G-Protein Mosaicism

PIK3CA-related overgrowth spectrum. Am J Med Genet A 2014;164A:1713–33.

- Lee MS, Liang MG, Mulliken JB. Diffuse capillary malformation with overgrowth: A clinical subtype of vascular anomalies with hypertrophy. J Am Acad Dermatol 2013;69:589–94.
- Oduber CEU, van der Horst CMAM, Sillevis Smitt JH, Smeulders MJC, Mendiratta V, Harper JI, et al. A proposal for classification of entities combining vascular malformations and deregulated growth. Eur J Med Genet 2011;54: 262–71.
- Polubothu S, Kinsler VA. The ethnic profile of patients with birthmarks reveals interaction of germline and postzygotic genetics. Br J Dermatol 2017;176:1385–7.
- Shirley MD, Tang H, Gallione CJ, Baugher JD, Frelin LP, Cohen B, et al. Sturge–Weber syndrome and port-wine stains caused by somatic mutation in *GNAQ*. N Engl J Med 2013;368: 1971–9.
- Siegel DH, Cottrell CE, Streicher JL, Schilter KF, Basel DG, Baselga E, et al. Analyzing the genetic spectrum of vascular anomalies with

overgrowth via cancer genomics. J Invest Dermatol 2018;138:957-67.

- Thomas AC, Zeng Z, Rivière JB, O'Shaughnessy R, Al-Olabi L, St-Onge J, et al. Mosaic activating mutations in *GNA11* and *GNAQ* are associated with phakomatosis Pigmentovascularis and extensive dermal melanocytosis. J Invest Dermatol 2016;136:770–8.
- Vahidnezhad H, Youssefian L, Uitto J. Klippel-Trenaunay syndrome belongs to the PIK3CArelated overgrowth spectrum (PROS). Exp Dermatol 2016;25:17–9.

heck fo

GNA11 Mutation as a Cause of Sturge-Weber Syndrome: Expansion of the Phenotypic Spectrum of $G_{\alpha/11}$ Mosaicism and the Associated Clinical Diagnoses

Journal of Investigative Dermatology (2020) 140, 1110–1113; doi:10.1016/j.jid.2019.10.019

TO THE EDITOR

GNA11 and GNAQ are highly homologous genes encoding different Ga subunits of the Gaq subfamily of heterotrimeric G-proteins. GNAQ-mutation mosaicism has previously been found to cause Sturge-Weber syndrome (SWS) and isolated capillary malformations (Shirley et al., 2013). We recently described postzygotic activating mutations in GNA11 or GNAQ as causes of phakomatosis pigmentovascularis (PPV) (Thomas et al., 2016), a group of conditions defined by the co-occurrence of pigmentary and vascular birthmarks (Happle, 2005; Ota et al., 1947), and GNAQ mosaicism as a cause of extensive or atypical dermal melanocytosis (EDM) (Thomas et al., 2016). Subsequently, GNA11 mosaicism was identified as a cause of capillary malformation and overgrowth in a limb (Couto et al., 2017). Systematic phenotypic description and phenotype-genotype studies have not yet been performed across this disease spectrum. Of note, somatic pathogenic variants in GNAQ and GNA11 have been described in melanocytic tumors (Van Raamsdonk et al., 2010, 2009) and in congenital hemangiomas (Ayturk et al., 2016).

In this international cohort study of 45 patients, of whom 44 were children and 39 were recruited sequentially and prospectively from a single center, we used deep phenotyping and DNA sequencing of skin biopsies to characterize the clinical spectrum, analyze phenotypegenotype correlations, and delineate predictors of adverse outcomes. Inclusion criteria were a clinical diagnosis of SWS, PPV, or EDM. Cutaneous features recorded were the presence or absence of types of capillary malformation (portwine stain, reticulate patterning, or nevus anemicus), types of pigmented lesion (dermal melanocytosis, café-aulait macule, or nevus spilus), and involvement of the forehead area (as previously defined [Waelchli et al., 2014]) by vascular and/or pigmentary lesions. EDM was specifically defined to distinguish it from common Mongolian blue spots as (i) extending outside the lumbosacral area and (ii) either not visibly fading by the age of one year, and/or having very clearly delineated edges, and/or being very deeply pigmented.

DNA was extracted directly from affected skin from all 45 patients, with informed written consent under local Research Ethics Committee approval. All samples were sequenced for GNAQ and GNA11 hotspot mutations affecting codons 183 and 209 in both genes, as previously described (Thomas et al., 2016)—briefly, initially by Sanger sequencing with restriction enzyme digest and, if wild-type, by deep targeted next generation sequencing with a sensitivity of 1% mutant allele detection. Statistical modeling of two adverse clinical outcomes - any neurological or ophthalmological abnormalities - was by multiple logistic regression with independent variables being phenotypic and genotypic data as above.

Clinical phenotyping data and outcome frequencies are shown in Table 1. Certain features are of note. First, most patients with PPV, independent of the subtype, developed multiple café-au-lait macules over time. This was also seen in EDM but not in SWS. Some of these café-au-lait macules would be considered typical, but most were atypical, with irregular or broken up edges and/or large in size (Fois et al., 1993). Second, macrocephaly was seen in 9% of cases where head circumference had been documented, all of whom had capillary malformations as part of the phenotype. Third, asymmetry of growth was seen in 35%. Fourth, two patients had renal hypertension. The first of these presented incidentally following a routine blood pressure

Abbreviations: EDM, extensive dermal melanocytosis; MRA, magnetic resonance angiography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PPV, phakomatosis pigmentovascularis; SWS, Sturge-Weber syndrome

Accepted manuscript published online 12 December 2019; corrected proof published online 3 February 2020

^{© 2019} The Authors. Published by Elsevier, Inc. on behalf of the Society for Investigative Dermatology.

Table 1. Demographic and Phenotypic Features and Outcomes of the Cohort of 45 Patients by Genotype

Feature, diagnosis, or outcome	GNAQ mutant	GNA11 mutant	Double wild type	Total GNAQ or GNA11 mutant/total cohort (%)
Male	9	5	4	18/45 (40%)
White Caucasian	6	2	5	13/42 (31%)
Diagnosis of SWS	4	1	2	7/45 (16%)
Diagnosis of PPV	8	7	9	24/45 (69%)
Diagnosis of EDM	2	0	12	14/45 (31%)
Presence of any capillary malformation	11	8	10	29/45 (64%)
Presence of port-wine stain (nevus flammeus)	9	3	4	16/45 (36%)
Presence of a pale pink capillary malformation (nevus roseus)	1	0	3	4/45 (9%)
Presence of reticulate or telangiectatic vascular patterning	5	5	1	11/45 (24%)
Presence of nevus anemicus	1	2	0	3/45 (7%)
Presence of pigmentary abnormalities of any type	10	7	18	35/43 (81%)
Presence of dermal melanocytosis	9	4	14	27/42 (64%)
Presence of café-au-lait macular pigmentation	5	3	7	15/36 (42%)
Forehead involvement, vascular	6	4	4	14/39 (36%)
Forehead involvement, pigmentary	3	2	3	8/41 (20%)
Forehead involvement, either vascular or pigmentary	7	5	7	19/40 (48%)
Epidermal nevus	0	0	0	0/45 (0%)
Hypertrophy	6	2	3	11/37 (28%)
Hypotrophy	0	1	1	2/37 (5%)
Macrocephaly	2	1	0	3/34 (9%)
Ophthalmological abnormalities	7	4	2	13/28 (46% of those tested)
Glaucoma	6	3	2	11/30 (37% of those tested)
Heterochromia irides	0	1	0	1/36 (3%)
Any neurological abnormality	8	3	4	15/41 (37%)
Neurodevelopmental abnormalities	7	2	2	11/41 (27%)
Seizures	6	0	2	8/42 (19%)
MRI/MRA head abnormalities	6	1	4	11/20 (55% of the 20 scanned)
All other internal organ anomalies (including renal)	0	3	0	3 (most not screened)
Renal or renovascular anomalies	0	2	0	2 (most not screened)
Hypertension	0	2	0	2 (most not measured)

Abbreviations: EDM, extensive dermal melanocytosis; MRA, magnetic resonance angiography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PPV, phakomatosis pigmentovascularis; SWS, Sturge-Weber syndrome.

Where the cohort totals do not equal 45, this is because of missing data. This cohort includes eight patients from our previous publication (Thomas et al., 2016). In 21 of 22 cases, these mutations affected codons 183 of *GNAQ* (p.(R183Q)) or *GNA11* (p.(R183C)) in all except one (p.(R183S) [Thomas et al., 2016]), and in one case of EDM, the mutation affected codon 209 (p.(Q209P) [Thomas et al., 2016]). We have subdivided capillary malformations into portwine stain/nevus flammeus and pale pink telangiectatic nevi to reflect recent publications suggesting clinical subdivision may be helpful.

measurement but later developed severe headaches and proteinuria and was found to have an enlarged edematous left kidney with a renal cyst. The second was diagnosed during investigation for recurrent acute lifethreatening events from central apnea, and was found to have stenosis of the superior right renal artery. Fifth, one patient with PPV developed hypopigmented mycosis fungoides, and one patient with EDM developed a dermatofibromasarcoma protuberans. Finally, two patients with PPV type II or cesioflammea died, however as both cases were referred to us for genotyping due to the severity of clinical disease, this is likely to be a higher incidence than a true prospective cohort figure. One of these died in infancy, with recurrent apnea and classical changes of SWS on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) (recently reported in detail; Sliepka et al., 2019), and the second died in adulthood, after sudden onset of multiple intracranial hemorrhages with no discoverable underlying MRI/MRA vascular abnormality and no hypertension.

GNAQ or *GNA11* mutations were found in 49% of patients with these clinical diagnoses (Table 1). Those with a diagnosis of SWS or PPV had a higher percentage of mutation positivity (71% and 63%, respectively) than those with EDM (14%). Notably, one patient with SWS was *GNA11* mosaic, presenting with bilateral extensive (including forehead) reticulate vascular capillary malformation, glaucoma, hypotrophy of the right face and right leg, and a normal MRI/MRA (Figure 1). In addition, a *GNAQ*-mutant patient with SWS could also have been classified as nevus vascularis mixtus (Hamm and Happle, 1986), bringing this diagnosis also into this genotypic spectrum.

A cutaneous vascular lesion in the previously delineated forehead area was confirmed to be a strong predictor of neurological and ophthalmological abnormalities as previously described (Waelchli et al., 2014) (odds ratio of having neurological abnormalities with a forehead area lesion 8.5, 95% confidence interval 1.8–39.7, P = 0.006; and odds ratio of ophthalmological abnormalities with a forehead lesion 60, 95% confidence interval 4.7-763.0. P = 0.002). There were no cases of neurological abnormalities or glaucoma in SWS or PPV where there was no



Figure 1. Clinical features of *GNA11*-variant **Sturge-Weber syndrome.** (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}) Clinical features of the patient with *GNA11*-variant Sturge-Weber syndrome at birth and with a congenital telangiectatic capillary malformation on the right side of the face extending onto the forehead, subtle facial asymmetry, and iris heterochromia. (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{f}) There is extension of the capillary malformation onto the right arm and leg with associated right leg undergrowth. Pictures a, b, and d were taken at 4 months of age and pictures c, e, and f at 6 years of age. The parent/guardian of this patient consented in writing to publication of images.

vascular involvement of the forehead area; however, there was one case of abnormal vasculature on MRI/MRA in a child with a clinical diagnosis of EDM without cutaneous vascular lesions. Pigmentary lesions in the forehead area without accompanying vascular lesions were not used as an independent variable as the numbers were too low; however, there are known associations of oculodermal melanocytosis with glaucoma and ocular melanoma (Shields et al., 2011; Teekhasaenee et al., 1990).

Genotype did not affect adverse outcome measures in childhood. Phenotypic modeling by genotype was not undertaken because of small numbers in each phenotypic variable; however, these data hint that GNA11 mosaicism may be associated with telangiectatic capillary malformation, nevus vascularis mixtus, and hypotrophy/undergrowth. Our recommendations for urgent ophthalmological assessment and for routine central nervous system MRI/MRA after birth for children with SWS and PPV remain for any child with a capillary malformation involving any part of the defined forehead area (Waelchli et al., 2014) and for anyone with relevant symptoms. This enables early detection and treatment of glaucoma and early detection of abnormal neurovasculature and consideration for prophylaxis with aspirin and/or anticonvulsants. Our in-house recommendations for EDM currently are for any child with this diagnosis as defined here to have a screening ophthalmological examination after birth or if any symptoms arise. For EDM, currently we do not perform MRI/MRA in the absence of neurological symptoms, but will continue to audit this practice. Although the risk of cutaneous or ocular melanoma arising in patients with PPV and EDM appears to be relatively low in the literature, it is important that families and ophthalmologists are made aware of this as a possibility. Subsequent to this cohort study, we recommend that clinicians are alert to the possibilities of cafe-au-lait macules. macrocephaly, hypertension, and asymmetric growth in children from birth and throughout childhood.

Data availability statement

No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

ORCIDs

Satyamaanasa Polubothu: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7195-5670

Lara Al-Olabi: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9947-6 989 Alisha Chacko: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-35 96-4462 George Eleftheriou: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5674-9086 Maria Carmen del Boente: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9390-6079 Mary Glover: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-678 9-7241 David Jiménez-Gallo: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1161-6698 Elizabeth A. Jones: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0059-8829 Debra Lomas: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4490-0038 Regina Fölster-Holst: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9114-2351 Samira Sved: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3872-5870 Monika Tasani: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-813 9-3058 Anna Thomas: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6586-465X Antonio Torrelo: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-594 0-6916 Martin Tisdall: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-888 0-8386 http://orcid.org/0000-0001-963 Sarah Aylett: 0-3222 Kinsler: http://orcid.org/0000-Veronica A. 0001-6256-327X

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors state no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the participation of all patients and families in this study and research coordination by Jane White. VAK, AT, and the work presented in this study were funded by the Wellcome Trust (Grant WT104076MA). SP was funded by Caring Matters Now Charity and by the Newlife Foundation. The work was supported by the GOSHCC Livingstone Skin Research Centre, the UK National Institute for Health Research through the Biomedical Research Centre at Great Ormond St Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust, and the UCL GOS Institute of Child Health.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: VAK; Formal Analysis: VAK, SP; Investigation: SP, LA-O, ACT; Resources: VAK, SP, MCB, AC, GE, MG, DJ-G, EAJ, DL, RF-H, SS, MTa, MTi, AT, SA; Writing - Original Draft Preparation: VAK, SP; Writing - Review and Editing: SP, LA-O, MCB, AC, GE, MG, DJ-G, EAJ, DL, RF-H, SS, MTa, ACT, MTi, AT, SA, VAK

Satyamaanasa Polubothu^{1,2}, Lara Al-Olabi¹, Maria Carmen del Boente³, Alisha Chacko², Georgios Eleftheriou², Mary Glover², David Jiménez-Gallo⁴, Elizabeth A. Jones^{5,6}, Debra Lomas², Regina Fölster-Holst⁷, Samira Syed², Monika Tasani², Anna Thomas¹, Martin Tisdall⁸, Antonio Torrelo⁹, Sarah Aylett¹⁰ and Veronica A. Kinsler^{1,2,*}

¹ Great Ormond St Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust, and UCL GOS Institute of Child Health, London, United Kingdom; ²Mosaicism and Precision Medicine Laboratory, The Francis Crick Institute, London, United Kingdom; ³Dermatology, Hospital del Niño Jesús, San Miguel de Tucumán, Argentina; ⁴Dermatology, Puerta del Mar University Hospital, Cádiz, Spain; ⁵Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, Division of Evolution & Genomic Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom; ⁶Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, St Mary's Hospital, Manchester University, NHS Foundation Trust, Health Innovation Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom; ⁷Campus Kiel, Dermatologie, Venerologie und Allergologie, Universitätsklinikum Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany; ⁸Department of Neurosurgery, Great Ormond St Hospital for Children, NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; ⁹Dermatology, Hospital del Niño Jesús, Madrid, Spain; and ¹⁰Pediatric Neurology, Great Ormond St Hospital for Children, NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom *Corresponding author e-mail: v.kinsler@ucl. ac.uk

REFERENCES

- Ayturk UM, Couto JA, Hann S, Mulliken JB, Williams KL, Huang AY, et al. Somatic activating mutations in GNAQ and GNA11 are associated with congenital hemangioma. Am J Hum Genet 2016;98:789–95.
- Couto JA, Ayturk UM, Konczyk DJ, Goss JA, Huang AY, Hann S, et al. A somatic GNA11 mutation is associated with extremity capillary malformation and overgrowth. Angiogenesis 2017;20:303–6.
- Fois A, Calistri L, Balestri P, Vivarelli R, Bartalini G, Mancini L, et al. Relationship between café-au-lait spots as the only symptom and peripheral neurofibromatosis (NF1): a follow-up study. Eur J Pediatr 1993;152:500-4.
- Hamm H, Happle R. [Mixed vascular nevus. Report of 4 cases]. Hautarzt 1986;37:388–92 [in German].
- Happle R. Phacomatosis pigmentovascularis revisited and reclassified. Arch Dermatol 2005;141:385–8.

Ota M, Kawamura T, Ito N. Phakomatosis pigmentovascularis. Jpn J Dermatol 1947;52:1–31.

- Shields CL, Kligman BE, Suriano M, Viloria V, Iturralde JC, Shields MV, et al. Phacomatosis pigmentovascularis of cesioflammea type in 7 patients: combination of ocular pigmentation (melanocytosis or melanosis) and nevus flammeus with risk for melanoma. Arch Ophthalmol 2011;129:746–50.
- Shirley MD, Tang H, Gallione CJ, Baugher JD, Frelin LP, Cohen B, et al. Sturge-Weber syndrome and port-wine stains caused by somatic mutation in GNAQ. N Engl J Med 2013;368: 1971–9.
- Sliepka JM, McGriff SC, Rossetti LZ, Bizargity P, Streff H, Lee YS, et al. GNA11 brain somatic pathogenic variant in an individual with phacomatosis pigmentovascularis. Neurol Genet 2019;5:e366.
- Teekhasaenee C, Ritch R, Rutnin U, Leelawongs N. Ocular findings in oculodermal melanocytosis. Arch Ophthalmol 1990;108: 1114–20.
- Thomas AC, Zeng Z, Rivière JB, O'Shaughnessy R, Al-Olabi L, St-Onge J, et al. Mosaic activating mutations in GNA11 and GNAQ are associated with phakomatosis pigmentovascularis and extensive dermal melanocytosis. J Invest Dermatol 2016;136:770–8.
- Van Raamsdonk CD, Bezrookove V, Green G, Bauer J, Gaugler L, O'Brien JM, et al. Frequent somatic mutations of GNAQ in uveal melanoma and blue naevi. Nature 2009;457:599–602.
- Van Raamsdonk CD, Griewank KG, Crosby MB, Garrido MC, Vemula S, Wiesner T, et al. Mutations in GNA11 in uveal melanoma. N Engl J Med 2010;363:2191–9.
- Waelchli R, Aylett SE, Robinson K, Chong WK, Martinez AE, Kinsler VA. New vascular classification of port-wine stains: improving prediction of Sturge-Weber risk. Br J Dermatol 2014;171:861–7.