



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Part 2: Adult basic life support

International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation

The consensus conference addressed many questions related to the performance of basic life support. These have been grouped into (1) epidemiology and recognition of cardiac arrest, (2) airway and ventilation, (3) chest compression, (4) compression–ventilation sequence, (5) postresuscitation positioning, (6) special circumstances, (7) emergency medical services (EMS) system, and (8) risks to the victim and rescuer. Defibrillation is discussed separately in Part 3 because it is both a basic and an advanced life support skill.

There have been several important advances in the science of resuscitation since the last ILCOR review in 2000. The following is a summary of the evidence-based recommendations for the performance of basic life support:

- Rescuers begin CPR if the victim is unconscious, not moving, and not breathing (ignoring occasional gasps).
- For mouth-to-mouth ventilation or for bag-valve-mask ventilation with room air or oxygen, the rescuer should deliver each breath in 1 s and should see visible chest rise.
- Increased emphasis on the process of CPR: push hard at a rate of 100 compressions per min, allow full chest recoil, and minimise interruptions in chest compressions.
- For the single rescuer of an infant (except newborns), child, or adult victim, use a single compression–ventilation ratio of 30:2 to simplify teaching, promote skills retention, increase the number of compressions given, and

decrease interruptions in compressions. During two-rescuer CPR of the infant or child, health-care providers should use a 15:2 compression–ventilation ratio.

- During CPR for a patient with an advanced airway (i.e. tracheal tube, Combitube, laryngeal mask airway [LMA]) in place, deliver ventilations at a rate of 8–10 per min for infants (excepting neonates), children and adults, without pausing during chest compressions to deliver the ventilations.

Epidemiology and recognition of cardiac arrest

Many people die prematurely from sudden cardiac arrest (SCA), often associated with coronary heart disease. The following section summarises the burden, risk factors, and potential interventions to reduce the risk.

Epidemiology

Incidence W137, W138A

Consensus on science. Approximately 400,000–460,000 people in the United States (LOE 5)¹ and 700,000 people in Europe (LOE 7)² experience SCA each year; resuscitation is attempted in approximately two thirds of these victims.³ Case series and cohort studies showed wide variation in the incidence of cardiac arrest, depending on the method

of assessment:

1.5 per 1000 person-years based on death certificates (LOE 5),⁴

0.5 per 1000 person-years based on activation of emergency medical services (EMS) systems (LOE 5).^{5,6}

In recent years the incidence of ventricular fibrillation (VF) at first rhythm analysis has declined significantly.^{7–9}

Prognosis

W138B

Consensus on science. Since the previous international evidence evaluation process (the International Guidelines 2000 Conference on CPR and ECC),¹⁰ there have been three systematic reviews of survival-to-hospital discharge from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (LOE 5).^{5,11,12} Of all victims of cardiac arrest treated by EMS providers, 5–10% survive; of those with VF, 15% survive to hospital discharge. In data from a national registry, survival to discharge from in-hospital cardiac arrest was 17% (LOE 5).¹³ The aetiology and presentation of in-hospital arrest differ from that of out-of-hospital arrests.

Risk of cardiac arrest is influenced by several factors, including demographic, genetic, behavioural, dietary, clinical, anatomical, and treatment characteristics (LOE 4–7).^{4,14–19}

Recognition

Early recognition is a key step in the early treatment of cardiac arrest. It is important to determine the most accurate method of diagnosing cardiac arrest.

Signs of cardiac arrest

W142A, W142B

Consensus on science. Checking the carotid pulse is an inaccurate method of confirming the presence or absence of circulation (LOE 3)²⁰; however, there is no evidence that checking for movement, breathing, or coughing (i.e. “signs of circulation”) is diagnostically superior (LOE 3).^{21,22} Agonal gasps are common in the early stages of cardiac arrest (LOE 5).²³ Bystanders often report to dispatchers that victims of cardiac arrest are “breathing” when they demonstrate agonal gasps; this can result in the withholding of CPR from victims who might benefit from it (LOE 5).²⁴

Treatment recommendation. Rescuers should start CPR if the victim is unconscious (unresponsive), not moving, and not breathing. Even if the victim takes occasional gasps, rescuers should suspect that cardiac arrest has occurred and should start CPR.

Airway and ventilation

The best method of obtaining an open airway and the optimum frequency and volume of artificial ventilation were reviewed.

Airway

Opening the airway

W149

Consensus on science. Five prospective clinical studies evaluating clinical (LOE 3)^{25,26} or radiological (LOE 3)^{27–29} measures of airway patency and one case series (LOE 5)³⁰ showed that the head tilt–chin lift manoeuvre is feasible, safe, and effective. No studies have evaluated the routine use of the finger sweep manoeuvre to clear an airway in the absence of obvious airway obstruction.

Treatment recommendation. Rescuers should open the airway using the head tilt–chin lift manoeuvre. Rescuers should use the finger sweep in the unconscious patient with a suspected airway obstruction only if solid material is visible in the oropharynx.

Devices for airway positioning

W1, W49A, W49B

Consensus on science. There is no published evidence on the effectiveness of devices for airway positioning. Collars that are used to stabilise the cervical spine can make airway management difficult and increase intracranial pressure (LOE 4^{31–33}; LOE 5³⁴).

Foreign-body airway obstruction

W151A, W151B

Like CPR, relief of foreign-body airway obstruction (FBAO) is an urgent procedure that should be taught to laypersons. Evidence for the safest, most effective, and simplest methods was sought.

Consensus on science. It is unclear which method of removal of FBAO should be used first. For conscious victims, case reports showed success in relieving FBAO with back blows (LOE 5),^{35–37}

abdominal thrusts (LOE 5),^{36–44} and chest thrusts (LOE 5).³⁶ Frequently, more than one technique was needed to achieve relief of the obstruction.^{36,45–50} Life-threatening complications have been associated with the use of abdominal thrusts (LOE 5).^{48,51–72}

For unconscious victims, case reports showed success in relieving FBAO with chest thrusts (LOE 5)⁴⁹ and abdominal thrusts (LOE 5).⁷³ One randomised trial of manoeuvres to clear the airway in cadavers (LOE 7)⁷⁴ and two prospective studies in anaesthetised volunteers (LOE 7)^{75,76} showed that higher airway pressures can be generated by using the chest thrust rather than the abdominal thrust.

Case series (LOE 5)^{36,37,45} reported the finger sweep as effective for relieving FBAO in unconscious adults and children aged >1 year. Four case reports documented harm to the victim's mouth (LOE 7)^{77,78} or biting of the rescuer's finger (LOE 7).^{29,30}

Treatment recommendation. Chest thrusts, back blows, or abdominal thrusts are effective for relieving FBAO in conscious adults and children >1 year of age, although injuries have been reported with the abdominal thrust. There is insufficient evidence to determine which should be used first. These techniques should be applied in rapid sequence until the obstruction is relieved; more than one technique may be needed. Unconscious victims should receive CPR. The finger sweep can be used in the unconscious patient with an obstructed airway if solid material is visible in the airway. There is insufficient evidence for a treatment recommendation for an obese or pregnant patient with FBAO.

Ventilation

Mouth-to-nose ventilation

W157A, W157B

Consensus on science. A case series suggested that mouth-to-nose ventilation of adults is feasible, safe, and effective (LOE 5).⁷⁹

Treatment recommendation. Mouth-to-nose ventilation is an acceptable alternative to mouth-to-mouth ventilation.

Mouth-to-tracheal stoma ventilation

W158A, 158B

Consensus on science. There was no published evidence of the safety or effectiveness of mouth-to-stoma ventilation. A single crossover study of

patients with laryngectomies showed that a paediatric face mask provided a better seal around the stoma than a standard ventilation mask (LOE 4).⁸⁰

Treatment recommendation. It is reasonable to perform mouth-to-stoma breathing or to use a well-sealing, round paediatric face mask.

Tidal volumes and ventilation rates

W53, W156A

Consensus on science. There was insufficient evidence to determine how many initial breaths should be given. Manikin studies (LOE 6)^{81–83} and one human study (LOE 7)⁸⁴ showed that when there is no advanced airway (such as a tracheal tube, Combitube, or LMA) in place, a tidal volume of 1 L produced significantly more gastric inflation than a tidal volume of 500 mL. Studies of anaesthetised patients with no advanced airway in place showed that ventilation with 455 mL of room air was associated with an acceptable but significantly reduced oxygen saturation when compared with 719 mL (LOE 7).⁸⁵ There was no difference in oxygen saturation with volumes of 624 and 719 mL (LOE 7).⁸⁵ A study of cardiac arrest patients compared tidal volumes of 500 mL versus 1000 mL delivered to patients with advanced airways during mechanical ventilation with 100% oxygen at a rate of 12 min⁻¹ (LOE 2).⁸⁶ Smaller tidal volumes were associated with higher arterial PCO₂ and worse acidosis but no differences in PaO₂.

Reports containing both a small case series (LOE 5) and an animal study (LOE 6)^{87,88} showed that hyperventilation is associated with increased intrathoracic pressure, decreased coronary and cerebral perfusion, and, in animals, decreased return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC). In a secondary analysis of the case series that included patients with advanced airways in place after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, ventilation rates of >10 min⁻¹ and inspiration times >1 s were associated with no survival (LOE 5).^{87,88} Extrapolation from an animal model of severe shock suggests that a ventilation rate of six ventilations per minute is associated with adequate oxygenation and better haemodynamics than ≥12 ventilations min⁻¹ (LOE 6).⁸⁹ In summary, larger tidal volumes and ventilation rates can be associated with complications, whereas the detrimental effects observed with smaller tidal volumes appear to be acceptable.

Treatment recommendation. For mouth-to-mouth ventilation with exhaled air or bag-valve-mask ventilation with room air or oxygen, it

is reasonable to give each breath within a 1-s inspiratory time to achieve chest rise. After an advanced airway (e.g. tracheal tube, Combitube, LMA) is placed, ventilate the patient's lungs with supplementary oxygen to make the chest rise. During CPR for a patient with an advanced airway in place, it is reasonable to ventilate the lungs at a rate of 8–10 ventilations min^{-1} without pausing during chest compressions to deliver ventilations. Use the same initial tidal volume and rate in patients regardless of the cause of the cardiac arrest.

Mechanical ventilators and automatic transport ventilators

W55, W152A

Consensus on science. Three manikin studies of simulated cardiac arrest showed a significant decrease in gastric inflation with manually triggered, flow-restricted, oxygen-powered resuscitators when compared with ventilation by bag-valve-mask (LOE 6).^{90–92} One study showed that firefighters who ventilated anaesthetised patients with no advanced airway in place produced less gastric inflation and lower peak airway pressure with manually triggered, flow-limited, oxygen-powered resuscitators than with a bag-valve-mask (LOE 5).⁹³ A prospective cohort study of intubated patients, most in cardiac arrest, in an out-of-hospital setting showed no significant difference in arterial blood gas values between those ventilated with an automatic transport ventilator and those ventilated manually (LOE 4).⁹⁴ Two laboratory studies showed that automatic transport ventilators can provide safe and effective management of mask ventilation during CPR of adult patients (LOE 6).^{95,96}

Treatment recommendation. There are insufficient data to recommend for or against the use of a manually triggered, flow-restricted resuscitator or an automatic transport ventilator during bag-valve-mask ventilation and resuscitation of adults in cardiac arrest.

Chest compressions

Several components of chest compressions can alter effectiveness: hand position, position of the rescuer, position of the victim, depth and rate of compression, decompression, and duty cycle (see definition below). Evidence for these techniques was reviewed in an attempt to define the optimal method.

Chest compression technique

Hand position

W167A, W167C

Consensus on science. There was insufficient evidence for or against a specific hand position for chest compressions during CPR in adults. In children who require CPR, compression of the lower one third of the sternum may generate a higher blood pressure than compressions in the middle of the chest (LOE 4).⁹⁷

Manikin studies in healthcare professionals showed improved quality of chest compressions when the dominant hand was in contact with the sternum (LOE 6).⁹⁸ There were shorter pauses between ventilations and compressions if the hands were simply positioned ‘‘in the center of the chest’’ (LOE 6).⁹⁹

Treatment recommendation. It is reasonable for laypeople and healthcare professionals to be taught to position the heel of their dominant hand in the centre of the chest of an adult victim, with the non-dominant hand on top.

Chest compression rate, depth, decompression, and duty cycle

W167A, W167B, W167C

Consensus on science

Rate. The number of compressions delivered per minute is determined by the compression rate, the compression–ventilation ratio, the time required to provide mouth-to-mouth or bag-valve-mask ventilation, and the strength (or fatigue) of the rescuer. Observational studies showed that responders give fewer compressions than currently recommended (LOE 5).^{100–103} Some studies in animal models of cardiac arrest showed that high-frequency CPR (120–150 compressions min^{-1}) improved haemodynamics without increasing trauma when compared with standard CPR (LOE 6),^{104–107} whereas others showed no effect (LOE 6).¹⁰⁸ Some studies in animals showed more effect from other variables, such as duty cycle (see below).¹⁰⁹ In humans, high-frequency CPR (120 compressions min^{-1}) improved haemodynamics over standard CPR (LOE 4).¹¹⁰ In mechanical CPR in humans, however, high-frequency CPR (up to 140 compressions min^{-1}) showed no improvement in haemodynamics when compared with 60 compressions min^{-1} (LOE 5).^{111,112}

Depth. In both out-of-hospital¹⁰² and in-hospital¹⁰⁰ studies, insufficient depth of compression was observed during CPR when compared

with currently recommended depths (LOE 5).^{100,102} Studies in animal models of adult cardiac arrest showed that deeper compressions (i.e. 3–4 in.) are correlated with improved ROSC and 24-h neurological outcome when compared with standard-depth compressions (LOE 6).^{107,113,114} A manikin study of rescuer CPR showed that compressions became shallow within one minute, but providers became aware of fatigue only after 5 min (LOE 6).¹¹⁵

Decompression. One observational study in humans (LOE 5)⁸⁸ and one manikin study (LOE 6)¹¹⁶ showed that incomplete chest recoil was common during CPR. In one animal study incomplete chest recoil was associated with significantly increased intrathoracic pressure, decreased venous return, and decreased coronary and cerebral perfusion during CPR (LOE 6).¹¹⁷ In a manikin study, lifting the hand slightly but completely off the chest during decompression allowed full chest recoil (LOE 6).¹¹⁶

Duty cycle. The term *duty cycle* refers to the time spent compressing the chest as a proportion of the time between the start of one cycle of compression and the start of the next. Coronary blood flow is determined partly by the duty cycle (reduced coronary perfusion with a duty cycle >50%) and partly by how fully the chest is relaxed at the end of each compression (LOE 6).¹¹⁸ One animal study that compared duty cycles of 20% with 50% during cardiac arrest chest compressions showed no statistical difference in neurological outcome at 24 h (LOE 6).¹⁰⁷

A mathematical model of Thumper CPR showed significant improvements in pulmonary, coronary, and carotid flow with a 50% duty cycle when compared with compression–relaxation cycles in which compressions constitute a greater percentage of the cycle (LOE 6).¹¹⁹ At duty cycles ranging between 20 and 50%, coronary and cerebral perfusion in animal models increased with chest compression rates of up to 130–150 compressions min⁻¹ (LOE 6).^{104,105,109} In a manikin study, duty cycle was independent of the compression rate when rescuers increased progressively from 40 to 100 compressions min⁻¹ (LOE 6).¹²⁰ A duty cycle of 50% is mechanically easier to achieve with practice than cycles in which compressions constitute a smaller percentage of cycle time (LOE 7).¹²¹

Treatment recommendation. It is reasonable for lay rescuers and healthcare providers to perform chest compressions for adults at a rate of at least 100 compressions min⁻¹ and to compress the sternum by at least 4–5 cm. Rescuers should allow complete recoil of the chest after each compression. When feasible, rescuers should frequently alternate ‘‘compressor’’ duties, regardless of whether they feel fatigued, to ensure that fatigue does not

interfere with delivery of adequate chest compressions. It is reasonable to use a duty cycle (i.e. ratio between compression and release) of 50%.

Firm surface for chest compressions

W167A

Consensus on science. When manikins were placed on a bed supported by a pressure-relieving mattress, chest compressions were less effective than those performed when the manikins were placed on the floor. Emergency deflation of the mattress did not improve the efficacy of chest compressions (LOE 6).^{122,123} These studies did not involve standard mattresses or backboards and did not consider the logistics of moving a victim from a bed to the floor.

Treatment recommendation. Cardiac arrest victims should be placed supine on a firm surface (i.e. backboard or floor) during chest compressions to optimise the effectiveness of compressions.

CPR process versus outcome

W182A, W182B, W194

Consensus on science. CPR compression rate and depth provided by lay responders (LOE 5),¹²⁴ physician trainees (LOE 5),¹⁰⁰ and EMS personnel (LOE 5)¹⁰² were insufficient when compared with currently recommended methods. Ventilation rates and durations higher or longer than recommended when CPR is performed impaired haemodynamics and reduced survival rates (LOE 6).⁸⁸ It is likely that poor performance of CPR impairs haemodynamics and possibly survival rates.

Treatment recommendation. It is reasonable for instructors, trainees, providers, and EMS agencies to monitor and improve the process of CPR to ensure adherence to recommended compression and ventilation rates and depths.

Alternative compression techniques

CPR in the prone position

W166D

Consensus on science. Six case series that included 22 intubated hospitalised patients documented survival to discharge in 10 patients who received CPR in a prone position (LOE 5).^{125–130}

Treatment recommendation. CPR with the patient in a prone position is a reasonable alternative for intubated hospitalised patients who cannot be placed in the supine position.

Leg-foot chest compressions

W166C

Consensus on science. Three studies in manikins showed no difference in chest compressions, depth, or rate when leg-foot compressions were used instead of standard chest compressions (LOE 6).^{131–133} Two studies^{132,133} reported that rescuers felt fatigue and leg soreness when using leg-foot chest compressions. One study¹³² reported incomplete chest recoil when leg-foot chest compressions were used.

'Cough' CPR

W166A

Consensus on science. Case series (LOE 5)^{134–136} show that repeated coughing every one to three seconds during episodes of rapid VF in supine, monitored, trained patients in the cardiac catheterisation laboratory can maintain a mean arterial pressure > 100 mmHg and maintain consciousness for up to 90 s. No data support the usefulness of cough CPR in any other setting, and there is no specific evidence for or against use of cough CPR by laypersons in unsupervised settings.

Compression–ventilation sequence

Any recommendation for a specific CPR compression–ventilation ratio represents a compromise between the need to generate blood flow and the need to supply oxygen to the lungs. At the same time any such ratio must be taught to would-be rescuers, so that skills acquisition and retention are also important factors.

Effect of ventilations on compressions

Interruption of compressions

W147A, W147B

Consensus on science. In animal studies interruption of chest compressions is associated with reduced ROSC and survival as well as increased postresuscitation myocardial dysfunction (LOE 6).^{137–139}

Observational studies (LOE 5)^{100,102} and secondary analyses of two randomised trials (LOE 5)^{140,141} have shown that interruption of chest compressions is common. In a retrospective analysis of the VF waveform, interruption of CPR was associated with a decreased probability of conversion of VF to another rhythm (LOE 5).¹⁴¹

Treatment recommendation. Rescuers should minimise interruptions of chest compressions.

Compression–ventilation ratio during CPR

W154

Consensus on science. An observational study showed that experienced paramedics performed ventilation at excessive rates on intubated patients during treatment for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (LOE 5).⁸⁸ An in-hospital study also showed delivery of excessive-rate ventilation to patients with and without advanced airways in place.¹⁰⁰ Two animal studies showed that hyperventilation is associated with excessive intrathoracic pressure and decreased coronary and cerebral perfusion pressures and survival rates (LOE 6).^{87,88}

Observational studies in humans showed that responders gave fewer compressions than currently recommended (LOE 5).^{100–102}

Multiple animal studies of VF arrests showed that continuous chest compressions with minimal or no interruptions is associated with better haemodynamics and survival than standard CPR (LOE 6).^{137,139,142–144}

Results of varying compression–ventilation ratios in intubated animal models and even theoretical calculations have yielded mixed results. In one animal model of cardiac arrest, use of a compression–ventilation ratio of 100:2 was associated with significantly improved neurological function at 24 h when compared with a ratio of 15:2 or continuous-compression CPR, but there was no significant difference in perfusion pressures or survival rates (LOE 6).¹⁴⁵ In an animal model of cardiac arrest, use of a compression–ventilation ratio of 50:2 achieved a significantly greater number of chest compressions than using either 15:2 or 50:5 (LOE 6).¹⁴⁶ Carotid blood flow was significantly greater at a ratio of 50:2 compared with 50:5 and not significantly different from that achieved with a ratio of 15:2. Arterial oxygenation and oxygen delivery to the brain were significantly higher with a ratio of 15:2 when compared with a ratio of either 50:5 or 50:2. In an animal model of cardiac arrest, a compression–ventilation ratio of 30:2 was associated with significantly shorter time to ROSC and greater systemic and cerebral oxygenation than with continuous chest compressions (LOE 6).¹⁴⁷ A theoretical analysis suggests that a compression–ventilation ratio of 30:2 would provide the best blood flow and oxygen delivery (LOE 7).¹⁴⁸

An animal model of asphyxial arrest showed that compression-only CPR is associated with significantly greater pulmonary oedema than both compression and ventilation, with or without oxygenation (LOE 6).¹⁴⁹

Treatment recommendation. There is insufficient evidence that any specific compression–ventilation ratio is associated with improved outcome in patients with cardiac arrest. To increase the number of compressions given, minimise interruptions of chest compressions, and simplify instruction for teaching and skills retention, a single compression–ventilation ratio of 30:2 for the lone rescuer of an infant, child, or adult victim is recommended. Initial steps of resuscitation may include (1) opening the airway while verifying the need for resuscitation, (2) giving 2–5 breaths when initiating resuscitation, and (3) then providing compressions and ventilations using a compression–ventilation ratio of 30:2.

Chest compression-only CPR

W52, W164A, W164B

Consensus on science. No prospective studies have assessed the strategy of implementing chest compression–only CPR. A randomised trial of telephone instruction in CPR given to untrained lay responders in an EMS system with a short (mean: four minutes) response interval suggests that a strategy of teaching chest compressions alone is associated with similar survival rates when compared with a strategy of teaching chest compressions and ventilations (LOE 7).¹⁵⁰

Animal studies of nonasphyxial arrest demonstrate that chest compression–only CPR may be as efficacious as compression–ventilation CPR in the initial few minutes of resuscitation (LOE 6).^{142,150} In another model of nonasphyxial arrest, however, a compression–ventilation ratio of 30:2 maintained arterial oxygen content at two thirds of normal, but compression-only CPR was associated with desaturation within two minutes (LOE 6).¹⁴⁷ In observational studies of adults with cardiac arrest treated by lay responders trained in standard CPR, survival was better with compression-only CPR than with no CPR but not as good as with both compressions and ventilations (LOE 3;¹⁵¹ LOE 4¹²⁴).

Treatment recommendation. Rescuers should be encouraged to do compression-only CPR if they are unwilling to do airway and breathing manoeuvres or if they are not trained in CPR or are uncertain how to do CPR. Researchers are encouraged to evaluate the efficacy of compression-only CPR.

Postresuscitation positioning

Recovery position

W155, W146A, W146B

Consensus on science. No studies were identified that evaluated any recovery position in an uncon-

scious victim with normal breathing. A small cohort study (LOE 5)¹⁵² and a randomised trial (LOE 7)¹⁵³ in normal volunteers showed that compression of vessels and nerves occurs infrequently in the dependent limb when the victim's lower arm is placed in front of the body; however, the ease of turning the victim into this position may outweigh the risk (LOE 5).^{154,155}

Treatment recommendation. It is reasonable to position an unconscious adult with normal breathing on the side with the lower arm in front of the body.

Special circumstances

Cervical spine injury

For victims of suspected spinal injury, additional time may be needed for careful assessment of breathing and circulation, and it may be necessary to move the victim if he or she is found face-down. In-line spinal stabilisation is an effective method of reducing risk of further spinal damage.

Airway opening

W150A, W150B

Consensus on science. The incidence of cervical spine injury after blunt trauma was 2.4% (LOE 5)¹⁵⁶ but increased in patients with craniofacial injuries (LOE 4),¹⁵⁷ a Glasgow Coma Scale score of <8 (LOE 4),¹⁵⁸ or both (LOE 4).¹⁵⁹ A large cohort study (LOE 4)¹⁶⁰ showed that the following features are highly sensitive (94% to 97%) predictors of spinal injury when applied by professional rescuers: mechanism of injury, altered mental status, neurological deficit, evidence of intoxication, spinal pain or tenderness, and distracting injuries (i.e. injuries that distract the victim from awareness of cervical pain). Failure to stabilise an injured spine was associated with an increased risk of secondary neurological injury (LOE 4).^{161,162} A case–control study of injured patients with and without stabilisation showed that the risk of secondary injury may be lower than previously thought (LOE 4).¹⁶³

All airway manoeuvres cause spinal movement (LOE 5).¹⁶⁴ Studies in human cadavers showed that both chin lift (with or without head tilt) and jaw thrust were associated with similar, substantial movement of the cervical vertebrae (LOE 6;^{164–166} LOE 7^{167,168}). Use of manual in-line stabilisation (MILS)¹⁶⁸ or spinal collars (LOE 6)¹⁶⁴ did not prevent spinal movement. Other studies have shown that application of MILS during airway manoeuvres reduces spinal movement to physiological levels

(LOE 5,6).^{169,170} Airway manoeuvres can be undertaken more safely with MILS than with collars (LOE 3, 5).^{171–173} But a small study of anaesthetised paralysed volunteers showed that use of the jaw thrust with the head maintained in neutral alignment did not improve radiological airway patency (LOE 3).²⁸ No studies evaluated CPR on a victim with suspected spinal injuries.

Treatment recommendation. Maintaining an airway and adequate ventilation is the overriding priority in managing a patient with a suspected spinal injury. In a victim with a suspected spinal injury and an obstructed airway, the head tilt–chin lift or jaw thrust (with head tilt) techniques are feasible and may be effective for clearing the airway. Both techniques are associated with cervical spinal movement. Use of MILS to minimise head movement is reasonable if a sufficient number of rescuers with adequate training are available.

Face-down victim

W143A, W143B

Consensus on science. Head position was an important factor in airway patency (LOE 5),¹⁷⁴ and it was more difficult to check for breathing with the victim in a face-down position. Checking for breathing by lay and professional rescuers was not always accurate when done within the recommended 10 s (LOE 7).^{21,22} A longer time to check for breathing will delay CPR and may impair outcome.

Treatment recommendation. It is reasonable to roll a face-down, unresponsive victim carefully into the supine position to check for breathing.

Drowning

Drowning is a common cause of death worldwide. The special needs of the drowning victim were reviewed.

CPR for drowning victim in water

W160A, W160B

Consensus on science. Expired-air resuscitation in the water may be effective when undertaken by a trained rescuer (LOE 5;^{175,176} LOE 6¹⁷⁷). Chest compressions are difficult to perform in water and could potentially cause harm to both the rescuer and victim.

Treatment recommendation. In-water expired-air resuscitation may be considered by trained rescuers, preferably with a flotation device, but chest compressions should not be attempted in the water.

Removing drowning victim from water

W161

Consensus on science. Human studies showed that drowning victims without clinical signs of injury or obvious neurological deficit, a history of diving, use of a waterslide, trauma, or alcohol intoxication are unlikely to have a cervical spine injury (LOE 4;^{178,179} LOE 5^{180–184}).

Treatment recommendation. Drowning victims should be removed from the water and resuscitated by the fastest means available. Only victims with risk factors or clinical signs of injury or focal neurological signs should be treated as a victim with a potential spinal cord injury, with immobilisation of the cervical and thoracic spine.

EMS system

Dispatcher instruction in CPR

W165

Consensus on science. Observational studies (LOE 4)^{185,186} and a randomised trial (LOE 2)¹⁸⁷ of telephone instruction in CPR by dispatchers to untrained lay responders in an EMS system with a short (mean 4 minutes) response interval showed that dispatcher instruction in CPR increases the likelihood of performance of bystander CPR but may or may not increase the rate of survival from cardiac arrest.

Treatment recommendation. Providing telephone instruction in CPR is reasonable.

Improving EMS response interval

W148A

Consensus on science. Cohort studies (LOE 3)^{188–191} and a systematic review (LOE 1)¹² of cohort studies of patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest show that reducing the interval from EMS call to arrival increases survival to hospital discharge. Response time may be reduced by using professional first responders such as fire or police personnel or other methods.

Treatment recommendation. Administrators responsible for EMS and other systems that respond to patients with cardiac arrest should evaluate their process of delivering care and make resources available to shorten response time intervals when improvements are feasible.

Risks to victim and rescuer

Risks to trainees

W141B, W141C, W196

Consensus on science. Few adverse events from training in CPR have been reported by instructors and trainees even though millions of people are trained annually throughout the world. Case series reported the following infrequent adverse occurrences in trainees (LOE 5): infections, including herpes simplex virus (HSV);¹⁹² *Neisseria meningitides*;¹⁹³ hepatitis B virus (HBV);¹⁹⁴ stomatitis;¹⁹⁵ tracheitis;¹⁹⁶ and others, including chest pain or near-syncope attributed to hyperventilation¹⁹⁷ and fatal myocardial infarction.¹⁹⁸ There was no evidence that a prior medical assessment of “at-risk” trainees reduces any perceived risk (LOE 7).¹⁹⁹

Commonly used chemical disinfectants effectively removed bacteriologic and viral contamination of the training manikin (LOE 6).^{200,201} Another study showed that 70% ethanol with or without 0.5% chlorhexidine did not completely eradicate herpes simplex contamination after several hours (LOE 6).¹⁹²

Treatment recommendation. Training manikins should be cleaned between trainee ventilation sessions. It is acceptable to clean them with commercially available antiseptic, 30% isopropyl alcohol, 70% alcohol solution, or 0.5% sodium hypochlorite, allowing at least 1 minute of drying time between trainee ventilation sessions.

Risks to responders

W141A, W159A, W159B, W184A, W184B

Consensus on science. Few adverse events resulting from providing CPR have been reported, even though CPR is performed frequently throughout the world. There were only isolated reports of persons acquiring infections after providing CPR, e.g. tuberculosis²⁰² and severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (SARS).²⁰³ Transmission of HIV during provision of CPR has never been reported. Responders exposed to infections while performing CPR might reduce their risk of becoming infected by taking appropriate prophylactic steps (LOE 7).¹⁹³ Responders occasionally experienced psychological distress.^{204–208}

No human studies have addressed the safety, effectiveness, or feasibility of using barrier devices during CPR. Laboratory studies showed that non-woven fibre filters or barrier devices with one-way valves prevented oral bacterial flora transmission

from victim to rescuer during mouth-to-mouth ventilation (LOE 6).^{209,210} Giving mouth-to-mouth ventilation to victims of organophosphate or cyanide intoxication was associated with adverse effects for responders (LOE 5).^{211,212} One study showed that a high volume of air transmitting a highly virulent agent (i.e. SARS coronavirus) can overwhelm the protection offered by gowns, 2 sets of gloves, goggles, a full face shield, and a non-fit-tested N95 disposable respirator (LOE 5).²⁰³

Treatment recommendation. Providers should take appropriate safety precautions when feasible and when resources are available to do so, especially if a victim is known to have a serious infection (e.g. HIV, tuberculosis, HBV, or SARS).

Risks for the victim

W140A

Consensus on science. The incidence of rib fractures among survivors of cardiac arrest who received standard CPR is unknown. Rib fractures and other injuries are commonly observed among those who die following cardiac arrest and provision of standard CPR (LOE 4).²¹³ One study (LOE 4)²¹⁴ showed an increased incidence of sternal fractures in an active compression–decompression (ACD)-CPR group when compared with standard CPR alone. The incidence of rib fractures after mechanically performed CPR appeared to be similar to that occurring after performance of standard CPR (LOE 6).²¹⁵ There is no published evidence of the incidence of adverse effects when chest compressions are performed on someone who does not require resuscitation.

Treatment recommendation. Rib fractures and other injuries are common but acceptable consequences of CPR given the alternative of death from cardiac arrest. After resuscitation all patients should be reassessed and re-evaluated for resuscitation-related injuries.

If available, the use of a barrier device during mouth-to-mouth ventilation is reasonable. Adequate protective equipment and administrative, environmental, and quality control measures are necessary during resuscitation attempts in the event of an outbreak of a highly transmissible microbe such as the SARS coronavirus.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2005.09.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2005.09.016).

References

1. American Heart Association. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics—2005 Update. Dallas, Tex: American Heart Association, 2005.
2. Sans S, Kesteloot H, Kromhout D. The burden of cardiovascular diseases mortality in Europe Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology on Cardiovascular Mortality and Morbidity Statistics in Europe. *Eur Heart J* 1997;18:1231–48.
3. Chugh SS, Jui J, Gunson K, et al. Current burden of sudden cardiac death: multiple source surveillance versus retrospective death certificate-based review in a large U.S. community. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;44:1268–75.
4. Rea TD, Pearce RM, Raghunathan TE, et al. Incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Am J Cardiol* 2004;93:1455–60.
5. Rea TD, Eisenberg MS, Sinibaldi G, White RD. Incidence of EMS-treated out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the United States. *Resuscitation* 2004;63:17–24.
6. Vaillancourt C, Stiell IG. Cardiac arrest care and emergency medical services in Canada. *Can J Cardiol* 2004;20:1081–90.
7. Cobb LA, Fahrenbruch CE, Olsufka M, Copass MK. Changing incidence of out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation. *JAMA* 2002;288:3008–13.
8. Parish DC, Dinesh Chandra KM, Dane FC. Success changes the problem: why ventricular fibrillation is declining, why pulseless electrical activity is emerging, and what to do about it. *Resuscitation* 2003;58:31–5.
9. Herlitz J, Bang A, Ekstrom L, et al. A comparison between patients suffering in-hospital and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in terms of treatment and outcome. *J Intern Med* 2000;248:53–60.
10. American Heart Association in collaboration with International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. Guidelines 2000 for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care: International Consensus on Science, Part 3: Adult Basic Life Support. *Resuscitation* 2000;46:29–72.
11. Fredriksson M, Herlitz J, Nichol G. Variation in outcome in studies of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A review of studies conforming to the Utstein guidelines. *Am J Emerg Med* 2003;21:276–81.
12. Nichol G, Stiell IG, Laupacis A, Pham B, De Maio VJ, Wells GA. A cumulative meta-analysis of the effectiveness of defibrillator-capable emergency medical services for victims of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Ann Emerg Med* 1999;34(pt 1):517–25.
13. Peberdy MA, Kaye W, Ornato JP, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation of adults in the hospital: a report of 14720 cardiac arrests from the National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2003;58:297–308.
14. Kannel WB, Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Cobb J. Sudden coronary death in women. *Am Heart J* 1998;136:205–12.
15. Cupples LA, Gagnon DR, Kannel WB. Long- and short-term risk of sudden coronary death. *Circulation* 1992;85:111–8.
16. Albert CM, Chae CU, Grodstein F, et al. Prospective study of sudden cardiac death among women in the United States. *Circulation* 2003;107:2096–101.
17. Wannamethee G, Shaper AG, Macfarlane PW, Walker M. Risk factors for sudden cardiac death in middle-aged British men. *Circulation* 1995;91:1749–56.
18. Jouven X, Desnos M, Guerot C, Ducimetiere P. Predicting sudden death in the population: the Paris Prospective Study I. *Circulation* 1999;99:1978–83.
19. Cleland JGF, Chattopadhyay S, Khand A, Houghton T, Kaye GC. Prevalence and incidence of arrhythmias and sudden death in heart failure. *Heart Fail Rev* 2002;7:229–42.
20. Bahr J, Klingler H, Panzer W, Rode H, Kettler D. Skills of lay people in checking the carotid pulse. *Resuscitation* 1997;35:23–6.
21. Ruppert M, Reith MW, Widmann JH, et al. Checking for breathing: evaluation of the diagnostic capability of emergency medical services personnel, physicians, medical students, and medical laypersons. *Ann Emerg Med* 1999;34:720–9.
22. Perkins GD, Stephenson B, Hulme J, Monsieurs KG. Birmingham assessment of breathing study (BABS). *Resuscitation* 2005;64:109–13.
23. Clark JJ, Larsen MP, Culley LL, Graves JR, Eisenberg MS. Incidence of agonal respirations in sudden cardiac arrest. *Ann Emerg Med* 1992;21:1464–7.
24. Hauff SR, Rea TD, Culley LL, Kerry F, Becker L, Eisenberg MS. Factors impeding dispatcher-assisted telephone cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Ann Emerg Med* 2003;42:731–7.
25. Guildner CW. Resuscitation: opening the airway A comparative study of techniques for opening an airway obstructed by the tongue. *JACEP* 1976;5:588–90.
26. Safar P, Aguto-Escarraga L. Compliance in apneic anesthetized adults. *Anesthesiology* 1959;20:283–9.
27. Greene DG, Elam JO, Dobkin AB, Studley CL. Cinefluorographic study of hyperextension of the neck and upper airway patency. *JAMA* 1961;176:570–3.
28. Morikawa S, Safar P, Decarlo J. Influence of the head-jaw position upon upper airway patency. *Anesthesiology* 1961;22:265–70.
29. Ruben HM, Elam JO, Ruben AM, Greene DG. Investigation of upper airway problems in resuscitation 1 studies of pharyngeal X-rays and performance by laymen. *Anesthesiology* 1961;22:271–9.
30. Elam JO, Greene DG, Schneider MA, et al. Head-tilt method of oral resuscitation. *JAMA* 1960;172:812–5.
31. Davies G, Deakin C, Wilson A. The effect of a rigid collar on intracranial pressure. *Injury* 1996;27:647–9.
32. Kolb JC, Summers RL, Galli RL. Cervical collar-induced changes in intracranial pressure. *Am J Emerg Med* 1999;17:135–7.
33. Mobbs RJ, Stoodley MA, Fuller J. Effect of cervical hard collar on intracranial pressure after head injury. *ANZ J Surg* 2002;72:389–91.
34. Hunt K, Hallworth S, Smith M. The effects of rigid collar placement on intracranial and cerebral perfusion pressures. *Anaesthesia* 2001;56:511–3.
35. Ingalls TH. Heimlich versus a slap on the back. *N Engl J Med* 1979;300:990.
36. Redding JS. The choking controversy: critique of evidence on the Heimlich maneuver. *Crit Care Med* 1979;7:475–9.
37. Vilke GM, Smith AM, Ray LU, Steen PJ, Murrin PA, Chan TC. Airway obstruction in children aged less than 5 years: the prehospital experience. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2004;8:196–9.
38. Heimlich HJ, Hoffmann KA, Canestri FR. Food-choking and drowning deaths prevented by external subdiaphragmatic compression. Physiological basis. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1975;20:188–95.
39. Heimlich HJ. A life-saving maneuver to prevent food-choking. *JAMA* 1975;234:398–401.
40. Craig TJ. Medication use and deaths attributed to asphyxia among psychiatric patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 1980;137:1366–73.

41. Nelson KR. Heimlich maneuver for esophageal obstruction. *N Engl J Med* 1989;320:1016.
42. Fioritti A, Giaccotto L, Melega V. Choking incidents among psychiatric patients: retrospective analysis of thirty-one cases from the west Bologna psychiatric wards. *Can J Psychiatry* 1997;42:515–20.
43. Boussuges S, Maitrerobert P, Bost M. [Use of the Heimlich Maneuver on children in the Rhone-Alpes area]. *Arch Fr Pediatr* 1985;42:733–6.
44. Lapostolle F, Desmaizieres M, Adnet F, Minadeo J. Telephone-assisted Heimlich maneuver. *Ann Emerg Med* 2000;36:171.
45. Brauner DJ. The Heimlich maneuver: procedure of choice? *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1987;35:78.
46. Heimlich HJ. Death from food-choking prevented by a new life-saving maneuver. *Heart Lung* 1976;5:755–8.
47. Heimlich HJ. First aid for choking children: back blows and chest thrusts cause complications and death. *Pediatrics* 1982;70:120–5.
48. Nowitz A, Lewer BM, Galletly DC. An interesting complication of the Heimlich manoeuvre. *Resuscitation* 1998;39:129–31.
49. Skulberg A. Chest compression—an alternative to the Heimlich manoeuvre? [letter]. *Resuscitation* 1992;24:91.
50. Westfal R. Foreign body airway obstruction: when the Heimlich maneuver fails. *Am J Emerg Med* 1997;15:103–5.
51. Gallardo A, Rosado R, Ramirez D, Medina P, Mezquita S, Sanchez J. Rupture of the lesser gastric curvature after a Heimlich maneuver. *Surg Endosc* 2003;17:1495.
52. Ayerdi J, Gupta SK, Sampson LN, Deshmukh N. Acute abdominal aortic thrombosis following the Heimlich maneuver. *Cardiovasc Surg* 2002;10:154–6.
53. Tung PH, Law S, Chu KM, Law WL, Wong J. Gastric rupture after Heimlich maneuver and cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2001;48:109–11.
54. Majumdar A, Sedman PC. Gastric rupture secondary to successful Heimlich manoeuvre. *Postgrad Med J* 1998;74:609–10.
55. Bintz M, Cogbill TH. Gastric rupture after the Heimlich maneuver. *J Trauma* 1996;40:159–60.
56. Dupre MW, Silva E, Brotman S. Traumatic rupture of the stomach secondary to Heimlich maneuver. *Am J Emerg Med* 1993;11:611–2.
57. van der Ham AC, Lange JF. Traumatic rupture of the stomach after Heimlich maneuver. *J Emerg Med* 1990;8:713–5.
58. Cowan M, Bardole J, Dlesk A. Perforated stomach following the Heimlich maneuver. *Am J Emerg Med* 1987;5:121–2.
59. Croom DW. Rupture of stomach after attempted Heimlich maneuver. *JAMA* 1983;250:2602–3.
60. Visintine RE, Baick CH. Ruptured stomach after Heimlich maneuver. *JAMA* 1975;234:415.
61. Mack L, Forbes TL, Harris KA. Acute aortic thrombosis following incorrect application of the Heimlich maneuver. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2002;16:130–3.
62. Roehm EF, Twiest MW, Williams Jr RC. Abdominal aortic thrombosis in association with an attempted Heimlich maneuver. *JAMA* 1983;249:1186–7.
63. Kirshner RL, Green RM. Acute thrombosis of abdominal aortic aneurysm subsequent to Heimlich maneuver: a case report. *J Vasc Surg* 1985;2:594–6.
64. Rakotoharinandrasana H, Petit E, Dumas P, Vandermarcq P, Gil R, Neau JP. [Internal carotid artery dissection after Heimlich maneuver]. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 2003;22:43–5.
65. Wolf DA. Heimlich trauma: a violent maneuver. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol* 2001;22:65–7.
66. Valero V. Mesenteric laceration complicating a Heimlich maneuver. *Ann Emerg Med* 1986;15:105–6.
67. Ujjin V, Ratanasit S, Nagendran T. Diaphragmatic hernia as a complication of the Heimlich maneuver. *Int Surg* 1984;69:175–6.
68. Rich GH. Pneumomediastinum following the Heimlich maneuver. *Ann Emerg Med* 1980;9:279–80.
69. Agia GA, Hurst DJ. Pneumomediastinum following the Heimlich maneuver. *JACEP* 1979;8:473–5.
70. Meredith MJ, Liebowitz R. Rupture of the esophagus caused by the Heimlich maneuver. *Ann Emerg Med* 1986;15:106–7.
71. Chapman JH, Menapace FJ, Howell RR. Ruptured aortic valve cusp: a complication of the Heimlich maneuver. *Ann Emerg Med* 1983;12:446–8.
72. Orłowski JP. Vomiting as a complication of the Heimlich maneuver. *JAMA* 1987;258:512–3.
73. Penny RW. The Heimlich manoeuvre. *BMJ* 1983;286:1145–6.
74. Langhelle A, Sunde K, Wik L, Steen PA. Airway pressure with chest compressions versus Heimlich manoeuvre in recently dead adults with complete airway obstruction. *Resuscitation* 2000;44:105–8.
75. Guildner CW, Williams D, Subitch T. Airway obstructed by foreign material: the Heimlich maneuver. *JACEP* 1976;5:675–7.
76. Ruben H, Macnaughton FI. The treatment of food-choking. *Practitioner* 1978;221:725–9.
77. Hartrey R, Bingham RM. Pharyngeal trauma as a result of blind finger sweeps in the choking child. *J Accid Emerg Med* 1995;12:52–4.
78. Kabbani M, Goodwin SR. Traumatic epiglottitis following blind finger sweep to remove a pharyngeal foreign body. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 1995;34:495–7.
79. Ruben H. The immediate treatment of respiratory failure. *Br J Anaesth* 1964;36:542–9.
80. Bhalla RK, Corrigan A, Roland NJ. Comparison of two face masks used to deliver early ventilation to laryngectomized patients. *Ear Nose Throat J* 2004;83(414):6.
81. Wenzel V, Idris AH, Banner MJ, Kubilis PS, Williams JLJ. Influence of tidal volume on the distribution of gas between the lungs and stomach in the nonintubated patient receiving positive-pressure ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 1998;26:364–8.
82. Dorges V, Sauer C, Ocker H, Wenzel V, Schmucker P. Smaller tidal volumes during cardiopulmonary resuscitation: comparison of adult and paediatric self-inflatable bags with three different ventilatory devices. *Resuscitation* 1999;43:31–7.
83. Zecha-Stallinger A, Wenzel V, Wagner-Berger HG, von Goedecke A, Lindner KH, Hormann C. A strategy to optimise the performance of the mouth-to-bag resuscitator using small tidal volumes: effects on lung and gastric ventilation in a bench model of an unprotected airway. *Resuscitation* 2004;61:69–74.
84. Wenzel V, Keller C, Idris AH, Dörger V, Lindner KH, Brimacombe JR. Effects of smaller tidal volumes during basic life support ventilation in patients with respiratory arrest: good ventilation, less risk? *Resuscitation* 1999;43:25–9.
85. Dorges V, Ocker H, Hagelberg S, Wenzel V, Schmucker P. Optimisation of tidal volumes given with self-inflatable bags without additional oxygen. *Resuscitation* 2000;43:195–9.
86. Langhelle A, Sunde K, Wik L, Steen PA. Arterial blood-gases with 500- versus ml tidal volumes during out-of-hospital CPR. *Resuscitation* 2000;45:27–33.
87. Aufderheide TP, Lurie KG. Death by hyperventilation: a common and life-threatening problem during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Crit Care Med* 2004;32:S345–51.

88. Aufderheide TP, Sigurdsson G, Pirralo RG, et al. Hyperventilation-induced hypotension during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation* 2004;109:1960–5.
89. Pepe PE, Raedler C, Lurie KG, Wigginton JG. Emergency ventilatory management in hemorrhagic states: elemental or detrimental? *J Trauma* 2003;54:1048–55, discussion 55–7.
90. Stallinger A, Wenzel V, Wagner-Berger H, et al. Effects of decreasing inspiratory flow rate during simulated basic life support ventilation of a cardiac arrest patient on lung and stomach tidal volumes. *Resuscitation* 2002;54:167–73.
91. Osterwalder JJ, Schuhwerk W. Effectiveness of mask ventilation in a training manikin A comparison between the Oxylator EM100 and the bag-valve device. *Resuscitation* 1998;36:23–7.
92. Menegazzi JJ, Winslow HJ. In-vitro comparison of bag-valve-mask and the manually triggered oxygen-powered breathing device. *Acad Emerg Med* 1994;1:29–33.
93. Noordergraaf GJ, van Dun PJ, Kramer BP, et al. Can first responders achieve and maintain normocapnia when sequentially ventilating with a bag-valve device and two oxygen-driven resuscitators? A controlled clinical trial in 104 patients. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2004;21:367–72.
94. Johannigman JA, Branson RD, Johnson DJ, Davis Jr K, Hurst JM. Out-of-hospital ventilation: bag–valve device vs transport ventilator. *Acad Emerg Med* 1995;2:719–24.
95. Updike G, Mosesso VNJ, Auble TE, Delgado E. Comparison of bag-valve-mask, manually triggered ventilator, and automated ventilator devices used while ventilating a non-intubated manikin model. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 1998;2:52–5.
96. Johannigman JA, Branson RD, Davis Jr K, Hurst JM. Techniques of emergency ventilation: a model to evaluate tidal volume, airway pressure, and gastric insufflation. *J Trauma* 1991;31:93–8.
97. Orłowski JP. Optimum position for external cardiac compression in infants and young children. *Ann Emerg Med* 1986;15:667–73.
98. Kundra P, Dey S, Ravishankar M. Role of dominant hand position during external cardiac compression. *Br J Anaesth* 2000;84:491–3.
99. Handley AJ. Teaching hand placement for chest compression—a simpler technique. *Resuscitation* 2002;53:29–36.
100. Abella BS, Alvarado JP, Myklebust H, et al. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during in-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA* 2005;293:305–10.
101. Abella BS, Sandbo N, Vassilatos P, et al. Chest compression rates during cardiopulmonary resuscitation are suboptimal: a prospective study during in-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation* 2005;111:428–34.
102. Wik L, Kramer-Johansen J, Myklebust H, et al. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA* 2005;293:299–304.
103. Ko PC, Chen WJ, Lin CH, Ma MH, Lin FY. Evaluating the quality of prehospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation by reviewing automated external defibrillator records and survival for out-of-hospital witnessed arrests. *Resuscitation* 2005;64:163–9.
104. Maier GW, Tyson Jr GS, Olsen CO, et al. The physiology of external cardiac massage: high-impulse cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation* 1984;70:86–101.
105. Feneley MP, Maier GW, Kern KB, et al. Influence of compression rate on initial success of resuscitation and 24 hour survival after prolonged manual cardiopulmonary resuscitation in dogs. *Circulation* 1988;77:240–50.
106. Swart GL, Mateer JR, DeBehnke DJ, Jameson SJ, Osborn JL. The effect of compression duration on hemodynamics during mechanical high-impulse CPR. *Acad Emerg Med* 1994;1:430–7.
107. Kern KB, Carter AB, Showen RL, et al. Twenty-four hour survival in a canine model of cardiac arrest comparing three methods of manual cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1986;7:859–67.
108. Tucker KJ, Khan J, Idris A, Savitt MA. The biphasic mechanism of blood flow during cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a physiologic comparison of active compression–decompression and high-impulse manual external cardiac massage. *Ann Emerg Med* 1994;24:895–906.
109. Halperin HR, Tsitlik JE, Guerci AD, et al. Determinants of blood flow to vital organs during cardiopulmonary resuscitation in dogs. *Circulation* 1986;73:539–50.
110. Swenson RD, Weaver WD, Niskanen RA, Martin J, Dahlberg S. Hemodynamics in humans during conventional and experimental methods of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation* 1988;78:630–9.
111. Ornato JP, Gonzalez ER, Garnett AR, Levine RL, McClung BK. Effect of cardiopulmonary resuscitation compression rate on end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration and arterial pressure in man. *Crit Care Med* 1988;16:241–5.
112. Milander MM, Hiscok PS, Sanders AB, Kern KB, Berg RA, Ewy GA. Chest compression and ventilation rates during cardiopulmonary resuscitation: the effects of audible tone guidance. *Acad Emerg Med* 1995;2:708–13.
113. Babbs CF, Voorhees WD, Fitzgerald KR, Holmes HR, Geddes LA. Relationship of blood pressure and flow during CPR to chest compression amplitude: evidence for an effective compression threshold. *Ann Emerg Med* 1983;12:527–32.
114. Bellamy RF, DeGuzman LR, Pedersen DC. Coronary blood flow during cardiopulmonary resuscitation in swine. *Circulation* 1984;69:174–80.
115. Hightower D, Thomas SH, Stone CK, Dunn K, March JA. Decay in quality of closed-chest compressions over time. *Ann Emerg Med* 1995;26:300–3.
116. Aufderheide TP, Pirralo RG, Yannopoulos D, et al. Incomplete chest wall decompression: a clinical evaluation of CPR performance by EMS personnel and assessment of alternative manual chest compression–decompression techniques. *Resuscitation* 2005;64:353–62.
117. Yannopoulos D, McKnite S, Aufderheide TP, et al. Effects of incomplete chest wall decompression during cardiopulmonary resuscitation on coronary and cerebral perfusion pressures in a porcine model of cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2005;64:363–72.
118. Wolfe JA, Maier GW, Newton Jr JR, et al. Physiologic determinants of coronary blood flow during external cardiac massage. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1988;95:523–32.
119. Talley DB, Ornato JP, Clarke AM. Computer-aided characterization and optimization of the Thumper compression waveform in closed-chest CPR. *Biomed Instrum Technol* 1990;24:283–8.
120. Handley AJ, Handley JA. The relationship between rate of chest compression and compression:relaxation ratio. *Resuscitation* 1995;30:237–41.
121. Handley AJ, Handley SA. Improving CPR performance using an audible feedback system suitable for incorporation into an automated external defibrillator. *Resuscitation* 2003;57:57–62.
122. Perkins GD, Benny R, Giles S, Gao F, Tweed MJ. Do different mattresses affect the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation? *Intensive Care Med* 2003;29:2330–5.
123. Tweed M, Tweed C, Perkins GD. The effect of differing support surfaces on the efficacy of chest compressions

- using a resuscitation manikin model. *Resuscitation* 2001;51:179–83.
124. Van Hoeyweghen RJ, Bossaert LL, Mullie A, et al. Quality and efficiency of bystander CPR Belgian Cerebral Resuscitation Study Group. *Resuscitation* 1993;26:47–52.
 125. Tobias JD, Mencio GA, Atwood R, Gurwitz GS. Intraoperative cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the prone position. *J Pediatr Surg* 1994;29:1537–8.
 126. Dequin PF, Hazouard E, Legras A, Lanotte R, Perrotin D. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the prone position: Kouwenhoven revisited. *Intensive Care Med* 1996;22:1272.
 127. Sun WZ, Huang FY, Kung KL, Fan SZ, Chen TL. Successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation of two patients in the prone position using reversed precordial compression. *Anesthesiology* 1992;77:202–4.
 128. Brown J, Rogers J, Soar J. Cardiac arrest during surgery and ventilation in the prone position: a case report and systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2001;50:233–8.
 129. Loewenthal A, De Albuquerque AM, Lehmann-Meurice C, Otteni JC. [Efficacy of external cardiac massage in a patient in the prone position]. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 1993;12:587–9.
 130. Kelleher A, Mackersie A. Cardiac arrest and resuscitation of a 6-month old achondroplastic baby undergoing neurosurgery in the prone position. *Anaesthesia* 1995;50:348–50.
 131. Bilfield LH, Regula GA. A new technique for external heart compression. *JAMA* 1978;239:2468–9.
 132. Sefrin P, Albert M. [External heart compression with the heel (author's transl)]. *Anaesthetist* 1979;28:540–5.
 133. Jost U. [External heart massage by the leg-heel method (author's transl)]. *Anasth Intensivther Notfallmed* 1980;15:439–42.
 134. Criley JM, Blaufuss AH, Kissel GL. Cough-induced cardiac compression: self-administered from of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *JAMA* 1976;236:1246–50.
 135. Miller B, Cohen A, Serio A, Bettock D. Hemodynamics of cough cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a patient with sustained torsades de pointes/ventricular flutter. *J Emerg Med* 1994;12:627–32.
 136. Saba SE, David SW. Sustained consciousness during ventricular fibrillation: case report of cough cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn* 1996;37:47–8.
 137. Berg RA, Sanders AB, Kern KB, et al. Adverse hemodynamic effects of interrupting chest compressions for rescue breathing during cardiopulmonary resuscitation for ventricular fibrillation cardiac arrest. *Circulation* 2001;104:2465–70.
 138. Yu T, Weil MH, Tang W, et al. Adverse outcomes of interrupted precordial compression during automated defibrillation. *Circulation* 2002;106:368–72.
 139. Kern KB, Hilwig RW, Berg RA, Sanders AB, Ewy GA. Importance of continuous chest compressions during cardiopulmonary resuscitation: improved outcome during a simulated single lay-rescuer scenario. *Circulation* 2002;105:645–9.
 140. van Alem AP, Sanou BT, Koster RW. Interruption of cardiopulmonary resuscitation with the use of the automated external defibrillator in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Ann Emerg Med* 2003;42:449–57.
 141. Eftestol T, Sunde K, Steen PA. Effects of interrupting precordial compressions on the calculated probability of defibrillation success during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation* 2002;105:2270–3.
 142. Berg RA, Hilwig RW, Kern KB, Ewy GA. Bystander'' chest compressions and assisted ventilation independently improve outcome from piglet asphyxial pulseless ''cardiac arrest''. *Circulation* 2000;101:1743–8.
 143. Berg RA, Kern KB, Hilwig RW, et al. Assisted ventilation does not improve outcome in a porcine model of single-rescuer bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation* 1997;95:1635–41.
 144. Berg RA, Kern KB, Hilwig RW, Ewy GA. Assisted ventilation during 'bystander' CPR in a swine acute myocardial infarction model does not improve outcome. *Circulation* 1997;96:4364–71.
 145. Sanders AB, Kern KB, Berg RA, Hilwig RW, Heidenrich J, Ewy GA. Survival and neurologic outcome after cardiopulmonary resuscitation with four different chest compression–ventilation ratios. *Ann Emerg Med* 2002;40:553–62.
 146. Dorph E, Wik L, Stromme TA, Eriksen M, Steen PA. Quality of CPR with three different ventilation:compression ratios. *Resuscitation* 2003;58:193–201.
 147. Dorph E, Wik L, Stromme TA, Eriksen M, Steen PA. Oxygen delivery and return of spontaneous circulation with ventilation:compression ratio 2:30 versus chest compressions only CPR in pigs. *Resuscitation* 2004;60:309–18.
 148. Babbs CF, Kern KB. Optimum compression to ventilation ratios in CPR under realistic, practical conditions: a physiological and mathematical analysis. *Resuscitation* 2002;54:147–57.
 149. Kawamae K, Murakawa M, Otsuki M, Matsumoto Y, Tase C. Precordial compression without airway management induces lung injury in the rodent cardiac arrest model with central apnea. *Resuscitation* 2001;51:165–71.
 150. Chandra NC, Gruben KG, Tsitlik JE, et al. Observations of ventilation during resuscitation in a canine model. *Circulation* 1994;90:3070–5.
 151. Waalewijn RA, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Bystander initiated actions in out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation: results from the Amsterdam Resuscitation Study (ARRESUST). *Resuscitation* 2001;50:273–9.
 152. Fulstow R, Smith GB. The new recovery position, a cautionary tale. *Resuscitation* 1993;26:89–91.
 153. Rathgeber J, Panzer W, Gunther U, et al. Influence of different types of recovery positions on perfusion indices of the forearm. *Resuscitation* 1996;32:13–7.
 154. Doxey J. Comparing 1997 Resuscitation Council (UK) recovery position with recovery position of 1992 European Resuscitation Council guidelines: a user's perspective. *Resuscitation* 1998;39:161–9.
 155. Turner S, Turner I, Chapman D, et al. A comparative study of the 1992 and 1997 recovery positions for use in the UK. *Resuscitation* 1998;39:153–60.
 156. Lowery DW, Wald MM, Browne BJ, Tigges S, Hoffman JR, Mower WR. Epidemiology of cervical spine injury victims. *Ann Emerg Med* 2001;38:12–6.
 157. Hackl W, Hausberger K, Sailer R, Ulmer H, Gassner R. Prevalence of cervical spine injuries in patients with facial trauma. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2001;92:370–6.
 158. Holly LT, Kelly DF, Counelis GJ, Blinman T, McArthur DL, Cryer HG. Cervical spine trauma associated with moderate and severe head injury: incidence, risk factors, and injury characteristics. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2002;96:285–91.
 159. Demetriades D, Charalambides K, Chahwan S, et al. Nonskeletal cervical spine injuries: epidemiology and diagnostic pitfalls. *J Trauma* 2000;48:724–7.
 160. Domeier RM, Evans RW, Swor RA, Rivera-Rivera EJ, Fredriksen SM. Prehospital clinical findings associated with spinal injury. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 1997;1:11–5.
 161. Davis JW, Phreaner DL, Hoyt DB, Mackersie RC. The etiology of missed cervical spine injuries. *J Trauma* 1993;34:342–6.

162. Reid DC, Henderson R, Saboe L, Miller JD. Etiology and clinical course of missed spine fractures. *J Trauma* 1987;27:980–6.
163. Hauswald M, Ong G, Tandberg D, Omar Z. Out-of-hospital spinal immobilization: its effect on neurologic injury. *Acad Emerg Med* 1998;5:214–9.
164. Aprahamian C, Thompson BM, Finger WA, Darin JC. Experimental cervical spine injury model: evaluation of airway management and splinting techniques. *Ann Emerg Med* 1984;13:584–7.
165. Donaldson IIIrd WF, Heil BV, Donaldson VP, Silvaggio VJ. The effect of airway maneuvers on the unstable C1-C2 segment. A cadaver study. *Spine* 1997;22:1215–8.
166. Donaldson IIIrd WF, Towers JD, Doctor A, Brand A, Donaldson VP. A methodology to evaluate motion of the unstable spine during intubation techniques. *Spine* 1993;18:2020–3.
167. Hauswald M, Sklar DP, Tandberg D, Garcia JF. Cervical spine movement during airway management: cinefluoroscopic appraisal in human cadavers. *Am J Emerg Med* 1991;9:535–8.
168. Brimacombe J, Keller C, Kunzel KH, Gaber O, Boehler M, Puhlinger F. Cervical spine motion during airway management: a cinefluoroscopic study of the posteriorly destabilized third cervical vertebrae in human cadavers. *Anesth Analg* 2000;91:1274–8.
169. Majernick TG, Bieniek R, Houston JB, Hughes HG. Cervical spine movement during orotracheal intubation. *Ann Emerg Med* 1986;15:417–20.
170. Lennarson PJ, Smith DW, Sawin PD, Todd MM, Sato Y, Traynelis VC. Cervical spinal motion during intubation: efficacy of stabilization maneuvers in the setting of complete segmental instability. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2001;94:265–70.
171. Heath KJ. The effect of laryngoscopy of different cervical spine immobilisation techniques. *Anaesthesia* 1994;49:843–5.
172. Hastings RH, Wood PR. Head extension and laryngeal view during laryngoscopy with cervical spine stabilization maneuvers. *Anesthesiology* 1994;80:825–31.
173. Gerling MC, Davis DP, Hamilton RS, et al. Effects of cervical spine immobilization technique and laryngoscope blade selection on an unstable cervical spine in a cadaver model of intubation. *Ann Emerg Med* 2000;36:293–300.
174. Boidin MP. Airway patency in the unconscious patient. *Br J Anaesth* 1985;57:306–10.
175. Szpilman D, Soares M. In-water resuscitation—is it worthwhile? *Resuscitation* 2004;63:25–31.
176. March NF, Matthews RC. New techniques in external cardiac compressions Aquatic cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *JAMA* 1980;244:1229–32.
177. Perkins GD. In-water resuscitation: a pilot evaluation. *Resuscitation* 2005;65:321–4.
178. Hwang V, Shofer FS, Durbin DR, Baren JM. Prevalence of traumatic injuries in drowning and near drowning in children and adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2003;157:50–3.
179. Branche CM, Sniezek JE, Sattin RW, Mirkin IR. Water recreation-related spinal injuries: risk factors in natural bodies of water. *Accid Anal Prev* 1991;23:13–7.
180. Watson RS, Cummings P, Quan L, Bratton S, Weiss NS. Cervical spine injuries among submersion victims. *J Trauma* 2001;51:658–62.
181. Kewalramani LS, Kraus JF. Acute spinal-cord lesions from diving—epidemiological and clinical features. *West J Med* 1977;126:353–61.
182. Green BA, Gabrielsen MA, Hall WJ, O’Heir J. Analysis of swimming pool accidents resulting in spinal cord injury. *Paraplegia* 1980;18:94–100.
183. Good RP, Nickel VL. Cervical spine injuries resulting from water sports. *Spine* 1980;5:502–6.
184. Goh SH, Low BY. Drowning and near-drowning—some lessons learnt. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 1999;28:183–8.
185. Bang A, Biber B, Isaksson L, Lindqvist J, Herlitz J. Evaluation of dispatcher-assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Eur J Emerg Med* 1999;6:175–83.
186. Culley LL, Clark JJ, Eisenberg MS, Larsen MP. Dispatcher-assisted telephone CPR: common delays and time standards for delivery. *Ann Emerg Med* 1991;20:362–6.
187. Hallstrom A, Cobb L, Johnson E, Copass M. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation by chest compression alone or with mouth-to-mouth ventilation. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:1546–53.
188. Lin CS, Chang H, Shyu KG, et al. A method to reduce response times in prehospital care: the motorcycle experience. *Am J Emerg Med* 1998;16:711–3.
189. MacDonald RD, Mottley JL, Weinstein C. Impact of prompt defibrillation on cardiac arrest at a major international airport. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2002;6:1–5.
190. Myerburg RJ, Fenster J, Velez M, et al. Impact of community-wide police car deployment of automated external defibrillators on survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation* 2002;106:1058–64.
191. van Alem AP, Vrenken RH, de Vos R, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Use of automated external defibrillator by first responders in out of hospital cardiac arrest: prospective controlled trial. *BMJ* 2003;327:1312.
192. Mannis MJ, Wendel RT. Transmission of herpes simplex during cardiopulmonary resuscitation training. *Compr Ther* 1984;10:15–7.
193. Mejicano GC, Maki DG. Infections acquired during cardiopulmonary resuscitation: estimating the risk and defining strategies for prevention. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;129:813–28.
194. Glaser JB, Nadler JP. Hepatitis B virus in a cardiopulmonary resuscitation training course Risk of transmission from a surface antigen-positive participant. *Arch Intern Med* 1985;145:1653–5.
195. Neiman R. Post manikin resuscitation stomatitis. *J Ky Med Assoc* 1982;80:813–4.
196. Nicklin G. Manikin tracheitis. *JAMA* 1980;244:2046–7.
197. Greenberg M. CPR: a report of observed medical complications during training. *Ann Emerg Med* 1983;12:194–5.
198. Memon AM, Salzer JE, Hillman Jr EC, Marshall CL. Fatal myocardial infarct following CPR training: the question of risk. *Ann Emerg Med* 1982;11:322–3.
199. Salzer J, Marshall C, Hillman EJ, Bullock J. CPR: A report of observed medical complications during training. *Ann Emerg Med* 1983;12:195.
200. Hudson AD. Herpes simplex virus and CPR training manikins: reducing the risk of cross-infection. *Ann Emerg Med* 1984;13:1108–10.
201. Cavagnolo RZ. Inactivation of herpesvirus on CPR manikins utilizing a currently recommended disinfecting procedure. *Infect Control* 1985;6:456–8.
202. Heilman KM, Muschenheim C. Primary cutaneous tuberculosis resulting from mouth-to-mouth respiration. *N Engl J Med* 1965;273:1035–6.
203. Christian MD, Loutfy M, McDonald LC, et al. Possible SARS coronavirus transmission during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004;10:287–93.
204. Axelsson A, Herlitz J, Ekstrom L, Holmberg S. Bystander-initiated cardiopulmonary resuscitation out-of-hospital A

- first description of the bystanders and their experiences. *Resuscitation* 1996;33:3–11.
205. Axelsson A, Herlitz J, Karlsson T, et al. Factors surrounding cardiopulmonary resuscitation influencing bystanders' psychological reactions. *Resuscitation* 1998;37:13–20.
206. Gamble M. A debriefing approach to dealing with the stress of CPR attempts. *Prof Nurse* 2001;17:157–60.
207. Laws T. Examining critical care nurses' critical incident stress after in hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). *Aust Crit Care* 2001;14:76–81.
208. Swanson RW. Psychological issues in CPR. *Ann Emerg Med* 1993;22:350–3.
209. Cydulka RK, Connor PJ, Myers TF, Pavza G, Parker M. Prevention of oral bacterial flora transmission by using mouth-to-mask ventilation during CPR. *J Emerg Med* 1991;9:317–21.
210. Blenkarn JI, Buckingham SE, Zideman DA. Prevention of transmission of infection during mouth-to-mouth resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 1990;19:151–7.
211. Berumen Jr U. Dog poisons man. *JAMA* 1983;249:353.
212. Koksai N, Buyukbese MA, Guven A, Cetinkaya A, Hasanoglu HC. Organophosphate intoxication as a consequence of mouth-to-mouth breathing from an affected case. *Chest* 2002;122:740–1.
213. Black CJ, Busuttill A, Robertson C. Chest wall injuries following cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2004;63:339–43.
214. Baubin M, Sumann G, Rabl W, Eibl G, Wenzel V, Mair P. Increased frequency of thorax injuries with ACD-CPR. *Resuscitation* 1999;41:33–8.
215. Hoke RS, Chamberlain D. Skeletal chest injuries secondary to cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2004;63:327–38.