

central science

[http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acscii](http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acscii?ref=pdf) Research Article

Ionic Fluorogels for Remediation of Per- and Polyfluorinated Alkyl Substances from Water

[Elango Kumarasamy,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Elango+Kumarasamy"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Frene M. Manning, Eeonard B. Collins, [Orlando Coronell,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Orlando+Coronell"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-3-0) [and Frank A. Leibfarth](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Frank+A.+Leibfarth"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-3-0)

and ionic components led to the identification of a resin that demonstrated rapid removal of PFASs with high affinity and selectivity in the presence of nonfluorous contaminants commonly found in groundwater. The material can be regenerated and reused multiple times. We demonstrate ionic fluorogels as effective adsorbents for the removal of 21 legacy and emerging PFASs from settled water collected at the Sweeney Water Treatment Plant in Wilmington, North Carolina.

PFASs are a class of fluorinated compounds that are widely used as surfactants in the production of poly- (tetrafluoroethylene) (Teflon), as water/stain repellent coatings in consumer products, and as components of fire retardants in aqueous film forming foams^{[1](#page-4-0)-[4](#page-4-0)}. PFASs are distributed widely from contamination sites through waterways, 5 5 and their long-term ecological persistence and adverse human health effects^{6−[11](#page-4-0)} have resulted in increased regulatory attention to the concentration of PFASs in finished drinking water.^{12,13} The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has set a lifetime health advisory level of 70 ng/L for the combined concentration of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and per-fluoro-1-octanesulfonic acid (PFOS) in drinking water.^{[14,15](#page-4-0)} Consequently, PFOA and PFOS were phased out in the United States in 2015 and were replaced with short-chain PFASs such as perfluoro-2-propoxypropanoic acid $(GenX).$ ^{16,[17](#page-4-0)} While a complete toxicity assessment for GenX is ongoing, the US Environmental Protection Agency draft assessment concludes that it is less toxic than PFOA or PFOS,^{[18](#page-4-0)} but there is suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential for GenX.^{[19](#page-4-0)} Currently, the state of North Carolina has set an upper limit of 140 ng/L for GenX as an emerging contaminant in drinking water due to widespread contamination of the Cape Fear River Watershed.²⁰

A primary challenge for developing a resin for PFAS remediation is that nonfluorinated organic and inorganic species are present in natural waters at 3−8 orders of magnitude higher concentration than PFASs.^{[21](#page-4-0)} Current and emerging PFAS remediation technologies typically remove

waterborne contaminants nonspecifically, resulting in satu-ration by nonfluorinated species.^{[22,23](#page-4-0)} For example, current PFAS remediation efforts using granular activated carbon (GAC) demonstrate substantial breakthrough at modest treatment volumes for short-chain PFASs.^{[24](#page-4-0)} Furthermore, the binding affinities of organic contaminants to GAC are often higher than those of PFASs, which can result in PFASs leaching into filtered water over time.^{[24,25](#page-4-0)} Emerging technologies include porous organic polymer adsorbents²⁶ and ion exchange materials that contain a fluorinated component.[36](#page-5-0)−[38](#page-5-0) While these materials show great promise for adsorbing long-chain PFASs, they are still at an early stage of development, have generally not been tested in real water, and/or display modest selectivity for short-chain PFASs.

We identified a materials design platform to remediate PFASs from water by combining two complementary strategies-fluorophilic sorption and targeted ion exchange. Our conceptual approach leverages the fluorophilicity of PFASs to selectively partition these micropollutants into a resin, similar to the method commonly used to separate desired fluorous-tagged products or catalysts from complex

Received: November 27, 2019 Published: February 27, 2020

Scheme 1. Polymerization and Quaternization of Ionic Fluorogels

reaction mixtures.[39](#page-5-0)[−][41](#page-5-0) In addition, we reasoned that the incorporation of a tunable density of charged functional groups would enable ion exchange and sequestration of charged PFASs. We chose perfluoropolyethers (PFPEs) as the fluorophilic matrix material for resin development.^{[42](#page-5-0)} PFPEs are amorphous, low-molecular-weight perfluorinated oligomers that are synthesized from the gas phase without the ongomers that are synthesized none and gas phase manyon and use of perfluorinated surfactants.^{[45](#page-5-0),[46](#page-5-0)} PFPEs can be synthesized using supercritical CO_2 as a solvent,^{[47](#page-5-0)} and they are easier to oxidatively degrade than perfluorocarbons at the termination of their useful lifetime.^{[48](#page-5-0)} The ionic fluorogels (IFs) described herein leverage a synergistic combination of fluorophilicity and ion exchange to generate high-performing and selective resins for PFAS remediation. The optimized materials demonstrate removal of a broad range of both legacy and emerging PFASs at environmentally relevant concentrations from settled water collected at the Sweeney Water Treatment Plant in Wilmington, NC.

The synthesis of ionic fluorogels was achieved through thermally initiated radical copolymerization initiated by azobis(isobutyronitrile) (AIBN) of a commercially available PFPE with methacrylate chain-end functionality (Fluorolink MD 700) and an amine-containing monomer (2-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate, DMAEMA) (Scheme 1). The composition of DMAEMA was varied from 10 to 60 wt % with respect to the total weight of the IF to generate a systematic library of materials that varies the ratio of fluorophilic and charged components. A portion of each formulation was subsequently treated with methyl iodide to access materials with quaternary ammonium groups that act as permanent charged species. Grinding and sieving the material provided a granular formulation with particle size between 75 and 125 μ m for evaluation. This approach rapidly provides diverse IF formulations from the polymerization of commercially available components. A separate library of materials was prepared to act as negative controls in our structure−property studies. First, a PFPE elastomer with no electrostatic component (no DMAEMA) was polymerized. Second, nonfluorous ionic gels with charged groups but without a fluorous component were synthesized through the radical copolymerization of polyethylene glycol dimethacrylate (PEGMA $M_n =$ 750 g/mol) and DMAEMA. This particular PEGMA was chosen to mimic a similar degree of polymerization between cross-links as Fluorolink MD 700. No significant difference was observed for the swelling ratio of these tightly cross-linked networks, indicating that it will not have a large influence on resin performance [\(Figure S16](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acscentsci.9b01224/suppl_file/oc9b01224_si_001.pdf)).

Initial PFAS removal efficiency of each IF formulation was tested by conducting batch equilibrium adsorption experiments in simulated natural water, which was formulated by adding 200 mg/L NaCl and 20 mg/L humic acid to deionized water. Three substrates that represent long-chain (PFOA), short-chain (perfluorohexanoic acid, PFHxA), and branched (GenX) PFASs were spiked into the matrix each at 50 μ g/L. This selection of PFASs targeted important legacy and emerging contaminants with various adsorption profiles. After exposing the contaminated water sample to 100 mg/L of ionic fluorogel for 21 h, PFAS removal efficiency was analyzed by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS). At this high PFAS concentration, PFAS removal by tertiary and quaternary IF was efficient, demonstrating >80% removal of PFASs in most cases [\(Figure S1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acscentsci.9b01224/suppl_file/oc9b01224_si_001.pdf). The exceptional adsorption of PFASs at these high concentrations led us to probe PFAS removal in a more challenging environmentally relevant scenario (1.0 μ g/L of each PFAS, 10 mg/L ionic fluorogel, 21 h). The results of this systematic study revealed valuable structure−property information (illustrative results in Figure 1, complete results in [Figure S2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acscentsci.9b01224/suppl_file/oc9b01224_si_001.pdf)). Ionic fluorogels

Figure 1. (A) Equilibrium PFAS removal by ionic fluorogels with amine (IF-X) or ammonium (IF-X+) groups where $X = 0$, 20, 30, or 40 wt %. (B) Equilibrium PFAS removal by GAC, powdered activated carbon (PAC), ion exchange resin (IX), and PEGMA gels with 20 or 30 wt % ammonium comonomer. Water constituents, 200 mg/L NaCl and 20 mg/L humic acid; $pH = 6.4$; [sorbent] = 10 mg/L; $[{\rm PFAS}]_0 = 1 \mu{\rm g} \tilde{L}^{-1}$ each; equilibrium time, 21 h. Error bars: standard deviation of 3 experiments.

containing tertiary amines (IF-X, $X = wt$ % amine comonomer incorporation) demonstrated lower affinity for PFASs than the respective materials that contained quaternary ammonium groups $(IF-X+, X+= wt %$ ammonium comonomer incorporation) across all formulations tested (Figure 1A), proving the importance of incorporating permanent charge. The highest performing formulations contained between 20 and 40 wt % ammonium comonomer incorporation (IF-20+ through IF-40+), demonstrating >80% removal of short-chain PFASs (PFHxA and GenX). We hypothesize that these formulations have enough ammonium content to enable efficient surface wetting while still containing enough fluorous content to provide selective PFAS adsorption.

Comparing ionic fluorogels against materials made to serve as controls illustrated the synergistic roles of fluorous interactions and ion exchange behavior. Removing ionic groups and exposing IF-0 [\(Figure 1A](#page-1-0)), an ionic fluorogel made solely of Fluorolink, to the equilibrium adsorption experiment led to no removal of PFHxA or GenX and modest PFOA removal. Furthermore, PEGMA gels made with a nonfluorous hydrocarbon equivalent of PFPEs demonstrated poor results for all formulations tested (<10% removal for all PFASs, [Figure 1B](#page-1-0)).

Commercial materials representing the current state-of-theart for PFAS removal were subsequently tested under these equilibrium adsorption conditions.^{[49](#page-5-0)} Samples of GAC (Filtrasorb 400, Calgon Carbon), powdered activated carbon (PAC, PicaHydro MP23, Lenntech), and an anion exchange resin (IX, PFA 694E, Purolite) were exposed to simulated natural water for [21](#page-4-0) h at a resin loading of 10 mg/L. 12,21,50 12,21,50 12,21,50 Under these challenging conditions, the limitations of current technology are evident, especially for the adsorption of shortchain PFASs ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)B). These head-to-head comparisons demonstrate the selectivity of ionic fluorogels for PFASs compared to conventional technologies, especially in a complex matrix that contains a 20 000 times higher concentration of organic contaminants (humic acid) compared to each PFAS.

GenX was chosen as an emerging short-chain contaminant to understand the kinetics of adsorption and capacity of ionic fluorogels. IF-20+ and IF-30+ were chosen as high-performing materials for further study. The adsorption kinetics of GenX at high concentration (200 μ g/L) by IF-20+ (100 mg/L) were analyzed in deionized water (Figure 2). Rapid and quantitative

Figure 2. Time dependent GenX sorption by IF-20+ at high (red, dashed; GenX = 200 μ g/L; sorbent = 100 mg/L) and low (blue, solid; GenX = 1 μ g/L; sorbent = 10 mg/L) concentration. pH = 9.7. Error bars: standard deviation of 3 experiments.

removal of GenX was observed within 30 s. At 72 h, no desorption was observed, suggesting that the adsorption into the ionic fluorogel is irreversible under these conditions. Similarly, the adsorption kinetics at an environmentally relevant concentration of GenX $(1 \mu g/L)$ by IF-20+ $(10$ mg/L) were also rapid, demonstrating 94% removal within 30 min and no desorption over time (Figure 2). This removal efficiency for GenX results in a final concentration (60 ng/L) is under the limit set by the state of North Carolina (140 ng/L).

A GenX binding isotherm was constructed to understand the binding capacity of IF-20+. 51 51 51 The concentration of IF-20+ was fixed at 100 mg/L while the GenX concentration was varied from 0.20 to 50 mg/L. Data from triplicate experiments (Figure 3A) was fitted to the Langmuir adsorption model to

Figure 3. (A) GenX adsorption isotherm by IF-20+ (Adsorbent = 100 mg/L; GenX = 0.2−50 mg/L). Lines show fit to Langmuir (red, dashed) and Freundlich (blue, solid) models. (B) Regeneration and reuse of IF-20+. Sorbent = 20 mg, $[GenX] = 10$ mg/L (20 mL); extraction with 400 mM methanolic ammonium acetate (20 mL). Inset: sorbent = 5 mg, $[GenX] = 200$ mg/L (5 mL); extraction with 400 mM methanolic ammonium acetate (5 mL). Error bars: standard deviation of 3 experiments.

yield an affinity coefficient (K_L) of 5.9 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ and an estimated GenX capacity (Q_m) of 278 mg/g. These represent the highest reported values in the literature for $GenX$ at an environmentally relevant pH. 31 31 31 The isotherm was also fitted to the Freundlich model, and Freundlich's constant (K_F) and the intensity of adsorption (n) were found to be 141 $(mg/g)(L/$ mg)^{1/n} and 2.2, respectively.^{[31](#page-4-0)}

Subsequently, IF-20+ was tested for its ability to be regenerated for multiple reuse cycles (Figure 3B). Adsorption experiments were performed by loading IF-20+ (20 mg) onto a PTFE syringe filter (0.45 μ m, 25 mm diameter). A GenX solution (10 mg/L, 20 mL) was passed through the filter over 2 min, and the residual GenX concentration in the filtrate was analyzed by LC-MS. The results showed >90% removal of GenX from the solution in such flow-through conditions, thus demonstrating the efficiency of adsorption even under short residence time conditions. Complete extraction of adsorbed GenX by IF-20+ was achieved by washing the material with a 400 mM methanolic ammonium acetate solution (20 mL, 2 min). This process was repeated 5 times without loss of efficiency in adsorption or reuse. Complementary to these rapid and low capacity regeneration investigations, we subjected IF-20+ (5 mg) to an analogous experiment under saturation conditions ($[GenX] = 200$ mg/L, 5 mL) similar to the scenario encountered during long-term water treatment. Exposure of IF-20+ nearly saturated with GenX to a 400 mM methanolic ammonium acetate solution led to efficient regeneration and was competent for an additional reuse, which represents an attractive attribute of these materials ([Figure 3](#page-2-0)B, inset).

The ionic fluorogels provided rapid, efficient, and high capacity removal of a variety of PFASs under laboratory conditions. Real water matrices, however, contain an unpredictable cocktail of organic and inorganic contaminants that are difficult to model in a laboratory setting. To validate ionic fluorogels as a promising technology for PFAS removal from water, we obtained settled water collected at a site previously affected by PFAS contamination, the Sweeney Water Treatment Plant in Wilmington, NC.^{[12](#page-4-0)} The water contained a total organic content (TOC) of 1.3 mg/L and had a pH of 6.2. In addition to PFASs found in the water upon collection (at levels of 20−50 ng/L), we spiked the matrix with 21 emerging and legacy PFASs. The real water matrix was exposed to IF-20+ and IF-30+ (100 mg/L) , and PFAS removal was analyzed at 30 min and 2 h, with the data presented being the average of two experiments. After 2 h, short-chain PFASs that are traditionally challenging to adsorb, including PFHxA, GenX, and perfluorobutanesulfonate (PFBS) are removed from the water at >95% efficiency (representative data shown in Figure 4, complete analysis in

Figure 4. Removal of PFASs after 2 h by IF-30+ from settled water collected at the Sweeney Water Treatment Plant in Wilmington, NC. TOC = 1.3 mg/L; pH = 6.2; [sorbent] = 100 mg/L; [PFAS] $_0 = 1 \mu$ g/ L each. Ten representative PFASs shown, complete analysis shown in [Figure S11](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acscentsci.9b01224/suppl_file/oc9b01224_si_001.pdf).

[Figure S11\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acscentsci.9b01224/suppl_file/oc9b01224_si_001.pdf). We did not see evidence of long-chain PFASs such as PFOA and PFOS in the solution down to the detection limit of the LC-MS. Notably, IF-30+ removed all three perfluorinated sulfonic acids (PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS) at >95% efficiency, demonstrating the selectivity of the ionic fluorogel for PFASs beyond carboxylic acids. Lastly, IF-30+ performed impressively for removing the short-chain perfluorinated

carboxylic acids PFBA (60%) and PFPeA (87%), which contain only 3 and 4 perfluorocarbons, respectively (Figure 4) [30](#page-4-0),[31](#page-4-0),[34](#page-5-0) and have demonstrated decreased sorption relative to the sulfonic acid analogues. 52

In conclusion, we introduce ionic fluorogels as a platform polymeric adsorbent to remove PFASs from water at environmentally relevant concentrations. The synergistic combination of fluorous and electrostatic interactions results in the high affinity, high capacity, and rapid sorption of a variety of PFASs from real water collected at an affected site in the Cape Fear River Watershed of North Carolina. The systematic material library and relationships identified between fluoropolymer and ionic content suggest general structure− property criteria to design improved sorbents for PFASs.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

9 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscentsci.9b01224](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscentsci.9b01224?goto=supporting-info).

Materials and methods; experimental details; comprehensive data of all adsorption, regeneration, and kinetic studies; synthetic details; and additional characterization data ([PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acscentsci.9b01224/suppl_file/oc9b01224_si_001.pdf)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Orlando Coronell − Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States; [orcid.org/0000-0002-7018-391X;](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7018-391X) Email: coronell@unc.edu
- Frank A. Leibfarth − Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States; Orcid.org/0000-0001-7737-0331; Email: Frankl@email.unc.edu

Authors

- Elango Kumarasamy − Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-7995-6894
- Irene M. Manning − Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States
- Leonard B. Collins − Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acscentsci.9b01224](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscentsci.9b01224?ref=pdf)

Author Contributions

§ E.K. and I.M.M. contributed equally to this work

Funding

This research was supported by the North Carolina Policy Collaboratory from funding appropriated by the North Carolina General Assembly. Instrumentation used in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award P30ES010126. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill has filed for intellectual property protection based on these findings.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the members of the North Carolina PFAS Testing Network for insightful discussions and support surrounding PFAS in North Carolina.

ENDINGERERGENCES

(1) Banks, R. E.; Smart, B. E.; Tatlow, J. C. Organofluorine Chemistry: Priciples and Comercial Applications; Springer US: Boston, MA, 1994. (2) Knepper, T. P.; Lange, F. T. Polyfluorinated Chemicals and Transformation Products; Springer Science & Business Media, 2011; Vol. 17.

(3) Kotthoff, M.; Müller, J.; Jürling, H.; Schlummer, M.; Fiedler, D. [Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Consumer Products.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4202-7) Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2015, 22 (19), 14546−14559.

(4) Lindstrom, A. B.; Strynar, M. J.; Libelo, E. L[. Polyfluorinated](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es2011622) [Compounds: Past, Present, and Future.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es2011622) Environ. Sci. Technol. 2011, 45 (19), 7954−7961.

(5) Hu, X. C.; Andrews, D. Q.; Lindstrom, A. B.; Bruton, T. A.; Schaider, L. A.; Grandjean, P.; Lohmann, R.; Carignan, C. C.; Blum, A.; Balan, S. A.; et al[. Detection of Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00260) [Substances \(PFASs\) in U.S. Drinking Water Linked to Industrial](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00260) [Sites, Military Fire Training Areas, and Wastewater Treatment Plants.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00260) Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 2016, 3 (10), 344−350.

(6) Darrow, L. A.; Groth, A. C.; Winquist, A.; Shin, H.-M.; Bartell, S. M.; Steenland, K[. Modeled Perfluorooctanoic Acid \(PFOA\) Exposure](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510391) [and Liver Function in a Mid-Ohio Valley Community.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510391) Environ. Health Perspect. 2016, 124 (8), 1227−1233.

(7) Quaak, I.; de Cock, M.; de Boer, M.; Lamoree, M.; Leonards, P.; van de Bor, M. [Prenatal Exposure to Perfluoroalkyl Substances and](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13050511) [Behavioral Development in Children.](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13050511) Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2016, 13 (5), 511.

(8) Kataria, A.; Trachtman, H.; Malaga-Dieguez, L.; Trasande, L. [Association between Perfluoroalkyl Acids and Kidney Function in a](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12940-015-0077-9) [Cross-Sectional Study of Adolescents.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12940-015-0077-9) Environ. Health 2015, 14 (1), 89.

(9) Barry, V.; Winquist, A.; Steenland, K. [Perfluorooctanoic Acid](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1306615) [\(PFOA\) Exposures and Incident Cancers among Adults Living near a](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1306615) [Chemical Plant.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1306615) Environ. Health Perspect. 2013, 121 (11−12), 1313− 1318.

(10) Melzer, D.; Rice, N.; Depledge, M. H.; Henley, W. E.; Galloway, T. S[. Association between Serum Perfluorooctanoic Acid](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901584) [\(PFOA\) and Thyroid Disease in the US National Health and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901584) [Nutrition Examination Survey.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901584) Environ. Health Perspect. 2010, 118 (5), 686−692.

(11) Chang, E. T.; Adami, H.-O.; Boffetta, P.; Wedner, H. J.; Mandel, J. S[. A Critical Review of Perfluorooctanoate and](https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/10408444.2015.1122573) [Perfluorooctanesulfonate Exposure and Immunological Health](https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/10408444.2015.1122573) [Conditions in Humans.](https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/10408444.2015.1122573) Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 2016, 46 (4), 279−331.

(12) Sun, M.; Arevalo, E.; Strynar, M.; Lindstrom, A.; Richardson, M.; Kearns, B.; Pickett, A.; Smith, C.; Knappe, D. R. U. [Legacy and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00398) [Emerging Perfluoroalkyl Substances Are Important Drinking Water](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00398) [Contaminants in the Cape Fear River Watershed of North Carolina.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00398) Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 2016, 3 (12), 415−419.

(13) Cousins, I. T.; Vestergren, R.; Wang, Z.; Scheringer, M.; Mclachlan, M. S. [The Precautionary Principle and Chemicals](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.04.044) [Management : The Example of Perfluoroalkyl Acids in Groundwater.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.04.044) Environ. Int. 2016, 94, 331−340.

(14) Boone, J. S.; Vigo, C.; Boone, T.; Byrne, C.; Ferrario, J.; Benson, R.; Donohue, J.; Simmons, J. E.; Kolpin, D. W.; Furlong, E. T[. Per-and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Source and Treated](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.245) [Drinking Waters of the United States.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.245) Sci. Total Environ. 2019, 653, 359−369.

(15) Drinking Water Health Advisory for Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA); EPA, 2016.

(16) Strynar, M.; Dagnino, S.; McMahen, R.; Liang, S.; Lindstrom, A.; Andersen, E.; McMillan, L.; Thurman, M.; Ferrer, I.; Ball, C. [Identification of Novel Perfluoroalkyl Ether Carboxylic Acids](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01215) [\(PFECAs\) and Sulfonic Acids \(PFESAs\) in Natural Waters Using](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01215) Accurate Mass Time-of-Flight [Mass Spectrometry \(TOFMS\).](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01215) Environ. Sci. Technol. 2015, 49 (19), 11622−11630.

(17) McCord, J.; Strynar, M. [Identification of Per-and Polyfluor](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06017)[oalkyl Substances in the Cape Fear River by High Resolution Mass](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06017) [Spectrometry and Nontargeted Screening.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06017) Environ. Sci. Technol. 2019, 53 (9), 4717−4727.

(18) Technical Fact Sheet: Draft Toxicity Assessments for GenX Chemicals and PFBS; EPA, 2019; pp 1−9.

(19) Human Health Toxicity Values for Hexafluoropropylene Oxide (HFPO) Dimer Acid and Its Ammonium Salt (CASRN 13252-13-6 and CASRN Also Known as "GenX Chemicals"; EPA, 2018.

(20) NC DHHS Preliminary Risk Assessment FAQ for GenX (Perfluoro2-Propoxypropanoic Acid); North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, 2017.

(21) Ross, I.; McDonough, J.; Miles, J.; Storch, P.; Thelakkat Kochunarayanan, P.; Kalve, E.; Hurst, J.; S. Dasgupta, S.; Burdick, J. [A](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rem.21553) [Review of Emerging Technologies for Remediation of PFASs.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rem.21553) Remediat. J. 2018, 28 (2), 101−126.

(22) Yu, J.; Lv, L.; Lan, P.; Zhang, S.; Pan, B.; Zhang, W. [Effect of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.04.073) [Effluent Organic Matter on the Adsorption of Perfluorinated](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.04.073) [Compounds onto Activated Carbon.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.04.073) J. Hazard. Mater. 2012, 226, 99−106.

(23) Dudley, L. A.; Arevalo, E. C.; Knappe, D. R. U. Removal of Perfluoroalkyl Substances by PAC Adsorption and Anion Exchange; Water Research Foundation: Denver, CO, 2015.

(24) Hopkins, Z. R.; Sun, M.; DeWitt, J. C.; Knappe, D. R. U. [Recently Detected Drinking Water Contaminants: GenX and Other](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/awwa.1073) [Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Ether Acids.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/awwa.1073) J. - Am. Water Works Assoc. 2018, 110 (7), 13−28.

(25) Schachtman, B. CFPUA Filtered Water Still Has More Contaminants than Raw Water. Here's Why, and What's Being Done; Port City Daily: Wilmington, NC, 2019.

(26) Alsbaiee, A.; Smith, B. J.; Xiao, L.; Ling, Y.; Helbling, D. E.; Dichtel, W. R. [Rapid Removal of Organic Micropollutants from Water](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature16185) by a Porous β[-Cyclodextrin Polymer.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature16185) Nature 2016, 529 (7585), 190− 194.

(27) Xiao, L.; Ling, Y.; Alsbaiee, A.; Li, C.; Helbling, D. E.; Dichtel, W. R. β [- Cyclodextrin Polymer Network Sequesters Perfluoroocta](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b02381)[noic Acid at Environmentally Relevant Concentrations.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b02381) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 7689−7692.

(28) Ling, Y.; Klemes, M. J.; Xiao, L.; Alsbaiee, A.; Dichtel, W. R.; Helbling, D. E[. Benchmarking Micropollutant Removal by Activated](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00906) Carbon and Porous β [- Cyclodextrin Polymers under Environmentally](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00906) [Relevant Scenarios.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00906) Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017, 51, 7590−7598.

(29) Xiao, L.; Ching, C.; Ling, Y.; Nasiri, M.; Klemes, M. J.; Reineke, T. M.; Helbling, D. E.; Dichtel, W. R. [Cross-Linker Chemistry](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.9b00417) [Determines the Uptake Potential of Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.9b00417) by β[-Cyclodextrin Polymers.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.9b00417) Macromolecules 2019, 52, 3747−3752.

(30) Klemes, A. M. J.; Ling, Y.; Ching, C.; Wu, V.; Helbling, E.; Dichtel, W. R. [Reduction of a Tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile-](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201905142)β-[Cyclodextrin Polymer to Remove Anionic Micropollutants and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201905142) [Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances from Water.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201905142) Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 12049−12053.

(31) Ji, W.; Xiao, L.; Ling, Y.; Ching, C.; Matsumoto, M.; Bisbey, R. P.; Helbling, D. E.; Dichtel, W. R. [Removal of GenX and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b06958) [Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances from Water by Amine-Functionalized](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b06958) [Covalent Organic Frameworks.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b06958) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140 (40), 12677−12681.

(32) Cao, F.; Wang, L.; Ren, X.; Sun, H[. Synthesis of a](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/app.43192) [Perfluorooctanoic Acid Molecularly Imprinted Polymer for the](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/app.43192) [Selective Removal of Perfluorooctanoic Acid in an Aqueous](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/app.43192) [Environment.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/app.43192) J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2016, 133 (15), 1−10.

(33) Yu, Q.; Deng, S.; Yu, G. [Selective Removal of Perfluorooctane](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.02.024) [Sulfonate from Aqueous Solution Using Chitosan-Based Molecularly](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.02.024) [Imprinted Polymer Adsorbents.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.02.024) Water Res. 2008, 42, 3089−3097.

(34) Ateia, M.; Attia, M. F.; Maroli, A.; Tharayil, N.; Alexis, F.; Whitehead, D. C.; Karanfil, T. [Rapid Removal of Poly- and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00556) [Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances by Poly\(Ethylenimine\)-Function](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00556)[alized Cellulose Microcrystals at Environmentally Relevant Con](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00556)[ditions.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00556) Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 2018, 5 (12), 764−769.

(35) Ateia, M.; Pellizzeri, S.; Attia, M. F.; Tharayil, N.; Anker, J. N.; Karan, T. [Cationic Polymer for Selective Removal of GenX and Short-](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.114874)[Chain PFAS from Surface Waters and Wastewaters at Ng/L Levels.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.114874) Water Res. 2019, 163, 114874.

(36) Huang, P. J.; Hwangbo, M.; Chen, Z.; Liu, Y.; Kameoka, J.; Chu, K. H[. Reusable Functionalized Hydrogel Sorbents for Removing](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b02279) [Long- and Short-Chain Perfluoroalkyl Acids \(PFAAs\) and GenX from](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b02279) [Aqueous Solution.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b02279) ACS Omega 2018, 3 (12), 17447−17455.

(37) Koda, Y.; Terashima, T.; Sawamoto, M. [Fluorous Microgel Star](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja508818j) [Polymers: Selective Recognition and Separation of Polyfluorinated](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja508818j) [Surfactants and Compounds in Water.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja508818j) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15742−15748.

(38) Koda, Y.; Terashima, T.; Takenaka, M.; Sawamoto, M[. Star](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsmacrolett.5b00127) [Polymer Gels with Fluorinated Microgels via Star](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsmacrolett.5b00127) − Star Coupling [and Cross-Linking for Water Purification.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsmacrolett.5b00127) ACS Macro Lett. 2015, 4, 377−380.

(39) Zhang, W.; Curran, D. P. [Synthetic Applications of Fluorous](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2006.08.051) [Solid-Phase Extraction \(F-SPE\).](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2006.08.051) Tetrahedron 2006, 62 (51), 11837− 11865.

(40) Luo, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Oderaotoshi, Y.; Curran, D. P. [Fluorous](https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1057567) [Mixture Synthesis: A Fluorous-Tagging Strategy for the Synthesis and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1057567) [Separation of Mixtures of Organic Compounds.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1057567) Science (Washington, DC, U. S.) 2001, 291 (5509), 1766−1769.

(41) Curran, D. P. [Strategy-Level Separations in Organic Synthesis:](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(19980518)37:9<1174::AID-ANIE1174>3.0.CO;2-P) [From Planning to Practice.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(19980518)37:9<1174::AID-ANIE1174>3.0.CO;2-P) Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37 (9), 1174−1196.

(42) Bell, G. A.; Howell, J. Perfluoropolyethers. In Synthetics, Mineral Oils, and Bio-Based Lubricants: Chemistry and Technology; Rudnick, L. R., Ed.; CRC PRess: Boca Raton, FL, 2005; pp 157−174.

(43) Lopez, G.; Améduri, B.; Habas, J.-P. [A Perfluoropolyether-](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2017.08.007) [Based Elastomers Library with](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2017.08.007) on-Demand Thermorheological [Features.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2017.08.007) Eur. Polym. J. 2017, 95, 207−215.

(44) Lopez, G.; Granado, L.; Coquil, G.; Lárez-Sosa, A.; Louvain, N.; Améduri, B. [Perfluoropolyether \(PFPE\)-Based Vitrimers with](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.8b02493) [Ionic Conductivity.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.8b02493) Macromolecules 2019, 52 (5), 2148−2155.

(45) Howell, J. L.; Perez, E. W.; Waterfeld, A.; Friesen, C. M.; Thrasher, J. S. Thermally Stable Perfluoropolyethers and Processes Therefore and Therewith. US Patent US 6753301 B2, 2001.

(46) Faucitano, A.; Buttafava, A.; Commincioli, V.; Marchionni, G.; Pasquale, R. J. D. E. [Kinetic Modeling of the Low-Temperature](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/poc.610040506) [Photo-Oxidation of Hexafluoropropene.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/poc.610040506) J. Phys. Org. Chem. 1991, 4, 293−300.

(47) Bunyard, W. C.; Romack, T. J.; Desimone, J. M. [Perfluoropolyether Synthesis in Liquid Carbon Dioxide by Hexa](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma981588l)[fluoropropylene Photooxidation.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma981588l) Macromolecules 1999, 32 (24), 8224−8226.

(48) Kasai, P. H[. Perfluoropolyethers: Intramolecular Disproportio](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma00051a011)[nation.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma00051a011) Macromolecules 1992, 25 (25), 6791−6799.

(49) Xiao, X.; Ulrich, B. A.; Chen, B.; Higgins, C. P. [Sorption of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00970) [Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances \(PFASs\) Relevant to Aqueous](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00970) [Film-Forming Foam \(AFFF\)-Impacted Groundwater by Biochars and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00970) [Activated Carbon.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00970) Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017, 51 (11), 6342−6351.

(50) Zaggia, A.; Conte, L.; Falletti, L.; Fant, M.; Chiorboli, A. [Use of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.12.039) [Strong Anion Exchange Resins for the Removal of Perfluoroalkylated](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.12.039) [Substances from Contaminated Drinking Water in Batch and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.12.039) [Continuous Pilot Plants.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.12.039) Water Res. 2016, 91, 137−146.

(51) Bolster, C. H.; Green, B.; Hornberger, G. M. [On the Use of](https://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2006.0304) [Linearized Langmuir Equations.](https://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2006.0304) Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 2007, 71 (6), 1796−1806.

(52) Higgins, C. P.; Luthy, R. G. [Sorption of Perfluorinated](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es061000n) [Surfactants on Sediments.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es061000n) Environ. Sci. Technol. 2006, 40 (23), 7251− 7256.

492