

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Neurol Phys Ther*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 April 05.

Published in final edited form as:

J Neurol Phys Ther. 2018 April; 42(2): 94–101. doi:10.1097/NPT.00000000000217.

High intensity variable stepping training in persons with motor incomplete spinal cord injury: a case series

Carey L. Holleran, PT, NCS, DHS¹, Patrick W. Hennessey, PT, NCS², Abigail L. Leddy, PT, NCS², Gordhan B. Mahtani, MS², Gabrielle Brazg, DPT², Brian D. Schmit, PhD³, T. George Hornby, PT, PhD^{2,4,5}

¹Program in Physical Therapy, School of Medicine, Washington University in St. Louis

²Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL

³Department of Biomedical Engineering, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

⁴Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA

⁵Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

Abstract

Background and Purpose: Previous data suggest that large amounts of high intensity stepping training in variable contexts (tasks and environments) may improve locomotor function, aerobic capacity and treadmill gait kinematics in individuals post-stroke. Whether similar training strategies are tolerated and efficacious for patients with other acute-onset neurological diagnoses, such as motor incomplete spinal cord injury (iSCI) is unknown, particularly with potentially greater, bilateral impairments. This case series evaluated the feasibility and preliminary short and long-term efficacy of high intensity variable stepping practice in ambulatory participants >1 year post-iSCI.

Case Series Description: Four participants with iSCI (neurological levels C5-T3) completed up to 40 1-hr sessions over 3–4 months. Stepping training in variable contexts was performed at up to 85% maximum predicted heart rate, with feasibility measures of patient tolerance, total steps/ session, and intensity of training. Clinical measures of locomotor function, balance, peak metabolic capacity and gait kinematics during graded treadmill assessments were performed at baseline and post-training, with >1 year follow-up.

Outcomes: Participants completed 24–40 sessions over 8–15 weeks, averaging 2222±653 steps/ session, with primary adverse events of fatigue and muscle soreness.

Modest improvements in locomotor capacity where observed at post-training, with variable changes in lower extremity kinematics during treadmill walking.

Conflicts of Interest: none

Previous presentation/publication: n/a

Corresponding Author: T. George Hornby, PhD, PT, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Indiana University School of Medicine, Rehabilitation Hospital of Indiana, Phone: 312-350-8291; Fax: 312-238-2208, tghornby@iu.edu.

Discussion: High intensity, variable stepping training was feasible and tolerated by participants with iSCI although only modest gains in gait function or quality were observed. The utility of this intervention in patients with more profound impairments may be limited. **Video Abstract available** for more insights from the authors (see Video, Supplemental Digital Content)

Keywords

Locomotion; physical therapy; walking recovery

Introduction

Recovery of independent ambulation is often a primary goal of individuals following spinal cord injury (SCI).¹ In individuals with motor incomplete SCI (iSCI), indicating partial preservation of supraspinal pathways, such recovery may be possible, although residual impairments in strength, postural control and coordination often contribute to reduced gait speeds and aberrant gait kinematics (i.e., quality)^{2,3}. Training strategies used to treat locomotor dysfunction vary, and often include exercises to address underlying impairments, such as strength, balance, and flexibility training, in addition to stepping practice provided on a treadmill (TM) or overground. In many studies, a common goal of interventions is to facilitate normal kinematic patterns using therapist- or robotic-assistance in part to provide afferent input related to stepping^{4–6} through optimizing sensory cues, posture, and kinematics⁷. However, the efficacy of these strategies to improve locomotor function or quality beyond traditional paradigms is unclear^{8–12}, as previous studies in participants with iSCI demonstrate negligible differences between exercise groups.

Recent data from studies of participants with other neurological diagnoses of other than SCI (i.e., stroke 13,14) indicate that exercise interventions that emphasize combined application of specific training parameters that influence neuromuscular and cardiovascular function may further augment locomotor recovery^{15,16}. For example, providing large amounts of stepping practice has been shown to elicit gains in walking function, although walking practice alone and without consideration of other factors is not sufficient^{8,10,17}. Recent data in individuals with hemiparesis post-stroke suggest providing stepping training in variable contexts (tasks or environments), particularly at higher aerobic intensities, can facilitate gains in walking and non-walking behaviors as compared to as equivalent number of conventional therapy sessions^{14,18,19}. The exclusive focus on stepping training at up to 85% of age-predicted maximum heart rate (HR) was designed to maximize the amount of stepping practice while simultaneously increasing the neuromuscular and cardiovascular demands of that practice¹³. In addition, stepping practice in variable contexts (i.e., tasks or environments) was provided, which has been shown in animal models to facilitate greater gains in locomotor function than more traditional treadmill stepping 20,21 . In humans with neurological injury, stepping in multiple contexts (e.g., treadmill overground, over stairs, and over or around obstacles) may mimic stepping conditions that may be encountered in the community settings and may allow for more rapid adaptation to real-world environments^{14,18,19}. Application of this training paradigm without focusing on normalizing gait kinematics resulted in substantial gains in locomotor function in participants who were post-stroke, with additional

improvements in strength, balance, transfers^{14,19}, aerobic capacity²² and selected kinematic patterns.^{23,24}

Despite the positive findings of prior locomotor training studies, the feasibility and efficacy of this intervention in individuals with other neurological diagnoses, including iSCI, have not been assessed. To date, previous studies have assessed the effects of variable task practice of skilled walking tasks in iSCI, although with less attention towards intensity^{17,25}. Specific data²⁵ indicated that stepping training of variable, skilled walking tasks may lead to greater changes in walking function, due in part to greater recruitment of neural pathways involved in skilled movement. However, a subsequent study¹⁷ revealed greater benefits from large amounts of treadmill stepping as compared to variable skilled walking training. A potentially important finding was that greater amounts of stepping practice and higher HRs were observed in the treadmill training groups , and the combined increases in stepping amount and intensity may have resulted in differences in outcomes¹⁷ as suggested previously^{14,16,18}. No studies to date have attempted to combine the training parameters of large amounts of practice at high aerobic intensities, while targeting variable walking skills in iSCI.

The rationale for applying similar training strategies in individuals with acute-onset central neurological injury with different etiologies (i.e., stroke, SCI and brain injury) has been articulated previously²⁶. The primary argument is that many of these disorders share commonalities in the pathways and mechanisms underlying motor adaptation and learning. Improved motor performance following training may therefore rely on plastic changes in spared neural networks in each disease condition, as opposed to discrete mechanisms within separate diagnoses. Nonetheless, application of this high intensity variable stepping training to individuals with iSCI represents a separate set of challenges. For example, impairments following iSCI are bilateral and often more substantial, and volitional access to residual neural pathways subserving motor learning may be more limited. Further, the resources required for delivering this training safely may be limited in individuals with greater impairments post-iSCI. Finally, increased risk of adverse events, such as autonomic dysreflexia during higher exercise intensities,²⁷ could complicate safe delivery of this intervention in individuals with iSCI.

The purpose of this case series was to investigate the feasibility and outcomes of a highintensity variable stepping training on locomotor function and treadmill walking kinematics in ambulatory individuals with motor iSCI. Individuals > 1 year following iSCI were recruited to participate in 8 weeks (up to 40 sessions) of walking training. Measures of feasibility included number of sessions attended, total stepping activity and average intensity achieved during sessions, in addition to observed adverse events (e.g., complaints of pain, fatigue, orthopedic injury, number of falls). We anticipated a smaller amount of stepping practice would be achieved in individuals with iSCI vs stroke due to bilateral motor impairments, although we anticipated reaching high aerobic intensities such that positive gains in both function and gait quality would be demonstrated. Assessing the feasibility and preliminary efficacy of the effects of this training paradigm may provide insight into the potential challenges and benefits for future clinical investigations.

Methods

Participants

Individuals with chronic iSCI (>1 year duration) were consecutively recruited via therapist referral from local outpatient rehabilitation centers and online research registries. Eligible participants were required to walk 10 meters without physical assistance but with assistive devices and bracing below the knee as needed. All participants had to demonstrate lower-extremity passive range of motion of 0–30° plantarflexion, and 0–60° of knee flexion, and 0– 30° of hip flexion, and required medical clearance to participate. Exclusion criteria consisted of: history of recent fractures or significant osteoporosis, cardiovascular instability, additional central or peripheral nervous system injury, and inability to adhere to protocol requirements, including attending training and testing sessions and not concurrently enrolled in physical therapy or other research interventions that focused on mobility or balance. Participants were encouraged to continue their normal everyday activities, and their subjective report of mobility in the community was obtained (i.e., household or community ambulators). The project was approved by the local ethics committee and all participants provided written informed consent.

Outcome Meassures

Participants were tested prior to (PRE) and following (POST) up to 40 training sessions completed over 2–4 months as possible, with follow-up (F/U) assessments at least 1 year post-training. Feasibility outcomes were collected during training sessions, and included number of steps/session and peak heart rates (HRs) and ratings of perceived exertion (RPEs) achieved during training, which appear to be important training variables that may influence locomotor recovery during physical interventions^{13,14,18,28}. Additional measures of feasibility included the number of therapists/personnel required to safely deliver the training interventions, and the number and type of potential adverse events, including falls within and outside of training sessions, signs/symptoms of autonomic dysreflexia (e.g., rapid blood pressure increases), orthopedic injury, complaints of pain or soreness, or excessive fatigue.

Primary clinical outcomes were collected at PRE, POST and F/U, with testing completed by one of the research therapists. Primary walking outcomes included gait speed over short distances, with 2 trials averaged at self-selected speeds (SSS) and fastest-possible speeds (FS; GaitMat. Chalfont, PA), and gait distance using the 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) with instructions to walk at participants' SSS. All participants used their customary assistive devices and bracing without physical assistance; if physical assistance was required with loss of balance, the tests were terminated and speed and distance documented. Secondary measures included the Berg Balance Score (BBS), as well as peak TM speed, peak oxygen uptake (VO₂peak), and lower extremity kinematics collected during graded TM testing (the latter collected only at PRE and POST testing) with simultaneous collection of metabolic and kinematic data to improve efficiency). Graded TM testing was performed on an instrumented force TM (Bertec Corporation, Columbus, OH), during which participants walked at 0.1 m/s, with speeds increased 0.1 m/s every 2 minutes. Participants wore a safety harness without weight support in case of loss of balance, with use of handrails as needed. Heart rate was evaluated continuously using a pulse oximeter (Masimo, Irvine, CA) and the

HR and RPE were recorded manually during the last 30 seconds of each minute. Peak TM speed was determined when there was a significant loss of balance, the participant requested to stop, or participants' HR was within 10 beats of their age-predicted maximum and rating of perceived exertion (RPE)= 20^{29} .

Kinematic data collected during graded TM testing were evaluated using an 8-camera motion capture system (Motion Analysis Corporation, Santa Rosa, CA) and 32 reflective markers affixed bilaterally to each participant's pelvis and lower limbs to create a modified Cleveland Clinic 6-degrees-of-freedom model. Kinematic and kinetic data were sampled at a 100 Hz, processed using Cortex software (Motion Analysis Corporation, Santa Rosa, CA), and further analyzed using custom software (C-Motion Incorporated, Germantown, MD; Mathworks, Inc, Natick, MA). Marker and force data for all walking trials were filtered using a low-pass 2nd order Butterworth filter, with a cut-off frequency of 10 Hz.

Joint excursions and spatiotemporal measures were calculated from the transformation between the respective model segments. Stance and swing phases of the gait cycle (GC) were identified bilaterally for all data. Stance was identified as the period when vertical ground reaction force signal crossed a minimum threshold of 25N. Instances where participants took steps that crossed both belts, kinematic events were utilized to define heel strike (HS) and toe off (TO). The maximum anterior position of the calcaneal marker and the maximum posterior position of the metatarsal marker identified HS and TO respectively. Kinematic measures were normalized to percent GC and average step cycle profiles created. Spatiotemporal metrics were extracted during stance and swing phases, with primary measures of peak gait speed, stride length, and cadence. Specific joint kinematics outcomes included bilateral total joint range of motion (ROM) for the ankle, knee, and hip. Consistency of intralimb kinematics was utilized to estimate movement coordination between the hip and knee joints, and calculated using the average coefficient of consistency (ACC)^{30,31}. The ACC utilized a vector coding technique, which was applied to frame-byframe to the hip-knee angle-angle plots. The change in hip-knee angles from each frame to frame was represented as a vector, which averaged across multiple GCs and the coefficient of correlation for each frame of the GC was determined. The ACC was calculated by the mean of the correlations for each frame to frame interval; ACC values close to 1 indicated greater consistency with 0 indicating no consistency.

Intervention

Participants were scheduled to receive up to 40 sessions at 3–5 times per week within 10 weeks^{14,18}. Individuals wore validated, reliable accelerometers on the ankle of their weaker leg during training sessions to estimate total amount of stepping practice. Each 1 hour session allowed up to 40 minutes of stepping training in variable contexts at the targeted intensity^{14,18}, with rest breaks as needed. Successful stepping was defined as maintaining upright posture (i.e., no hip or knee buckling, frontal and sagittal plane stability), moving in a specific direction (forwards, backwards, sideways), and generating bilateral positive step lengths. Orthotics and bracing were utilized as needed to prevent musculoskeletal injury (i.e., ankle inversion or knee hyperextension), although bracing to minimize knee buckling (e.g., KAFOs) was not permitted. Targeted training intensities were up to 85% of age-

predicted maximum HR (i.e., HRmax = 208 - (0.7*age)), and evaluated continuously with a pulse oximeter. The minimum HR threshold for high-intensity training was set at 70% agepredicted maximum HR, consistent with previous published guidelines for high or "vigorous" intensity training^{32,33} (see however ³⁴) In addition, subjective reports of intensity were obtained using the RPE scale³⁵, with target intensities of 15–18. Both RPEs and HRs were recorded every 3–5 minutes.

Training sessions were supervised by a single physical therapist, with assistance from another research aide as needed. During the first 2 weeks (6–10 sessions), only forward stepping on a motorized TM (speed-dependent treadmill training) was performed to allow participants to accommodate to the large volumes of stepping at higher cardiovascular intensities. Minimal body weight support (BWS) and handrail support were provided only as needed; only one participant was provided < 10% BWS and unilateral physical assistance for lower extremity advancement in the 1st week. There was no additional assistance provided to normalize kinematic patterns other than to simply continue stepping¹⁸.

Training over the remaining weeks was divided into 10-minute increments of speeddependent treadmill training (described above), skill-dependent treadmill training, overground training, and stair climbing, while trying to maintain the targeted HR range. Skill-dependent treadmill training included activities such as stepping in different directions (sideward or backwards), applied perturbations to challenge various aspects of stepping (limb swing, propulsion, upright, lateral stability) in the form of obstacles and/or weights on the trunk or limbs, limiting use of upper extremities, or inclined surfaces. Participants practiced 2-5 different physical tasks that were randomly alternated and repeated within each 10-minute period; tasks were selected by the therapist based on the individual's impairments and gait limitations, with consideration of participant preferences. For example, in individuals with difficulty with sagittal or frontal plane stability during walking and standing, practice focused on tasks that challenged dynamic stability, including walking without handrail support in multiple directions or on an inclined surface. Conversely, if participants demonstrated difficulty with limb advancement, leg weights were applied if the participant could continue stepping, or focus directed towards stepping over obstacles, or up an inclined treadmill. If a participant was unsuccessful during 3-5 consecutive attempts of a stepping task, task difficulty was reduced or physical assistance was provided.

Overground training focused on fast speeds and variable tasks as described above, including walking over compliant, uneven or narrow surfaces, and/or in different directions. Therapists used an overhead rail system or gait belt as needed for safety during overground stepping. Stair climbing was performed over static or rotating stairs with the use of an overhead catch system or a gait belt as deemed appropriate by the training therapist. The difficulty and intensity of stair negotiation was progressed by increasing speeds and reducing handrail support. If participants reported specific locomotor deficits or difficulties that limited their mobility, attention was directed towards these tasks (e.g., limitation in community mobility may be addressed with obstacle avoidance tasks during overground walking, including stepping over or around objects, use of leg weights or stepping on inclined surfaces). Therapists documented total amount of stepping activity during training sessions.

Data analyses

The measures of feasibility and efficacy are detailed both individually and grouped throughout the text and in tables as means \pm standard deviations. Measures of feasibility included the amount of stepping activity achieved during training and the ability to achieve training HRs or RPEs (maximum HR/RPE per session). Other measures of feasibility, including personnel required during training and potential adverse events, were documented descriptively. Primary and secondary outcomes include measures of gait speed, distance, balance, peak metabolic capacity and gait kinematics as described previously. Because this is a case series, descriptive but not inferential statistical analyses are utilized, although comparisons are made to available data in other studies, and as compared to minimally detectable changes and minimal clinically important differences in iSCI.

Results

Feasibility

Five individuals with a history of chronic iSCI were referred consecutively from outpatient physical therapists and met all inclusion criteria. One potential participant did not receive medical clearance from their physician, and was not enrolled. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the 4 participants (S1–4) who initiated training are depicted in Table 1, indicating the variability in age (18–48 yrs) and duration post-SCI (14–53 months). Participants S1, S2, and S3 presented with substantial motor impairments, including relatively low values for LEMS (range: 21–34 pts), BBS (5–9 pts) and slower gaits speeds (Table 2), particularly as compared to S4 who performed at a higher functional level. Participants S1 and S3 wore articulating knee-ankle-foot orthoses (AFOs) bilaterally, and all 3 walked with a walker for shorter (i.e., household distances), with community mobility accomplished using a wheelchair. In contrast, participant S4 did not use any assistive devices or braces, and never used a wheelchair for home or community mobility.

Over the course of the intervention, participants S1, S3, and S4 completed 24–40 sessions of training within 10 weeks, while participant S2 required 15 weeks to complete training secondary to illness (i.e., influenza). During each session, overhead harness safety systems were used for all participants in case of loss of balance, Physical assistance from an aide was necessary for participants S1, S2, and S3, particularly early after the initiation of training, and during stair climbing to ensure safety and adherence to protocol (i.e., attempts at reciprocal stepping). Only participant S2 required ~10% BWS early during training, which was reduced by the end of 2 weeks. Training parameters achieved are also presented in Table 1 for each participant, indicating the mean and standard deviations (SD) of peak HRs and RPEs, as well as the minimum and maximum peak values throughout training. All participants were able to achieve at least 70% of HR_{max} and RPEs > 15 during the 1st training session, although in participants _________ HR responses were blunted across training. Peak HRs achieved during sessions averaged between 65–83% of age-predicted HR_{max}, while peak RPEs ranged from 17–19.

Following an initial warm-up period and delay in HR increases early during training, all participants were able to maintain their HRs within \sim 5% of this peak HR_{max} achieved each

session, although only participants S3 and S4 were consistently able to achieve over 70% predicted HR_{max} throughout training sessions. Participants took an average of 2222±653 steps/session (range: 1795–3197) throughout training, with the largest amounts of practice in the participant S4. There were no reports of orthopedic injury or pain other than muscle soreness during the first 2–3 weeks, with the exception of low back pain reported by participant S2 that did not restrict participation. There were no additional adverse events, including no falls during or outside of training, or episodes of hypertension or autonomic dysreflexia. All but 1 participant reported fatigue during the period of training that subsided within the first 3 weeks, with the exception of participant S2 who reported fatigue intermittently throughout training. Participants S1, S3, and S4 were available to complete F/U assessments, all 3 indicated attempts to maintain exercise programs at local gyms or at home following training.

Clinical and metabolic testing outcomes

Changes in locomotor and other clinical measures for each participant are presented in Table 2. Changes in walking function included mean improvements in SSS (0.10 ± 0.09 m/s), FS (0.11 ± 0.03 m/s), and 6MWD (41 ± 28 m). Additional secondary assessments included increases in BBS of 2.8±0.96, as well as increases in peak TM speed (0.18 ± 0.10 m/s) and VO_{2peak} (4.3 ± 1.5 ml/kg/min). In the participants who attended F/U testing (S1, S3, S4), gains were not maintained at least 1 year following training, with all clinical outcomes similar to pre-training assessments.

Kinematic outcomes

Secondary analyses of treadmill gait kinematics were performed on all participants at PRE and POST. Immediately following training, all participants demonstrated changes in stride length (S1), cadence (S4) or both (S2 and S3) to accommodate for changes in peak TM speeds, with the largest relative changes in S2. Sagittal-plane joint angular excursions were, however, variable across the participants. Ankle, knee, and hip range of motion (ROM) increased minimally, or up to 20° on the less impaired limb across participants, while changes in the more impaired limb were smaller. Compared to the other participants, larger changes in hip ROM were observed in S1 and S3 (both of whom wore AFOs during testing). However, there were no consistent trends for changes in joint or spatiotemporal kinematics.

Changes in gait quality during treadmill walking were evaluated using ACC values to estimate hip-knee coordination, which also demonstrated inconsistent changes across participants. Specifically, bilateral improvements in ACC were observed in S2 (0.07 and 0.17 in less and more impaired limbs), although very little changes were observed for S1 and S4. In contrast, bilateral decreases in ACC were observed in S3 (0.07–0.09), despite large changes in stride length and hip ROM.

Discussion

The present case series details the potential feasibility and resultant locomotor, metabolic and kinematic outcomes in 4 participants with chronic iSCI following high intensity variable stepping training. Following the interventions, participants demonstrated modest

improvements in selected outcomes, including gains in clinical measures of ambulation, balance, and metabolic function, with improvements in selective measures of locomotor kinematics. The protocol appeared to be safe, resulting in no substantial adverse events and limited complaints of fatigue and soreness with the exception of one participant. The protocol appeared to be feasible with the assistance of a therapist and an aide, with specific equipment such as harness support systems or bracing to allow safe training.

Average stepping activity during high intensity training prioritized in this intervention (~2200 steps/sessions) was greater than published amounts of stepping activity observed during clinical treatment of patients with neurological injury³⁶. These values are, however, lower than average stepping recorded in participants with subacute or chronic stroke who underwent similar training protocols (2500-3000 steps/session), some of whom were nonambulatory at the beginning of training^{14,18}. The present data are likely influenced by the 3 substantially impaired participants with iSCI (S1, S2 and S3) in whom stepping activity averaged < 2000 steps/session, despite maintaining moderate to high aerobic intensities. Improvements in primary measures were also limited in the 3 participants who had greater impairment, and varied among all participants. Two individuals (S....) demonstrated changes that exceeded the reported minimal detectable change (MDC) scores for 6MWD (i.e., 46 m³⁷, while 3/4 participants (S...) exceeded the MDC for SSS and FS utilizing changes relative to an individual's initial walking speed³⁸. Finally, small gains in BBS were observed in all participants, regardless of extent of initial disability. The combined changes in locomotion and balance were similar to those observed in recent trials investigating the effects of various forms of locomotor training in participants with iSCI^{10,39}, including strategies of focused TM training or variable stepping activity performed overground.¹⁷ Nonetheless, observed changes were relatively small as compared to data from participants with chronic stroke following a similar training protocol (e.g., SSS: 0.23±17 m/s; FS: 0.39±0.23 m/s; 6MWD: 89±60 m)¹⁸.

During graded exercise testing, both peak TM speeds and VO₂peak increased consistently across participants. The average gains of 2-6 ml/kg/min in VO₂peak and 0.1-0.3 m/s in peak TM speeds approximate changes observed in participants with chronic stroke or SCI following higher intensity TM training^{40–42}, and gains in participants with iSCI following lower intensity, recumbent stepping training⁴³. While the data suggest some functional benefits of high intensity training, kinematic analyses were utilized to provide some insight into strategies used to increase walking speed. Participants in this case series demonstrated improvements in either stride length (S1), cadence (S4), or both (S2 and S3) to achieve higher TM speeds following training. Improvements in spatiotemporal measures were larger than changes obtained during overground stepping following different locomotor strategies, although the speed increases during TM testing likely account for these differences. The increases in stride length in 3 participants (S...) are of particular interest as previous data in iSCI suggested increases in TM speeds are accomplished primarily using cadence⁴⁴, with smaller changes in stride length (see, however, ⁴¹). Participants described in the former study were able to walk at faster speeds, similar to our higher functioning participant, who demonstrated limited gains in stride length (S4). The current findings suggest that individuals with iSCI, particularly those with lower levels of functioning, may be able to modulate both stride length and frequency with training to reach higher velocities⁴¹.

Consistent with the above and previous findings²⁹, evaluation of joint kinematics during treadmill walking revealed changes primarily in those participants who demonstrated increases in stride length. Namely, increased hip ROM was observed in at least 2 participants (S1 and S3) to account for changes in peak speeds, with limited or variable changes in knee and ankle ROM across all participants. These specific differences in hip ROM may be expected, as both S1 and S3 required AFOs during testing. Given the restriction of ankle motion and reduced propulsive forces generated by the plantarflexors with AFO use, increases in hip ROM could reflect compensatory strategies used to increase gait speed⁴⁵. However, gait speed changes were similar in participants who did not wear AFOs, and biomechanical strategies appear to differ from those with lower extremity bracing. Unfortunately, we were not able to collect accurate gait kinetics during testing due to foot placement, and such analyses in future studies would provide further insight into the biomechanical mechanisms of increased speeds.

A surprising kinematic finding was the lack of improvements in hip-knee angular consistency following training. Previous data in participants with stroke²⁴ or incomplete SCI^{46,47} following higher intensity stepping protocols demonstrate improvements in ACC, although other stepping protocols that may not focus on high cardiovascular intensities elicit variable changes in intralimb consistency⁴⁷. The inconsistent changes in ACC do not appear to be due to limited changes in speed, as participants with similar speed improvements demonstrated both positive and negative ACC changes (e.g., S2 and S3). Interestingly, the participant with large decreases in ACC bilaterally (S3) was the most impaired, as indicated by lower LEMS (21) and BBS (5) at baseline. Conversely, while S2 presented with equivalent initial walking speed as S3 at baseline, S2 demonstrated a higher LEMS and the largest gains in ACC values. Perhaps individuals with more severe motor deficits utilize alternative and inconsistent compensatory strategies to advance the limbs during stepping tasks, whereas those with greater motor control may be able to demonstrate greater intralimb consistency and coordination with improvements in gait speed with training. Greater sample sizes in future studies may help elucidate the validity of this hypothesis.

Even with the modest improvements observed, none of the gains were maintained in the 3 participants tested at F/U. Given the increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus in persons with SCI⁴⁸, lower peak metabolic capacity^{41,49}, and reduced daily stepping², additional interventions of physical activity are likely necessary to maintain gains in motor function following interventions. Selected reports have elucidated the positive effects of cardiovascular gains when training walking ablity^{10,50}, with a recent systematic review reporting a low risk of cardiovascular training when conducted with proper safety precautions⁵¹. Given reduced access to such interventions, community-based strategies to increase participation in high-intensity stepping training may be an effective way to maintain gains observed.

Limitations of our case series include lack of blinded assessors and potential testing effects contributing to the outcomes. In addition, while assessment of gait kinematics during treadmill testing may be similar to overground walking, the use of bilateral handrails could result in very different biomechanical strategies as compared to overground ambulation⁵². Further, the small sample size and limited diversity of individuals of varying levels of

impairment are specific limitations that may limit the ability to generalize these findings. More directly, 3 participants presented with fairly low LEMS and BBS scores (S1–3), could not achieve > 2000 steps/session, and the benefits may be limited in very impaired individuals post-iSCI¹⁰.

A potentially important, related limitation is the inability to consistently achieve the desired HR range of at least 70% predicted HR_{max} during training in specific participants. For both S1 and S2, mean peak HRs were below or near 70% HR_{max} despite attempts to achieve up to 85% HRmax. Reduced HRs may be due to reduced afferent feedback with substantial deficits in volitional neuromuscular activation following SCI, or more likely decreased central drive to thoracic-levels sympathetic neural circuits that innervate the heart⁵³. Indeed, both S1 and S2 achieved lower average peak HRs, and presented with cervical-level injuries and substantial motor impairments that may underlie the reduced central drive to increase HRs. Use of surrogate measures, such as RPEs, may assist in estimating exercise intensity, its use in individuals with SCI may also be limited⁵⁴ and may reflect other subjective measures. Whether the limited benefits observed in selected participants were due to the degree of neuromuscular impairments or limited cardiovascular regulation, or both, is not clear. Perhaps additional, adjunctive strategies, such as electrical stimulation of central neural tissues⁵⁵ to augment neuromuscular activation may better facilitate gains and augment exercise intensity in indivituas with lower functional capacity when paired with higher intensity stepping strategies.

SUMMARY

The combined findings of this case series suggested modest improvement in locomotor function in individuals with motor iSCI with variable stepping training at high intensities and emphasize the importance of assessing intervention strategies directly on a targeted clinical population. Gains in lower functioning, ambulatory individuals with iSCI may be more limited than anticipated, and may be expected when similar strategies are applied in the clinic. Given these limitations, the potential benefits of high intensity variable stepping training in this population is not unclear, and investigations that delineate changes in both locomotor function and quality across individuals with varying motor impairments will facilitate appropriate clinical implementation as warranted.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

<u>Funding Source:</u> Funding for the study was provided by National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research grants H133B031127 and H133B140012, and the Bullock Foundation.

References

 Ditunno PL, Patrick M, Stineman M, Ditunno JF. Who wants to walk? Preferences for recovery after SCI: a longitudinal and cross-sectional study. Spinal cord. 2008;46(7):500–506. [PubMed: 18209742]

- 2. Saraf P, Rafferty MR, Moore JL, et al. Daily stepping in individuals with motor incomplete spinal cord injury. Physical therapy. 2010;90(2):224–235. [PubMed: 20022997]
- 3. Mulroy S, Gronley J, Weiss W, Newsam C, Perry J. Use of cluster analysis for gait pattern classification of patients in the early and late recovery phases following stroke. Gait & posture. 2003;18(1):114–125. [PubMed: 12855307]
- 4. Behrman A, Harkema S. Locomotor training after human spinal cord injury: a series of case studies. Physical therapy. 2000;80(7):688–700. [PubMed: 10869131]
- 5. Behrman A, Lawless-Dixon AR, Davis SB, et al. Locomotor training progression and outcomes after incomplete spinal cord injury. Physical therapy. 2005;85(12):1356–1371. [PubMed: 16305274]
- 6. Reinkensmeyer D, Aoyagi D, Emken J, et al. Robotic gait training: toward more natural movements and optimal training algorithms. Conference proceedings : Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society Annual Conference 2004;7:4818–4821.
- Harkema SJ, Schmidt-Read M, Lorenz DJ, Edgerton VR, Behrman AL. Balance and ambulation improvements in individuals with chronic incomplete spinal cord injury using locomotor trainingbased rehabilitation. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2012;93(9):1508–1517. [PubMed: 21777905]
- Dobkin B, Apple D, Barbeau H, et al. Weight-supported treadmill vs over-ground training for walking after acute incomplete SCI. Neurology. 2006;66(4):484–493. [PubMed: 16505299]
- Duncan PW, Sullivan KJ, Behrman AL, et al. Body-weight-supported treadmill rehabilitation after stroke. The New England journal of medicine. 2011;364(21):2026–2036. [PubMed: 21612471]
- Alexeeva N, Sames C, Jacobs PL, et al. Comparison of training methods to improve walking in persons with chronic spinal cord injury: a randomized clinical trial. The journal of spinal cord medicine. 2011;34(4):362–379. [PubMed: 21903010]
- Hidler J, Nichols D, Pelliccio M, et al. Multicenter randomized clinical trial evaluating the effectiveness of the Lokomat in subacute stroke. Neurorehabilitation and neural repair. 2009;23(1):5–13. [PubMed: 19109447]
- Hornby TG, Campbell DD, Kahn JH, Demott T, Moore JL, Roth HR. Enhanced gait-related improvements after therapist- versus robotic-assisted locomotor training in subjects with chronic stroke: a randomized controlled study. Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation. 2008;39(6):1786– 1792.
- Holleran CL, Rodriguez KS, Echauz A, Leech KA, Hornby TG. Potential contributions of training intensity on locomotor performance in individuals with chronic stroke. Journal of neurologic physical therapy : JNPT. 2015;39(2):95–102. [PubMed: 25784587]
- Hornby TG, Holleran CL, Hennessy PW, et al. Variable Intensive Early Walking Poststroke (VIEWS): A Randomized Controlled Trial. Neurorehabilitation and neural repair. 2016;30(5):440– 450. [PubMed: 26338433]
- Kleim JA, Jones TA. Principles of experience-dependent neural plasticity: implications for rehabilitation after brain damage. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2008;51(1):S225–239. [PubMed: 18230848]
- Hornby TG, Moore JL, Lovell L, Roth EJ. Influence of skill and exercise training parameters on locomotor recovery during stroke rehabilitation. Current opinion in neurology. 2016;29(6):677– 683. [PubMed: 27748688]
- Yang JF, Musselman KE, Livingstone D, et al. Repetitive mass practice or focused precise practice for retraining walking after incomplete spinal cord injury? A pilot randomized clinical trial. Neurorehabilitation and neural repair. 2014;28(4):314–324. [PubMed: 24213960]
- Holleran CL, Straube DD, Kinnaird CR, Leddy AL, Hornby TG. Feasibility and potential efficacy of high-intensity stepping training in variable contexts in subacute and chronic stroke. Neurorehabilitation and neural repair. 2014;28(7):643–651. [PubMed: 24515925]
- Straube DD, Holleran CL, Kinnaird CR, Leddy AL, Hennessy PW, Hornby TG. Effects of dynamic stepping training on nonlocomotor tasks in individuals poststroke. Physical therapy. 2014;94(7):921–933. [PubMed: 24627428]
- 20. van den Brand R, Heutschi J, Barraud Q, et al. Restoring voluntary control of locomotion after paralyzing spinal cord injury. Science. 2012;336(6085):1182–1185. [PubMed: 22654062]

- Shah PK, Gerasimenko Y, Shyu A, et al. Variability in step training enhances locomotor recovery after a spinal cord injury. The European journal of neuroscience. 2012;36(1):2054–2062. [PubMed: 22591277]
- 22. Leddy AL, Connolly M, Holleran CL, et al. Alterations in Aerobic Exercise Performance and Gait Economy Following High-Intensity Dynamic Stepping Training in Persons With Subacute Stroke. Journal of neurologic physical therapy : JNPT. 2016;40(4):239–248. [PubMed: 27632078]
- 23. Hornby TG, Holleran CL, Hennessy PW, et al. Variable Intensive Early Walking Poststroke (VIEWS): A Randomized Controlled Trial. Neurorehabilitation and neural repair. 2015.
- 24. Mahtani GB, Kinnaird CR, Connolly M, et al. Altered Sagittal- and Frontal-Plane Kinematics Following High-Intensity Stepping Training Versus Conventional Interventions in Subacute Stroke. Physical therapy. 2016.
- Musselman KE, Fouad K, Misiaszek JE, Yang JF. Training of walking skills overground and on the treadmill: case series on individuals with incomplete spinal cord injury. Physical therapy. 2009;89(6):601–611. [PubMed: 19423643]
- Dobkin BH. Motor rehabilitation after stroke, traumatic brain, and spinal cord injury: common denominators within recent clinical trials. Current opinion in neurology. 2009;22(6):563–569. [PubMed: 19724226]
- 27. Cruz S, Blauwet CA. Implications of altered autonomic control on sports performance in athletes with spinal cord injury. Auton Neurosci. 2017.
- Moore JL, Roth EJ, Killian C, Hornby TG. Locomotor training improves daily stepping activity and gait efficiency in individuals poststroke who have reached a "plateau" in recovery. Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation. 2010;41(1):129–135.
- Schmitz KH, Courneya KS, Matthews C, et al. American College of Sports Medicine roundtable on exercise guidelines for cancer survivors. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2010;42(7):1409–1426. [PubMed: 20559064]
- Field-Fote EC, Tepavac D. Improved intralimb coordination in people with incomplete spinal cord injury following training with body weight support and electrical stimulation. Physical therapy. 2002;82(7):707–715. [PubMed: 12088467]
- Lewek MD, Cruz TH, Moore JL, Roth HR, Dhaher YY, Hornby TG. Allowing intralimb kinematic variability during locomotor training poststroke improves kinematic consistency: a subgroup analysis from a randomized clinical trial. Physical therapy. 2009;89(8):829–839. [PubMed: 19520734]
- Norton K, Norton L, Sadgrove D. Position statement on physical activity and exercise intensity terminology. J Sci Med Sport. 2010;13(5):496–502. [PubMed: 20005170]
- American College of Sports Medicine: Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription. 6th ed. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2000.
- 34. Billinger SA, Arena R, Bernhardt J, et al. Physical activity and exercise recommendations for stroke survivors: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/ American Stroke Association. Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation. 2014;45(8):2532–2553.
- 35. Borg GA. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 1982;14(5):377–381. [PubMed: 7154893]
- Lang CE, Macdonald JR, Reisman DS, et al. Observation of amounts of movement practice provided during stroke rehabilitation. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2009;90(10):1692–1698. [PubMed: 19801058]
- 37. Lam T, Noonan VK, Eng JJ, Team SR. A systematic review of functional ambulation outcome measures in spinal cord injury. Spinal cord. 2008;46(4):246–254. [PubMed: 17923844]
- Nair PM, Hornby TG, Behrman AL. Minimal detectable change for spatial and temporal measurements of gait after incomplete spinal cord injury. Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil. 2012;18(3):273–281. [PubMed: 23459394]
- Field-Fote EC, Roach KE. Influence of a locomotor training approach on walking speed and distance in people with chronic spinal cord injury: a randomized clinical trial. Physical therapy. 2011;91(1):48–60. [PubMed: 21051593]

- Ivey FM, Stookey AD, Hafer-Macko CE, Ryan AS, Macko RF. Higher Treadmill Training Intensity to Address Functional Aerobic Impairment after Stroke. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2015;24(11):2539–2546. [PubMed: 26303787]
- 41. Leech KA, Kinnaird CR, Holleran CL, Kahn J, Hornby TG. Effects of Locomotor Exercise Intensity on Gait Performance in Individuals With Incomplete Spinal Cord Injury. Physical therapy. 2016;96(12):1919–1929. [PubMed: 27313241]
- 42. Macko RF, Ivey FM, Forrester LW, et al. Treadmill exercise rehabilitation improves ambulatory function and cardiovascular fitness in patients with chronic stroke: a randomized, controlled trial. Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation. 2005;36(10):2206–2211.
- DiPiro ND, Embry AE, Fritz SL, Middleton A, Krause JS, Gregory CM. Effects of aerobic exercise training on fitness and walking-related outcomes in ambulatory individuals with chronic incomplete spinal cord injury. Spinal cord. 2016;54(9):675–681. [PubMed: 26666508]
- 44. Pepin A, Ladouceur M, Barbeau H. Treadmill walking in incomplete spinal-cord-injured subjects:2. Factors limiting the maximal speed. Spinal cord. 2003;41(5):271–279. [PubMed: 12714989]
- Nadeau S, Gravel D, Arsenault AB, Bourbonnais D. Plantarflexor weakness as a limiting factor of gait speed in stroke subjects and the compensating role of hip flexors. Clinical biomechanics. 1999;14(2):125–135. [PubMed: 10619100]
- Leech KA, Kinnaird CR, Holleran CL, Kahn J, Hornby TG. Effects of Locomotor Exercise Intensity on Gait Performance in Individuals With Incomplete Spinal Cord Injury. Physical therapy. 2016.
- 47. Nooijen CF, Ter Hoeve N, Field-Fote EC. Gait quality is improved by locomotor training in individuals with SCI regardless of training approach. Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation. 2009;6:36. [PubMed: 19799783]
- Chopra AS, Miyatani M, Craven BC. Cardiovascular disease risk in individuals with chronic spinal cord injury: Prevalence of untreated risk factors and poor adherence to treatment guidelines. The journal of spinal cord medicine. 2016:1–8. [PubMed: 26796045]
- Hornby TG, Kinnaird CR, Holleran CL, Rafferty MR, Rodriguez KS, Cain JB. Kinematic, muscular, and metabolic responses during exoskeletal-, elliptical-, or therapist-assisted stepping in people with incomplete spinal cord injury. Physical therapy. 2012;92(10):1278–1291. [PubMed: 22700537]
- Millar PJ, Rakobowchuk M, Adams MM, Hicks AL, McCartney N, MacDonald MJ. Effects of short-term training on heart rate dynamics in individuals with spinal cord injury. Auton Neurosci. 2009;150(1–2):116–121. [PubMed: 19406691]
- 51. Warms CA, Backus D, Rajan S, Bombardier CH, Schomer KG, Burns SP. Adverse events in cardiovascular-related training programs in people with spinal cord injury: a systematic review. The journal of spinal cord medicine. 2014;37(6):672–692. [PubMed: 24090603]
- 52. Lee SJ, Hidler J. Biomechanics of overground vs. treadmill walking in healthy individuals. Journal of applied physiology. 2008;104(3):747–755. [PubMed: 18048582]
- Phillips AA, Krassioukov AV. Contemporary Cardiovascular Concerns after Spinal Cord Injury: Mechanisms, Maladaptations, and Management. Journal of neurotrauma. 2015;32(24):1927–1942. [PubMed: 25962761]
- 54. Lewis JE, Nash MS, Hamm LF, Martins SC, Groah SL. The relationship between perceived exertion and physiologic indicators of stress during graded arm exercise in persons with spinal cord injuries. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2007;88(9):1205–1211. [PubMed: 17826469]
- 55. Zareen N, Shinozaki M, Ryan D, et al. Motor cortex and spinal cord neuromodulation promote corticospinal tract axonal outgrowth and motor recovery after cervical contusion spinal cord injury. Experimental neurology. 2017;297:179–189. [PubMed: 28803750]

Table 1.

Baseline demographics, clinical characteristics, and training parameters of enrolled participants.

Demographics/clinical characteristics	Participant 1	Participant 2	Participant 3	Participant 4
age (years)	34	45	48	18
duration (mos)	16	53	17	14
gender	М	М	М	F
AIS classification	D	С	С	D
neurologic level	C5	C7	T3	C5
LEMS	34	30	21	38
assistive devices	rolling walker	walker	walker	none
bracing	bilateral AFOs	none	bilateral AFOs	none
medications	80 mg baclofen	none	10 mg baclofen	none
Training parameters				
# sessions	40	24	38	40
steps/session (min-max)	1963±189 (1586–2242)	1795±190 (1360–1984)	1932±206 (1475–2284)	3195±354 (2342–3698)
% HRmax (min-max)	72±4.1% (63–79%)	65±2.8% (57–70%)	80±4.2% (67–88%)	83±3.9% (72–92%)
peak RPE (min-max)	18±1.2 (15–19)	18±1.3 (16–20)	17±1.0 (15–19)	19±1.1 (16–20)

to	
	assessing
lociailo	CIIIICAL
mobaccos	second and
040	allu
tor mimory and soon	pumany
f, f	
low up outcome	outcome
an mollo	dn-womo
+ page	allu
t troining on	-u annig
000	hon
Dro training	ric-uaming,

	Pai	rticipan	ıt 1	Pai	rticipan	t 2	Participant 1 Participant 2 Participant 3 Participant 4	rticipan	ıt 3	Pai	rticipan	ıt 4
primary	Pre	Post	<u>F/U</u>	Pre	Post	<u>F/U</u>	Pre	Post	<u>F/U</u>	Pre	Post	F/U
SSS (m/s)	0.22	0.26	0.24	0.10	0.19	·	0.13	0.18	0.12	1.02	1.25	0.99
FS (m/s)	0.29	0.36	0.36	0.10	0.26	ī	0.16	0.27	0.15	1.53	1.64	1.37
6MWD (m)	76	87	91	35	64		34	80	31	432	509	433
secondary												
BBS	6	12	15	×	12	'	5	٢	9	51	53	51
Peak TM speed (m/s)	0.50	0.60	0.50	0.20	0.50	·	0.10	0.30	0.10	1.2	1.3	1.3
VO ₂ peak (ml/kg/min) 26	26	30	30 17 17 23	17	23	,	19		22 11 25	25	29	23

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Holleran et al.

Table 3.

Changes in selected gait kinematics pre- and post-training

	Partic	Participant 1	Partic	Participant 2	Partic	Participant 3	Partic	Participant 4
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
<u>spatiotemporal</u>								
speed (m/s)	0.50	0.60	0.20	0.50	0.10	0.30	1.2	1.3
stride length (m)	1.2	1.5	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.9	1.5	1.4
cadence (steps/min)	51	51	37	57	24	46	100	111
less impaired								
ankle ROM (°)	40	45	14	18	16	38	34	36
knee ROM (°)	81	85	73	68	47	50	46	61
hip ROM (°)	60	70	49	48	29	42	55	58
ACC	0.95	0.95	0.79	0.86	0.61	0.52	0.89	0.87
more impaired								
ankle ROM (°)	38	43	21	22	11	13	18	19
knee ROM (°)	62	72	73	68	26	25	29	26
hip ROM (°)	99	65	36	38	17	30	46	48
ACC	0.88	0.84	0.62	0.79	0.54	0.47	0.89	0.96