Development and Elucidation of a Pd-Based Cyclization–Oxygenation Sequence for Natural Product Synthesis

Heng Yi[+], Pengfei Hu[+], Scott A. Snyder[*] [Prof. Dr.]
Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, 5735 S. Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637 (USA)

Abstract

Pd-catalyzed sequences involving oxidative addition, cyclization, and termination through intermolecular nucleophile capture have tremendous utility. Indeed, they can generate a plethora of different polycyclic structures possessing a diverse range of functionality. However, one area of deficiency for Pd^0/Pd^{II} variants is the ability to conclude them with oxygen-based species. Inspired by the recent discovery of one such reaction in the course of a total synthesis program, we delineate herein that it has significant strength, both in terms of substrate scope as well as the terminating oxygen nucleophile. As a result, the reaction proved critical in achieving total syntheses of two oxygenated natural products, one of which was prone to over-oxidation. Finally, a mechanistic proposal that accounts for its success is provided.

Keywords

catalysis; oxygenation; Pd; total synthesis; triquinanes

Among terpene-based natural products, there are several triquinanes and related congeners[1] including (–)-presilphiperfolan-8-ol (**1**),[2] which possess a 1,3-*trans* stereochemical arrangement of substituents at the fusions of their varied ring systems (Scheme 1, colored in blue). Given that structural homology, our group has been interested in developing a cohesive Pd-catalyzed cyclization approach to fashion the diverse targets possessing such patterning. Our previous work on the total synthesis of presilphiperfolan-8-ol (**1**),[3] and other literature precedents,[4] have demonstrated that the desired 1,3-*trans* selectivity could be achieved through a Pd-mediated migratory insertion step (from **4** to **5**). However, further extensions of the process,[5] including an intermolecular oxygen nucleophile terminating functionalization (**5** to **6**), are needed to access molecules such as **2**[6] and **3**[7] that possess an oxygen adjacent to the quaternary center.

While seemingly plausible, reports of C—O bond formation from unactivated $C(sp^3)$—Pd^{II} intermediates are rare. Normally, such processes occur via Pd^{IV} intermediates (**7**→**9**),[8] typically requiring the presence of an additional oxidant traditionally incompatible with Pd^0/Pd^{II} catalytic systems. Nevertheless, our hope was that the α-quaternary center in the

alkyl—Pd[II] intermediate **5** might enable its interception by an oxygen nucleophile through intermolecular capture by precluding any intramolecular reactions such as β—H elimination; such a strategy has proven successful in promoting other types of challenging reductive eliminations.[5e-n] In fact, we recently achieved one example of such a functionalization as part of a total synthesis of conidiogenol (**16**)[9] and related family members in which **14** was obtained directly from **13** following an initial C—C bond construction through migratory insertion, leading to a similarly situated quaternary center. [10] Herein, we delineate the development and scope of this overall reaction process, one that is capable of affording a range of architectures with a variety of terminating oxygen-based nucleophiles with complete diastereocontrol. Critically, this method also provides general access to the core carbon skeleton of the botrydial family of natural products,[1b] with two members of this class successfully synthesized in a concise fashion. Furthermore, mechanistic studies provide a sound rationale for the success of the sequence.

Our investigations commenced using alkenyl triflate **17** as the initial test substrate, searching for competent oxygen nucleophiles and ligands in the presence of catalytic Pd-$(OAc)_2$. As shown in Table 1, when Trixiephos[11] was used as the ligand at a 30 mol% loading along with 10 mol% of $Pd(OAc)_2$, only trace product was obtained with NaOAc or KOAc as the oxygen source (entries 1 and 2). By contrast, when the commercially available and highly nucleophilic n-Bu_4NOAc[12] was used, **18** was obtained in 61% yield based on NMR analysis (Entry 3). Pleasingly, a decrease in the ligand loading to 15 mol% led to a further increase in yield to 86% (Entry 4); any additional attenuation in loading level resulted in lower conversion, as did efforts to use less than 3.0 equivalents of n-Bu_4NOAc. Further ligand screening under these parameters ultimately revealed that t-BuMephos[13] afforded the highest yield of **18** (95%, Entry 9). Finally, the reaction temperature could also be lowered to 90 °C with no erosion in material throughput (Entry 10).

Having identified this optimal condition set, we sought next to explore the scope of compatible oxygen nucleophiles using substrate **17** with a range of tetrabutylammonium salts. As shown in Table 2, a wide variety of such salts formed from benzoic acids with electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents proved successful, irrespective of their overall patterning, leading to the formation of products **19–31**. In nearly all cases the yields were high (> 70%), although they were more modest for the two most electron-rich analogs leading to **21** and **22**. For salts with halogen substituents, only those that were not prone to oxidative addition with Pd[0], such as -F and -Cl, proved competent. Gratifyingly, aliphatic acid salts with a tetrabutylammonium counterion worked as well to afford **32–36** smoothly in high yield. Finally, investigations with non-carboxylic acid-based nucleophiles revealed that phenols were not competent unless the pK_a of their acidic proton was in the range of a typical carboxylic acid, as is true for pentafluorophenol (pK_a = 5.5 in H_2O),[14] whose salt afforded a 78% yield of **37**. 3,5-Ditrifluoromethylphenol (pK_a = 8.26 in H_2O),[15] by contrast, did not lead to any desired product. A very modest yield was observed using the salt of the acidic N-oxide HOBt in the reaction leading to **38**. Critical for all these successful cases listed in Table 2 was that the salts (while easily prepared) had to be scrupulously dry, an important issue, as some proved to be hygroscopic if not stored properly (see Supporting Information for detailed procedure).

We next investigated the scope of the alkenyl triflate. Gratifyingly, starting materials with different core skeletons performed effectively under the optimal conditions using *n*-Bu₄NOAc as the terminating nucleophile to deliver a range of fused bicyclic products in high yields and as single diastereomers. Of note, the ring size of the starting alkenyl triflate and the nature and position of substituents on the periphery of that ring showed no impact on reactivity (**39–41**, Table 3). Equally significant, a substrate with an oxygen linkage between the alkenyl triflate and terminating olefin acceptor led to product **42**, containing both an acetate group as well as an ether ring. Moreover, an alkoxymethyl substituted olefin precursor led to the asymmetric formation of a new quaternary center in **43** in which the two oxygen centers were differentiated by the acetate group installed from the reaction. Substrates designed to undergo 6-*exo*-trig cyclization proved to be the most challenging, as the yield for these events, illustrated here for product **44**, were consistently lower than for those formed through 5-*exo*-trig cyclizations. If intramolecular β-hydride elimination was possible, the reaction was unable to deliver product in any useful yield; one example is provided in the Supporting Information section.

Given that final set of results, and in an effort to study the mechanism and deduce what parameters might be key for success of the sequence, deuterium-labeled **45** was prepared and subjected to the standard reaction conditions (Scheme 2). This transformation led to the formation of **46** in 95% yield as a single diastereomer. As such, the formation of a single product provided evidence that a radical mechanism was not at play. To establish the relative stereochemistry of the deuterium-containing carbon, **46** was subsequently treated with K₂CO₃ in MeOH. That operation afforded lactone **47** in 13% yield along with both recovered starting material and hydrolyzed, but non-cyclized material. Critically, nOe studies of **47** revealed that the deuterium atom was *trans* to the methyl group, indicating that the new C—O bond within **46** was formed through a stereoinvertive process; therefore, either an S_N2-type substitution on intermediate **49** or an S_N2′-type reaction with its equilibrium congener cyclopropane **50** would be required for its formation.[16] We believe the latter is more likely, with the generation of a strained ring potentially being a critical element for the reactivity needed for oxygen incorporation by giving the intermediate enhanced reactive character.[17] Evidence for the existence of cyclopropane intermediates was provided by substrate **51**, with functionalization of the intermediate π-allyl species (**52**) proving to be more expedient than cyclopropane ring opening.

As a final demonstration of the power of the developed reaction, we attempted to utilize it for the preparation of natural products of types **2** and **3** (see Scheme 1). As shown in Scheme 3, we were able to access both botrydienal (**3**) as well as an aromatized variant (**58**). Those efforts began with ketone **54**,[3] prepared in one step from (*R*)-pulegone. Subsequent conversion into **55** was achieved in a single pot by effecting oxycarbonylation with Mander's reagent[18] followed by alkenyl triflate formation. With the stage now set for the key Pd-based cyclization, we found that while the reaction proceeded effectively using toluene (35% yield), a superior outcome was obtained using EtOAc instead, with **56** formed as a single diastereomer in 67% yield after 12 h. Subsequent hydrolysis with 1.05 equivalents of K₂CO₃ in MeOH at 23 °C over the course of 10 h afforded **57** in 60% yield; its oxidation with SeO₂ in 1,4-dioxane at 110°C then completed a 5 step synthesis of the

enantiomer of 10-oxodehydrodihydrobotrydial (**58**).[19] Intriguingly, if **56** was treated with an excess of K_2CO_3 (10 equiv) in MeOH under the same conditions, a free alcohol was generated instead which could be smoothly protected as a TBS ether. This specific protecting group proved essential to the subsequent SeO_2-mediated allylic oxidation,[20] as the original acetate or its pivaloate, TIPS, or TMS-protected congeners generally afforded aromatized materials and/or uncharacterized side-products during that oxidation (including attempts with many other oxidants). Finally, dehydration of that newly installed allylic alcohol with the Burgess reagent[21] followed by reduction, standard protecting group removal, and oxidation completed the enantioselective total synthesis of botrydienal (**3**) in 9 steps overall.[22]

In conclusion, inspired by the homology of several natural product architectures and aiming to develop a unified approach to access their differentially functionalized variants, we were able to develop a one-pot Pd-catalyzed cyclization—oxygenation reaction featuring C—O bond formation from an unactivated $C(sp^3)$—Pd^{II} intermediate in a Pd^0/Pd^{II} catalytic cycle. Mechanistic studies have revealed this event to be a stereoinvertive process, with reaction probes revealing wide scope in substrate and nucleophile. Specific applications have ultimately afforded short and enantioselective total syntheses of two sesquiterpenes in the botrydial family. Further efforts to extend this reaction process and apply it to other complex molecules, as well as to develop additional means of terminating functionalization, are the subject of current endeavors.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

References

[1]. For selected reviews, see: a) Mehta G, Srikrishna A, Chem. Rev 1997, 97, 671; [PubMed: 11848885] b)Collado IG, Sánchez AJM, Hanson JR, Nat. Prod. Rep 2007, 24, 674. [PubMed: 17653354]

[2]. For isolation, see: a) Bohlmann F, Zdero C, Jakupovic J, Robinson H, King RM, Phytochemistry 1981, 20, 2239;b)Coates RM, Ho Z, Klobus M, Wilson SR, J. Am. Chem. Soc 1996, 118, 9249;For a review discussing the biosynthesis and chemical synthesis of the presilphiperfolanol family, in particular, see: c) Hong AY, Stoltz BM, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2014, 53, 5248;Angew. Chem 2014,126, 5350.

[3]. Hu P, Snyder SA, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 5007. [PubMed: 28355073]

[4] a). Grigg R, Sansano JM, Santhakumar V, Sridharan V, Thangavelanthum R, Thornton-Pett M, Wilson D, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 11803;b)Overman LE, Abelman MM, Kucera DJ, Tran VD, Ricca DJ, Pure Appl. Chem 1992, 64, 1813.

[5]. For selected reviews on such reactions and subsequent functionalizations, see: a) Negishi E, Copéret C, Ma S, Liou S-Y, Liu F, Chem. Rev 1996, 96, 365; [PubMed: 11848757] b)Grigg R, Sridharan V, J. Organomet. Chem 1999, 576, 65;c)Petrone DA, Ye J, Lautens M, Chem. Rev

2016, 116, 8003; [PubMed: 27341176] d)Ping Y, Li Y, Zhu J, Kong W, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2019,58, 1562;Angew. Chem 2019, 131, 1576;e)For selected examples of recent cyclization and functionalization chemistry, see: Burns B, Grigg R, Santhakumar V, Sridharan V, Steven P, Worakun T, Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 7297;f)Newman SG, Lautens M, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2011,133, 1778; [PubMed: 21265523] g)Liu H, Li C, Qiu D, Tong X, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2011, 133, 6187; [PubMed: 21456537] h)Chen C, Hou L, Cheng M, Su J, Tong X, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2015, 54, 3092;Angew. Chem 2015, 127, 3135;i)Zheng H, Zhu Y, Shi Y, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2014, 53, 11280;Angew. Chem 2014, 126, 11462;j)Kong W, Wang Q, Zhu J, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 16028; [PubMed: 26681502] k)Kong W, Wang Q, Zhu J, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2016, 55, 9714;Angew. Chem 2016, 128, 9866;l)Jiang Z, Hou L, Ni C, Chen J, Wang D, Tong X, Chem. Commun 2017, 53, 4270;m)Lu A, Ji X, Zhou B, Wu Z, Zhang Y, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2018, 57,3233;Angew. Chem 2018, 130, 3287;n)Hosoya Y, Kobayashi I, Mizoguchi K, Nakada M, Org. Lett 2019, 21, 8280. [PubMed: 31592663]

[6]. Fehlhaber H-W, Geipel R, Mercker H-J, Tschesche R, Welmar K, Schönbeck F, Chem. Ber 1974, 107, 1720.

[7]. Kimata T, Natsume M, Marumo S, Tetrahedron Lett 1985, 26, 2097.

[8]. a)For reviews, see: Handbook of Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis (Ed.: Negishi E), Wiley, New York, 2003;b)Lyons TW, Sanford MS, Chem. Rev 2010, 110, 1147; [PubMed: 20078038] c)McDonald RI, Liu G, Stahl SS, Chem. Rev 2011, 111, 2981; [PubMed: 21428440] d)He J, Wasa M, Chan KSL, Shao Q, Yu J-Q, Chem. Rev 2017,117, 8754; [PubMed: 28697604] e)Xu Y, Dong G, Chem. Sci 2018, 9,1424; [PubMed: 29675189] f)For selected examples, see: Desai LV, Hull KL, Sanford MS, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004, 126, 9542; [PubMed: 15291549] g)Giri R, Liang J, Lei J, Li J, Wang D, Chen X, Naggar IC, Guo C, Foxman BM, Yu J-Q, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2005, 44, 7420;Angew. Chem 2005,117, 7586;h)Camasso NM, Pérez-Temprano MH, Sanford MS, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2014, 136, 12771. [PubMed: 25157901]

[9]. Hu P, Chi HM, Debacker KC, Gong X, Keim JH, Hsu IT, Snyder SA, Nature 2019, 569, 703. [PubMed: 31022719]

[10]. In perhaps the closest example to this process, the Mulzer group reported a Pd-catalyzed cyclization and C—O bond formation reaction on an advanced intermediate in their studies towards bielschowskysin. However, they utilized ligand-free conditions significantly different from those reported herein. In addition, their system tolerates halides, which are not compatible in our reaction. The authors proposed a distinct mechanism involving acetoxypalladation, cyclization, and β-Br elimination processes, all as a Pd^{II}-based system, not a Pd^{0}/Pd^{II} cycle. However, it should be noted that since no mechanistic experiments were performed, a pathway akin to that presented herein cannot be ruled out: Himmelbauer M, Farcet J-B, Gapnepain J, Mulzer JA, Org. Lett 2013, 15, 3098. [PubMed: 23724910] ^{IIOII}

[11]. a)For a review of the power of several ligands developed by the Buchwald group, see: Surry DS, Buchwald SL, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2008, 47, 6338;Angew. Chem 2008, 120, 6438;b)For uses of Trixiephos, see: Torraca KE, Kuwabe S-I, Buchwald SL, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2000, 122, 12907.

[12]. a)Intriguingly, the same oxygen source was the only one indicated as competent in early work by Shibasaki to functionalize activated π-allyl based systems: Kagechika K, Shibasaki M, J. Org. Chem 1991, 56, 4093;b)Ohshima T, Kagechika L, Adachi M, Sodeoka M, Shibasaki M, J. Am. Chem. Soc 1996, 118, 7108.

[13]. For the development and use of *t*-BuMephos, see: Fox JM, Huang X, Chieffi A, Buchwald SL, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2000, 122, 1360.

[14]. Gasbarri C, Angelini G, RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 17840.

[15]. Kütt A, Movchun V, Rodima T, Dansauer T, Rusanov EB, Leito I, Kaljurand I, Koppel J, Pihl V, Koppel I, Ovsjannikov G, Toom L, Mishima M, Medebielle M, Lork E, Röschenthaler G-V, Koppel IA, Kolomeitsev AA, J. Org. Chem 2008, 73, 2607. [PubMed: 18324831]

[16] a). Brown A, Grigg R, Ravishankar T, Thornton-Pett M, Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 2753;b)Grigg R, Sakee U, Sridharan V, Sukirthalingam S, Thangavelauthum R, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 9523.

[17]. McCormick JP, Barton DL, J. Org. Chem 1980, 45, 2566.

[18] a). Mander LN, Sethi SP, Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 5425;b)Mander LN, Shing TKM, Yeung YY, Lujan-Montelongo JA, Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, 2018, 10.1002/047084289X.rm168.pub3.

[19]. a)For its original isolation and characterization, see: Colmenares AJ, Durán-Patrón RM, Hernández-Galán R, Collado IG, J. Nat. Prod 2002, 65, 1724; [PubMed: 12444715] b)For its first chemical synthesis as achieved over 9 steps, which includes a revision of its absolute configuration, see: Qiao C, Zhang W, Han J-C, Li C-C, Org. Lett 2016, 18, 4932. [PubMed: 27619953]

[20]. Nicolaou KC, Petasis NA, Selenium in Natural Product Synthesis, CIS, Philadelphia, 1984.

[21] a). Atkins GM, Burgess EM, J. Am. Chem. Soc 1968, 90, 4744;b)Taibi P, Mobashery S, Hart AC, Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, 2008, 10.1002/047084289X.rm095m.pub2.

[22]. For the only additional reported synthesis of members of the class (targeting other compounds), see: Qiao C, Zhang W, Han J-C, Dai W-M, Li C-C, Tetrahedron 2019, 75, 1739.

Scheme 1.
Development of a unified approach to varied terpenes of different oxidation states predicated on the development of a unique functionalization of unactivated $C(sp^3)$—Pd^{II} intermediates of type **5**.

Scheme 2.
Key mechanistic analysis of the process using deuterated substrate **45**. a) Pd(OAc)$_2$ (10 mol %), *t*-BuMephos (15 mol%), *n*-Bu$_4$NOAc (3.0 equiv), toluene, 90°C, 5 h, 95%. b) K$_2$CO$_3$ (5.0 equiv), MeOH, 12 h, 13%. c) Pd(OAc)$_2$ (10 mol%), *t*-BuMephos (15 mol%), *n*-Bu$_4$NOAc (3.0 equiv), toluene, 90°C, 5 h, 56%.

Scheme 3.

Total synthesis of botrydienal (**3**) and *ent*-10-oxodehydrodihydrobotrydial (**58**) through the Pd-catalyzed cyclization cascade. Reaction conditions: a) LDA (3.0 equiv), THF, −78 to 0°C, 1.5 h, then HMPA (3.0 equiv), Manders' reagent (3.3 equiv), THF, −78°C, 1 h, then LDA (10 equiv), Tf$_2$0 (10 equiv), −78°C, 4 h, 79%. b) Pd(OAc)$_2$ (10 mol%), *t*-BuMephos (15 mol%), *n*-Bu$_4$NOAc (3.0 equiv), EtOAc, 90°C, 12 h, 67%. c) K$_2$CO$_3$ (10 equiv), MeOH, 23 °C, 10 h, 84%. d) TBSCl (1.5 equiv), imidazole (1.6 equiv), DMF, 23°C, 12 h, 92%. e) SeO$_2$ (4.0 equiv), 1,4-dioxane, 110°C, 12 h, 49%, and 25% aromatization product. f) Burgess reagent (3.0 equiv), toluene, 80°C, 12 h, 96%. g) DIBAL-H (3.0 equiv), CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0°C, 1 h, then TBAF (3.0 equiv), 3 h, 99%. h) (COCl)$_2$ (20 equiv), DMSO (10 equiv), Et$_3$N (30 equiv), CH$_2$Cl$_2$, −78°C, 3 h, 45%. i) K$_2$CO$_3$ (1.05 equiv), MeOH, 23 °C, 10 h, 60%. j) SeO$_2$ (4 equiv), 1,4-dioxane, 110°C, 12 h, 29%, 62% (based on recovered starting material).

Table 1:

Condition screening for a Pd-based cyclization–functionalization.[a]

Entry	Ligand (loading)	Acetate source	Yield [%][b]
1	Trixiephos (30 mol%)	NaOAc	–
2	Trixiephos (30 mol%)	KOAc	4
3	Trixiephos (30 mol%)	n-Bu$_4$OAc	61
4	Trixiephos (15 mol%)	n-Bu$_4$OAc	86
5	Johnphos (15 mol%)	n-Bu$_4$OAc	76
6	t-BuDavephos (15 mol%)	n-Bu$_4$OAc	82
7	t-BuXantphos (15 mol%)	n-Bu$_4$OAc	52
8	dtbpf (15 mol%)	n-Bu$_4$OAc	22
9	t-BuMephos (15 mol%)	n-Bu$_4$OAc	95
10[c]	t-BuMephos (15 mol%)	n-Bu$_4$OAc	96 (95)

[a]Reaction conditions unless otherwise noted: **17** (0.2 mmol), Pd-(OAc)$_2$ (0.02 mmol), ligand (0.03 mmol), acetate source (0.6 mmol), toluene (2 mL), 130°C.

[b]Yields were determined by ^{1}H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard with the yield in parentheses reflecting the yield of isolated product.

[c]Reaction temperature was 90°C.

Table 2:

The scope of the oxygen nucleophile in the Pd-based cyclization.[a,b]

19: R = H [80%]   26: R = 4-CO₂Me [74%]
20: R = 4-Me [79%]   27: R = 4-COPh [92%]
21: R = 4-OMe [37%]   28: R = 4-Cl [70%][c]
22: R = 3-OMe [60%]   29: R = 4-F [90%]
23: R = 4-CHO [89%]   30: R = 3-F [80%]
24: R = 4-CN [78%]   31: R = 2-F [87%]
25: R = 4-CF₃ [97%]

32 [88%]   33 [87%]   34 [92%]

35 [76%]   36 [60%]

37 [78%]   38 [9%]

[a]Reaction conditions unless otherwise noted: **17** (0.1 mmol), Pd-(OAc)₂ (0.01 mmol), *t*-BuMephos (0.015 mol), acetate source (0.3 mmol), toluene (1 mL), 90°C, 5 h.

[b]Yields shown are of isolated products.

[c]Reaction temperature was 130°C.

Table 3:

The scope of the alkenyl triflate in the Pd-based cyclization.[a]

39 [96%]

40 [86%]

41 [73%]

42 [83%]

43 [68%]

44 [24%]

[a]Reaction conditions unless otherwise noted: substrate (0.1 mmol), Pd(OAc)2 (0.01 mmol), t-BuMephos (0.015 mol), n-Bu4NOAc (0.3 mmol), toluene (1 mL), 90°C, 5 h.