



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

the differential diagnosis of sepsis or meningitis is broad. Third, although the path to commercialisation is underway, it might be challenging. Inexpensive, straightforward assays are excellent from public health and global health perspectives, but disruptive new technologies are not always adopted.

Irrespective of how successful the commercialisation process is, the authors have developed a novel diagnostic test for *Neisseria meningitidis* that is easy to use and provides results within 90 min. In such a timeframe, results can lead to actionable decision-making, most likely to halt further diagnostic testing. The niche for LAMP in meningococcal disease remains to be established, but the fact that the technique allows diagnostic confirmation at the treating hospital rather than a reference laboratory is a good start.

Nathan C Bahr, *David R Boulware

Division of Infectious Disease and International Medicine,
Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota, 2001 6th St SE,
Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
boulw001@umn.edu

NCB is supported by the US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (T32AI055433). We declare no competing interests.

- 1 Yamamoto Y. PCR in diagnosis of infection: detection of bacteria in cerebrospinal fluids. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 2002; **9**: 508–14.
- 2 Sachdev D, Lal Patel A, Sonkar SC, Kumari I, Saluja D. Diagnosis of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* using molecular beacon. *BioMed Res Int* 2015; **2015**: 597432.
- 3 Bourke TW, McKenna JP, Coyle PV, Shields MD, Fairley DJ. Diagnostic accuracy of loop-mediated isothermal amplification as a near-patient test for meningococcal disease in children: an observational cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015; published online Feb 27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(15\)70038-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)70038-1).
- 4 Parida M, Sannarangaiah S, Dash PK, Rao PV, Morita K. Loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP): a new generation of innovative gene amplification technique; perspectives in clinical diagnosis of infectious diseases. *Rev Med Virol* 2008; **18**: 407–21.
- 5 Bahr NC, Boulware DR. Methods of rapid diagnosis for the etiology of meningitis in adults. *Biomark Med* 2014; **8**: 1085–103.
- 6 Patel JC, Lucchi NW, Srivastava P, et al. Field evaluation of a real-time fluorescence loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay, RealAmp, for the diagnosis of malaria in Thailand and India. *J Infect Dis* 2014; **210**: 1180–87.
- 7 Mitarai S, Okumura M, Toyota E, et al. Evaluation of a simple loop-mediated isothermal amplification test kit for the diagnosis of tuberculosis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2011; **15**: 1211–17.

A more detailed picture of the epidemiology of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus



In the *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, Marcel Muller and colleagues¹ present the first, well designed, credible, population-based seroepidemiological study of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in Saudi Arabia.

Since the initial identification of MERS-CoV in 2012,² up to Mar 8, 2015, WHO have reported 1082 cases of infection with this virus, 439 of which ended in death.³ Most cases were in countries in the Arabian Peninsula, with sporadic travel-related infections occurring elsewhere.

Although clusters of human-to-human transmission of MERS-CoV are well documented, transmission originates from zoonotic events, and therefore identification of the animal sources of MERS-CoV is a priority. Genetically, MERS-CoV is related to bat coronaviruses from China, Saudi Arabia, Europe, and Africa.^{2,4–6} On the basis of the evidence so far, none of the bats from these countries are likely to be the reservoir for the virus.

Evidence is accumulating that dromedary camels are a natural host of MERS-CoV. Serological findings suggest

that more than 90% of adult dromedaries in the Middle East and Africa are seropositive for MERS-CoV.⁷ The virus isolated from dromedaries has spike proteins with conserved receptor-binding domains for the human dipeptidyl peptidase-4 receptor,^{8,9} and MERS-CoV has been detected in camels that were in close contact with people with Middle East respiratory syndrome.^{10,11}

The role of camels as a source of human infection with Middle East respiratory syndrome is controversial because many people who have the disease have had no obvious association with camels. Case-control studies that aim to define risk exposures of index patients have not yet been done, and an investigation of MERS-CoV seroprevalence in people in contact with camels yielded negative results (ie, no association was noted).^{8,12–14}

The study by Muller and colleagues is the first population-based, seroepidemiological investigation of MERS-CoV infection in an area where zoonotic transmission is sustained. The investigators used a

Published Online
April 9, 2015
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(15\)70128-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)70128-3)
See [Articles](#) page 559




Figure: The epidemiology of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus
 Black arrows represent unconfirmed routes of transmission. Red arrows represent plausible routes of transmission. Red human figures represent people infected with Middle East respiratory syndrome.

cross-sectional design that tested serum samples from about 10 000 people in Saudi Arabia whose age and sex distribution was similar to that of the general population. By use of a rigorous serological testing algorithm, the investigators identified MERS-CoV antibodies in 15 (0.15%, 95% CI 0.09–0.24) of 10 009 samples from the general population. Men had a significantly higher proportion of infections (11 [0.25%] of 4341) than did women (two [0.05%] of 4378; $p=0.025$), and more infections were noted in central rural areas than in coastal provinces (14 [0.26%] of 5479 vs one [0.02%] of 4529; $p=0.003$). The investigators also obtained samples from camel shepherds and slaughterhouse workers, and showed that seroprevalence of MERS-CoV was 15–23 times higher in camel-exposed individuals than in the general population. The findings from this study suggest that young men in Saudi Arabia who have contact with camels in cultural or occupational settings are becoming infected with MERS-CoV, often without being diagnosed, and might proceed to introduce the virus to the general population in which more severe illness triggers testing for the virus and disease recognition. This hypothesis could account for cases of Middle East respiratory syndrome without previous animal exposure.

When the data from Muller and colleagues¹ are put into context with those from studies in camels, a clearer picture of the epidemiology of MERS-CoV emerges (figure). Camels seem to be a natural host for MERS-CoV and transmission within camel herds is well established.¹⁵

However, several questions need to be resolved. The route for camel-to-human transmission is unclear, and could be from one or more of the following: direct contact with infected animals, or consumption of milk, urine, or uncooked meat—all practices that are common in affected countries in the Middle East. An intermediate host could also transmit MERS-CoV between camels and human beings. Finally, whether dromedaries are the natural reservoir or an amplifier host is a hypothesis that is open to further investigation. Muller and colleagues' study is the first step along the way to addressing these questions.

*Ghazi Kayali, *Malik Peiris

*Contributed equally and are both corresponding authors
 Division of Virology, Department of Infectious Diseases, St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, 38105-2794, USA (GK); School of Public Health, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (MP)

ghazi.kayali@stjude.org; malik@hku.hk

GK and MP were funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, and Department of Health and Human Services, USA, (contract number HHSN272201500006C) and supported by the American Lebanese Syrian Associated Charities. We declare no competing interests.

- Muller MA, Meyer B, Corman VM, et al. Presence of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus antibodies in Saudi Arabia: a nationwide, cross-sectional serological study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015; published online April 9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(15\)70090-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)70090-3).
- Zaki AM, van Boheemen S, Bestebroer TM, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **367**: 1814–20.
- WHO. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV): summary of current situation, literature update and risk assessment. Feb 5, 2015. http://www.who.int/csr/disease/coronavirus_infections/mers-5-february-2015.pdf?ua=1 (accessed March 5, 2015).
- Annan A, Baldwin HJ, Corman VM, et al. Human betacoronavirus 2c EMC/2012-related viruses in bats, Ghana and Europe. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2013; **19**: 456–59.
- Ithete NL, Stoffberg S, Corman VM, et al. Close relative of human Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in bat, South Africa. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2013; **19**: 1697–99.
- Yang L, Wu Z, Ren X, et al. MERS-Related Betacoronavirus in *Vespertilio Superans* bats, China. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2014; **20**: 1260–62.
- Mackay IM, Arden KE. Middle East respiratory syndrome: An emerging coronavirus infection tracked by the crowd. *Virus Res* 2015; published online Feb 2. DOI:10.1016/j.virusres.2015.01.021.
- Chu DK, Poon LL, Gomaa MR, et al. MERS coronaviruses in dromedary camels, Egypt. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2014; **20**: 1049–53.
- Hemida MG, Chu DKW, Poon LLM, et al. MERS coronavirus in dromedary camel herd, Saudi Arabia. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2014; **20**: 1231–34.
- Haagmans BL, Al Dhahiry SH, Reusken CB, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in dromedary camels: an outbreak investigation. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014; **14**: 140–45.
- Memish ZA, Cotten M, Meyer B, et al. Human infection with MERS coronavirus after exposure to infected camels, Saudi Arabia, 2013. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2014; **20**: 1012–15.
- Aburizaiza AS, Mattes FM, Azhar EI, et al. Investigation of anti-middle East respiratory syndrome antibodies in blood donors and slaughterhouse workers in Jeddah and Makkah, Saudi Arabia, fall 2012. *J Infect Dis* 2014; **209**: 243–46.

- 13 Memish ZA, Alsaahly A, Masri MA, et al. Sparse evidence of MERS-CoV infection among animal workers living in Southern Saudi Arabia during 2012. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 2015; **9**: 64–67.
- 14 Hemida MG, Al-Naeem A, Perera RAPM, Chin AWH, Poon LLM, Peiris M. Lack of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus transmission from infected camels. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2015; **21**: 699–701.
- 15 Wernery U, Corman VM, Wong EYM, et al. Acute Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection in livestock dromedaries, Dubai, 2014. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2015; published online June 2015. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2106.150038> (accessed March 24, 2015).

Greatest effect of HPV vaccination from school-based programmes



Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination programmes have been in use in many countries since 2007 following licensing of the bivalent and quadrivalent HPV vaccines.¹ Clinical trials have shown that HPV vaccines have more than 90% efficacy in preventing high-grade cervical lesions caused by human papillomavirus types 16 and 18,^{2,3} which are the two HPV types known to cause 70–80% of cervical cancers and large proportions of other anogenital and oropharyngeal cancers. The quadrivalent vaccine has shown similar efficacy in the prevention of anogenital warts caused by HPV types 6 and 11. Furthermore, both vaccines have shown lower—but still substantial—efficacy against related non-vaccine oncogenic human papillomavirus types.^{4,5} Therefore, since rollout of these vaccination programmes began, there has been great anticipation to see whether these promising trial results will translate into substantial reductions in HPV-related disease at the population level.⁶ In *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, Mélanie Drolet and colleagues present the findings of a timely systematic review and meta-analysis assessing the population-level and herd effects of HPV vaccination programmes so far.⁷


The key findings of the study suggest that in the pre-to-post-vaccination period covered by the 19 included studies, HPV type 16 and 18 infections and anogenital warts have decreased by more than 60% in girls (<20 years of age) when high female vaccination coverage (>50%) was reported. The authors also report significant reductions in HPV types 31, 33, and 45 infections in this same group (relative risk [RR] 0.72 [95% CI 0.54–0.96]) and in anogenital warts in boys younger than 20 years of age (0.66 [0.47–0.91]) and in women 20–39 years of age (0.68 [0.51–0.89]), which provides strong evidence of both cross-protection and herd effects. Smaller, but still significant, reductions in HPV types 16 and 18 infection (RR 0.50 [95% CI 0.34–0.74]) and anogenital warts (0.86 [0.79–0.94]) also occurred in girls and young women in

countries with lower vaccination coverage (<50%), but there was no evidence to suggest either cross-protection or herd immunity in these countries.

Drolet and colleagues aimed to report the population-level effect of vaccination and, although the results are very encouraging, we need to keep in mind that their study included both clinic-based and population-based studies, of which the clinic-based studies might not be representative of the underlying population. Additionally, vaccine coverage for the outcome of HPV prevalence was based on vaccine uptake in the study, which in some cases was substantially larger than that in the underlying population.⁸ Although the authors did several subanalyses to investigate heterogeneity, we think it would have been helpful to do an additional subanalysis stratifying the data by clinic-based versus population-based study. Disappointingly, all the included studies were from high-income countries (nine countries in total) and we are therefore none the wiser regarding the effect of vaccination in low-income countries in which the burden of HPV-associated disease is highest.

This report shows that the maximum population-level effect of vaccination is achieved through delivery at a young age with high vaccination uptake rate. A result we found striking is that the greatest effect of vaccination, in both male and female individuals, was recorded for countries such as Australia, New Zealand, and the UK that have school-based vaccination programmes. This finding is corroborated by the results of another recent systematic review that assessed the effect of the quadrivalent vaccine on anogenital warts.⁹ In this study, Mariani and colleagues reported that the greatest reductions in anogenital warts were achieved in countries with high vaccination uptake rates, mostly through school-based vaccination programmes, although some non-school-based programmes also achieved high vaccination uptake rates.

One concern with school-based programmes is the



Cavallini James/ISTAP/Science Photo Library

Published Online
March 3, 2015
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(15\)70078-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)70078-2)
See **Articles** page 565