



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.ejcancer.com

Letter to the Editor

Cancer and coronavirus disease 2019; how do we manage cancer optimally through a public health crisis?

Eduard Vrdoljak ^{a,*}, Richard Sullivan ^b, Mark Lawler ^c^a Department of Oncology, Clinical Hospital Centre Split, School of Medicine, University of Split, Split, Croatia^b King's College London, Institute of Cancer Policy, Department of Cancer and Pharmaceutical Studies, Guy's Hospital, Great Maze Pond, London SE1 9RT, UK^c Patrick G Johnston Centre for Cancer Research, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT9 7AE, UK

Received 3 April 2020; accepted 6 April 2020

Available online 18 April 2020

The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has thus far infected nearly one million people worldwide, with over 40,000 deaths [1]. Epidemiological projections of likely numbers of people who will be infected and die, based on our short experience with this virus, show a significant impact on those older than 65 years with comorbidities but especially on those aged 80 years and more. In an attempt to both reduce COVID-19-related deaths but mostly to ‘flatten the curve’, that is, spread out non-avoidable mortality over longer time period, a majority of countries worldwide have introduced significant public health measures with one common denominator – less social exposure combined with more social distancing (national lockdowns). Owing to such measures, in many countries health care and other associated social care including community medicine have been redirected to optimally manage the COVID-19 outbreak. Consequently, many clinical activities have been relegated to second priority, oncology

included. The consequences of the lockdown measures and displacement of cancer awareness in the general population, as well as rapid and profound re-educations in many aspects of cancer care, could have significant impact on cancer outcomes, particularly in transitional countries such as Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) countries and in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where before COVID-19, we have already been experiencing significant challenges in delivering cancer control, with increasingly fragile cancer services and already stressed general healthcare systems.

In the current climate, potential oncology patients' minds are now more oriented toward COVID-19 symptoms, meaning that they may downplay rectal or bladder bleeding, a lump in the breast or other signs of cancer that otherwise would lead them immediately to consult their doctor. Anecdotal evidence suggests that patients are starting to fear a COVID-19 diagnosis more than a cancer diagnosis. Given our dramatically changed emotional and social infrastructure and media's specific focus on COVID-19 over all other health issues (cancer included), through 24 news cycle and social media, we should carefully and precisely measure the impact of these cumulative medical and social changes on oncology outcomes, particularly in CEE/LMIC regions.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: edo.vrdoljak@gmail.com (E. Vrdoljak), richard.sullivan@kcl.ac.uk (R. Sullivan), mark.lawler@qub.ac.uk (M. Lawler).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2020.04.001>

0959-8049/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

We should anticipate that the quality of secondary cancer prevention programmes and recent gains in promoting early diagnosis of cancer could be undermined in the short and medium term. Some signals from worsening of mortality and/or morbidity due to COVID-19—related delayed diagnosis and/or reduced treatment may take many years to appear, for example, early-stage breast cancer. Diagnosing cancer in COVID-19 reframed health systems could be significantly more challenging in many CEE countries/LMICs. We have seen the rapid widespread reduction in the availability and provision of many of the modalities of diagnosis, particularly biopsy procedures. As a consequence, delays in diagnosis may become more frequent, underpinning poorer outcomes. In addition, multidisciplinary team (MDT) workings are becoming more challenging, both due to social distancing (although this may be partially alleviated by online MDTs), but particularly due to redirecting of medical specialists to COVID-19—specific care. These rapid and dramatic structural and organisational changes to pathways and models of care are without precedent. Particularly challenging will be the maintenance of palliative care infrastructure in CEE/LMIC settings.

Oncology treatment is also proving more challenging. Patients have to come to oncology institutions for treatment, despite considerable travel difficulties (public transport being significantly restricted) and have to wait in line to be checked for COVID-19 infection symptoms. Many will be scheduled for immunosuppressive anti-cancer therapies — treatments that they now fear due to increased risk of COVID-19 infection. Many oncologists are also now in doubt as to how to optimally manage their patients with metastatic and adjuvant cancer. They question what dose density and intensity they should use, potentially resulting in undertreatment bias. Indeed, a recent report highlights that use of oncology, haematology and related drugs fell by more than 20% in the Chinese province of Hubei, the original epicentre of the COVID-19 pandemic during this first quarter of 2020 [2]. We fear that by emphasising the increased risk of death from COVID-19 in oncology patients, the optimal management of these patients will be compromised [3]. Patients in follow-up are now on the margins of focus, both from an oncologist and a patient perspective, raising the prospect of suboptimal treatment and undetected disease recurrence.

Cancer is the leading cause of death in the developed world [4] and is also a significant cause of

morbidity and mortality in LMICs. Every month in Europe, we are diagnosing 352,500 new oncology patients [4]. Stage migration upwards at diagnosis, less organised multidisciplinary teamwork, sub-optimally delivered oncology therapy and reduced follow-up could cause significant increases in cancer morbidity and mortality. We need to balance the COVID-19 challenge and the preventive measures we are taking to mitigate this pandemic with the undeniable risk of increased morbidity and mortality for oncology patients in a COVID-19 prioritised health system. Denying that this downside exists will mean that we will be adding the lost lives of patients with cancer to the COVID-19 death count toll. Unfortunately, these clinical and ethical issues are not being discussed and debated more widely. The modelling on which public health measures are being taken, as well as the sociopolitical and media narrative is entirely focused on COVID-19 mortality and morbidity, with no consideration for the impact of control measures on increasing morbidity and mortality in cancer, or indeed any other health condition.

To prevent such a scenario to reduce the number of underserved oncology patients (and prevent potentially unnecessary deaths), we must act now promptly and comprehensively. Yes, we need to continue our approach to mitigate the COVID-19 crisis, but we must also ensure that common causes of significant morbidity and mortality such as cancer are also firmly positioned within our cross-wires. The COVID-19 pandemic needs to be managed but not at the expense of significant lost lives and suffering in patients with cancer. Cancer, similar to coronavirus does not respect national borders. Neither should we.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

References

- [1] <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019>.
- [2] IQVIA publicly available report. <https://www.iqvia.com/-/media/iqvia/pdfs/files/covid-19-china-update-and-forecast.pdf?la=en&hash=BE72E8DD40F748C9C8DDEAD40C721F17>.
- [3] Liang W, Guan W, Chen R, et al. Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China. [https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lanonc/PIIS1470-2045\(20\)30096-6.pdf](https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lanonc/PIIS1470-2045(20)30096-6.pdf).
- [4] <http://gco.iarc.fr/today/home>.