

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Int J Hyg Environ Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 May 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2019 April; 222(3): 395-401. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.12.005.

# Pregnancy phthalate metabolite concentrations and infant birth weight by gradations of maternal glucose tolerance

Nudrat Noor<sup>1</sup>, Kelly K. Ferguson<sup>2</sup>, John D. Meeker<sup>3</sup>, Ellen W. Seely<sup>4</sup>, Russ Hauser<sup>1</sup>, Tamarra James-Todd<sup>1,6,\*</sup>, Thomas McElrath<sup>5,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>.Departments of Environmental Health and Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115

<sup>2</sup> Epidemiology Branch, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, U.S.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, U.S.

<sup>4</sup>·Division of Endocrine, Diabetes, and Hypertension, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, U.S.

<sup>5</sup> Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

<sup>6</sup>Division of Women's Health, Department of Medicine, Connors Center for Women's Health and Gender Biology, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02120

# Abstract

**Background:** Higher birth weight is an important adverse outcome associated with hyperglycemia in pregnancy. Recent studies suggest that phthalate exposure is associated with elevated glucose levels in pregnant women, with implications for higher birth weight in the offspring. No study to date has investigated the association between prenatal phthalate exposure on infant high birth weight accounting for the range of pregnancy glucose levels.

**Methods:** A total of 350 women participating in an ongoing pregnancy cohort had data available on urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations at up to four time points across pregnancy. Urinary phthalate metabolites were averaged across pregnancy and log-transformed, specific gravity adjusted and analyzed in quartiles. Birth weight was examined continuously (in grams), as well as dichotomized as large for gestational age (>90<sup>th</sup> percentile). Glucose levels were assessed based on results from 50-gram glucose challenge tests as a part of screening for gestational diabetes conducted at 24–28 weeks gestation, and grouped into 3 categories <120mg/dL, 120–140mg/dL and 140mg/dL. Multivariable linear regression was performed, adjusting for potential confounders in the overall population and stratified by pregnancy glucose levels.

Conflict of interest

**Corresponding author:** Tamarra James-Todd, Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Ave., Bldg. 1, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor, Boston, MA 02120, Phone: 617.432.6460/Fax: 617.525.7746, tjtodd@hsph.harvard.edu. \*Co-senior authors

All authors declare that they have no competing financial interest.

**Results:** Approximately 20% of infants born to women with glucose levels 140mg/dL were large for gestational age. Average mono-ethyl phthalate (MEP) concentrations were higher among women who had glucose levels 140 mg/dL (geometric mean 140.9ug/L; 95% CI: 91.6–216.8); however, higher MEP concentrations were not associated with higher birth weight. When stratified by maternal glucose levels, there was a suggestive association between higher concentrations of mono-(3-carboxypropyl) phthalate (MCPP) and higher birth weight among women with glucose levels 140 mg/dL (adj. birth weight: 569.2 grams; 95% CI: 14.1, 1178.2).

**Conclusions:** Higher urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations were not significantly associated with higher birth weight. Counter to our hypothesis, women with higher glucose levels and higher urinary phthalate metabolites did not deliver babies with higher birth weight.

#### Keywords

phthalates; pregnancy; birth weight; large for gestational age; maternal obesity; maternal glucose

# 1. Introduction

In the last 20 years, the prevalence of large for gestational age (LGA), defined as infant birth weight greater than the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile for gestational age, and macrosomia have decreased in the United States; however, approximately 7% and 10% of infants are still born LGA and with macrosomia, respectively (Ferrara 2007, Donahue, Kleinman et al. 2010, Bowers, Laughon et al. 2013). A number of short and long-term health consequences are associated with LGA and macrosomia, including an increased risk of infant shoulder dystocia or Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) stay (Gu, An et al. 2012), as well as increased risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease in adulthood (Lord 2013, Johnsson, Haglund et al. 2015, Stansfield, Fain et al. 2016). One of the major risk factors of LGA and macrosomia includes elevated glucose levels in pregnancy (Group 2009, Catalano, McIntyre et al. 2012). In particular, women with higher glucose levels in pregnancy have up to a 2-fold increased risk of delivering LGA infants (Bowers, Laughon et al. 2013, Gaudet, Ferraro et al. 2014). This increased risk of LGA holds, even for elevated glucose levels not sufficient to make the diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (Coustan, Lowe et al. 2010).

While traditional lifestyle factors, such as diet are certainly involved in LGA and macrosomia (defined as birth weight above 4000 g), a growing body of literature suggests that environmental chemicals also play a role in altered glucose levels during pregnancy, as well as altered fetal growth (James-Todd, Meeker et al. 2016, Chiu, Mínguez-Alarcón et al. 2017, Bellavia, Cantonwine et al. 2018). One class of environmental chemicals associated with glucose levels are phthalates,(Stahlhut, van Wijngaarden et al. 2007, Svensson, Hernandez-Ramirez et al. 2011, James-Todd, Stahlhut et al. 2012, Huang, Saxena et al. 2014) which are used as plasticizers(Xie, Wu et al. 2016). Phthalates are ubiquitous within the environment, with use in a variety of consumer products, including personal care products, food packaging, and common household and industrial products(Hauser and Calafat 2005, Koniecki, Wang et al. 2011). Certain phthalates, such as di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate are considered to be reproductive toxicants due to their associations with a variety of adverse maternal and infant outcomes,(Swan 2008, Diamanti-Kandarakis, Bourguignon et al. 2009) including associations with altered fetal growth (Ferguson, Meeker et al. 2016) and

lower birth weight, (Huang, Kuo et al. 2009, Zhang, Lin et al. 2009, Casas, Valvi et al. 2016, Ferguson, Meeker et al. 2016, Watkins, Milewski et al. 2016) anogenital distances,(Swan 2008, Dorman, Chiu et al. 2018) and preterm birth(Ferguson, McElrath et al. 2014, Ferguson, McElrath et al. 2014). Although several recent studies have shown associations between certain urinary phthalate metabolites and low birth weight, (Huang, Kuo et al. 2009, Zhang, Lin et al. 2009, Casas, Valvi et al. 2016, Ferguson, Meeker et al. 2016, Watkins, Milewski et al. 2016) studies have not accounted for maternal glucose levels as a potential effect modifier of the association between phthalates and birth weight. These associations may be particularly important, given that glucose is thought to be a major substrate for fetal growth. (Coustan, Lowe et al. 2010)

Therefore, we sought to evaluate the association between urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations on higher infant birth weight, stratifying by gradations of maternal glucose levels. We used data from a Boston-based pregnancy cohort—the LIFECODES study (McElrath, Lim et al. 2012). Given the association between phthalates and elevated maternal glucose levels (James-Todd, Meeker et al. 2016), our inquiry seeks to provide needed information about an important downstream sequela of maternal glucose intolerance—high birth weight—as it relates to a number of studies showing associations between phthalates and obesity (Holtcamp 2012, Thayer, Heindel et al. 2012).

# 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study population.

The study population consisted of pregnant women participating in the LIFECODES pregnancy cohort, a large prospective study based at the Brigham and Women's Hospital (Boston, MA)(McElrath, Lim et al. 2012). Participants were recruited during their first prenatal visit (median: 9.9 weeks gestation). At baseline, information on sociodemographic factors was collected via a questionnaire. Blood and urine samples were collected at four time points during pregnancy (i.e. median: 9.9 weeks gestation; 17.9 weeks gestation; 26.1 weeks gestation and 35.3 weeks gestation). We utilized a subset of the population, who had available data on urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations, as a part of a nested casecontrol study among women who delivered between 2006 and 2008. Our subset included 350 term births (infants delivered at 37 weeks gestation) in order to assess exposure to phthalates across the full-course of pregnancy. There were 49 women with missing information on glucose levels, which resulted in a sample of 301 for the descriptive analysis, which was stratified by maternal glucose levels. For the multivariable analysis, we excluded women with a clinical diagnosis of GDM (n=24), since standard treatment for GDM could impact infant birth weight (Crowther, Hiller et al. 2005, Landon, Spong et al. 2009). The total sample size for our multivariable analysis consisted of 277 women. More detailed information about the LIFECODES pregnancy cohort and the nested case-control study can be found elsewhere (McElrath, Lim et al. 2012, Ferguson, McElrath et al. 2014). Institutional review board approval was obtained from Brigham and Women's Hospital as well as the University of Michigan, with the latter providing approval for the nested casecontrol study that generated the urinary phthalate metabolite data.

#### 2.2. Urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations.

Urine samples collected at the 4 time periods were stored at 4°C until processed within 3 hours of collection, after which they were stored at -80°C. Nine urinary phthalate metabolites were measured at each time point, these included: mono-ethyl phthalate (MEP) (metabolite of di-ethyl phthalate); mono-butyl phthalate (MBP) (metabolite of di-butyl phthalate); mono-isobutyl phthalate (MiBP) (metabolite of diisobutyle phthalate); monobenzyl phthalate (MBzP) (metabolite of benzyl butyl phthalate); mono-(3-carboxypropyl) phthalate (MCPP) (metabolite of di-n-octyl phthalate, as well as other low and high molecular weight phthalates); and metabolites of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP)-mono-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (MEHP), mono(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate (MEHHP), mono(2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl) phthalate (MEOHP). For the metabolites of DEHP, we calculated a summary measure ( DEHP) by dividing each metabolite concentration by its molecular weight and summing: [(MEHP\*(1/278.34)) + (MEHHP\*(1/294.34)) + (MEOHP\*(1/292.33)) + (MECPP\*(1/308.33))]. Urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations were measured by NSF International using Centers for Disease Control and Prevention protocols (Silva, Samandar et al. 2007, Lewis, Meeker et al. 2013). In order to account for urine dilution, adjustment was done for specific gravity (SG) using the following formula:  $P_c = P((1.015-1)/(SG-1))$ , where  $P_c$  represents SG-adjusted concentrations and P is measured urinary concentrations. The median SG was 1.105 for the overall population. For each participant, the geometric mean for urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations was computed using measurements from all four time points across pregnancy and the composite measure was then adjusted for SG, also averaged across each of the measured time points. After adjustment for SG, mean urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations were logtransformed and analyzed in quartiles, with the highest quartile corresponding to the highest average concentrations of each urinary phthalate metabolite over the course of pregnancy.

#### 2.3. High birth weight.

Infant birth weight was measured in grams at the time of delivery. For the primary outcome, birth weight was evaluated as a continuous variable. We evaluated LGA as a secondary outcome using the U.S. national reference data to calculate large for gestational age (LGA), given that we had limited power to evaluate LGA as a primary outcome due to a small sample size. For this secondary analysis, we compared LGA infants to infants born average for gestational age and small for gestational age (Oken, Kleinman et al. 2003).

#### 2.4. Maternal glucose levels.

Information on maternal glucose was collected from a non-fasting 50-gram glucose load test (GLT) in the second trimester as a part of standard clinical screening for GDM undertaken by all study participants. Classification of glucose levels was performed using the Carpenter-Coustan criteria utilized by Brigham and Women's Hospital. For this, a two-step screening approach was taken for GDM diagnosis, with all women sitting for the 50-gram, non-fasting glucose load test (GLT) as the first step in GDM screening. Those women with glucose levels from the GLT 140 mg/dL were referred for further testing with a fasting 100-gram, 3-hour oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). GDM was clinically diagnosed when a woman had two abnormal values from the 3-hour OGTT following the elevated glucose value from

the GLT. The diagnostic thresholds for the OGTT were: >95 mg/dL (fasting); >180 mg/dL (1 hour); >155 mg/dL (2 hours); >140 mg/dL (3 hours) (Gupta, Kalra et al. 2015).

In this study, maternal glucose was assessed as both continuous and categorical variables. Based on the GLT, glucose levels were classified as <120mg/dL, 120-140mg/dL, and

140mg/dL to account for gradations of maternal glucose intolerance. Again, the latter category excluded women with diagnosed GDM. This categorization of maternal glucose intolerance has been evaluated in previous studies with respect to several adverse health outcomes (Cheng, McLaughlin et al. 2007, American Diabetes 2009, Figueroa, Landon et al. 2013, Subramaniam, Jauk et al. 2015).

#### 2.5. Statistical analysis.

For statistical analysis, we calculated descriptive statistics for maternal and infant characteristics in the overall population, as well as stratified by gradations of maternal glucose intolerance (i.e. glucose from GLT<120mg/dL, 120–140mg/dL, 140mg/dL). We used t-test to evaluate differences in the geometric means for urinary phthalate metabolites by gradations of glucose levels. Multivariable linear regression was used to examine the difference in birth weight over quartiles of urinary phthalate metabolites relative to the lowest quartile, along with the 95% confidence intervals. Associations were evaluated in the overall study population and stratified by maternal glucose tolerance status, as this was our main question of interest. We identified potential confounders based on the literature for factors associated with: 1) phthalate exposure and high birth weight and 2) phthalate exposure and pregnancy glucose levels. These potential confounders included: maternal age, race/ethnicity, education, smoking status, alcohol use, gestational age at delivery and infant sex in multivariable models. We assessed maternal age and gestational age at delivery as continuous variables. Race/ethnicity was evaluated as non-Hispanic white (reference), Non-Hispanic black, Non-Hispanic Asian, Hispanic, Other. Maternal education was evaluated as high school or less, technical school or some college, college graduate or higher (reference). Smoking status was categorized as current, past, never (reference). Alcohol use during pregnancy was categorized as yes versus no (reference). We calculated p-for-interaction to determine whether maternal glucose levels modified the association between urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and birth weight. Maternal glucose levels and phthalate metabolite concentrations in the models evaluating interaction were continuous variables. If p for interaction was <0.10, we concluded that the interaction was statistically significant.

We conducted several sensitivity analyses. First, we evaluated the association between urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and LGA (>90<sup>th</sup> percentile for birth weight). Second, we examined visit-specific urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and higher birth weight for the overall population. Third, we looked at the association between phthalates and higher birth weight stratifying by pre-pregnancy BMI (categorizing as <25 (normal weight) or >=25 (overweight/obese))—another known LGA risk factor. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.3.2.

# 3. Results:

Table 1 shows baseline maternal (first prenatal visit), and infant characteristics stratified by maternal glucose tolerance status. More Non-Hispanic Asian and Hispanic women had glucose levels 140 mg/dL. Additionally, more women with higher glucose levels used alcohol and were obese (BMI>30kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Over 20% of infants born to women with glucose levels 140 mg/dL (and without a GDM diagnosis) were born LGA.

Table 2 presents urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations by maternal glucose status. Average MEP concentrations were highest among women with glucose levels > 140 mg/dL, however the difference was not significant compared to the low glucose concentration group. Concentrations of all other individual urinary phthalate metabolites, as well as DEHP, were comparable across all maternal glucose tolerance categories.

Table 3 shows the associations between maternal urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and continuous infant birth weight (in grams) in the overall population, as well as stratified by maternal glucose tolerance status based on the GDM screening tests. Results of the regression analyses between quartiles of urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and infant birth weight (g) are presented in Table 3 (adjusted for gestational age, mother's education, race, BMI, age, infant sex). In the overall population, no significant associations were seen between urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and birth weight after adjustment for potential confounders. In fact, significant associations were seen between certain urinary phthalate metabolites and lower birth weight, as previously published in this study population (Ferguson, Meeker et al. 2016). When stratifying by maternal glucose tolerance status, there was a positive association between MCPP and birth weight among women with higher glucose levels ( 140mg/dL); however, the estimate was imprecise (Q4 v. Q1:  $\beta$ = 569.2 grams; 95% CI: 14.1, 1178.2). Further, as MCPP is a non-specific metabolite of several high and low molecular weight phthalates, the association between MCPP and birth weight in the high glucose group may not clearly be attributed to one specific phthalate parent compound. No other positive associations were seen with other phthalate metabolites and birth weight, regardless of maternal glucose tolerance status. All tests for interactions by maternal glucose status were insignificant (p 0.10). For our secondary analysis, we did not find any significant associations between urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and LGA across categories of maternal glucose tolerance status (data not shown).

When conducting sensitivity analyses, visit-specific associations for urinary phthalate metabolites and birth weight in the overall population and stratified by maternal glucose tolerance status did not show any statistical significance (data not shown). None of the urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations were associated with higher birth weight, when stratifying by maternal BMI only (See supplemental table 1). Additional tests for interaction by maternal BMI were also insignificant. Associations were similar in sex-stratified analyses (See supplemental table 1). (No associations were seen when evaluating visit-specific urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and infant birth weight. (See supplemental tables 2–5).

## 4. Discussion:

In this study, we hypothesized that higher urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations during pregnancy would be associated with higher birth weight infants among women with risk factors related to LGA—specifically, elevated maternal glucose levels from the GDM screening tests conducted as a part of standard care. Contrary to our hypothesis, most associations were null for urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and infant birth weight when stratifying by maternal glucose tolerance. Furthermore, no associations were seen for urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and LGA based on maternal glucose levels from the GLT.

Previous studies have evaluated pregnancy urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and their associations with birth weight not accounting for a major driver of fetal growth maternal glucose levels (Huang, Kuo et al. 2009, Zhang, Lin et al. 2009, Ferguson, Meeker et al. 2016). Studies that have evaluated the association between urinary phthalate metabolites and birth weight have taken into account that phthalates might be potent reproductive toxicants, operating through multiple pathways, including hormonal(Johns, Ferguson et al. 2016) and inflammatory(Ferguson, Cantonwine et al. 2014) pathways. In addition to being reproductive toxicants, phthalates are also thought to be and metabolic disruptors, altering obesity risk and associated metabolic outcomes, (Heindel, Blumberg et al. 2017)with implications for growth. Mechanistically, phthalates could alter obesity through a variety of pathways including their ability to bind to peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptors (PPAR). (Desvergne, Feige et al. 2009, Casals-Casas and Desvergne 2011) With this, they could upregulate adipogenesis and alter glucose metabolism and fat accretion, with implications for birth weight and growth over time.

In some studies evaluating phthalates and birth weight, certain urinary metabolites, including metabolites of DEHP, di-butyl phthalate and butyl benzyl phthalate, were found to be associated with low birth weight, this includes data previously published from the same study population (Casas, Valvi et al. 2016, Ferguson, Meeker et al. 2016). However, a separate study did not show any associations with urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations (Zhang, Lin et al. 2009) and one study showed higher birth weight for metabolites of di-butyl phthalate (Watkins, Milewski et al. 2016). In rodents, prenatal exposure to phthalates has been shown to lead to changes in birth weight (Wolff, Engel et al. 2008). For example, rats exposed for two generations to high butyl-benzyl phthalate diet had lower birth weight. However, this finding was seen in male offspring only (Tyl, Myers et al. 2004). The present study did not find sex differences in the stratified regression analyses (Table S1). Furthermore, this previous animal study had exposure levels that were much higher than what is observed in humans (Zota, Calafat et al. 2014). The present study's findings evaluated low dose exposures accounting for a major driver of fetal growth maternal glucose levels. Yet, for the majority of urinary phthalate metabolites, we did not see any associations with birth weight, despite previous studies showing associations between pregnancy phthalate metabolite concentrations and higher maternal glucose levels (James-Todd, Stahlhut et al. 2012, James-Todd, Meeker et al. 2016).

One possible reason for phthalate metabolites not being associated with increased birth weight in the present study could be a canceling out effect. More specifically, phthalates have been found to be associated with elevated glucose levels in pregnant and non-pregnant populations (Stahlhut, van Wijngaarden et al. 2007, James-Todd, Stahlhut et al. 2012, Shapiro, Dodds et al. 2015). They have also been associated with lower birth weight in general populations, not accounting for degree of maternal glucose intolerance (Zhang, Lin et al. 2009, Casas, Valvi et al. 2016, Ferguson, Meeker et al. 2016). Yet in the context of mothers with higher glucose levels, which typically is associated with fetal overgrowth, this reduction in maternal glucose levels may be associated with adequate rather than excess fetal growth. In other words, higher phthalate exposure may work as a "brake" on overall fetal growth among women with elevated glucose levels in pregnancy, which could result in normal birth weights, rather than higher birth weights and LGA. Interestingly, the majority of the point estimates for this study suggest inverse associations, similar to the majority of previous findings that pregnancy phthalate exposure may be associated with reduced birth weight, including a previous study from this population (Zhang, Lin et al. 2009, Ferguson, McElrath et al. 2014, Ferguson, Meeker et al. 2016). However, our findings did not reach statistical significance suggesting that the excess glucose exposures may mitigate some of the weight reducing effects of urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations in utero.

The finding for MCPP and higher infant birth weight among women with glucose levels 140mg/dL on the GLT suggests that this phthalate metabolite may be affecting birth weight through the mechanism of modifying glucose levels during pregnancy. However, while we found this association, we also note that MCPP is a non-specific phthalate metabolite of several low and high molecular weight phthalates, which implies that this association may not be attributed to any one specific parent compound. Further, it needs to be interpreted with caution owing to the wide confidence intervals, which could be attributed to the small number of women with high glucose levels in the highest quartile of MCPP (n=3). Thus, we have limited power to examine this association and the present finding could be due to chance. That said, larger studies are needed to further evaluate this question.

This study has several limitations. First, spot urine samples were used to assess this nonpersistent chemical exposure with potential implications for exposure misclassification due to intra-person variability, given the short half-life of these chemicals (Frederiksen, Kranich et al. 2013). However, we used multiple urine samples averaged across up to four time points in pregnancy to improve reliability and assessment of urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations. Second, due to small sample size, we were unable to evaluate LGA or macrosomia as primary outcomes and instead used continuous birth weight in grams. More extreme birth weight measures may have yielded different results. However, in a secondary data analysis of LGA defined as >90<sup>th</sup> percentile, we found directionally similar associations to those using continuous birth weight. Third, we were unable to adjust for diet and physical activity, which are lifestyle factors known to be associated with higher birth weight. However, we were able to adjust for other maternal lifestyle and infant characteristics, such as smoking, alcohol use, and infant sex. Finally, our sample size was somewhat limited for assessing effect modification by maternal glucose tolerance status. While this may have limited the power to detect associations, noted most by the wide confidence intervals, we did find statistically significant positive associations in the stratified analyses for MCPP

metabolites with higher birth weight. However, as MCPP is a non-specific metabolite for several high and low molecular weight phthalates, the association between MCPP and birth weight in the high glucose group may not clearly attributed to one certain phthalate.

Despite these limitations, this study had several strengths. First, to our knowledge this is the first study to evaluate a sequela of non-diabetic glucose intolerance in pregnancy on infant birth weight as it relates to urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations. A number of papers have shown associations between phthalates and other endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) as it relates to pregnancy glucose levels (James-Todd, Meeker et al. 2016, Chiu, Mínguez-Alarcón et al. 2017, Bellavia, Cantonwine et al. 2018). As such, infant birth weight may be an important downstream outcome to consider. Second, we accounted for the low to moderate reliability of urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations by averaging urinary phthalate metabolites across multiple time points during. Third, we were able to adjust for a number of known risk factors for higher birth weight, including maternal obesity status.

## 5. Conclusion

Counter to our original hypothesis, we did not find associations between urinary phthalate metabolites and higher birth weight among women at higher risk of LGA—those with elevated glucose levels in pregnancy. While previous studies have found associations between phthalates and elevated maternal glucose, the present findings suggest that infants born to mothers with higher glucose levels in pregnancy may not be at increased risk of higher birth weight. Larger prospective studies are needed to further investigate this research question. If true, phthalates may alter fetal growth in women with glucose intolerance in pregnancy by mitigating some of the impact of excess glucose exposure and fetal overgrowth that traditionally impacts risk of higher birth weight and LGA.

# Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# Funding

This work was supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences [grant numbers R01ES026166, R01ES018872, P30ES017885, P30ES000002 and T32ES007069]; National Institute of Health [grant number ZIAES103321] and the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute [Grant number K24RR018613]. Funding for Ferguson KK was provided by the Intramural Research Program of NIEHS, NIH

## **References:**

- American Diabetes, A. (2009). "Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus." Diabetes Care 32 Suppl 1: S62–67. [PubMed: 19118289]
- Bellavia A, Cantonwine DE, Meeker JD, Hauser R, Seely EW, McElrath TF and James-Todd T (2018). "Pregnancy urinary bisphenol A concentrations and glucose levels across BMI categories." Environment International in press.
- Bowers K, Laughon SK, Kiely M, Brite J, Chen Z and Zhang C (2013). "Gestational diabetes, prepregnancy obesity and pregnancy weight gain in relation to excess fetal growth: variations by race/ ethnicity." Diabetologia 56(6): 1263–1271. [PubMed: 23571827]
- Casals-Casas C and Desvergne B (2011). "Endocrine disruptors: from endocrine to metabolic disruption." Annu Rev Physiol 73: 135–162. [PubMed: 21054169]

- Casas M, Valvi D, Ballesteros-Gomez A, Gascon M, Fernandez MF, Garcia-Esteban R, Iniguez C, Martinez D, Murcia M, Monfort N, Luque N, Rubio S, Ventura R, Sunyer J and Vrijheid M (2016).
  "Exposure to Bisphenol A and Phthalates during Pregnancy and Ultrasound Measures of Fetal Growth in the INMA-Sabadell Cohort." Environ Health Perspect 124(4): 521–528. [PubMed: 26196298]
- Catalano PM, McIntyre HD, Cruickshank JK, McCance DR, Dyer AR, Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Trimble ER, Coustan DR, Hadden DR, Persson B, Hod M, Oats JJ and H. S. C. R. Group (2012). "The hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcome study: associations of GDM and obesity with pregnancy outcomes." Diabetes Care 35(4): 780–786. [PubMed: 22357187]
- Cheng YW, McLaughlin GB, Esakoff TF, Block-Kurbisch I and Caughey AB (2007). "Glucose challenge test: screening threshold for gestational diabetes mellitus and associated outcomes." J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 20(12): 903–908. [PubMed: 18050020]
- Chiu Y-H, Mínguez-Alarcón L, Ford JB, Keller M, Seely EW, Messerlian C, Petrozza J, Williams PL, Ye X and Calafat AM (2017). "Trimester-Specific Urinary Bisphenol A Concentrations and Blood Glucose Levels Among Pregnant Women From a Fertility Clinic." The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 102(4): 1350–1357. [PubMed: 28323984]
- Coustan DR, Lowe LP, Metzger BE, Dyer AR, D. International Association of and G. Pregnancy Study (2010). "The Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) study: paving the way for new diagnostic criteria for gestational diabetes mellitus." Am J Obstet Gynecol 202(6): 654 e651–656. [PubMed: 20510967]
- Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Moss JR, McPhee AJ, Jeffries WS, Robinson JS and G. Australian Carbohydrate Intolerance Study in Pregnant Women Trial (2005). "Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes." N Engl J Med 352(24): 2477–2486. [PubMed: 15951574]
- Desvergne B, Feige JN and Casals-Casas C (2009). "PPAR-mediated activity of phthalates: A link to the obesity epidemic?" Mol Cell Endocrinol 304(1–2): 43–48. [PubMed: 19433246]
- Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Bourguignon JP, Giudice LC, Hauser R, Prins GS, Soto AM, Zoeller RT and Gore AC (2009). "Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: an Endocrine Society scientific statement." Endocr Rev 30(4): 293–342. [PubMed: 19502515]
- Donahue SM, Kleinman KP, Gillman MW and Oken E (2010). "Trends in birth weight and gestational length among singleton term births in the United States: 1990–2005." Obstet Gynecol 115(2 Pt 1): 357–364. [PubMed: 20093911]
- Dorman DC, Chiu W, Hales BF, Hauser R, Johnson KJ, Mantus E, Martel S, Robinson KA, Rooney AA, Rudel R, Sathyanarayana S, Schantz SL and Waters KM (2018). "Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of human and animal evidence of prenatal diethylhexyl phthalate exposure and changes in male anogenital distance." J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev 21(4): 207–226. [PubMed: 30199328]
- Ferguson KK, Cantonwine DE, Rivera-Gonzalez LO, Loch-Caruso R, Mukherjee B, Anzalota Del Toro LV, Jimenez-Velez B, Calafat AM, Ye X, Alshawabkeh AN, Cordero JF and Meeker JD (2014). "Urinary phthalate metabolite associations with biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress across pregnancy in Puerto Rico." Environ Sci Technol 48(12): 7018–7025. [PubMed: 24845688]
- Ferguson KK, McElrath TF, Ko YA, Mukherjee B and Meeker JD (2014). "Variability in urinary phthalate metabolite levels across pregnancy and sensitive windows of exposure for the risk of preterm birth." Environ Int 70: 118–124. [PubMed: 24934852]
- Ferguson KK, McElrath TF and Meeker JD (2014). "Environmental phthalate exposure and preterm birth." JAMA Pediatr 168(1): 61–67. [PubMed: 24247736]
- Ferguson KK, Meeker JD, Cantonwine DE, Chen YH, Mukherjee B and McElrath TF (2016).
  "Urinary phthalate metabolite and bisphenol A associations with ultrasound and delivery indices of fetal growth." Environ Int 94: 531–537. [PubMed: 27320326]
- Ferrara A (2007). "Increasing prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus: a public health perspective." Diabetes Care 30 Suppl 2: S141–146. [PubMed: 17596462]
- Figueroa D, Landon MB, Mele L, Spong CY, Ramin SM, Casey B, Wapner RJ, Varner MW, Thorp JM Jr., Sciscione A, Catalano P, Harper M, Saade G, Caritis SN, Sorokin Y, Peaceman AM, Tolosa JE, Eunice H Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child and N. Human Development Maternal-Fetal

Medicine Units (2013). "Relationship between 1-hour glucose challenge test results and perinatal outcomes." Obstet Gynecol 121(6): 1241–1247. [PubMed: 23812458]

- Frederiksen H, Kranich SK, Jorgensen N, Taboureau O, Petersen JH and Andersson AM (2013). "Temporal variability in urinary phthalate metabolite excretion based on spot, morning, and 24-h urine samples: considerations for epidemiological studies." Environ Sci Technol 47(2): 958–967. [PubMed: 23234290]
- Gaudet L, Ferraro ZM, Wen SW and Walker M (2014). "Maternal obesity and occurrence of fetal macrosomia: a systematic review and meta-analysis." Biomed Res Int 2014: 640291. [PubMed: 25544943]
- Group, H. S. C. R. (2009). "Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study: associations with neonatal anthropometrics." Diabetes 58(2): 453–459. [PubMed: 19011170]
- Gu S, An X, Fang L, Zhang X, Zhang C, Wang J, Liu Q, Zhang Y, Wei Y, Hu Z, Chen F and Shen H (2012). "Risk factors and long-term health consequences of macrosomia: a prospective study in Jiangsu Province, China." J Biomed Res 26(4): 235–240. [PubMed: 23554754]
- Gupta Y, Kalra B, Baruah MP, Singla R and Kalra S (2015). "Updated guidelines on screening for gestational diabetes." Int J Womens Health 7: 539–550. [PubMed: 26056493]
- Hauser R and Calafat AM (2005). "Phthalates and human health." Occup Environ Med 62(11): 806–818. [PubMed: 16234408]
- Heindel JJ, Blumberg B, Cave M, Machtinger R, Mantovani A, Mendez MA, Nadal A, Palanza P, Panzica G, Sargis R, Vandenberg LN and Vom Saal F (2017). "Metabolism disrupting chemicals and metabolic disorders." Reprod Toxicol 68: 3–33. [PubMed: 27760374]
- Holtcamp W (2012). "Obesogens: an environmental link to obesity." Environ Health Perspect 120(2): a62–68. [PubMed: 22296745]
- Huang PC, Kuo PL, Chou YY, Lin SJ and Lee CC (2009). "Association between prenatal exposure to phthalates and the health of newborns." Environ Int 35(1): 14–20. [PubMed: 18640725]
- Huang T, Saxena AR, Isganaitis E and James-Todd T (2014). "Gender and racial/ethnic differences in the associations of urinary phthalate metabolites with markers of diabetes risk: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001–2008." Environ Health 13(1): 6. [PubMed: 24499162]
- James-Todd T, Stahlhut R, Meeker JD, Powell SG, Hauser R, Huang T and Rich-Edwards J (2012). "Urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and diabetes among women in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2001–2008." Environ Health Perspect 120(9): 1307–1313. [PubMed: 22796563]
- James-Todd TM, Meeker JD, Huang T, Hauser R, Ferguson KK, Rich-Edwards JW, McElrath TF and Seely EW (2016). "Pregnancy urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and gestational diabetes risk factors." Environ Int 96: 118–126. [PubMed: 27649471]
- James-Todd TM, Meeker JD, Huang T, Hauser R, Ferguson KK, Rich-Edwards JW, McElrath TF and Seely EW (2016). "Urinary phthalate concentrations in pregnancy and risk factors of gestational diabetes." Environ Int 96: 118–126. [PubMed: 27649471]
- Johns LE, Ferguson KK, McElrath TF, Mukherjee B and Meeker JD (2016). "Associations between Repeated Measures of Maternal Urinary Phthalate Metabolites and Thyroid Hormone Parameters during Pregnancy." Environ Health Perspect 124(11): 1808–1815. [PubMed: 27152641]
- Johnsson IW, Haglund B, Ahlsson F and Gustafsson J (2015). "A high birth weight is associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes and obesity." Pediatr Obes 10(2): 77–83. [PubMed: 24916852]
- Koniecki D, Wang R, Moody RP and Zhu J (2011). "Phthalates in cosmetic and personal care products: concentrations and possible dermal exposure." Environ Res 111(3): 329–336. [PubMed: 21315328]
- Landon MB, Spong CY, Thom E, Carpenter MW, Ramin SM, Casey B, Wapner RJ, Varner MW, Rouse DJ, Thorp JM Jr., Sciscione A, Catalano P, Harper M, Saade G, Lain KY, Sorokin Y, Peaceman AM, Tolosa JE, Anderson GB, Eunice H Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child and N. Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units (2009). "A multicenter, randomized trial of treatment for mild gestational diabetes." N Engl J Med 361(14): 1339–1348. [PubMed: 19797280]

- Lewis RC, Meeker JD, Peterson KE, Lee JM, Pace GG, Cantoral A and Tellez-Rojo MM (2013). "Predictors of urinary bisphenol A and phthalate metabolite concentrations in Mexican children." Chemosphere 93(10): 2390–2398. [PubMed: 24041567]
- Lord C (2013). "Fetal and sociocultural environments and autism." Am J Psychiatry 170(4): 355–358. [PubMed: 23545788]
- McElrath TF, Lim KH, Pare E, Rich-Edwards J, Pucci D, Troisi R and Parry S (2012). "Longitudinal evaluation of predictive value for preeclampsia of circulating angiogenic factors through pregnancy." Am J Obstet Gynecol 207(5): 407 e401–407. [PubMed: 22981320]
- Oken E, Kleinman KP, Rich-Edwards J and Gillman MW (2003). "A nearly continuous measure of birth weight for gestational age using a United States national reference." BMC Pediatr 3: 6. [PubMed: 12848901]
- Shapiro GD, Dodds L, Arbuckle TE, Ashley-Martin J, Fraser W, Fisher M, Taback S, Keely E, Bouchard MF, Monnier P, Dallaire R, Morisset A and Ettinger AS (2015). "Exposure to phthalates, bisphenol A and metals in pregnancy and the association with impaired glucose tolerance and gestational diabetes mellitus: The MIREC study." Environ Int 83: 63–71. [PubMed: 26101084]
- Silva MJ, Samandar E, Preau JL Jr., Reidy JA, Needham LL and Calafat AM (2007). "Quantification of 22 phthalate metabolites in human urine." J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 860(1): 106–112.
- Stahlhut RW, van Wijngaarden E, Dye TD, Cook S and Swan SH (2007). "Concentrations of urinary phthalate metabolites are associated with increased waist circumference and insulin resistance in adult U.S. males." Environ Health Perspect 115(6): 876–882. [PubMed: 17589594]
- Stansfield BK, Fain ME, Bhatia J, Gutin B, Nguyen JT and Pollock NK (2016). "Nonlinear Relationship between Birth Weight and Visceral Fat in Adolescents." J Pediatr 174: 185–192. [PubMed: 27174144]
- Subramaniam A, Jauk VC, Tita A and Harper LM (2015). "Interaction between maternal obesity and 1-hour glucose challenge test results on maternal and perinatal outcomes." Am J Perinatol 32(8): 771–778. [PubMed: 25545448]
- Svensson K, Hernandez-Ramirez RU, Burguete-Garcia A, Cebrian ME, Calafat AM, Needham LL, Claudio L and Lopez-Carrillo L (2011). "Phthalate exposure associated with self-reported diabetes among Mexican women." Environ Res 111(6): 792–796. [PubMed: 21696718]
- Swan SH (2008). "Environmental phthalate exposure in relation to reproductive outcomes and other health endpoints in humans." Environ Res 108(2): 177–184. [PubMed: 18949837]
- Thayer KA, Heindel JJ, Bucher JR and Gallo MA (2012). "Role of environmental chemicals in diabetes and obesity: a National Toxicology Program workshop review." Environ Health Perspect 120(6): 779–789. [PubMed: 22296744]
- Tyl RW, Myers CB, Marr MC, Fail PA, Seely JC, Brine DR, Barter RA and Butala JH (2004)."Reproductive toxicity evaluation of dietary butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP) in rats." Reprod Toxicol 18(2): 241–264. [PubMed: 15019722]
- Watkins DJ, Milewski S, Domino SE, Meeker JD and Padmanabhan V (2016). "Maternal phthalate exposure during early pregnancy and at delivery in relation to gestational age and size at birth: A preliminary analysis." Reprod Toxicol 65: 59–66. [PubMed: 27352641]
- Wolff MS, Engel SM, Berkowitz GS, Ye X, Silva MJ, Zhu C, Wetmur J and Calafat AM (2008). "Prenatal phenol and phthalate exposures and birth outcomes." Environ Health Perspect 116(8): 1092–1097. [PubMed: 18709157]
- Xie M, Wu Y, Little JC and Marr LC (2016). "Phthalates and alternative plasticizers and potential for contact exposure from children's backpacks and toys." J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 26(1): 119– 124. [PubMed: 26531804]
- Zhang Y, Lin L, Cao Y, Chen B, Zheng L and Ge RS (2009). "Phthalate levels and low birth weight: a nested case-control study of Chinese newborns." J Pediatr 155(4): 500–504. [PubMed: 19555962]
- Zota AR, Calafat AM and Woodruff TJ (2014). "Temporal trends in phthalate exposures: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2001–2010." Environ Health Perspect 122(3): 235–241. [PubMed: 24425099]

#### Table 1:

Maternal and infant characteristics stratified by glucose status (n=350)

| Characteristics          | Overall<br>N=350 | Glucose <120(mg/dL)<br>n=198 | Glucose 120-<140(mg/dL)<br>n=47 | Glucose 140 (mg/dL)without GDM <sup>*</sup><br>n=32 |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                          |                  |                              | Mean(+/-SD)                     |                                                     |
| Maternal age             | 31.9(5.4)        | 31.3 (5.6)                   | 31.6 (5.4)                      | 33.7 (5.7)                                          |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 25.9(5.6)        | 25.3 (5.3)                   | 27.0 (6.1)                      | 27.2(5.2)                                           |
| Birth weight (g)         | 3397.5(471.2)    | 3326.9 (459.9)               | 3456.6 (450.7)                  | 3569.3(484.7)                                       |
|                          |                  |                              | N(%)                            |                                                     |
| Race                     |                  |                              |                                 |                                                     |
| -Non-Hispanic white      | 206 (59)         | 115 (58)                     | 28 (60)                         | 16 (50)                                             |
| -Non-Hispanic black      | 55 (16)          | 38 (19)                      | 7 (15)                          | 3 (9)                                               |
| -Non-Hispanic Asian      | 19 (5)           | 4 (2)                        | 4 (8)                           | 4 (13)                                              |
| -Hispanic                | 50 (14)          | 29 (15)                      | 6 (13)                          | 7 (22)                                              |
| -Other                   | 20 (5)           | 12 (6)                       | 2 (4)                           | 2 (6)                                               |
| Education                |                  |                              |                                 |                                                     |
| < College                | 99(29)           | 62 (32)                      | 18 (39)                         | 8 (27)                                              |
| College                  | 241(71)          | 133 (68)                     | 29 (61)                         | 22 (73)                                             |
| Alcohol use              |                  |                              |                                 |                                                     |
| - No                     | 324(95)          | 182 (93)                     | 47 (100)                        | 28 (88)                                             |
| - Yes                    | 19(55)           | 14 (7)                       | 0                               | 4 (12)                                              |
| Smoking                  |                  |                              |                                 |                                                     |
| -No                      | 331(95)          | 188 (95)                     | 43 (91)                         | 30 (94)                                             |
| -Yes                     | 19(55)           | 10 (5)                       | 4 (9)                           | 2 (6)                                               |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) |                  |                              |                                 |                                                     |
| <25                      | 187(0.5)         | 111 (57)                     | 24 (52)                         | 11 (34)                                             |
| 25-<30                   | 94(0.3)          | 53 (27)                      | 11 (24)                         | 14 (44)                                             |
| 30                       | 65(0.2)          | 32 (16)                      | 11 (24)                         | 7 (22)                                              |
| Infant sex               |                  |                              |                                 |                                                     |
| -Female                  | 156(45)          | 84 (42)                      | 21 (45)                         | 15 (47)                                             |
| -Male                    | 194(55)          | 114 (58)                     | 26 (55)                         | 17 (53)                                             |
| LGA                      |                  |                              |                                 |                                                     |
| -No                      | 315 (90)         | 185(93)                      | 42 (89)                         | 25(78)                                              |
| -Yes                     | 35(10)           | 13(7)                        | 5 (11)                          | 7(22)                                               |

\* Excludes 24 women with diagnosed GDM

#### Table 2:

Average specific gravity-adjusted urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations stratified by pregnancy glucose status

|                   | Overall             | Glucose <120 (mg/dL)<br>n=198 | Glucose 120-<140 (mg/dL)<br>n=47 | Glucose 140 (mg/dL) without GDM n=32 |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Phthalates (µg/L) |                     | Ge                            | ometric means (95% CI)           |                                      |
| MEP               | 121.5 (106.9–138.0) | 115.8(98.9–135.6)             | 132.6 (88.5, 198.8)              | 140.9(91.6–216.8)                    |
| MBP               | 15.2 (14.2–16.3)    | 15.7(14.2, 17.3)              | 15.1(13.0, 17.5)                 | 14.4 (11.2–18.6)                     |
| MiBP              | 6.5 (6.1–7.0)       | 6.5 (5.9, 7.1)                | 7.1(5.7, 8.9)                    | 6.5(4.7-8.9)                         |
| MBzP              | 6.0 (5.5–6.7)       | 6.3 (5.5, 7.3)                | 6.6(5.0, 8.6)                    | 4.9 (3.7–6.7)                        |
| МСРР              | 1.7 (1.6–1.9)       | 1.9 (1.7, 2.1)                | 1.8(1.6,2.2)                     | 1.3 (1.1–1.7)                        |
| DEHP              | 0.3 (0.2–0.3)       | 0.3 (0.2–0.4)                 | 0.3 (0.2,0.4)                    | 0.3 (0.2–0.4)                        |
|                   |                     |                               |                                  |                                      |

Author Manuscript

# Table 3:

Regression coefficients (95% CIs) for associations between quartiles of urinary phthalate metabolites (ug/L) and infant birth weight (g) in overall population and stratified by maternal glucose tolerance status

|                              | Over<br>N=3            | all<br>50             | Glucose <120 (mg/dL)<br>n=198 | Glucose 120-<140(mg/dL)<br>n=47 | Glucose 140 without GDM (mg/dL)<br>n=32 |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Phthalates µg/L <sup>a</sup> | Unadjusted             | Adjusted <sup>*</sup> | Adjusted <sup>*</sup>         | Adjusted <sup>*</sup>           | Adjusted*                               |
|                              |                        | Difference in b       | irth weight in grams          |                                 |                                         |
| MEP                          |                        |                       |                               |                                 |                                         |
| Q1 (<3.9)                    | Ref                    | Ref                   | Ref                           | Ref                             | Ref                                     |
| Q2 (3.9-<4.7)                | 13.1(-128.2,154.4)     | 13.6(-117.7, 145.0)   | 105.0 (-64.8, 275.0)          | 48.1 (-330.8, 427.1)            | 206.1 (-351.0,763.3)                    |
| Q3 (4.7-<5.5)                | -117.5(-263.4,28.3)    | -89.2 (-227.2, 48.7)  | -12.7 (-197.5, 171.9)         | -144.4 (-570.4, 281.5)          | $-58.4 \ (-644.9, 528.0)$               |
| Q4 ( 5.5)                    | -60.9 (-202.6, 80.7)   | -57.4 (-195.2, 80.3)  | -33.7 (-223.0, 155.6)         | 48.6 (-350.3,447.6)             | 179.2 (-367.5, 726.0)                   |
| MBP                          |                        |                       |                               |                                 |                                         |
| Q1 (<2.3)                    | Ref                    | Ref                   | Ref                           | Ref                             | Ref                                     |
| Q2 (2.3-<2.6)                | 22.9 (-123.0, 169.0)   | -38.5 (-175.7, 98.7)  | $0.7 \ (-183.5, 185.0)$       | -163.1 (-544.8,218.6)           | 50.8 (-392.3, 494.1)                    |
| Q3 (2.6-<3.0)                | -127.4 (-264.0, 10.5)  | -93.9 (-223.7, 35.8)  | -40.3 (-215.3, 134.6)         | 20.0 (-371.5,411.6)             | -40.7 (-458.6, 377.2)                   |
| Q4 (>3.0)                    | -161.9 (-296.8, -26.9) | -93.6 (-231.1, 43.8)  | -54.4 (-238.1, 129.2)         | -267.9 (-673.0,137.2)           | 268.8 (-217.7, 755.4)                   |
| MibP                         |                        |                       |                               |                                 |                                         |
| Q1 (<1.4)                    | Ref                    | Ref                   | Ref                           | Ref                             | Ref                                     |
| Q2 (1.4-<1.8)                | 38.9 (-110.9,188.8)    | 49.2 (-87.8, 186.3)   | 139.0 (-48.1, 326.1)          | -135.4 (-518.5,247.6)           | 172.1 (-256.5, 600.7)                   |
| Q3 (1.8-<2.2)                | -54.4(-193.3, 84.4)    | -34.2 (-165.8, 97.4)  | 93.1 (-78.7, 265.0)           | 12.0 (-423.3,447.4)             | 191.2 (-308.3, 690.7)                   |
| Q4 (>2.2)                    | -43.3 (-182.2,95.5)    | 29.0 (-110.3, 168.5)  | 76.9 (-108.1, 262.0)          | -80.5(-488.4,327.3)             | 233.1 (-220.0, 686.2)                   |
| MBZP                         |                        |                       |                               |                                 |                                         |
| Q1 (<1.1)                    | Ref                    | Ref                   | Ref                           | Ref                             | Ref                                     |
| Q2 (1.1-<1.6)                | -38.3 (-187.6, 10.9)   | 42.7 (-93.5, 178.9)   | 78.0 (-102.4, 258.5)          | -239.8 (-676.8,197.1)           | -258.2 (-740.5, 224.0)                  |
| Q3 (1.6-<2.2)                | -11.5 (-157.6, 134.5)  | 45.7 (-88.6, 180.2)   | 56.8 (-122.6, 236.2)          | -260.0 (-678.2, 158.1)          | -248.4(-726.9,230.0)                    |
| Q4 (>2.2)                    | -57.5(-203.3, 88.3)    | 46.0 (-97.2, 189.3)   | 108.7 (-76.1, 293.6)          | -294.2 (-745.9, 157.5)          | 38.7(-532.5, 609.8)                     |
| MCPP                         |                        |                       |                               |                                 |                                         |
| Q1 (<0.04)                   | Ref                    | Ref                   | Ref                           | Ref                             | Ref                                     |
| Q2 (0.04-<0.45)              | 59.0 (-80.7, 198.9)    | 122.5 (-6.8, 251.8)   | 125.2 (-45.0, 295.4)          | -7.9 (-422.1, 406.1)            | 146.2 (-221.2, 513.7)                   |
| Q3 (0.45-<0.97)              | -36.3 (-175.4, 102.6)  | 11.2 (-129.7, 126.3)  | -21.7 (-195.9,152.3)          | -82.2 (-494.4, 329.9)           | 316.2 (-80.3,712.7)                     |

|                              | Over<br>N=35           | all<br>50             | Glucose <120 (mg/dL)<br>n=198 | Glucose 120-<140(mg/dL)<br>n=47 | Glucose 140 without GDM (mg/dL) n=32 |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Phthalates μg/L <sup>α</sup> | Unadjusted             | Adjusted <sup>*</sup> | Adjusted*                     | Adjusted <sup>*</sup>           | Adjusted*                            |
|                              |                        | Difference in b       | oirth weight in grams         |                                 |                                      |
| Q4 (>0.97)                   | -175.1 (-314.2, -36.1) | -112.6 (-251.0, 6.1)  | -89.3 (-251.0, 72.2)          | -421.9 (-854.8, 10.9)           | 596.22 (14.1,1178.2)                 |
| DEHP                         |                        |                       |                               |                                 |                                      |
| Q1 (<-1.6)                   | Ref                    | Ref                   | Ref                           | Ref                             | Ref                                  |
| Q2 (1.6-<-1.1)               | 76.1 (-64.7,216.9)     | 80.6 (-50.9, 212.1)   | 172.6 (5.1, 340.1)            | -152.2 (-526.9, 222.4)          | 148.54 (-470.4,767.5)                |
| Q3 (-1.1-<-0.6)              | 73.0 (-59.7,205.7)     | 22.5 (-100.9, 146.0)  | 110.4 (-53.0, 274.0)          | -85.6 (-460.0, 288.7)           | 208.03 (-218.2, 634.2)               |
| Q4 (>-0.6)                   | -45.0(-186.4,96.2)     | -63.7 (-193.9, 66.4)  | -11.9(-179.1, 155.3)          | -358.8 (-726.6, 8.9)            | -17.1 ( $-583.3$ , $549.0$ )         |

Adjusted for gestational age, mother's education, race, BMI, age, infant sex

 $^a\!\mathrm{GG}$  -adjusted, log transformed quartiles for phthalate metabolites

Noor et al.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript