group. COSSACS (Continue Or Stop post-Stroke Antihypertensives Collaborative Study): rationale and design. *Journal of Hypertension* 2005;**23**(2):455-8.

# ENOS 2006 {published data only}

Bath PMW, and the ENOS Investigators. Efficacy of nitric oxide in stroke (ENOS) trial: prospective randomized controlled trial in acute stroke. *European Journal of Neurology* 2003;**10 Suppl 1**:59.

Sprigg N, Gray LJ, Bath PMW. Continuing prior antihypertensive medication in acute stroke lowers blood pressure: data from the continue vs stop arm of the "Efficacy of Nitric Oxide in Stroke" (ENOS) trial. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2006;**21 Suppl 4**:144.

\* The ENOS Trial Investigators. Glyceryl trinitrate vs. control, and continuing vs. stopping temporarily prior antihypertensive therapy, in acute stroke: rationale and design of the Efficacy of Nitric Oxide in Stroke (ENOS) trial (ISRCTN99414122). *International Journal of Stroke* 2006;**1**:245-9.

### FAST-MAG 2005 {published data only}

Saver JL. FAST-MAG, Field administration of stroke therapy magnesium phase III trial. International Stroke Conference. 2006.

### GRASP 2005 {published data only}

Johnston KC. Glucose regulation in acute stroke patients trial. International Stroke Conference. 2007.

### ICH ADAPT 2007 {published data only}

Butcher K. ICH ADAPT Intracerebral Hemorrhage Acutely Decreasing Arterial Pressure Trial. Stroke Trials Directory, Internet Stroke Center: www.strokecenter.org/trials 2007.



# INTERACT 2 2007 {published data only}

Anderson C. INTERACT Intensive Blood Pressure Reduction in Acute Cerebral Haemorrhage. Stroke Trials Directory, Internet Stroke Center: www.strokecenter.org/trials/ 2007.

# PASS II 1998 {unpublished data only}

Orgogozo JM. PASS II. Piracetam in acute ischaemic stroke II. Stroke Trials Directory, Internet Stroke Center: www.strokecenter.org/trials 1998.

# SCAST 2005 {published data only}

Aakvik R. SCAST. Scandinavian Candesartan Acute Stroke Trial. Stroke Trials Directory, Internet Stroke Center: www.strokecenter.org/trials 2006.

\* Berge E, Aakvik R, Terent A, Boysen G. Scandinavian Candesartan Acute Stroke Trial (SCAST). *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2006;**21 Suppl 4**:124-5.

Iuell RS, Aarhus D, Terent A, Boysen G, Thijs V, Berge E. SCAST - Scandinavian Candesartan Acute Stroke Trial. Proceedings of the 16th European Stroke Conference. 2007.

### TAST 2007 {published data only}

Sare G. Effect of an angiotensin receptor antagonist on cerebral blood flow, cerebral perfusion pressure, and systemic and peripheral haemodynamics in patients with acute stroke. Current Controlled Trials (http://www.controlled-trials.com/) 2007.

### Additional references

# AHA-HS 2007

Broderick J, Connolly S, Feldmann E, Hanley D, Kase C, Krieger D, et al. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage in adults: 2007 update. *Stroke* 2007;**38**:2001-23.

# AHA-IS 2007

Adams HP, del Zoppo G, Alberts MJ, Bhatt DL, Brass L, Furlan A, et al. Guidelines for the early management of adults with ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2007;**38**:1655-711.

### BASC I

Geeganage C, Bath PM. Interventions for deliberately altering blood pressure in acute stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2008, Issue 4. [Art. No.: CD000039. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000039.pub2.]

### Bath 2002

Bath PMW, Willmot M, Leonardi-Bee J, Bath-Hextall FJ. Nitric oxide donors (nitrates), L-arginine, or nitric oxide synthase inhibitors for acute stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2002, Issue 4. [Art. No.: CD000398. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000398]

# Bath 2003

Bath P, Chalmers J, Powers W, Beilin L, Davis S, Lenfant C, et al. International Society of Hypertension (ISH): statement on the management of blood pressure in acute stroke. *Journal of Hypertension* 2003;**21**(4):665-72.

Bath 2004/1

Bath PMW. Prostacyclin and analogues for acute ischaemic stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 3. [Art. No.: CD000177. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000177.pub2]

# Bath 2004/2

Bath PMW, Bath-Hextall FJ. Pentoxifylline, propentofylline and pentifylline for acute ischaemic stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 3. [Art. No.: CD000162. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000162.pub2.]

### Bereczki 2008

Bereczki D, Fekete I. Vinpocetine for acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2008;**39**:2404-5.

### Burke 1986

Burke AM, Younkin D, Gordon J, Goldberg H, Graham T, Kushner M, et al. Changes in cerebral blood flow and recovery from acute stroke. *Stroke* 1986;**17**:173-8.

# Castillo 2004

Castillo J, Leira R, Garcia MM, Serena J, Blanco M, Davalos A. Blood pressure decrease during the acute phase of ischemic stroke is associated with brain injury and poor stroke outcome. *Stroke* 2004;**35**:520-7.

# ESO 2008

The European Stroke Association (ESO) Executive Committee and the ESO Writing Committee. Guidelines for management of ischaemic stroke and transient ischaemic attack 2008. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2008;**25**:457-507.

### Geeganage 2009

Geeganage CM, Bath PMW. Relationship between therapeutic changes in blood pressure and outcomes in acute stroke: a meta-regression. *Hypertension* 2009;**54**:775-81.

# Higgins 2008

Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.0.0 [updated February 2008]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2008. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.

# Horn 2001

Horn J, Limburg M. Calcium antagonists for acute ischemic stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2000, Issue 1. [Art. No.: CD001928. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001928]

# **International Society of Hypertension 2003**

International Society of Hypertension Writing Group. International Society of Hypertension (ISH): Statement on the management of blood pressure in acute stroke. *Journal of Hypertension* 2003;**21**:665-72.

# Leonardi-Bee 2002

Leonardi-Bee J, Bath PMW, Phillips SJ, Sandercock PAG. Blood pressure and clinical outcomes in the International Stroke Trial. *Stroke* 2002;**33**:1315-20.

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



### Leonardi-Bee 2007

Leonardi-Bee J, Steiner T, Bath-Hextall F. Naftidrofuryl for acute stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007, Issue 2. [Art. No.: CD005478. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005478.pub2]

# Martinsson 2007

Martinsson L, Hardemark H, Eksborg S. Amphetamines for improving recovery after stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007, Issue 1. [Art. No.: CD002090. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002090.pub2.]

# Paulson 1990

Paulson OB, Strandgaard S, Edvinsson L. Cerebral autoregulation. *Cerebrovascular and Brain Metabolism Reviews* 1990;**2**:161-92.

# RevMan 2008 [Computer program]

The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). Version 5.0. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2008.

# Sandercock 1992

Sandercock P, Willems H. Medical treatment of acute ischaemic stroke. *Lancet* 1992;**339**:537-9.

# Spence 1985

Spence JD, del Maestro RF. Hypertension in acute ischaemic strokes. Treat. *Archives of Neurology* 1985;**42**:1000-2.

# Sprigg 2005

Sprigg N, Bath PMW. Management of blood pressure in acute stroke. *Practical Neurology* 2005;**5**:218-23.

### Sprigg 2006

Sprigg N, Gray LJ, Bath PMW, Boysen G, De Deyn PP, Friss P, et al. Relationship between outcome and baseline blood pressure and other haemodynamic measures in acute ischaemic stroke: data from the TAIST trial. *Journal of Hypertension* 2006;**24**(7):1413-8.

# CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

# Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

# Sprigg 2007

Sprigg N, Willmot M, Gray LJ, Bath PMW. Effects of amphetamine on stroke recovery: a systematic review. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2007;**23 Suppl 2**:32.

# Strandgaard 1973

Strandgaard S, Olesen J, Skinhoj E, Lassen NA. Autoregulation of brain circulation in severe arterial hypertension. *BMJ* 1973;**3**:507-10.

# Stroke Center

Internet Stroke Center. Stroke Trials Registry. http://www.strokecenter.org/trials/.

# **Tirilazad International Steering Committee 2001**

Tirilazad International Steering Committee. Tirilazad for acute ischaemic stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2001, Issue 4. [Art. No.: CD002087. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002087]

# Vemmos 2004

Vemmos KN, Tsivgoulis G, Spengos K, Zakopoulos N, Synetos A, Manios E, et al. U-shaped relationship between mortality and admission blood pressure in patients with acute stroke. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2004;**255**:257-65.

# Willmot 2004

Willmot M, Leonardi-Bee J, Bath PMW. High blood pressure in acute stroke and subsequent outcome: a systematic review. *Hypertension* 2004;**43**:18-24.

# Yatsu 1985

Yatsu FM, Zivin J. Hypertension in acute ischaemic strokes. Not to treat. *Archives of Neurology* 1985;**42**:999-1000.

\* Indicates the major publication for the study

### **ACCESS 2003**

Methods	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Method of randomisation not known
Participants	Germany 339 patients - T: 173, C:166 Age: T: 68.3 years; C: 67.8 years Male: T: 50%; C: 52% Inclusion: IS 100% CT Enrolment within 24 to 36 hours after admission
Interventions	T: candesartan 4 mg po on day 1 and dose was increased to 8 or 16 mg if BP exceeded 160 mmHg sys- tolic or 100 mmHg diastolic C: matching placebo

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Copyright  ${\ensuremath{{\odot}}}$  2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



ACCESS 2003 (Continued)	Rx: 7 days	
Outcomes		nurse or automatically ility using BI 3 months after the end of placebo-controlled 7-day period
Notes	<b>.</b> .	rs, > 70% stenosis of internal carotid artery, disorders in consciousness, cardiac a, malignant hypertension, and high grade aortic or mitral stenosis
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication

# Ahmed 2000 1 mg

Methods	As for Ahmed 2000 2 m	g
Participants	-	
Interventions	_	
Outcomes	—	
Notes	-	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	According to predetermined randomisation lists
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	Probably not done 101 patients did not complete 21 days of treatment

# Ahmed 2000 2 mg

Methods	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled
	Randomisation by predetermined randomisation list

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

# Ahmed 2000 2 mg (Continued)

Participants	Sweden 295 patients: T1: 101, T2: 94, C: 100 Age: T1: 71.9 years , T2: 72.1 years, C: 71 years Male: T1: 45, T2: 45, C: 45 Inclusion: clinical diagnosis of ischaemic stroke in the carotid artery territory Enrolment: within 24 hours of ictus	
Interventions		;/hour for 5 days followed by oral nimodipine 30 mg qid for 16 days ;/hour for 5 days followed by po nimodipine 30 mg qid for 16 days
Outcomes	Transformed Orgogozo	o score and transformed Barthel index score on the follow up at day 21
Notes	_	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	According to predetermined randomisation lists
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	Probably not done 101 patients did not complete 21 days of treatment This includes 2 trial withdrawals

# **ASCLEPIOS 1990**

Methods	Multicentre (40), double-blind, placebo-controlled Method of randomisation unknown ITT analysis
Participants	European and Canadian 234 patients - T:120, C:114 Age: 45 to 85 years Males: T: 76, C: 69 Patients with ischaemic MCA stroke presenting with hemiparesis or hemiplegia within 12 hours of on- set 100% CT and/or MRI within 72 hours 1 patient > 12 hours (15 hours) and one patient < 45 years (44 years)
Interventions	T: isradipine as continuous iv infusion (80 ug/hour) for 72 hours then po (2.5 mg bd) C: matching iv/po placebo Rx: for 28 days
Outcomes	Assessments at baseline and days 1, 3, 7, 14, 28, 90 Neurological score (modified by Orgogozo et al (1993)); Barthel Index (extended to include death as worst possible outcome) Missing data: day 28: T: 11, C: 6; day 90: T: 4, C: 0 Blood pressure measured at baseline and days 1, 2, 3 (method of measurement unknown)

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

### ASCLEPIOS 1990 (Continued)

Notes

Ex: Massive hemispheric damage; very mild stroke (neurological score > 65); any condition where previous neurological deficits might hinder ability to detect improvement from current stroke; other systemic diseases such as gastrointestinal system, liver, kidneys; acute or unstable cardiovascular disease, except AF; exposure to drugs that may interfere with safety or efficacy; pregnancy, lactation Data provided by J-M Orgogozo (principal investigator) TIAs will be excluded and analysed separately

# Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication

### Barer 1988 atenolol

Methods	Multicentre, open randomised controlled Separate randomisation schemes for each hospital ITT analysis	
Participants	UK 55 patients: T1: 18, T2:16, C:21 Mean age: T1: 73 years, T2: 72 years, C: 70 years Males: T1:12, T2:8, C:8 Inclusion: clinically diagnosed hemispheric strokes Patients should be conscious and able to swallow tablets Enrolment within 48 hours	
Interventions	T1: atenolol po 50 mg daily T2: propranolol 80 mg po daily Rx: 4 weeks	
Outcomes	Same time points used	as Barer 1988
Notes	Same exclusions as Ba	rer 1988
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation was done in block of 3 with separate schemes for each hospital
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	High risk	Open randomised controlled trial
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Copyright  $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$  2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



# Barer 1988 propanolol

Barer 1988 propariotot		
Methods	As for Barer 1988 atend	blol
Participants	_	
Interventions		
Outcomes	_	
Notes	_	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	High risk	Open randomised controlled
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	38 patients lost to follow up

# Barer 1988/50 mg

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Method of randomisation not known 38 patients lost to FU PP analysis
Participants	UK 303 patients: T1:102, T2:101, C:100 Mean age: T1: 70.6 years, T2: 68.2 years, C: 69 years Males: T1: 53, T2: 57, C:49 Inclusion: clinically diagnosed hemispheric strokes Patients should be conscious and able to swallow tables CT not used Enrolment within 48 hours
Interventions	T1: atenolol 50 mg po daily T2: slow release propranolol 80 mg po daily C: matching placebo Rx: 3 weeks
Outcomes	Neurological assessments made at days 1 and 8 and months 1 and 6; full functional assessments made from day 8 onwards; death, functional outcome used ADL on an ordinal scale designed for patients with stroke; length of stay Method by which BP measured not given Early and late death and dependency data defined as ADL score of less than or equal to 4 No method given for BP measurements
Notes	Ex: pre-existing major physical or mental disability, taking beta blockers, contraindications to beta blockers i.e. heart rate ≤ 56 beats/minute, SBP < 100 mmHg, second or third degree heart block, heart

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Barer 1988/50 mg (Continued)

failure or bronchospasm causing dyspnoea, history of asthma, insulin dependent diabetes, MI, other causes of seriously reduced cerebral perfusion

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation was done in block of 3 with separate schemes for each hospital
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	High risk	Open randomised controlled trial
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	Unclear from the publication

# Barer 1988/80 mg

Methods	As for Barer 1988/50 m	g
Participants	_	
Interventions	_	
Outcomes	_	
Notes	_	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	High risk	Open randomised controlled

# Bath 2000

Methods	Single centre double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation by computer (with minimisation on age and mean arterial BP) ITT analysis
Participants	UK 37 patients. T: 16, C: 21
	Age: T: 76 years, C: 72 years
	Male T: 6, C: 12
	Inclusion: ischaemic or haemorrhage stroke
	100% CT

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Bath 2000 (Continued)	Enrolment within 5 day Stroke type assessed c	ys: T: 4 patients enrolled > 5 days and C: 3 patients > 5 days linically
Interventions	T: transdermal GTN 5 n C: matching placebo Rx: 12 days	ng
Outcomes	24 hour ambulatory BP was measured before and during GTN treatment at days 0, 1 and 8 Ambulatory BP was monitored using a Spacelabs 90207 set to record thrice hourly during the day and hourly during the night Functional outcome Rankin scale and Barthel Index and case fatality at 3 months Late death and disability used Barthel, but if used Rankin there is 1 less missing value	
Notes	Ex: taking part in anoth	ner trial
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation by computer (with minimisation on age and mean arterial BP)
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done

Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up Low risk		No loss of follow up

Bogousslavsky 1990	
Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation by next random number on list 60 patients randomised but 8 excluded due to incorrect diagnosis Data from paper, PP analysis
Participants	German 52 patients: T: 24, C: 28 Mean age T: 64, C: 65 (efficacy) Males 38 Inclusion: ischaemic stroke of mild to moderate severity (Mathew scale sum between 50 and 75), > 39 years and < 85 years Diagnosis: clinical and 100% CT scan Enrolment within 48 hours
Interventions	T: nimodipine 30 mg po qid C: matching po placebo Rx: for 14 days Medical therapy allowed such as drugs against infection, hypertension, mild hypnotics, analgesics, vol- ume substitution (including Dextran 40), low-dose heparin (2 x 500 U/day)
Outcomes	Impairment: Mathews score on day 1, 3, 5, 7, and 14, week 4 and month 4 BP and heart rate were checked twice daily and on week 4 and month 4 Number of hypotensives noted Method used for taking BP not given
Notes	Ex: TIA, progressing stroke, coma, brain stem, ICH, SAH, recent MI, CCF, systemic infection, renal/he- patic failure, SBP < 100, DBP > 105, bradycardia (heart rate < 50 beats/minute), AV conduction distur-

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



# Bogousslavsky 1990 (Continued)

bances, concomitant use of CCBs, piracetam, pentoxifylline, naftidrofuryl hydrogenoxalate, dihydroergotoxine, alpha methyl dopa Follow up 4 weeks and 4 months

Support for judgement Randomisation by next random number on the list
Randomisation by next random number on the list
Probably done
Probably done
Unclear from the publication

# Dyker 1997

Methods	Double-blind, placebo Method of randomisati partment ITT analysis	-controlled on: computer-generated random list prepared and held by Pharmacy Trials De-
Participants	100% CT on entry Enrolment within 1 we	mild to moderate hypertension (170 to 250/95 to 120 mm Hg) ek vrescribed antihypertensive therapy had treatment discontinued for at least 48
Interventions	T: 4 mg perindopril po once daily C: matching placebo Rx: 2 weeks	
Outcomes	BP measured semi-automatically pre-treatment and hourly to 10 hours repeated at 24 hours and at 2 weeks Clinical and neurological assessment according to the NIH Stroke Scale made before study entry and repeated on day 15	
Notes	Ex: severe carotid disease	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Computer-generated random list prepared and held by pharmacy trials department
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



# Dyker 1997 (Continued)

Completeness of follow-up High risk

28 recruited to the study with 24 completing the protocol

# Eames 2005

Interventions	Enrolment within 96 hours of stroke onset T: bendrofluazide 2.5 mg po daily
	C: matching placebo Rx: 7 days
Outcomes	Casual and non-invasive beat-to-beat arterial BP level, cerebral blood flow velocity, ECG and transcuta- neous carbon dioxide levels within 70+/-20 hours of cerebral infarction and 7 days later were measured 24-hour BP monitoring with Spacelabs 90207 and brachial artery BP with validated semi-automatic BP monitor (Omron 711)
Notes	Exclusion: history of previous stroke, dysphagia, symptoms lasting < 24 hours, or presented > 76 hours after symptom onset (to allow for 24 hour BP monitoring to be performed prior to randomisation)
Risk of bias	
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement

Dias	Authors Judgement	Supportion Judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Low risk	38 participants randomised, 19 to each group

# Eveson 2007

Methods	Double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group Randomisation by prepared and numbered identical study packs
Participants	UK, single centre 40 patients. T: 18, C: 22 Age: T: 73 years, C: 75 years Male: 63% Inclusion: acute ischaemic stroke within the previous 24 hours with a mean casual SBP level ≥ 140 mm Hg or DBP level ≥ 90 mm Hg Randomisation done before neuroimaging and those with non-ischaemic stroke were withdrawn from the study

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



# Eveson 2007 (Continued)

Interventions	T: 5 mg lisinopril po once daily C: matching placebo Rx: 14 days Dose was increased to 10 mg or 2 placebos on day 7 if SBP ≥ 140 mmHg or DBP ≥ 90 mmHg
Outcomes	Casual brachial artery BP monitoring at 5-minute intervals during a 30-minute period with a validated monitor (A&D UA 767) NIHSS score at day 14, Barthel score and modified Rankin scale at day 14 and day 90
Notes	Ex: severe carotid stenosis, significant aortic stenosis, cardiac failure, MI within past 6 months, dyspha- gia, dehydration, adverse reactions to ACEI, and pre-stroke modified Rankin score > 2

# Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	During 90-day follow up 1 patient from lisinopril died, 2 placebo-treated pa- tients underwent rating before day 90 (1 moved to another hospital and 1 de- clined further study participation after the treatment period)

# Fagan 1988/120 mg

Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement
Risk of bias	
Notes	Ex: concurrent calcium channel antagonists, antihypertensive agents (other than beta blockers) Admission times of concurrent medication always separated from study drug administration by at least 2 hours Part of a larger unpublished trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of nimodipine
Outcomes	Brachial BP before and 30 and 60 minutes after each morning dose for 7 days BP methodology not stated DBP estimated from SBP and MAP given in paper
Interventions	T: nimodipine (Miles Pharmaceuticals, USA) 120 mg/day po in 6 divided doses C: matching placebo Rx: for 21 days
Participants	USA, 19 participants Age: > 45 years No genders given Inclusion: IS diagnosed on history and neurological examination Enrolment times not given
Methods	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation technique not stated ITT analysis

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

# Fagan 1988/120 mg (Continued)

Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication

# Fagan 1988/240 mg

Methods	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation technique not stated ITT analysis
Participants	USA, 19 participants Age: > 45 years No genders given IS diagnosed on history and neurological examination Enrolment times not given
Interventions	T: nimodipine (Miles Pharmaceuticals, USA) 240 mg/day po in 6 divided doses C: matching placebo Rx: for 21 days
Outcomes	Brachial BP before and 30 and 60 minutes after each morning dose for 7 days BP methodology not stated DBP estimated from SBP and MAP given in paper
Notes	Ex: concurrent calcium channel antagonists, antihypertensive agents (other than beta blockers) Admission times of concurrent medication always separated from study drug administration by at least 2 hours Part of a larger unpublished trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of nimodipine
Risk of bias	
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication

# German-Austrian 120mg

Methods

Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Method of randomisation not known ITT analysis

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



German-Austrian 120mg (Cor	ntinued)	
Participants	Germany and Austria, 16 centres 482 patients: T: 239, C: 243 Age: 40 to 80 years Inclusion: infarcts in anterior circulation 100% CT Enrolment within 48 hours	
Interventions	T: po nimodipine 30 m C: matching placebo Optional concomitant uretics, antihypertensi Rx: 21 days	drugs were haemodilution, low-dose heparin, acetylsalicylic acid, digitalis, di-
Outcomes	Modified Mathew scale at baseline and days 1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 21 and 6 months Barthel Index at days 1 and 21. Method for measuring BP not given BP estimated from graphs in paper	
Notes	Ex: TIA, progressive stroke, vertebrobasilar ischaemia, coma, intracerebral bleeding or tumour, SAH, pregnancy, cardiac surgery within last 3 months, severe systemic illness, acute severe hepatic disease, bradycardia < 50 beats/minute, hypotension SBP < 100 mmHg, severe AV conduction block, renal insufficiency, severe systemic infections, severe cardiac insufficiency within last 3 months, other CCBs, PTX, naftidrofuryl, fetal bovine serum, piracetam, dihydroergotoxine, steroids and osmotic drugs Data taken from the paper	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication

# Gray 2007

Methods	Multicentre, randomised controlled trial Blinded outcome assessments Randomisation: first 571 patients sealed envelopes, the rest by central randomisation service ITT analysis
Participants	UK, 933 patients T: 464, C: 469 Mean age: 75 years Male: 45% Inclusion: acute ischaemic stroke or primary intracerebral haemorrhage with admission venous plas ma glucose 6 to 17 mmol/L Enrolment within 24 hours of stroke onset
Interventions	T: 500 ml GKI (of 10% dextrose, 20 mmol potassium chloride and 16U soluble recombinant human in sulin) continuous iv infusion C: 0.9% normal saline

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Gray 2007 (Continued)	Rx: 24 hours		
Outcomes	Death at 90 days, European stroke scale score, OCSP subtype, Glasgow Coma Scale at baseline Barthel index, mRS at 30 and 90 days		
Notes	Ex: SAH, isolated posterior circulation syndromes no physical disability, pure language disorders, renal failure, anaemia, coma, established history of insulin treated diabetes, previous disabling stroke, dementia or symptomatic cardiac failure		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done	
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Treatment allocation was concealed	
Blinding?	High risk	Probably not done	
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	Probably not done No loss of follow up for death at 90 days Day 90 mRS missing for 5 patients Day 90 Bartel Index missing for 30 patients	

### Herrschaft 1988

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation technique not stated PP analysis FU: 4 lost		
Participants	German 44 participants: T: 24, C: 20 Mean age: T: 59 years, C: 54 years Males: T: 17, C:10 Inclusion: IS diagnosed on neurological examination and 100% CT, first stroke Enrolment within 5 days Proof of vascular stenoses or occlusions of the supplying or intracranial brain vessels by means of doppler sonography or cerebral angiography		
Interventions	T and C: continuous iv of 1000 ml Dextran 40 plus 2 x 150 ml Sorbit 40% daily during the first 3 days T and C: over 4 to 6 hours a daily infusion of 500 ml Dextran 40 from day 4 to day 14 T: 3 x 4 g/20 ml piracetam iv bolus day 1 to day 14; FU 28 days C: matching placebo T: 4.8 g piracetam po daily for following 14 days C: matching placebo po daily for following 14 days		
Outcomes	Neurological and psychiatric assessments using own scales at baseline and days 7, 14, 28 Organic brain psychosyndrome was determined using Lehrl and Erizgkeit short syndrome test Method of measuring BP not known		
Notes	Ex: patients with severe internal disease (heart and lung disease), liver or renal insufficiency, DM, fixed hypertonia, neoplasia, hematological and systemic diseases, patients who had earlier neurological dis eases of a different nature, drug or alcohol abuse		



Herrschaft 1988 (Continued)

4 patients were lost to follow up for following reasons: cardiac insufficiency, cardiac infarctus, pneumonia, gastrointestinal bleeding (T:1, C:3)

#### **Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	4 lost to follow up

# **Hillis 2003** Methods Pilot randomised controlled trial Method of randomisation not known FU: no losses Participants USA, single centre 15 patients: T: 9, C: 6 Age: T: 59.1 years, C: 67.8 years Male: T: 2, C: 2 Inclusion: IS > 20% diffusion-perfusion mismatch, quantifiable, stable or worsening aphasia, hemispatial neglect and/or hemiparesis Enrolment: up to 7 days from the onset of stroke symptoms Patients on any previous antihypertensive medication were discontinued prior to the initiation of the study 100% CT, MRI Interventions T: iv phenylephrine was titrated to reach 10% to 20% increase MAP and continued for maximum of 72 hours After 24 hours the patients were started on midodrine (up to 10 mg), fludrocortisone (up to 0.2 mg) and sodium chloride tablets while simultaneously weaning the iv phenylephrine By 4 weeks, midodrine, fludrocortisone, and sodium chloride were tapered as long as there was no concomitant clinical deterioration C: conventional management Outcomes MAP measured BP measurement method not given NIHSS and cognitive tests on day 1, day 3 and 6 to 8 weeks Exclusion: CI or inability to tolerate MRI, cardiac ejection fraction < 25%, recent congestive heart failure, Notes myocardial ischaemia, unstable angina, bradycardia, allergy to gadolinium, haemorrhage seen on initial CT, agitation requiring ongoing sedation, or MAP > 140 with no intervention **Risk of bias** Bias Authors' judgement Support for judgement Adequate sequence gener-Low risk Probably done ation?

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



# Hillis 2003 (Continued)

Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Low risk	15 patients (T: 9, C: 6) no loss of follow up

### Hsu 1987 Methods Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation technique not stated, stratified by thrombotic and embolic stroke ITT analysis Participants USA, 5 centres T: 43, C: 37 Mean age: 65 years 49 male, 31 female Inclusion: IS 100% CT pre-entry Enrolment within 24 hours Interventions T: PGI2 (epoprostenol sodium, Upjohn Co, USA, and Wellcome, UK) iv infusion started at 1 ng/kg/min increased every 30 minutes until maximum rate of 10 ng/kg/min; infusion for 72 hours with gradual reduction of dose during last 12 hours C: solvent Rx: 3 days Outcomes Death at 4 weeks (Neurological impairment assessed using Turnhill score at entry, day 3, weeks 1, 2 + 4) Method of BP measurement not known Notes Ex: stupor, coma, psychiatric disorder, clinical intracranial hypertension, organ or systemic disease, bleeding risk, heparin Further information unavailable because original data discarded Data from unpublished manuscript **Risk of bias** Bias Authors' judgement Support for judgement Adequate sequence gener-Low risk Probably done ation? Allocation concealment? Unclear risk Unclear from the publication Blinding? Low risk Probably done Completeness of follow-up Unclear risk Unclear from the publication

# Huczynski 1988

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled
	Randomisation technique not stated
	PP analysis
	5 lost to FU

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



# Huczynski 1988 (Continued)

Withdrawals: T: 4, C: 1	
Poland 30 patients: T: 15, C: 15 Mean age 61 years 16 male and 14 female Inclusion: IS in the territory of the internal carotid artery 100% EEG and CSF pre-entry Enrolment 24 to 72 hours	
T: PGI2 (Wellcome, UK, or Chinoin, WRL), daily 6 hour iv infusions at 2.5 to 5 ng/kg/min C: glycine solvent Rx: 2 weeks All patients given low-molecular-weight dextran	
Death at 4 weeks, neurological impairment assessed using modified Matthew score assessed at base- line, after each infusion, 3, 4 and 12 weeks Barthel and Rankin at 1, 2, 4, 12, 24 and 48 weeks	
Ex: heart failure, hyperglycaemia, uraemia, arrhythmia, hyperpyrexia, previous stroke, mild stroke	
Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Low risk	Probably done
Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Low risk	Probably done
High risk	5 lost to follow up
	Poland 30 patients: T: 15, C: 15 Mean age 61 years 16 male and 14 female Inclusion: IS in the terr 100% EEG and CSF pre Enrolment 24 to 72 hou T: PGI2 (Wellcome, UK, C: glycine solvent Rx: 2 weeks All patients given low-H Death at 4 weeks, neur line, after each infusion Barthel and Rankin at Ex: heart failure, hyper Authors' judgement Low risk Low risk

# **IMAGES Pilot**

Methods	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation by telephone service provided by Clinphone ITT analysis
Participants	UK 51 patients: T: 26, C: 25 Inclusion: clinically diagnosed acute stroke with limb weakness (NIHSS ≥ 1), symptoms present for at least an hour and treatment initiation possible within 12 hours of onset Age 18 or greater Previously independent in activities of daily living
Interventions	T: iv magnesium sulphate given as 16 mmol over 15 minutes followed by 65 mmol over 24 hours C: matching placebo
Outcomes	Death and death and disability at 3 months Disability < 60 on the Barthel Index
Notes	Ex: co-existing disease which is likely to prevent outcome assessment, renal impairment, intracerebral pathology other than IS, participation in another acute clinical trial, pregnancy, contraindication to magnesium

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

# IMAGES Pilot (Continued)

# **Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation by telephone service provided by Clinphone
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Low risk	No loss of follow up

# **INTERACT pilot 2008**

Methods	Open, blinded outcome, randomised trial Randomisation was done with minimisation through a password protected Internet-based system ITT analysis		
Participants	International, multicentre 404 patients: T: 203, C: 201 Age: 63 years Male: 65% Inclusion: spontaneous ICH confirmed by CT and elevated SBP (≥ 2 measurements of 150 to 220 mmHg, recorded ≥ 2 minutes apart) 100% CT Enrolment: within 6 hours of ICH onset		
Interventions	T: early intensive lowering of BP (target SBP 140 mmHg) C: standard guideline based management of BP (target SBP 180 mmHg) Both groups have received oral as well as iv agents for lowering blood pressure Rx: for 7 days		
Outcomes	Proportional change in haematoma volume at 24 hours BP methodology not stated		
Notes	Exclusion: indication for intensive lowering of BP, contraindication to intensive lowering of BP, ICH secondary to structural cerebral abnormality or use of thrombolytic agent, IS within 30 days, deep coma (3 to 5 Glasgow Coma Scale), pre-stroke disability or medical illness, and early planned decompressive neurosurgical intervention		

# **Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation was done with minimisation through a password-protected In- ternet based system
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	High risk	Open blinded outcome trial
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	1 patient from each group was lost to follow up at 90 days A further 9 patients were known to be alive, but dependency was not assessed at 90 days due to being unable to contact the patient or a relative

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



# Kaste 1994/120 mg

Methods	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation used sealed envelopes, stratified by onset of therapy, age and stroke severity Tablets provided in identical numbered vials ITT analysis		
Participants	Finland, 3 centres 350 patients: T: 176, C: 174 Mean age: T: 57 years, C: 58 years Males: T: 122, C: 113 Inclusion: acute ischaemic hemispheric stroke 100% CT Enrolment within 48 hours		
Interventions	T: 30 mg nimodipine qid C: matching placebo Rx: 21 days		
Outcomes	Neurological evaluation (own score) at baseline, day 1, 7, 21 and months 3 and 12; mobility at 12 months Functional outcome, Rankin at 3 and 12 months - grades 1 and 2 representing independence were con- sidered good outcome Primary end points: Rankin at 12 months, neurological scale and death Used Rankin > 3 for dependence in this review Rankin scale missing 2 living patients in control and 1 living patient in treatment group Method of BP measurement unknown		
Notes	Ex: unconsciousness, dysphagia, TIA, dependence in ADL before stroke, brain stem infarction, compli- cated migraine, pregnancy, renal or hepatic or cardiac failure, severe systemic infection, serious psy- chiatric disturbance, terminal malignancy Data from published paper and author		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation used sealed envelopes, stratified by onset of therapy, age and stroke severity	
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done	
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done	

# Lamsudin 1997

Completeness of follow-up

Methods	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Method of randomisation not given ITT analysis
Participants	Indonesia, 5 departments 150 patients Males: T: 46, C: 50 Inclusion: acute IS

Unclear from the publication

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Copyright @ 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Unclear risk



# Lamsudin 1997 (Continued)

	Enrolment within 24 hours
Interventions	T1: 30 mg nimodipine tds and 500 mg aspirin tds
	T2: 500 mg aspirin tds
	Rx: 28 hours
Outcomes	Canadian Neurological Scale at baseline, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days
	Barthel Index at baseline, 7 and 14 days
Notes	Ex: coma, haemorrhage, tumour, infection, trauma, serious organic brain disease other than IS, need for ventilation, current use of CCBs, allergy to aspirin, pregnancy, hypotension (SBP < 100 mmHg), bradycardia (rate < 50), second or third degree heart block if patient did not have pacemaker, hepatic
	or renal dysfunction, congestive heart failure, pneumonia

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication

### Lees 1995

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation performed in blocks of 10 according to a code devised and held by pharmacy ITT analysis	
Participants	UK 60 participants: T: 30, C: 30 Mean age: T: 69.2 years, C: 65.9 years 30 males and 30 females Inclusion: MCA strokes 100% CT scan Enrolment within 12 hours	
Interventions	T1: magnesium sulfate 8 mmol in 50 mL saline iv over 15 minutes, then 65 mmol in 100 mL saline con- tinuous iv over 24 hours C: matching volumes of normal saline	
Outcomes	MCA Neurological Score (N score) and NIHSS at baseline days 5 and 90 Barthel Index and Rankin Scale at days 5 and 90 Assess of 10 metre walking time made Method of BP measurement not known	
Notes	Ex: pregnancy, renal failure, pre-existing functional impairment such that post-stroke assessment would be impaired (mRS $\leq$ 3)	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

#### Lees 1995 (Continued)

Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation was performed in blocks of 10 according to a code devised and held by the pharmacy
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	Low risk	Medical staff and patients were blind to treatment
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication

## Limburg 1990

Methods		olind, placebo-controlled ables from manufacturer	
Participants	Netherlands 26 patients: T: 12, C: 14 Mean age: T: 67 years, C: 66 years Males: T: 3, C: 6 Inclusion: acute supratentorial brain infarction 100% CT Enrolment within 24 hours of ictus		
Interventions	T: flunarizine, iv bolus of 0.1 mg/kg body weight in 5% glucose solution, followed after 3 hours by con- tinuous infusion of 0.3 mg/kg/24 hours during 72 hours, then po flunarizine for 11 days C: identical placebo Rx: 14 days		
Outcomes	Motricity Index, Rankin scale, Barthel Index, death Last follow up 6 months Barthel and Rankin used in review Method for measurement of BP not known		
Notes	Ex: lacunar syndromes, serious underlying diseases, previous disabling stroke, using CCBs		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation using tables from manufacturer	
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done	
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done	
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication	

### Lisk 1993

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation technique not stated ITT analysis

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



isk 1993 (Continued)	
Participants	USA 16 patients: T1: 5, T2: 3, T3: 2, C: 6 Mean age: 66 years 4 male, 12 female Inclusion: all patients except 2 had MCA territory infarct SBP ≥ 170 mmHg or ≤ 220 mmHg, DBP ≥ 95 mmHg or ≤ 120 mmHg 100% CT pre-entry Enrolment within 72 hours History or family with hypertension 6 had SBP < 170 mmHg and 3 had DBP < 95 mmHg (baseline measurements)
Interventions	T1: po 20 mg nicardipine hydrochloride T2: 12.5 mg captopril T3: 0.1 mg clonidine hydrochloride C: placebo (dextrose and starch) every 8 hours for 3 days
Outcomes	Neurological impairment assessed using NIHSS at baseline and daily BP taken in supine position with automatic monitors; every 10 minutes for the first hour after first dose of drug, then every hour for 6 hours Thereafter BP measured at 4-hourly intervals during sleep and waking hours (standing where possible to check for postural hypotension)
Notes	Ex: coma, significant neurological deficit from previous stroke, unstable cardiac disease including acute MI, severe heart failure or conduction defects, history of angioedema and collagen vascular disease, liv- er dysfunction with aspartate aminotransferase and or bilirubin levels greater than twice normal, brain stem strokes Data from published paper and individual patient data provided by author

#### **Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Low risk	16 patients were entered into the study: 6 received placebo, 10 had antihyper- tensive drugs All had follow-up data

Lowe 1993	
Methods	Single centre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled
	Stratification at entry into:
	A - normal consciousness/face-arm paresis
	B - normal consciousness/hemiparesis or hemiplegia
	C - altered consciousness/hemiparesis or hemiplegia
	Method of randomisation used, statistical table using 6 groups of 4 possible sequences of individual
	treatment
	ITT analysis
Participants	UK

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Rias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement
Risk of bias	
Notes	Ex: disability due to other causes, MI in previous 4 weeks or decompensated heart failure, liver or renal failure, brainstem stroke, patient whose survival is not expected, causes of neurological deficits other than ischaemic hemispheric infarction
Outcomes	Neurological outcome assessed by a 10-item grading system and using the Medical Research Council (MRC) numeric grading for each item when applicable Functional outcome assessed by the Barthel Index Assessments at days 1, 4, 7, 10 and weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24 Barthel at 1 month missing 12 in treatment group and 6 in placebo group Barthel at 12 weeks missing 12 in treatment group and 6 in placebo group At 24 weeks 16 missing in treatment group and 10 in placebo group 1 patient died at day 215, i.e. later than 6 months BP measured at baseline, 24, 96 hours and 7, 10, 14 days and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 months Method used to measure BP not known
Interventions	If patients able to swallow po treatment may be initiated from the start of the trial T: 40 mg nimodipine tds C: identical placebo Rx: 16 weeks If concomitant therapy used like beta blockers or methyl dopa, iv treatment was to be initially titrated against BP
	112 patients: T: 56, C: 56 Age: 45 to 85 years Males: T: 37, C: 29 Inclusion: clinical diagnosis of acute cerebral hemispheric infarction, Barthel < 65 100% CT scan within 7 days Enrolment within 48 hours: T: 6 patients delay > 48 hours, C: 9 patients delay > 48 hours

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from available data

## Martinez-Vila 1990

Methods	Multicentre, placebo-controlled Method of randomisation not given	
	PP analysis	
	41 patients excluded blindly from efficacy analysis	
Participants	Spain, 4 centres	
	164 patients: T: 81, C: 83	
	Age range: 45 to 92 years	
	Males: T:43, C:43	
	Inclusion: IS in internal carotid artery territory as by clinical examination	
	100% CT within 3 days	
	Enrolment within 48 hours	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

## Martinez-Vila 1990 (Continued)

Interventions	T: 30 mg qid nimodipine po C: identical placebo Rx: 28 days Allowed drugs included heparin (5000 IU bid) and agents indicated for cerebral oedema and cardiovas- cular drugs (not CCBs) and antibiotic or anxiolytic drugs		
Outcomes	Slightly modified Mathews scale by Gelmers et al at baseline, 1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 21, 28 days BP data obtained from paper, using PP numbers Death data taken from paper using ITT data BP estimated from graphs in paper		
Notes	Ex: MI, renal failure, liver failure, severe systemic infections, poorly controlled DM, SBP < 100 mmHg, terminal malignancy, TIA, evolving strokes, coma		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication	
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done	
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk		

#### Muir 1995

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled Randomisation of 6 participants per group was planned ITT analysis
Participants	UK 25 patients: T: 19, C: 6 Mean age: T1: 75 years, T2: 65 years, T3: 71 years, C: 68 years Males: T1: 4, T2: 5, T3: 4, C: 3 Inclusion: clinically diagnosed stroke CT within 72 hours of stroke Enrolment within 24 hours of ictus Stroke types classified according to OCSP criteria
Interventions	T1: 8 mmol MgSO4 over 24 hours T2: 12 mmol MgSO4 over 24 hours T3: 16 mmol MgSO4 over 24 hours C: matching placebo
Outcomes	Barthel ADL score and mRS on days 30 and 60 BP and heart rate were measured semi-automatically by oscillometric recorders (Marquette) Method used for measuring BP not known
Notes	Ex: pregnancy, coma, renal failure
Risk of bias	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



#### Muir 1995 (Continued)

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Low risk	All completed the study protocol

## Norris 1994

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation method not given No method for concealment of allocation given 189 patients randomised, 164 analysed in paper - due to 25 protocol violations Paper analysis PP, calculations here based on ITT data		
Participants	Canada 189 patients: T: 96, C: 93 Mean age: T: 71.1 years, C: 72.1 years Inclusion: IS, Toronto stroke scores of > 20 100% CT Enrolment within 48 hours 4 patients with delay > 48 hours: T: 3, C: 1		
Interventions	T: iv nimodipine for first 10 days, 2 mg/hour, then po 180 mg/day for next 6 months C: identical placebo Rx: 6 months		
Outcomes	Neurological disability using Toronto scale at baseline 10, 15, and 30 days Functional disability at baseline, 6 months, and 1 year using 3 simple categories: minor or no disability, moderate disability and patients who were severely disabled or bedridden (used severely disabled for disability scoring) Toronto stroke scale missing 6 in treatment group and 14 in control group BP was measured at baseline then 2-hourly for first day then 4-hourly for day 2 and 8-hourly day 3 to 10 Method by which BP was measured not given		
Notes	Ex: comatose patients, no motor weakness (e.g. aphasia only), brain stem strokes, previous strokes, CT scan not compatible with IS, on CCBs, terminal illness, renal or hepatic failure or heart block Data from published paper and from Bayer Canada		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication	
Blinding?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



## Norris 1994 (Continued)

Completeness of follow-up Unclear risk

Unclear from the publication

#### Paci 1989/120 mg

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Method of randomisation unknown ITT analysis		
Participants	Italy 41 patients: T: 19, C: 22 Mean age: T: 62 years, C: 63 years Males: T: 11, C: 17 Inclusion: patients with sudden and persistent neurological deterioration due to a focal event in the carotid artery distribution 100% CT Enrolment within 12 hours		
Interventions	T: nimodipine 40 mg tds C: identical matching placebo Rx: 28 days Patients given supportive medication of 20% mannitol, antihypertensive agents and antibiotics		
Outcomes	Neurological deficit (Mathews slightly modified by Gelmers) baseline and at days 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 14, 21, 28 Global assessment made at end of treatment - good/fair/poor BP and heart rate recorded twice daily, method of recording not given		
Notes	Ex: TIA, progressing stroke, primary intracerebral haemorrhage (CT scan), systemic disorders, recent MI, CCF, abnormal hepatic, renal or pulmonary functions, previous history of complete stroke Data from published paper		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication	
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done	
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	Probably not complete 41 entered the study (T: 19, C: 22) and at the end of period of observation 18 nimodipine-treated patients with 1 possible loss of follow up	

**PASS 1995** 

Methods	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Computer-generated randomisation schedule stratified by study centre	
Participants	55 centres in 10 European countries 927 patients: T: 464, C: 463 Mean age: T: 70 years, C: 71 years Males: T: 241, C: 238	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Inclusion: clinical diagnosis of acute ischaemic supratentorial stroke with Orgogozo Scale of > 5 and < 70 100% CT within 24 hours of ictus Enrolment within 12 hours		
T: 12 g piracetam (Nootropil) as initial iv bolus over 20 minutes, then 12 g daily for 4 weeks and 4.8 g daily for 8 weeks C: matching placebo RX: 12 weeks		
Assessment at 1 and 3 days and 1, 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks Primary outcome: MCA neurological scale at week 4 Secondary outcome: modified Barthel at 12 weeks, first used after 3 days Method for BP measurement not given		
Ex: haemorrhage, coma (< 5 on Glasgow Coma Scale), previous stroke, confounding neurological or sys- temic illness, thrombolytic agents and haemodilution Dipyridamole and ticlopidine were prohibited during the first 4 weeks Non-study medications allowed were CCBs, osmotic diuretics and heparin Concomitant aspirin not recommended for at least 24 hours		
Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Low risk	Probably done	
Low risk	Probably done	
Low risk	Probably done	
Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication	
	70 100% CT within 24 hou Enrolment within 12 ho T: 12 g piracetam (Noo daily for 8 weeks C: matching placebo RX: 12 weeks Assessment at 1 and 3 Primary outcome: MCA Secondary outcome: m Method for BP measure Ex: haemorrhage, com- temic illness, thrombo Dipyridamole and ticlo Non-study medications Concomitant aspirin ne Authors' judgement Low risk Low risk Low risk	

## Pokrupa 1986

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation by sealed numbered opaque envelope ITT analysis	
Participants	Canada 23 patients: T: 11, C: 12 Mean age: 63 years Males: T: 5, C: 6 Inclusion: completed cerebrovascular accidents 100% CT pre-entry Enrolment within 48 hours of ictus 5 patients enrolled > 48 hours	
Interventions	T: PGI2 ("Cycloprostin", Upjohn Co, USA) 5 daily 8-hour consecutive infusions weaned up from 2 to 10 mg/kg/min and tapered over last hour C: sterile diluent buffer (NaCl 0.147 w/v, glycine 0.188 w/v, NaOH, pH 10.5 +/- 0.3) Rx: 5 days	
Outcomes	Death at 5 days and 1, 2, and 4 weeks. (Neurological impairment rating at 5 days, and 1, 2, and 4 weeks; CT and PET at 5 to 9 days.)	



#### Pokrupa 1986 (Continued)

Method for measuring BP not known

Ex: coma, complicating neurological conditions, heparin, malignant hypertension, uncontrolled DM, heart attack within 2 months, recent surgery Mixture of data used

## **Risk of bias**

Notes

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation by sealed numbered opaque envelope
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication

# Potter 2009 labetalol

Methods	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation by secure Internet central randomisation (with block size of 6) ITT analysis		
Participants	UK 172 patients: T: 56, C: 29 Mean age: 74 years Male 57% Inclusion: > 18 years of age, fixed neurological deficit > 60 minutes, clinical diagnosis of acute stroke Enrolment within 36 hours of ictus		
Interventions	Labetalol 50 mg po or matching placebo was initially given with the opportunity to repeat this at 4 hours and 8 hours after randomisation Thereafter patients were continued on 50 to 150 mg of labetalol twice daily for 2 weeks, including for dysphagic patients (after 72 hours intravenous labetalol was converted to oral or nasogastric labetalol depending on swallowing status in dysphagic patients)		
Outcomes	Death or dependency at 2 weeks Supine BP was measured with a validated A&D UA-767 BP monitor with a cuff of a suitable size		
Notes	Ex: hypertensive encephalopathy, co-existing cardiac or vascular emergency, SBP > 200 mmHg and/or DBP > 120 mmHg in association with primary intracerebral haemorrhage, pre-existing antihypertensive therapy in patients without dysphagia, impaired level of consciousness, contraindication to trial therapy, premorbid mRS > 3, coexisting life threatening condition with life expectancy < 6 months, diagnosis of non-stroke on subsequent neuroimaging		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomly assigned by secure Internet central randomisation (with a block size of 6)	
Allocation concealment?	Low risk Probably done		

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



## Potter 2009 labetalol (Continued)

Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	179 patients randomly assigned, 6 withdrawn due to a protocol violation or non-stroke diagnosis and 1 withdrew consent

## Potter 2009 lisinopril

Methods		ind, placebo-controlled ure Internet central randomisation (with block size of 6)	
Participants	UK 172 patients: T: 56, C: 29 Mean age: 74 years Male 57% Inclusion: > 18 years of age, fixed neurological deficit > 60 minutes, clinical diagnosis of acute stroke Enrolment within 36 hours of ictus		
Interventions	Lisinopril or matching placebo was initially given at 5 mg po with an opportunity to repeat the dose at 4 hours and 8 hours after randomisation, with participants then continued on 5 to 15 mg of lisinopril once daily for up to 2 weeks		
Outcomes	Death or dependency at 2 weeks Supine BP was measured with a validated A&D UA-767 BP monitor with a cuff of a suitable size		
Notes	Ex: hypertensive encephalopathy, co-existing cardiac or vascular emergency, SBP > 200 mmHg and/or DBP > 120 mmHg in association with primary intracerebral haemorrhage, pre-existing antihypertensive therapy in patients without dysphagia, impaired level of consciousness, contraindication to trial thera- py, premorbid mRS > 3, coexisting life threatening condition with life expectancy < 6 months, diagnosis of non-stroke on subsequent neuroimaging		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomly assigned by secure Internet central randomisation (with a block size of 6)	
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done	
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done	
Completences of fellow up		170 metionets readers by assigned. Coult below up due to a protocol violation or	

Completeness of follow-up Hig	-	179 patients randomly assigned, 6 withdrawn due to a protocol violation or non-stroke diagnosis and 1 withdrew consent
-------------------------------	---	--

## PRISTINE

Methods	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation by minimisation Stratified by age and stroke severity ITT and PP analysis
Participants	UK, Netherlands, Sweden: 9 centres 620 patients: T: 313, C: 307

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



PRISTINE (Continued)		
	Age: T: 72 years, C: 72 years	
	Male: T: 161, C: 160	
	Inclusion: ACHI	
	100% CT	
	Enrolment within 48 ho	Durs
Interventions	T: naftidrofuryl fumarate 633 mg/day iv continuous for 7 days then orally for 6 months C: solvent and identical looking tablets Rx: 6 months	
Outcomes	Death Assessments were at entry and intervals to 1 year	
	Method used for BP me	easurement not given
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener-	Unclear risk	Randomisation by minimisation

ation?		
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication
Blinding?	Unclear risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from the publication

Rashid 2003 10 mg	
Methods	Open label blinded-endpoint Dose comparison Controlled trial Randomisation by computer (with minimisation on age, gender, Scandinavian Neurological Stroke Scale and mean arterial pressure) FU: no losses ITT analysis
Participants	UK, single centre 90 patients: T: 60, C: 30 Mean age: T: 70.8 years, C: 73.9 years Male: T: 28, C: 13 Inclusion: ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke Enrolment within 72 hours of ictus Clinical stroke subtype at baseline and CT scanning within a week of stroke onset Any antihypertensive medication was stopped at the time of admission and recommenced after 10 days once the trial treatment phase was completed
Interventions	Transdermal glyceryl trinitrate once daily: T1: 5 mg , T2: 5/10 mg, T3: 10 mg C: no patch Rx: 10 days
Outcomes	24 hour ambulatory BP monitoring was set to record 3 times per hour during the day and hourly during the night at days 0, 1, 4, 5 and 10 mRS, Barthel index and quality of life at 3 months

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



#### Rashid 2003 10 mg (Continued)

Notes

Ex: SBP > 230 mmHg or < 100 mmHg, DBP > 130 mmHg or < 60 mmHg, heart rate > 130 beats/minute or < 50 beats/minute, mild stroke, coma, pre-morbid dependence, or presence of illnesses that could confound neurological or functional evaluation (such as pre-existing neurologic or psychiatric disorders)

## **Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation by computer (with minimisation on age, gender, Scandinavian Neurological Stroke Scale and mean arterial pressure)
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	High risk	Probably not done
Completeness of follow-up	Low risk	No loss of follow up

## Rashid 2003 5 mg

0		
Methods	As for Rashid 2003 10 n	ng
Participants	_	
Interventions	_	
Outcomes	_	
Notes	_	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation by computer (with minimisation on age, gender, Scandinavian Neurological Stroke Scale and mean arterial pressure)
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	High risk	Probably not done
Completeness of follow-up	Low risk	No loss of follow up

#### Rashid 2003 5/10 mg

Methods	As for Rashid 2003 10 mg
Participants	_
Interventions	_
Outcomes	_

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



\_

## Rashid 2003 5/10 mg (Continued)

Notes

Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation by computer (with minimisation on age, gender, Scandinavian Neurological Stroke Scale and mean arterial pressure)
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	High risk	Probably not done
Completeness of follow-up	Low risk	No loss of follow up

## Saxena 1999 100 mg

Methods	As for Saxena 1999 25 mg
Participants	_
Interventions	_
Outcomes	_
Notes	-
Diele of hims	

#### **Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from publications
Blinding?	High risk	Study was single-blinded because of the prominent colour of the drug and the difficulty in manufacturing a proper placebo
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from publications

## Saxena 1999 25 mg

Methods	Multicentre, single-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation method not stated ITT analysis
Participants	Europe 85 patients: T1: 10, T2: 10, T3: 20, C: 45
	Mean age: T1, T2, T3: 68 years, C: 65 years
	39 male and 46 female
	Inclusion: IS in the anterior circulation
	100% CT scans

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



## Saxena 1999 25 mg (Continued)

	Enrolment within 18 hours	
Interventions	T1: 25 mg/kg 10% DCLHb T2: 50 mg/kg 10% DCLHb T3: 100 mg/kg 10%DCLHb C: equal volume of 0.9% normal saline given every 6 hours for 73 hours Rx: 3 days	
Outcomes	Rankin at 3 months BP and heart rate measured every 15 minutes for approximately 72 hours	
Notes	_	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from publications
Blinding?	High risk	Study was single-blinded because of the prominent colour of the drug and the difficulty in manufacturing a proper placebo
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from publications

Saxena 1999 50 mg		
Methods	As for Saxena 1999 25 mg	
Participants		
Interventions		
Outcomes	_	

Notes

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from publications
Blinding?	High risk	Study was single-blinded because of the prominent colour of the drug and the difficulty in manufacturing a proper placebo
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from publications

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

\_



htre, double-blind, placebo-controlled ed for age and time of stroke onset lysis tentres tents: T: 75, C: 72 59 years, C: 69 years 5: 50, C: 32 n: first ever IS, pre-treatment motor arm or motor leg (NIHSS scale) of 2 or 3 T scan within 72 hours ent within 12 hours tine 250 ug/kg iv immediately plus lifarizine 60 mg bd
ients: T: 75, C: 72 59 years, C: 69 years 7: 50, C: 32 n: first ever IS, pre-treatment motor arm or motor leg (NIHSS scale) of 2 or 3 T scan within 72 hours ent within 12 hours ine 250 ug/kg iv immediately plus lifarizine 60 mg bd
hing placebo ys
NH motor scores and Canadian Neurological scales days 26 to 30 and week 13; Rankin and scores at days 26 to 30 and week 13 sing for Barthel at 4 weeks sing for Barthel at 4 weeks sing for Barthel at 3 months sing for Barthel at 3 months for BP measurement not known
scale level of consciousness 2 or 3, previous stroke or neurological condition that may interfere urological or functional assessments, MI within last 4 months, left ventricular failure, SBP < 120 P < 80 mmHg, history of ventricular arrhythmias or existing ECG abnormalities, AV block or IVCD, s or lipophilic beta blockers, premenopausal female, TIA, pre-existing life-threatening disease or c illness, endarterectomy or enrolled in other trial

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from publications
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from publication

Steiner 1986

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Stratification based upon procedure similar to minimisation 18 patients secondarily excluded and 3 withdrawn 11 patients entered a short-term active treatment group, these are included in the treatment arm ITT analysis
Participants	UK, single centre 980 patients screened, 100 randomised: T: 55, C: 45 Mean age: 69.4 years (1 patient 81 years) 54 males

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Steiner 1986 (Continued)		
, (,	Inclusion: ACHI, disabl 100% CT Enrolment within 1 we	ing hemiparesis, age 40 to 80 years ek
Interventions	T1: 600 mg naftidrofuryl iv daily for 10 days then 100 mg tds po for 9 months C: inactive vehicles to match T2: 1 in 3 patients starting treatment within 12 hours received active infusion and placebo capsules T1 and T2 treated as one here Rx: 9 months	
Outcomes	Neurological deficit measured at 24 and 48 to 72 hours, day 10 and disability and functional capacity (7-point scale where 0 = normal, 5 = severely disabled and 6 = comatose, adapted from Rankins grad- ings) at weeks 3, 9, 10, 15, 24, 36, 52 Method for BP measurements not given	
Notes	Ex: coma, stroke but not ACHI, severe disability, severe intercurrent illness, incompatible medication on admission	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Unclear risk	Assignment to active or placebo therapy followed stratification
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from publication
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	18 patients secondarily excluded and 3 withdrawn

Methods	Single centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled Randomisation using serially numbered sealed envelopes; the code was held by pharmacy Stratified by delay of symptoms to randomisation, age and severity of symptoms ITT analysis		
Participants	Sweden 26 participants: T: 13, C: 13 Mean age: 74 years, T: 76.3 years, C: 71.5 years 14 males, 12 females 100% CT Enrolment within 36 hours		
Interventions	T: loading dose of 4 mmol magnesium sulfate iv over 10 minutes followed by continuous iv of 4 mmol magnesium sulfate during the following 8 hours, then after iv infusion one 250 mg magnesium hydrox ide po, then 250 mg magnesium hydroxide po 8-hourly for following 5 days C: equal volumes of isotonic saline and placebo pills Rx: 5 days		
Outcomes	Scandinavian Stroke Study Group (neurological score) at baseline, day 6 and 6 months Method of BP measurement not known		
Notes	Ex: SBP < 110 mmHg on admission, AV-block II-III, major renal impairment, respiratory insufficiency, pre-existing functional impairment confusing proper evaluation of therapeutic effects, concomitant se		

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Strand 1984 (Continued)

vere disorders, and ongoing anticoagulant treatment, plasma creatinine > 200 umol/l and EKG showing AV-block II-III

#### **Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation using serially numbered sealed envelopes
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Randomisation code were held by pharmacy
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from publication

#### Uzuner 1995/180 mg

Methods	Single centre, randomi ITT analysis	sed controlled trial	
Participants	Turkey 100 patients: T: 50, C: 50 IS: mean age: 63 years, 41 males and 36 females Primary intracerebral haemorrhage: mean age 65 years, 3 male, 8 female Inclusion: ischaemic and haemorrhagic strokes 100% CT pre-entry Enrolment within 24 hours 16 patients enrolled after 24 hours (1 iv, 15 po)		
Interventions	T: IS - nimodipine 180 mg/day (60 mg tds) po T: primary intracerebral haemorrhage: nimodipine 2 mg/hour iv for SAH or intracerebral haemorrhage C: matching po or iv placebo Rx: 2 days		
Outcomes	BP and pulse rate measured at basal and at 5, 15, 30 and 60 minutes in first hour and then every hour within the first 23 hours, then every 2 hours in the next 24 hours BP measured supine using automatic monitor (PETAS) Length of stay Glasgow Coma Scale		
Notes	Ex: 10 patients (T: 2, C: 8) treated with antihypertensive agents for malignant hypertension 2 patients with SAH (treated with iv nimodipine) excluded from our analysis We used unpublished paper and data supplied by author		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from available data	
Blinding?	Unclear risk	Unclear from available data	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



## Uzuner 1995/180 mg (Continued)

Completeness of follow-up Unclear risk

Unclear from available data

#### **VENUS 1995**

Methods	Multicentre, double-bli Method of randomisati Patients randomised b ITT analysis	
Participants	Netherlands, GP lead 454 patients: T: 225, C: Males: T: 127, C: 142 Mean age: T: 70.5 years Enrolment within 6 hor	s, C: 71.1 years
Interventions	T: nimodipine po 30 m C: matching placebo Rx: 10 days	g qid
Outcomes	Death, Barthel and Rankin done by telephone at 3 months Method for BP measurement not known	
Notes	Ex: SBP > 220 mmHg	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomised in equal blocks of 10, according to computer-generated lists Numbered blocks contained 1 complete treatment or identical placebo course were sequentially distributed
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	Unclear from publication

## Walters 2006

Methods	Single centre Randomisation done using standard algorithm by the local pharmacy production unit Allocation to insulin or control done in an open label design	
Participants	UK 25 patients: T: 13, C: 12 Mean age: 75 years Inclusion: acute ischaemic stroke Enrolment: within 24 hours of ictus 100% CT scan	
Interventions	T: iv insulin at a variable rate adjusted for target glucose concentration of 5 to 8 mmol/l C: iv crystalloid	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

## Walters 2006 (Continued)

	Rx: 2 days		
Outcomes	Mortality at 1 month		
Notes	Ex: known insulin requiring DM, patients with severe metabolic derangement, patients with clinical evi- dence of infection or CCF		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomisation performed using standard algorithm by the local pharmacy production unit	
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done	
Blinding?	High risk	Open label design	
Completeness of follow-up	Unclear risk	25 patients recruited (T: 13, C: 12); no mention of loss of follow-up	

#### Willmot 2006

Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement	
Risk of bias		
Notes	Ex: requirement for or contraindication to nitrate therapy, had a definite need for prior antihyperten- sive therapy or vasoactive drugs, co-operate with scanning	
Outcomes	BP was measured immediately before the baseline xenon CT scan and immediately after the post-trea ment scan Peripheral SBP and DBP was measured in the non-hemiparetic arm with a validated digital readout os cillometric device (Omron HEM-705CP, Omron Corp, Tokyo, Japan) Central BP was assessed by applanation tonometry of the left radial artery and using the pulse wave analysis (PWA) system (Sphygmocor, Sydney, Australia)	
Interventions	T: transdermal glyceryl trinitrate 5 mg (Transiderm-Nitro5, Novartis Pharmaceuticals) once daily C: no patch Rx: 7 days	
Participants	UK 18 patients: T: 12, C: 6 Age: T: 69 years, C: 70.3 years Male: T: 2, C: 3 Inclusion: previously independent adult patients with a clinical stroke syndrome and limb weakness 100% CT Enrolment: within 5 days of ictus Prior antihypertensive medication was discontinued at the time of admission	
Methods	Single centre Patient and measurement-blinded Randomised controlled trial Randomisation by computer (with minimisation on age, sex, baseline SBP, baseline Scandinavian Stroke Score, hours from onset, presence of a visible stroke lesion on CT) FU: no losses ITT analysis	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

=

## Willmot 2006 (Continued)

Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Randomly assigned using computerised minimisation
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding?	High risk	Patient and measurement-blinded
Completeness of follow-up	Low risk	No loss of follow up

Methods	Multicentre double bli	nd randomised controlled trial	
Methous	Multicentre, double-blind, randomised controlled trial Randomised by next random number on list Stratified according to the severity of stroke		
		excluded, leaving 181 with cerebral infarction	
	PP analysis		
	Comparing 2 different o	doses of nimodipine: 120 mg and 240 mg	
Participants	UK, 3 centres		
	215 patients: T: 58, C: 60; 181 patients analysed		
	Mean age: T: 70 years, 0 Males: T: 36, C: 33	.: / 1 years	
	,	emic cerebral hemisphere infarction, age range 45 to 85 years and with Barthel	
	Index score of < 65 at e		
	100% CT scan		
	Enrolment within 24 hours		
Interventions	T1: 120 mg nimodipine daily for 16 weeks		
	T2: 240 mg nimodipine daily for 16 weeks		
	C: identical placebo		
Outcomes	Neurological assessment using Medical Research Council (MRC) score		
	Functional assessment using Barthel Index		
	All assessments at baseline, days 1, 4, 7 and weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 24 Method used for monitoring BP not known		
	Deaths in this review are only out of those who completed and excluded withdrawals and secondary		
	exclusions		
Notes	Ex: haemorrhage on CT	scan, disability due to other causes inseparable from acute stroke, MI with last	
	6 months, renal or hepatic failure, patient in whom survival was not expected at the initial assessment,		
	brain stem strokes		
	Data used here not intention-to-treat 34 patients secondary excluded and 30 patients withdrawn		
	BP measurements and outcome data obtained from author		
		e author and from the paper	
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Probably done	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from publication	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



#### Wimalarat 1994/120mg (Continued)

Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up	High risk	30 patients withdrawn

#### Wimalarat 1994/240mg

Methods       As for Wimalarat 1994/120mg but using 240 mg nimodipine         T: 63 patients, C: 60 patients         Participants       –         Interventions       –         Outcomes       –         Notes       –         Risk of bias       –         Bias       Authors' judgement         Adequate sequence generation?       Low risk         Allocation concealment?       Unclear risk         Unclear from publication       Elinding?         Low risk       Probably done	. 0		
InterventionsOutcomesNotes <i>Risk of bias</i> BiasAuthors' judgementSupport for judgementAdequate sequence generation?Low riskProbably doneAllocation concealment?Unclear riskUnclear from publication	Methods		
OutcomesNotesRisk of biasBiasAuthors' judgementAdequate sequence generation?Low riskAllocation concealment?Unclear riskUnclear from publication	Participants	_	
NotesRisk of biasAuthors' judgementBiasAuthors' judgementSupport for judgementAdequate sequence generation?Low riskProbably doneAllocation concealment?Unclear riskUnclear from publication	Interventions	_	
Risk of bias       Authors' judgement       Support for judgement         Bias       Authors' judgement       Support for judgement         Adequate sequence generation?       Low risk       Probably done         Allocation concealment?       Unclear risk       Unclear from publication	Outcomes	_	
BiasAuthors' judgementSupport for judgementAdequate sequence generation?Low riskProbably doneAllocation concealment?Unclear riskUnclear from publication	Notes	_	
Adequate sequence gener- ation?       Low risk       Probably done         Allocation concealment?       Unclear risk       Unclear from publication	Risk of bias		
ation? Allocation concealment? Unclear risk Unclear from publication	Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
	· · •	Low risk	Probably done
Blinding? Low risk Probably done	Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	Unclear from publication
	Blinding?	Low risk	Probably done
Completeness of follow-up High risk 30 patients withdrawn	Completeness of follow-up	High risk	30 patients withdrawn

ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor ACHI: acute cerebral hemisphere infarction ADL: activities of daily living AF: atrial fibrillation AV: atrioventricular bd: twice a day BP: blood pressure C: control treatment CCB: calcium channel blocker CCF: congestive cardiac failure CI: cardiac index CSF: cerebrospinal fluid CT: computerised tomography DBP: diastolic blood pressure DHCLHb: diaspirin cross-linked haemoglobin DM: diabetes mellitus ECG: echocardiogram EEG: electroencephalography Ex: exclusions FU: follow up GKI: glucose-potassium-insulin GTN: glyceryl trinitrate ICH: intracerebral haemorrhage IS: ischaemic stroke ITT: Intention to treat

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



IU: international unit iv: intravenous MAP: mean arterial pressure MCA: middle cerebral artery MI: myocardial infarction MRI: magnetic resonance imaging mRS: modified Rankin Score NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale OCSP: Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project PET: positron emission tomography po: oral PP: per protocol PTX: pentoxifylline PGI2: prostacyclin PICH: primary intra cerebral haemorrhage qid: four times per day Rx: treatment SAH: subarachnoid haemorrhage SBP: systolic blood pressure sl: sublingual T: active treatment tds: three times per day TIA: transient ischaemic attack

## Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Albers 1995a	BP data not available
Albers 1995b	BP data not available
Ameriso 1992	Haemorheological variables are studied This is part of the JP Mohr study
ANS 1992	BP data in the immediate post-stroke period not available
ATTACH 2006	No control group
Autret 1992	BP data not available
Bogousslavsky 2002	Standard deviations for BP data not available
Britton 1980	Unable to obtain data from the author
Brola 1998	Unable to obtain data
Busse 1985	Unable to obtain BP data
Cao 2003	Confounded study (nimodipine + mannitol versus mannitol)
Capon 1983	Unable to obtain data
CARING 2005	Ongoing study Confounded (2 doses of nicardipine)
Chan 1993	Unable to get BP data
Chandra 1995	Oral versus intravenous nimodipine

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study	Reason for exclusion
	No control group
CHERISH 2006	Confounded study (clinidipine versus losartan)
Davalos 1989	Unable to obtain BP data
Dekoninck 1978	Unable to obtain data
Domzal 1986	Confounded study (vinpocetine versus aminophylline)
FIST 1996	BP data not available
Galeas 1998	Unable to obtain data
Gamez 1988	Unable to obtain BP and outcome data
Geismar 1976	Unable to obtain data from the author
Gelmers 1984/120	BP data not available
Gelmers 1988/120	BP data not available
Gladstone 2006	BP data not available
Gray 1990	On-treatment BP data not available
Haley 1994/0.6	Unable to obtain on-treatment BP data
Haley 1994/2	Unable to obtain on-treatment BP data
Haley 1994/6	Unable to obtain on-treatment BP data
Hartmann 2005	Confounded study (urapidil versus nifedipine)
Hoechst 1986	After much correspondence the company Hoechst was unable to provide the data We continue to correspond
Holthoff 1990	Unable to obtain BP data
Hsu 1988	Author did not keep the raw BP data and referred us to Hoechst who seem unable to provide the data
Huber 1993	Author did not have raw data for the trial but suggested Hoechst would We have corresponded over a period of 2 years with Hoechst and still unable to obtain the data
IMAGES 2004	Unable to obtain BP data from authors
Infeld 1999	BP data not available
Karoutas 1990	Dr Karoutas died and the paper was never published No data could be retrieved from his personal archives
Kornhuber 1993	Unable to obtain BP data
Lampl 2001	BP data not available

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study	Reason for exclusion
Lipani 1984	Cross-over study Patients with TIA and other diseases (Parkinsonian syndrome) were also included
Martin 1985	Raw data lost
Martinsson 2002	BP data not available
MAST-I	No BP data available in paper or from author
Meier 1991	No BP data available for active and control groups
Miller 1984	No control group
Ming 1990	Unable to obtain BP data
Misra 2005	BP data not available
Mohr 1992/120	Unable to obtain BP data
Mohr 1992/240	Unable to obtain BP data
Mohr 1992/60	Unable to obtain BP data
Molnar 1979	Confounded study
Mousavi 2004	BP data not available
Nakamura 2007	Confounded study (perindopril, candesartan or conventional therapy)
Nazir 2004	On-treatment BP data not available
NEST 1994/120	On-treatment BP data not available
NIMPAS 1997	BP not taken
Oczkowski 1989	BP data not available
Ohtomo 1986	Confounded
Ohtomo 1987a	Unable to obtain any information for this study Author does not answer any correspondence
Ohtomo 1987b	Unable to obtain BP data
Orgogozo	Unable to obtain BP data
Piradov 1992	Unable to obtain BP data
Piriyawat 2003	No control group
Platt 1993	Unable to obtain BP data
Popa 1995	Not a trial of treatment but stopping
Rosenbaum 1991	No control group

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study	Reason for exclusion
Saver 2004	No control group
Sherman 1986/120	Unable to obtain BP data
Sprigg 2007	Study involves both acute and subacute stroke patients
Su 2004	BP and outcome data not available
Suslina 1999	Confounded study
Szakall 1998	Sub-acute trial and no placebo
Szczechowski 1994	Unable to obtain data
TRUST 1990/120	BP data not available
Vamosi 1976	Study is confounded
Vamosi 1979	Study is confounded
Wang 2004	BP data not available
Wang 2006	BP data not available
Wasilewski 1985	Dr R Wasilewski now retired and all old documentation has been destroyed
Werner 1986	No BP data available
Wong 1987	Unable to get BP data
Woollard 1978	Authors no longer have data; trying pharmaceutical company
Yu 2003	Confounded study
Zhao 2003	Unable to get BP data
Zorzon 1987	An open non-randomised clinical trial

## BP: blood pressure

TIA: transient ischaemic attack

# Characteristics of ongoing studies [ordered by study ID]

#### **ACCOST 2006**

Trial name or title	Acute Candesartan Cilexetil Outcomes Stroke Trial	
Methods	Double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase IV randomised controlled trial	
Participants	Patients presenting with a stroke within 72 hours having a mean BP >120/70	
Interventions	Candesartan cilexetil or matched placebo	
Outcomes	Primary outcome: all-cause mortality and mortality due to vascular causes	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

#### ACCOST 2006 (Continued)

	Secondary outcomes: neurological recovery at 3 months (NIHSS), functional recovery at 3 months (mRS, Barthel)
Starting date	2004
Contact information	Christopher Gray, Sunderland Royal Hospital, Sunderland, Tyne and Wear, SR4 7TP, UK
Notes	Size: 50 participants Funding: City Hospitals Sunderland NHS Foundation Trust

#### **ASTART 2005**

Trial name or title	Acute Stroke Treatment with Atorvastatin and Irbesartan (ASTART)
Methods	Randomised, placebo-controlled
Participants	Clinical diagnosis of acute ischaemic stroke within 72 hours of onset
Interventions	Atorvastatin (80 mg) + irbesartan (150 mg) versus placebo
Outcomes	Effect on infarct size, cerebral perfusion and clinical outcome at 30 days
Starting date	_
Contact information	WA Centre for Health & Ageing (M573), University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley WA 6009, Australia
Notes	_

## ATACH-2 2008

Trial name or title	Antihypertensive Treatment in Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage	
Methods	5-year international, multicentre, open-labelled, randomised, controlled, phase III clinical trial	
Participants	Patients with co-morbid hypertension and spontaneous ICH	
Interventions	CCB, nicardipine iv	
Outcomes	Efficacy of early, intensive antihypertensive treatment using iv nicardipine	
Starting date	2008	
Contact information	Adnan I Qureshi, University of Minnesota 12-100 PWB 516 Delware St, SE Minneapolis, MN 55455	
Notes	Size: 60 participants Funding: NIH	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review) Copyright @ 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



#### **BLAST 2007**

Trial name or title	Blood Pressure Lowering in Acute Stroke Trial
Methods	Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled
Participants	Acute ischaemic stroke patients with elevated BP within 48 hours of symptom onset
Interventions	Valsartan versus placebo orally daily for 7 days or until discharge
Outcomes	30-day Glasgow Outcome Scale, 30-day modified Rankin scale and 30-day Barthel Index
Starting date	2007
Contact information	Gregory W Albers, Stanford University School of Medicine
Notes	Funding: Stanford University, Novartis

## COSSACS 2005

Trial name or title	Continue Or Stop post-Stroke Antihypertensives Collaborative Study			
Methods	Multicentre, prospective, randomised, open, blinded endpoint study			
Participants	Patients within 24 hours of acute ischaemic or hemorrhagic stroke and within 24 hours of last dose of antihypertensive therapy			
Interventions	Continue or stop current antihypertensive therapy			
Outcomes	Primary outcome: proportion of patients who are dead or dependent (defined by a mRS score > 2) at 14 days post-stroke Secondary outcomes: BP changes, and neurological and functional status at 2 weeks and at 6 months post ictus			
Starting date	2002			
Contact information	T Robinson, Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, Aging and Stroke Research Group, University of Leicester, UK			
Notes	Funding: The Health Foundation			

ENOS 2006	
Trial name or title	Efficacy of Nitric Oxide in Stroke Trial
Methods	Prospective, international, multicentre, randomised, parallel group, double-blind, placebo-con- trolled, collaborative trial
Participants	Patients with hemorrhagic or ischaemic stroke who show motor weakness for at least 1 hour, who can be treated within 48 hours, and who have a pre-stroke Rankin score > 3
Interventions	Transdermal glyceryl trinitrate or placebo for 7 days
Outcomes	Primary outcome: mortality rate and Rankin score at 3 months

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

ENOS 2006 (Continued)	Secondary outcomes: recurrent stroke, symptomatic deep vein thrombosis, symptomatic pul- monary embolism, or symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage at 7 days, major extracranial haem- orrhage at 10 days, BP recorded during 7-day treatment, length of hospital stay, discharge disposi- tion, Barthel Index, quality of life as measured by EuroQol and abbreviated mental test score at 3 months
Starting date	2001
Contact information	Philip MW Bath, Division of Stroke Medicine, University of Nottingham, Clinical Sciences Building, City Hospital Campus, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK
Notes	Size: 5000 participants (100 centres) Funding: BUPA Foundation, The Hypertension Trust, MRC, University of Nottingham

FAST-MAG 2005				
Trial name or title Field Administration of Stroke Therapy - Magnesium Phase III Trial				
Methods	Multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial			
Participants	Patients (both cerebral infarction and intracerebral haemorrhage patients) as identified by the Los Angeles Prehospital Stroke Screen (LAPSS) whose neurological deficits have been present for at least 15 minutes, and who can be treated within 2 hours of symptom onset			
Interventions	Magnesium sulphate iv or a matched placebo			
Outcomes	Primary outcome: functional outcome at 90 days as measured by the mRS			
Starting date	2005			
Contact information	Jeffrey L Saver, Department of Neurology, UCLA Stroke Center, Los Angeles, California			
Notes	Funding: National Institute for Neurological Disorders and Stroke, NIH, American Heart Association			

## **GRASP 2005**

Glucose Regulation in Acute Stroke Patients trial				
Multicentre, randomised, controlled trial with 3 treatment arms				
Adult acute ischaemic stroke patients with hyperglycaemia (glucose > 110 mg/dL) within 24 hours of stroke symptoms				
Tight glucose control, loose glucose control, or usual care				
Primary outcome: rate of hypoglycaemic events (glucose < 55 mg/dL) The primary feasibility outcome is the frequency of participants in target range within 24 hours of treatment initiation				
2005				
Christiana E Hall, Karen C Johnston, University of Virginia, Department of Neurology #800394, Cł lottesville, VA 22908				

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



GRASP 2005 (Continued)

Telephone: +1 434 9245323

Notes Funding: NIH-NINDS

#### ICH ADAPT 2007

Trial name or title	IntraCerebral Hemorrahge Acutely Decreasing Arterial Pressure Trial			
Methods	Multicentre, randomised, open label, blinded endpoint trial			
Participants	Patients who have acute ICH, confirmed by CT diagnosis			
Interventions	10 mg iv bolus of labetalol or control			
Outcomes	Primary outcome: imaging marker (peri-hematomal rCBF, as measured with CT perfusion 2 hours after anti-hypertensive therapy is initiated)			
Starting date	2007			
Contact information	Ken Butcher, Division of Neurology, 2E3.13 Walter C Mackenzie Health Sciences Centre, 8440 112 S Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2B7, Canada			
Notes	Funding: University of Alberta University Hospital Foundation			

#### **INTERACT 2 2007**

Trial name or title	name or title Intensive Blood Pressure Reduction in Acute Cerebral Haemorrhage				
Methods	Randomised, open label, active control, parallel assignment, safety/efficacy study				
Participants	Patients with acute stroke due to spontaneous ICH confirmed by clinical history and CT scan; at least 2 SBP measurements of ≥ 150 mmHg and ≤ 200 mmHg; recorded 2 or more minutes apart; within 6 hours of stroke onset				
Interventions	Early intensive lowering of BP (target SBP 140 mmHg) or standard guideline based management of BP (target SBP 180 mmHg)				
Outcomes	Primary outcome: mortality and dependency (according to a 3 to 5 score on the mRS at 3 months)				
Starting date	2007				
Contact information	nation Emma Heeley, The George Institute, Level 10, King George V Building, Royal Prince Alfred Ho Missenden Road, Camperdown NSW 2050, Australia				
Notes	Funding: National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC)				

## **PASS II 1998**

Trial name or title

Piracetam Acute Stroke Study II

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



## PASS II 1998 (Continued)

Methods	Double-blind, 2 parallel group, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial			
Participants	Acute stroke patients			
Interventions	Piracetam iv or placebo			
Outcomes	Primary outcome: aphasia at 4 and 12 weeks by the Frenchay Aphasia Screening Test			
Starting date	1999			
Contact information	Prof JM Orgogozo, Hospital Pellegrin, Place Amelie Raba-Leon, France			
Notes	Funding: UCB SA Belgium			

#### **SCAST 2005**

Trial name or title	Scandinavian Candesartan Acute Stroke Trial			
Methods	Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre phase III trial			
Participants	Patients presenting with acute stroke within 30 hours and having a SBP $\ge$ 140 mmHg			
Interventions	Candesarten cilexetil po (dose increasing from 4 to 16 mg daily) or placebo for 7 days			
Outcomes	Primary outcome: death or disability at 6 months; combination of vascular death, MI or stroke dur- ing the first 6 months Secondary outcome: SSS at 7 days and 6 months; mRS at 1, 3 and 6 months; MMS score at 6 months; EuroQol score at 6 months			
Starting date	2005			
Contact information	Rune Aakvik, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital, N-0407, Oslo, Norway			
Notes	Size: 2500 participants Funding: Helse Øst RHF, AstraZeneca			

TAST 2007	
Trial name or title	Effect of an angiotensin receptor antagonist on cerebral blood flow, cerebral perfusion pressure, and systemic and peripheral haemodynamics in patients with acute stroke
Methods	Single centre, interventional, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial
Participants	Ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke within 5 days and systolic blood pressure > 140 mmHg
Interventions	Telmisartan 80 mg once a day or matched placebo
Outcomes	Quantitative cerebral blood flow (xenon CT figure) before and 1.5 hours after first treatment
Starting date	2007

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



## TAST 2007 (Continued)

Contact information

Division of Stroke Medicine, Clinical Sciences Building, City Hospital, Nottingham, NG5 1PB, United Kingdom

Notes Funding: British Heart Foundation, University of Nottingham

BP: blood pressure CCB: calcium channel blocker CT: computerised tomography ICH: intracerebral haemorrhage iv: intravenous MI: myocardial infarction MMS: Mini-Mental State mRS: modified Rankin Score NIH: National Institutes of Health rCBF: regional cerebral blood flow SBP: systolic blood pressure SSS: Scandinavian Stroke Scale

## DATA AND ANALYSES

# Comparison 1. Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Early death (≤ 1 month)	36	5134	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.15 [0.98, 1.36]
1.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	3	164	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.91 [0.26, 3.14]
1.2 Beta blockers (po)	5	434	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.33 [0.58, 3.03]
1.3 Calcium channel blockers (iv)	6	738	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.13 [0.76, 1.67]
1.4 Calcium channel blockers (po)	8	1802	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.94 [0.63, 1.40]
1.5 Insulin (iv)	1	25	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	3.00 [0.11, 80.95]
1.6 Magnesium (iv)	1	25	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.05 [0.04, 29.24]
1.7 Naftidrofuryl	2	710	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.16 [0.77, 1.75]
1.8 Nitric oxide	5	145	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.00 [0.16, 6.11]
1.9 Piracetam	2	967	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.38 [0.99, 1.92]
1.10 Prostacyclin (iv)	3	124	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.51 [0.12, 2.23]
2 Death at end of trial	41	6648	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.09 [0.96, 1.24]
2.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	3	155	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.63 [0.21, 1.90]
2.2 ARA (po)	1	339	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.38 [0.13, 1.11]

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
2.3 Beta blockers (po)	5	442	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.10 [0.57, 2.14]
2.4 Calcium channel blockers (iv)	6	751	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.17 [0.84, 1.63]
2.5 Calcium channel blockers (po)	10	1534	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.72, 1.29]
2.6 GKI (iv)	1	933	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.14 [0.86, 1.51]
2.7 Magnesium (iv)	4	162	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.64 [0.28, 1.48]
2.8 Naftidrofuryl	2	710	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.19 [0.80, 1.77]
2.9 Nitric oxide	4	127	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.26, 4.00]
2.10 Pentoxifylline	0	0	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
2.11 Piracetam	2	967	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.32 [0.96, 1.81]
2.12 Prostacyclin (iv)	3	124	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.17, 5.38]
2.13 Unclassified or combined	1	404	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.81 [0.44, 1.50]
3 Early death or deterioration (≤ 1month)	15	2175	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.07 [0.90, 1.28]
3.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	0	0	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.2 Beta blockers (po)	4	357	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.32 [0.84, 2.06]
3.3 Calcium channel blockers (iv)	3	254	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.24 [0.75, 2.07]
3.4 Calcium channel blockers (po)	5	787	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.04 [0.78, 1.39]
3.5 Magnesium (iv)	0	0	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.6 Naftidrofuryl	2	710	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.71, 1.32]
3.7 Nitric oxide	0	0	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.8 Piracetam	1	40	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.21 [0.02, 2.25]
3.9 Prostacyclin (iv)	1	27	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.96 [0.39, 9.93]
4 Death or disability at end of trial	29	3302	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.11 [0.96, 1.29]
4.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	1	40	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.11 [0.31, 4.03]
4.2 Beta blockers (po)	4	353	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.19 [0.76, 1.85]
4.3 Calcium channel blockers (iv)	5	720	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.13 [0.67, 1.91]
4.4 Calcium channel blockers (po)	6	1106	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.30 [0.91, 1.86]

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	ome or subgroup title No. of No. of Statistical method studies partici- pants		Statistical method	Effect size	
4.5 Magnesium (iv)	4	162	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.73 [0.38, 1.43]	
4.6 Naftidrofuryl	2	710	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.72, 1.32]	
4.7 Nitric oxide	5	142	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.31 [0.64, 2.65]	
4.8 Piracetam	1	40	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.37 [0.10, 1.36]	
4.9 Prostacyclin (iv)	1	29	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.60 [0.39, 17.16]	
5 Systolic blood pressure, early	30	3473	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-6.95 [-9.40, -4.51]	
5.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	4	150	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-5.68 [-18.32, 6.96]	
5.2 ARA (po)	1	339	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.60 [-6.92, 1.72]	
5.3 Beta blockers (po)	5	397	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-6.14 [-11.42, -0.87]	
5.4 Calcium channel blockers (iv)	5	676	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-5.40 [-12.86, 2.07]	
5.5 Calcium channel blockers (po)	5	253	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-4.89 [-11.01, 1.23]	
5.6 GKI (iv)	1	933	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-11.10 [-14.61, -7.59]	
5.7 Insulin (iv)	1	25	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.20 [-11.30, 6.90]	
5.8 Magnesium (iv)	4	147	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-6.32 [-14.64, 2.01]	
5.9 Nitric oxide	5	145	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-10.32 [-17.62, -3.02]	
5.10 Other vasodilators (po)	1	4	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-7.16 [-17.11, 2.79]	
5.11 Unclassified or combined	1	404	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-14.0 [-17.20, -10.80]	
6 Systolic blood pressure, late	35	5175	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-4.60 [-6.64, -2.57]	
6.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	2	29	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% -8.70 [-30.37, 12.9 CI)		
6.2 Beta blockers (po)	4	338	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-4.92 [-10.22, 0.37]	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size	
6.3 Calcium channel blockers (iv)	4	389	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-8.57 [-19.08, 1.93]	
6.4 Calcium channel blockers (po)	13	2119	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-3.21 [-5.36, -1.06]	
6.5 Insulin (iv)	1	25	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-4.90 [-13.66, 3.86]	
6.6 Magnesium (iv)	4	147	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.60 [-10.62, 13.83]	
6.7 Naftidrofuryl	2	698	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-1.67 [-9.86, 6.52]	
6.8 Nitric oxide	0	0	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
6.9 Other vasodilators	1	4	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-23.5 [-45.32, -1.68]	
6.10 Piracetam	2	854	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.46 [-5.56, 0.64]	
6.11 Prostacyclin (iv)	3	131	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-7.66 [-15.55, 0.23]	
6.12 Thiazide diuretics (po)	1	37	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-15.0 [-34.25, 4.25]	
6.13 Unclassified or combined	1	404	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-9.00 [-14.03, -7.97]	
7 Diastolic blood pressure, early	28	2135	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.53 [-4.07, -0.99]	
7.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	4	150	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.71 [-6.94, 1.52]	
7.2 ARA (po)	1	339	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.60 [-5.57, 0.37]	
7.3 Beta blockers (po)	5	397	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.46 [-5.92, 1.00]	
7.4 Calcium channel blockers (iv)	5	675	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-3.24 [-9.26, 2.77]	
7.5 Calcium channel blockers (po)	5	253	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.49 [-5.64, 0.66]	
7.6 Insulin (iv)	1	25	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.0 [-6.10, 8.10]	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of No. of studies partici- pants		Statistical method	Effect size	
7.7 Magnesium (iv)	4	147	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-3.53 [-8.37, 1.30]	
7.8 Nitric oxide	5	145	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.99 [-5.43, 3.46]	
7.9 Other vasodilators	1	4	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.67 [-11.78, 17.12]	
8 Diastolic blood pressure, late	34	4768	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.63 [-3.90, -1.36]	
8.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	2	29	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.64 [-11.96, 6.69]	
8.2 Beta blockers (po)	4	338	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-4.46 [-7.77, -1.15]	
8.3 Calcium channel blockers (iv)	4	389	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-5.35 [-12.76, 2.06]	
8.4 Calcium channel blockers (po)	13	2117	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.05 [-3.45, -0.65]	
8.5 Insulin (iv)	1	25	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.20 [-7.57, 3.17]	
8.6 Magnesium (iv)	4	147	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.61 [-10.21, 5.00]	
8.7 Naftidrofuryl	2	698	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.50 [-6.23, 5.24]	
8.8 Nitric oxide	0	0	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
8.9 Other vasodilators	1	4	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
8.10 Piracetam	2	853	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.69 [-2.47, 1.09]	
8.11 Prostacyclin (iv)	3	131	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-3.86 [-8.12, 0.40]	
8.12 Thiazide diuretics (po)	1	37	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-5.0 [-16.00, 6.00]	
9 Heart rate, early	20	1255	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.45 [-3.06, 2.17]	
9.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	3	62	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-1.25 [-7.49, 4.99]	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size	
9.2 Beta blockers (po)	4	301	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-6.78 [-9.61, -3.96]	
9.3 Calcium channel blockers (iv)	3	379	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.91 [-1.88, 3.70]	
9.4 Calcium channel blockers (po)	3	217	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.17 [-5.58, 1.23]	
9.5 Magnesium (iv)	4	146	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-3.19 [-12.60, 6.21]	
9.6 Nitric oxide	5	145	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	6.27 [2.87, 9.66]	
9.7 Other vasodilators	1	5	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
10 Heart rate, late	26	2519	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.84 [-4.55, -1.13]	
10.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	2	31	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-11.55 [-30.94, 7.85]	
10.2 Beta blockers (po)	4	341	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-9.32 [-12.00, -6.63]	
10.3 Calcium channel blockers (iv)	4	365	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.13 [-5.59, 5.32]	
10.4 Calcium channel blockers (po)	10	1422	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.79 [-3.86, -1.73]	
10.5 Magnesium (iv)	4	145	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-4.32 [-11.07, 2.42]	
10.6 Naftidrofuryl	1	81	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.88 [-5.78, 7.54]	
10.7 Nitric oxide	0	0	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
10.8 Other vasodilators	1	3	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] CI)		
10.9 Prostacyclin (iv)	3	131	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	7.61 [-1.92, 17.13]	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

# Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 1 Early death (≤ 1 month).

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control	Odds Ratio	Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 ACE inhibitors (po)					
Dyker 1997	0/14	0/14			Not estimable
Eveson 2007	1/18	1/22	•	0.34%	1.24[0.07,21.24]
Potter 2009 lisinopril	5/57	4/39		1.45%	0.84[0.21,3.35]
Subtotal (95% CI)	89	75		1.79%	0.91[0.26,3.14]
Total events: 6 (Treatment), 5 (Co	ontrol)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.06	, df=1(P=0.81); I <sup>2</sup> =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.16(P=0	.88)				
1.1.2 Beta blockers (po)					
Barer 1988 atenolol	2/18	3/11	<b>← →</b> <u></u>	0.71%	0.33[0.05,2.41]
Barer 1988 propanolol	5/16	2/10		0.79%	1.82[0.28,11.86]
Barer 1988/50 mg	27/102	7/50	+ +	- 3.33%	2.21[0.89,5.5]
Barer 1988/80 mg	27/101	7/50	+	- 3.32%	2.24[0.9,5.58]
Potter 2009 labetalol	1/56	2/20	<b>+</b>	0.46%	0.16[0.01,1.91]
Subtotal (95% CI)	293	141		8.6%	1.33[0.58,3.03]
Total events: 62 (Treatment), 21 (	Control)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0.34; Chi <sup>2</sup> =6	.74, df=4(P=0.15); I <sup>2</sup> =40.62	%			
Test for overall effect: Z=0.68(P=0	.5)				
1.1.3 Calcium channel blockers	(iv)				
Ahmed 2000 1 mg	20/101	7/50		3.15%	1.52[0.59,3.87]
Ahmed 2000 2 mg	16/94	7/50		2.98%	1.26[0.48,3.3]
ASCLEPIOS 1990	19/109	16/108		5.25%	1.21[0.59,2.51]
Limburg 1990	2/12	4/14	•	0.76%	0.5[0.07,3.38]
Norris 1994	19/96	20/93	·	5.57%	0.9[0.45,1.82]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	2/8	0/3	+ +	0.25%	2.69[0.1,73.2]
Subtotal (95% CI)	420	318	· •	17.97%	1.13[0.76,1.67]
Total events: 78 (Treatment), 54 (	Control)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.83	, df=5(P=0.87); I <sup>2</sup> =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.6(P=0.5	55)				
1.1.4 Calcium channel blockers	(po)				
Bogousslavsky 1990	0/24	1/28	+ +	0.26%	0.37[0.01,9.62]
German-Austrian 120mg	20/239	25/243	+	7.26%	0.8[0.43,1.48]
Kaste 1994/120 mg	20/176	7/174	+	3.51%	3.06[1.26,7.43]
Lowe 1993	7/56	9/56		2.44%	0.75[0.26,2.17]
Martinez-Vila 1990	12/81	14/83	+	3.92%	0.86[0.37,1.99]
Paci 1989/120 mg	0/19	0/22			Not estimable
Squire 1996	8/75	12/72		3%	0.6[0.23,1.56]
VENUS 1995	20/225	23/229		6.98%	0.87[0.47,1.64]
Subtotal (95% CI)	895	907	<b>•</b>	27.37%	0.94[0.63,1.4]
Total events: 87 (Treatment), 91 (	Control)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0.08; Chi <sup>2</sup> =8	.51, df=6(P=0.2); I <sup>2</sup> =29.46%	6			
Test for overall effect: Z=0.28(P=0	.78)				
1.1.5 Insulin (iv)					
Walters 2006	1/13	0/12	+	0.25%	3[0.11,80.95]

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Study or subgroup	Treatment n/N	Control n/N	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI	Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	
Total events: 1 (Treatment), 0 (Control	)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.65(P=0.51)						
1.1.6 Magnesium (iv)						
Muir 1995	1/19	0/6		0.25%	1.05[0.04,29.24	
Subtotal (95% CI)	1,13	6		0.25%	1.05[0.04,29.24	
Total events: 1 (Treatment), 0 (Control		0		0.23%	1.05[0.04,25.24	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	1					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.03(P=0.98)						
1.1.7 Naftidrofuryl						
PRISTINE	49/307	41/303	-++	13.72%	1.21[0.77,1.9	
Steiner 1986	9/55	8/45		2.53%	0.9[0.32,2.58	
Subtotal (95% CI)	362	348	-	16.25%	1.16[0.77,1.75	
Total events: 58 (Treatment), 49 (Cont	rol)					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.26, df=1	.(P=0.61); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.7(P=0.48)						
1.1.8 Nitric oxide						
Bath 2000	2/16	1/21		0.44%	2.86[0.24,34.6	
Rashid 2003 10 mg	0/20	0/10			Not estimabl	
Rashid 2003 5 mg	2/20	2/10		0.61%	0.44[0.05,3.74	
Rashid 2003 5/10 mg	0/20	0/10			Not estimabl	
Willmot 2006	0/12	0/6			Not estimabl	
Subtotal (95% CI)	88	57		1.05%	1[0.16,6.11	
Total events: 4 (Treatment), 3 (Control		•		,	-[01-0,01-	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0.33; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.24, d		7%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0(P=1)	(,,					
1.1.9 Piracetam						
Herrschaft 1988	0/23	0/17			Not estimabl	
PASS 1995	99/464	76/463		25.2%	1.38[0.99,1.92	
Subtotal (95% CI)	487	480		25.2%	1.38[0.99,1.92	
Total events: 99 (Treatment), 76 (Cont		100		2012 /0	2100[0100,2101	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	101)					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.91(P=0.06)						
1.1.10 Prostacyclin (iv)						
Hsu 1987	1/34	2/37		0.46%	0.53[0.05,6.13	
Huczynski 1988	1/34	1/15		0.34%	1[0.06,17.62	
Pokrupa 1986	1/15	3/12		0.34%	0.3[0.03,3.43	
•		•				
Subtotal (95% CI)	<b>60</b>	64		1.26%	0.51[0.12,2.23	
Total events: 3 (Treatment), 6 (Control Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.39, df=2						
Heterogeneity: Tau==0; Chi==0.39, df=2 Test for overall effect: Z=0.9(P=0.37)	2(P=0.82); I*=0%					
Total (95% CI)	2726	2408		100%	1 1510 00 1 34	
		2400		100%	1.15[0.98,1.36	
Total events: 399 (Treatment), 305 (Co						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =24.92, df=	-29(19-0.68); 1*=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.69(P=0.09)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =5.9	€1, dt=1 (P=0.75), l²=	0%				

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

## Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 2 Death at end of trial.

Study or subgroup	Treatment n/N	Control n/N	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI
1.2.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	ii/N	ii/N			N, Kandolii, 55 /0 Cl
Dyker 1997	0/14	0/14			Not estimable
Eveson 2007	1/18	1/22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.2%	1.24[0.07,21.24]
Potter 2009 lisinopril	7/57	6/30	•	1.14%	0.56[0.17,1.85]
Subtotal (95% CI)	89	66		1.34%	0.63[0.21,1.9]
Total events: 8 (Treatment), 7 (Co				2.3470	0.00[0.22,2.0]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.25					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.82(P=0					
1.2.2 ARA (po)					
ACCESS 2003	5/173	12/166		1.43%	0.38[0.13,1.11]
Subtotal (95% CI)	173	166		1.43%	0.38[0.13,1.11]
Total events: 5 (Treatment), 12 (0	Control)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.77(P=0	0.08)				
1.2.3 Beta blockers (po)					
Barer 1988 atenolol	4/18	4/11	+	0.59%	0.5[0.1,2.62]
Barer 1988 propanolol	7/16	3/10		- 0.58%	1.81[0.34,9.69]
Barer 1988/50 mg	37/102	12/50	- <u>+</u>	2.79%	1.8[0.84,3.87]
Barer 1988/80 mg	33/100	12/50		2.74%	1.56[0.72,3.37]
Potter 2009 labetalol	4/56	6/29		0.88%	0.29[0.08,1.15]
Subtotal (95% CI)	292	150		7.58%	1.1[0.57,2.14]
Total events: 85 (Treatment), 37	(Control)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0.23; Chi <sup>2</sup> =6	5.92, df=4(P=0.14); l <sup>2</sup> =42.1	6%			
Test for overall effect: Z=0.29(P=0	0.77)				
1.2.4 Calcium channel blockers	; (iv)				
ASCLEPIOS 1990	21/116	19/114		3.5%	1.11[0.56,2.19]
Limburg 1990	3/12	5/14	•	0.56%	0.6[0.11,3.3]
Norris 1994	29/96	33/93	+	4.4%	0.79[0.43,1.45]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	2/8	0/3	+	0.15%	2.69[0.1,73.2]
Ahmed 2000 2 mg	39/94	15/50		3.05%	1.65[0.8,3.44]
Ahmed 2000 1 mg	42/101	15/50		3.12%	1.66[0.81,3.42]
Subtotal (95% CI)	427	324	-	14.77%	1.17[0.84,1.63]
Total events: 136 (Treatment), 87	7 (Control)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.26	5, df=5(P=0.51); I <sup>2</sup> =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.91(P=0	0.36)				
1.2.5 Calcium channel blockers	; (po)				
Bogousslavsky 1990	0/24	1/28	+	- 0.15%	0.37[0.01,9.62]
Kaste 1994/120 mg	29/176	22/174		4.54%	1.36[0.75,2.48]
Lamsudin 1997	0/72	0/78			Not estimable
Lowe 1993	15/56	12/56		2.15%	1.34[0.56,3.2]
Paci 1989/120 mg	0/19	0/22			Not estimable
Squire 1996	12/75	17/72		2.41%	0.62[0.27,1.4]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	4/38	7/39		0.93%	0.54[0.14,2.01]

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study or subgroup	Treatment n/N	Control n/N	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI	Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI
VENUS 1995	30/225	32/229		5.67%	0.95[0.55,1.62
Wimalarat 1994/120mg	16/46	11/26		1.67%	0.73[0.27,1.9
Wimalarat 1994/240mg	23/53	11/26	<b>I</b>	1.81%	1.05[0.4,2.
Subtotal (95% CI)	784	750	•	19.34%	0.97[0.72,1.2
Total events: 129 (Treatment), 113 (Co	ontrol)				- /
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.4, df=7					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.22(P=0.83)					
1.2.6 GKI (iv)					
Gray 2007	139/464	128/469		20.17%	1.14[0.86,1.5]
Subtotal (95% CI)	464	469	•	20.17%	1.14[0.86,1.5
Total events: 139 (Treatment), 128 (Co	ontrol)				- /
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.9(P=0.37)					
1.2.7 Magnesium (iv)					
Lees 1995	6/30	7/30		1.07%	0.82[0.24,2.8]
IMAGES Pilot	3/26	6/25	• •	0.71%	0.41[0.09,1.8
Muir 1995	1/19	0/6	<b>↓</b> →	0.15%	1.05[0.04,29.2
Strand 1984	2/13	3/13	•	0.41%	0.61[0.08,4.4
Subtotal (95% CI)	88	74		2.35%	0.64[0.28,1.4
Total events: 12 (Treatment), 16 (Cont					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.57, df=					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.04(P=0.3)	5(1 0.5), 1 0 /0				
1.2.8 Naftidrofuryl					
PRISTINE	49/307	41/303	- <b>+</b>	8.08%	1.21[0.77,1.
Steiner 1986	21/55	16/45	<u>+</u>	2.44%	1.12[0.49,2.5
Subtotal (95% CI)	362	348	-	10.51%	1.19[0.8,1.7
Total events: 70 (Treatment), 57 (Cont	rol)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.03, df=					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.87(P=0.38)					
1.2.9 Nitric oxide					
Bath 2000	3/16	1/21		0.29%	4.62[0.43,49.3
Rashid 2003 10 mg	0/20	1/10	+	0.15%	0.15[0.01,4.1
Rashid 2003 5/10 mg	1/20	1/10		0.2%	0.47[0.03,8.4
Rashid 2003 5 mg	2/20	1/10	┥───→	0.25%	1[0.08,12.5
Subtotal (95% CI)	76	51		0.89%	1.01[0.26,4
Total events: 6 (Treatment), 4 (Contro	l)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0.06; Chi <sup>2</sup> =3.1, d	f=3(P=0.38); I <sup>2</sup> =3.1%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.01(P=0.99)					
1.2.10 Pentoxifylline					
Subtotal (95% CI)	0	0			Not estimab
Total events: 0 (Treatment), 0 (Contro	l)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Not applicable					
1.2.11 Piracetam					
Herrschaft 1988	0/23	0/17			Not estimab

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control		Odds Ratio		Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	I	V, Random, 95% CI			IV, Random, 95% CI
Subtotal (95% CI)	487	480		•		16.46%	1.32[0.96,1.81]
Total events: 111 (Treatment), 89 (Co	ontrol)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.74(P=0.08	3)						
1.2.12 Prostacyclin (iv)							
Hsu 1987	1/34	2/37	◀──	•		0.27%	0.53[0.05,6.13]
Huczynski 1988	4/15	1/15				0.3%	5.09[0.5,52.29]
Pokrupa 1986	1/11	3/12	+			0.27%	0.3[0.03,3.43]
Subtotal (95% CI)	60	64				0.85%	0.96[0.17,5.38]
Total events: 6 (Treatment), 6 (Contr	rol)						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0.81; Chi <sup>2</sup> =3.07	, df=2(P=0.22); l <sup>2</sup> =34.88	3%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.04(P=0.97	)						
1.2.13 Unclassified or combined							
INTERACT pilot 2008	21/203	25/201				4.29%	0.81[0.44,1.5]
Subtotal (95% CI)	203	201				4.29%	0.81[0.44,1.5]
Total events: 21 (Treatment), 25 (Co	ntrol)						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0, df=0(	(P<0.0001); I <sup>2</sup> =100%						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.66(P=0.51	.)						
Total (95% CI)	3505	3143		•		100%	1.09[0.96,1.24]
Total events: 728 (Treatment), 581 (0	Control)						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =32.59, c	df=37(P=0.68); l <sup>2</sup> =0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.31(P=0.19	)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =1	10, df=1 (P=0.53), I <sup>2</sup> =0%	6					
	Fa	vours treatment	0.1 0.2	0.5 1 2	5 10 F	avours control	

### Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 3 Early death or deterioration (≤ 1month).

Study or subgroup	Treatment n/N	Control n/N	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.3.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	11/ IN	11/ N			IV, Randolli, 55% CI
Subtotal (95% CI)	0	0			Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Treatment), 0 (Contro	ol)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Not applicable					
1.3.2 Beta blockers (po)					
Barer 1988 atenolol	11/18	6/11		1.37%	1.31[0.29,5.98]
Barer 1988 propanolol	12/16	5/10		1.12%	3[0.56,16.07]
Barer 1988/50 mg	60/101	29/50		6.69%	1.06[0.53,2.11]
Barer 1988/80 mg	67/101	29/50		6.51%	1.43[0.71,2.86]
Subtotal (95% CI)	236	121		15.69%	1.32[0.84,2.06]
Total events: 150 (Treatment), 69 (Co	ntrol)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.36, df=	=3(P=0.72); I <sup>2</sup> =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.2(P=0.23)					
				1	
	Fa	avours treatment	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5	<sup>10</sup> Favours control	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study or subgroup	Treatment n/N	Control n/N	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI
1.3.3 Calcium channel blockers (iv)					
ASCLEPIOS 1990	68/109	62/108		10.7%	1.23[0.71,2.1
Limburg 1990	8/12	10/14		1.14%	0.8[0.15,4.2
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	6/8	1/3		0.38%	6[0.34,107.4
Subtotal (95% CI)	129	125	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12.21%	1.24[0.75,2.0
Total events: 82 (Treatment), 73 (Conti	rol)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.41, df=2	(P=0.49); I <sup>2</sup> =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.83(P=0.4)					
1.3.4 Calcium channel blockers (po)					
_owe 1993	29/44	28/50		4.52%	1.52[0.66,3.5
Paci 1989/120 mg	1/19	4/22	<b>├──</b> +───	0.6%	0.25[0.03,2.4
Squire 1996	41/70	40/64	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6.56%	0.85[0.42,1
Jzuner 1995/180 mg	16/38	17/39		3.88%	0.94[0.38,2.3
/ENUS 1995	98/219	95/222		22.33%	1.08[0.74,1.5
Subtotal (95% CI)	390	397	•	37.89%	1.04[0.78,1.3
Fotal events: 185 (Treatment), 184 (Co			Ī		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =2.7, df=4(					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.27(P=0.79)	,,				
3.5 Magnesium (iv)					
Subtotal (95% CI)	0	0			Not estimal
rotal events: ٥ (Treatment), ٥ (Control	)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Fest for overall effect: Not applicable					
1.3.6 Naftidrofuryl					
PRISTINE	184/307	182/303	-+-	30.13%	0.99[0.72,1.3
Steiner 1986	46/55	40/45		2.3%	0.64[0.2,2.0
Subtotal (95% CI)	362	348	<b>•</b>	32.43%	0.96[0.71,1.3
Fotal events: 230 (Treatment), 222 (Co	ntrol)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.51, df=1	(P=0.48); I <sup>2</sup> =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.23(P=0.82)					
1.3.7 Nitric oxide					
Subtotal (95% CI)	0	0			Not estimal
Fotal events: 0 (Treatment), 0 (Control	)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Not applicable					
3.8 Piracetam					
Herrschaft 1988	1/23	3/17	<b>├</b> •	0.57%	0.21[0.02,2.2
Subtotal (95% CI)	23	17		0.57%	0.21[0.02,2.2
Fotal events: 1 (Treatment), 3 (Control	)				
leterogeneity: Not applicable					
Fest for overall effect: Z=1.29(P=0.2)					
1.3.9 Prostacyclin (iv)					
Huczynski 1988	5/12	4/15		- 1.2%	1.96[0.39,9.9
Subtotal (95% CI)	12	15		1.2%	1.96[0.39,9.9
Total events: 5 (Treatment), 4 (Control	)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study or subgroup Treatment n/N		Control			Od	ds Rat	io			Weight	Odds Ratio
		n/N		IV, Random, 95% CI							IV, Random, 95% CI
Test for overall effect: Z=0.82(	P=0.41)										
Total (95% CI)	1152	1023				•				100%	1.07[0.9,1.28]
Total events: 653 (Treatment)	, 555 (Control)										
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =9	9.94, df=15(P=0.82); I <sup>2</sup> =0%										
Test for overall effect: Z=0.79(	P=0.43)										
Test for subgroup differences:	Chi <sup>2</sup> =3.95, df=1 (P=0.56), l <sup>2</sup> =0	0%									
	Fa	vours treatment	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours control	

# Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 4 Death or disability at end of trial.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control	Odds Ratio	Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI
1.4.1 ACE inhibitors (po)					
Eveson 2007	7/18	8/22		1.32%	1.11[0.31,4.03]
Subtotal (95% CI)	18	22		1.32%	1.11[0.31,4.03]
Total events: 7 (Treatment), 8 (Cont	rol)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.16(P=0.87	7)				
1.4.2 Beta blockers (po)					
Barer 1988 atenolol	8/16	5/10		0.87%	1[0.21,4.86]
Barer 1988 propanolol	11/16	5/10		0.82%	2.2[0.43,11.22]
Barer 1988/50 mg	45/101	21/50		4.65%	1.11[0.56,2.2]
Barer 1988/80 mg	48/100	22/50		4.69%	1.17[0.59,2.32]
Subtotal (95% CI)	233	120		11.04%	1.19[0.76,1.85]
Total events: 112 (Treatment), 53 (C	ontrol)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.63, d	f=3(P=0.89); I <sup>2</sup> =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.75(P=0.45	5)				
1.4.3 Calcium channel blockers (iv	1)				
Ahmed 2000 1 mg	66/101	28/50		4.55%	1.48[0.74,2.96]
Ahmed 2000 2 mg	72/94	28/50		4.05%	2.57[1.23,5.36]
ASCLEPIOS 1990	47/116	44/114		7.81%	1.08[0.64,1.84]
Limburg 1990	3/12	7/14	• •	0.78%	0.33[0.06,1.78]
Norris 1994	39/90	42/79		5.92%	0.67[0.37,1.24]
Subtotal (95% CI)	413	307		23.1%	1.13[0.67,1.91]
Total events: 227 (Treatment), 149 (	Control)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0.21; Chi <sup>2</sup> =10.2	25, df=4(P=0.04); l <sup>2</sup> =60.	96%			
Test for overall effect: Z=0.46(P=0.64	4)				
1.4.4 Calcium channel blockers (p	o)				
Bogousslavsky 1990	6/24	4/28		1.11%	2[0.49,8.15]
Kaste 1994/120 mg	44/175	31/172	+	8.15%	1.53[0.91,2.56]
Lowe 1993	25/40	18/46	+	2.87%	2.59[1.08,6.2]
Paci 1989/120 mg	1/19	4/22	•	0.42%	0.25[0.03,2.46]
Squire 1996	32/69	32/63	+	4.67%	0.84[0.42,1.66]
VENUS 1995	63/223	57/225	+	12.46%	1.16[0.76,1.76]
	Fa	avours treatment 0.1	0.2 0.5 1 2 5	<sup>10</sup> Favours control	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Study or subgroup	Treatment n/N	Control n/N	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI	
Subtotal (95% CI)	550	556	•	29.68%	1.3[0.91,1.86	
Total events: 171 (Treatment), 146 (Co	ntrol)				- /	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0.05; Chi <sup>2</sup> =7, df=5						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.44(P=0.15)						
1.4.5 Magnesium (iv)						
IMAGES Pilot	12/26	11/25		1.79%	1.09[0.36,3.29	
Lees 1995	9/30	12/30		1.91%	0.64[0.22,1.87	
Muir 1995	3/19	1/6		0.36%	0.94[0.08,11.15	
Strand 1984	6/13	9/13	<b>_</b>	0.85%	0.38[0.08,1.9	
Subtotal (95% CI)	88	74		4.91%	0.73[0.38,1.43	
Total events: 30 (Treatment), 33 (Contr	ol)					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.23, df=3						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.92(P=0.36)						
1.4.6 Naftidrofuryl						
PRISTINE	184/307	182/303	_ <b>_</b>	20.8%	0.99[0.72,1.38	
Steiner 1986	38/55	33/45		2.86%	0.81[0.34,1.9	
Subtotal (95% CI)	362	348	•	23.66%	0.97[0.72,1.32	
Total events: 222 (Treatment), 215 (Co	ntrol)					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.18, df=1	(P=0.67); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.19(P=0.85)						
1.4.7 Nitric oxide						
Bath 2000	8/16	6/18		1.14%	2[0.5,	
Rashid 2003 10 mg	13/20	6/10		0.89%	1.24[0.26,5.9	
Rashid 2003 5 mg	12/20	6/10		0.91%	1[0.21,4.7]	
Rashid 2003 5/10 mg	12/20	6/10		0.91%	1[0.21,4.7]	
Willmot 2006	5/12	2/6	+	0.52%	1.43[0.18,11.09	
Subtotal (95% CI)	88	54		4.37%	1.31[0.64,2.6	
Total events: 50 (Treatment), 26 (Contr	ol)					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.6, df=4(F						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.74(P=0.46)						
1.4.8 Piracetam						
Herrschaft 1988	8/23	10/17		1.31%	0.37[0.1,1.3	
Subtotal (95% CI)	23	17		1.31%	0.37[0.1,1.30	
Total events: 8 (Treatment), 10 (Contro	ol)					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0, df=0(P<	0.0001); I <sup>2</sup> =100%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.49(P=0.13)						
1.4.9 Prostacyclin (iv)						
Huczynski 1988	4/14	2/15		0.61%	2.6[0.39,17.10	
Subtotal (95% CI)	14	15		0.61%	2.6[0.39,17.10	
Total events: 4 (Treatment), 2 (Control)	)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.99(P=0.32)						
Total (95% CI)	1789	1513	•	100%	1.11[0.96,1.29	
Total events: 831 (Treatment), 642 (Co	ntrol)					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =27.07, df=	28(P=0.51); l <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.42(P=0.16)						

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study or subgroup	Treatment n/N	Control n/N		Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI						Weight	Odds Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =7.18, df=1 (P=0.52), I <sup>2</sup> =0%				ı							
Favours treatment				0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours control	

### Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 5 Systolic blood pressure, early.

Study or subgroup	Tr	eatment	c	Control	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI		Random, 95% Cl
1.5.1 ACE inhibitors (po)							
Dyker 1997	12	158.3 (20.9)	11	175.8 (23.3)	•	1.53%	-17.53[-35.67,0.61]
Eveson 2007	17	163.2 (20.4)	18	163.7 (18)	+	2.67%	-0.5[-13.27,12.27]
Lisk 1993	3	180 (31.6)	2	149.2 (6.7)		0.42%	30.83[-6.11,67.77]
Potter 2009 lisinopril	57	158 (28)	30	170 (21)	◀──── │	3.51%	-12[-22.45,-1.55]
Subtotal ***	89		61			8.13%	-5.68[-18.32,6.96]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =89.32; Chi <sup>2</sup> =7.18	8, df=3(P	=0.07); l <sup>2</sup> =58.19%	)				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.88(P=0.38	)						
1.5.2 ARA (po)							
ACCESS 2003	173	165.8 (20.9)	166	168.4 (19.7)	+	7.5%	-2.6[-6.92,1.72]
Subtotal ***	173		166			7.5%	-2.6[-6.92,1.72]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.18(P=0.24	)						
1.5.3 Beta blockers (po)							
Barer 1988 atenolol	15	142 (25.7)	10	146.2 (27.3)	+ +	1.16%	-4.21[-25.56,17.14]
Barer 1988 propanolol	14	145.7 (17.9)	9	146.2 (27.3)	+ +	1.28%	-0.5[-20.66,19.66]
Barer 1988/50 mg	91	142.8 (23.2)	43	152.7 (28.2)	◀	3.87%	-9.85[-19.53,-0.17]
Barer 1988/80 mg	87	149.7 (26.8)	43	152.7 (28.2)		3.66%	-2.99[-13.12,7.14]
Potter 2009 labetalol	56	163 (22)	29	170 (21)	<b>↓</b> +	3.92%	-7[-16.57,2.57]
Subtotal ***	263		134			13.88%	-6.14[-11.42,-0.87]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.3, df=	4(P=0.86	i); l <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.28(P=0.02	)						
1.5.4 Calcium channel blockers (iv	)						
Ahmed 2000 1 mg	97	153.6 (25.2)	46	148.4 (26.8)	+	4.09%	5.21[-4.02,14.44]
Ahmed 2000 2 mg	87	140.9 (23.3)	46	148.4 (26.8)	<b>↓</b>	4.12%	-7.47[-16.64,1.7]
ASCLEPIOS 1990	118	151.8 (22.5)	111	153.6 (28)	+	5.72%	-1.81[-8.41,4.79]
Norris 1994	78	138 (21.8)	82	152.3 (26.8)	←	5.06%	-14.23[-21.79,-6.67]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	8	141.9 (33.6)	3	158.3 (14.4)		0.69%	-16.45[-44.88,11.98]
Subtotal ***	388		288			19.68%	-5.4[-12.86,2.07]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =44.33; Chi <sup>2</sup> =12.2	22, df=4(	P=0.02); I <sup>2</sup> =67.27	%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.42(P=0.16	)						
1.5.5 Calcium channel blockers (po	<b>)</b> )						
Fagan 1988/120 mg	10	136 (21)	5	143 (33)	<b>↓ ↓</b>	0.56%	-7[-38.72,24.72]
Fagan 1988/240 mg	10	133 (19)	4	143 (33)	4	0.48%	-10[-44.42,24.42]
Lisk 1993	5	145.2 (26.5)	2	149.2 (6.7)		0.87%	-3.97[-28.98,21.04]
Squire 1996	73	148.1 (24.1)	67	153.8 (25.6)	<b>↓</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.63%	-5.74[-14,2.52]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	38	138 (22)	39	140.9 (26.2)		- 3.37%	-2.87[-13.66,7.92]
			Favo	urs treatment	-10 -5 0 5	<sup>10</sup> Favours cor	ntrol

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

Study or subgroup	Tr N	eatment Mean(SD)	N	Control Mean(SD)	Mean Difference Random, 95% Cl	Weight	Mean Difference Random, 95% Cl
Subtotal ***	136	mean(50)	117	Mean(3D)		9.91%	-4.89[-11.01,1.23
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.28		9)· 1 <sup>2</sup> =0%				5.51/0	-4.05[-11.01,1.23
Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0		57,1 -070					
	.12)						
1.5.6 GKI (iv)							
Gray 2007	464	148.6 (26.1)	469	159.7 (28.6)	←	8.15%	-11.1[-14.61,-7.59
Subtotal ***	464		469			8.15%	-11.1[-14.61,-7.59
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=6.19(P<0	0.0001)						
1.5.7 Insulin (iv)							
Walters 2006	13	149.4 (9.4)	12	151.6 (13.3)	4 +	- 4.16%	-2.2[-11.3,6.9
Subtotal ***	13		12	( ,		4.16%	-2.2[-11.3,6.9
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.47(P=0	0.64)						
1 5 0 Magna alium (in)							
1.5.8 Magnesium (iv)	20		25			2.240/	0[ 22 05 4 01
IMAGES Pilot	26	150.5 (25.7)	25	159.5 (25.1)		2.34%	-9[-22.95,4.9
Lees 1995	30	142.8 (29.8)	29	143.5 (27.7)		2.17%	-0.69[-15.35,13.9]
Muir 1995	17	153.6 (25.7)	5	167.8 (9.6)		2.12%	-14.21[-29.06,0.64
Strand 1984	7	173.6 (28.1)	8	163.1 (26.2)		0.73%	10.44[-17.17,38.05
Subtotal ***	80	a a a) 1 <sup>2</sup> a 4004	67			7.36%	-6.32[-14.64,2.01
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =4.86; Chi <sup>2</sup> =3		0.36); l²=6.48%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.49(P=0	).14)						
1.5.9 Nitric oxide							
Bath 2000	16	153.8 (23.3)	21	156.2 (20.9)	+	2.21%	-2.38[-16.87,12.1]
Rashid 2003 10 mg	20	139.2 (15.7)	10	151.1 (22.7)		1.95%	-11.9[-27.56,3.76
Rashid 2003 5 mg	20	141.1 (18.4)	10	151.1 (22.7)		1.85%	-10[-26.22,6.22
Rashid 2003 5/10 mg	20	142.5 (20.3)	10	151.1 (22.7)	<b>4</b>	1.77%	-8.6[-25.25,8.05
Willmot 2006	12	159.5 (22.9)	6	185.2 (18.8)	←	1.31%	-25.67[-45.55,-5.79
Subtotal ***	88		57			9.09%	-10.32[-17.62,-3.02
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =3.53 Test for overall effect: Z=2.77(P=0		7); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
1.5.10 Other vasodilators (po)							
Lisk 1993	2	142 (2.8)	2	149.2 (6.6)	<b>↓</b> →	3.74%	-7.16[-17.11,2.79
Subtotal ***	2		2			3.74%	-7.16[-17.11,2.79
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.41(P=0	).16)						
1.5.11 Unclassified or combine	d						
INTERACT pilot 2008	203	153 (15.3)	201	167 (17.4)	▲	8.39%	-14[-17.2,-10.8
Subtotal ***	203	. ,	201	. ,	•	8.39%	-14[-17.2,-10.8
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							,, <b>.</b>
Test for overall effect: Z=8.58(P<0	0.0001)						
Total ***	1899		1674			100%	.C DE[ D 4 4 F
		(D-0.01). 12-42	1574			100%	-6.95[-9.4,-4.51
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =15.89; Chi <sup>2</sup> =		.(r=0.01); r=42.4	+9%				
Test for overall effect: Z=5.57(P<0		1 (D 0) 12 01	0/				
Test for subgroup differences: Ch	ı∸=27.93, df=	=⊥ (P=0), I <sup>∠</sup> =64.20	%				

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

## Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 6 Systolic blood pressure, late.

Study or subgroup	Tr	eatment	c	Control	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI	-	Random, 95% CI
1.6.1 ACE inhibitors (po)							
Dyker 1997	12	156.5 (21.1)	12	175.5 (23.7)	<b>├</b> ─── │	1.09%	-19[-36.95,-1.05]
Lisk 1993	3	171.7 (7.6)	2	168.5 (14.1)	•	0.8%	3.17[-18.16,24.5]
Subtotal ***	15		14			1.89%	-8.7[-30.37,12.98]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =144.61; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	2.43, df=1(	P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =58.84	1%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.79(P=0.	43)						
1.6.2 Beta blockers (po)							
Barer 1988 atenolol	18	138.1 (24.7)	10	140.5 (23.3)	<b>├</b> ──	1.04%	-2.47[-20.85,15.91]
Barer 1988 propanolol	14	142.1 (30.9)	9	140.5 (23.3)	<b>     </b>	0.75%	1.61[-20.61,23.83]
Barer 1988/50 mg	95	137.3 (25.1)	47	145.5 (22.6)	<b> </b> +	3.28%	-8.16[-16.36,0.04]
Barer 1988/80 mg	97	142.3 (23.9)	48	145.5 (22.6)	+	3.38%	-3.17[-11.13,4.79]
Subtotal ***	224		114			8.45%	-4.92[-10.22,0.37]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.19,	df=3(P=0.7	′6); I²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.82(P=0.	07)						
1.6.3 Calcium channel blockers (	iv)						
ASCLEPIOS 1990	107	144.7 (23.8)	97	144.9 (24)		- 4.05%	-0.15[-6.71,6.41]
Limburg 1990	10	141 (26.7)	6	150.8 (24.6)		0.58%	-9.83[-35.51,15.85]
Norris 1994	83	133.6 (16.3)	75	149.9 (25.1)		3.99%	-16.25[-22.93,-9.57]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	8	147.5 (21.9)	3	156.7 (5.8)		- 1.25%	-9.17[-25.68,7.34]
Subtotal ***	208	,	181			9.87%	-8.57[-19.08,1.93]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =72.8; Chi <sup>2</sup> =11		0.01): l <sup>2</sup> =73.69%					;
Test for overall effect: Z=1.6(P=0.1		,,					
1.6.4 Calcium channel blockers (	po)						
Bogousslavsky 1990	24	134 (24)	28	141 (16)		2.22%	-7[-18.28,4.28]
German-Austrian 120mg	239	139.7 (20.7)	243	143.2 (18.6)	`+	5.79%	-3.54[-7.06,-0.02]
Kaste 1994/120 mg	160	146.6 (25.8)	163	148.5 (25.8)		4.56%	-1.9[-7.53,3.73]
Lamsudin 1997	72	161.3 (30.2)	78	162.8 (35.5)		2.43%	-1.48[-12.01,9.05]
Lisk 1993	5	130 (29.2)	2	168.5 (14.1)		0.38%	-38.5[-70.64,-6.36]
Lowe 1993	54	145.2 (24.7)	54	141 (22.4)	·	3%	4.17[-4.71,13.05]
Martinez-Vila 1990	58	141 (22.7)	65	142.2 (23.3)		- 3.3%	-1.2[-9.33,6.93]
Paci 1989/120 mg	19	136.7 (17.4)	22	145.7 (19.7)	I	2.2%	-9[-20.36,2.36]
Squire 1996	68	145.7 (22.7)	55	147.9 (21.8)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.41%	-2.13[-10.02,5.76]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	38	128.2 (16.7)	39	136 (24.4)		2.84%	-7.87[-17.19,1.45]
VENUS 1995	215	152.7 (25.3)	213	152.2 (26.5)	•	4.97%	0.53[-4.38,5.44]
Wimalarat 1994/120mg	69	144.5 (13.1)	33	150.5 (16.7)	<b></b>	4.1%	-6.05[-12.52,0.42]
Wimalarat 1994/240mg	69	144.4 (11.5)	34	150.5 (16.7)		4.23%	-6.15[-12.38,0.08]
Subtotal ***	1090	,	1029			43.44%	-3.21[-5.36,-1.06]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =2.29; Chi <sup>2</sup> =14 Test for overall effect: Z=2.92(P=0)	.15, df=12(	P=0.29); I <sup>2</sup> =15.18					
1.6.5 Insulin (iv)							
Walters 2006	10	147.2 (8.9)	10	152 1 (12 0)		3.05%	A 0 12 66 2 061
	13	141.2 (0.9)	12	152.1 (12.9)			-4.9[-13.66,3.86]
Subtotal ***	13		12			3.05%	-4.9[-13.66,3.86]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							

#### Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Study or subgroup		eatment Moon(SD)		Control	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
Test for overall effect: Z=1.1(P=0.27)	N	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl		Random, 95% Cl
1.6.6 Magnesium (iv)							
IMAGES Pilot	26	147.4 (17.5)	25	155.9 (27.3)	<b>↓</b>	1.89%	-8.59[-21.24,4.0
Lees 1995	27	147.5 (28.3)	25	139.5 (23.8)		+ 1.59%	7.96[-6.21,22.1
Muir 1995	15	150.9 (20.3)	4	159.3 (22)	<b>4 •</b>	0.66%	-8.32[-32.23,15.5
Strand 1984	13	168.5 (35.7)	12	150.4 (18.4)		0.76%	18.04[-4,40.0
Subtotal ***	81		66			4.89%	1.6[-10.62,13.8
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =75.88; Chi <sup>2</sup> =6, df=	=3(P=0.	11); I <sup>2</sup> =50.03%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8)							
1.6.7 Naftidrofuryl							
PRISTINE	310	155.7 (24.5)	307	154.3 (25)		5.57%	1.37[-2.53,5.2
Steiner 1986	44	139.9 (20)	37	147.3 (26.2)	<b>↓</b>	2.5%	-7.41[-17.72,2
Subtotal ***	354		344			8.07%	-1.67[-9.86,6.5
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =22.73; Chi <sup>2</sup> =2.44,	df=1(P	=0.12); l <sup>2</sup> =58.98%	)				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.4(P=0.69)							
1.6.8 Nitric oxide							
Subtotal ***	0		0				Not estimat
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Not applicable							
1.6.9 Other vasodilators							
Lisk 1993	2	145 (7.1)	2	168.5 (14.1)	←─── │	0.77%	-23.5[-45.32,-1.6
Subtotal ***	2		2			0.77%	-23.5[-45.32,-1.6
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=2.11(P=0.03)							
1.6.10 Piracetam							
Herrschaft 1988	23	176.6 (19.6)	17	174.2 (20.2)	+	1.92%	2.4[-10.1,14
PASS 1995	396	145.6 (24)	418	148.4 (22.6)		5.97%	-2.78[-5.98,0.4
Subtotal ***	419		435			7.89%	-2.46[-5.56,0.6
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.62, df=1	L(P=0.4	3); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.55(P=0.12)							
1.6.11 Prostacyclin (iv)							
Hsu 1987	42	142.2 (21.1)	36	149.1 (22.6)	<	2.68%	-6.9[-16.66,2.8
Huczynski 1988	15	140.4 (27.8)	15	146.3 (26.9)	<b>← • ·</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.93%	-5.93[-25.54,13.6
Pokrupa 1986	11	132.3 (22.3)	12	144.2 (22.8)		1.04%	-11.9[-30.32,6.5
Subtotal ***	68		63			4.65%	-7.66[-15.55,0.2
Heterogeneity: Tau²=0; Chi²=0.26, df=2	2(P=0.8	8); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.9(P=0.06)							
1.6.12 Thiazide diuretics (po)							
Eames 2005	18	158 (24)	19	173 (35)	← ────	0.96%	-15[-34.25,4.2
Subtotal ***	18		19			0.96%	-15[-34.25,4.2
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.53(P=0.13)							
1.6.13 Unclassified or combined							
INTERACT pilot 2008	203	146 (14.6)	201	157 (16.4)		6.06%	-11[-14.03,-7.9

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Cochrane Librarv

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	C	ontrol		Mea	n Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Ran	dom, 95% CI			Random, 95% Cl
Subtotal ***	203		201						6.06%	-11[-14.03,-7.97]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0, df=0(P<0.0001	); I <sup>2</sup> =100%								
Test for overall effect: Z=7.12	(P<0.0001)									
Total ***	2695		2480						100%	-4.6[-6.64,-2.57]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =15.36; C	Chi <sup>2</sup> =75.86, df=37	(P=0); I <sup>2</sup> =51.22%	6							
Test for overall effect: Z=4.44	(P<0.0001)									
Test for subgroup differences	s: Chi²=37.38, df=	1 (P<0.0001), I <sup>2</sup> =	=70.57%							
			Favou	ırs treatment	10	-5	0 5	10	Favours contro	l

Favours treatment -10

### Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 7 Diastolic blood pressure, early.

Study or subgroup	Tr	eatment	c	Control	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI		Random, 95% CI
1.7.1 ACE inhibitors (po)							
Dyker 1997	12	86.1 (16.6)	11	91.4 (16)	<b>↓ ↓ ↓</b>	1.22%	-5.3[-18.62,8.02]
Eveson 2007	17	90.8 (11.8)	18	88.6 (11.2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.13%	2.2[-5.43,9.83]
Lisk 1993	3	88.3 (3.5)	2	90.8 (4.9)	+ +	2.97%	-2.5[-10.39,5.39]
Potter 2009 lisinopril	57	83 (18)	30	90 (17)	<b>↓</b>	3.1%	-7[-14.67,0.67]
Subtotal ***	89		61			10.41%	-2.71[-6.94,1.52]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =2.94, df	=3(P=0.4	); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.25(P=0.21	)						
1.7.2 ARA (po)							
ACCESS 2003	173	87.2 (14.9)	166	89.8 (13)		8.96%	-2.6[-5.57,0.37]
Subtotal ***	173		166			8.96%	-2.6[-5.57,0.37]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.71(P=0.09	)						
1.7.2. Data bla skows (n.s.)							
1.7.3 Beta blockers (po) Barer 1988 atenolol	15	82 (13.2)	10	84.7 (16.8)		- 1.39%	2 74[ 15 11 0 62]
Barer 1988 propanolol	15	82 (13.2)	9	84.7 (16.8)		1.39%	-2.74[-15.11,9.63]
Barer 1988/50 mg	14 91	80.7 (10.5) 82.6 (14.8)	9 43	86.9 (17.5)		1.41% 4.37%	-4.03[-16.31,8.25] -4.29[-10.35,1.77]
Barer 1988/80 mg	91 87	87.2 (16)	43	86.9 (17.5) 86.9 (17.5)		4.37%	-4.29[-10.35,1.77]
Potter 2009 labetalol	56	87.2 (10)	43 29	90 (17)		4.21%	-3[-10.62,4.62]
Subtotal ***	263	87 (17)	134	90 (17)		5.15% <b>14.5%</b>	-3[-10.62,4.62] -2.46[-5.92,1]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.2, df=		12-006	134			14.5%	-2.46[-5.92,1]
Test for overall effect: Z=1.39(P=0.16		J,T -0%					
Test for overall effect: 2–1.39(P=0.10	)						
1.7.4 Calcium channel blockers (iv	)						
Ahmed 2000 1 mg	97	86.5 (15)	46	79.1 (12.9)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.87%	7.4[2.63,12.17]
Ahmed 2000 2 mg	86	74 (14.8)	46	79.1 (12.9)		5.75%	-5.11[-9.97,-0.25]
ASCLEPIOS 1990	118	84.7 (14.1)	111	88.3 (15.3)		7.35%	-3.62[-7.44,0.2]
Norris 1994	78	75.2 (10.5)	82	84.4 (14)	<b>←</b>	7.35%	-9.15[-12.97,-5.33]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	8	81.3 (12.5)	3	88.3 (7.6)	<b>↓</b>	1.42%	-7.08[-19.3,5.14]
Subtotal ***	387		288			27.74%	-3.24[-9.26,2.77]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =37.99; Chi <sup>2</sup> =29.	2, df=4(P	<0.0001); I <sup>2</sup> =86.3	\$%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.06(P=0.29	)						
			Favo	urs treatment	-10 -5 0 5	<sup>10</sup> Favours cor	ntrol

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	· ·	ontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
Study of subgroup	N	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl	weight	Random, 95% Cl
1.7.5 Calcium channel blockers (po	)						
Fagan 1988/120 mg	10	77.5 (10.4)	5	81.5 (8.2)	<b>←</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.14%	-4[-13.66,5.66]
Fagan 1988/240 mg	10	73 (9.7)	4	81.5 (8.2)	<b>↓</b>	2%	-8.5[-18.54,1.54]
Lisk 1993	5	91 (20.1)	2	90.8 (4.9)	<b>↓ →</b>	0.63%	0.17[-18.75,19.09]
Squire 1996	73	84.6 (15.3)	67	86.7 (12.1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6.19%	-2.14[-6.69,2.41]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	38	82.4 (13.6)	39	83.1 (12.7)		4.54%	-0.71[-6.59,5.17]
Subtotal ***	136		117			15.5%	-2.49[-5.64,0.66]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.92, df=	4(P=0.7	5); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.55(P=0.12)							
1.7.6 Insulin (iv)							
Walters 2006	13	80.2 (7)	12	79.2 (10.6)		3.48%	1[-6.1,8.1]
Subtotal ***	13		12			3.48%	1[-6.1,8.1]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.28(P=0.78)							
1.7.7 Magnesium (iv)							
IMAGES Pilot	26	79.5 (15.8)	25	88.1 (17.7)	<b>↓</b>	2.31%	-8.59[-17.8,0.62]
Lees 1995	30	78.8 (14.3)	29	80 (14.7)		3.28%	-1.26[-8.66,6.14]
Muir 1995	17	85.8 (14)	5	90.4 (9.9)	<b>↓</b>	1.72%	-4.64[-15.6,6.32]
Strand 1984	7	91.4 (13.1)	8	88.8 (16.6)	↓ ■ ↓	0.97%	2.68[-12.41,17.77]
Subtotal ***	80		67			8.28%	-3.53[-8.37,1.3]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =2.21, df=	3(P=0.5	3); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.43(P=0.15)							
1.7.8 Nitric oxide							
Bath 2000	16	90.5 (14.4)	21	86.7 (16.4)		2.04%	3.79[-6.14,13.72]
Rashid 2003 10 mg	20	81.7 (11.7)	10	84.4 (11.7)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.46%	-2.7[-11.58,6.18]
Rashid 2003 5 mg	20	82 (13.7)	10	84.4 (11.7)	<b>↓</b>	2.23%	-2.4[-11.81,7.01]
Rashid 2003 5/10 mg	20	82.9 (12.6)	10	84.4 (11.7)	<b>↓</b>	2.35%	-1.5[-10.61,7.61]
Willmot 2006	12	89 (11)	6	91 (16.9)		0.99%	-2[-16.91,12.91]
Subtotal ***	88		57			10.07%	-0.99[-5.43,3.46]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.15, df=	4(P=0.8	9); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.43(P=0.66)							
1.7.9 Other vasodilators							
Lisk 1993	2	93.5 (9.2)	2	90.8 (4.9)	<b>↓</b> ↓ ↓	1.05%	2.67[-11.78,17.12]
Subtotal ***	2		2			1.05%	2.67[-11.78,17.12]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.36(P=0.72)							
Total ***	1231		904		•	100%	-2.53[-4.07,-0.99]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =4.59; Chi <sup>2</sup> =41.52	, df=30(l	P=0.08); I <sup>2</sup> =27.75	%				
Test for overall effect: Z=3.22(P=0)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =2	01 46-1	(D-0.04) 12-00/					

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

## Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 8 Diastolic blood pressure, late.

		eatment	, c	ontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% CI		Random, 95% Cl
1.8.1 ACE inhibitors (po)							
Dyker 1997	12	88.2 (13.9)	12	89.9 (17.1)		0.9%	-1.69[-14.15,10.77]
Lisk 1993	3	88.3 (7.6)	2	92.2 (8)		0.73%	-3.84[-17.91,10.23]
Subtotal ***	15		14	_		1.63%	-2.64[-11.96,6.69]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.05, c	df=1(P=0.8	2); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.55(P=0.5	8)						
1.8.2 Beta blockers (po)							
Barer 1988 atenolol	18	77.6 (11.3)	10	81.1 (14.4)		1.23%	-3.44[-13.78,6.9]
Barer 1988 propanolol	14	80.7 (16.5)	9	81.1 (14.4)		0.86%	-0.34[-13.11,12.43]
Barer 1988/50 mg	95	79.6 (13.6)	47	86.1 (15.2)		3.19%	-6.44[-11.58,-1.3]
Barer 1988/80 mg	97	82.7 (13.9)	48	86.1 (15.2)	+	3.21%	-3.41[-8.53,1.71]
Subtotal ***	224		114			8.49%	-4.46[-7.77,-1.15]
Heterogeneity: Tau²=0; Chi²=1.17, c	df=3(P=0.7	6); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.64(P=0.0	1)						
1.8.3 Calcium channel blockers (i	v)						
ASCLEPIOS 1990	107	81 (11.8)	97	83.4 (13.5)		4.44%	-2.39[-5.89,1.11]
Limburg 1990	10	86.1 (18.5)	6	84.2 (13.6)	•	0.59%	1.93[-13.88,17.74]
Norris 1994	83	71.4 (10.3)	75	83.3 (12.4)	-	4.37%	-11.88[-15.46,-8.3]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	8	83.8 (9.2)	3	86.7 (15.3)		0.44%	-2.92[-21.34,15.5]
Subtotal ***	208		181	-		9.84%	-5.35[-12.76,2.06]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =35.23; Chi <sup>2</sup> =15	5.16, df=3(	P=0); I <sup>2</sup> =80.22%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.42(P=0.1	.6)						
1.8.4 Calcium channel blockers (j	00)						
Bogousslavsky 1990	24	81 (11)	28	80 (11)		2.69%	1[-5,7]
German-Austrian 120mg	239	80.6 (11)	243	81.7 (11.4)	+	5.74%	-1.1[-3.1,0.9]
Kaste 1994/120 mg	160	84.3 (14.8)	161	89.2 (14.2)	<b>+</b>	4.71%	-4.9[-8.08,-1.72]
Lamsudin 1997	72	95.8 (14.9)	78	96.3 (15.8)		3.34%	-0.44[-5.36,4.48]
Lisk 1993	5	82 (13)	2	92.2 (8)		0.58%	-10.17[-26.1,5.76]
Lowe 1993	54	85.1 (13.3)	54	81.3 (11.3)	++	- 3.52%	3.81[-0.85,8.47]
Martinez-Vila 1990	58	78.1 (11.9)	65	79.9 (17.2)	+	3.17%	-1.8[-6.98,3.38]
Paci 1989/120 mg	19	82.8 (10)	22	85.2 (9.8)		2.64%	-2.4[-8.48,3.68]
Squire 1996	68	81.4 (12.7)	55	82.5 (11.3)	+	3.84%	-1.18[-5.41,3.05]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	38	74.3 (10.3)	39	83.7 (16.4)		2.64%	-9.38[-15.47,-3.29]
VENUS 1995	215	84.2 (12.8)	213	85.8 (13.5)	+	5.32%	-1.65[-4.15,0.85]
Wimalarat 1994/120mg	69	83.9 (5.4)	33	86.8 (8.8)	+	4.65%	-2.95[-6.21,0.31]
Wimalarat 1994/240mg	69	83.7 (6.1)	34	86.8 (8.8)	+	4.62%	-3.15[-6.44,0.14]
Subtotal ***	1090		1027		◆	47.46%	-2.05[-3.45,-0.65]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =2.19; Chi <sup>2</sup> =18.	98, df=12(	P=0.09); I <sup>2</sup> =36.78	%				
Test for overall effect: Z=2.87(P=0)							
1.8.5 Insulin (iv)							
Walters 2006	13	78.8 (4.4)	12	81 (8.5)		3.05%	-2.2[-7.57,3.17]
Subtotal ***	13		12			3.05%	-2.2[-7.57,3.17]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	c	ontrol		Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Random, 95% Cl		Random, 95% Cl
1.8.6 Magnesium (iv)		· · · · .						· · ·
IMAGES Pilot	26	72.9 (10.1)	25	82.1 (10.9)	4		2.81%	-9.18[-14.96,-3.4
Lees 1995	27	79.6 (17.2)	25	76.9 (15.8)	•		1.54%	2.71[-6.27,11.69
Muir 1995	15	82.2 (15.5)	4	89.8 (15.1)	<b>↓</b>		- 0.53%	-7.55[-24.3,9.
Strand 1984	13	84.6 (15.9)	12	80.8 (10.2)	•		1.23%	3.79[-6.59,14.1]
Subtotal ***	81	04.0 (13.5)	66	00.0 (10.2)			6.11%	-2.61[-10.21,
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =34.53; Chi <sup>2</sup> =7.5		-0 06). 12-60 220					0.1170	-2.01[-10.21,
Test for overall effect: Z=0.67(P=0.5)		-0.00),1 -00.325	/0					
Test for overall effect: 2–0.67(P–0.5)								
1.8.7 Naftidrofuryl								
PRISTINE	310	87.8 (12.6)	307	86 (12.6)		<b></b>	5.75%	1.84[-0.15,3.8
Steiner 1986	44	82.7 (10.4)	37	86.9 (15.6)	4		2.75%	-4.16[-10.04,1.7
Subtotal ***	354	82.7 (10.4)	344	00.9 (13.0)			<b>8.5%</b>	
		-0.001 12-72 100					8.5%	-0.5[-6.23,5.2
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =12.99; Chi <sup>2</sup> =3.5		=0.06); 1==72.189	/0					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.17(P=0.8	()							
1.8.8 Nitric oxide								
Subtotal ***	0		0					Not estimab
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	v		v					
Test for overall effect: Not applicable	e							
	-							
1.8.9 Other vasodilators								
Lisk 1993	2	90 (0)	2	92.2 (8)				Not estimab
Subtotal ***	2		2					Not estimab
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicabl	e							
1.8.10 Piracetam								
Herrschaft 1988	23	94.5 (12.4)	17	95.2 (10.2)			2.2%	-0.7[-7.71,6.3
PASS 1995	395	82.5 (13.3)	418	83.2 (13.4)		-+	5.87%	-0.69[-2.53,1.1
Subtotal ***	418		435			-	8.07%	-0.69[-2.47,1.0
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0, df=1	(P=1); I <sup>2</sup> =0	0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.76(P=0.4	5)							
1.8.11 Prostacyclin (iv)								
Hsu 1987	42	79 (10.9)	36	83.4 (13.2)			3.01%	-4.4[-9.83,1.0
Huczynski 1988	15	87 (11.2)	15	86.5 (17.3)			1.22%	0.53[-9.9,10.9
Pokrupa 1986	11	71.8 (11.7)	12	77.5 (10.6)	-		1.5%	-5.68[-14.81,3.4
Subtotal ***	68		63				5.73%	-3.86[-8.12,0.
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.87, d	f=2(P=0.6	5); I <sup>2</sup> =0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.78(P=0.08	3)							
1 0 10 This is struct								
1.8.12 Thiazide diuretics (po)	10	70 /15	10	02 (10)			1 110/	F[ 10
Eames 2005	18	78 (15)	19	83 (19)			1.11%	-5[-16
Subtotal ***	18		19				1.11%	-5[-16,
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	-							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.89(P=0.3	()							
Total ***	2491		2277			•	100%	-2.63[-3.9,-1.3
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =6.29; Chi <sup>2</sup> =79.2		P<0.0001); l²=55				-		····, -··, -··
Test for overall effect: Z=4.05(P<0.00		.,						
		1 (P=0), I <sup>2</sup> =71.77						

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

### Analysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 9 Heart rate, early.

	_		_				
Study or subgroup		eatment Mean(SD)		iontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
1.9.1 ACE inhibitors (po)	N	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl		Random, 95% Cl
	12	70.0 (7)	11	72 7 (11 2)		5.26%	
Dyker 1997 Eveson 2007	12	70.9 (7)	11 18	72.7 (11.3)		3.74%	-1.86[-9.62,5.9]
Lisk 1993	2	73.9 (13.4)	18			0.15%	-0.7[-11.32,9.92]
Subtotal ***	2 31	94 (43.8)	2 31	72.5 (19.4)		•	21.5[-44.92,87.92]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.48		20). 12-00%	31			9.16%	-1.25[-7.49,4.99]
Test for overall effect: Z=0.39(P=0		8),1 -070					
	.09)						
1.9.2 Beta blockers (po)							
Barer 1988 atenolol	14	68.6 (10.7)	10	86.9 (27.8)		1.72%	-18.25[-36.36,-0.14]
Barer 1988 propanolol	14	74.9 (12.9)	9	86.9 (27.8)		1.54%	-11.96[-31.31,7.39]
Barer 1988/50 mg	88	73.4 (13.2)	41	81.1 (10.5)	•	7.81%	-7.67[-11.91,-3.43]
Barer 1988/80 mg	83	75.8 (10.9)	42	81.1 (10.5) —	<b>+</b>	8.03%	-5.25[-9.2,-1.3]
Subtotal ***	199		102			19.1%	-6.78[-9.61,-3.96]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =2.56	6, df=3(P=0.4	46); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=4.7(P<0.	0001)						
1.9.3 Calcium channel blockers	; (iv)						
ASCLEPIOS 1990	117	80.6 (13.9)	108	79.5 (13.4)		8.31%	1.12[-2.46,4.7]
Norris 1994	70	76.7 (12)	73	76.6 (17)		7.39%	0.05[-4.75,4.85]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	8	92 (13.5)	3	88 (6.9)		3.12%	4[-8.22,16.22]
Subtotal ***	195	52 (15.5)	184	00 (0.5)		18.81%	0.91[-1.88,3.7]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.38		$(2) \cdot 1^2 - 00^6$	104			10.0170	0.31[-1.00,3.1]
Test for overall effect: Z=0.64(P=0		5),1 -0 /0					
1.0.4 Coloium channel blackan	(						
1.9.4 Calcium channel blockers		07 (24)	2	70 5 (10.4)		0.000/	14 5[ 20 20 57 20]
Lisk 1993	2	87 (24)	2	72.5 (19.4)		0.36%	14.5[-28.28,57.28]
Squire 1996	70	79.2 (14.3)	66	80.7 (11.8)		7.69%	-1.53[-5.93,2.87]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	38	82.8 (10.4)	39	86.3 (13.7) —		6.91%	-3.42[-8.84,2]
Subtotal ***	110		107			14.96%	-2.17[-5.58,1.23]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.87		5);1²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.25(P=0	).21)						
1.9.5 Magnesium (iv)							
IMAGES Pilot	26	77.6 (19.2)	25	87.5 (15.9)		4.19%	-9.82[-19.49,-0.15]
Lees 1995	30	75.4 (14)	29	81.7 (17.2)		5.1%	-6.29[-14.32,1.74]
Muir 1995	17	75.3 (14.4)	5	78.8 (85.7)		0.12%	-3.51[-78.96,71.94]
Strand 1984	6	86.2 (15.2)	8	74.9 (10.7)		2.51%	11.29[-2.93,25.51]
Subtotal ***	79		67			11.91%	-3.19[-12.6,6.21]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =41.8; Chi <sup>2</sup> =6	5.09, df=3(P=	:0.11); I <sup>2</sup> =50.7%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.66(P=0	).51)						
1.9.6 Nitric oxide							
Bath 2000	16	82.7 (12.1)	21	70.1 (12)		5.21%	12.59[4.74,20.44]
Rashid 2003 10 mg	20	78.8 (10.5)	10	75.5 (7.7)		6.02%	3.3[-3.33,9.93]
Rashid 2003 5 mg	20	80.6 (11.9)	10	75.5 (7.7)		5.71%	5.1[-1.97,12.17]
Rashid 2003 5/10 mg	20	80.8 (11.7)	10	75.5 (7.7)		5.76%	5.3[-1.71,12.31]
	20	5515 (111)/	10			<b>F</b> 3.1070	0.0[ 1.11,12.01]

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	с	ontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl	-	Random, 95% Cl
Willmot 2006	12	72.8 (11.1)	6	65.5 (12.2)	+	3.35%	7.33[-4.25,18.91]
Subtotal ***	88		57			26.05%	6.27[2.87,9.66]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =3.47, df	=4(P=0.4	8); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=3.62(P=0)							
1.9.7 Other vasodilators							
Lisk 1993	1	64 (0)	4	72.5 (19.4)			Not estimable
Subtotal ***	1		4				Not estimable
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Not applicable							
Total ***	703		552		-	100%	-0.45[-3.06,2.17]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =18.03; Chi <sup>2</sup> =51.4	2, df=21	(P=0); I <sup>2</sup> =59.16%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.33(P=0.74)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =3	7.56, df=	1 (P<0.0001), I <sup>2</sup> =	86.69%				
			Favor	urs treatment -10	-5 0 5	<sup>10</sup> Favours cor	trol

### Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure lowering therapy, Outcome 10 Heart rate, late.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	с	ontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl		Random, 95% CI
1.10.1 ACE inhibitors (po)							
Dyker 1997	12	68 (9)	12	71.8 (10.7)	+	2.86%	-3.81[-11.72,4.1]
Lisk 1993	2	64 (8.5)	5	88.2 (20.1)	◀──── │	0.6%	-24.2[-45.36,-3.04]
Subtotal ***	14		17			3.46%	-11.55[-30.94,7.85]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =141.43; Chi <sup>2</sup> =3.2	13, df=1(	P=0.08); I <sup>2</sup> =68.04	%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.17(P=0.24)	)						
1.10.2 Beta blockers (po)							
Barer 1988 atenolol	18	67.7 (8.2)	10	81.5 (17.8)		1.66%	-13.8[-25.48,-2.12]
Barer 1988 propanolol	14	68.3 (8.2)	9	81.5 (17.8)		1.51%	-13.18[-25.59,-0.77]
Barer 1988/50 mg	95	69.9 (14)	49	80.1 (11)		5.13%	-10.18[-14.36,-6]
Barer 1988/80 mg	97	72.3 (11.6)	49	80.1 (11)		5.39%	-7.73[-11.58,-3.88]
Subtotal ***	224		117			13.69%	-9.32[-12,-6.63]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.76, df	=3(P=0.6	2); I <sup>2</sup> =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=6.8(P<0.000	1)						
1.10.3 Calcium channel blockers (in	v)						
ASCLEPIOS 1990	104	79.8 (14.2)	95	76.3 (12.4)	+	5.51%	3.5[-0.19,7.19]
Limburg 1990	10	84.6 (8.8)	7	77.1 (13.2)		1.77%	7.46[-3.73,18.65]
Norris 1994	73	73.6 (12.1)	65	76.7 (13.3)	+	5.07%	-3.02[-7.28,1.24]
Uzuner 1995/180 mg	8	83.8 (3.8)	3	91.3 (7.6)	<b>↓</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.44%	-7.58[-16.54,1.38]
Subtotal ***	195		170			14.8%	-0.13[-5.59,5.32]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =19.37; Chi <sup>2</sup> =9.7	7, df=3(P	=0.02); l <sup>2</sup> =69.3%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.05(P=0.96)	)						
1.10.4 Calcium channel blockers (p	00)						
Kaste 1994/120 mg	160	73 (11.6)	163	77 (12.7)		6.29%	-4[-6.65,-1.35]
			Favo	urs treatment	-10 -5 0 5	<sup>10</sup> Favours cor	ntrol

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Lisk 1993 3 70-7(13) 2 88.2 (20.1) Lisk 1993 5 75 (12.1) 6 75 (5 (12.5) 5 0.1% Parl 1989(120 mg 19 73 9(7.4) 22 73.9 (10.4) 7 75 (12.7) Wandrace 2014 (1994) 200 mg 38 79.1 (10.1) 39 88.3 (10.7) VENUS 1995 6 6 76.3 (12.8) 54 77.5 (12.7) VENUS 1995 212 75.8 (12.9) 208 76.7 (14.6) Winadrace 1994/200 mg 69 78.5 (12.3) 38 82.5 (8) Winadrace 1994/200 mg 69 78.5 (12.3) 34 82 (5.8) Winadrace 1994/200 mg 69 78.5 (12.5) 34 82 (5.8) Winadrace 1994/200 mg 69 78.4 (12.5) 34 82 (5.8) Winadrace 1994/200 mg 69 78.4 (12.5) 34 82 (5.8) Winadrace 1994/200 mg 69 78.4 (13.6) 14 87.4 (14.7) Test for overall effect: $2-5.15(P=0.000)$ 1.10.5 Magnetium (iv) 1.10.5 Mag	udy or subgroup 7 N	reatment Mean(SD)	C N	Control Mean(SD)		Mean Difference Random, 95% Cl	Weight	Mean Difference Random, 95% Cl
Lave 1993 54 79.6 (11.7) 54 80.4 (0.3) 514% 501% 501% 501% 501% 501% 501% 501% 501					4		0.28%	-17.53[-49,13.9
Martinez-Vila 1990 58 75.9 (22.1) 65 79.5 (12.5) 5.01% 3.7 Fea 1989/120 mg 19 73.9 (7.4) 22 75.9 (10.8) 4.75 (12.7) 4.83% 4.22% 4.							•	-0.84[-5,3.3
Pack 1989/120 mg 19 73.9 (7.4) 22 73.9 (10.8) 4.12% 4.33% 4.32% 4.32% 4.33% 4.32% 4.33% 4.32% 4.33%		· · ·				<b>_</b>		-3.62[-7.96,0.7
Squire 1996 66 76.3 (12.8) 54 77.5 (12.7) Juane 1995/180 mg 38 79.1 (10.1) 39 83.3 (10.7) 4.83% 4.9% 4.9% 4.99% 4.43% 5.000 4.99% 5.000 12 75.6 (12.5) 22 75.6 (12.5) 22 75.6 (12.5) 4.99% 5.000 12 75.6 (12.5) 23 82 (5.8) 4.97% 5.2.7 4.83% 5.52% 3.3 82 (5.8) 4.97% 5.2.7 4.83% 5.52% 3.3 82 (5.8) 4.97% 5.2.7 4.97% 5.2.7 4.98% 5.2.						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	. ,						0[-5.61,5.6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								-1.23[-5.81,3.3
Wimalarat 1994/120mg       69       78.5 (5.2)       33       82 (5.8)       65.2%       -3         Wimalarat 1994/240mg       69       78.4 (5.9)       34       82 (5.8)       64.4%       -3         Wimalarat 1994/240mg       69       78.4 (5.9)       34       82 (5.8)       64.4%       -3         Wimalarat 1994/240mg       69       78.4 (5.9)       34       82 (5.8)       64.4%       -3         Wimalarat 1994/240mg       26       72.5 (15.2)       25       85.5 (17.5)       -4       -49.75%       -2.1%         MAGES Ploit       26       72.5 (15.2)       25       85.5 (17.5)       -4       -44.5%       -2.42%         Maria 1995       15       76.6 (11.6)       4       80.5 (11.9)       -4.4%       -4.3         Statad 1994       12       78.4 (18.7)       12       76.7 (10.9)       -4.3       -4.3         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =20.55, Ch <sup>2</sup> =5.30       -4.3       38       -4.3       -4.3       -4.3         Statad 1984       12       78.4 (18.7)       12       76.7 (10.9)       -4.3       -4.3         Heterogeneity: Not applicable       -4.3       38       -4.3       -4.3       -4.3         Heterogeneity: Not applicabl	-			. ,				-4.15[-8.79,0.4
Wimalarat 194/240mg 69 78.4 (5.9) 34 82 (5.8) $(4.76)$		· · ·						-0.86[-3.49,1.7
Subtotal *** 748 674 49,75% -2.1 Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Ch <sup>2</sup> =7,17, df=0[P=0,62]; l <sup>2</sup> =0% Test for overall effect: Z=5.15(P=0.001) 1.10.5 Magnetium (iv) MAGES Pilot 26 72.5 (15.2) 25 85.5 (17.5) L10.7 Nitri 205 15 76.6 (11.6) 4 80.5 (11) Mult 1395 15 76.6 (11.6) 4 80.5 (11) Statu 1394 12 78.4 (18.7) 12 76.7 (10.9) Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =20.55; Ch <sup>2</sup> =5.34, df=3(P=0.15); l <sup>2</sup> =43.79% Test for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21) 1.10.6 Maftidrofuryl Steiner 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) 1.10.7 Nitric oxide Subtotal *** 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) 1.10.7 Nitric oxide Subtotal *** 0 0 0 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12); l <sup>1</sup> =52.54% Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12); l <sup>1</sup> =52.54% Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12); l <sup>1</sup> =52.54%	-							-3.45[-5.77,-1.1
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =7, 17, df=9(P=0.62); l <sup>2</sup> =9% Test for overall effect: Z=5.15(P=0.001) <b>1.10.5 Magnesium (iv)</b> MAGES Plot 26 72.5 (15.2) 25 85.5 (17.5) Less 1995 27 74.8 (15.2) 25 85.5 (17.5) Less 1995 27 74.8 (15.0) 4 80.5 (11) Stand 1984 12 78.4 (18.7) 12 76.7 (10.9) <b>4.105 Nafidrofuryl</b> Subtral <sup>1-10</sup> 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) <b>1.10.6 Nafidrofuryl</b> Steiner 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) <b>1.10.6 Nafidrofuryl</b> Steiner 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) <b>1.10.6 Nafidrofuryl</b> Steiner 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) <b>1.10.7 Nitric oxide</b> Subtral <sup>1-10</sup> 0 0 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8) <b>1.10.8 Other vasodilators</b> Lick 1993 1 90 (0) 2 88.2 (20.1) Subtral <sup>1-10</sup> 1 2 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Second Se	-			82 (5.8)				-3.6[-5.99,-1.2
Test for overall effect: 2=5.15(P=0.0001) <b>1.0.5 Magnesium (iv)</b> MAGES Pilot 26 72.5 (15.2) 25 85.5 (17.5) Lees 1995 27 74.8 (15.2) 24 75.4 (13.8) Lees 1995 15 76.6 (11.6) 4 80.5 (11) Started 1584 12 78.4 (18.7) 12 76.7 (10.9) <b>5.154051 ***</b> 80 <b>55</b> <b>5.1016 ***</b> 80 <b>55</b> <b>5.1017 ***</b> 80 <b>5</b> <b>5.1017 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.102 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.102 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.103 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.104 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.103 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.104 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.105 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.107 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.107 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.107 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.108 *** 1 2</b> <b>1.109 *** 1 1 3 3 1 1 3 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 </b>			674			-	49.75%	-2.79[-3.86,-1.7
MAGES Pilot 26 72.5 (15.2) 25 85.5 (17.5) 24 75.4 (13.8) 24 75.4 (13.8) 24 75.4 (13.8) 24 75.4 (13.8) 25 75.4		.62); 1~=0%						
Line 1995 27 74.8 (15.2) 24 75.4 (13.8) 40 ir 1995 15 76.6 (11.6) 4 80.5 (11) 51 rand 1984 12 78.4 (18.7) 12 76.7 (10.9) 41 eterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =20.55, Ch <sup>2</sup> =5.34, df=3(P=0.15); l <sup>2</sup> =43.79% Fest for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21) Line 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) Line 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) Subtotal *** 0 0 0 4.20% 42 76.8 (11.5) 36 74.6 (12.5) 4.20% 42 76.8 (11.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5 (12.5) 76 74.5	10.5 Magnesium (iv)							
Mulr 1995 15 76.6 (11.6) 4 80.5 (11 Strand 1984 12 78.4 (18.7) 12 76.7 (10.9) $+$ 15.4% 1.7 Subtati <sup>+++</sup> 80 65 Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>+</sup> =20.55; Chi <sup>+</sup> =5.34, df=3(P=0.15); l <sup>+</sup> =43.79% Test for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21) 1.10.6 Naftidrofuryl Steiner 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) 3.48% 0. Subtati <sup>+++</sup> 43 38 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8) 1.10.7 Nitric oxide Subtati <sup>++++</sup> 0 0 0 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Tau <sup>+</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>+</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); l <sup>+</sup> =52.54% Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)	AGES Pilot 26	72.5 (15.2)	25	85.5 (17.5)	←		2.42%	-13[-22,-
Strand 1984 12 78.4 (18.7) 12 76.7 (10.9) Subtotal *** 80 65 Fielderogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =20.55; Chi <sup>2</sup> =5.34, df=3(P=0.15; l <sup>2</sup> =43.79% Test for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21) 1.10.6 Naftidrofuryl Steiner 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) 3.48% 0 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8) 1.10.7 Nitric oxide Subtotal *** 0 0 0 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Tot applicable Test for overall effect: Tat=38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =52.54% Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)	es 1995 27	74.8 (15.2)	24	75.4 (13.8)			2.84%	-0.64[-8.6,7.3
Strand 1984 12 78.4 (18.7) 12 76.7 (10.9) Subtotal *** 80 65 Feet for overall effect: Z=0.55; Ch <sup>2</sup> =5.34, df=3(P=0.15); l <sup>2</sup> =43.79% Feet for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21) L10.6 Naftidrofuryl Steiner 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) L10.6 Naftidrofuryl Steiner 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) L10.7 Nitric oxide Subtotal *** 43 38 L10.7 Nitric oxide Subtotal *** 0 0 0 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8) L10.7 Nitric oxide Subtotal *** 1 2 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12) Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)	uir 1995 15		4		←		- 1.54%	-3.9[-16.17,8.3
Subtatal****       80       65         +eterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =20.55; Ch <sup>2</sup> =5.34, df=3(P=0.15); l <sup>2</sup> =43.79%         rest for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21)         L1.0.6 Naftidrofuryl         Steiner 1986       43         43       81.7 (14.1)         38         4eterogeneity: Not applicable         rest for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8)         L1.0.7 Nitric oxide         Subtotal****       0         0       0         +eterogeneity: Not applicable         rest for overall effect: Not applicable         subtotal****         rest fo	rand 1984 12	78.4 (18.7)	12	76.7 (10.9)	È-		1.54%	1.75[-10.51,14.0
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =20.55; Chi <sup>2</sup> =5.34, df=3(P=0.15); l <sup>2</sup> =43.79%         Fest for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21)         L10.6 Naftidrofuryl         Subtotal ***       43         43       38         Heterogeneity: Not applicable         Fest for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8)         L10.7 Nitric oxide         Subtotal ***       0         0       0         Heterogeneity: Not applicable         Fest for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8)         L10.7 Nitric oxide         Subtotal ***       0         1       2         Heterogeneity: Not applicable         Fest for overall effect: Not applicable         L10.3 Other vasodilators         Lisk 1993       1       90 (0)       2       88.2 (20.1)         Subtotal ***       1       2       42.8%       4.28%         L10.9 Prostacyclin (iv)       41.139       36       74.6 (12.5)       4.28%       1.13%       2.1         Heterogeneity: Not applicable       5.9.1.3 (12.6)       15       89.2 (26.4)       4.28%       1.13%       2.1         Ohrwap 1986       11       89.3 (24.3)       12       68.6 (9.4)       1.13%       2.1         Subtotal ***       68				· · ·			•	-4.32[-11.07,2.4
Test for overall effect: $2-1.26(P=0.21)$ 1.10.6 Naftidrofuryl Steiner 1986 43 81.7 (14.1) 38 80.8 (16.2) 3.48% 0. 3.48% 0. 3.48% 0. 3.48% 0. 3.48% 0. 3.48% 0. 3.48% 0. 3.48% 0. 3.48% 0. 3.48% 0. 1.10.7 Nitric oxide Subtotal *** 0 0 0 teterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Not applicable 1.10.9 Prostacyclin (iv) 4.10.9 Prostacyclin (iv) 4.28% 15 91.3 (12.6) 15 89.2 (26.4) 4.28% 1.13% 2.1 9 Okrupa 1986 11 89.3 (24.3) 12 68.6 (9.4) 4.28% 6.48% 7.6 4.48% 7.6 4.48% 7.6 4.48% 7.6 4.48% 7.6	eterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =20.55; Chi <sup>2</sup> =5.34, df=3	(P=0.15); I <sup>2</sup> =43.799	%					- /
Steiner 1986       43       81.7 (14.1)       38       80.8 (16.2)       3.48%       0.         Subtotal ***       43       38       38       3.48%       0.         Heterogeneity: Not applicable       1       3.48%       0.         I.10.7 Nitric oxide       5.       5.       5.       3.48%       0.         Subtotal ***       0       0       0       0       0       0         Heterogeneity: Not applicable       1       90 (0)       2       88.2 (20.1)       5.       5.         Subtotal ***       1       2       0		, ,						
Subtotal ***       43       38       3.48%       0.         Heterogeneity: Not applicable       5.00 0       0	10.6 Naftidrofuryl							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable         Fest for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8)         L.10.7 Nitric oxide         Subtotal ***       0       0         Heterogeneity: Not applicable         Fest for overall effect: Not applicable         L.10.8 Other vasodilators         .isk 1993       1       90 (0)       2       88.2 (20.1)         Subtotal ***       1       2         Heterogeneity: Not applicable	einer 1986 43	81.7 (14.1)	38	80.8 (16.2)			- 3.48%	0.88[-5.78,7.5
Interview of the constraint of the	btotal *** 43		38				3.48%	0.88[-5.78,7.5
1.10.7 Nitric oxide         Subtotal ****       0       0         Heterogeneity: Not applicable         Test for overall effect: Not applicable         1.10.8 Other vasodilators         Lisk 1993       1       90 (0)       2       88.2 (20.1)         Subtotal ***       1       2         Heterogeneity: Not applicable         Test for overall effect: Not applicable         Heterogeneity: Not applicable         Test for overall effect: Not applicable         Huzynski 1988       15       91.3 (12.6)       15       89.2 (26.4)         Huzynski 1988       15       91.3 (12.6)       15       89.2 (26.4)         Pokrupa 1986       11       89.3 (24.3)       12       68.6 (9.4)         Subtotal ***       68       63       63       64.8%       7.6         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =52.54%       54.8%       7.6         Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)       90.12       90.12       90.12       90.12	eterogeneity: Not applicable							
Subtotal ***       0       0         Heterogeneity: Not applicable       0       0         1.0.8 Other vasodilators       0       2       88.2 (20.1)         Subtotal ***       1       2         Heterogeneity: Not applicable       1       90 (0)       2       88.2 (20.1)         Subtotal ***       1       2       2       2         Heterogeneity: Not applicable       1       2       2         1.0.9 Prostacyclin (iv)       1       36       74.6 (12.5)       4.28%         Huzzynski 1988       15       91.3 (12.6)       15       89.2 (26.4)       4.28%         Pokrupa 1986       11       89.3 (24.3)       12       68.6 (9.4)       1.07%       2         Subtotal ***       68       63       63       6.48%       7.6         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); l <sup>2</sup> =52.54%       6.48%       7.6         Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)       5.50%       5.50%       5.50%       5.50%	st for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable <b>1.10.8 Other vasodilators</b> Lisk 1993 1 90 (0) 2 88.2 (20.1) <b>Subtotal *** 1 2</b> Heterogeneity: Not applicable <b>1.10.9 Prostacyclin (iv)</b> Hsu 1987 42 78.8 (11.5) 36 74.6 (12.5) Huczynski 1988 15 91.3 (12.6) 15 89.2 (26.4) Huczynski 1986 11 89.3 (24.3) 12 68.6 (9.4) <b>5ubtotal *** 68 63</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =52.54% Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)	10.7 Nitric oxide							
Test for overall effect: Not applicable 1.10.8 Other vasodilators Lisk 1993 1 90 (0) 2 88.2 (20.1) Subtotal *** 1 2 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Not applicable 1.10.9 Prostacyclin (iv) Hsu 1987 42 78.8 (11.5) 36 74.6 (12.5) Huczynski 1988 15 91.3 (12.6) 15 89.2 (26.4) Hokrupa 1986 11 89.3 (24.3) 12 68.6 (9.4) Subtotal *** 68 63 63 Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =52.54% Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)	btotal *** 0	1	0					Not estimab
1.10.8 Other vasodilators         Lisk 1993       1       90 (0)       2       88.2 (20.1)         Subtotal ***       1       2         Heterogeneity: Not applicable         Test for overall effect: Not applicable         1.10.9 Prostacyclin (iv)         Hsu 1987       42       78.8 (11.5)       36       74.6 (12.5)         Huczynski 1988       15       91.3 (12.6)       15       89.2 (26.4)         Pokrupa 1986       11       89.3 (24.3)       12       68.6 (9.4)         Subtotal ***       68       63       6.48%       7.6         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); l <sup>2</sup> =52.54%       6.48%       7.6	terogeneity: Not applicable							
Lisk 1993 1 90 (0) 2 88.2 (20.1) Subtotal *** 1 2 Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Not applicable 1.10.9 Prostacyclin (iv) Hsu 1987 42 78.8 (11.5) 36 74.6 (12.5) Huczynski 1988 15 91.3 (12.6) 15 89.2 (26.4) Pokrupa 1986 11 89.3 (24.3) 12 68.6 (9.4) Subtotal *** 68 63 Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =52.54% Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)	st for overall effect: Not applicable							
Subtotal ***     1     2       Heterogeneity: Not applicable     Image: Constraint of the system of the								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable <b>1.10.9 Prostacyclin (iv)</b> Hsu 1987 42 78.8 (11.5) 36 74.6 (12.5) Huczynski 1988 15 91.3 (12.6) 15 89.2 (26.4) Pokrupa 1986 11 89.3 (24.3) 12 68.6 (9.4) <b>5ubtotal *** 68 63</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =52.54% Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)		90 (0)	2	88.2 (20.1)				Not estimab
Test for overall effect: Not applicable <b>1.10.9 Prostacyclin (iv)</b> Hsu 1987       42       78.8 (11.5)       36       74.6 (12.5)         Huczynski 1988       15       91.3 (12.6)       15       89.2 (26.4)         Pokrupa 1986       11       89.3 (24.3)       12       68.6 (9.4)         Subtotal ***       68       63       6.48%       7.6         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); l <sup>2</sup> =52.54%       Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)       4.28%	btotal *** 1		2					Not estimab
1.10.9 Prostacyclin (iv)         Hsu 1987       42       78.8 (11.5)       36       74.6 (12.5)         Huczynski 1988       15       91.3 (12.6)       15       89.2 (26.4)         Pokrupa 1986       11       89.3 (24.3)       12       68.6 (9.4)         Subtotal ***       68       63       6.48%       7.6         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =52.54%       4.28%       6.48%       7.6								
Hsu 1987       42       78.8 (11.5)       36       74.6 (12.5)       4.28%         Huczynski 1988       15       91.3 (12.6)       15       89.2 (26.4)       1.13%       2.1         Pokrupa 1986       11       89.3 (24.3)       12       68.6 (9.4)       1.07%       2         Subtotal ***       68       63       6.48%       7.6         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); l <sup>2</sup> =52.54%       Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)       4.28%	st for overall effect: Not applicable							
Huczynski 1988       15       91.3 (12.6)       15       89.2 (26.4)       1.13%       2.1         Pokrupa 1986       11       89.3 (24.3)       12       68.6 (9.4)       1.07%       2         Subtotal ***       68       63       6.48%       7.6         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); l <sup>2</sup> =52.54%       Fest for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)       10.7%       2								
Pokrupa 1986       11       89.3 (24.3)       12       68.6 (9.4)       1.07%       2         Subtotal ***       68       63       6.48%       7.6         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =52.54%       6.48%       7.6         Fest for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)       6.48%       7.6						++	•	4.2[-1.16,9.5
Subtotal ***         68         63         6.48%         7.6           Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =52.54%         Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)         6.48%         7.6	-				•		í.	2.13[-12.66,16.9
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =38.42; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.21, df=2(P=0.12); l <sup>2</sup> =52.54% Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)		· · ·		68.6 (9.4)				20.69[5.4,35.9
Test for overall effect: Z=1.57(P=0.12)							6.48%	7.61[-1.92,17.1
Total *** 1373 1146 <b>•</b> 100% -2.8		(P=0.12); I <sup>2</sup> =52.549	%					
	ntal *** 1273		1146				100%	-2.84[-4.55,-1.1
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =10.26; Chi <sup>2</sup> =74.04, df=27(P<0.0001); I <sup>2</sup> =63.54%						-	10070	-2.07[-4.33,-1.1
Test for overall effect: $Z=3.26$ (P=0)		21 (F ~0.0001), F =0	J.J <del>-1</del> 70					
Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2=42.66$ , df=1 (P<0.0001), I <sup>2</sup> =85.94%		H=1 (D=0 0001) 12	-05.040/					

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

### Comparison 2. Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Early death (≤ 1 month)	1	15	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
1.1 DCLHb (iv)	0	0	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
1.2 Phenylephrine (iv)	1	15	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
2 Death at end of trial	4	100	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.96 [0.82, 10.72]
2.1 DCLHb (iv)	3	85	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.96 [0.82, 10.72]
2.2 Phenylephrine (iv)	1	15	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3 Death or disability at end of trial	3	85	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	5.41 [1.87, 15.64]
3.1 DCLHb (iv)	3	85	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	5.41 [1.87, 15.64]
3.2 Phenylephrine (iv)	0	0	Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4 Systolic blood pressure, early	4	100	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	15.82 [5.10, 26.54]
4.1 DCLHb (iv)	3	85	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	15.29 [3.99, 26.58]
4.2 Phenylephrine (iv)	1	15	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	20.60 [-13.31, 54.51]
5 Systolic blood pressure, late	3	85	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	15.90 [1.84, 29.96]
5.1 DCLHb (iv)	3	85	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	15.90 [1.84, 29.96]
5.2 Phenylephrine (iv)	0	0	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
6 Diastolic blood pressure, early	4	100	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	5.11 [-3.18, 13.39]
6.1 DCLHb (iv)	3	85	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	6.01 [-4.35, 16.38]
6.2 Phenylephrine (iv)	1	15	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.5 [-14.86, 15.86]
7 Diastolic blood pressure, late	3	85	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.94 [-8.96, 12.83]
7.1 DCLHb (iv)	3	85	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.94 [-8.96, 12.83]

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
7.2 Phenylephrine (iv)	0	0	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
8 Heart rate, early	3	85	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.43 [-6.36, 7.22]
8.1 DCLHb (iv)	3	85	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.43 [-6.36, 7.22]
8.2 Phenylephrine (iv)	0	0	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

## Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 1 Early death ( $\leq$ 1 month).

Study or subgroup T	reatment	Control	Odds F	Ratio	Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV, Randon	n, 95% CI	_	IV, Random, 95% CI
2.1.1 DCLHb (iv)						
Subtotal (95% CI)	0	0				Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Treatment), 0 (Control)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Not applicable						
2.1.2 Phenylephrine (iv)						
Hillis 2003	0/9	0/6				Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)	9	6				Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Treatment), 0 (Control)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Not applicable						
Total (95% CI)	9	6				Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Treatment), 0 (Control)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Not applicable						
Test for subgroup differences: Not application	able			1 1		
	E	avours treatment	0.1 0.2 0.5 1	2 5 2	10 Favours control	

Favours treatment0.10.20.512510Favours control

# Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 2 Death at end of trial.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control		Odds	s Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		IV, Rando	om, 95% C	l			IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.2.1 DCLHb (iv)									
Saxena 1999 100 mg	5/20	2/23				-	$\rightarrow$	53.05%	3.5[0.6,20.52]
Saxena 1999 25 mg	3/10	1/11				-	-	27.41%	4.29[0.37,50.2]
Saxena 1999 50 mg	1/10	1/11	<b>↓</b>		•		-	19.54%	1.11[0.06,20.49]
	F	avours treatment	0.1 0.2	0.5	1 2	5	10	Favours control	

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control		Odds Ratio		Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	N	/, Random, 95% CI			IV, Random, 95% CI
Subtotal (95% CI)	40	45				100%	2.96[0.82,10.72]
Total events: 9 (Treatment), 4 (Control	)						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.56, df=2	(P=0.76); I <sup>2</sup> =0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.65(P=0.1)							
2.2.2 Phenylephrine (iv)							
Hillis 2003	0/9	0/6					Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)	9	6					Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Treatment), 0 (Control	)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Not applicable							
Total (95% CI)	49	51				100%	2.96[0.82,10.72]
Total events: 9 (Treatment), 4 (Control	)						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.56, df=2	(P=0.76); I <sup>2</sup> =0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.65(P=0.1)							
Test for subgroup differences: Not app	licable						
	E	avours treatment	0.1 0.2	0.5 1 2	5 10	Favours control	

Favours treatment 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours control

## Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 3 Death or disability at end of trial.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control	Odds Ratio	Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Random, 95% CI
2.3.1 DCLHb (iv)					
Saxena 1999 100 mg	16/20	11/23		60.29%	4.36[1.11,17.13]
Saxena 1999 25 mg	9/10	6/11	++	19.86%	7.5[0.69,81.25]
Saxena 1999 50 mg	9/10	6/11	+++	19.86%	7.5[0.69,81.25]
Subtotal (95% CI)	40	45		100%	5.41[1.87,15.64]
Total events: 34 (Treatment), 23 (Con	trol)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.24, df=	2(P=0.89); I <sup>2</sup> =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=3.12(P=0)					
2.3.2 Phenylephrine (iv)					
Subtotal (95% CI)	0	0			Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Treatment), 0 (Contro	ol)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Not applicable					
Total (95% CI)	40	45		100%	5.41[1.87,15.64]
Total events: 34 (Treatment), 23 (Con	trol)				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.24, df=	2(P=0.89); I <sup>2</sup> =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=3.12(P=0)					
Test for subgroup differences: Not ap	plicable				
	Fa	avours treatment 0.1	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 1	<sup>0</sup> Favours control	



## Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 4 Systolic blood pressure, early.

Study or subgroup	Tr	eatment	c	Control	Me	ean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ra	ndom, 95% Cl		Random, 95% CI
2.4.1 DCLHb (iv)								
Saxena 1999 100 mg	20	172 (32)	23	150 (26)			37.1%	22[4.4,39.6]
Saxena 1999 25 mg	10	160 (27)	11	150 (26)			22.26%	10[-12.72,32.72]
Saxena 1999 50 mg	10	161 (19)	11	150 (26)		<b>+-</b>	30.65%	11[-8.36,30.36]
Subtotal ***	40		45			•	90.01%	15.29[3.99,26.58]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.96, df	=2(P=0.6	2); I <sup>2</sup> =0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=2.65(P=0.01	.)							
2.4.2 Phenylephrine (iv)								
Hillis 2003	9	185.4 (15.3)	6	164.8 (40.5)		+	9.99%	20.6[-13.31,54.51]
Subtotal ***	9		6				9.99%	20.6[-13.31,54.51]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.19(P=0.23	3)							
Total ***	49		51				100%	15.82[5.1,26.54]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.04, df		a). 12-00%	51			-	100 //	13.02[3.1,20.34]
<b>o y</b>	-3(F-0.7	5),1 -0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=2.89(P=0)								
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.08, df=1	L (P=0.77), I <sup>2</sup> =0%						
			Favo	urs treatment	-100 -50	0 50	<sup>100</sup> Favours con	trol

### Analysis 2.5. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 5 Systolic blood pressure, late.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	c	ontrol		М	ean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		R	andom, 95% Cl		Random, 95% CI
2.5.1 DCLHb (iv)									
Saxena 1999 100 mg	20	164 (18)	23	140 (20)				42.11%	24[12.64,35.36]
Saxena 1999 25 mg	10	141 (21)	11	140 (20)			<b>_</b>	30.44%	1[-16.58,18.58]
Saxena 1999 50 mg	10	160 (25)	11	140 (20)				27.45%	20[0.51,39.49]
Subtotal ***	40		45				<b>•</b>	100%	15.9[1.84,29.96]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =88.66; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.7	, df=2(P=	0.1); I <sup>2</sup> =57.45%							
Test for overall effect: Z=2.22(P=0.03	3)								
2.5.2 Phenylephrine (iv)									
Subtotal ***	0		0						Not estimable
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicabl	e								
Total ***	40		45					100%	15.9[1.84,29.96]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =88.66; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.7		0.1) <sup>.</sup> 1 <sup>2</sup> =57.45%	15				-	20070	1010[1104,10100]
Test for overall effect: Z=2.22(P=0.03		,,							
	-								
Test for subgroup differences: Not a	pplicable	!						L	
			Favo	urs treatment	-100	-50	0 50	<sup>100</sup> Favours cor	trol

### Analysis 2.6. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 6 Diastolic blood pressure, early.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	c	ontrol	Mean Diffe	rence	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 9	5% CI		Random, 95% Cl
2.6.1 DCLHb (iv)								
Saxena 1999 100 mg	20	99 (15)	23	84 (17)	-	∎	31.99%	15[5.44,24.56]
Saxena 1999 25 mg	10	85 (16)	11	84 (17)	_ <b>-</b>		21.29%	1[-13.12,15.12]
Saxena 1999 50 mg	10	84 (8)	11	84 (17)			27.61%	0[-11.2,11.2]
Subtotal ***	40		45		•	•	80.89%	6.01[-4.35,16.38]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =49.29; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.8	88, df=2(P	=0.09); I <sup>2</sup> =59.02%	)					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.14(P=0.26	5)							
2.6.2 Phenylephrine (iv)								
Hillis 2003	9	81.9 (10.2)	6	81.4 (17.3)	<b>_</b> _		19.11%	0.5[-14.86,15.86]
Subtotal ***	9		6		•		19.11%	0.5[-14.86,15.86]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.9	5)							
Total ***	49		51		•		100%	5.11[-3.18,13.39]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =32.04; Chi <sup>2</sup> =5.4	18, df=3(P	=0.14); I <sup>2</sup> =45.22%	)					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.21(P=0.23	3)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.6, df=1	P=0.44), I <sup>2</sup> =0%						
			Favo	urs treatment	-100 -50 0	50 100	Favours control	

### Analysis 2.7. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 7 Diastolic blood pressure, late.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	c	Control		М	ean Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Ra	ndom, 95% CI			Random, 95% CI
2.7.1 DCLHb (iv)										
Saxena 1999 100 mg	20	91 (10)	23	80 (13)			-		37.15%	11[4.11,17.89]
Saxena 1999 25 mg	10	73 (12)	11	80 (13)					30.72%	-7[-17.69,3.69]
Saxena 1999 50 mg	10	80 (10)	11	80 (13)			-		32.13%	0[-9.87,9.87]
Subtotal ***	40		45				•		100%	1.94[-8.96,12.83]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =70.79; Chi <sup>2</sup> =8.	65, df=2(P	=0.01); I <sup>2</sup> =76.89%	)							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.35(P=0.7	3)									
2.7.2 Phenylephrine (iv)										
Subtotal ***	0		0							Not estimable
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Not applicab	le									
Total ***	40		45				•		100%	1.94[-8.96,12.83]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =70.79; Chi <sup>2</sup> =8.	65, df=2(P	=0.01); I <sup>2</sup> =76.89%	)							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.35(P=0.7	3)									
Test for subgroup differences: Not a	applicable									
			Favo	urs treatment	-100	-50	0 50	100	Favours contro	l

## Analysis 2.8. Comparison 2 Drug versus control in stroke: blood pressure elevation therapy, Outcome 8 Heart rate, early.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	c	ontrol		Mean Differend	e	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Random, 95%	CI		Random, 95% Cl
2.8.1 DCLHb (iv)									
Saxena 1999 100 mg	20	72 (14)	23	75 (17)				53.65%	-3[-12.27,6.27]
Saxena 1999 25 mg	10	81 (17)	11	75 (17)		_ <b>+</b> •		21.75%	6[-8.56,20.56]
Saxena 1999 50 mg	10	78 (15)	11	75 (17)				24.6%	3[-10.69,16.69]
Subtotal ***	40		45			•		100%	0.43[-6.36,7.22]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.22, d	lf=2(P=0.5	4); l <sup>2</sup> =0%							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.13(P=0.9	)								
2.8.2 Phenylephrine (iv)									
Subtotal ***	0		0						Not estimable
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicab	le								
Total ***	40		45			•		100%	0.43[-6.36,7.22]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.22, d	lf=2(P=0.5	4); I <sup>2</sup> =0%							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.13(P=0.9	)								
Test for subgroup differences: Not a	applicable								
			Favo	urs treatment	-100	-50 0	50 100	Favours contro	l

### APPENDICES

#### Appendix 1. MEDLINE search strategy

01. stroke.mp.

- 02. infarction.mp.
- 03. exp brain Infarction/
- 04. exp infarction, anterior cerebral artery/
- 05. exp infarction, middle cerebral artery/
- 06. exp infarction, posterior cerebral artery/
- 07. exp brain ischemia/
- 08. brain ischaemia.mp.
- 09. cerebral ischaemia.mp.
- 10. hemorrhage.mp.
- 11. exp cerebral hemorrhage/
- 12. cerebral haemorrhage.mp.
- 13. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12

14. (nitrate or L-arginine or thiazide or diuretics or beta blockers or calcium channel blockers or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor antagonists or rennin inhibitors or neuroprotective agents or alpha receptor antagonists or vasoconstrictors or adrenoceptor agonists or centrally acting antihyperten\$ or vasodilators or hemodilution or haemodilution).mp.

15. (bendrofluazide or bendroflumethiazide or hydrochrlothiazide or atenolol or propanalol or bisoprolol or labetalol or nimodipine or nicardipine or amilodipine or felodipine or clinidipine or isradipine or nifedipine or nisolodipine or tirilazad or flunarazine or captopril or enalapril or lisinopril or perindopril or ramipril or candesartan or losartan or telmisartan or valsartan or clonidine or pentoxifylline or pentifylline or naftidrofuryl or prostacyclin or PGI2 or magnesium or papaverine or vinpocetin or piracetam or dopamine or dobutamine or adrenaline or noradrenaline or phenylephrine or amphetamine or caffeinol or caffeine or theophylline or diaspirin cross linked haemoglobin or DCLHb).mp.

- 16. 14 or 15
- 17. 13 and 16

18. (randomized controlled trial.pt. or controlled clinical trial.pt.or randomized.ab. or placebo.ab. or clinical trials as topic.sh. or randomly.ab. or trial.ti.) and humans.sh.

19. 17 and 18

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

Copyright  $\ensuremath{\mathbb S}$  2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



#### Appendix 2. EMBASE search strategy

01. stroke.mp.

- 02. infarction.mp.
- 03. exp brain Infarction/
- 04. exp brain infarction size/
- 05. brain stem infarction
- 06. cerebellum infarction
- 07. brain ischemia.mp.
- 08. brain ischaemia.mp.
- 09. exp brain ischemia/
- 10. cerebral ischaemia.mp.
- 11. hemorrhage.mp.
- 12. exp cerebral hemorrhage/
- 13. cerebral haemorrhage.mp.
- 14. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14

15. (nitrate or L-arginine or thiazide or diuretics or beta blockers or calcium channel blockers or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor antagonists or rennin inhibitors or neuroprotective agents or alpha receptor antagonists or vasoconstrictors or adrenoceptor agonists or centrally acting antihyperten\$ or vasodilators or hemodilution or haemodilution).mp.

16. (bendrofluazide or bendroflumethiazide or hydrochrlothiazide or atenolol or propanalol or bisoprolol or labetalol or nimodipine or nicardipine or amilodipine or felodipine or clinidipine or isradipine or nifedipine or nisolodipine or tirilazad or flunarazine or captopril or enalapril or lisinopril or perindopril or ramipril or candesartan or losartan or telmisartan or valsartan or clonidine or pentoxifylline or pentifylline or naftidrofuryl or prostacyclin or PGI2 or magnesium or papaverine or vinpocetin or piracetam or dopamine or dobutamine or adrenaline or noradrenaline or phenylephrine or amphetamine or caffeinol or caffeine or theophylline or diaspirin cross linked haemoglobin or DCLHb).mp.

17. 15 or 16

18. 14 and 17

19. ((RANDOMIZED-CONTROLLED-TRIAL/ or RANDOMIZATION/ or CONTROLLED-STUDY/ or MULTICENTER-STUDY/ or PHASE-3-CLINICAL-TRIAL/ or PHASE-4-CLINICAL-TRIAL/ or DOUBLE-BLIND-PROCEDURE/ or SINGLE-BLIND-PROCEDURE/) or ((RANDOM\* or CROSS?OVER\* or FACTORIAL\* or PLACEBO\* or VOLUNTEER\*) or ((SINGL\* or DOUBL\* or TREBL\* or TRIPL\*) adj3 (BLIND\* or MASK\*))).ti,ab) and human\*.ec,hw,fs. 20. 18 and 19

#### **Appendix 3. Science Citation Index search strategy**

01. stroke.TS./TI

- 02. acute stroke.TS./TI.
- 03. cerebral infarction.TS./TI.
- 04. brain Infarction.TS./TI.
- 05. brain ischemia.TS./TI.
- 06. brain ischaemia.TS./TI.
- 07. brain ischemia.TS./TI.
- 08. cerebral ischaemia.TS./TI.
- 09. cerebral hemorrhage.TS./TI.
- 10. cerebral haemorrhage.TS./TI.
- 11. cerebral bleeding.TS./TI.
- 12. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14

13. (nitrate or L-arginine or thiazide or diuretics or beta blockers or calcium channel blockers or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor antagonists or rennin inhibitors or neuroprotective agents or alpha receptor antagonists or vasoconstrictors or adrenoceptor agonists or centrally acting antihyperten\$ or vasodilators or hemodilution or haemodilution.TS./TI.

14. (bendrofluazide or bendroflumethiazide or hydrochrlothiazide or atenolol or propanalol or bisoprolol or labetalol or nimodipine or nicardipine or amilodipine or

felodipine or clinidipine or isradipine or nifedipine or nisolodipine or tirilazad or flunarazine or captopril or enalapril or lisinopril or perindopril or ramipril or candesartan or losartan or telmisartan or valsartan or clonidine or pentoxifylline or pentifylline or naftidrofuryl or prostacyclin or PGI2 or magnesium or papaverine or vinpocetin or piracetam or dopamine or dobutamine or adrenaline or noradrenaline or phenylephrine or amphetamine or caffeinol or caffeine or theophylline or diaspirin cross linked haemoglobin or DCLHb).TS./TI. 15. 13 or 14

16. 12 and 15

17. (randomized controlled trial.TI. or controlled clinical trial.TI.or randomized.TI. or placebo.TI. or clinical trials TI. or randomly.TI. or trial.TI.) and humans.TI.

18.16 and 17



### Appendix 4. Baseline haemodynamic measures for included studies

Drug class	Bas <b>el</b> line SBP	Baseline DBP	Ν	Baseline HR	Ν
	MD (95% CI)	MD (95% CI)		MD (95% CI)	
BP lowering therapy					
ACE inhibitors (po)	1.794 (-3.84 to 7.43)	-0.22 (-4.34 to 3.89)	4	0.22 (-5.31 to 5.75)	3
ARA (po)	-2.0 <b>0</b> (-6.32 to 2.32)	0.00 (-2.97 to 2.97)	1		
Beta blockers (po)	0.345 (-4.27 to 4.96)	0.03 (-3.75 to 3.80)	5	-0.36 (-3.73 to 3.02)	4
Calcium channel blockers (iv)	-6.60 (-13.37 to 0.16)	-1.72 (-5.99 to 2.55)	6	-0.24 (-3.18 to 2.71)	4
Calcium channel blockers (po)	0.4414 (-2.82 to 1.94)	-0.11 (-1.54 to 1.33)	14	-1.32 (-2.77 to 0.13)	9
Glucose potassium insulin (iv)	-2.51 (-6.29 to 1.29)				
Insulin (iv)	-7.0 <b>0</b> (-20.73 to 6.73)	0.00 (-9.08 to 9.08)	1		
Magnesium (iv)	1.424 (-7.13 to 9.98)	0.99 (-4.37 to 6.35)	4	-1.79 (-7.95 to 4.37)	4
Naftidrofuryl	-1.4 <b>2</b> (-7.95 to 5.02)	-0.18 (-4.70 to 4.35)	2	1.27(-4.30 to 6.83)	2

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)

(Continued)					
Nitric oxide	0.985 (-6.13 to 8.08)	3.9 (-0.22 to 8.03)	5	3.44 (-2.29 to 9.17)	5
Other vasodilators (po)	-24.83 (-48.89 to -0.77)	8.33 (-1.66 to 18.32)	1		
Piracetam	-2.12 (-6.39 to 2.16)	-0.39 (-2.34 to 1.56)	2		
Prostacyclin	-2.75 (-10.87 to 5.36)	-4.84 (-9.72 to 0.04)	3	-0.71 (-5.52 to 4.11)	3
Thiazide diuretics (po)	-20.00(-39.44 to -0.56)	-15.00 (-29.51 to -0.49)	1		
Unclassified or combined	-2.00 (-5.61 to 1.61)	-4.00 (-6.83 to -1.17)	1		
Total	-1.591 (-2.83 to -0.35)	-0.41 (-1.37 to 0.55)	50		
BP elevation therapy					
DCLHb	5.373 (-3.59 to 14.34)	-2.56 (-8.78 to 3.65)	3	3.37 (-2.43 to 9.17)	3
Phenylephrine	-27.9 (-50.83 to -4.17)	-8.30 (-19.13 to 2.53)	1		
Total	-1.5 <b>3</b> (-15.15 to 12.09)	-3.73 (-8.99 to 1.54)	4	3.37 (-2.43 to 9.17)	3

### Significant results are in bold type

CI: confidence interval DBP: diastolic blood pressure

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review)



DCLHb: diaspirin cross-linked haemoglobin HR: heart rate iv: intravenous MD: mean difference N: number of studies po: oral SBP: systolic blood pressure

### WHAT'S NEW

Date	Event	Description
1 October 2009	New search has been performed	This review was updated in October 2009 and includes the fol- lowing: (1) the addition of 11 completed trials involving 2281 pa- tients; (2) the addition of 13 ongoing or planned trials. The pre- vious version of the review included 32 trials involving 5368 pa- tients. The conclusions of this review have not changed with the addition of the new data.
1 October 2009	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	Change of authors.

#### HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 4, 2000 Review first published: Issue 4, 2000

Date	Event	Description
29 May 2008	Amended	Converted to new review format.
21 February 2007	Amended	Substantive amendment.

#### CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Philip Bath was involved with the design, development of search strategies, analysis and writing. He is the study guarantor. Chamila Geeganage was involved with searches for studies, input of data into the latest version, analysis of the latest version, and writing.

#### DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

PMW Bath was involved in three completed studies included in this review. He is the principal investigator of the ongoing Efficacy of Nitric Oxide in Stroke (ENOS) trial.

#### SOURCES OF SUPPORT

#### **Internal sources**

• No sources of support supplied

#### **External sources**

- Trent NHS Executive (1998 to 2000), UK.
- The Stroke Association (1998 ongoing), UK.
- South Thames NHS Executive (1995 to 1997), UK.
- Wolfson Foundation (1993 to 1998), UK.

Vasoactive drugs for acute stroke (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



#### INDEX TERMS

#### Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Administration, Oral; Antihypertensive Agents [\*therapeutic use]; Aspirin [adverse effects] [analogs & derivatives]; Blood Pressure [\*drug effects] [physiology]; Hemoglobins [adverse effects]; Hypertension [\*drug therapy]; Hypotension [\*drug therapy]; Injections, Intravenous; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Stroke [\*drug therapy] [physiopathology]; Vasoconstrictor Agents [therapeutic use]; Vasodilator Agents [therapeutic use]

#### **MeSH check words**

Adult; Humans