

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the patients and medical staff who provided the information for this report.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.066.

References

- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 727–33
- 2. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020; **395**: 507–13
- Wang S, Guo L, Chen L, et al. A case report of neonatal COVID-19 infection in China. Clin Infect Dis 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/cid/ciaa225. Adv Access Published March 12
- 4. Dong L, Tian J, He S, et al. Possible vertical transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from an infected mother to her newborn.

JAMA 2020. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.4621. Adv Access Published March 26

- Karimi-Zarchi M, Neamatzadeh H, Dastgheib SA, et al. Vertical transmission of coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) from infected pregnant mothers to neonates: a review. Fetal Pediatr Pathol 2020. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 15513815.2020.1747120. Adv Access published on April 2
- Schwartz DA. An analysis of 38 pregnant women with COVID-19, their newborn infants, and maternal-fetal transmission of SARS-CoV-2: maternal coronavirus infections and pregnancy outcomes. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2020. https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2020-0901-SA. Adv Access Published March 12
- Zhang ZJ, Yu XJ, Fu T, et al. Novel coronavirus infection in newborn babies under 28 days in China. Eur Respir J 2020. https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00697-2020. Adv Access Published March 26
- Odor PM, Neun M, Bampoe S, et al. Anaesthesia and COVID-19: infection control. Br J Anaesth 2020 Apr 8. pii: S0007-0912(20)30200-2
- Xia H, Zhao S, Wu Z, Luo H, Zhou C, Chen X. Emergency Caesarean delivery in a patient with confirmed COVID-19 under spinal anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 2020; 124: e216-8

doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.075 Advance Access Publication Date: 28 April 2020 © 2020 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Spinal anaesthesia and COVID-19 transmission to anaesthetists. Comment on Br J Anaesth 2020; 124: 670–5

Richard Smiley

New York, NY, USA

E-mail: rms7@cumc.columbia.edu

Keywords: Caesarean delivery; COVID-19; obstetric anaesthesia; personal protective equipment; spinal anesthesia

Editor—As an obstetric anaesthesiologist currently working in New York City, at the epicentre of the US outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), I was most interested to read the report of Zhong and colleagues¹ on the experience with spinal anaesthesia (mostly for Caesarean delivery) in Wuhan, China, including evidence of transmission of the virus to anaesthetists.¹ The authors' experience that spinal anaesthesia was well tolerated confirms our experience here in New York, and the few other published reports, which suggest safety, with perhaps some mild hypotension beyond what is usually seen.^{2,3} I do, however, have some questions about the details in this report. It is stated in the abstract that 45/49 subjects had Caesarean sections, but Table 1 says only 42/49 were female. Table 1 also states that most patients were ASA physical status 1. ASA guidelines⁴ state that pregnancy makes a patient ASA physical status 2 at least, so these women who all required supplemental oxygen are probably almost all more properly classified as ASA physical status 3, but by definition are all 2 or greater.

If this was a retrospective study, how did the authors obtain verbal consent from patients? Presumably this data collection was done after, perhaps well after, the actual surgery.

The authors report that these 49 patients who received spinal anaesthesia (mostly for Caesarean delivery) were all 'radiologically-positive' for COVID-19. However, only 13 of these 49 tested positive for the presence of severe acute

DOI of original article: 10.1016/j.bja.2020.03.007.

respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in 'throat swabs' by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT–PCR). It is not clear if these were nasopharyngeal samples or from the oropharynx. While it has been established that there can be fairly high false-negative rates with viral testing, could this very low positive RT–PCR rate be explained by these being oral throat swabs? Data suggest nasopharyngeal swabs are more likely to be positive.⁵ The authors do discuss the false-negative rate. While it is likely all or nearly all of these patients did have COVID-19 based on radiographic signs, the false-negative rate may impact the interpretation of the transmission rates. It would be interesting to know if there was evidence that patients who tested positive by RT–PCR, and who therefore might have possessed a higher viral load, were more infectious.

The implied transmission rates to anaesthesiologists wearing Level 1 personal protective equipment (PPE), and for those wearing Level 3 PPE, during management of spinal anaesthesia seem very high compared with other reports. It seems quite likely that in Wuhan there were multiple other opportunities for acquiring this virus through contact with asymptomatic carriers of the virus, so this report may exaggerate the risk of transmission of COVID-19 via anaesthetist-patient interaction, and should be interpreted with caution. It is unlikely that a single exposure to a patient undergoing spinal anaesthesia for Caesarean delivery or other procedures could result in a 57% transmission rate to those in Level 1 PPE. Given the ~25% positive RT-PCR rate among the presumed positive patients in this study, one can extrapolate that these anaesthetists were exposed to many other viralpositive patients who either did not have severe or noticeable symptoms, but could still have been infectious, or were suspected but did not test positive and were therefore treated as virus-negative.

None of the above concerns should detract from our gratitude for the guidance that we can obtain from the Chinese experience regarding management and protection of patients and healthcare providers as we confront COVID-19 around the world.

Declarations of interest

The author declares that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Zhong Q, Liu YY, Luo Q, et al. Spinal anaesthesia for patients with coronavirus disease 2019 and possible transmission rates in anaesthetists: retrospective, single-centre, observational cohort study. Br J Anaesth 2020; 124: 670–5
- Chen R, Zhang Y, Huang L, Cheng BH, Xia ZY, Meng QT. Safety and efficacy of different anesthetic regimens for parturients with COVID-19 undergoing Cesarean delivery: a case series of 17 patients. Can J Anaesth 2020. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12630-020-01630-7. Adv Access published on March 16
- Xia H, Zhao S, Wu Z, Luo H, Zhou C, Chen X. Emergency Caesarean delivery in a patient with confirmed COVID-19 under spinal anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 2020; 124. e216–e8
- 4. ASA Physical Status Classification System. https://www. asahq.org/standards-and-guidelines/asa-physical-statusclassification-system. accessed 7 May, 2020
- 5. Xie C, Lu J, Wu D, et al. False negative rate of COVID-19 is eliminated by using nasal swab test. *Travel Med Infect Dis Adv* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101668. Access published on April 11

doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.075 Advance Access Publication Date: 01 May 2020 © 2020 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Rational perioperative utilisation and management during the COVID-19 pandemic

Vijay Krishnamoorthy, Raquel Bartz and Karthik Raghunathan

Durham, NC, USA

*Corresponding author. E-mail: Vijay.krishnamoorthy@duke.edu

Keywords: COVID-19; critical care; elective surgery; operating theatre utilisation; Premier healthcare database; surge planning

Editor—Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), is challenging healthcare capacity worldwide. Initial reports suggest that 5-12% of patients require critical care^{1,2} and, given the spread of COVID-19 thus far, this may

represent a massive number of critically ill patients. The experiences in both Italy and China suggest that this is possible. Entire critical care hospitals needed to be constructed in China to handle the surge of patients that presented to the healthcare system; in Italy, the exponential