

pubs.acs.org/JPCL

Addition/Correction

## Correction to "Strong Plasmon Enhancement of the Saturation Photon Count Rate of Single Molecules"

Yuyang Wang, Matěj Horáček, and Peter Zijlstra\*

J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2020, 11, (5), 1962-1969. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpclett.0c00155

Cite This: J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2020, 11, 3218–3218		Read Online	
ACCESS	III Metrics & More		E Article Recommendations

Recently we published an article in *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* titled "Strong Plasmon Enhancement of the Saturation Photon Count Rate of Single Molecules" (DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpclett.0c00155; publication date: February 19, 2020). Unfortunately, it recently came to our attention that there is a typographical error in the discussion of Figure 6.

Following the comments by one of the referees we modified the manuscript regarding the triplet state modifications, but we mistakenly state that the ratio  $\gamma_{\rm isc}/\gamma_{\rm T}$  might be at the origin of the mismatch we find in  $I_{\rm sat}$ . Obviously, if PCR<sub>max</sub> matches the expectation, the ratio  $\gamma_{\rm isc}/\gamma_{\rm T}$  also matches the expectation. The text should therefore read that not the ratio  $\gamma_{\rm isc}/\gamma_{\rm T}$  but the absolute value of  $\gamma_{\rm isc}$  is at the origin of the mismatch. If possible we would also like broaden the argument and include one sentence that an overestimation of the particle–fluorophore spacing might contribute as well because  $\gamma_{\rm nr}$  scales more strongly with distance than  $\gamma_{\rm r}$ .

The paragraph containing the above-mentioned additions and corrections should read as follows:

In the simulations we have treated the term  $\frac{1+\gamma_{isc}/\gamma_{T}}{1+\gamma_{isc}/\gamma_{T}}$  $1 + \gamma_{\rm isc}^0 / \gamma_{\rm T}^0$ appearing in both eq 3 and 4 as unity, following Ebbesen et al.<sup>1</sup> Considering the fact that the PCR<sub>max</sub> enhancement closely follows the prediction this could indicate stronger than expected plasmonic modification of  $\gamma_{tot}$ , which contains contributions of  $\gamma_{nr}$  and  $\gamma_{isc}$ . First, a particle-fluorophore spacing smaller than expected by only 0.5 nm causes a 2-fold increase in  $I_{\text{satt}}$  whereas PCR<sub>max</sub> increases by only 10%. This is caused by the fact that  $\gamma_{nr}$  depends more strongly on particlefluorophore spacing than  $\gamma_r$ . Second, modification of  $\gamma_{isc}$  might also play a role in the higher  $I_{sat}$ . Modification of  $\gamma_{isc}$  has also been reported, but experimental studies are limited to a select number of cases $^{2-6}$  that indeed report modest modifications. A quantitative investigation of triplet modifications requires a temporal resolution that is not accessible in our current camera-based setup but could be further investigated using, for example, fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS).<sup>6-</sup>

## REFERENCES

(1) Wenger, J.; Gérard, D.; Dintinger, J.; Mahboub, O.; Bonod, N.; Popov, E.; Ebbesen, T. W.; Rigneault, H. Emission and excitation contributions to enhanced single molecule fluorescence by gold nanometric apertures. *Opt. Express* **2008**, *16*, 3008. (2) Zhang, Y.; Aslan, K.; Previte, M. J. R.; Malyn, S. N.; Geddes, C. D. Metal-Enhanced Phosphorescence: Interpretation in Terms of Triplet-Coupled Radiating Plasmons. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 25108–25114.

(3) Stefani, F. D.; Vasilev, K.; Bocchio, N.; Gaul, F.; Pomozzi, A.; Kreiter, M. Photonic mode density effects on single-molecule fluorescence blinking. *New J. Phys.* **2007**, *9*, 21–21.

(4) Chaudhuri, D.; Li, D.; Sigmund, E.; Wettach, H.; Höger, S.; Lupton, J. M. Plasmonic surface enhancement of dual fluorescence and phosphorescence emission from organic semiconductors: effect of exchange gap and spin-orbit coupling. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 6675.

(5) Gill, R.; Tian, L.; van Amerongen, H.; Subramaniam, V. Emission enhancement and lifetime modification of phosphorescence on silver nanoparticle aggregates. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 15734.

(6) Wenger, J.; Cluzel, B.; Dintinger, J.; Bonod, N.; Fehrembach, A.-L.; Popov, E.; Lenne, P.-F.; Ebbesen, T. W.; Rigneault, H. Radiative and Nonradiative Photokinetics Alteration Inside a Single Metallic Nanometric Aperture. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 11469–11474.

(7) Eggeling, C.; Widengren, J.; Rigler, R.; Seidel, C. A. M. Photobleaching of Fluorescent Dyes under Conditions Used for Single-Molecule Detection: Evidence of Two-Step Photolysis. *Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *70*, 2651–2659.

(8) Eggeling, C.; Volkmer, A.; Seidel, C. A. M. Molecular Photobleaching Kinetics of Rhodamine 6G by One- and Two-Photon Induced Confocal Fluorescence Microscopy. *ChemPhysChem* **2005**, *6*, 791–804.

Published: April 10, 2020

