

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

assessment for G6PD deficiency before initiating hydroxychloroquine treatment.² In the largest US study² to evaluate the frequency of G6PD deficiency in patients taking hydroxychloroquine, there were no reported episodes of haemolysis in more than 700 months of hydroxychloroquine exposure among the 11 G6PD-deficient patients. Even though similar studies of chloroquine are not available, there are no reports of haemolysis associated with chloroquine monotherapy either.³

In the same context, since conduction abnormalities and cardiomyopathy (from long-term use of hydroxychloroquine) are quite rare adverse events, no quidelines recommend that a routine cardiac evaluation be done before initiating hydroxychloroquine treatment.4 We acknowledge that the Mayo clinic has recommended baseline electrocardiogram monitoring of patients with COVID-19 before starting hydroxychloroquine treatment.5 However, this recommendation appears to be specific to COVID-19, since the disease per se can have clinically significant cardiopulmonary involvement.6

To conclude, although there is renewed global interest in hydro-xychloroquine at present, only time will tell how beneficial the recommendations regarding the global use of this drug during the COVID-19 pandemic will be, given that evidence of its effectiveness in this setting is scarce. However, if this drug is found to be beneficial, the fear of haemolysis should not deter one from the prescription of hydroxychloroquine.

We declare no competing interests.

TP Afra, *Muhammed Razmi T, Anuradha Bishnoi, NA Bishurul Hafi dr.razmi.t@gmail.com

Department of Dermatology, IQRAA Aesthetics, IQRAA International Hospital and Research Centre, Calicut, Kerala 673001, India (TPA, MRT, NABH); and Department of Dermatology, Venereology, and Leprology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India (AB)

- 1 Rathi S, Ish P, Kalantri A, et al. Hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis for COVID-19 contacts in India. Lancet Infect Dis 2020; published online April 17. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30313-3.
- 2 Mohammad S, Clowse MEB, Eudy AM, et al. Examination of hydroxychloroquine use and hemolytic anemia in G6PDH-deficient patients. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2018; 70: 481–85.
- 3 Youngster I, Arcavi L, Schechmaster R, et al. Medications and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency: an evidence-based review. Drug Saf 2010; 33: 713–26.
- 4 McGhie TK, Harvey P, Su J, et al. Electrocardiogram abnormalities related to anti-malarials in systemic lupus erythematosus. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2018; **36**: 545–51.
- 5 Giudicessi JR, Noseworthy PA, Friedman PA, Ackerman MJ. Urgent guidance for navigating and circumventing the QTc-prolonging and torsadogenic potential of possible pharmacotherapies for coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19). Mayo Clin Proc 2020; published online April 7. DOI:10.1016/j. mayocp.2020.03.024.
- 6 Li JW, Han TW, Woodward M, et al. The impact of 2019 novel coronavirus on heart injury: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2020; published online April 16. DOI:10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.008.

Making decisions to mitigate COVID-19 with limited knowledge

On March 11, 2020, WHO declared the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak a global pandemic. Aggressive actions should be taken immediately to mitigate the spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. In their Comment, Yonghong Xiao and Mili Estee Torok¹ rightly stated that infection prevention and control measures should be based on sound scientific principles. However, we disagree with the authors' views on certain measures that they consider to have "no scientific basis and have proven to be ineffective". A difference exists between measures with unknown effectiveness and those that have been proven ineffective or of no value.

We disagree with Xiao and Torok's view that "the practice of blocking traffic and lockdown of villages is of no value for the prevention and control of COVID-19". One of the references

provided to support this statement was a local transport authority policy reported in a newspaper, which should not be considered as scientific evidence. Several studies have been done to assess the effectiveness of travel restrictions,^{2,3} and the benefit of such restrictions might vary in different settings. Further studies and more data are required to reach a solid conclusion.

With regard to hospital treatment of patients with COVID-19, Xiao and Torok suggested that patients should not be given drugs of unknown efficacy. However, considering that no treatments are known to be effective at present, we believe that off-label or compassionate use of drugs should be considered ethical, especially for patients with life-threatening infections. However, when considering off-label or compassionate use of drugs, the safety profile of the drug should be clear and the clinicians should carefully balance the risk and potential benefit of use—an approach used in the first report of remdesivir use for the treatment of COVID-19.4

During this urgent phase of the COVID-19 pandemic, decisions at the level of the public health response or clinical management have to be made using the scarce data available. Scientific evidence will be gradually established as a result of ongoing research. However, measures that have good rationale, but for which little data are available (eg, travel restrictions, lockdowns, and compassionate use of drugs), should also be considered as options and should be assessed and amended in a continuous manner.

We declare no competing interests.

Weituo Zhang, *Bi-yun Qian qianbiyun@sjtu.edu.cn

Hongqiao International Institute of Medicine, Shanghai Tong Ren Hospital and Clinical Research Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China

 Xiao Y, Torok ME. Taking the right measures to control COVID-19. Lancet Infect Dis 2020; published online March 5. https://doi. org/10.1016/51473-3099(20)30152-3.



Published Online April 7, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ \$1473-3099(20)30280-2

- 2 Chinazzi M, Davis JT, Ajelli M, et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. Science 2020; published online March 6. DOI:10.1126/science.aba9757.
- 3 Kucharski AJ, Russell TW, Diamond C, et al. Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis 2020; published online March 11. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30144-4.
- 4 Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S. First case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the United States. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 929–36.



Published Online April 20, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1473-3099(20)30312-1

Plea for multitargeted interventions for severe COVID-19

Severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is not just a serious respiratory viral disease, as influenza is, but rather a systemic multiorgan viral invasion. It is frequently complicated by overwhelming immunological reactions, with overactivation of T cells, leading to acute respiratory distress syndrome and multiorgan failure, secondary to immunopathological processes. The viral load of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 is not correlated with worsening symptoms, but it is the host inflammatory response that is a major cause of lung damage and subsequent mortality.1,2 Hyper-inflammatory responses in patients with COVID-19 are associated with a cytokine storm that is characterised by an increase in proinflammatory cytokines, including tumour necrosis factor, interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, and other chemokines in serum.3.4 Overwhelming secretion of cytokines causes severe lung damage, which manifests as extensive damage to pulmonary vascular endothelial cells and alveolar epithelial cells, as well as increased pulmonary vascular permeability, leading to pulmonary oedema and hyaline membrane formation.2-4

Most clinical trials to date have evaluated various strategies of antivirals, immunomodulators, host-targeted drugs, immune-based

therapies, or immunosuppressive drugs, including steroids, IL-6 or IL-1 antagonists, and selinexor; all have assessed single drugs with a clinical endpoint using the WHO seven-point ordinal scale. Although some of these drugs might have clinically meaningful effects on viral burden or some of the immune-related signs, it is highly improbable that a single drug will be enough to control and improve the most severe forms of COVID-19. It is likely that both antivirals and blockage of inflammatory pathways are needed to optimise responses. For example, it would be relevant to understand the role of steroids in combination with or sequential to antiviral treatments. Without studying combinations, and their potential synergies or additive effects, potentially useful agents could be disregarded. Furthermore, in the absence of synergistic combinations, single drugs might cause more harm for example, mass killing of the virus might enhance inflammatory responses. Because of the urgency of the current situation and, so far, an absence of clear evidence of a clinically meaningful effect of any monotherapy strategy, investigators should join their efforts in proposing, rather than adaptive or sequential studies of a single strategy, combined approaches through multifactorial designs. This approach will enable determination of the risks and benefits of combinations versus monotherapies. Such trials with multifactorial designs (eg, with randomisation first to antivirals and then to adjunctive immune-based therapy) are urgently needed and could provide more rapidly clinically meaningful results.

Furthermore, with improving knowledge of the various clinical presentations of COVID-19, better definitions of patient populations at highest risk of poor outcomes, based not only on clinical status but also on biomarkers (eg, C-reactive protein, D-dimer, ferritin, and IL-6), should be incorporated into inclusion criteria and stratifications.⁶ Finally,

the optimal timing or sequence of administration of the components of therapy during a worsening COVID-19 disease course need to be explored. We call for collaboration between pharmaceutical companies, institutions, and policy makers to either allow individuals to be enrolled simultaneously in trials of different investigational drugs with distinct targets or to collaborate on trials that include study arms that investigate combination therapy.

J-FB reports personal fees from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BMS, Gilead, GSK, Lilly, Novartis, Pierre Fabre, Roche, Sanofi, Takeda, and ViiV Healthcare, outside this work. JRA reports being an investigator on clinical trials for Gilead, Roche, and Xanofi, outside this work. SW reports grants, personal fees, non-financial support, and other from Merck, Gilead, ViiV Healthcare, GSK, and Janssen, outside this work. FR reports personal fees from Gilead, Janssen, MSD, Theratechnologies, and ViiV Healthcare, outside this work. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Benjamin Jean Gaborit, Jean-François Bergmann, Cristina Mussini, Jose Ramon Arribas, Georg Behrens, Sharon Walmsley, Anton Pozniak, *François Raffi francois.raffi@wanadoo.fr

Department of Infectious Diseases, Hotel-Dieu Hospital and INSERM CIC 1413, Nantes University Hospital, Nantes 44093, France (BJG, FR); Internal Medicine Department, Lariboisière Hospital, Paris, France (J-FB); Clinic of Infectious Diseases, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy (CM); Infectious Diseases Unit, Internal Medicine Service, Hospital La Paz, IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain (JRA); Department for Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany (GB); Toronto General Hospital Research Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada (SW); and Chelsea and Westminster Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. London. UK (AP)

- Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis 2020; published online March 12. DOI:10.1093/cid/ciaa248.
- 2 Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir Med 2020; 8: 420-22.
- Channappanavar R, Perlman S. Pathogenic human coronavirus infections cause and consequences of cytokine storm and immunopathology. Semin Immunopathol 2017; 39: 529–39.
- 4 Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, et al. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *Lancet* 2020; **395**: 1033–34.