

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 May 11.

Published in final edited form as: Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2020 May 11; 59(20): 7848–7856. doi:10.1002/anie.201915821.

## Asymmetric Total Syntheses of Di- and Sesqui-Terpenoids via Catalytic C–C Activation of Cyclopentanones

## Si-Hua Hou<sup>a</sup>, Adriana Y. Prichina<sup>a</sup>, Mengxi Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Guangbin Dong<sup>a</sup>

<sup>[a]</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 60637, United States

## Abstract

To show the synthetic utility of the catalytic C–C activation of less strained substrates, here we describe collective and concise syntheses of natural products (–)-microthecaline A, (–)-leubehanol, (+)-pseudopteroxazole, (+)-*seco*-pseudopteroxazole, pseudopterosin A-F and G-J aglycones, and (+)-heritonin. The key step in these syntheses involve a Rh-catalyzed C–C/C–H activation cascade of 3-arylcyclopentanones, which provides a rapid and enantioselective route to access the polysubstituted tetrahydronaphthalene cores presented in these natural products. Other important features include (i) the direct C–H amination of the tetralone substrate in the synthesis of (–)-microthecaline A, (ii) the use of phosphoric acids to enhance efficiency and regioselectivity for problematic cyclopentanone substrates in the C–C activation reactions, and (iii) the direct conversion of serrulatane to amphilectane diterpenes via an allylic cyclodehydrogenation coupling.

## **Graphical Abstract**



To show the synthetic utility of the Rh-catalyzed C–C/C–H activation cascade of 3arylcyclopentanones, here we describe collective concise asymmetric syntheses of terpenoids (–)microthecaline A, (–)-leubehanol, (+)-pseudopteroxazole, (+)-*seco*-pseudopteroxazole, pseudopterosin A-F and G-J aglycones, and (+)-heritonin (see scheme) in 4–9 steps.

gbdong@uchicago.edu.

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

## Keywords

C-C activation; Total synthesis; Terpenoid; Asymmetric synthesis; C-H activation

## Introduction

Reactions involving cleavage of carbon–carbon (C–C)  $\sigma$  bonds, such as Baeyer-Villiger oxidation, Grob fragmentation and various rearrangement reactions (Schmidt, Cope, Wolff, Pinacol-type, Wagner-Meerwein, etc.), have found broad utilities in synthesis of complex and biologically active compounds.<sup>[1]</sup> Besides classical approaches, the transition metalcatalyzed C-C bond activation enables conversion of a relatively inert C-C bond to a more reactive C-metal bond under redox and near pH-neutral conditions,<sup>[2]</sup> therefore offering unique opportunities for unusual bond disconnections to access complex scaffolds from simpler substrates. To date, activation of highly strained three or four-membered rings has been employed as key steps in several total syntheses; [3,4] however, utility of methods that activate less strained 5-, 6-membered rings or linear substrates in constructing complex target molecules remain elusive.<sup>[5]</sup> as these methods often either are non-constructive (e.g. decarbonylation or fragmentation of the substrate) or need permanent directing groups (DGs).<sup>[6]</sup> Recently, through merging catalytic C–C and C–H activation, an initial approach was realized to transform 3-arylcyclopentanones and cyclohexanones into functionalized atetralones and  $\alpha$ -indanones (Scheme 1).<sup>[7]</sup> In this full article, we describe our detailed efforts towards collective asymmetric syntheses of seven natural products in the serrulatane/ amphilectane diterpene and cadinane sesquiterpene families, where catalytic C-C activation of cyclopentanones is employed as the key step to streamline construction of the tetrahydronaphthalene cores in these natural products.

α-Tetralones have been found in numerous bioactive compounds, and frequently serve as versatile building blocks in syntheses of complex natural products and pharmaceutical intermediates.<sup>[8,9]</sup> While a number of methods for preparing α-tetralones are available,<sup>[10]</sup> it remains challenging to introduce a stereocenter at the C4 position with controlled absolute stereochemistry.<sup>[11]</sup> Given that 3-arylcyclopentanones can be easily prepared in high enantioselectivity *via* asymmetric 1,4-addition,<sup>[12]</sup> the catalytic C–C/C–H cascade reaction therefore provides a rapid approach to access various C4-substituted α-tetralones in a high enantiopurity (Scheme 1). To demonstrate the efficiency of this strategy, serrulatane diterpenes, such as (–)-microthecaline A, (–)-leubehanol and (+)-*seco*-pseudopteroxazole, amphilectane diterpenes, such as (+)-pseudopteroxazole, pseudopterosin A-F and G-J aglycones, and cadinane sesquiterpene (+)-heritonin have been chosen as the synthetic targets (Scheme 1).

## **Results and Discussion**

#### Microthecaline A.

(–)-Microthecaline A (1) is a unique nitrogen-containing serrulatane-type natural product (Figure 1), isolated recently by the Davis group in 2018 from the roots of a Australian desert plant *Eremophila microtheca*.<sup>[13]</sup> It contains a penta-substituted quinoline scaffold and

exhibits antimalarial activity against *Plasmodium falciparum* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 7.7  $\mu$ M). Compared to a typical serrulatane diterpene, distinct features of microthecaline A include a nitrogen substituent at the C5 position and a higher oxidation at the C18 terminal carbon. To date, there is only one racemic synthesis of microthecaline A reported in 15 steps with a 5% overall yield.<sup>[14]</sup>

One key question to synthesize (–)-microthecaline A is how to efficiently introduce the C1 stereocenter and the C5 nitrogen moiety. We envisioned that the penta-substituted quinoline core in 1 could be constructed using the Friedländer Condensation between aldehyde 8 and amine-substituted  $\alpha$ -tetralone 9 (Scheme 2). Aniline 9 could be possibly prepared via C–H amination of intermediate 10 in a straightforward manner.  $\alpha$ -Tetralone 10 is expected to be synthesized through the catalytic C–C activation of 3-arylcyclopentanone 11 that can be conveniently accessed from 2-cyclopentenone and commercially available 1-bromo-2-methoxy-4-methylbenzene.

Our synthesis started with the asymmetric conjugation to prepare cyclopentanone **11** (Scheme 3). Lithiation of 1-bromo-2-methoxy-4-methylbenzene followed by *in situ* treatment with B(OMe)<sub>3</sub> generated the corresponding arylborate salt, which can directly participate in the Rh-catalyzed 1,4-addition of 2-cyclopentenone in the same reaction vessel. <sup>[15]</sup> Using [Rh(COD)Cl]<sub>2</sub> as the catalyst, the desired racemic cyclopentanone (**11**) was isolated in 98% yield. Moderate enantioselectivity was obtained with the Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(acac)/(*S*)-BINAP catalyst system;<sup>[15]</sup> switching to the [Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl]<sub>2</sub>/(*S*,*S*)-Ph-bod combination, 3-arylcyclopentanone **11** was isolated in a high yield with excellent optical purity (95% ee). <sup>[16]</sup> The key C–C activation reaction was investigated next. To our delight, under the previously reported conditions,<sup>[7a]</sup> the desired α-tetralone **10** was isolated in a 76% yield uneventfully with retention of high enantiopurity (92% ee), which is the major product through cleavage of the proximal C1–C2 bond; the undesired 1-indanone product (**10–1**) was generated in a 14% yield via cleavage of the distal C1–C5 bond and can be separated from the main product. Attempts to combine these two Rh-catalyzed steps were unfruitful, as different ligands were required for each step.

With a-tetralone **10** in hand, the next question was how to install an amino group at the C5 position (Scheme 4). Gratifyingly, bromination of the arene was found to occur selectively at the C5 instead of the C7 position; subsequent Buchwald-Hartwig amination followed by Boc-deprotection afforded the desired aniline **9** in a 63% yield over three steps. Given the high site-selectivity observed in the electrophilic bromination step, an intriguing question is whether direct electrophilic amination could be realized for a-tetralone **10**. To the best of our knowledge, the direct C–H (NH<sub>2</sub>)-amination of arenes that contain a ketone substituent (like substrate **10**) has not been reported yet.<sup>[17]</sup> The challenge is *three-fold*: 1) as a strong electron-withdrawing group, the ketone moiety would deactivate the arene for electrophilic substitution; 2) the amine reagent or product could condense with the ketone to give imine side-products; and 3) amination at the enolizable ketone a position could be a side reaction pathway. Towards the end, a number of arene amination conditions have been evaluated (Table 1). Under the Ritter's condition with MsONH<sub>2</sub> as the electrophile and FeSO<sub>4</sub> as the catalyst,<sup>[17e]</sup> aniline **9** was not observed and substrate **10** was fully decomposed likely due to the elevated reaction temperature (entry 1, Table 1). Switching solvent to MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O (2:1)

and running the reaction at room temperature (Morandi's condition),<sup>[17c]</sup> the desired aniline product (**9**) was isolated in 52% yield along with oxime **9–1** in 6% yield (entry 2, Table 1). Further tuning the reaction conditions, e.g. the reagent and catalyst loading and solvent, improved the yield to 68% without amination at other positions (entries 3–5, Table 1). Meanwhile, the Kurti/Falck's rhodium-catalyzed amination was also found to be efficient (entries 6–9, Table 1).<sup>[17b]</sup> Finally, after some modification of the reaction conditions, aniline **9** was isolated in 79% yield along with a separable minor isomer **9–2** in 14% yield (entry 9, Table 1).

The stage was now set for the Friedländer condensation<sup>[18]</sup> between aniline **9** and known aldehyde **8** (prepared in three steps from inexpensive dihydropyran)<sup>[19]</sup> to form the quinoline ring (Scheme 5). A number of conditions have been examined: while sulfuric acid, acetic acid and tosylic acid failed to promote this reaction, use of diphenylphosphoric acid in toluene afforded the desired quinoline **12** in 94% yield. Finally, removal of the methyl group by NaSEt gave (–)-microthecaline A (**1**) in 91% yield, which displays identical spectral and physical properties to those of natural sample.<sup>[13]</sup> Overall, the asymmetric total synthesis of (–)-microthecaline A (**1**) was completed in only *5 steps* in the longest linear sequence (LLS) with a 43% overall yield.

#### Serrulatane and Amphilectane Diterpenes.

Serrulatane and amphilectane diterpenes exhibit a broad spectrum of promising biological activities including anti-malarial, anti-inflammatory, analgesic, anti-tuberculosis and antibacterial, etc. (Figure 2).<sup>[20]</sup> Consequently, intensive efforts have been continuously devoted in the last three decades to the asymmetric syntheses of these natural products.<sup>[20a,b]</sup> Despite the seemingly uncomplex structures, significant challenges have been found for 1) controlling the relative and absolute stereochemistry for constructing these non-polar stereogenic centers and 2) synthesizing penta- and hexa-substituted benzene rings in most of these natural products. After seminar works by Broka<sup>[24a]</sup> and Corey<sup>[24b]</sup>, a number of elegant and innovative synthetic approaches have been devised.<sup>[21–24]</sup> However, there is still room to develop a unified and concise route (with less than 10 steps) to access diverse serrulatane and amphilectane diterpenes.

A proposed general retrosynthetic strategy is described in Scheme 6. Compared to serrulatanes, amphilectane diterpenes contain an additional six-membered ring through bond forming at the C5 and C13 positions. Thus, a straightforward approach to access amphilectanes would be to directly oxidize the corresponding serrulatane at the allylic C13 position to forge C–C bond formation with the aromatic ring. Serrulatane diterpenes are expected to be assembled through coupling a tetrahydronaphthalene fragment (e.g. **13**) and an alkyl fragment (e.g. **14**). While a number of asymmetric sp<sup>3</sup>-sp<sup>3</sup> cross coupling methods have been established,<sup>[25]</sup> we feel that Aggarwal's lithiation-borylation approach could be most suitable in this scenario to construct the C4-C11 bond with excellent control of the diastereoselectivity.<sup>[25b,26]</sup> The carbamate fragment **13** could be prepared from α-tetralone **15**, which would again be synthesized via the asymmetric conjugate addition and C–C/C–H cascade approach.<sup>[7a]</sup>

To examine the proposed strategy, (–)-leubethanol (2) was chosen as the first target, which is a serrulatane diterpene isolated from the roots of *Leucophyllum frutescens* by Waksman in  $2011^{[22a]}$  with a promising level of *anti*-tuberculosis activity (MIC 6.25–12.50 µg/mL). In a forward manner,  $\alpha$ -tetralone **10** (prepared in the prior section) was first converted to the secondary alcohol (**17**) in an excellent yield and 7:1 dr under the Noyori asymmetric reduction<sup>[27]</sup> conditions (Scheme 7). Note that enantio-enrichment (92% ee $\rightarrow$  99% ee) was observed in this situation, as the minor enantiomer of **10** became the minor diastereomer of **17**.<sup>[28]</sup> Carbamoylation with *N*,*N*-diisopropylcarbamoyl chloride (CbCl) delivered carbamate **18**, which can then be used to couple with the chiral organoborane fragment (*S*-**14**, prepared in three steps from 5-bromo-2-methyl-2-pentene) using Aggarwal's lithiation-borylation reaction.<sup>[9d]</sup> The treatment of TBAF gave primarily retention of the stereochemistry at the C4 position;<sup>[26b]</sup> the major desired diastereomer (**19**) can be isolated in its pure form. Upon removal of the methyl group in a good yield, synthesis of (–)-leubethanol (**2**) was furnished in *6 LLS steps* and a 29% overall yield.

The syntheses of structurally related terpenoids **3-6** were pursued next. Compared with (–)-leubethanol (**2**), these terpenoids exhibit opposite stereochemistry at the C1 and C4 positions, as well as an additional substituent at the C7 position (Figure 2).

The synthesis started with preparing boronic acid 20 on a decagram scale via ortho lithiation of commercially available 2,3-dimethoxytoluene followed by quenching with trimethylborate (Scheme 8).<sup>[29]</sup> The Rh-catalyzed asymmetric 1,4-addition proceeded efficiently to deliver cyclopentanone 21 in 98% yield with 98% ee using (R,R)-Ph-bod as the chiral ligand.<sup>[30]</sup> Under the standard conditions for the C–C activation of 3arylcyclopentanones, [7a] however, only moderate conversions for the desired  $\alpha$ -tetralone product (22) were observed (entry 1, Table 1). This is likely due to the presence of the OMe group *para* to the aryl C–H bond to be activated (*vide infra*, Table 3), as the resulting electron-rich aryl group could destabilize the aryl-Rh intermediate (or transition state) during the C-H activation step.<sup>[7a]</sup> A solution was ultimately found: by changing the acid co-catalyst from TsOH to (PhO)<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub>H (10 mol%), both the conversion and selectivity were notably enhanced. It is possible that (PhO)<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub>H promoted the C-H arene metalation step to some extent,<sup>[31]</sup> though the exact reason remains to be disclosed. Eventually, a-tetralone 22 was isolated in 77% yield and 94% ee (entry 3, Table 2). Increasing the loading of 2aminopyridine (C1) decreased the tetralone/indanone selectivity (entry 4, Table 2). In addition, changing aminopyridine from simple C1 to a more electron-rich C2 only gave a moderate yield with 83% ee, though the tetralone/indanone selectivity was improved. Subsequent Noyori asymmetric reduction of the ketone moiety and carbamate formation, followed by Aggarwal's lithiation-borylation reaction (vide supra, Scheme 8), afforded the key serrulatane intermediate 24 with the desired stereochemistry at the C1, C4 and C11 positions (different from leubethanol).

To access amphilectane diterpenes, the remaining challenge was to form the C5–C13 bond (Scheme 9). Conversion of olefin **24** to allylic alcohol **25** was realized though oxyselenation and oxidative elimination.<sup>[32,33]</sup> The expected cyclization was found to be effectively promoted by MeSO<sub>3</sub>H or BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O to deliver amphilectane-type compounds **26** and **27**, and it appears that these two acids showed somewhat opposite diastereoselectivity. It is

interesting to observe that tricyclic compounds 26 and 27 were formed simultaneously in a high yield from a CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution in NMR tube after 4 days, likely due to a trace amount of acid in the NMR solvent.<sup>[28]</sup> While this two-step protocol is efficient, it would be more attractive to realize a direct cross-dehydrogenative-coupling (CDC)<sup>[34]</sup> at the C5/C13 positions, which, to our knowledge, has not been reported in the syntheses of these types of natural products. If an allyl cation or an allyl radical could be generated at the C13 position, such a species would in principle be trapped by the arene to form the C5–C13 bond. However, the challenge of this CDC strategy is also obvious: substrate 24 contains a number of weak C–H bonds; therefore, besides the *three allylic* positions, oxidation at the tertiary and benzylic C1 and C4 positions could be a significant concern. In addition, the arene moiety in substrate 24 is highly electron-rich, thus selective allylic oxidation instead of arene oxidation would also be difficult. After examining numerous allylic oxidation conditions,<sup>[35]</sup> including the use of transition-metal catalysts, e.g. Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>/BQ, Pd(TFA)<sub>2</sub>, and [RhCp\*Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[36]</sup> finally the treatment with *o*-chloranil in MeCN at room temperature was found to be most effective.<sup>[37]</sup> DDQ also afforded the desired products albeit in a lower yield (30%).<sup>[28]</sup> Diastereomeric compounds **26** and **27** can be readily separated by reverse phase HPLC.

With compounds **26** and **27** in hand, removal of the methyl protecting groups afforded (+)pseudopterosin G-J aglycone (**5**) and (–)-pseudopterosin A-F aglycone (**6**), respectively (Scheme 9); the deprotection of compounds **24** and **26** followed by a one-pot oxidative oxazole formation furnished the total syntheses of (+)-*seco*-pseudopteroxazole (**3**) and (+)pseudopteroxazole (**4**) in 8 and 9 LLS steps, respectively. <sup>[23e,38]</sup>

### (+)-Heritonin.

The application of the catalytic C–C activation of cyclopentanones could also be demonstrated in the enantioselective synthesis of (+)-heritonin (**7**), which was isolated from the mangrove plant *Heritiera littoralis* in 1989 by Miles and belongs to the family of cadinane sesquiterpenes.<sup>[39]</sup> this nnatural product exhibits potent piscicidal activity and has been used by local fishermen to kill invasive fishes. To date, a number of synthetic routes have been reported to access heritonin;<sup>[40]</sup> however, only two of them are asymmetric, which require 9–15 steps.<sup>[11a,40h]</sup> We proposed that the α-tetralone intermediate (**28**) (Scheme 10) in Chavan's synthesis<sup>[11a]</sup> could be accessed by the C–C/C–H cascade approach in a convenient manner, which would lead to a streamlined preparation of **7**.

Following the same procedure of preparing compound **11**, compound **29** was obtained in 95% yield and 99% ee with the  $[Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl]_2/(R, R)$ -Ph-bod catalyst system (Scheme 10). As expected, the presence of the *meta* OMe group (*vide supra*, Table 2) in cyclopentenone **29** posted substantial difficulty for the key C–C activation reaction. Using the original C–C activation conditions,<sup>[7a]</sup> the desired  $\alpha$ -tetralone **28** was only obtained in 19% yield with 77% ee (entry 1, Table 3). After examining various acid co-catalysts, the desired tetralone **28** was ultimately isolated in 68% yield (83% ee) by switching TsOH to the binol-derived phosphoric acid (*rac*-**acid 1**)<sup>[41]</sup> with 20 mol% aminopyridine **C1** (entry 2, Table 3). After recrystallization, 91% ee was obtained, which can be used in the next step. Use of (PhO)<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub>H, the best acid for substrate **21**, gave a high conversion but somewhat a lower

yield and selectivity (entry 3, Table 3). Increasing the loading of 2-aminopyridine **C1** reduced the tetralone/indanone selectivity (entry 4, Table 4). Interestingly, use of the more electron-rich aminopyridine (**C2**) instead gave the desired product **28** in a high yield and excellent selectivity, albeit with a low optical purity (entry 5, Table 4). Further enhancing the reaction temperature only led to a diminished yield (entry 6, Table 4).

At this stage, formal synthesis of heritonin was accomplished; however, a different endgame from Chavan's synthesis<sup>[11a]</sup> was pursued via merging merits of other routes. Stereoselective  $\alpha$ -hydroxylation of tetralone **28** gave compound **30** with a 7:1 dr.<sup>[40h]</sup> After further recrystallization, 96% ee and >20:1 dr could be obtained. Finally, the tandem acylation/Wittig olefination<sup>[40f]</sup> afforded (+)-heritonin (**7**) in a good yield.

## Conclusion

In summary, the use of catalytic C–C activation of cyclopentanones as a key step has been illustrated in the enantioselective total syntheses of a range of di- and sesqui-terpenoids **1-7** in 4–9 steps. This strategy can accelerate asymmetric construction of the polysubstituted tetrahydronaphthalene cores, therefore significantly simplifying the overall syntheses. In addition, some other features, such as (i) the direct C–H amination of the tetralone substrate in the synthesis of (–)-microthecaline A, (ii) the use of phosphoric acids to enhance efficiency and selectivity for problematic substrates in the C–C activation reactions and (iii) the *direct* conversion of serrulatane to amphilectane diterpenes via an allylic cyclodehydrogenation, should have implications beyond this work.

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgements

NIGMS (2R01GM109054) and University of Chicago are acknowledged for research support. S.-H. H. acknowledges the International Postdoctoral Exchange Fellowship Program 2017 from the Office of China Postdoctoral Council. M.Z. thanks Xi'an Jiaotong University for a summer fellowship. Dr. Ying Xia is thanked for helpful discussions. Prof X. Hu (NWU, China) is thanked for providing a NMR sperta of **4** and **16**. Umicore AG & Co. KG is acknowledged for a generous donation of Rh salts.

## References

- For books and recent reviews, see:Kürti L, Czakó B, Strategic Applications of Named Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2005;Kathrin Prantz K, Mulzer J, Chem. Rev 2010, 110, 3741–3766; [PubMed: 20163188] Drahl MA, Manpadi M, Williams LJ, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2013, 52, 11222–11251; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 11430–11461;Coxon JM, Molecular Rearrangement. In Organic Reaction Mechanisms, 2019, pp 567–634;Grecian S, Aubé J, in Organic Azides: Syntheses and Applications, (Eds.: Bräse S, Banert K), Wiley: Chichester, UK, 2009, pp. 191–237;Zhang X, Tu Y-Q, Zhang F-M, Chen Z-H, Wang S-H, Chem. Soc. Rev, 2017, 46, 2272–2305; [PubMed: 28349159] Ilardi EA, Stivala CE, Zakarian A, Chem. Soc. Rev 2009, 38, 3133–3148. [PubMed: 19847347]
- [2]. For selected books and reviews on catalytic C-C bond activation, see:Murakami M, Ito Y, Cleavage of Carbon–Carbon Single Bonds by Transition Metals. Top. Organomet. Chem 1999; 3, 97–130;Dong G, C–C Bond Activation Topics in Current Chemistry, (Ed.: Dong G), Springer: New York, 2014; Vol. 346;Murakami M, Cleavage of carbon-carbon single bonds by transition

metals, (Ed.: Murakami M), Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: New York, 2015;van der Boom ME, Milstein D, Chem. Rev 2003, 103, 1759–1792; [PubMed: 12744693] Seiser T, Saget T, Tran DN, Cramer N, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2011, 50, 7740–7752; Angew. Chem. 123, 2011, 7884–7896;Ruhland K, Eur. J. Org. Chem 2012, 2012, 2683–2706;Chen F, Wang T, Jiao N, Chem. Rev 2014, 114, 8613–8661; [PubMed: 25062400] Souillart L, Cramer N, Chem. Rev 2015, 115, 9410–9464; [PubMed: 26044343] Kim D-S, Park W-J, Jun C-H, Chem. Rev 2017, 117, 8977–9015. [PubMed: 28060495]

- [3]. For recent reviews on activation of three membered and four membered rings, see:Mack DJ, Njardarson JT, ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 272–286;Fumagalli G, Stanton S, Bower JF, Chem. Rev 2017, 117, 9404–9432; [PubMed: 28075115] Chen P-H, Billett BA, Tsukamoto T, Dong G, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 1340–1360. [PubMed: 29062586]
- [4]. For recent examples about total synthesis of natural products via C-C activation, see:Murakami M, Ishida N, in Cleavage of carbon-carbon single bonds by transition metals, (Ed.: Murakami M) Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: New York, 2015, pp 253–272;Wang Y, Yu Z-X, Acc. Chem. Res 2015, 48, 2288–2296; [PubMed: 26227886] Xu T, Dong G, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2014, 53, 10733–10736; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 10909–10912;Deng L, Chen M, Dong G, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2018, 140, 9652–9658; [PubMed: 29976068] Kerschgens I, Rovira AR, Sarpong R, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2018, 140, 9810–981. [PubMed: 30032603]
- [5]. Nakao Y, Ebata S, Yada A, Hiyama T, Ikawa M, Ogoshi S, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008, 130, 12874– 12875. [PubMed: 18778055]
- [6]. One important exception is the catalytic C-CN bond activation. For reviews, see:Tobisu M, Chatani N, Chem. Soc. Rev 2008, 37, 300–307; [PubMed: 18197346] Nakao Y, Catalytic C–CN Bond Activation In C-C Bond Activation, Dong G, Ed. Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014; pp 33–58.Wen Q, Lu P, Wang Y, RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 47806–47826.
- [7]. (a)Xia Y, Lu G, Liu P, Dong G, Nature 2016, 539, 546–550; [PubMed: 27806379] (b)Xia Y, Wang J, Dong G, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 2376–2380; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 2416–2420.
- [8]. For selected bioactive natural products or pharmaceuticals contianing a-tetralones, see:Mai LP, Guéritte F, Dumontet V, Tri MV, Hill B, Thoison O, Guénard D, Sévenet T, J. Nat. Prod 2001, 64, 1162–1168; [PubMed: 11575949] Hussein AA, Bozzi B, Correa M, Capson TL, Kursar TA, Coley PD, Solis PN, Gupta MP, J. Nat. Prod 2003, 66, 858–860; [PubMed: 12828475] Phifer SS, Lee D, Seo E-K, Kim N-C, Graf TN, Kroll DJ, Navarro HA, Izydore RA, Jiménez F, Garcia R, Rose WC, Fairchild CR, Wild R, Soejarto DD, Farnsworth NR, Kinghorn AD, Oberlies NH, Wall ME, Wani MC, J. Nat. Prod 2007, 70, 954–961; [PubMed: 17552563] Yao S, Tang C-P, Ke C-Q, Ye Y, J. Nat. Prod 2008, 71, 1242–1246; [PubMed: 18549276] Devkota KP, Covell D, Ransom T, McMahon JB, Beutler JA, J. Nat. Prod 2013, 76, 710–714; [PubMed: 23517126] Legoabe LJ, Petzer A, Petzer JP, Bio. & Med. Chem. Lett 2014, 24, 2758–2763;Amakali KT, Legoabe LJ, Petzer A, Petzer JP, Drug Research 2018, 68, 687–695. [PubMed: 29758567]
- [9]. For selected examples about using α-tetralones as intermediates in the syntheses of natural products, see:Majdalani A, Schmalz HG, Synlett 1997, 11, 1303–1305;Allen J-G, Danishefsky SJ, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2001, 123, 351–352; [PubMed: 11456530] Charest MG, Siegel DR, Myers AG, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005, 127, 8292–8293; [PubMed: 15941256] Elford TG, Nave S, Sonawane RP, Aggarwal VK, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2011, 133, 16798–16801; [PubMed: 21936552] Mans DJ, Cox GA, RajanBabu TV, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2011, 133, 5776–5779; [PubMed: 21449569] Odagi M, Furukori K, Takayama K, Noguchi K, Nagasawa K, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 6609–6612; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 6709–6712;Tenneti S, Biswas S, Cox GA, Mans DJ, Lim HJ, RajanBabu TV, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2018, 140, 9868–9881. [PubMed: 30001133]
- [10]. For selected examples of α-tetralone synthesis, see:Haworth RD, J. Chem Soc 1932, 1125–1133;Yokota M, Fujita D, Ichikawa J, Org. Lett 2007, 9, 4639–4642; [PubMed: 17914837] Odagi M, Furukori K, Yamamoto Y, Sato M, Iida K, Yamanaka M, Nagasawa K, Am KJ. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 1909–1915;Song S, Zhu S-F, Yang S, Li S, Zhou Q-L, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2012, 51, 2708–2711; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 2762–2765;Chang S, Holmes M, Mowat J, Meanwell M, Britton R, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 748–752; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 766–770;Selmani A, Darses S, Org. Lett 2019, 21, 8122–8126. [PubMed: 31525990]
- [11]. For related approaches, see: ref 9d, 9e, 9g, andChavan SP, Thakkar M, Kalkote UR, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 643–646;Serra S, Nat. Prod. Comm 2013, 8, 863–868;Odagi M, Furukori K,

Yamamoto Y, Sato M, Iida K, Yamanaka M, Nagasawa K, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 1909–1915. [PubMed: 25580909]

- [12]. For selected reviews on asymmetric 1,4-additions, see:Fagnou K, Lautens M, Chem. Rev 2003, 103, 169–196; [PubMed: 12517183] Hayashi T, Yamasaki K, Chem. Rev 2003, 103, 2829–2844.
   [PubMed: 12914482]
- [13]. Kumar R, Duffy S, Avery VM, Carroll AR, Davis RA, J. Nat. Prod 2018, 81, 1079–1083.[PubMed: 29533611]
- [14]. Penjarla TR, Kundarapu M, Baquer SM, Bhattacharya A, RSC Adv. 2019, 9, 23289–23294.
- [15]. Takaya Y, Ogasawara M, Hayashi T, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6957–6961.
- [16]. Otomaru Y, Okamoto K, Shintani R, Hayashi T, J. Org. Chem 2005, 70, 2503–2508. [PubMed: 15787536]
- [17]. For recent examples, see:Romero NA, Margrey KA, Tay NE, Nicewicz DA, Science 2015, 349, 1326–1330; [PubMed: 26383949] Paudyal MP, Adebesin AM, Burt SR, Ess DH, Ma ZW, Kurti L, Falck JR, Science 2016, 353, 1144–1147; [PubMed: 27609890] Legnani L, Cerai GP, Morandi B, B. Acs Catalysis 2016, 6, 8162–8165Liu JZ, Wu K, Shen T, Liang YJ, Zou MC, Zhu YC, Li XW, Li XY, Jiao N, Chem. Eur. J 2017, 23, 563–567; [PubMed: 27897346] D'Amato EM, Borgel J, Ritter T, Chem. Sci 2019, 10, 2424–2428. [PubMed: 30881670]
- [18]. Marco-Contelles JM, Pérez-Mayoral E, Samadi A, Carreiras MDC, Soriano E, Chem. Rev 2009, 109, 2652–2671. [PubMed: 19361199]
- [19]. a)Banwell MG, Hockless DCR, McLeod MD, New J Chem. 2003, 27, 50–59;b)Korthals KA, Wulff WD, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008, 130, 2898–2899; [PubMed: 18275189] c)Speck K, Karaghiosoff K, Magauer T, Org. Lett 2015, 17, 1982–1985. [PubMed: 25824646]
- [20]. a)Heckrodt TJ, Mulzer J, Natural Products Synthesis II Targets, Methods, Concepts, (Ed.: Mulzer J), Springer, 2005, 244, 1–41;b)Newton CG, Sherburn MS, Nat. Prod. Rep 2015, 32, 865–876;
  [PubMed: 25882677] c)Gonzalez Y, Torres-Mendoza D, Jones GE, Fernandez PL, Marine Diterpenoids as Potential Anti-Inflammatory Agents. Mediators of Inflammation 2015;d)Sansinenea E, Ortiz A, Curr. Org. Syn 2016, 13, 556–568;e)Wang LS, Wang JF, Liu J, Liu YH, Y. Curr. Med. Chem 2018, 25, 2304–2328. [PubMed: 28088903]
- [21]. For isolation of erogorgiaene, see:Rodríguez AD, Ramírez C, J. Nat. Prod 2001, 64, 100–102.
  [PubMed: 11170678] For total synthesis of erogorgiaene, see: ref 9d, andCesati RR, de Armas J, Hoveyda AH, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004, 126, 96–101; [PubMed: 14709074] Davies HML, Walji AM, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2005, 44, 1733–1735; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1761–1763;Harmata M, Hong XC, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 3847–3849;Yadav JS, Basak AK, Srihari P, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 2841–2843;Yadav JS, Thirupathaiah B, Al Ghamdi AA, Eur. J. Org. Chem 2012, 2077–2077;Yu X, Su F, Liu C, Yuan H, Zhao S, Zhou Z, Quan T, Luo T, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2016, 138, 6261–6270. [PubMed: 27115064]
- [22]. For isolation of leubethanol, see:Molina-Salinas GM, Rivas-Galindo VM, Said-Fernandez S, Lankin DC, Munoz MA, Joseph-Nathan P, Pauli GF, Waksman N, J. Nat. Prod 2011, 74, 1842– 1850 [PubMed: 21859082] .For total synthesis of leubethanol, see: ref 21g, andLu JMH, Perkins MV, Griesser HJ, Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 6468–6473.
- [23]. For isolation of seco-Pseudopteroxazole and Pseudopteroxazole, see:Rodríguez AD, Ramírez C, Rodríguez II, González E, Org. Lett 1999, 1, 527–530. [PubMed: 10822593] For total synthesis of seco-pseudopteroxazole and pseudopteroxazole, see: ref 21g, andDavidson JP, Corey EJ, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003, 125, 13486–13489; [PubMed: 14583045] Harmata M, Hong XC, Org. Lett 2005, 7, 3581–3583; [PubMed: 16048347] Yang M, Yang X, Sun H, Li A, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2016, 55, 2851–2855; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 2901–2905;Zhang X, Fang X, Xu M, Lei Y, Wu Z, Hu X, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2019, 58, 7845–7849; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 7927–7931.
- [24]. For total synthesis of pseudopterosin A-F, G-J, H-K aglycones, and pseudopterosin A see: ref 9a, 9e, 9g, 21g, andBroka CA, Chan S, Peterson B, J. Org. Chem 1988, 53, 1584–1586;Corey EJ, Carpino P, J. Am. Chem. Soc 1989, 111, 5472–5474;Corey EJ, Carpino P, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 3857–3858;Buszek KR, Bixby DL, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 9129–9132;Corey EJ, Lazerwith SE, J. Am. Chem. Soc 1998, 120, 12777–12782;Lazerwith SE, Johnson TW, Corey EJ, Org. Lett 2000, 2, 2389–2392; [PubMed: 10930291] Chow R, Kocienski PJ, Kuhl A, LeBrazidec JY, Muir K, Fish P, J. Chem. Soc., Parkin Trans 1 2001, 2344–2355;Kocienski PJ, Pontiroli A, Qun L, J. Chem. Soc., Parkin Trans 1 2001, 2356–2366.Cooksey JP, Kocienski PJ,

Schmidt AW, Snaddon TN, Kilner CAA, Synthesis 2012, 44, 2779–2785;Newton CG, Drew SL, Lawrence AL, Willis AC, Paddon-Row MN, Sherburn MS, Nature Chem. 2015, 7, 82–86. [PubMed: 25515894]

- [25]. For reviews about sp<sup>3</sup>-sp<sup>3</sup> cross coupling, see:Choi J, Fu GC, Science 2017, 356, 152–160;Leonori D, Aggarwal VK, Acc. Chem. Res 2014, 47, 3174–3183; [PubMed: 25262745] Geist E, Kirschning A, Schmidt T, Nat. Prod. Rep 2014, 31, 441–448. [PubMed: 24573302] For examples about asymmetric sp<sup>3</sup>-sp<sup>3</sup> cross coupling methods see:Wilsily A, Tramutola F, Owston NA, Fu GC, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134, 5794–5797; [PubMed: 22443409] Binder JT, Cordier CJ, Fu GC, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134, 17003–17006; [PubMed: 23039358] Cordier CJ, Lundgren RJ, Fu GC, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2013, 135, 10946–10949; [PubMed: 23869442] Choi J, Fu GC, Science 2017, 356, 152–160;Mu X, Shibata Y, Makida Y, Fu GC, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 5821–5824; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 5915–5918.
- [26]. Stymiest JL, Bagutski V, French RM, Aggarwal VK, Nature 2008, 456, 778–782. [PubMed: 19079057] Nave S, Sonawane RP, Elford TG, Aggarwal VK, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2010, 132, 17096–17098. [PubMed: 21080646] For a demonstration of this approach in the synthesis of (+)-erogorgiaene, see: ref 9d.
- [27]. Noyori R, Hashiguchi S, Acc. Chem. Res 1997, 30, 97-102.
- [28]. For more details, see Supporting Information.
- [29]. Yoshida M, Kasai T, Mizuguchi T, Namba K, Synlett 2014, 25, 1160-1162.
- [30]. Abele S, Inauen R, Spielvogel D, Moessner C, J. Org. Chem 2012, 77, 4765–4773. [PubMed: 22551166]
- [31]. Zhang S-Y, Li Q, He G, Nack WA, Chen G, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 531–539. [PubMed: 25493327]
- [32]. Escarcena R, Perez-Meseguer J, del Olmo E, Alanis-Garza B, Garza-Gonzalez E, Salazar-Aranda R, de Torres NW, Molecules 2015, 20, 7245–7262. [PubMed: 25905603]
- [33]. Hori T, Sharpless KB, J. Org. Chem 1978, 43, 1689–1697.
- [34]. For selected reviews about CDC reactions, see:Li CJ, Acc. Chem. Res 2009, 42, 335–344;
   [PubMed: 19220064] Yeung CS, Dong VM, Chem. Rev 2011, 111, 1215–1292; [PubMed: 21391561] Li CJ, (ed.) From C-H to C-C Bonds: Cross-Dehydrogenative-Coupling 2015, 26, 1–316;Lakshman MK, Vuram PK, Chem. Sci 2017, 8, 5845–5888. [PubMed: 28970941]
- [35]. For selected reviews and examples in total syntheses about allylic oxidations, see:Gutekunst WR, Baran PS, Chem. Soc. Rev 2011, 40, 1976–1991; [PubMed: 21298176] Nakamura A, Nakada M, Synthesis 2013, 45, 1421–1451;Weidmann V, Maison W, Synthesis 2013, 45, 2201–2221;Jorgensen L, McKerrall SJ, Kuttruff CA, Ungeheuer F, Felding J, Baran PS, Science 2013, 341, 878–882; [PubMed: 23907534] Kawamura S, Chu H, Felding J, Baran PS, Nature 2016, 532, 90–93; [PubMed: 27007853] Yuan C, Jin Y, Wilde NC, Baran PS, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2016, 55, 8280–8284; Angew. Chem 2016, 128, 8420–8424.
- [36]. Cochet T, Bellosta V, Roche D, Ortholand JY, Greiner A, Cossy J, Chem. Comm 2012, 48, 10745–10747. [PubMed: 23023187]
- [37]. a)Zhang YH, Li CJ, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006, 128, 4242–4243; [PubMed: 16568995] b)Liu L, Floreancig PE, Org. Lett 2009, 11, 3152–3155; [PubMed: 19552390] c)Lingamurthy M, Jagadeesh Y, Ramakrishna K, Rao BV, J. Org. Chem 2016, 81, 1367–1377; [PubMed: 26771920] d)Morales-Rivera CA, Floreancig PE, Liu P, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 17935–17944. [PubMed: 29136464]
- [38]. McCulloch MWB, Berrue F, Haltli B, Kerr RG, J. Nat. prod 2011, 74, 2250–2256. [PubMed: 21978379]
- [39]. Miles DH, Ly AM, Chittawong V, Delacruz AA, Gomez ED, J. Nat. Prod 1989, 52, 896–898.[PubMed: 2809613]
- [40]. For total synthesis of heritonin, see: ref 11a, andIrie H, Matsumoto R, Nishimura M, Zhang Y, Chem. Pharm. Bull 1990, 38, 1852–1856;Zubaidha PK, Chavan SP, Racherla US, Ayyangar NR, Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 5759–5768;Chavan SP, Zubaidha PK, Govande CA, Rao YTS, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm 1994, 1101–1102;Chavan SP, Govande CA, Green Chem. 2002, 4, 194– 195;Silveira CC, Machado A, Braga AL, Lenardao EJ, Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 4077– 4080;Matsuo K, Shindo M, Org. Lett 2010, 12, 5346–5349; [PubMed: 20979406] Chavan SP,

Garai S, Kalkote UR, Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 8509–8514;Batwal RU, Argade NP, Org. Bio. Chem 2015, 13, 11331–11340.

[41]. Optically pure (R) or (S)-acid 1 gave almost the same results as *rac*-acid 1 in this reaction.



**Figure 1.** Structure of (–)-Microthecaline A (1).





Examples of Serrulatane and Amphilectane Diterpenoids.



## Scheme 1.

Collective Asymmetric Syntheses of Serrulatane/Amphilectane Diterpene and Cadinane Sesquiterpene Nature Products via Catalytic Activation of C–C bonds in 3-Arylcyclopentanones.



Scheme 2. Retrosynthetic Analysis of (–)-Microthecaline A (1).



proximal C-C cleavage distal C-C cleavage

Scheme 3. Synthesis of a-Tetralone 10.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of Aniline 9.



**Scheme 5.** Completion of the Total Synthesis of (–)-Microthecaline A (1).



## Scheme 6.

A General Streamlined Strategy for Preparing Serrulatane and Amphilectane Diterpenoids.





Scheme 7. Total Synthesis of (–)-Leubethanol (2).





## Scheme 8. Synthesis of Key Intermediate 24.



### Scheme 9.

Conversion of Serrulatane to Amphilectane-type Diterpenes and Total Syntheses of 3-6.



**Scheme 10.** Total Synthesis of (+)-Heritonin (7).

## Table 1.

## Optimization of the Direct C-H Arene Amination.



entry	reaction condition <sup>[a]</sup>	yield <sup>[b]</sup>			
		9	9–1	9–2	10
1	MsONH2 HOTf (2 equiv.),FeSO4-7H2O (1 mol%), HFIP, 60 °C, 24h	n.d. <sup>[c]</sup>	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
2	$MsONH_2$ HOTf (2 equiv.), $FeSO_4$ 7H_2O (5 mol%), MeCN/H_2O (2:1, 0.1 M), rt, 24h	52%	6%	n.d.	20%
3	$MsONH_2 \ HOTf \ (4 \ equiv.), \ FeSO_4-7H_2O \ (5 \ mol\%), \ MeCN/H_2O \ (2:1, \ 0.1 \ M), \ rt, \ 24 \ h$	38%	< 2%	n.d.	< 2%
4	MsONH <sub>2</sub> HOTf (3.5 equiv.), FeSO <sub>4</sub> -7H <sub>2</sub> O (10 mol%), TFE/H <sub>2</sub> O (2:1, 0.1 M), rt, 12h	61%	n.d.	n.d.	n.d
5 <sup>[d]</sup>	$MsONH_2$ HOTf (4.5 equiv.), $FeSO_4$ 7HzO (5 mol%), MeCN/H2O (2:1, 0.1M), rt, 48 h $$	68%	< 5%	n.d.	n.d.
6	MesONHBoc (1.5 equiv.), Rh <sub>2</sub> (esp) <sub>2</sub> (2 mol%), TFA (2 equiv.), HFIP (0.1 M), rt, 40h	6%	n.d.	6%	48%
7	TsONHBoc (1.5 equiv.), Rh <sub>2</sub> (esp) <sub>2</sub> (2 mol%), TFA (2 equiv.), HFIP (0.1 M), rt, 40h	64%	n.d.	13%	n.d.
8	TsONHBoc (1.5 equiv.), Rh <sub>2</sub> (esp) <sub>2</sub> (2 mol%), TFA (2 equiv.), TFE (0.1 M), rt, 40h	73%	n.d.	13%	n.d.
9	TsONHBoc (1.3 equiv.), Rh2(esp)2 (2 mol%), TFA (1.5 equiv.), TFE (0.1 M), rt, 24h	79%	n.d.	14%	n.d.

[a] The reaction was run on a 0.1 mmol scale.

[b] Isolated yield.

[c]<sub>Not</sub> detected.

<sup>[d]</sup>MsONH<sub>2</sub>·HOTf was added portion-wise to the reaction mixture in 3 times.

## Table 2.

Optimization of the C–C Activation of Cyclopentenone 21.<sup>[*a*]</sup>

	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} $						
entry	acid	2-aminopyridine	conversion % <sup>[b]</sup>	22		22–1	rr <sup>[d]</sup>
				yield/% <sup>201</sup>	ee/% <sup>2-3</sup>	yield/% <sup>201</sup>	
1	TsOH	CI	50	33	94	10	3.1:1
2	rac-acld 1	C1	77	55	96	9	6.2:1
3	(PhO) <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>2</sub> H	C1	>95	81 (77) <sup>[e]</sup>	94	13	6.2:1
4 <sup>[f]</sup>	(PhO) <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>2</sub> H	C1	>95	73	95	17	4.2:1
5	(PhO) <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>2</sub> H	C2	77	48	83	8	7.8:1
		C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	CH (N <sup>+</sup> NH <sub>2</sub> ) cid 1 C1	MeO N N C2	42		

[a]. The reaction was run on a 0.2 mmol scale.

 $^{[b]}$ NMR yields using dibromomethane as the internal standard.

[c] Determined by chiral HPLC.

[d] Ratio between 22 and 22–1.

[e]Numbers in parentheses are isolated yields.

[f] The loading of C1 was 25 mol%.

### Table 3.

Optimization of the C–C Activation of Cyclopentenone 29<sup>[a]</sup>

Me         (FRIC_2H4,)-CI]2 (5 mol%).           MeO         Mes (10 mol%), add (10 mol%).           29         28							
entry	acid	2-aminopyridine	conversion % <sup>[b]</sup>	28		28–1	rr <sup>[d]</sup>
				yield/% <sup>[b]</sup>	ee/% <sup>[C]</sup>	yield/% <sup>[0]</sup>	
1	TsOH	C1	60	19	77	5	4:1
2	rac-acid 1	C1	89	75 (68) <sup>[e]</sup>	83	10	7.5:1
3	(PhO) <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>2</sub> H	C1	93	61	70	7	5.7:1
4 <sup>[f]</sup>	rac-acid 1	C1	87	63	79	22	2.9:1
5	rac-acid 1	C2	>95	84 (76) <sup>[e]</sup>	47	5	16:1
6 <sup>[g]</sup>	rac-acid 1	C1	93	57 (52) <sup>[e]</sup>	79	7	8.3:1
			OH NH <sub>2</sub>	MeO	 1 <sub>2</sub>		

<sup>[a]</sup>The reaction was run on a 0.2 mmol scale.

<sup>[b]</sup>NMR yields using dibromomethane as the internal standard.

<sup>[c]</sup>Determined by chiral HPLC.

[d]<sub>Ratio</sub> between 28 and 28–1.

[e] Numbers in parentheses are isolated yields.

[f] The loading of C1 was 25 mol%.

[g] The reaction temperature was 150 °C

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript