

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## European Journal of Internal Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejim



Letter to the Editor

## Non-SARS CoV2 positive critical patients: Sons of a lesser God?



As the number of confirmed cases of coronavirus in Italy continues to rise, the reorganization of the hospitals outlined by Grasselli et Al. [1] across the country made wards slowly emptied, elective activities interrupted, and intensive care units freed up to create as many beds as possible.

Simultaneously, in many small, non-hub hospitals, because of the chronic shortage of staff, internal medicine teams -doctors and nurses-often without any formal, adequate training, have been moved to the newly, hastily created COVID wards, where, beside caring for patients' general needs, they just implement the therapeutic protocol the hospital chose (if ever) to treat SARS CoV-2 positive patients, hoping that the drugs they're prescribing out of any evidence do more good than harm [2]. All the clinical competence of the teams most of the time has come down to watching out for clinical deterioration, when the patient is not responding to high-flow nasal oxygen or positive end-expiratory pressure (when available), trying not to miss the right moment to call the intensivist [3].

At the same time, what is left of the internal medicine wards has been clumsily staffed with doctors and nurses with different competences, bewildered and anxious about their new tasks when facing patients of all ages with a wide range of diseases and clinical presentations, from severe dyspnea to acute abdominal pain, from apparently accidental fall to general critical conditions, with different workups, differential diagnoses, prognoses and therapies [4].

Once again, in these small, non-hub hospitals, we are facing a floorceiling effect in human resources management: high skilled internal medicine nurses and doctors are (mis)used to take care of patients mostly admitted just because SARS CoV-2 positive and whose clinical course is often sadly dichotomous, whereas non-SARS CoV-2 positive critical patients, whose clinical course has yet to be inferred from medical history, clinical presentation and workup, are taken care of by nurses and doctors with competencies ordinarily developed and valuably implemented in quite different settings of care.

Although "Res nova et regni novitas me talia cogunt moliri" [5], still the feeling that in these days "ordinary patients" are deemed sons of a lesser God is strong and worrisome. SARS CoV-2 pandemic has drained all

medical attention on treating affected patients, jeopardizing the ability to maintain the standard of care we were used to provide for non-SARS CoV-2 related disease. Public messages on social distancing make people refrain from seeking medical care going to the hospital. Moreover, procedures to protect caregivers from infection will impose to rule out SARS CoV-2 infection on any patient admitted, and any urgent procedure the internist would advise will be delayed awaiting for the results. Non-SARS CoV-2 patients might eventually pay a heavy, unexpected toll because of the dramatic change in practicing medicine we have been forced to. We hope, as Grasselli et Al. pointed out [1], that our health care system, not organized in collaborative emergency networks, will work toward one now, without prejudicing any longer and again internal medicine practice, the very heart of many hospital activity.

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

I have no actual or potential conflict of interest

## References

- [1] Grasselli G, Pesenti A, Cecconi M. Critical Care Utilization for the COVID-19 Outbreak in Lombardy, Italy: Early Experience and Forecast During an Emergency Response. JAMA 2020;323(16):1545–6. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.4031.
- [2] Kalil AC. Treating COVID-19—Off-Label Drug Use, Compassionate Use, and Randomized Clinical Trials During Pandemics. JAMA. Published online March 24, 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.4742.
- [3] Matthay Michael, Aldrich J, Gotts Jeffrey. Treatment for severe acute respiratory distress syndrome from COVID-19. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine 2020. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30127-2.
- [4] Liguori I, Russo G, Aran L, et al. Acute care hospital at different levels of intensity: the role of Geriatrician. Aging Clin Exp Res 2018;30:703–12https://doi.org/10. 1007/s40520-018-0920-2.
- [5] Vergil, Aeneid, I., 563-4.

Giovanni Gulli\*

S.C. di Medicina Interna, Ospedale Maggiore Ss. Annunziata, A.S.L. CN1

E-mail address: giovanni.gulli@aslcn1.it.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Ospedale Maggiore Ss. Annunziata, Via Ospedali, 14, 12038 Savigliano, CN, Italy.