# **Research Paper**

# **Development of co-dominant markers linked to a hemizygous region that is related to the self-compatibility locus (***S***) in buckwheat (***Fagopyrum esculentum***)**

## **Katsuhiro Matsui\*1,2), Nobuyuki Mizuno3), Mariko Ueno3), Ryoma Takeshima1) and Yasuo Yasui3)**

1) *Institute of Crop Science, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO)*, Kannondai 2-1-2, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8518, Japan

<sup>2)</sup> Graduate School of Life and Environmental Science, University of Tsukuba, Kannondai 2-1-2, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8518, Japan

3) *Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University*, Kitashirakawa Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) is a heterostylous self-incompatible (SI) species with two dif‐ ferent flower morphologies, pin and thrum. The SI trait is controlled by a single gene complex locus, *S.* Selfcompatible (SC) lines were developed by crossing *F. esculentum* and *F. homotropicum*; these lines have an SC gene,  $S^h$ , which is dominant over the *s* allele and recessive to the *S* allele. *S-ELF3* has been identified as a candidate gene in the *S* locus and is present in the *S* and  $S<sup>h</sup>$  but not *s* alleles. A single-nucleotide deletion in the *S-ELF3* gene of the  $S<sup>h</sup>$  allele results in a frame shift. To develop co-dominant markers to distinguish between  $S^hS^h$  and  $S^h$ s plants, we performed a next-generation sequencing analysis in combination with bulked-segregant analysis. We developed four co-dominant markers linked to the *S* locus. We investigated the polymorphism frequency between a self-compatible line and leading Japanese buckwheat cultivars. Linkage between a developed sequence-tagged-site marker and flower morphology was confirmed using more than 1000 segregating plants and showed no recombination. The developed markers would be useful for buck‐ wheat breeding and also to produce lines for genetic analysis such as recombinant inbred lines.

**Key Words:** self-incompatibility, heterostyly, sequence-tagged sites (STS), bulked segregant analysis.

# **Introduction**

Common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) is a hetero‐ morphic self-incompatible (SI) plant species; it needs insects for cross-pollination between flowers with different morphologies (pin and thrum flowers; Garber and Quisenberry 1927). The yields of buckwheat are influenced by the activity of insects, which is affected by weather. Pro‐ duction of new buckwheat cultivars takes a long time because it requires the loci for useful agricultural traits to be homozygous and loci that would cause inbreeding depression or undesirable traits to be heterozygous.

The self-incompatibility of buckwheat is controlled by a single gene complex locus, *S*, which is also called the *S* supergene: the short-style morph is a heterozygous thrum form (*Ss*) and the long-style morph is imparted by the recessive homozygous pin (*ss*) alleles (Sharma and Boyes 1961). Self-compatible (SC) lines have been developed by an interspecific cross between *F. esculentum* and *F. homotropicum* with embryo rescue (Aii *et al.* 1998,

Communicated by Ryo Ohsawa

Campbell 1995, Matsui *et al.* 2003, Woo *et al.* 1999). Although the SC lines have a potential for high and stable yields, no such lines are practically available because of inbreeding depression and undesirable traits caused by the homozygous state of some loci. To overcome these problems, crosses between SC lines and leading cultivars would be needed.

The flower morphology of the SC lines, long homostyle (LH), is controlled by a single gene (Campbell 1995, Woo *et al.* 1999). The allele controlling the homomorphic flower type was designated  $S<sup>h</sup>$  and the dominance relationship was found to be  $S > S^h > s$  (Woo *et al.* 1999). Sharma and Boyes (1961) postulated that the buckwheat *S* locus contains sev‐ eral genes and named it the *S* supergene, similar to that proposed for *Primula* (Dowrick 1956). The *S* supergene consists of at least five linked genes:  $I^s$  and  $I^p$  govern the incompatibility reaction in the style and pollen, respectively, *G* controls style length, *P* controls pollen size, and *A* controls anther height (Dowrick 1956, Lewis and Jones 1992, Sharma and Boyes 1961). Each of these genes is diallelic, and the five alleles are all recessive in pin plants and all dominant in thrum plants. These genes have not been identified but at least two loci are thought to exist (Matsui *et al.* 2003).

*S LOCUS EARLY FLOWRING3* (*S-ELF3*), which may

Received September 9, 2019. Accepted November 14, 2019.

First Published Online in J-STAGE on February 11, 2020.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author (e-mail: matsuik@affrc.go.jp)

be a transcription factor, has been identified as a candidate gene for the  $I^s$  or  $G$  gene; it is expressed only in the style of thrum plants and is linked to the *S* locus with no recombi‐ nation in buckwheat (Yasui *et al.* 2012). Using genome assembly analysis with next-generation sequencing (NGS), we have developed a buckwheat genome database (BGDB) and also found that the region that includes this locus is absent in the genome of pin plants (Yasui *et al.* 2016). The *S h* alleles have a deletion of a nucleotide in the *S-ELF3* locus, which causes a frame shift.

To obtain self-fertilized  $F_2$  seeds in an  $F_1$  plant, the styles of pin plants (*ss*) need to be pollinated with pollen of SC plants  $(S^hS^h)$  because of the dominance relation,  $S^h > s$ , and to avoid production of seeds by self-pollination. In such a cross, the genotype of  $F_1$  plants would be  $S<sup>h</sup>s$ . In the  $F_2$  generation, flower morphology and SI/SC would segregate with a monogenic segregation ratio of 3 SC (long homostyle) to 1 SI (pin). Plants with the long homostyle would be either homozygous (*S hS h* ) or heterozygous (*S h s*). A method to distinguish between the two genotypes is desir‐ able to select SC homozygous plants in the  $F_2$  generation or to choose SC/SI segregating lines in each generation for recurrent selection. DNA markers to distinguish the  $S^hS^h$ and *S h s* genotypes would be powerful tools for this purpose.

Because the region of the *S-ELF3* locus is missing in the genome of *s* plants (Yasui *et al.* 2016), we needed to detect the flanking regions of the  $S<sup>h</sup>$  alleles that would be present in the *s* genome. In this study, we used NGS analysis in combination with bulked segregant analysis of segregating progeny of a cross between SI and SC plants. We devel‐ oped co-dominant markers that can distinguish between homozygous  $(S^hS^h)$  and heterozygous  $(S^hS)$  plants for marker-assisted selection.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### *Plant materials*

To detect the  $s$  and  $S<sup>h</sup>$  regions, the segregating line 16Aseg03 (Hara *et al.* 2019) was used; it consists of 142 F<sub>2</sub> plants derived from a cross between a pin plant of the breeding line 'Kyukei 29' (KY29, *ss*) and an SC longhomostyle plant, 'Kyukei SC7' (KSC7, *S hS h* ).

To develop co-dominant markers linked to the *S h* allele, we used two different segregating lines, 16Aseg04 (Hara *et al.* 2019) and 16AsegA. Because buckwheat is a crosspollinating species, each plant, even within the same cultivar, contains many heterozygous loci and has some nonfixed traits. The 16Aseg04 line was produced by crossing a pin plant of KY29 (*ss*) (different from the plant used to pro‐ duce 16Aseg03) and KSC7 (*ShSh*). The 16AsegA line was developed by crossing a pin plant of a green stem mutant line (GSML, Matsui *et al.* 2008a, 2018) and an SC longhomostyle plant, 13AL130-4, which was developed using an SC line 'Norin-PL1' (Matsui *et al.* 2008b). The 16AsegA line was developed to confirm if a marker was valid for lines derived from a different SC line with the *S h*

allele. These lines were grown in a glasshouse at the NARO, Tsukuba, Japan.

To verify if a candidate region could be used to develop co-dominant markers, six 16AsegA plants were used for PCR amplification. To identify markers tightly linked to the *S* locus, we developed an  $F_2$  segregating line, 17KySeg01 (1009 plants), derived from a cross between a pin plant of 'Sachiizumi' (Matsui *et al.* 2013) and KSC7. The 17KySeg01 line was grown in a glasshouse at the Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

To determine polymorphism rates between leading culti‐ vars in Japan and KSC7, we used five cultivars, 'Kitawase‐ soba', 'Hashikamiwase', 'Harunoibuki' (Hara *et al.* 2012), 'NARO-Fe1', and 'Sachiizumi', and two breeding lines, KY28 and KY29. These plants were grown in a field at the Institute of Crop Science NARO, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan.

# *DNA isolation and preparation of bulked DNA for NGS analysis*

Total DNA was isolated from leaves of each plant from all segregating populations with a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Two sets of bulked DNA were made by mixing DNA of randomly selected 28 pin plants (PIN bulk) or 30 long-homostyle plants (LH bulk) of the 16ASeg03 line. The LH bulk was expected to contain two alleles  $(S<sup>h</sup>$  and *s*) and the PIN bulk only the recessive allele (*s*), which would make it possible to detect the flanking regions by NGS analysis of the *S h* allele. Genomic DNA was also extracted from the parental plants of the 16ASeg03 line and the five Japanese cultivars and two breeding lines mentioned above.

#### *Next-generation sequencing analysis*

Paired-end reads of 100 bp from the two bulks and the KSC7 and KY29 plants were obtained on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 System at Macrogen Japan (Kyoto, Japan). The raw reads are available from the DDBJ/EMBL/NCBI under the accession numbers DRX178921-DRX178924. Lowquality reads and adaptors (CACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT and ACCGCTCTTCCGATCTGTAA) were trimmed using Trimmomatic-0.32 (Bolger *et al.* 2014) with the following settings: HEADCROP, 2; SLIDINGWINDOW, 4:25; LEADING, 25; TRAILING, 25; MINLEN, 50. Trimmed reads were mapped to the reference sequences using BWA 0.7.15 (Li and Durbin 2009) with the 'bwa aln' option with -l 32 -k 2 -n 5 and the 'bwa sampe' option with default set‐ tings. Only genome sequences (Yasui *et al.* 2016) of ≥1 kb were selected as reference sequences. Mapping results were processed with SAMtools 0.1.18 (Li *et al.* 2009). SNPs were detected using UnifiedGenotyper in GATK 3.7 (DePristo *et al.* 2011) with the –glm BOTH option.

The  $S<sup>h</sup>$ -linked SNPs were detected using the following criteria: 1) homozygous in KSC7, the nucleotide differs from that in the reference sequence because the reference sequence in BGDB was developed using a thrum type of plant; 2) homozygous in KY29, the nucleotide is the same



**Fig. 1.** Scheme of screening for  $S<sup>h</sup>$  allele–linked SNPs. Hatched and solid bars indicate genome sequences harboring the  $S<sup>h</sup>$  and *s* allele, respectively. Sequences were screened for SNPs between KSC7 and the reference sequence (category 1, Yasui *et al.* 2016) and between KSC7 and KY29 (category 2). Heterozygous sites in the LH bulk (category 3) and homozygous sites in the PIN bulk (category 4) were treated as  $S<sup>h</sup>$ -linked SNPs.

as in the reference sequence; 3) heterozygous in the LH bulk; 4) homozygous in the PIN bulk, the nucleotide is the same as in the reference sequence (**Fig. 1**). The reference sequences in BGDB were short (N50 = 25.1 kb; Yasui *et al.* 2016), and it is difficult to obtain a graphical change of the number of  $S<sup>h</sup>$ -linked SNP sites through scaffolds. Hence, we counted the  $S<sup>h</sup>$ -linked SNPs in all reference sequences and finally obtained the ratio of the number of  $S<sup>h</sup>$ -linked SNPs to the number of all SNPs, named  $S<sup>h</sup>$ -linked SNP index, for each scaffold.

# *Development of sequence-tagged-site markers from NGS data*

Sequences of ca. 1000 bp were randomly selected in each of the 50 candidate regions (**Supplemental Table 1**) and primers were designed with Primer3 (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/ primer3-0.4.0/) to satisfy the following conditions: product length, ca. 600–650 bp; primer length, 22–26 bp;  $T_m$ , 55– 64°C. Amplification with genomic DNA as a template was performed with the designed specific primers as follows: 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 60 s. Amplification was confirmed by agarose gel electrophore‐ sis, and the DNA fragments were digested with three randomly chosen enzymes with four recognition sites (*Alu*I, *Hae*III, and *Msp*I).

Co-dominant markers were developed using six plants from the 16ASegA segregating population to find highly versatile markers.

#### *Confirmation of linkage relations*

To check whether the markers are linked to the *S* locus and are useful for different populations, we checked the linkage relation in the 16ASeg03 and 16ASeg04 popula‐ tions. Using 50 plants from each population, the amplification with the corresponding primers and subsequent digestion of the PCR products with the corresponding restriction enzymes were performed. For a marker that seemed to be useful in linkage analysis, additional linkage analysis with  $17KySeg01$  which is  $F_2$  segregating lines (1009 plants) with the corresponding specific primers and enzymes was performed. The genotype of the *S* locus was determined based on the flower morphology. Electrophore‐ sis was performed in a capillary electrophoresis system (LabChip GX, PerkinElmer). A DNA5K/RNA/CZE chip was used with a HT DNA5K Reagent Kit (PerkinElmer).

## **Results**

# *Identification of flanking regions of the S<sup>h</sup> allele and de‐ velopment of co-dominant markers*

By comparing the sequences between the LH and PIN DNA bulks, we obtained 263 scaffolds with high values of the  $S^h$ -linked SNP index (>0.5; **Supplemental Table 1**). Because it takes time to design markers at the detected SNPs, and actual buckwheat breeding does not require many markers for the selection of a trait, we chose the top 50 scaffolds and made primers to amplify each region (**Supplemental Table 1**). Amplification in six 16ASegA plants showed that 16 primer sets resulted in a weak band, more than two bands, or no amplification in some plants (**Supplemental Table 1**). The 34 amplicons that showed good amplification were digested with *Alu*I, *Hae*III, and *Msp*I. Four primer sets showed polymorphisms consistent with flower morphology (**Fig. 2**, **Supplemental Table 1**), and the primer sets with the restriction enzymes were named as *S* linked sequence-tagged-site (STS) markers (STS-0012458, STS-007596, STS-0007304, and STS-0006886; **Fig. 2**, **Supplemental Table 1**). Polymor‐ phism was detected by *Alu*I digestion in STS-0012458 and STS-007596, and by *Hae*III digestion in STS-0006886. Sizes of the undigested fragments amplified in STS-0007304 differed between pin and LH plants, but the band intensity and stability were low (**Supplemental Table 1**).



**Fig. 2.** PCR products from F<sub>2</sub> pin and long-homostyle (LH) plants (16ASeg3). PCR products were digested with *AluI* or *HaeIII*. Undigested amplification products of STS-0007304 differed between pin and LH. The genotypes of *S* locus, *S*<sup>h</sup>*s* and *S*<sup>h</sup>*S*<sup>h</sup> were shown based on the genotype of each marker.

Table 1. Linkage relation between flower morphology and developed markers evaluated with 50 randomly selected plants from each segregating line

		Long homostyle		Pin		$\gamma^2$ value, P					
Segregating line	$\Delta^a$					Flower morphology $(3:1)$	Marker $(1:2:1)$				
$\text{Seg3}^b$		23				0.027, 0.80 < P < 0.90	0.680, 0.70 < P < 0.80				
Seg4		26			10	0.667, 0.40 < P < 0.50	0.720, 0.60 < P < 0.70				

*a* A, H and B are the genotypes of STS markers. All STS markers (0012458, 0007596, 0007304, and 0006886) showed the same segregation pattern in each segregating line.

*b* Seg3, 16ASeg3 line; Seg4, 16ASeg4 line.

**Table 2.** Linkage relation between flower morphology and a developed marker, STS-0012458

	Long homostyle		Pin		$\chi^2$ value, P							
					Flower morphology $(3:1)$	Marker $(1:2:1)$						
240	505			264	0.7298, 0.40 < P < 0.50	1.1427, 0.40 < P < 0.50						

*a* A, H and B are the genotypes of STS markers.

## *Confirmation of linkage using several segregating lines*

To check if these markers are really linked to the *S* locus and are useful for different segregating populations, we performed linkage analysis with randomly selected 50 plants from each of the 16ASeg3 and 16ASeg4 popula‐ tions. Segregation of the four markers fit flower morphol‐ ogy with no recombination (**Table 1**). STS-0012458 was polymorphic in all populations that we used and was fur‐ ther investigated using the segregating line, 17KySeg01 (1009 plants). Flower morphology segregated as 745 LH and 264 pin, fitting a segregating ratio of 3:1 (**Table 2**;  $\chi^2$  = 0.7298, 0.40 < *P* < 0.50); STS-0012458 segregated as

AA:AB:BB = 240:505:264, fitting a segregating ratio of 1:2:1 ( $\chi^2$  = 1.1427, 0.40 < *P* < 0.50).

## *Polymorphism between leading cultivars in Japan and KSC7*

It is important to show high rates of polymorphism between parental lines. Among the four primer sets, STS-0012458, STS-0007304, and STS-0006886 showed high frequency of polymorphisms between KSC7 and pin plants of leading Japanese cultivars and breeding lines (**Table 3**), indicating that these three markers would be use‐ ful for introducing the  $S<sup>h</sup>$  into many varieties.

Marker	Enzyme KSC7		<b>KTW</b>			<b>HKW</b>		<b>HAR</b>		NF1			<b>SAC</b>			K28			K <sub>29</sub>	Total by the band pattern <sup>a</sup>			Total number of plants	Percentage of polymorphism		
																				$+$ H $-$ + H $-$		$+$ H $-$				relative to KSC7
STS-0012458	AluI																					$\Omega$	$\left( \right)$	-38	38	100.0
STS-0007596	MspI				$0 \t 0 \t 6$			$0 \t 0 \t 6$	6							$0\quad 0$				$0 \t 0 \t 6$	$0 \t 0 \t 4$	14	$\Omega$	23	37	37.8
STS-0007304				$0 \quad 0$			$(1)$ 4														$0 \quad 4$	$\Omega$	$\Omega$	- 30	30	100.0
STS-0006886	HaeIII	$\equiv$													4							35		$\left( \right)$	35	100.0

**Table 3.** Polymorphism frequency between a self-compatible line, KSC7, and Japanese cultivars and breeding lines

*a* Only pin plants of each variety were used. KTW, Kitawasesoba; HKW, Hashikamiwase; HAR, Harunoibuki; NRF, NARO-Fe1; SAC, Sachiizumi; K28, Kyukei 28; K29, Kyukei 29. +, digested; –, not digested; H, heterozygous.

## **Discussion**

Marker-assisted selection can improve the efficiency and accuracy of conventional plant breeding in many crops. Codominant markers are particularly powerful in selecting the desirable traits without missing heterozygous plants because they can distinguish between homozygous and het‐ erozygous plants. Co-dominant DNA markers can usually be developed on the basis of the sequence of a gene controlling targeted traits or tightly linked regions. Because the region of the *S* locus of buckwheat is hemizygous (*S-ELF3* in the *S* locus is present only on a chromosome carrying the *S* or *S h* allele; Yasui *et al.* 2012, 2016), the *S-ELF3* sequence cannot be used for developing co-dominant markers. Furthermore, the exact length of the deletion in the *s* allele are still unknown because the scaffold that includes *S-ELF3* is short.

Bulked segregant analysis is a powerful tool to identify the flanking regions of a target gene. Several markers linked to genes controlling agricultural traits in buckwheat have been developed with this technique (Aii *et al.* 1998, Matsui *et al.* 2004). Aii *et al.* (1998) have developed a codominant marker linked to the *S h* allele on the basis of a random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) marker. However, because of a large distance between this marker and the *S* locus (ca. 6 cM), the genotype of some plants determined with the marker did not match their SC/SI morphology. The ability of RAPD or similar markers such as amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers to reveal polymorphism depends on the primer sequences.

In this study, we sequenced the whole genome using bulked DNA. By setting screening criteria (see Materials and Methods), we can determine the area linked to the hemizygous region. We developed tightly linked markers, and the linkage distance of one of them was less than 0.1% because any recombination was not recognized in the segregating line, 17KySeg01 (1009 plants). It would be very useful for buckwheat breeding. Among multiple candidate regions, we used the top 50 only. If we knew the candidate regions tightly linked to the *S* locus, we could develop more co-dominant markers more efficiently. Recently, the NGS-based target re-sequencing AmpliSeq technology (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) has been

used to sequence plant DNA (Ogiso-Tanaka *et al.* 2019, Stevanato *et al.* 2017). Such methods would also increase the efficiency of co-dominant marker development. Identi‐ fication of the region deleted in the *s* allele would allow us to accurately develop co-dominant markers by designing primers to cover the deleted region. Unfortunately, the tightly linked marker STS-0012458 we developed is not on the same scaffold as *S*-*ELF3*. The BGDB consists of more than 300,000 scaffolds, so the missing region of the *s* allele remains unidentified. Upgrade and improvement of BGDB would help to solve the issue.

All markers except STS-0007596 showed a high rate of polymorphism between the SC line KSC7 and cultivars and breeding lines in Japan, probably because KSC7 contains genomic regions derived from *F. homotropicum*. KSC7 was developed using 'Norin-PL1' (Matsui *et al.* 2008b), which was generated by a cross between *F. esculentum* and *F. homotropicum* (Matsui *et al.* 2003). A low rate of poly‐ morphism of the STS-0007596 may be because it was developed on a genomic region that was derived from the *F. esculentum*, or on a conserved area between *F. esculentum* and *F. homotropicum*. Both SC lines, 'Norin-PL1' and KSC7, have inferior traits that make them unsuitable for cultivation by farmers. Identification of the genomic region of *F. homotropicum* in 'Norin-PL1' may reveal regions related to these inferior traits such as preharvest sprouting (Hara *et al.* 2019).

SC lines are beneficial for buckwheat cultivation and breeding, because they do not need pollinators, have stable yield, and their useful agronomical traits can be fixed easily. Because some traits including beneficial traits are hidden by heterozygosity in normal cultivated and indigenous natural populations, SC lines can be used to reveal these traits easily by homozygous. Furthermore, SC lines can be easily used to produce segregating and analytical lines such as  $F_2$ , recombinant inbred lines, near isogenic lines, and mutated populations. With the development of sequencing technology, it is now possible to obtain varieties with new desirable traits such as non-allergens and glutinous starches from artificially mutated or unique natural populations based on DNA sequences.

To breed a high-yielding variety adapted to a particular cultivation area, a line with desirable traits would need to be crossed with a leading local cultivar. Co-dominant markers such as those developed in this study would be useful for distinguishing between SI and SC lines to avoid inbreeding depression often found in the latter.

## **Author Contribution Statement**

KM and YY conceived of the study and designed the experiments. YY, MN and UM performed the NGS analy‐ sis. KM developed co-dominant markers. KM, YY, MN, UM and TR performed linkage analysis. KM and TR inves‐ tigated the frequency of polymorphisms. KM and YY wrote the manuscript. MN, UM and TR edited and revised the manuscript.

# **Acknowledgments**

We thank Mr. Yoshioka, Mr. Yokotsuka and Mr. Nakamura in NARO for growing buckwheat plants. This work was supported by the NARO, Japan.

# **Literature Cited**

- Aii, J., M. Nagano, G. Penner, G.C. Campbell and T. Adachi (1998) Identification of RAPD markers linked to the *Homostylar* (*Ho*) gene in buckwheat. Breed. Sci. 48: 59–62.
- Bolger, A.M., M. Lohse and B. Usadel (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexi‐ ble trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30: 2114– 2120.
- Campbell, C. (1995) Inter-specific hybridization in the genus *Fagopyrum*. *In*: Matano, T. and A. Ujihara (eds.) Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Buckwheat, Shinshu University Press, Ina, pp. 255–263.
- DePristo, M.A., E. Banks, R. Poplin, K.V. Garimella, J.R. Maguire, C. Hartl, A.A. Philippakis, G. del Angel, M.A. Rivas, M. Hanna *et al.* (2011) A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat. Genet. 43: 491–498.
- Dowrick, V.P.J. (1956) Heterostyly and homostyly in *Primula obconica*. Heredity (Edinb) 10: 219–236.
- Garber, R.J. and K.S. Quisenberry (1927) The inheritance of length of style in buckwheat. J. Agric. Res. 34: 181–183.
- Hara, T., T. Tetsuka and K. Matsui (2012) New buckwheat cultivar "Harunoibuki". Bull. Natl. Agric. Res. Cent. Kyushu Okinawa Reg. 58: 37–48.
- Hara, T., R. Takeshima and K. Matsui (2019) Genes with different modes of inheritance regulate seed germination in preharvestsprouting-tolerant lines of buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*). Jpn. Agric. Res. Q. (in press)
- Lewis, D. and D.A. Jones (1992) The genetics of heterostyly. *In*: Barrett, S.C.H. (ed.) Evolution and Function of Heterostyly. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 129–150.
- Li, H. and R. Durbin (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25: 1754–1760.
- Li, H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G. Abecasis, R. Durbin and P. Genome Project Data (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25: 2078–2079.
- Matsui, K., T. Tetsuka, T. Nishio and T. Hara (2003) Heteromorphic incompatibility retained in self-compatible plants produced by a cross between common and wild buckwheat. New Phytol. 159: 701–708.
- Matsui, K., Y. Kiryu, T. Komatsuda, N. Kurauchi, T. Ohtani and T. Tetsuka (2004) Identification of AFLP makers linked to non-seed shattering locus (*sht1*) in buckwheat and conversion to STS markers for marker-assisted selection. Genome 47: 469–474.
- Matsui, K., K. Eguchi and T. Tetsuka (2008a) A novel gene that diverts the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway towards the produc‐ tion of proanthocyanidins in common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*). Breed. Sci. 58: 143–148.
- Matsui, K., T. Tetsuka, T. Hara and T. Morishita (2008b) Breeding and characterization of a new self-compatible common buckwheat parental line, "Buckwheat Norin-PL1". Bull. Natl. Agric. Res. Cent. Kyushu Okinawa Reg. 49: 1–17.
- Matsui, K., T. Hara, T. Tetsuka and T. Morishita (2013) New common buckwheat cultivar "Sachi-izumi" for warm areas in Japan. Bull. Natl. Agric. Res. Cent. Kyushu Okinawa Reg. 59: 23-37.
- Matsui, K., T. Tomatsu, S. Kinouchi, T. Suzuki and T. Sato (2018) Identification of a gene encoding glutathione S-transferase that is related to anthocyanin accumulation in buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*). J. Plant Physiol. 231: 291–296.
- Ogiso-Tanaka, E., T. Shimizu, M. Hajika, A. Kaga and M. Ishimoto (2019) Highly multiplexed AmpliSeq technology identifies novel variation of flowering time-related genes in soybean (*Glycine max*). DNA Res. 26: 243–260.
- Sharma, K.D. and J.W. Boyes (1961) Modified incompatibility of buckwheat following irradiation. Can. J. Bot. 39: 1241–1246.
- Stevanato, P., C. Broccanello, L. Pajola, F. Biscarini, C. Richards, L. Panella, M. Hassani, E. Formentin, C. Chiodi, G. Concheri *et al.* (2017) Targeted next-generation equencing identification of muta‐ tions in disease resistance gene analogs (RGAs) in wild and culti‐ vated beets. Genes (Basel) 8: 264.
- Woo, S.H., T. Adachi, S.K. Jong and C.G. Campbell (1999) Inheritance of self-compatibility and flower morphology in an interspecific buckwheat hybrid. Can. J. Plant Sci. 79: 483–490.
- Yasui, Y., M. Mori, J. Aii, T. Abe, D. Matsumoto, S. Sato, Y. Hayashi, O. Ohnishi and T. Ota (2012) S-LOCUS EARLY FLOWERING 3 is exclusively present in the genomes of short-styled buckwheat plants that exhibit heteromorphic self-incompatibility. PLoS ONE 7: e31264.
- Yasui, Y., H. Hirakawa, M. Ueno, K. Matsui, T. Katsube-Tanaka, S.J. Yang, J. Aii, S. Sato and M. Mori (2016) Assembly of the draft genome of buckwheat and its applications in identifying agronomically useful genes. DNA Res. 23: 215–224.