

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heart & Lung



journal homepage: www.heartandlung.com

High flow nasal cannula is a good treatment option for COVID-19



An outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China in December 2019 has guickly spread nationwide. As of March 7, 2020, more than 100,000 cases of disease have been confirmed globally.¹ Although most patients show mild symptoms, 26% of them develop severe and critical diseases²; notably, they may exhibit acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), which is an important cause of COVID-19-associated death. Patients with severe/ critical disease generally receive symptomatic treatments such as antiviral therapy, anti-infection therapy, and maintenance of a stable internal environment; during such treatment, timely and effective oxygen therapy is essential. Conventional oxygen therapy by nasal catheter is only suitable for patients with mild disease; non-invasive mechanical ventilation requires man-machine cooperation and is uncomfortable. Here, we describe eight patients with COVID-19 who were treated with high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC): our findings suggest that HFNC is a suitable choice for treatment of patients with severe or critical COVID-19.

Infectious Diseases Branch of Anhui Provincial Hospital is the largest hospital designated for treatment of COVID-19 in Anhui Province. As of March 7, 2020, 84 patients with COVID-19 had been admitted to our hospital, including 60 patients with moderate disease and 24 patients with severe/critical disease. Of 42 patients who were receiving oxygen therapy, more aggressive respiratory support was required in four patients with severe COVID-19 and four patients with critical COVID-19, including five men and three women (mean age, 61.38±18.97 years; range, 40-91 years). Seven of the eight patients exhibited underlying disease, including four with hypertension, three with diabetes mellitus, two with cerebral infarction, one with rheumatic disease, one with chronic renal insufficiency, and one with gastrointestinal bleeding (Table 1). While receiving general treatment such as antiviral therapy (i.e., lopinavir/ritonavir, Interferon- α), anti-infection therapy, and nutritional support, all eight patients received low doses and short courses of corticosteroid treatment: four patients were treated with tocilizumab.

Chest imaging of the eight patients revealed multiple ground-glass opacities in both lungs with blurred edges at the time of admission; two of the eight patients exhibited air bronchogram signs after focal consolidation. Oxygen saturation (SpO₂) was generally maintained at 84%–92%; two patients immediately received HFNC treatment, while the remaining six patients switched to HFNC treatment due to deterioration of respiratory function after 4.50±3.08 days of general oxygen therapy. Blood-gas analysis before receipt of HFNC treatment showed that the mean oxygenation index (partial pressure of oxygen/fraction of inspired oxygen, P/F) of the eight patients was 259.88±58.15 mmHg;

six patients had developed ARDS, with a mean pneumonia severity index of 3.62 ± 1.19 . The initial oxygen concentration was set at 100%. After 2 h of HFNC treatment, the rate of oxygenation (ROX) index was calculated as SpO₂/(fraction of inspired oxygen \times respiratory rate) in accordance with the method used by Roca et al.³ The ROX indices were \geq 4.88 in all patients; the patients were monitored continuously for 12 h, and the ROX indices remained \geq 4.88, indicating a high success rate of HFNC treatment. After 24 h, the SpO₂ was maintained between 95% and 100%; the P/F increased to 280–450 mmHg. During the course of treatment, the oxygen concentration decreased gradually; patients were switched to conventional oxygen therapy after a mean of 7.38 \pm 2.07 days, when their conditions were improved (Table 1). Except for one patient with Alzheimer's disease, the patients showed good compliance and high tolerance. All eight patients subsequently improved and were discharged from the hospital.

HFNC can provide a specific positive end-expiratory pressure, which has a robust effect on mild to moderate type I respiratory failure⁴; it can also provide adequately warmed and humidified gas through the nasal pharynx reduces the metabolic work associated with gas conditioning.⁵ Moreover, HFNC can reduce the intubation rate and improve clinical prognosis in patients with acute respiratory failure.⁶ During treatment of the eight patients in this report, we found that HFNC could fulfill their oxygen requirements. Although guidelines also recommend noninvasive ventilation when ARDS or hypoxemia cannot be alleviated after standard oxygen therapy,⁷ HFNC has more advantages, compared with noninvasive ventilation, in isolation wards. First, most patients with severe/critical disease are elderly patients, typically unaccompanied by family members; the unfamiliar uniforms of the medical staff and panic regarding the disease will increase their anxiety, while claustrophobia caused by non-invasive ventilation can worsen the situation. Indeed, a 91-year-old patient with comorbid Alzheimer's disease required treatment with sedative and antianxiety drugs due to severe anxiety and panic. Second, non-invasive ventilation requires man-machine cooperation, which may be difficult for elderly patients; the extent of this cooperation directly affects the efficiency of oxygen therapy. Third, during the COVID-19 outbreak, the number of patients increased rapidly in a short period of time, resulting in a shortage of intensive care physicians and respiratory therapists who can provide patients with noninvasive ventilation; notably, HFNC can be easily implemented and managed by general physicians. Therefore, HFNC constitutes a good treatment option for patients with COVID-19. Importantly, none of the patients admitted to our hospital with severe/critical disease required noninvasive ventilation; only one patient received endotracheal intubation to prevent death.

Table 1

Characteristics of eight patients with COVID-19 who were treated with high-flow nasal cannula.

Characteristic	Patient							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Gender	М	М	F	М	F	М	М	F
Age(y)	88	57	47	47	65	40	91	56
Complication	Hypertension, multiple cerebral infarction, chronic renal insufficiency, gastrointestinal bleeding	Type 2 diabetes	Type 2 diabetes	Hypertension	Type 2 diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, Sjogren's syndrome	No	Hypertension, sequelae of cerebral infarction	Hypertension
PSI	5	4	2	3	4	2	5	4
HFNC usage time (d)	6	6	9	6	9	7	11	5
ROX index after HFNC treatment for 2 h	7.07	6.86	8	5.16	5.52	12.1	7.03	5.9
ROX index after HFNC treatment for 12 h	8.16	9.24	10.25	6.03	7.89	12.6	8.23	6.72
SPO ₂ (%) before HFNC	88	88	85	90	85	92	84	90
SPO ₂ (%) after HFNC	98	96	95	99	95	97	95	98
Oxygenation index before HFNC (mmHg)	223	285	312	273	226	360	185	215
Oxygenation index after HFNC (mmHg)	363	352	282	335	320	445	280	290
Oxygen flow rate (L/min)	50	50	40	50	60	50	40	60

Note: M, male; F, female; PSI, pneumonia severity index; HFNC, high-flow nasal cannula; SPO₂, oxygen saturation.

This study was limited to a small number of patients with mild or moderate ARDS; HFNC cannot be substituted for invasive ventilation in patients with severe ARDS. To avoid delayed intubation while using HFNC, clinicians should carefully monitor the transformation from mild/moderate ARDS to severe ARDS.

References

- 1 World Health Organization (WHO). WHO statement on cases of COVID-19 surpassing 100 000. *Statement*. 2020;7. https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/07-03-2020-who-statement-on-cases-of-covid-19-surpassing-100-000.
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585. Published online February 07.
- Roca O, Caralt B, Messica J, et al. An index combining respiratory rate and oxygenation to predict outcome of nasal high flow therapy. *Am J Respir Care Med.* 2019;119 (11):1368–1376. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201803-05890C.
- Chanques G, Riboulet F, Molinari N, et al. Comparison of three high flow oxygen therapy delivery devices: a clinical physiological cross-over study. *Minerva Anestesiol.* 2013;79(12):1344–1355. https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN15995925.
- Dysart Kevin, Miller Thomas L, Wolfson Marla R, et al. Research in high flow therapy: mechanisms of action. *Respir Med.* 2009;103:1400–1405. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.rmed.2009.04.007.
- Lin Si-ming, Liu Kai-xiong, Lin Zhi-hong, et al. Does high-flow nasal cannula oxygen improve outcome in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure? a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respir Med*. 2017;131:58–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2017.08.005.
- China National Health Commission. Diagnosis and treatment of 2019-nCoV pneumonia in China. *Chinese*. 2020. Published March 3; http://www.nhc.gov.cn/yzygj/ s7653p/202003/46c9294a7dfe4cef80dc7f5912eb1989.shtml.

Shike Geng^{a,1}

Qing Mei^{b,1} Chunyan Zhu^b Tianjun Yang^b Yun Yang^c Xiaowei Fang^b Aijun Pan^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Intensive Care Unit, The Affiliated Provincial Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei 23001, China

Annu Meucul Oniversity, Hejel 25001, China

^b Department of Intensive Care Unit, Division of Life Science and Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC (Anhui Provincial

Hospital), University of Science and Technology of China, No.17, Lu Jiang Road, Hefei 23001, China

^c Department of Intensive Care Unit, Infectious Diseases Branch of Anhui Provincial Hospital, Hefei, Anhui, China

*Correspondence author at: Department of Intensive Care Unit,

Division of Life Science and Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC (Anhui Provincial Hospital), University of Science and Technology of China, No.17, Lu Jiang Road, Hefei 23001, China. *E-mail address:* aijunpan868@sina.com (A. Pan).

Available online 11 April 2020

¹ These author Equal contributors