



Published in final edited form as:

Palliat Support Care. 2020 June ; 18(3): 322–331. doi:10.1017/S1478951519000920.

Impact of Enucleation on Adult Retinoblastoma Survivors' Quality of Life: A Qualitative Study of Survivors' Perspectives

Smita C. Banerjee^{1,6}, Elaine Pottenger¹, Mary Petriccione¹, Joanne F Chou¹, Jennifer S Ford², Charles A Sklar^{1,6}, Leslie L Robison³, Ruth A Kleinerman⁴, Kevin C Oeffinger⁵, Jasmine H Francis¹, David H Abramson^{1,6}, Ira J Dunkel^{1,6,*}, Danielle Novetsky Friedman^{1,6,*}

¹Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

²Hunter College and The Graduate Center, City University of New York, New York, NY, USA

³St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA 38105

⁴National Cancer Institute, Rockville, MD, USA

⁵Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

⁶Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA

Abstract

Objectives: Retinoblastoma is the most common primary intraocular tumor of childhood with >95% survival rates in the U.S. Traditional therapy for retinoblastoma often included enucleation (removal of the eye). While much is known about the visual, physical, and cognitive ramifications of enucleation, data are lacking about survivors' perception of how this treatment impacts overall quality of life.

Methods: Qualitative analysis of an open-ended response describing how much the removal of an eye had affected retinoblastoma survivors' lives and in what ways in free text, narrative form.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Smita C. Banerjee, Ph.D., Assistant Attending Behavioral Scientist, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 641 Lexington Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10022 USA; Phone: +1-646-888-0011; banerjes@mskcc.org.

Authors' contributions:

Designed research: JSF, CAS, LLR, RAK, KCO, JHF, DHA, IJD, DNF

Performed research: JSF, CAS, LLR, RAK, KCO, JHF, DHA, IJD, DNF

Contributed analytic tools: SCB, JFC, IJD, DNF

Analyzed data: SCB, EP, MP, JFC, IJD, DNF

Wrote the manuscript: SCB, IJD, DNF

Edited the manuscript: All co-authors

*Co-senior authors

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

The study was approved by the Memorial Sloan Kettering (MSK) and National Cancer Institute (NCI) Institutional Review Boards/Privacy Boards.

Consent for publication:

Consent was obtained from all participants in the study.

Availability of data and materials:

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Results: 404 retinoblastoma survivors who had undergone enucleation (bilateral disease= 214; 52% female; Mean age= 44, SD = 11) completed the survey. Survivors reported physical problems (n=205, 50.7%), intrapersonal problems (n=77, 19.1%), social and relational problems (n=98, 24.3%), and affective problems (n=34, 8.4%) at a mean of 42 years after diagnosis. Three key themes emerged from survivors' responses; specifically, they (1) continue to report physical and intrapersonal struggles with appearance and related self-consciousness due to appearance, (2) have multiple social and relational problems, with teasing and bullying being prominent problems, and (3) reported utilization of active coping strategies, including developing more acceptance and learning compensatory skills around activities of daily living.

Significance of Results: This study suggests that adult retinoblastoma survivors treated with enucleation continue to struggle with a unique set of psychosocial problems. Future interventions can be designed to teach survivors more active coping skills (e.g., for appearance-related issues, vision-related issues, and teasing/bullying) to optimize survivors' long-term quality of life.

Keywords

Common sense model; coping strategies; enucleation; illness representation; retinoblastoma

Retinoblastoma is the most common primary intraocular tumor of childhood with the majority of cases occurring prior to five years of age. Survival rates in the United States now exceed 95% (Lu et al., 2019), which has resulted in a growing number of long-term survivors at risk for treatment-related medical and psychosocial morbidity (Ford et al., 2015; Friedman et al., 2016). Historically, to save lives, treatment required enucleation [surgical removal of eye(s)]. While much is known about the visual (Hall, Ceisler, & Abramson, 1999; Kelly et al., 2014), physical (Aggarwal, Singh, Kumar, & Alvi, 2013, Custer et al., 2003, Kaste et al., 1997, Peylan-Ramu et al., 2001), and cognitive (Ek et al., 2002, Willard et al., 2014) ramifications of enucleation, data are lacking about survivors' perception of how this treatment impacts overall quality of life.

We asked retinoblastoma survivors to describe the ways in which enucleation had impacted their lives. Using a qualitative approach under the purview of Leventhal's Common-Sense Model (CSM; Leventhal, Phillips, & Burn, 2016), the current study summarizes survivors' responses and the most common themes elicited therein. The CSM is a dynamic model that emphasizes patients' self-regulative behaviors, such as monitoring blood levels, engaging in physical activity, and changing dietary habits (Leventhal et al., 2016; McAndrew et al., 2008). The CSM provides a conceptual framework that explains processes involved in patients becoming aware of their health threat (e.g., sight difficulties, pain in the eye, discharge from eye), navigating their own emotional responses to the threat (e.g., feeling depressed, annoyed, scared), formulating and creating action plans for addressing the threat (e.g., using a patch to prevent discharge, pain management strategies etc.), and a self-regulative feedback loop that takes into consideration their own experience that influences how efficacious their action plans have been and the controllability versus progression of the health threat (Leventhal et al., 2016). In the current qualitative study, using CSM as a theoretical framework, we describe how retinoblastoma survivors describe their cognitive and emotional representations and coping strategies.

Methods

Participants and Procedure

The Retinoblastoma Survivor Study is a cross-sectional, survey-based study of medical outcomes, psychosocial health, and screening behaviors among adult survivors of retinoblastoma (age 18 years or older at the time of study) previously treated in the New York area. The study design has been previously described (Ford et al., 2015; Friedman et al., 2016). Briefly, eligible participants (N = 987) were sent a survey packet and informed consent by mail, and then contacted by telephone two weeks after mailing to ascertain interest in participation. Interested participants provided consent and then completed the survey by mail or telephone interview; assessments were preferentially completed by telephone regardless of the participant's visual acuity. Enrollment occurred from March 2008-February 2011. A total of 470 participants completed the survey and included 245 females (52%). Most participants were white (n=427, 90.7%), and non-Hispanic (n=434, 92.3%). Two hundred fifty-two participants (n=252, 53.5%) had a history of bilateral disease; a total of 404 participants (85.9%) had one or both eyes removed and represent the subjects of this report (Table 1). The study was approved by the Memorial Sloan Kettering (MSK) and National Cancer Institute (NCI) Institutional Review Boards/Privacy Boards.

Outcome Measure

Survivors with a history of an enucleation (n=404) were asked to describe how much the removal of an eye had affected their life on a five-point scale (A lot/Somewhat/A little/Not very much/Not at all); survivors were then asked to describe how and in what ways in free text, narrative form.

Data Analysis

We utilized inductive approaches for analysis of qualitative data. For examining how much removal of an eye affected each survivor's life, we developed coding categories directly and inductively from the raw data (Hsieh & Shannon, 2005). This process included open coding and creating categories (Elo & Kyngas, 2008). Open coding refers to the analytical process of examining, comparing, and categorizing qualitative data to develop thematic concepts (Glaser & Strauss, 1967) and resulted in a list of 59 different categories, including appearance, pain, limited peripheral vision, lack of depth perception, and others. Next, we followed the procedures for axial coding, which involve coding similar data sequences to foster connections between emerging thematic concepts. This process groups naturally collapsing categories into higher order headings and ultimately led to the emergence of two broad categories from this dataset: *description of the problem* [description of the threat/problem/hurdle faced due to removal of eye(s)] and *coping strategies* [description of behavioral and psychological efforts to master, tolerate, reduce, or minimize the threat/problem/hurdle faced due to removal of eye(s)].

Study participants, who were all treated with at least one enucleation were divided into four groupings based on the following factors combination of disease in one or both eyes (unilateral versus bilateral disease), removal of one or both eyes (unilateral versus bilateral enucleations), and whether an individual had previously received radiation therapy. The four

groups were as follows: (1) unilateral disease with unilateral enucleation (i.e., normal vision in the contralateral eye, which would be intact/untreated; n=190), (2) bilateral disease with bilateral enucleations (i.e., completely blind; n=54), (3) bilateral disease with unilateral enucleation but no/unknown radiation (i.e., one eye enucleated with unknown vision in the intact eye; n=12), and (4) bilateral disease with unilateral enucleation and radiation (i.e., one eye enucleated with questionable vision in the intact eye due to prior exposure to radiation and/or local therapies; n=148). Because of low number of participants in group with no/unknown that would preclude any form of meaningful analyses, we combined participants with bilateral disease with unilateral enucleation with/without radiation. Quantitative analysis included a series of chi-square analyses to examine the association between patient group and gravity of affect, and patient group and type of problems (physical, intrapersonal, social and relational problems, and affective).

Results

Patient Groups and Gravity of Affect

Among 404 retinoblastoma survivors who had one or both eyes surgically removed, 148 (36.9%) reported that it affected their lives “a lot,” 102 (25.4%) somewhat, 44 (11%) a little, 57 (14.2%) not very much, 50 (12.5%) reported that they were not affected not at all, and 3 did not respond. Given the distribution, responses were collapsed into the following three categories describing the gravity of the affect: severe affect (a lot; n=148, 36.9%), moderate affect (combining responses on somewhat and a little; n=146, 36.4%), and low affect (combining responses on not very much and not at all; n=107, 26.7%).

Chi-square analysis indicated a significant association between patient groups and gravity of affect ($\chi^2(4) = 11.65, p < 0.05$). Among participants with history of unilateral disease with unilateral enucleation, 76 (40.2%) survivors reported that removal of eye affected their lives severely; 55 (29.1%) reported moderate affect, and 58 (30.7%) reported low affect. Among participants with bilateral disease and bilateral enucleation, 23 (43.4%) survivors reported that removal of eyes affected their lives severely; 14 (26.4%) reported moderate affect, and 16 (30.2%) reported low affect. Finally, among participants with bilateral disease and unilateral enucleation, 70 (44%) survivors reported severe affect, 56 (35.2%) moderate affect, and 33 (20.8%) reported low affect.

Patient Groups and Type of Problem

Chi-square analyses were carried out between the three patient groups and physical, intrapersonal, social and relational, and affective problems, respectively (Table 2). There was a significant association between patient groups and physical and social/relational problems respectively (but no association between patient groups and intra-personal or affective problems). Physical problems were noted by almost a quarter of participants with bilateral disease and unilateral enucleation (n=93, 23%), compared with 84 (20.8%) participants with unilateral disease with unilateral enucleation, followed by 28 (6.9%) participants with bilateral disease and bilateral enucleation. Social/relational problems were noted by 49 (12.1%) participants with unilateral disease with unilateral enucleation, compared with 44

(10.9%) participants with bilateral disease and unilateral enucleation, and 5 (1.2%) participants with bilateral disease and bilateral enucleation.

Qualitative Analysis

This section is divided into the two subsections based on survivor responses: description of the problem and coping strategies.

Description of the Problem

Participants noted four different types of problems which they attributed to prior enucleation(s): physical, intra-personal, social and relational, and affective. Some of these problems were described as self-limited while others were lifelong challenges (Table 3).

Physical problems.—More than half of survivors (n=205) reported physical problems associated with loss of an eye(s), which refer to problematic and practical physical symptoms that impact quality of life, and included seven sub-themes: vision-related difficulties (n=103, 25.5%), limited options (n=78, 19.3%), prosthesis-related problems (n=33, 8.1%), appearance-based (n=25, 6.2%), general struggles (n = 20, 5%), pain and irritation (n=11, 2.7%), and clumsiness (n=7, 1.7%).

The most frequently reported physical problem were vision- or ocular-related, and included sight difficulties, limited peripheral vision, and lack of depth perception. Sight difficulties referred to general difficulties with vision (n=77, 19.1%) participants. Lack of depth perception, or visual ability to perceive the world in three-dimensions and the ability to determine distances between objects, was also noted as a vision-related struggle (n=22, 5.4%), as was limited peripheral vision (n=13, 3.2%). Participants with limited peripheral vision described themselves as having “tunnel vision” where they could only focus on what was happening directly in front of them.

The second most endorsed physical problem related to limited options in various spheres of daily living, which included driving/transportation, sports, career, and other miscellaneous activities. Survivors most frequently reported problems related to driving/transportation, which not only limited survivors’ mobility but also increased their reliance on others (n=32, 7.9%). Limited ability to play in sports such as basketball, baseball, and tennis was also described (n=28, 6.9%), as was limited ability to pursue specific careers (n=28, 6.9%), including jobs in the military and law enforcement, or being a pilot or astronaut. Survivors also described limited options to engage in activities such as reading, going out, and shopping (n=23, 5.7%).

Third, participants noted multiple struggles related to having an ocular prosthesis, or an artificial eye following enucleation. These problems included issues related to daily care and maintenance of the prosthesis (n=20, 5%), lack of movement in the prosthetic eye (n=8, 2%), discharge from the prosthetic eye (n=8, 2%), and dryness in the eye (n=3, 0.7%).

Fourth, participants reported appearance-based problems (n=25, 6.2%), including difficulty applying make-up, doing hair, and altered appearance. These problems were not discussed from a psychological viewpoint (i.e., making them self-conscious or less confident), but as a

practical hindrance of daily living. Fifth, participants noted general life struggles (without providing any specifics; n=20, 5%) which continued to affect them during adulthood. Sixth, pain as a chronic or ongoing physical problem was described (n = 11, 2.7%), followed by self-reported general clumsiness (n=7, 1.7%) due to poor coordination, movement, or action, which led to bumping into things or people.

Intrapersonal problems.—Nineteen percent of survivors (n=77) reported intrapersonal problems, which included two sub-themes: increased insecurities (n=64, 15.8%) and regular/recurring thought processes (n=19, 4.7%).

The most frequent kind of intrapersonal problems experienced by participants related to increased insecurities, which were further sub-categorized into self-consciousness related to appearance, loss of self-esteem/self-confidence, and changed personality. Self-consciousness related to appearance was described as discomfort, embarrassment, and/or awkwardness with oneself (n=51, 12.6%). Some survivors described their self-consciousness of appearance as more of an issue during childhood, but for others it was a constant source of discomfort. Loss of self-esteem/self-confidence was also described as an intrapersonal issue (n=15, 3.7%), as was introversion as a result of prior enucleation (n=6, 1.5%).

The second most endorsed intrapersonal problem described by participants referred to recurring thought processes, further sub-categorized into increased awareness of loss, inability to imagine a different life, and general effects on thoughts. Loss of an eye gave some survivors heightened awareness that they had a deficiency in life which, for some, led to extra caution in life (n=8, 2%). Some survivors reported an inability to imagine a life without a prosthesis or with both eyes intact, while others described that this pattern of living with one/no eye had become a way of life for them (n=7, 1.7%). Finally, a few participants (n=4, 1%) described general effects on daily thought processes, without going into further detail.

Social and relational problems.—Ninety-eight (24.3%) survivors reported social and relational problems, which refer to general communication issues experienced with people at large and/or issues related to forming and maintaining close relationships. These included both negative and positive impacts on relationships and were divided into four sub-themes: negative impact on social interactions/relationships (n=42, 10.4%), negative reactions from people (n=37, 9.2%), being teased/bullied in school (n=32, 7.9%), and positive impact on personal relationships (n=3, 0.7%).

The most frequent kind of social and relational problems included five sub-themes: general social interactions, discomfort when talking to others, limited opportunities with dating/finding a partner, increased parental protectiveness, and limited parenting opportunities. Survivors described how loss of an eye negatively impacted their interactions with others (n=21, 5.2%). For instance, one survivor described difficulty communicating with others because he/she could not see others' facial reactions and instead had to base interactions on tone of voice. Eye problems also made survivors more self-conscious and uncomfortable in social settings (n=13, 3.2%); this discomfort was accentuated by misunderstandings between survivors and their peers. For instance, an individual might presume that a retinoblastoma

survivor was staring at him/her, when in fact the survivor was trying to focus his/her gaze. Participants also reported that lack of an eye limited their ability to meet romantic partners, and to maintain romantic relationships (n=12, 3%). One survivor noted that her partner would tell her that he could not comprehend her emotions because of “one eye being dead.” For some survivors, their parents had become over-protective and/or over-bearing due to their retinoblastoma treatment during early childhood (n=3, 0.7%). One person noted that their parents’ approach to parenting may have been completely different if they had both eyes intact. Finally, a few survivors (n=3, 0.7%) noted that removal of one eye/both eyes impacted their ability to care for their children/other children in the family.

The second most frequently endorsed social and relational problem related to negative reactions/responses during social interactions, and included four sub-themes: people stare, people form negative judgments, differential treatment, and too much questioning. Participants noted that they were often stared at by others due to their facial difference (n=17, 4.2%). Participants also noted that people often formed negative opinions about them due to their appearance and would get easily annoyed or scared by them (n=11, 2.7%), people treated them differently because of their eye problems (n=8, 2%), or they were frequently asked questions by both children and adults regarding “what happened to their eyes” (n=5, 1.2%).

Survivors also described getting teased, bullied, and called names (n=32, 7.9%) due to prior enucleation(s). Although this problem was largely confined to childhood, the frequency with which it was reported signaled that this behavior had long-lasting impressions on survivors. Finally, a handful of survivors shared that their eye problems had strengthened their close relationships (n=3, 0.7%).

Affective problems.—Affective problems refer to emotions and emotional responses attributed by participants to loss of one or both eyes (n=34, 8.4%). Respondents described three kinds of affective problems overall, ranging from positive affect (n=32, 7.9%) to negative affect (n=25, 6.2%) to general affect (n=4, 1%).

The most frequently endorsed emotions by participants were positive and included: improved acceptance of their condition, particularly in adulthood (n=22, 5.4%); being grateful for their life and visual ability (n=10, 2.5%); and increased patience, empathy, and sensitivity to others (n=3, 0.7%). However, participants also described negative emotions such as: anxiety or uneasiness regarding appearance, acceptance, losing friends (n=8, 2%); sadness, grief and depression (n=5, 1.2%); fear or distress related to injuring their intact eye, losing eyesight completely, or cancer recurrence (n=4, 1%); irritation with the condition of the eye and problems associated with it (n=4, 1%); and being bothered and dissatisfied about their appearance (n=4, 1%). Four patients also noted that loss of one or both eyes affected their lives generally without specifying any special kind of negative or positive affect.

Coping Strategies

Participants noted three different types of coping strategies, or behavioral and psychological efforts to master, tolerate, reduce, or minimize stressful events (Table 4). These strategies

fell into three categories: active coping (n=49, 12.1%), avoidant coping (n=12, 3%), and spiritual coping (n=2, 0.5%).

Active coping.—Seven different kinds of active coping strategies, which refer to utilization of psychological or behavioral efforts to deal with a problem, were described by respondents. The most frequently used was the development of increased strength and resilience to deal with loss of an eye (n=21, 5.2%). One respondent noted, “What doesn’t kill you, makes you stronger.” The second most frequently used active coping strategy involved compensatory skills/alternative methods (n=17, 4.2%); participants described strategies such as adjusting seating to better see another person and walking on the right side of people due to limited vision on the left. Third, respondents also learned to be more creative in finding solutions to problems and “thinking outside the box” (n=7, 1.7%). Fourth, participants reported that they became deliberative and extra-cautious (n=7, 1.7%) to prevent further damage to their intact eye or to protect themselves from physical harm due to limited vision. Fifth, participants (n=4, 1%) started wearing a patch or sunglasses for protection, and sixth, participants described (n=4, 1%) use of physical adaptations such as braille or adaptive sports. Finally, two respondents (.5%) reported that they started spending more time on changing their appearance to draw people’s attention away from their enucleated eye(s). These respondents chose hairstyles that would cover the missing eye and gave the impression that it was a stylistic decision.

Avoidant coping.—Avoidant coping refers to conscious efforts to avoid dealing with the loss of one or both eyes and included three sub-categories. First, respondents avoided thoughts, people and/or situations that made them vulnerable to reactions from strangers (n=6, 1.5%). Some participants noted that they chose not to talk about their history of enucleation/prosthesis (n=5, 1.2%), and one of them mentioned using the “don’t ask, don’t tell” policy regarding their enucleated eye. Finally, a couple of respondents reported that they engaged in harmful behaviors such as overeating to compensate for the prior loss of an eye.

Spiritual coping.—Spiritual coping refers to increased reliance on faith and putting their life in God’s hands to deal with their eye problems. Two respondents (.5%) described spiritual coping strategies.

Discussion

In this study of 404 adult retinoblastoma survivors treated between 1932-1994 and treated with at least one enucleation, we asked affected survivors to explain in narrative form how loss of one or both eyes impacted their lives. Using the established and well-researched CSM, this study provides the first qualitative description of the psycho-social effects of enucleation on retinoblastoma survivors’ quality of life. After a mean of 42 years since diagnosis, almost a third of study participants (n = 148, 36.9 %) still reported that their lives were profoundly affected by loss of one or both eyes due to surgical enucleation, another third (n = 146, 36.4%) reported moderate affect to their lives, and just over a quarter (n=107; 26.7%) reported low affect.

While the impact of treatment on objective measures, such as overall survival (Tamboli, Topham, Singh, & Singh, 2015; Yu et al., 2009), subsequent malignancy risk (Chauveinc et al., 2001; Fidler et al., 2018; Fletcher et al., 2004; Kleinerman et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2011; MacCarthy et al., 2013; Marees et al., 2008, 2010), and even ocular cosmesis (Aggarwal et al., 2013; Mourits et al., 2018) has been studied extensively in patients with retinoblastoma, far less data exist on subjective and psychosocial measures, particularly with regard to the impact of enucleation on long-term quality of life. Quantitative studies on quality of life exist with variable results (Mourits et al., 2018; van Dijk, Huisman, et al., 2007; van Dijk, Imhof, et al., 2007; van Dijk, Oostrom et al., 2007; Zhang, Gao, & Shen, 2018). For instance, a study of 65 children and adolescent retinoblastoma survivors demonstrated that survivors reported very good health-related quality of life (van Dijk, Huisman et al., 2007). However, in a similar study of 87 adult retinoblastoma survivors, survivors reported slightly lower quality of life, specifically regarding mental health (anxiety, feelings of depression, and loss of control) (van Dijk, Imhof et al., 2007).

To address this gap in the literature, we assessed survivors' perception of the impact of enucleation on quality of life. Overall, the study revealed three key findings. First, qualitative analysis of retinoblastoma survivors' own words in narrative form revealed that they continued to report physical problems, intrapersonal problems, social and relational problems, and affective problems. Of importance, participants discussed their physical struggles with appearance, as well as their struggles with self-consciousness due to appearance, many years after completion of therapy. Participants focused not only on how they viewed their physical appearance but also on their thoughts and feelings related thereto. Drawing parallels to body image concerns that many cancer patients and survivors experience (Fingeret, Teo, & Epner, 2013), these responses demonstrate that clinicians caring for retinoblastoma survivors must ask about appearance-related concerns and utilize psychological interventions to help survivors cope with their changed appearance after enucleation.

Second, multiple social and relational problems were described by retinoblastoma survivors, with teasing and bullying being prominent. In other studies of quality of life among retinoblastoma survivors (van Dijk, Imhof, et al., 2007; van Dijk, Oostrom et al., 2007), bullying and teasing have been reported as the main predictors of inferior quality of life. Improving public health knowledge about retinoblastoma specifically, and facial differences more generally, would be an important step to minimize survivors' discomfort and ensure that they are not constantly stared at, given differential treatment, or subjected to too many personal questions. Similarly, when a retinoblastoma survivor returns to school after enucleation, other children should be encouraged to communicate empathically with the survivor.

Finally, in-tandem with CSM, survivors described their own active and avoidant coping skills. Of all coping skills, the most frequently used were active in nature and consisted of becoming stronger and having more acceptance and learning compensatory skills/alternate ways of doing things. These active coping skills signal an effort towards resilience, which should favorably influence survivors' quality of life (Popa-Velea, Diaconescu, Jidveian, & Tru escu, 2017; van Dijk et al., 2009). Psychosocial interventions should encourage

survivors to cultivate these types of active coping skills to improve behavioral and emotional outcomes, and overall quality of life.

Study Limitations

The data for this study is derived from a cross-sectional, survey-based study of adult retinoblastoma survivors, previously treated in the New York area, and therefore cannot be generalized. A longitudinal study of a nationally representative sample of retinoblastoma survivors would yield a deeper understanding of different problems affecting them, and changes over time. The present study inquired about how the removal of an eye/both eyes had affected their lives in an open-text format. A different qualitative approach (an interview or focus group) would have allowed us to go beyond the description of problems faced and coping styles to better understand the ways in which these issues have contributed to participants' current assessment of their own quality of life.

Importantly, many centers have tried to preserve eyes using intra-arterial or intra-vitreous chemotherapy and far fewer eyes are now enucleated in major US centers than just 10 years ago (Abramson et al., 2015), so we would expect fewer contemporarily treated patients to experience loss of one or both eyes. Still, many retinoblastoma patients worldwide are treated with enucleation and will thus face the psychosocial difficulties described by this cohort. Thus, the qualitative descriptions of issues affecting retinoblastoma survivors treated with enucleation remain pertinent and informative for clinicians treating retinoblastoma today.

Clinical Implications

This study describes an empirical way of eliciting retinoblastoma survivor experience as part of a cross-sectional study or a clinical trial. Allowing a narrative format to let patients "tell their story" can enable the health care system to optimize supportive care to patients and families. Additionally, this study highlights the need for psychosocial clinical interventions to help retinoblastoma survivors previously treated with enucleation manage concerns about appearance; deal with teasing/bullying; and learn useful compensatory skills for activities of daily living.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding:

This study was supported by the MSK Cancer Center Support Grant/Core Grant (P30 CA008748), New York Community Trust, the Frueauff Foundation, Perry's Promise Fund, National Cancer Institute [NCI] grant U24 CA55727 (CCSS, GT Armstrong, PI), the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health [NIH], NCI (R Kleinerman), NIH/National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences [NCATS] grant KL2 TR000458 (DN Friedman).

References

Abramson DH, Fabius AW, Issa R, Francis JH, Marr BP, Dunkel IJ, & Gobin YP (2015). Advanced unilateral retinoblastoma: The impact of ophthalmic artery chemosurgery on enucleation rate and patient survival at MSKCC. *PLoS One*, 10(12), e0145436. [PubMed: 26709699]

- Aggarwal H, Singh K, Kumar P, & Alvi HA (2013). A multidisciplinary approach for management of postenucleation socket syndrome with dermis-fat graft and ocular prosthesis: a clinical report. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, 22, 657–660. [PubMed: 23552097]
- Chauveinc L, Mosseri V, Quintana E, Desjardins L, Schlienger P, Doz F, & Dutrillaux B (2001). Osteosarcoma following retinoblastoma: Age at onset and latency period. *Ophthalmic Genetics*, 22(2), 77–88. [PubMed: 11449317]
- Custer PL, Kennedy RH, Woog JJ, Kaltreider SA, & Meyer DR (2003). Orbital implants in enucleation surgery: A report by the American academy of ophthalmology. *Ophthalmology*, 110, 2054–2061. [PubMed: 14522788]
- Ek U, Seregard S, Jacobson L, Oskar K, Af Trampe E, & Kock E (2002). A prospective study of children treated for retinoblastoma: Cognitive and visual outcomes in relation to treatment. *Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica*, 80, 294–299. [PubMed: 12059869]
- Elo S, & Kyngäs H (2015). The qualitative content analysis process. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 62, 107–115.
- Fidler MM, Reulen RC, Winter DL, et al. (2018). Risk of subsequent bone cancers among 69 460 five-year survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer in Europe. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 110(2). doi: 10.1093/jnci/djx165.
- Fingeret MC, Teo I, & Epner DE (2014). Managing body image difficulties of adult cancer patients: Lessons from available research. *Cancer*, 120, 633–641.
- Fletcher O, Easton D, Anderson K, Gilham C, Jay M, & Peto J (2004). Lifetime risks of common cancers among retinoblastoma survivors. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 96, 357–363. [PubMed: 14996857]
- Ford JS, Chou JF, Sklar CA, Oeffinger KC, Friedman DN, McCabe M, et al. (2015). Psychosocial outcomes in adult survivors of retinoblastoma. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 33, 3608–3614. [PubMed: 26417002]
- Friedman DN, Chou JF, Oeffinger KC, Kleinerman RA, Ford JS, Sklar CA, et al. (2016). Chronic medical conditions in adult survivors of retinoblastoma: Results of the Retinoblastoma Survivor Study. *Cancer*, 122, 773–781. [PubMed: 26755259]
- Glaser B, & Strauss A (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Chicago, IL: Aldine.
- Hall LS, Ceisler E, & Abramson DH (1999). Visual outcomes in children with bilateral retinoblastoma. *Journal of the American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus*, 3(3), 138–142.
- Hsieh HF, & Shannon SE (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15, 1277–1288. [PubMed: 16204405]
- Kaste SC, Chen G, Fontanesi J, Crom DB, & Pratt CB (1997). Orbital development in long-term survivors of retinoblastoma. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 15, 1183–1189. [PubMed: 9060562]
- Kelly KR, McKetton L, Schneider KA, Gallie BL, & Steeves JK (2013). Altered anterior visual system development following early monocular enucleation. *Neuroimage Clinical*, 4, 72–81. [PubMed: 24319655]
- Kleinerman RA, Tucker MA, Tarone RE, Abramson DH, Seddon JM, Stovall M, et al. (2005). *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 23, 2272–2279. [PubMed: 15800318]
- Leventhal H, Phillips LA, & Burns E (2016). The Common-Sense Model of Self-Regulation (CSM): A dynamic framework for understanding illness self-management. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 39, 935–946. [PubMed: 27515801]
- Liu JC, Givi B, Wolden S, Kleinerman RA, Dunkel IJ, Lee N, ... Kraus DH (2011). Secondary skull base malignancies in survivors of retinoblastoma: The Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center experience. *Skull Base*, 21(2), 103–108. [PubMed: 22451810]
- Lu JE, Francis JH, Dunkel IJ, Shields CL, Yu MD, Berry JL, et al. (2019). Metastases and death rates after primary enucleation of unilateral retinoblastoma in the USA 2007–2017. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 103, 1272–1277. [PubMed: 30361279]
- MacCarthy A, Bayne AM, Brownbill PA, Bunch KJ, Diggins NL, Draper GJ, et al. (2013). Second and subsequent tumours among 1927 retinoblastoma patients diagnosed in Britain 1951–2004. *British Journal of Cancer*, 108, 2455–2463. [PubMed: 23674091]

- Marees T, Moll AC, Imhof SM, de Boer MR, Ringens PJ, & van Leeuwen FE (2008). Risk of second malignancies in survivors of retinoblastoma: more than 40 years of follow-up. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 100, 1771–1779. [PubMed: 19066271]
- Marees T, van Leeuwen FE, Schaapveld M, Imhof SM, de Boer MR, Kors WA, et al. (2010). Risk of third malignancies and death after a second malignancy in retinoblastoma survivors. *European Journal of Cancer*, 46, 2052–2058. [PubMed: 20400293]
- McAndrew LM, Musumeci-Szabó TJ, Mora PA, Vileikyte L, Burns E, Halm EA et al. (2008). Using the common sense model to design interventions for the prevention and management of chronic illness threats: From description to process. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 13(Pt2), 195–204. [PubMed: 18331667]
- Mourits DL, Hartong DT, Lissenberg-Witte BI, Bosscha MI, Tan HS, & Moll AC (2018) Cosmetic results of enucleation and/or external beam radiation therapy in 195 retinoblastoma survivors. *Acta Ophthalmologica*, 96, 631–640. [PubMed: 29537141]
- Peylan-Ramu N, Bin-Nun A, Skleir-Levy M, Bibas A, Koplewitz B, Anteby L, & Pe'er J (2001). Orbital growth retardation in retinoblastoma survivors: Work in progress. *Medical and Pediatric Oncology*, 37, 465–470. [PubMed: 11745876]
- Popa-Velea O, Diaconescu L, Jidveian Popescu M, & Tru escu C (2017). Resilience and active coping style: Effects on the self-reported quality of life in cancer patients. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 52, 124–136. [PubMed: 28792288]
- Tamboli D, Topham A, Singh N, & Singh AD (2015). Retinoblastoma: A SEER dataset evaluation for treatment patterns, survival, and second malignant neoplasms. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*, 160, 953–958. [PubMed: 26255575]
- van Dijk J, Grootenhuis MA, Imhof SM, Cohen-Kettenis PT, Moll AC, & Huisman J (2009). Coping strategies of retinoblastoma survivors in relation to behavioral problems. *Psychooncology*, 18, 1281–1289. [PubMed: 19222049]
- van Dijk J, Huisman J, Moll AC, Schouten-van Meeteren AY, Bezemer PD, Ringens PJ, et al. (2007). Health-related quality of life of child and adolescent retinoblastoma survivors in the Netherlands. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 5, 65. [PubMed: 18053178]
- van Dijk J, Imhof SM, Moll AC, Ringens PJ, Cohen-Kettenis PT, Rijmen F, et al. (2007). Quality of life of adult retinoblastoma survivors in the Netherlands. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 5, 30. [PubMed: 17547767]
- van Dijk J, Oostrom KJ, Imhof SM, Moll AC, Schouten-van Meeteren AY, Bezemer PD, & Huisman J (2009). Behavioral functioning of retinoblastoma survivors. *Psychooncology*, 18, 87–95. [PubMed: 18613302]
- Willard VW, Qaddoumi I, Chen S, Zhang H, Brennan R, Rodriguez-Galindo C, ... Phipps S (2014). Developmental and adaptive functioning in children with retinoblastoma: a longitudinal investigation. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 32, 2788–2793. [PubMed: 25024069]
- Yu CL, Tucker MA, Abramson DH, Furukawa K, Seddon JM, Stovall M, et al. (2009). Cause-specific mortality in long-term survivors of retinoblastoma. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 101, 581–591. [PubMed: 19351917]
- Zhang L, Gao T, & Shen Y (2018). Quality of life in children with retinoblastoma after enucleation in China. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 65(7), e27024. [PubMed: 29528176]

Table 1.

Demographic and treatment characteristics of 470 adult retinoblastoma survivors

Variable	Overall (n = 404)	Unilateral (n = 190)	Bilateral (n = 214)
Age at study			
Median (range)	44 (18, 77)	44.5 (19, 77)	43 (18, 69)
Mean (SD)	44.0 (11)	44.6 (10.6)	43.5 (10.4)
Gender			
Male	186 (46)	81 (42.6)	105 (49.1)
Female	218 (54)	109 (57.4)	109 (50.9)
INSURANCE			
No	45 (11.1)	28 (14.7)	17 (7.9)
Yes, or Canadian resident	357 (88.4)	161 (84.7)	196 (91.6)
NA	2 (0.5)	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)
INCOME			
< \$20,000/year	36 (8.9)	10 (5.3)	26 (12.1)
\$20,000/year	340 (84.2)	166 (87.4)	174 (81.3)
Don't know/missing	28 (6.9)	14 (7.4)	14 (6.5)
COLLEGE			
Complete high school or less	57 (14.1)	25 (13.2)	32 (15)
Post-high school graduate or some college training	336 (83.2)	160 (84.2)	176 (82.2)
Unknown/Missing	11 (2.7)	5 (2.6)	6 (2.8)
Radiation Therapy			
Yes	213 (52.7)	18 (9.5)	195 (91.1)
No	188 (46.5)	170 (89.5)	18 (8.4)
Unknown/Missing	3 (0.7)	2 (1.1)	1 (0.5)
Chemotherapy			
Yes	112 (27.7)	22 (11.6)	90 (42.1)
No	289 (71.5)	165 (86.8)	124 (57.9)
Unknown/Missing	3 (0.7)	3 (1.6)	0 (0)
Surgery			
Bilateral enucleation	54 (13.4)	0 (0)	54 (25.2)
Unilateral enucleation	350 (86.6)	190 (100)	160 (74.8)

Distribution of Responses Between Patient Groups and Physical, Intra-Personal, Social and Relational, and Affective Problems.

Table 2.

	Physical Problems		Intra-personal Problems		Social/Relational Problems		Affective Problems	
	No n (%)	Yes n (%)	No n (%)	Yes n (%)	No n (%)	Yes n (%)	No n (%)	Yes n (%)
Unilateral disease - Unilateral enucleation	106 (26.2%)	84 (20.8%)	151 (37.4%)	39 (9.7%)	141 (34.9%)	49 (12.1%)	174 (43.1%)	16 (4%)
Bilateral disease -Bilateral enucleation	26 (6.4%)	28 (6.9%)	45 (11.1%)	9 (2.2%)	49 (12.1%)	5 (1.2%)	50 (12.4%)	4 (1%)
Bilateral disease - One enucleation with/without radiation	67 (16.6%)	93 (23%)	131 (32.4%)	29 (7.2%)	116 (28.7%)	44 (10.9%)	146 (36.1%)	14 (3.5%)
Chi-Square	$\chi^2 (2) = 7.76^*$		$\chi^2 (2) = .56$		$\chi^2 (2) = 7.77^*$		$\chi^2 (2) = .09$	

* p < .05

Table 3. Themes and Sub-Themes, Definition, and Supportive Quotes for the Problems faced by Retinoblastoma Survivors

S. No.	Themes and Sub-Themes	Definition of Theme/Sub-Theme (n)*	Supporting Quotes
1.	Vision-related	Problems specific to sight as noted below (103)	
<i>1a.</i>	<i>Sight difficulties</i>	General difficulties with vision (77)	"I also have difficulty seeing." (ID #50001620) "I don't have vision in both eyes" (ID #50003700)
<i>1b.</i>	<i>Lack of depth perception</i>	Lack of visual ability to perceive the world in three dimensions and the distance of an object (22)	"No depth perception..." (ID #50003160) "Don't have depth perception." (ID #50003420)
<i>1c.</i>	<i>Limited peripheral vision</i>	Limited ability for side vision, that occurs outside the very center of gaze (13)	"...peripheral vision is limited" (ID #50000360) "...loss of peripheral vision on right side of head" (ID #50002290)
2.	Limited options	Limited options in various areas as noted below (78)	
<i>2a.</i>	<i>Driving/transportation</i>	Driving limitations, mobility issues, and reliance on others for transportation (32)	"Transportation is always an issue." (ID #50002600) "Cannot drive so immobility and needing other to get me places is always a draw back." (ID #50003380)
<i>2b.</i>	<i>Sports</i>	Limited opportunities to play sports (28)	"Limited in playing certain sports..." (ID #50002720) "I can't play certain sports" (ID #50002890)
<i>2c.</i>	<i>Career</i>	Limited career opportunities (28)	"Limited jobs: military, law enforcement..." (ID #50002720) "I couldn't be a pilot/an astronaut..." (ID #50007430)
<i>2d.</i>	<i>Activities</i>	Limited options to participate in activities such as reading, water skiing, going out, shopping, etc (23)	"Avoided certain activities for safety reasons..." (ID #50000660) "I can't go out at night without help from other people." (ID #50003580)
3.	Prosthetics-related	Struggles related to having prosthetics in various areas noted below (33)	
<i>3a.</i>	<i>Daily care and maintenance</i>	Daily care and regular maintenance of prosthesis (20)	"But with the prosthesis, it took me a long time to be able to pull it out and clean it by myself..." (ID #50006720) "...limited movement with prosthesis..." (ID #50006210)
<i>3b.</i>	<i>Lack of movement</i>	Lack of movement in prosthetic eye (8)	"...also the discharge is bothersome" (ID #50002700)
<i>3c.</i>	<i>Discharge</i>	Tears or discharge from the prosthetic eye (8)	"My eyes are teary and that bothers me." (ID #50003610)
<i>3d.</i>	<i>Dryness</i>	Dryness in the eye (3)	"Sometimes, the eye is dry and blinking is difficult..." (ID #50002150)
4.	Appearance	Struggles with appearance – difficulty putting make-up, altered appearance, etc. [Note: patient did not mention self-consciousness or confidence issues...just noted appearance to be an issue] (25)	"...trying to put makeup on was difficult..." (ID #50000020) "...changed the appearance of face..." (ID #50006560)
5.	General struggles (no specific description)	General struggles with one eye/no eye, but no specific struggles identified (20)	"It has affected every aspect of my life." (ID #50000020) "Effects, has effected, and continues to effect my life in almost every aspect." (ID #50003760)
6.	Pain and irritation	Pain in the eye/eye socket (11)	"...severe amounts of pain." (ID #50001110) "...socket pain..." (ID #50003160)
7.	Clumsiness	Bumping into things or people; general clumsiness (7)	"I run into doorways fairly often" (ID #50003750) "...clumsy, bumping into things" (ID #50009140)

S. No.	Themes and Sub-Themes	Definition of Theme/Sub-Theme (n)*	Supporting Quotes
Intrapersonal Problems (N = 77, 19.1%)			
1.	Increased insecurities	Struggles related to increased consciousness, loss of self-esteem, and increased self-doubt (64)	
<i>1a.</i>	Self-conscious of appearance	Uncomfortable, embarrassed, or awkward with oneself (51)	"Very self-conscious of appearance" (ID #50002000) "Self-conscious as a young adult and child" (ID #50000360)
<i>1b.</i>	Loss of self-esteem/self-confidence	Lack of confidence in one's abilities or one's worth (15)	"Loss of self-confidence, both as a child and as an adult" (ID #50000260) "Shaken self-confidence sometimes" (ID #50001620)
<i>1c.</i>	Changed personality	Shy or introverted personality because of the loss of eye (6)	"Made me reserved..." (ID #50006010) "Shy and introvert" (ID #50006720)
2.	Regular/reoccurring thought processes	Struggles related to regular and reoccurring thoughts about general uncertainties in life, unable to imagine life with one or both eyes, and increased awareness of a loss (19)	
<i>2a.</i>	Increased awareness of loss	Heightened awareness of a loss, which may/may not compound with extra caution in daily life (8)	"...awareness of concept of illness/loss at an early age" (ID #50007470) "Constantly needing to remind oneself about the difference in 2 eyes" (ID #50007810)
<i>2b.</i>	Inability to imagine a different life	Inability to imagine a life where one or both eyes were functioning properly (7)	"Not knowing life with 2 eyes" (ID #50004160) "Can't tell you what it is like to have two seeing eye" (ID #50004840)
<i>2c.</i>	General effects	General effects on daily thought processes (4)	"...mentally" (ID #50001920) "Affected daily thought process" (ID #50004470)
Social and Relational Problems (N = 98, 24.3%)			
1.	Negative impact	Limited or negative impact on social interactions/relationships (42)	
<i>1a.</i>	General social interactions	Eye problems have negatively impacted patient's interactions and communication with others (21)	"affects daily interaction with others, always have to think about which side of people to sit on at a table/meal/meeting..." (ID #50003750)
<i>1b.</i>	Uncomfortable when talking to others	Eye problems made patient self-conscious and uncomfortable in social settings (13)	"because you can't focus, you try to look at people but you think they're looking at your eyes..." (ID #50005990) "people don't think that I'm looking at them when I really am" (ID #50000880)
<i>1c.</i>	Limited opportunities with dating/finding a partner/maintaining romantic relationships	Impacted opportunities with dating, finding a partner, or maintaining romantic relationships (12)	"impacted opportunities with dating" (ID #50000700) "my inability to find a life partner (a wife)" (ID #50003760)
<i>1d.</i>	Increased parental protectiveness	Parents became over-protective (3)	"my parents' protectiveness of me..." (ID #50004510) "it affected the way I was raised (over protected)" (ID #50007750)
<i>1e.</i>	Limited parenting opportunities	Impacted one's ability to care for their children/other children in the family (3)	"Family- limited the amount of children due to Retinoblastoma possibilities" (ID #50003380)
2.	Negative reactions from people	Getting negative response during interactions with people (37)	
<i>2a.</i>	People stare	Getting stared at by people (17)	"People have always stared at me, especially children." (ID #50004010) "As an adolescent I recall often being stared at." (ID #50001630)
<i>2b.</i>	People form negative judgment about the patient	People form negative opinion about the patient, and get annoyed or scared (11)	"People are prejudiced towards me at some times." (ID #50001970) "People get afraid when a person with one eye sees them differently" (ID #50000110)
<i>2c.</i>	Differential treatment by people	People treat patient differently (in both good and bad ways) because of eye problems (8)	"A person is judged by their symmetry/appearance. This affects how I am treated by others." (ID #50001620)

S. No.	Themes and Sub-Themes	Definition of Theme/Sub-Theme (n)*	Supporting Quotes
2d.	<i>Too much questioning from people</i>	Constant questioning from people about patient's eyes (5)	"Has also affected how people treat me in both good and bad ways." (ID #50004470) "People (esp. children) expect and explanation for why my eyes are different..." (ID #50009970) "As an adult, some adults are rude and ask if you are looking at them or ask what is wrong with your eye? Insensitive" (ID #50001920)
3.	Teased/bullied in school	Getting teased, bullied, made fun of, and called names (more as a child) (32)	"I was teased as a child at times." (ID #50001820) "Growing up with only one eye meant a lot of teasing and name calling for other kid" (ID #50002520)
4.	Positive impact on personal relationships	Patient reported having good luck and good bonding in relationships (3)	"Other than that, I can't say that I've had any less luck in my relationships" (ID #50002600) "I have older brothers and sisters and I played a lot with them even though they were older" (ID #50002990)
Affective Description of the Problem (N = 34, 8.4%)			
1.	Positive affect	Emotional state that expresses favorable feelings or experience (32)	
1a.	<i>Acceptance</i>	Patient has come to terms with their condition (22)	"Improved acceptance as an adult" (ID #50001630) "not much of an issue, it's a way of life" (ID #50000640)
1b.	<i>Grateful</i>	Patient is thankful for life and in some instances, vision (10)	"I'm fortunate and have had a great life" (ID #50002600) "I feel "lucky" to have a normal life and vision." (50001750)
1c.	<i>Empathy</i>	Made patient more empathic (3)	"Increased sensitivity to others-especially people diagnosed with cancer" (ID #50004160) "I became an empathetic person" (ID #50006360)
2.	Negative affect	Emotional state that expresses unfavorable feelings or experience (25)	
2a.	<i>Worry</i>	Feelings of anxiety or uneasiness regarding appearance, acceptance, losing friends, etc. (8)	"I'm always worried how my prosthesis will look in photos" (ID #50002660) "worry a lot more about things, worry about being accepted by people." (ID #50006690)
2b.	<i>Sadness</i>	Feelings of sadness, grief, depression (5)	"periods of depression" (ID #50004670) "...upset feelings about this has been at least part of the cause of my depression" (ID #50006630)
2c.	<i>Fear</i>	Distress due to feelings of getting good eye injured, losing eyesight completely, or cancer reoccurring (4)	"...fears associated with receiving an injury to my good eye or losing my eye sight" (ID #50002720) "worry about losing other eye" (ID #50003750)
2d.	<i>Annoyance/frustration</i>	Irritation with the condition of the eye and problems associated with it (4)	"it's annoying and frustrating..." (ID #50005060) "minor annoyance with prosthetics and care" (ID #50003890)
2e.	<i>Disgruntled</i>	Being bothered and dissatisfied, usually, pertaining to appearance issues (4)	"Radiation stunted growth of nose so that does bother me" (ID #50004140)
3.	General affect	General effect on patient's life (without specifying a negative or positive affect) (4)	"It's affected how she has had to choose how to plan her life" (ID #50009490) "everyday life is affected by what you see" (ID #50006320)

* (n) is for the number of participants whose response fit the theme/sub-theme.

NOTE: Themes and sub-themes are not mutually exclusive.

Table 4. Themes and Sub-Themes, Definition, and Supportive Quotes for Coping Strategies Described by Retinoblastoma Survivors

S. No.	Themes and Sub-Themes	Definition of Theme/Sub-Theme (n) [*]	Supporting Quotes
1.	Active coping	Utilization of psychological or behavioral efforts to deal with the problem (n = 49)	
<i>1a.</i>	<i>To become stronger</i>	Patient became more resilient and developed acceptance (21)	"but that shaped my personality to be stronger and to have a better outlook on life and to appreciate it more, "what doesn't kill you, makes you stronger"" (ID #50001060) "but it did make (me) determined to do the best I could at whatever I tried." (ID #50002520)
<i>1b.</i>	<i>Had to learn compensatory skills/alternative methods</i>	Patient uses alternative methods generally, and more specifically such as adjusting seating to manage seeing the other person, walking on right side of people so patient doesn't run into them (17)	"had to learn all the compensatory skills that I wouldn't have otherwise" (ID #50001300) "it has forced me to use alternative methods for most life skills, everything from access to print/information to personal independence, to a great extent social interaction, and romance possibility." (ID #50006580) "Does it stop me from doing other things? NO! Find other ways around it!" (ID #50008260)
<i>1c.</i>	<i>To become more resourceful and creative problem solver</i>	Patient has learned to be more creative in finding a solution to his/her problems and thinking outside the box (7)	"I have had to learn to be more resourceful, more of a creative problem solver, definitely learned that there is, more than one way to do something." (ID #50001120) "You pretty much always have to do something from a different angle" (ID #50001980)
<i>1d.</i>	<i>To become extra cautious and careful</i>	Patient has to be deliberate and more careful in doing things (7)	"have to be cautious and careful b/c can't see anything coming" (ID #50000110) "Extra caution for sports, driving, etc." (ID #50000660)
<i>1e.</i>	<i>Wear patch, sunglasses etc.</i>	Patient wears a patch or sunglasses for protection (4)	"I removed it when I was 19 and I wear an eye patch currently" (ID #50001540) "Prefer to wear sunglasses as much as possible" (ID #50001170)
<i>1f.</i>	<i>To learn specific tasks</i>	Patient describes learning specific tasks such as spatial relationships, learn braille, learn to adapt sporting ability (4)	"Work on learning spatial relationships." (ID #50008580) "learning things like braille..." (ID #50009720) "having to adapt sports ability" (ID #50009720)
<i>1g.</i>	<i>To focus more on appearance</i>	Patient described spending more time with appearance to appear nice and to refocus people's attention away from eye problems (2)	"take more time with appearance so people see beyond eye problems - try to distract people." (ID #50000040) "It has always affected how I style my hair" (ID #50004650) "I wear my hair over that eye to cover it" (ID #50005800)
2.	Avoidant coping	Efforts to avoid dealing with the stressor (n = 12)	
<i>2a.</i>	<i>Avoid thoughts, people and/or situations</i>	Patient's strategy is to avoid by either not thinking about it, looking down and avoiding eye contact, avoid being in certain situations, etc. (6)	"I tend to look down and avoid eye contact" (ID #50000920) "I don't go around thinking about it" (ID #50005330)
<i>2b.</i>	<i>Don't talk about it</i>	Patient doesn't talk much about his eye problems (5)	"For this reason, I use the don't ask, don't tell policy because I feel that I am not handicapped." (ID #50006120) "As a result I may be somewhat more reserved in social situations or in my career than normal." (ID #50007040)
<i>2c.</i>	<i>To engage in harmful behaviors</i>	Specific examples of strategies patient uses such as becomes aggressive (1), or overeats (1)	"...and responded by punching kids in face" (ID #50004040) "think I also over-eat as a way of coping, I am overweight." (ID #50007320)
3.	Spiritual coping	Patient has increased reliance on faith (2)	"put life in God's hands" (ID #50007520) "Read Psalm 139-God created me just how he wanted to create me." (ID #50006540)

* (n) is for the number of participants whose response fit the theme/sub-theme.

NOTE: Themes and sub-themes are not mutually exclusive.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript