



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Journal of Hospital Infection

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jhin](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jhin)

## Letters to the Editor

### The non-contact handheld cutaneous infra-red thermometer for fever screening during the COVID-19 global emergency



Sir,

The recent article 'Novel coronavirus is putting the whole world on alert' highlighted the need for a large-scale programme to screen or detect individuals who may be infected by the novel coronavirus COVID-19 [1]. International media coverage of the COVID-19 global emergency has repeatedly depicted the popular but, to the author, disturbing use of the non-contact handheld infra-red thermometer to screen for fever at hospitals, primary care clinics, and commercial buildings.

Pulmonary artery catheterization is the reference standard to measure core body temperature, but is invasive, requires specialized skills and equipment, and is not suitable for screening of large cohorts [2]. Instead, surrogates such as rectal and oral thermometers are less invasive and moderately correlate with core body temperature but require contact with bodily fluids and hence risk contamination. The tympanic infra-red thermometer frequently used in patient care has been shown to correlate with core body temperature [2,3].

Although the handheld cutaneous infra-red thermometer is popularly used to screen large cohorts as it is portable, does not require contact and does not cause discomfort to the individual being assessed, there is little data to support its use. Among common cutaneous infra-red thermometers evaluated for their ability to measure temperature traceable to the International Temperature Scale of 1990 (ITS-90), the majority performed outside the accuracy range stated by the manufacturers and the medical standard [4]. The handheld cutaneous infra-red thermometer was less accurate than the tympanic thermometer and other infra-red thermal systems for temperature measurements and fever detection [3,5–7]. A local study found the handheld infra-red thermometer to have a low sensitivity of 29.4% when compared with the oral thermometer to detect fever [7]. In addition, its performance is operator-dependent, as the thermometer is aimed at the temple or forehead, and distance between the thermometer and skin may affect its accuracy. It is not unlikely that sub-optimally trained operators, shying away from close contact with those

being screened, hold the thermometer further away than the required proximity and thus compromise its effectiveness. In a possible pandemic, a false negative is a false reassurance and a potential future infection cluster.

#### Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

#### Funding sources

None.

## References

- [1] Khan S, Ali A, Siddique R, Nabi G. Novel coronavirus is putting the whole world on alert. *J Hosp Infect* 2020;104:252–3.
- [2] Hooper VD, Andrews JO. Accuracy of noninvasive core temperature measurement in acutely ill adults: the state of the science. *Biol Res Nurs* 2006;8:24–34.
- [3] Bijur PE, Shah PD, Esses D. Temperature measurement in the adult emergency department: oral, tympanic membrane and temporal artery temperatures versus rectal temperature. *Emerg Med J* 2016;33:843–7.
- [4] Fletcher T, Whittam A, Simpson R, Machin G. Comparison of non-contact infrared skin thermometers. *J Med Engng Technol* 2018;42:65–71.
- [5] Liu CC, Chang RE, Chang WC. Limitations of forehead infrared body temperature detection for fever screening for severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;25:1109–11.
- [6] Hausfater P, Zhao Y, Defrenne S, Bonnet P, Riou B. Cutaneous infrared thermometry for detecting febrile patients. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2008;14:1255–8.
- [7] Tay MR, Low YL, Zhao X, Cook AR, Lee VJ. Comparison of infrared thermal detection systems for mass fever screening in a tropical healthcare setting. *Publ Health* 2015;129:1471–8.

J. Aw\*

Frontier Medical Group, Singapore

\* Address: 163 Ang Mo Kio Avenue 4 #01-426, 560163, Singapore. Tel.: +65 64532571.

E-mail address: [med00114@yahoo.com](mailto:med00114@yahoo.com)

Available online 21 February 2020

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.02.010>

© 2020 The Healthcare Infection Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.