



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

2. Available from, <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/feb/11/coronavirus-expert-warns-infection-could-reach-60-of-worlds-population>. [Accessed 14 May 2020]
3. Available from, <https://www.icnarc.org/DataServices/Attachments/Download/76a7364b-4b76-ea11-9124-00505601089b>. [Accessed 14 May 2020]
4. Rosenbaum L. Facing Covid-19 in Italy—ethics, logistics, and therapeutics on the epidemic's front line. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **382**: 1873–5
5. An ethical algorithm for rationing life sustaining treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BJA-2020-00718-HH430*
6. Available from, <https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/optimizing-ventilator-use-during-covid19-pandemic.pdf>. [Accessed 14 May 2020]
7. Available from, <https://epmonthly.com/article/not-heroes-wear-capes-one-las-vegas-ed-saved-hundreds-lives-worst-mass-shooting-u-s-history/>. [Accessed 14 May 2020]
8. Branson RD, Blakeman TC, Robinson BR, Johannigman JA. Use of a single ventilator to support 4 patients: laboratory evaluation of a limited concept. *Respir Care* 2012; **57**: 399–403
9. Paladino L, Silverberg M, Charchaflieh JG, et al. Increasing ventilator surge capacity in disasters: ventilation of four adult-human-sized sheep on a single ventilator with a modified circuit. *Resuscitation* 2008; **77**: 121–6
10. Neyman G, Irvin CB. A single ventilator for multiple simulated patients to meet disaster surge. *Acad Emerg Med* 2006; **13**: 1246–9
11. Smith R, Brown JM. Simultaneous ventilation of two healthy subjects with a single ventilator. *Resuscitation* 2009; **80**: 1087
12. Gattinoni L, Chiumello D, Caironi P, et al. COVID-19 pneumonia: different respiratory treatment for different phenotypes? *Intensive Care Med* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06033-2>. Advance Access published on April 14
13. Available from, [https://renal.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/COVID-19\\_synthesis-of-clinical-experience-in-UK-intensive-care\\_04.04.2020\\_FINAL.pdf](https://renal.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/COVID-19_synthesis-of-clinical-experience-in-UK-intensive-care_04.04.2020_FINAL.pdf). [Accessed 14 May 2020]
14. Available from, <https://www.nsmedicaldevices.com/news/prisma-healths-vesper-covid/>. [Accessed 14 May 2020]
15. Available from, <https://www.sccm.org/getattachment/Disaster/FEMA-Report.pdf?lang=en-US>. [Accessed 14 May 2020]
16. Available from, <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/over-80-covid-patients-placed-ventilators-new-york-have-colangelo/?trackingId=twCl8vi%2FRVm884hmSkMQGw%3D%3D>. [Accessed 14 May 2020]
17. Cook DC. Implementing shared ventilation must be scientific and ethical, or it risks harm. *Br J Anaesth Advance Access* published on April 27, 2020, doi:10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.061

*British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 125 (3): 250–253 (2020)

doi: [10.1016/j.bja.2020.05.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.05.019)

Advance Access Publication Date: 27 May 2020

© 2020 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Using applied lung physiology to understand COVID-19 patterns

Matthieu Komorowski<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Scott K. Aberegg<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery and Cancer, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK, <sup>2</sup>Intensive Care Unit, Charing Cross Hospital, London, UK and <sup>3</sup>Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [m.komorowski14@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:m.komorowski14@imperial.ac.uk)



This editorial accompanies: COVID-19 patients with respiratory failure: what can we learn from aviation medicine? by Ottestad & Søvik, *Br J Anaesth* 2020;125: e280–e281, doi: [10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.012)

**Keywords:** ARDS; coronavirus; COVID-19; phenotype; physiology; respiration; ventilation

Identifying patterns in observations has led to some of the most important scientific discoveries, such as the workings of the solar system (Copernicus), natural selection (Darwin), the periodic table (Mendeleev), and plate tectonics (Wegener). Drawing parallels and building models to explain observations are inherent modes of brain functioning to comprehend the

world.<sup>1</sup> As stated by Charbonneau and colleagues,<sup>1</sup> 'Patterns give rise to scientific discovery; a break from an expected pattern or a newly recognized pattern demands to be explored, clarified, and understood. Connecting seemingly unrelated patterns can also lead to scientific discoveries ... When scientists begin to see a series of patterns that all support the same conclusion, they can form a hypothesis that they can test by collecting more data and looking for more patterns'.

Medicine is no exception, and there are countless examples on how observations were used to infer causal hypotheses, most notably for the mode of transmission of cholera by Snow in 1854, the discovery of blood types by Landsteiner in 1901, or the interpretation of the laws of inheritance and genetics by Mendel in 1865. When faced with a new, complex, or poorly understood disease, we look for patterns and diseases that relate (e.g. comparing heat stroke and malignant hyperthermia), or how seemingly related pictures diverge (e.g. differences between cerebral salt wasting syndrome and the syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone). Sometimes, the comparison may appear far-fetched but nonetheless valuable into highlighting disease mechanisms (e.g. muscle disuse in astronauts in space and ICU-acquired weakness<sup>2</sup>). Patterns cannot only lead to discovery, but also to prediction and action. Improving water sanitation in 19th century England had clear effects on cholera epidemics, and understanding the major blood types proved crucial for transfusions during World War I.

Since December 2019, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has rapidly swept across the world. Efforts came from all corners to try to improve our understanding and management of this highly challenging disease, and unsurprisingly, a vast number of hypotheses and suggestions have arisen, based on the patterns of diseases, identifying phenotypes, or drawing parallels with occasionally seemingly disconnected clinical pictures. To list just a few examples, it has been suggested that COVID-19 had two major lung phenotypes<sup>3,4</sup>; that it was related to high-altitude pulmonary oedema<sup>5</sup>; and that it was linked with vitamin C<sup>6</sup> or D,<sup>7</sup> ultraviolet index,<sup>8</sup> melatonin,<sup>9</sup> or nicotine.<sup>10</sup> Some of these hypotheses have generated heated debates amongst scientists. Gattinoni and colleagues<sup>3</sup> describe explicitly the process of seeking patterns in their COVID-19 patients: 'These severely hypoxaemic patients despite sharing a single aetiology (SARS-CoV-2) may present quite differently from one another: normally breathing ("silent" hypoxaemia) or remarkably dyspnoeic; quite responsive to nitric oxide or not; deeply hypocapnic or normo/hypercapnic; and either responsive to prone position or not. Therefore, the same disease actually presents itself with impressive non-uniformity'. Similar remarks can be found online, for example, from an emergency physician in New York: 'You get used to seeing certain patterns, and the patterns I was seeing did not make sense'.<sup>11</sup> Identifying patterns in COVID-19 patients can have clear consequences on predicting future behaviour of a patient or informing clinical decision. For example, recommendations on mechanical ventilation for COVID-19 patients moving away from the strict ARDSnet protocol have been proposed.<sup>3,4</sup>

In this issue of the *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, Ottestad and Søvik<sup>12</sup> compare COVID-19 patients to high-altitude exposure. The authors argue that the acute exposure to altitude in otherwise healthy subjects leads to profound hypoxaemia with relatively little respiratory distress (the so-called 'silent hypoxia' or 'happy hypoxia'), and a decrease in  $P_{aCO_2}$  from compensatory hyperventilation, both phenomena also commonly observed in COVID-19 patients. By exposing healthy subjects to acute hypobaric hypoxia, the authors elegantly show how hypocapnia significantly shifts the oxygen-haemoglobin dissociation curve and improves blood oxygen saturation. The approach taken by Ottestad and Søvik<sup>12</sup> of examining the disease behaviour through the lens of applied lung physiology is very insightful and can be taken one

notch further. A deep understanding of the clinical picture of COVID-19 patients can be gained by analysing the science behind respiratory failure and what drives the minute ventilation.<sup>13–15</sup>

In a steady state, the minute ventilation requirement of a patient is given by

$$\dot{V}E = \frac{\dot{V}P_{CO_2}}{(1 - \frac{VD}{VT}) \cdot Pa_{CO_2} \cdot k}$$

where  $\dot{V}E$  is the minute ventilation ( $L \text{ min}^{-1}$ ),  $\dot{V}P_{CO_2}$  is the  $CO_2$  production ( $L \text{ min}^{-1}$ ),  $VD/VT$  is the ratio of physiological dead space to tidal volume,  $Pa_{CO_2}$  is the partial pressure of  $CO_2$  in arterial blood, and  $k$  is a dimensional constant. This equation demonstrates that minute ventilation requirement is determined by only three factors:  $CO_2$  production,  $VD/VT$ , and  $P_{aCO_2}$ .

It is important, yet under-recognised, that ventilation requirements (as elaborated by the preceding equation) and increased work of breathing are more potent drivers of subjective dyspnoea than hypoxaemia.<sup>14</sup> This underappreciation may result from the fact that, in most clinically encountered diseases with severe hypoxaemia, there is concomitant increased work of breathing, leading to confounding of separate but related processes. The physical loads on the respiratory system responsible for high work of breathing in disease states fall into four main categories: (i) elastic loads impeding tidal excursions (e.g. from parenchymal or pleural disease, or skeletal deformities, such as kyphoscoliosis), (ii) resistive loads on airflow (e.g. from bronchospasm, or airway secretions or stenosis), (iii) inertial mass of the respiratory system (e.g. an obese body habitus, the effects of which are exacerbated by recumbency), and (iv) minute ventilation requirements.<sup>14,16</sup> Minute ventilation requirements magnify the work of breathing: a doubling of minute ventilation doubles the other summative mechanical workloads on the respiratory system. Therefore, if gas exchange abnormalities in some patients with COVID-19 occur earlier than increases in mechanical loads, patients may not experience marked subjective dyspnoea despite low arterial oxygen saturations. If they were previously healthy, their dyspnoea may become apparent only after progression of the disease and development of severe and unsustainable mechanical loads. But, how exactly might respiratory workloads affect the development of dyspnoea and respiratory distress in COVID-19?

Most patients with COVID-19 have elevated minute ventilation, sometimes marked, as a result of increased dead space ventilation,<sup>17</sup> increased  $CO_2$  production from the inflammatory response, or both. However, a very high minute ventilation, up to 50% of the 15 s maximal voluntary ventilation (approximately  $65 L \text{ min}^{-1}$  in young adults, or roughly a tidal volume of 1.5 L at a ventilatory frequency of 45 bpm), can be sustained indefinitely in otherwise healthy persons.<sup>13,18</sup> Thus, just like asymptomatic hypoxia, absence of subjective dyspnoea despite high minute ventilation should not be surprising.

In obese patients, the inertial mass of the respiratory system is increased, and this effect is more pronounced when patients are supine and recumbent. When combined with high minute ventilation, the loads imposed by obesity may raise the work of breathing sufficiently to cause symptoms. Although obesity appears to be an important risk factor for COVID-19 clinical illness and poor outcomes from it,<sup>19</sup> whether this reflects the effects of obesity on the respiratory system or other

factors remains to be determined.<sup>20</sup> To date, early in the course of illness, neither prominent secretions nor bronchospasm have been reported in COVID-19.<sup>21,22</sup> Therefore, resistive loads on the respiratory system do not appear to be significant, at least early in the illness.

This leaves us with the highly contested issue of respiratory system compliance in COVID-19 as a contributor to work of breathing and dyspnoea. It would be curious indeed if hypoxaemia, increased minute ventilation, and markedly reduced respiratory system compliance did not result in significant subjective dyspnoea in COVID-19, as it does in other causes of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). This exposes the possibility that, at least early in the course of illness, lung compliance in COVID-19 is not markedly reduced (and by extension, elastic loads increased), as Gattinoni and colleagues<sup>3</sup> have suggested. In a large cohort of patients receiving mechanical ventilation for COVID-19, mean respiratory system compliance was similar to that in ARDS patients in clinical trials spanning more than two decades.<sup>17</sup>

It is important to note two things about the reported mean compliance in ARDS in patients with COVID-19 (so-called CARDS<sup>4</sup>). First, patients on mechanical ventilation may have the highest illness severity, and thus the lowest respiratory system compliance. In patients in whom the disease has progressed from a normal compliance state without dyspnoea to a low compliance one with significant dyspnoea, dyspnoea itself may have precipitated intubation and mechanical ventilation, and mechanical ventilation may be a surrogate marker for low compliance in COVID-19. Experience and anecdotal reports suggest that there are many patients with hypoxaemia commensurate with typical mechanically ventilated ARDS cohorts, but who are spontaneously breathing comfortably in non-ICU settings on high concentrations of supplemental oxygen, such as high-flow nasal cannula. What is the lung compliance of these patients with severe gas exchange abnormalities, who, in previous cohorts, might have been intubated? Second is that the lung compliance in spontaneously breathing patients with COVID-19 is difficult to measure and has not yet been reported. One worry, and an ongoing controversy in the management of these patients, is the possibility of patient self-induced lung injury (P-SILI), whereby high transpulmonary pressures from air hunger and vigorous ventilatory efforts in spontaneously breathing patients induce and exacerbate existing lung injury.<sup>4,17</sup> According to this line of thinking, patients who begin with relatively normal compliance may, because of marked air hunger resulting in high transpulmonary pressure gradients and ventilatory frequencies, self-inflict lung injury that reduces compliance as they continue to breathe spontaneously while generating high mechanical power.<sup>4,23</sup> In the context of the work of breathing scheme presented, their low compliance measured on the ventilator later in the course of the disease is a consequence of lack of dyspnoea at the outset, which, in other diseases, would have precipitated intubation and mechanical ventilation with lung-protective mechanical ventilation much earlier. Proponents of P-SILI thereby recommend earlier intubation with lung-protective mechanical ventilation utilising low tidal volumes to prevent ongoing self-induced lung injury.<sup>4,24</sup> Because of the protracted course of COVID-19,<sup>21,22</sup> it remains to be seen whether the benefits of such a strategy outweigh the known costs of prolonged sedation and paralysis required to achieve reduced mechanical power that would theoretically prevent P-SILI.<sup>25,26</sup> Important to consider

with this strategy is the increased stress on finite ICU resources, namely, ventilators, beds, and staff.<sup>26</sup>

Whilst it is possible that COVID-19 results in a unique subset or phenotype of ARDS, it is at least as likely that the COVID-19 pandemic has created an unusually large but otherwise usual population of patients with ARDS. The controversies surrounding phenotypes of ARDS in COVID-19 will take several years and reams of data to settle. Until then, it may be useful to utilise this opportunity to reflect on how the symptomatic presentation and course of COVID-19 differ from or conform to our expectations, and what any discrepancies may teach us about the underlying physiological principles. Ottestad and Søvik<sup>12</sup> have pointed us in the right direction for reflection on the pathophysiology as additional data become available. Hopefully, the insights we gain can inform us not only about COVID-19, but about respiratory failure in general. Meanwhile, we should be cautious in intervening on the basis of hypoxaemia and tachypnoea alone, without associated subjective dyspnoea and respiratory distress.<sup>15</sup>

## Authors' contributions

Writing of first draft: MK.

Editing of first draft: both authors.

Approval of final version: both authors.

## Declarations of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Charbonneau A, Moss B, Marriott L. The surprising patterns of health and disease. *Sci Scope (Wash DC)* 2015; **38**: 64–70
- Hides J, Lambrecht G, Ramdharry G, Cusack R, Bloomberg J, Stokes M. Parallels between astronauts and terrestrial patients—taking physiotherapy rehabilitation “to infinity and beyond. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract* 2017; **27**: S32–7
- Gattinoni L, Chiumello D, Caironi P, et al. COVID-19 pneumonia: different respiratory treatments for different phenotypes? *Intensive Care Med* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06033-2>. [Accessed 6 May 2020]
- Marini JJ, Gattinoni L. Management of COVID-19 respiratory distress. *JAMA* 2020. Available from, <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2765302>. [Accessed 6 May 2020]
- Solaimanzadeh I. Acetazolamide, nifedipine and phosphodiesterase inhibitors: rationale for their utilization as adjunctive countermeasures in the treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Cureus* 2020; **12**. Available from, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7096066/>. [Accessed 6 May 2020]
- Carr AC. A new clinical trial to test high-dose vitamin C in patients with COVID-19. *Crit Care* 2020; **24**: 133
- Grant WB, Lahore H, McDonnell SL, et al. Evidence that vitamin D supplementation could reduce risk of influenza and COVID-19 infections and deaths. *Nutrients* 2020; **12**: 988
- Gunthe SS, Swain B, Patra SS, Amte A. On the global trends and spread of the COVID-19 outbreak: preliminary assessment of the potential relation between location-specific

- temperature and UV index. *Z Gesundh Wiss* 24 Apr 2020: 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10389-020-01279-y>
9. Anderson G, Reiter RJ. Melatonin: roles in influenza, Covid-19, and other viral infections. *Rev Med Virol* 2020; **30**, e2109
  10. Changeux J-P, Amoura Z, Rey F, Miyara M. A nicotinic hypothesis for Covid-19 with preventive and therapeutic implications. *Qeios* 2020. Available from, <https://www.queios.com/read/FXGQSB.2>. [Accessed 6 May 2020]
  11. Whyte J, Kyle-Sidell C. Do COVID-19 vent protocols need a second look? *Medscape* 2020. Available from, <http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/928156>. [Accessed 6 May 2020]
  12. Ottestad W, Søvik S. COVID-19 patients with respiratory failure: what can we learn from aviation medicine? *Br J Anaesth* 2020
  13. Kapitan KS. Ventilatory failure. Can you sustain what you need? *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2013; **10**: 396–9
  14. Lumb AB. Chapter 4—control of breathing. In: Lumb AB, editor. *Nunn's Applied Respiratory Physiology*. 8th Edn. Edinburgh, Scotland: Elsevier; 2017. 51–72.e2 Available from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780702062940000046>. [Accessed 5 May 2020]
  15. Tobin MJ. Basing respiratory management of coronavirus on physiological principles. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; **201**: 1319–20
  16. Manthous CA, Schmidt GA, Hall JB. Liberation from mechanical ventilation: a decade of progress. *Chest* 1998; **114**: 886–901
  17. Ziehr DR, Alladina J, Petri CR, et al. Respiratory pathophysiology of mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19: a cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202004-1163LE>
  18. Freedman S. Sustained maximum voluntary ventilation. *Respir Physiol* 1970; **8**: 230–44
  19. Simonnet A, Chetboun M, Poissy J, et al. High prevalence of obesity in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) requiring invasive mechanical ventilation. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.22831>
  20. Memtsoudis SG, Ivascu NS, Pryor KO, Goldstein PA. Obesity as a risk factor for poor outcome in COVID-19-induced lung injury: the potential role of undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnoea. *Br J Anaesth Advance Access* published on May 1, 2020, doi:10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.078
  21. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City Area. *JAMA* 2020; **323**: 2052–9. [Accessed 6 May 2020]
  22. Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, et al. Features of 16,749 hospitalised UK patients with COVID-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol. *medRxiv* 2020. Available from, <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.23.20076042v1>. [Accessed 6 May 2020]
  23. Gattinoni L, Marini JJ, Collino F, et al. The future of mechanical ventilation: lessons from the present and the past. *Crit Care* 2017; **21**: 183
  24. Brochard L, Slutsky A, Pesenti A. Mechanical ventilation to minimize progression of lung injury in acute respiratory failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017; **195**: 438–42
  25. Patel BK, Wolfe KS, Hall JB, Kress JP. A word of caution regarding patient self-inflicted lung injury and prophylactic intubation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017; **196**: 936
  26. Siuba MT, Dugar S, Shekar K. Treading lightly in a pandemic: #Zentensivist reflections on COVID-19. *Chest* 2020. Available from, [https://journal.chestnet.org/article/S0012-3692\(20\)30745-5/abstract](https://journal.chestnet.org/article/S0012-3692(20)30745-5/abstract). [Accessed 6 May 2020]

*British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 125 (3): 253–258 (2020)

doi: [10.1016/j.bja.2020.05.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.05.028)

Advance Access Publication Date: 2 June 2020

© 2020 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## An ethical algorithm for rationing life-sustaining treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic

Julian Savulescu<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Marco Vergano<sup>3,4</sup>, Lucia Craxi<sup>5</sup> and Dominic Wilkinson<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wellcome Centre for Ethics and Humanities and Oxford Uehiro Centre for Practical Ethics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, <sup>2</sup>Murdoch Children's Research Institute and Melbourne Law School, Melbourne, Australia, <sup>3</sup>Italian Society of Anesthesia Analgesia Resuscitation and Intensive Care (SIAARTI), Italy, <sup>4</sup>Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, San Giovanni Bosco Hospital, Turin, Italy, <sup>5</sup>Department of Biomedicine, Neuroscience and Advanced Diagnostics, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy and <sup>6</sup>John Radcliffe Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [julian.savulescu@philosophy.ox.ac.uk](mailto:julian.savulescu@philosophy.ox.ac.uk)

**Keywords:** COVID-19; decision-making; ethics; prognosis; resource allocation; triage; utilitarianism