

The Diagnosis and Treatment of Elderly Patients with Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Chronic Bronchitis

Timothy E. Albertson, MD, MPH, PhD,^{*†} Samuel Louie, MD,^{*†} and Andrew L. Chan, MB, ChB^{*†}

The syndrome of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) consists of chronic bronchitis (CB), bronchiectasis, emphysema, and reversible airway disease that combine uniquely in an individual patient. Older patients are at risk for COPD and its components—emphysema, CB, and bronchiectasis. Bacterial and viral infections play a role in acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD) and in acute exacerbations of CB (AECB) without features of COPD. Older patients are at risk for resistant bacterial organisms during their episodes of AECOPD and AECB. Organisms include the more-common bacteria implicated in AECOPD/AECB such as *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Moraxella catarrhalis*, and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Less-common non-enteric, gram-negative organisms including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, gram-positive organisms including *Staphylococcus aureus*, and strains of nontuberculosis Mycobacteria are more often seen in AECOPD/AECB episodes involving elderly patients with frequent episodes of CB or those with bronchiectasis. Risk-stratified antibiotic treatment guidelines appear useful for purulent episodes of AECOPD and episodes of AECB. These guidelines have not been prospectively validated for the general population and especially not for the elderly population. Using a risk-stratification approach for elderly patients, first-line antibiotics (e.g., amoxicillin, ampicillin, pivampicillin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, and doxycycline), with a more-limited spectrum of antibacterial coverage, are used in patients who are likely to have a low probability of resistant organisms during AECOPD/AECB. Second-line antibiotics (e.g., amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, second- or third-generation cephalosporins, and respiratory fluoroquinolones) with a broader spectrum of coverage are reserved for patients with significant risk factors for resistant organisms and those

who have failed initial antibiotic treatment. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 58:570–579, 2010.

Key words: antibiotics; elderly patients; COPD; AECB; bronchitis; bronchiectasis

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), a syndrome comprising aspects of inflammatory chronic bronchitis (CB), including bronchiectasis, reversible airways disease with small-airway obstruction, and emphysema secondary to lung tissue destruction and loss of lung recoil, is a common disease of older adults.^{1,2} Individual patients will have unique contributions to their chronic pathological lung status from the various disease components that make up COPD. The variable contributions to an individual patient's clinical manifestation are particularly noted in the interaction of the inflammatory syndromes of CB and COPD. CB is reported in approximately 85% of patients with severe COPD.³ The syndrome of CB can also occur without airway obstruction. Conversely, only a minority of patients with CB have COPD, but when they co-exist, small-airway obstructive features are present.^{2,3} Age is a risk factor for COPD and CB. The complex process of aging contributes to the risk of respiratory infections in older adults.^{4,5} These age-related changes include risk of aspiration often secondary to swallowing abnormalities or loss of airway protection, poor nutritional status, obstructive lung processes such as mucous plugging and dynamic airway collapse, weaker respiratory muscle function, a decline in innate and adaptive cell- and humoral-mediated immunities, and poor local or lung immunity. Collectively, respiratory tract infections are a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in older patients.⁶ Acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD) are thought to have a bacterial, viral, or mixed viral-bacterial etiology in as many as 70% of cases.⁷

This article will review the epidemiology, pathophysiology, etiology, and clinical features of acute exacerbation

From the ^{*}Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, School of Medicine University of California at Davis, Sacramento, California; and [†]Veterans Affairs Northern California Health Care System, Mather, California.

Address correspondence to Timothy E. Albertson, Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, UC Davis School of Medicine and VA Northern California Health Care System, CA. E-mail: tealbertson@ucdavis.edu

DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.02741.x

of CB (AECB) and AECOPD and specifically focus on the role of antibiotics in the treatment of elderly patients.

DEFINING AECOPD AND AECB

COPD is a significant and growing cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide. It was estimated that COPD was the fifth leading cause of worldwide death in 2001 and will become the third leading cause by 2020.⁸ Aging of the world's population and the continued use of tobacco are thought to be responsible for the growing burden of COPD.⁸ Using the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria (forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁)/forced vital capacity (FVC) < 70% with symptoms of cough and sputum production), an international study demonstrated that the odds ratio (OR) for the diagnosis of stage II or higher COPD was 1.94 (95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.80–2.10) per 10-year increment of age.⁹ This compares with the OR for developing stage II or higher COPD of 1.20 (95% CI = 1.14–1.25) for each 10 pack-years of smoking.⁹ The adult prevalence found in this large worldwide study was 10.1% overall, 11.8% for men and 8.5% for women, for stage II or higher COPD.⁹ Because normal lung aging results in obstructive changes, caution in interpreting spirometry of older adults is warranted. Adjustment of the GOLD criteria have been made and suggest that, in patients aged 70 and older, the normal FEV₁/FVC ratio should be reduced from 70% to 65% to account for the normal aging process.¹⁰

Inflammatory and immune responses to inhaled toxic particles and gases from tobacco smoke underlie the current theories of the pathogenesis of COPD, but other environmental types of inhalation injury, airway infections and genetic factors such as alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency contribute to the risk of developing COPD.² Although inflammatory changes are found in the airways of all smokers, only a susceptible minority of these smokers develops COPD with an amplified inflammatory and immune response. This response is prolonged over years and decades and is linked to lung tissue remodeling. Remodeling is also associated with CB with small-airway bronchiolitis.² The resultant air flow limitations lead to hyperinflation of the lung and air trapping in COPD. Evidence supporting the concept of COPD as a disease of accelerated lung aging and chronic inflammation is growing, which may indicate future therapeutic approaches.¹¹

A standard definition of AECOPD includes acute and sustained worsening of the patient's chronic stable pulmonary condition that is beyond normal day-to-day variations.^{9,12,13} The main risk factor for AECOPD is lung infection, but secondary risk factors include exposure to pollutants, allergens, and sedatives; congestive heart failure (CHF); and pulmonary embolism.¹⁴ AECOPD is associated with bacterial airway changes in approximately half of cases¹⁵ and viral, bacterial, or mixed viral-bacterial changes in up to 70% of the cases.⁷ Bacteria in the airway of patients with AECOPD can cause the release of antigens, including endotoxins, peptidoglycan fragments, lipoproteins, and other molecules, to induce potent systemic and local airway inflammatory effects.¹⁶ Reduction or modulation of viral- or bacterial-induced inflammation has the potential to mitigate or prevent the clinical manifestations

of AECOPD. Multifactorial causes may also precipitate AECOPD, although in some cases no definite cause for the exacerbation can be determined.^{2,14}

Severe limitation of the quality of life (QOL), health-care costs, deaths, and hospitalizations are associated with AECOPD. High healthcare costs can be seen even before the diagnosis of COPD is made. Retrospective analyses of healthcare costs during the 2 years before the initial diagnosis of COPD were conducted. It was found that the costs before diagnosis were \$2,489 higher for Year 1 and \$1,182 higher for Year 2 than for matched controls.¹⁷ Data from 2000/01 estimated that approximately 110,000 deaths, more than 500,000 hospitalizations, and more than \$18 billion in direct medical expenditures per year occurred in the United States from AECOPD.¹⁴ AECOPD causes progressive loss of lung function with each exacerbation. The annual rate of decline in FEV₁ was approximately 20% greater in patients with COPD with frequent (>2/year) AECOPD than those with less frequent rates.¹⁴ Greater frequency of AECOPD is associated with greater use of healthcare resources and lower QOL scores than in patients with COPD without frequent AECOPD. Risk factors for frequent AECOPD include older age, severity of FEV₁ impairment, chronic bronchial mucus hypersecretion, frequent past episodes of AECOPD, daily cough or wheeze, and persistent symptoms of CB.¹⁴

Early studies of patients with COPD isolated bacterial pathogens from the sputum at the same rates during exacerbations and during stable disease, causing the role of bacteria to be questioned.¹⁸ Recently, using molecular typing of sputum isolates of nonencapsulated *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Moraxella catarrhalis*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, new strains were more frequently isolated during AECOPD than at clinic visits without exacerbation, with a relative exacerbation risk of 2.15 (95% CI = 1.83–2.53).¹⁸ In a recent cohort of 433 subjects with COPD (aged 65 ± 11), those with chronic cough and sputum production consistent with CB were found to have significantly more-frequent AECOPD episodes that required hospitalizations than those without CB.¹⁹

CB is a progressive disease characterized by chronic sputum production and defined by at least 3 months of cough and sputum in each of 2 consecutive years after the elimination of tuberculosis, lung cancer, and other causes of cough.^{3,20} CB is reported in the majority of patients with COPD.³ AECB causes recurrent attacks in these patients associated with worsening bronchial inflammation. They occur on average 1.5 to 3 times a year and are superimposed on the baseline cough and sputum production. Three cardinal symptoms (increased daily sputum volume, a change in sputum color (e.g., darker—more gray, yellow, or green), and worsening dyspnea) define exacerbations.²⁰ In 1987, it was found that, in patients with COPD and AECB, those with two or three of the previously defined symptoms had better clinical outcomes and fewer clinical failures when treated with antibiotics (e.g., amoxicillin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, or doxycycline) than with placebo.²¹ A meta-analysis of placebo-controlled trials of AECB and COPD between 1957 and 1992 found an overall significant benefit for antibiotic-treated patients.²²

Recent U.S. estimates suggest that 4% to 6% of the adult population has CB.²³ Based on 1995 claims data,

more than 90% of patients with CB sought healthcare treatment at an estimated yearly cost of \$1.6 billion for patients aged 65 and older and \$419 million for patients younger than 65 in the United States.²⁴ Internationally, AECB results in more than 1.5 million annual Canadian physician visits.³ A decline in lung function and QOL are seen after AECB, along with an increase in patients becoming housebound and reporting impaired health status and a resultant increased mortality.^{6,7}

As previously noted, older age is a risk factor for greater frequency of AECOPD and may explain the worldwide rise in COPD-associated hospitalizations.²⁵ The risk of 90-day mortality is 3 times as great in elderly patients admitted with AECOPD as in younger patients.²⁶ A patient aged 80 and older hospitalized with AECOPD has a relative risk of death during or shortly after discharge of 3.0 compared with patients younger than 65.²⁷ The risk of developing AECB increases with age, particularly with comorbidities such as advanced COPD, malnutrition, recurrent aspiration, cardiac disease, active smoking, recent viral infections, alcoholism, impaired immune function, other chronic lung conditions, and residence in a long-term care facility.^{5,6,28} The prevalence of CB in the United Kingdom also increases with age. Between the ages of 25 to 44, the prevalence is 7.5 per 10,000. It increases to 65 per 10,000 in people aged 44 to 64 and to more than 200 per 10,000 in people aged 75 to 84.²⁸

Clinically, bronchiectasis is associated with a chronic productive cough with recurrent or persistent infections. Remodeling and distortion of the conducting airways by repeated infections or profound inflammatory reactions result in dilation and scarring of the bronchi and bronchioles and defines bronchiectasis.²⁹ Postinfectious states (e.g., tuberculosis, necrotizing pneumonias, and fungal diseases), idiopathic genetic disorders (e.g., primary ciliary dysfunction, cystic fibrosis, and alpha 1-antitrypsin deficiency), recurrent aspiration, immune deficiency, rheumatoid arthritis, ulcerative colitis, and allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis are some of the known causes of bronchiectasis.³⁰ An association between bronchiectasis and COPD also exists, with one study reporting that 50% of patients with COPD with FEV₁ less than 1L had evidence on high-resolution chest computed tomography (CT) of bronchiectasis.³¹ Those patients with COPD with CT evidence of bronchiectasis clinically had more-severe episodes of AECOPD.³¹ The prevalence of non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis in the United States determined between 1999 and 2001 was estimated to be more than 110,000 cases and ranged from 4.2 cases in 100,000 persons aged 18 to 34 to 272 cases in 100,000 for those aged 75 and older.³² The prevalence was higher in women than in men at all ages. Patients with bronchiectasis required on average more days in the hospital, more days of antibiotic therapy, and higher medical care expenditure than those without bronchiectasis.³² The burden of bronchiectasis is significant in developed countries, but the prevalence and burden of bronchiectasis is thought to be even greater in less-developed countries.³⁰

THE ROLE OF BACTERIA

Much of the morbidity and mortality of COPD is associated with AECOPD. Bacteria are thought to cause half of the

episodes, with non-encapsulated *H. influenzae*, *M. catarrhalis*, and *S. pneumoniae* representing the most common pathogens.^{16,33} Bacteria often appear to be at the center of a complicated process that involves amplification of inflammation in part by bacterial antigens stimulating inflammatory mediators.¹⁶ As previously noted, even in patients with COPD with baseline sputum cultures positive for *H. influenzae*, *M. catarrhalis*, *S. pneumoniae*, or pseudomonas, using molecular typing techniques, sputum isolated during AECOPD tended to demonstrate evidence of new strains.¹⁸

Similar to AECOPD, several potential triggers for AECB have been identified. These include environmental conditions such as tobacco smoke, lack of adherence to COPD therapies, and worsening congestive heart failure (CHF), although infections, including those from bacteria, viruses, and atypical pathogens, are likely to cause 80% of AECB. Bacterial infections represent the majority of causative organisms. Several respiratory viruses are associated with approximately 30% of exacerbations, and atypical bacteria (mostly *Chlamydia pneumoniae*) are implicated in less than 10%.³⁴ The viral pathogens found in AECB include influenza, parainfluenza, rhinovirus, coronavirus, adenovirus, and respiratory syncytial virus.³⁴ The four major bacterial causes of AECB, including those in elderly patients, are *S. pneumoniae*, *H. influenzae*, *H. parainfluenzae*, and *M. catarrhalis*.^{3,6} Less commonly, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas*, and members of the Enterobacteriaceae family can be isolated during AECB.³ A study of patients requiring mechanical ventilation for AECB or AECOPD reported frequently isolating Gram-negative enteric bacilli, *Pseudomonas*, and *Stenotrophomonas* spp.^{6,35} The degree of measured baseline airway obstruction tends to predict the bacterial organisms that will be isolated from sputum obtained during AECB.⁶ When patients were classified according to baseline FEV₁, patients with less-severe baseline airway obstruction were more often found to have *S. pneumoniae*, and other gram-positive cocci, whereas more-severe baseline airway obstruction was associated with *H. influenzae* and *M. catarrhalis*. The patients with the worst baseline FEV₁ had greater likelihood of having *Pseudomonas* and Enterobacteriaceae spp. Isolated from their sputum during their episodes of AECB/AECOPD. These patients also tended to have other risk factors for gram-negative organisms in their sputum, including recent hospitalizations and the use of mechanical ventilation.³⁶

Frequently, sputum from patients with bronchiectasis during AECB demonstrates nonenteric gram-negative bacteria on culture. Reviews have reported the isolation in the sputum of *H. influenzae* in 30% to 47%, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (including mucoid species) in 12% to 31%, *M. catarrhalis* in 2.4% to 20%, *S. pneumoniae* in 7% to 10%, *S. aureus* in 4% to 14%, *Mycobacterium* (primarily *Mycobacterium-avium intracellulare* complex) in 2% to 17%, and no organism in 21% to 23% of patients with bronchiectasis during exacerbations.²⁹

The pathogens isolated from patients during AECB, particularly in those with bronchiectasis, unfortunately often demonstrate significant antibiotic resistance patterns to penicillin, beta-lactams, macrolides, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole.^{37,38} The sputum isolates of elderly patients also result more frequently in drug-resistant pathogens

such as multiple drug-resistant *S. pneumoniae* than those of younger patients.³⁹ In addition, during AECB/AECOPD, older patients are more likely than younger patients to have *H. influenzae* and *P. aeruginosa* cultured from their sputum.³⁷ More than 50% of fluoroquinolone-resistant *S. pneumoniae* strains isolated were in patients aged 65 and older.⁴⁰ The emergence of plasmid-mediated fluoroquinolone gram-negative resistance is a worldwide problem.⁴¹

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA AND SEVERITY OF AECB AND AECOPD

The cardinal or major symptoms of increased sputum volume, sputum purulence, and worsening dyspnea can be used to classify clinical severity of AECB. Older age and the severity of the underlying lung disease are associated with greater frequency of AECB.⁶ The most-severe AECB is labeled Type I when all three of the major symptoms are present.²¹ Type II AECB exhibits two of the three major symptoms. Type III includes only one of these symptoms and at least one of the minor symptoms of a respiratory tract infection occurring within 5 days (e.g., increased wheezing, increased cough, fever, or a 20% increase in respiratory or heart rate).²¹ It has been argued that this system classifies the likelihood of bacterial infection as the cause of an exacerbation and is not really a severity scale.⁴²

The GOLD guideline provides a spirometric classification of disease severity for COPD.⁴³ Using these guidelines, Stage I is mild COPD, with FEV₁ greater than 80% of predicted values. Stage II is moderate COPD, with FEV₁ between 50% and 80% of predicted values. Stage III is severe COPD, with FEV₁ between 30% and 50% of predicted values. Stage IV is the most-severe COPD, with FEV₁ less than 30% of predicted values or evidence of chronic respiratory failure with FEV₁ less than 50% of predicted values. Exacerbations of COPD are defined as changes in the patient's baseline dyspnea, cough, or sputum that are acute in onset and beyond normal day-to-day variation of the disease.⁴³ The GOLD guidelines further stratify patients with AECOPD and purulent sputum based on the presence of the same three cardinal symptoms of increased dyspnea, sputum volume, and sputum purulence used in defining episodes of AECB. This further stratification, along with the need for invasive or noninvasive mechanical ventilation, is used to determine the need for and the choice of antibiotics based on the potential pathogens involved during AECOPD. Consideration of likely organisms, the resistance patterns of the likely organisms, and strategies to reduce further development of resistance are key components when considering whether and which antibiotic to initiate in older patients with AECB or AECOPD.

Evidence for the use of antibiotics in patients undergoing Type I or II AECB exists.³ Several guidelines have been developed for AECB that use risk stratification in recommending antibiotics. Some of these guidelines have been promoted for elderly patients without specific data on this population.^{3,44} A recent review of international guidelines for antibacterial treatment of AECB based on risk stratification concluded that most are similar.⁴⁵ The Canadian Thoracic Society (CTS) has developed a guideline based on a risk-stratification system that has three categories for assessment and treatment of patients with AECB.³ Group I or

Table 1. Canadian Thoracic Society and Canadian Infections Disease Society Chronic Bronchitis (CB) Stratification System³

Category of CB	Clinical Characteristics
Group 0 (acute tracheobronchitis)	Cough and sputum without previous pulmonary disease (patients do not meet definition of CB)
Group I (simple CB)	< 4 exacerbations/year (meets definition of CB) FEV ₁ > 50% predicted
Group II (complicated CB)	FEV ₁ < 50% predicted FEV ₁ 50–65% predicted and significant comorbidity: congestive heart failure, coronary artery disease, or > 4 exacerbations/year
Group III (suppurative CB)	As in Group II but with constant purulent sputum Frequent exacerbation (> 4/year) may have bronchiectasis FEV ₁ < 50% predicted (usually < 35% predicted)

FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second.

“simple CB” describes patients of any age who demonstrate the three cardinal signs of bronchitis severity and have fewer than four exacerbations per year, with an FEV₁ greater than 50% of predicted and have no history of cardiac disease (Table 1). Group II or “complicated CB” describes patients who meet simple CB (Group I) criteria plus have one or more of the following risk factors: FEV₁ of less than 50% of predicted value, more than four exacerbations per year, a history of cardiac disease, supplemental oxygen use, long-term oral corticosteroid use, or antibiotic use within the previous 3 months.³ The final Group III or “chronic suppurative” category describes patients who meet the criteria of Group II plus have chronic purulent sputum production or more than four exacerbations per year, require antibiotics more than four times per year (often within the previous 3 months), have a baseline FEV₁ less than 35% of predicted value, and may have multiple of these risk factors (Table 1). A prospective study used patient stratification to define antibiotic treatment in AECB and demonstrated that patients with complicated CB exacerbations had lower clinical and microbiological success rates than patients with simple or uncomplicated CB exacerbations.⁴⁶

In addition to classifying AECB, the CTS also classifies AECOPD as a purulent or nonpurulent event.⁴⁷ Purulent AECOPD episodes are further defined as simple or complicated exacerbations. Simple AECOPD has increased sputum purulence and dyspnea, whereas complicated AECOPD has the features of simple plus at least one of the following risk factors for poor outcome: FEV₁ less than 50% predicted, more than four exacerbations per year, ischemic heart disease, use of home oxygen, or chronic oral steroid use.

Table 2 summarizes the GOLD guidelines for the causative organisms associated with AECOPD. Group A episodes are mild events, rarely require hospitalization, have only one cardinal symptom of CB, and have no risk factors for poor outcome.⁴³ Groups B and C AECOPD are likely to require hospitalization, are increasingly severe events, have increasing risk factors for poor outcome, and in the case of Group C, have risk factors for *P. aeruginosa*.

Table 2. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease Guidelines for Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (AECOPD) Severity and Need for Antibiotics

AECOPD Category	Hospitalization	Exacerbation	Clinical	Organisms	Antibiotics
A	No	Mild	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No risk factors for poor outcome No comorbidity No frequent exacerbations No severe Stage IV COPD No recent antibiotic use Only one cardinal symptom of chronic bronchitis 	<i>H. influenzae</i> <i>S. pneumoniae</i> <i>M. catarrhalis</i> <i>C. pneumoniae</i> Viruses	No
B	Yes	Moderate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk factor(s) for poor outcome No risk factor for <i>P. aeruginosa</i> infection 	Group A plus penicillin-resistant <i>S. pneumoniae</i> Enterobacteriaceae <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> <i>Escherichia coli</i> <i>Proteus</i> <i>Enterobacter</i>	Yes
C	Yes	Severe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk factor(s) for poor outcome and <i>P. aeruginosa</i> infection Recent hospitalization Frequent administration of antibiotics (≥ 4 courses in previous year) Stage IV COPD Isolation of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> during previous exacerbation or during a stable period 	Group B plus <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	Yes

Adapted from GOLD Guidelines.⁴³

NONANTIBIOTIC THERAPY FOR ELDERLY PATIENTS WITH AECOPD/AECB

Because of the significant overlap between the syndromes of AECOPD and AECB, the discussed nonantibiotic treatment approach in elderly patients will be combined in this article. The acute use of inhaled bronchodilators represents a mainstay of treatment in patients with evidence of airway obstruction. Short-acting inhaled beta2-agonists appear to be the preferred initial bronchodilator for the treatment of AECOPD, although prospective placebo-controlled trials have not been performed.⁴⁸ The short-acting anticholinergic agent ipratropium bromide can be added to the treatment regimen. A meta-analysis has shown that, in AECOPD, there is no significant difference between the results of inhaled short-acting beta2-agonists or short-acting anticholinergics.⁴⁹ Although commonly used, the combination of a short-acting beta2-agonist with a short-acting anticholinergic has not uniformly been shown to improve outcomes in AECOPD.^{48,49} Nebulizer-based delivery of these agents appears to be as effective as using a metered-dose inhaler with a spacer in patients with AECOPD.⁴⁸ The use of intravenous aminophylline, a methylxanthine, in the treatment of AECOPD is controversial, and no consistent benefits have been demonstrated.⁴⁸ The frequent presence of comorbidities, particularly cardiovascular comorbidities in elderly patients with AECOPD, must be considered before using high-dose inhaled beta2-agonist treatment or intravenous methylxanthines.^{50,51} Ensuring appropriate but not excessive hydration of older patients with exacerbations of COPD or CB can reduce the thickness of the sputum and aid clearance. Supplemental oxygen therapy to ensure an arterial oxygen tension greater than 60 mmHg has been advocated.⁴⁸ A recent meta-analysis of the treatment of AECOPD has demonstrated that systemic corticosteroids

are effective in reducing treatment failures and that the use of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation can reduce the need for intubation and mortality.⁵²

In addition to the chronic use of bronchodilators and inhaled corticosteroids, domiciliary oxygen therapy has been shown to improve survival in COPD. Participation in pulmonary rehabilitation can improve the health status of elderly patients with COPD, CB, or both.⁵³ Smoking cessation and pneumococcal and influenza vaccinations are also important preventive measures in elderly patients with COPD, CB, or both.^{43,53} Intravenous replacement therapy (e.g., immunoglobulin G or alpha-1 antitrypsin infusions) has been used in patients with appropriately deficient bronchiectasis, but data on elderly patients are scarce. Mechanical and pharmacological techniques for the mobilization of secretions in these patients appear to be important adjunctive treatments.^{29,30}

ANTIBIOTIC TREATMENT IN ELDERLY PATIENTS WITH AECOPD AND AECB

Using a risk-stratification approach that includes comorbidity evaluation and determination of recent exposure to antibiotics appears central to the various guidelines for the selection of antibiotics in the treatment of episodes of AECOPD and AECB. Unfortunately, prospective outcome-based trials have yet to be done to confirm these expert consensus guidelines, and in general, no subset analyses on elderly patients are reported in the many recent industry-sponsored noninferiority trials.

Because bacteria cause as many as 50% to 70% of AECOPD episodes,^{7,15} it has been stressed that, in approaching older patients with AECOPD, it is important to evaluate the severity of the exacerbation using the three

cardinal symptoms of CB—increased sputum volume, increased purulence, and increased dyspnea from baseline.¹⁵ Furthermore, consideration of underlying comorbidities, underlying severity of lung disease, and the frequency of exacerbations help determine whether the episode is a “simple” or “complicated” AECOPD. Table 3 outlines the risk-stratified CTS guidelines for antibiotic treatment in patients with purulent sputum-associated AECOPD. It is recommended that cases of simple AECOPD with purulent sputum be treated with amoxicillin, second- or third-generation cephalosporins, doxycycline, extended-spectrum macrolides, or trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole.^{15,47} The antibiotic treatment recommended for purulent AECOPD classified as “complicated” includes the respiratory fluoroquinolones (gemifloxacin, levofloxacin, and moxifloxacin) and beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor agents such as amoxicillin/clavulanate. Sputum culture-guided therapy may be useful in this group.

A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials on the use of antibiotics in AECOPD (N = 1,020, mean age 67) concluded that antibiotic treatment significantly reduced treatment failures and in-hospital mortality.⁵² Short-course antibiotic treatment approaches (<5 days) were evaluated in a 21-study meta-analysis in AECOPD and AECB. Short courses of antibiotics were just as effective as the traditional longer treatment courses in patients with mild to moderate AECOPD and AECB.⁵⁵ In addition to the acute use of macrolide antibiotics in the treatment of “simple” AECOPD associated with purulent sputum, the chronic use of macrolides has been evaluated for its ability to immunomodulate and potentially prevent AECOPD. Data exist that support the use of prolonged macrolide antibiotics in a number of chronic inflammatory lung conditions (diffuse pan-bronchiolitis, asthma, non-cystic fibrosis-associated bronchiectasis, and cystic fibrosis). The data in patients with COPD have been limited and somewhat supportive.^{56,57}

In evaluating acute bronchitis and AECOPD in elderly patients (average age ≥ 75), Dutch investigators found that general practitioners prescribed antibiotics to the majority of cases of acute bronchitis (84%) and AECOPD (53%). When prescribing antibiotics to elderly patients with acute bronchitis, no association with comorbid conditions was

found.⁵⁸ Antibiotics were more often prescribed for AECOPD in patients with diabetes mellitus and heart failure. The authors called for practitioners to better follow published comorbidity risk-stratified guidelines, which would have greatly limited treatment of acute bronchitis.⁵⁸

Antibiotics selected using a risk-stratification approach involving comorbidity analysis have been advocated in treating AECB in elderly patients.⁶ Similar to AECOPD, risk-stratification-based guidelines for the treatment of AECB treat lower-risk patients with classes of antibiotics with narrow spectrums of antibacterial coverage (first-line). Several antibiotic guidelines for the treatment of AECB have been published.^{3,6,45} These consensus-based guidelines have not been prospectively validated, and no specific outcome-based data exist for older patients.

A meta-analysis compared first-line with second-line antibiotics in the treatment of AECB.⁵⁹ Mean ages of the patients in the 12 randomized controlled trials examined ranged from 49 to 71. Second-line antibiotics (e.g., amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, second- or third-generation cephalosporins, and fluoroquinolones) were more effective and just as safe as first-line antibiotics (e.g. amoxicillin, ampicillin, pivampicillin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, and doxycycline).⁵⁹ A more-detailed analysis of antibiotic effectiveness stratified according to risk factors for poor outcomes including advanced age could not be done because of a lack of data. Another meta-analysis of 19 trials comparing antibiotic treatments in AECB found that macrolides, fluoroquinolones, and amoxicillin/clavulanate were equivalent in their short-term effectiveness,⁶⁰ although the use of fluoroquinolones demonstrated better microbiological success with fewer recurrences of AECB than macrolides. The use of amoxicillin/clavulanate was found to be associated with more adverse effects than the use of fluoroquinolones or macrolide antibiotics.⁶⁰ Again, further risk stratification including age could not be done. A third meta-analysis of five randomized controlled trials on the use of the semisynthetic penicillins (e.g., amoxicillin, ampicillin, and pivampicillin) and trimethoprim-based regimens (e.g., trimethoprim, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and trimethoprim-sulfadiazine) found that they had equivalent effectiveness and toxicity in treating AECB.⁶¹ The various

Table 3. Canadian Antibiotic Recommendations for Purulent Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)⁵⁴

Category	Clinical State	Symptoms and Risk Factors	Pathogens	Antibiotics*
Simple exacerbation	COPD without risk factors	Increased mucopurulent sputum and dyspnea	<i>H. influenzae</i> <i>H. species</i> <i>S. pneumoniae</i> <i>M. catarrhalis</i>	Amoxicillin Cephalosporins (2nd/3rd generation) Doxycycline Macrolides (extended-spectrum) Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (in alphabetical order)
Complicated exacerbation	COPD with risk factors	As in simple, plus at least one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • forced expiratory volume in 1 second <50% predicted • Ischemic heart disease • Use of home oxygen • Chronic oral corticosteroid use 	As in simple plus: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Klebsiella</i> species and gram-negative • Increased probability of beta-lactam resistance • <i>Pseudomonas</i> species 	Respiratory fluoroquinolone (gemifloxacin, levofloxacin, or moxifloxacin) Beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor (in order of preference)

* Repeat course of antibiotics of the same class should be avoided within a 3-month interval.

Table 4. Antibiotic Recommendations for Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Bronchitis (CB) Based on a Canadian Risk-Stratification System³

Category	Antibiotic Recommendation
Group 0 (acute tracheobronchitis—patients who do not meet definition of CB)	No first-line oral antibacterial therapy unless symptoms persist for > 10 to 14 days Alternative for treatment failure: macrolide or tetracycline
Group I (simple CB)	First-line oral antibacterial therapy: second-generation macrolide, second- or third-generation cephalosporin, amoxicillin, and doxycycline Alternative for treatment failures: respiratory fluoroquinolone (gemifloxacin, levofloxacin, or moxifloxacin) or beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor
Group II (complicated CB)	First-line oral antibiotic therapy: respiratory fluoroquinolone (gemifloxacin, levofloxacin, or moxifloxacin) or beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor Alternative for treatment failure: Parenteral therapy (home or hospital) Sputum culture-based or adjusted therapy Consider referral to specialist
Group III (suppurative CB)	First-line oral antibacterial therapy: adjust ambulatory treatment based on sputum cultures, treat <i>P. aeruginosa</i> —oral ciprofloxacin if sensitive Parenteral therapy (hospital or home based) adjusted according to culture results

guidelines for treating AECB are similar in their recommendations.⁴⁵ The current CTS antibiotic guidelines for treating AECB are summarized in Table 4 and are similar to their antibiotic guidelines for AECOPD with purulent sputum (Table 3).

A specific meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of AECB has found that short courses of antibiotics (< 5 days) are as effective as and safer than long-duration antimicrobial treatments.⁶² Antibiotic courses as short as 3 days have been shown to be as effective as long-duration courses of comparator antibiotics in 12 randomized controlled trials.⁶³ Early initiation of antibiotics appears important in patients requiring hospitalization. When antibiotics were started before elderly patients (average age 75) reached the hospital, those hospitalized for AECB or AECOPD had lower short-term mortality.⁶⁴

The CTS guidelines for Group III or suppurative CB with adjustment of the selection of oral or parenteral antibiotics based on previous or current sputum culture results appear appropriate for most elderly patients with bronchiectasis.³ Because of frequent *P. aeruginosa* infections in these patients, the nonrespiratory fluoroquinolone ciprofloxacin (oral or intravenous), together with other intravenous antipseudomonal agents, is frequently prescribed in an attempt to reduce the emergence of fluoroquinolone-resistant *P. aeruginosa* organisms. Inhaled tobramycin lacks Food and Drug Administration approval and lacks proven efficacy in patients with non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis.^{29–31} Specialty consultation is often required when treating elderly patients with bronchiectasis after isolation of non-tuberculosis mycobacteria.²⁹

Table 5 summarizes recent randomized controlled comparative antibiotics trials in the treatment of AECB. All of these trials were designed as noninferiority trials and for the most part are industry sponsored. Only three of the 13 trials enrolled patients with mean ages of 65 and older. Only two of the 13 trials demonstrated statistical difference

in outcomes.^{65,66} In reviewing these recent trials, moxifloxacin was shown to have a small but significantly better “clinical cure” rate than comparator antibiotics in AECB.⁶⁵ The same study showed a 14-day-longer interval to the next exacerbation with moxifloxacin.⁶⁵ In a second trial, gemifloxacin also demonstrated a small but statistically better clinical cure rate than comparator antibiotics in hospitalized patients with AECB.⁶⁶ Gemifloxacin treatment was associated with a 2-day reduction in hospitalization.⁶⁶ The limited size of the studies in Table 5, the lack of detailed risk stratification, and the limited number of older patients makes direct application of their results to older patients difficult.

When selecting antibiotic treatment in elderly patients with AECB, several considerations beyond the use of risk-stratified guidelines must be made. Potential alterations in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of agents as a result of disease- or age-related decreases in renal and metabolic drug clearances and the potential for drug interactions must be evaluated. The final antibiotic selection, as well as any special monitoring and dosing requirements, must be carefully considered because of the potential complexity of older patients.

CONCLUSIONS

COPD is a syndrome unique in each patient and consists of elements of CB, bronchiectasis, emphysema, and reversible airway disease. Elderly patients (≥ 65) are at high risk for COPD with CB and bronchiectasis. Episodes of AECOPD and AECB are associated with viral, bacterial, and atypical organisms, along with environmental factors acting as triggers. Elderly patients also have greater risk for resistant bacterial organisms such as multiple drug-resistant *S. pneumoniae*, and nonenteric gram-negative organisms such as *H. influenzae*, *Stenotrophomonas* spp., and *P. aeruginosa*. Although not prospectively validated, risk-stratified antibi-

Table 5. Recent Respiratory Antibiotic Trials in Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Bronchitis and Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Study	Mean Age	Antibiotic ^{*,†}	Comparative Agent ^{*,†}	Outcome
Anzueto et al. ⁶⁷	58.3, 57.2	CL-extend (1,000 qd × 7 d)	A/C (875 bid × 10 d)	CC—85% vs 87% (NS) AE—20% vs 24% (NS) Adverse gastrointestinal severity score > A/C than CL-extend (P = 0.016)
Llor et al. ⁶⁸	71.9, 70.8	A (500 tid × 10 d)	A/C (500/125 tid × 10 d)	CC—90.9% vs. 92.8% (NS) AE—4.4% vs. 11.6% (NS)
Petitpretz et al. ⁶⁹	64.3, 64.2	L (500 qd × 10 d)	Cef (250 bid × 10 d)	CC—94.6% vs 93.3% (NS) No difference RRR
Amsden et al. ⁷⁰	58.3–59.0, 59.1–54.0	L (500 qd × 7 d)	Az (500 qd × 1 d, 250 qd × 4 d)	CC—70.3% vs 67.6% (NS)
Grossman et al. ⁷¹	58.7 (37% ≥65)	L (750 qd × 5 d)	A/C (875/125 bid × 10 d)	Earlier clinical resolution L vs A/C CC—No difference AE—No difference
Martinez et al. ⁴⁶	UC 50.7, 51.0 CB 59.0, 59.3	UC L (750 qd × 3 d) CB L (750 qd × 5 d)	AZ (500 qd × 1 d, 250 qd × 4 d) A/C (875/125 bid × 10 d)	UC—CC—93.0% vs 90.1% (NS) CB—CC—79.2% vs 81.7% (NS) L superior to AZ in microbiological eradication
Urueta-Robledo et al. ⁷²	59, 61	M (400 qd × 5 d)	L (500 qd × 7 d)	CC—91.0% vs 94.0% (NS) Equal microbiology eradication
Starakis et al. ⁷³	54, 49	M (400 qd × 5 d)	A/C (625 tid × 7 d)	CC—90.0% vs 89.4% (NS) Equal microbiological eradication
Wilson et al. ⁶⁵	63.8, 62.6	M (400 qd × 5 d)	A (500 tid × 7 d) or CL (500 bid × 7 d) or Cef (750 bid × 7 d)	CC—70.9 vs 62.8 (P < .05) Fewer follow-up antibiotics required with M Mean time to next exacerbation longer with M (132.8 d vs 118.0 d, P = .03)
Zervos et al. ⁷⁴	55.5, 56.4	M (400 qd × 5 d)	Az (500 qd × 3 d)	CC—82% vs 81% (NS) Equal microbiological eradication
Grassi et al. ⁷⁵	69.6, 69.1	M (400 qd × 5 d)	Ceft (1,000 qd × 7 d)	CC—90.6% vs 89.0% (NS) Equal microbiological eradication Cost savings with M vs Ceft
Schaberg et al. ⁷⁶	61.3, 59.3	M (400 qd × 5 d)	A/C (625 tid × 7 d)	CC—96.2% vs 91.6% (NS) Equal microbiological eradication
Wilson et al. ⁶⁶	68.1, 67.1	G (320 qd × 5 d)	Ceft (1,000 qd × 1–3 d) followed by Cef (500 bid 4–6 d) maximum 7 d total treatment	CC—82.6% vs 72.1% (P < .05) G 9 d vs Ceft/Cef 11 d to hospital discharge, (P = .04) Equal microbiological eradication

* All doses = mg.

† Dose of clavulanate was not always specified.

Az = azithromycin; M = moxifloxacin; A = amoxicillin; CL = clarithromycin; Ceft = ceftriaxone; L = levofloxacin; A/C = amoxicillin/clavulanate; Cef = Cefuroxime; G = gemifloxacin; qd = daily; tid = three times daily; bid = twice daily; UC = uncomplicated chronic bronchitis; CB = complicated chronic bronchitis; CC = clinical cure—per protocol; RRR = relapse response rate; NS = not significant; AE = adverse events; Exten = extended release.

otic guidelines appear helpful in directing the treatment of AECOPD and AECB, but these have not specifically been designed for the aging population. Antibiotic risk-stratified guidelines have been generated as a consensus process and have not specifically been designed for the elderly population. Recent antibiotic studies have not reported specific outcomes in elderly patients and are often noninferiority-designed studies with small numbers of subjects. In general, antibiotic treatment of AECOPD and AECB appears to be beneficial and warranted in individual patients who may need more-aggressive and -comprehensive care. Further work directed specifically at antibiotic therapy for elderly patients experiencing AECOPD and AECB is needed to establish valid outcome-based risk-stratified antibiotic guide-

lines for this population. The optimal strategy for managing AECOPD and AECB requires long-term interventional studies aimed at preventing frequent acute exacerbations and hospitalizations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Lisa Pastore for her outstanding editorial contributions to this manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have indicated the following relationships:

Timothy Albertson—Speaker Honorarium: Boehringer Ingelheim and GlaxoSmithKline on topic of COPD,

Schering Plough on topic of AECB; Research Grant: Pfizer on ventilator-associated pneumonia.

Samuel Louie—Speaker Honorarium: Boehringer Ingelheim and Astra Zeneca on topic of COPD.

Andrew Chan—Speaker Honorarium: France Foundation and Intermune on topic of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.

Author Contributions: Timothy Albertson: concept, literature research and writing. Samuel Louie: writing. Andrew Chan: concept and writing.

Sponsor's Role: No sponsor.

REFERENCES

- Celli BR, Snider GL, Heffner J et al. ATS guidelines. Diagnosis and care of patients with COPD: I. definitions epidemiology; pathophysiology; diagnosis and prognosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;152:S77–S120.
- Hogg JC, Timens W. The pathology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Annu Rev Pathol* 2009;4:435–459.
- Balter MS, La Forge J, Low DE et al. Canadian guidelines for the management of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Can Respir J* 2003;10(Suppl B): 3B–32B.
- Meyer KC. Lung infections and aging. *Ageing Res Rev* 2004;3:55–67.
- Meyer KC. Aging. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2005;2:433–439.
- Hayes D Jr., Meyer KC. Acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis in elderly patients: Pathogenesis, diagnosis and management. *Drugs Aging* 2007;24:555–572.
- Miravittles M. Do we need new antibiotics for treating exacerbations of COPD? *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2007;1:61–76.
- Lopez AD, Shibuya K, Rao C et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Current burden and future projections. *Eur Respir J* 2006;27:397–412.
- Buist AS, McBurnie MA, Vollmer WM et al. International variation in the prevalence of COPD (the BOLD Study): A population-based prevalence study. *Lancet* 2007;370:741–750.
- Medbo A, Melbye H. Lung function testing in the elderly—can we still use FEV1/FVC < 70% as a criterion of COPD? *Respir Med* 2007;101:1097–1105.
- Ito K, Barnes PJ. COPD as a disease of accelerated lung aging. *Chest* 2009;135:173–180.
- Pauwels R, Calverley P, Buist AS et al. COPD exacerbations: The importance of a standard definition. *Respir Med* 2004;98:99–107.
- Rodriguez-Roisin R. Toward a consensus definition for COPD exacerbations. *Chest* 2000;117:398S–401S.
- Anzueto A, Sethi S, Martinez FJ. Exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2007;4:554–564.
- Murphy TF, Sethi S. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Role of bacteria and guide to antibacterial selection in the older patient. *Drugs Aging* 2002;19:761–775.
- Murphy TF. The role of bacteria in airway inflammation in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2006;19:225–230.
- Mapel DW, Robinson SB, Dastani HB et al. The direct medical costs of undiagnosed chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Value Health* 2008;11: 628–636.
- Sethi S, Evans N, Grant BJ et al. New strains of bacteria and exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:465–471.
- Burgel PR, Nesme-Meyer P, Chanez P et al. Cough and sputum production are associated with frequent exacerbations and hospitalizations in COPD subjects. *Chest* 2009;135:975–982.
- Brunton S, Carmichael BP, Colgan R et al. Acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis: A primary care consensus guideline. *Am J Manage Care* 2004;10:689–696.
- Anthonisen NR, Manfreda J, Warren CP et al. Antibiotic therapy in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1987;106: 196–204.
- Saint S, Bent S, Vittinghoff E, Grady D. Antibiotics in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations. A meta-analysis. *JAMA* 1995;273: 957–960.
- Halbert RJ, Isonaka S, George D et al. Interpreting COPD prevalence estimates: What is the true burden of disease? *Chest* 2003;123:1684–1692.
- Niedermaier MS, McCombs JS, Unger AN, Kumar A, Popovian R. Treatment cost of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Clin Ther* 1999;21:576–591.
- Hurd S. The impact of COPD on lung health worldwide: Epidemiology and incidence. *Chest* 2000;117:15–45.
- Connolly MJ, Lowe D, Anstey K et al. Admissions to hospital with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Effect of age related factors and service organisation. *Thorax* 2006;61:843–848.
- Roberts CM, Lowe D, Bucknall CE et al. Clinical audit indicators of outcome following admission to hospital with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 2002;57:137–141.
- McGuire A, Irwin DE, Fenn P et al. The excess cost of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis in patients aged 45 and older in England and Wales. *Value Health* 2001;4:370–375.
- O'Donnell AE. Bronchiectasis. *Chest* 2008;134:815–823.
- Bilton D. Update on non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis. *Curr Opin Pulmon Med* 2008;14:595–599.
- Patel IS, Vlahos I, Wilkinson TM et al. Bronchiectasis, exacerbation indices, and inflammation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;170:400–407.
- Weycker D, Edelsberg J, Oster G et al. Prevalence and economic burden of bronchiectasis. *Clin Pulmon Med* 2005;12:205.
- Sethi S, Murphy TF. Bacterial infection in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in 2000: A state-of-the-art review. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2001;14:336–363.
- Sethi S. Infectious etiology of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Chest* 2000;117:380S–385S.
- Soler N, Torres A, Ewig S et al. Bronchial microbial patterns in severe exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) requiring mechanical ventilation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157:1498–1505.
- Eller J, Ede A, Schaberg T et al. Infective exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: Relation between bacteriologic etiology and lung function. *Chest* 1998;113:1542–1548.
- Rennie RP, Ibrahim KH. Antimicrobial resistance in Haemophilus influenzae: how can we prevent the inevitable? Commentary on antimicrobial resistance in H. influenzae based on data from the TARGETed surveillance program. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;41(Suppl 4):S234–S238.
- Hoban DJ, Doern GV, Fluit AC et al. Worldwide prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, and Moraxella catarrhalis in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program, 1997–1999. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001;32(Suppl 2):S81–S93.
- Neralla S, Meyer KC. Drug treatment of pneumococcal pneumonia in the elderly. *Drugs Aging* 2004;21:851–864.
- Fuller JD, Low DE. A review of Streptococcus pneumoniae infection treatment failures associated with fluoroquinolone resistance. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;41: 118–121.
- Robicsek A, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC. The worldwide emergence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2006;6:629–640.
- Caramori G, Adcock IM, Papi A. Clinical definition of COPD exacerbations and classification of their severity. *South Med J* 2009;102:277.
- From the Global Strategy for the Diagnosis MaPoC, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). From the Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of COPD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) [on-line]. Available at <http://www.goldcopd.org>, 2007 Accessed November 1, 2009.
- Hayes D Jr., Meyer KC. Acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis in elderly patients: Pathogenesis, diagnosis and management. *Drugs Aging* 2007;24: 555–572.
- Blasi F, Ewig S, Torres A et al. A review of guidelines for antibacterial use in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2006;19:361–369.
- Martinez FJ, Grossman RF, Zadeikis N et al. Patient stratification in the management of acute bacterial exacerbation of chronic bronchitis: The role of levofloxacin 750 mg. *Eur Respir J* 2005;25:1001–1010.
- Bourbeau J, Marciniuk D, Balter M et al. Canadian Thoracic Society recommendations for management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease—2008 update—highlights for primary care. *Can Respir J* 2008;15:1A.
- MacNee W. Acute exacerbations of COPD. *Swiss Med Wkly* 2003;133: 247–257.
- McCrory DC, Brown CD. Anti-cholinergic bronchodilators versus beta2-sympathomimetic agents for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2002: CD003900.
- Dzierba AL, Jelic S. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the elderly: An update on pharmacological management. *Drugs Aging* 2009;26:447–456.
- Bellia V, Battaglia S, Matera MG et al. The use of bronchodilators in the treatment of airway obstruction in elderly patients. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2006;19:311–319.
- Quon BS, Gan WQ, Sin DD. Contemporary management of acute exacerbations of COPD: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Chest* 2008;133:756–766.

53. Sin DD, McAlister FA, Man SF et al. Contemporary management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Scientific review. *JAMA* 2003;290:2301–2312.
54. O'Donnell DE, Hernandez P, Kaplan A et al. Canadian Thoracic Society recommendations for management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease—2008 update—highlights for primary care. *Can Respir J* 2008; 15(Suppl A):1A–8A.
55. El Moussaoui R, Roede BM, Speelman P et al. Short-course antibiotic treatment in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis and COPD: A meta-analysis of double-blind studies. *Thorax* 2008;63:415–422.
56. Martinez FJ, Curtis JL, Albert R. Role of macrolide therapy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2008;3:331–350.
57. Ram FS, Rodriguez-Roisin R, Granados-Navarrete A et al. Antibiotics for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2006: CD004403.
58. Bont J, Hak E, Birkhoff CE et al. Is co-morbidity taken into account in the antibiotic management of elderly patients with acute bronchitis and COPD exacerbations? *Fam Pract* 2007;24:317–322.
59. Dimopoulos G, Siempos II, Korbila IP et al. Comparison of first-line with second-line antibiotics for acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Chest* 2007;132:447–455.
60. Siempos II, Dimopoulos G, Korbila IP et al. Macrolides, quinolones and amoxicillin/clavulanate for chronic bronchitis: A meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2007;29:1127–1137.
61. Korbila IP, Manta KG, Siempos II et al. Penicillins vs trimethoprim-based regimens for acute bacterial exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Can Fam Phys* 2009;55:60.
62. Falagas ME, Avgeri SG, Matthaiou DK et al. Short- versus long-duration antimicrobial treatment for exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: A meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;62:442–450.
63. Milstone AP. Use of azithromycin in the treatment of acute exacerbations of COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2008;3:515.
64. Sin DD, Tu JV. Outpatient antibiotic therapy and short term mortality in elderly patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Can Resp J* 2000;7:466–475.
65. Wilson R, Allegra L, Huchon G et al. Short-term and long-term outcomes of moxifloxacin compared to standard antibiotic treatment in acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Chest* 2004;125:953–964.
66. Wilson R, Langan C, Ball P et al. Oral gemifloxacin once daily for 5 days compared with sequential therapy with i.v. ceftriaxone/oral cefuroxime (maximum of 10 days) in the treatment of hospitalized patients with acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Respir Med* 2003;97:242–249.
67. Anzueto A, Fisher CL Jr, Busman T et al. Comparison of the efficacy of extended-release clarithromycin tablets and amoxicillin/clavulanate tablets in the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. *Clin Ther* 2001; 23:72–86.
68. Llor C, Hernandez S, Ribas A et al. Efficacy of amoxicillin versus amoxicillin/clavulanate in acute exacerbations of chronic pulmonary obstructive disease in primary care. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2009;4:45–53.
69. Petitpretz P, Chone C, Tremolieres F. Levofloxacin 500 mg once daily versus cefuroxime 250 mg twice daily in patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive bronchitis: Clinical efficacy and exacerbation-free interval. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007;30:52–59.
70. Amsden GW, Baird IM, Simon S et al. Efficacy and safety of azithromycin vs levofloxacin in the outpatient treatment of acute bacterial exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Chest* 2003;123:772–777.
71. Grossman RF, Ambrusz ME, Fisher AC et al. Levofloxacin 750 mg QD for five days versus amoxicillin/clavulanate 875 mg/125 mg BID for ten days for treatment of acute bacterial exacerbation of chronic bronchitis: A post hoc analysis of data from severely ill patients. *Clin Ther* 2006;28:1175–1180.
72. Urueta-Robledo J, Ariza H, Jardim JR et al. Moxifloxacin versus levofloxacin against acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: The Latin American Cohort. *Respir Med* 2006;100:1504–1511.
73. Starakis I, Gogos CA, Bassaris H. Five-day moxifloxacin therapy compared with 7-day co-amoxiclav therapy for the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2004;23:129–137.
74. Zervos M, Martinez FJ, Amsden GW et al. Efficacy and safety of 3-day azithromycin versus 5-day moxifloxacin for the treatment of acute bacterial exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007;29: 56–61.
75. Grassi C, Casali L, Curti E et al. Efficacy and safety of short course (5-day) moxifloxacin vs 7-day ceftriaxone in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis (AECB). *J Chemother* 2002;14:597–608.
76. Schaberg T, Ballin I, Huchon G et al. A multinational, multicentre, non-blinded, randomized study of moxifloxacin oral tablets compared with co-amoxiclav oral tablets in the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. *J Int Med Res* 2001;29:314–328.