

Mahbobeh Faramarzi (PhD)<sup>1</sup>  
 Farzan Kheirkhah (MD)<sup>1\*</sup>  
 Shahnaz Barat (MD)<sup>2</sup>  
 Pim Cuijpers (MD)<sup>3</sup>  
 Elizabeth O'Connor (MD)<sup>4</sup>  
 Reza Ghadimi (MD, PhD)<sup>1</sup>  
 Karimollah Hajian-Tilaki (PhD)<sup>5</sup>  
 Zeynab Pahlavan (MD)<sup>2</sup>  
 Angela Hamidia (MD)<sup>1</sup>  
 Seyedeh Mahboubeh Mirtabar (MD)<sup>6</sup>  
 Mahtab Zeinalzadeh (MD)<sup>2</sup>  
 Zahra Basirat (MD)<sup>2\*</sup>

1. Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran,
2. Infertility and Health Reproductive Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran
3. Department of Clinical Neuro and Developmental Psychology, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
4. Center for Health Research, Kaiser Permanente Northwest, Portland, Oregon, USA
5. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran,
6. Clinical Research Development Unit of Ayatollah Rouhani Hospital, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

\* Correspondence:

Zahra Basirat, Fatemazahra Infertility and Reproductive Health Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

E-mail: basiratzahra@yahoo.com

Tel: 0098 11322744881

Fax: 0098 11322744881

Received: 617 Dec 2019

Revised: 28 Jan 2020

Accepted: 8 Feb 2020

## Prevalence and factors related to psychiatric symptoms in low risk pregnancy

### Abstract

**Background:** Psychiatric disorders are associated with poor pregnancy outcomes both for mother and child. This study aimed to determine the prevalence and related demographic risk factors of psychiatric symptoms among the pregnant women in Babol City.

**Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted in five private and public obstetrics clinics of Babol city. During routine appointments of prenatal care, 176 pregnant women filled in three questionnaires including; sociodemographic questionnaire, Edinburg Prenatal Depression Scale (EPDS), and Symptom Checklist-25 (SCL-25). Wilcoxon test, Spearman correlation, and multivariate logistic regression tests were used to interpret the data.

**Results:** The prevalence of depressive disorders was 15.4%% for Edinburg scores  $\geq 13$ . The overall rate of maternal psychiatric symptoms (global severity index or GSI scores  $\geq 1.75$ ) was 48.5%. The prevalence of psychiatric symptoms was high; for 25% somatization, 25.8% anxiety, obsession-compulsion disorders or OCD 6.4%, 8.8% interpersonal sensitivity, 5.3% phobia, 7.6% paranoid ideation, and 1.2% psychoticism. Multivariate logistic regression revealed that pregnant women with history of abortion in previous pregnancy were at risk of depressive symptoms more ( $\beta=3.18$ , CI 1.28-7.93,  $p=0.01$ ) than those without history of abortion. Also, the only demographic factor related to psychiatric symptoms was the age of pregnant women; younger age was associated with higher symptom levels for GSI ( $r=-0.17$ ).

**Conclusion:** The high prevalence of psychiatric symptoms, especially depressive symptoms, in pregnant women highlights the need for continued research on screening, identifying the risk factors, and developing effective treatments for mental disorders in pregnant women.

**Keywords:** Psychiatric, Mental disorders, Pregnancy, Depression, Anxiety, Maternal distress

### Citation:

Faramarzi M, Kheirkhah F, Barat S, et al. Prevalence and factors related to psychiatric symptoms in low risk pregnancy. Caspian J Intern Med 2020; 11(2):211-218.

Psychiatric disorders are one the most common morbidities of pregnancy that can have adverse effects on the mothers, child, and family (1). Depressive disorders are the most common psychiatric disorders with incidence of 1 out of 7–10 pregnant women (2). The prevalence of depression ranged 15% to 20% in low-and lower-middle-income countries (3). The prevalence of antenatal anxiety/depressive symptoms is reported 25% in 2014, in a general hospital in Babol city, North of Iran. (4). A recent review (2019) reported that the prevalence of antenatal depressed women with complicated pregnancies ranged from 12.5 to 44.2% (5). Similarly, the likelihood of high-risk pregnancy-related conditions such as gestational diabetes mellitus is higher in women with severe psychiatric disorders (20.9%) compared with women with non-psychiatric severe mental illnesses (8.3%) (6).

Psychiatric disorders during pregnancy are associated with poor quality of life for mothers (7). Also, depression/anxiety during pregnancy increases the risk of preterm birth, low birth weight, and intrauterine growth restriction (8-10). Antenatal psychiatric disorders increase the risk of psychological and developmental disturbances in children (11). Depressive disorders during pregnancy may be associated with poorer cognitive and behavioral function in children (11), attention disorders (13), emotional problems (14), childhood anxiety (13), and mother-child attachment disorders (15). Also, children of mothers with depression remain at increased risk of depression themselves into adolescence (16).

Although a lot of researches have focused on prenatal and postnatal depression, increasing evidence shows substantial morbidity from other mental disorders, such as anxiety disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and eating disorders (1). Two-thirds of pregnant women reported anxiety during pregnancy that was often comorbid with depressive disorders (17). A study reported the prevalence of PTSD 2.8% to 5.6% at around 6 weeks following birth (18).

To address these gaps in the literature, the study aimed to investigate to determine the prevalence of psychiatric symptoms among pregnant women in Babol city. The second purpose of the study was to investigate the possible association between psychiatric symptoms during pregnancy and the independent demographic factors. The current research is novel in a number of ways. First, there are limited data regarding the prevalence of psychiatric disorders in pregnant women in the world. Second, to the best of our knowledge, no published study has reported the prevalence of psychiatric symptoms in Iranian population. Finally, this theory is gaining popularity that factors associated with psychiatric disorders in pregnancy are related to specific cultural norms. Thus, Iranian culture influences the way pregnant women expose to psychiatric disorders. Therefore, an understanding of factors valued by Iranian culture will increase the success of potential interventions to prevent psychiatric disorders.

## Method

**Study participants:** This is a descriptive cross-sectional study of pregnant women in the maternity care of Babol city (North of Iran) from May to September 2019. This research

was approved by the Ethics Committee of National Institute for Medical Research Development (IR.NIMAD.REC.1398.015).

A convenience sample of participants was collected in five obstetrics clinics of Babol city (a large public hospital and four private clinics). With the assumption of the prevalence of depressive symptoms in 20% in pregnant women, this allocated sample size estimated 170, in which the marginal error of estimate does not exceed than 0.06 with 95% confidence level.

Pregnant women were eligible to participate in the study, if they met the following criteria: age 18 years or older, having at least a primary school education, no severe cognitive or developmental impairment, no pregnancy complication, and consent to enter the study. Women having history of high risk pregnancy such as hypertension, hemorrhage, and diabetes were excluded. Of the 200 women invited to join the study, 20 did not meet the criteria to enter the study or declined. Also, 4 persons who did not fill out the questionnaires completely were excluded from the analysis. Therefore, the data of 176 pregnant women were analyzed.

During routine prenatal care appointments, a midwife explained the study and invited the pregnant women to enter the study. Then she assessed the inclusion/exclusion criteria for the women who accepted her invitation. At the end of the appointment, the midwife gave the participant the questionnaires to be completed. All of the participants filled three questionnaires including; sociodemographic questionnaire, Edinburg Prenatal Depression Scale (EPDS), and Symptom Checklist-25 (SCL-25).

**Measurements:** A sociodemographic questionnaire was used to describe the characteristics of the participants in terms of age, educational level, marital status, job, parity. The use of the Edinburg Depression Scale (EPDS) as a component of universal routine assessment to identify perinatal depression is one of the key recommendations of the worldwide research (19). EPDS is a 10-item questionnaire and a widely used instrument to assess depressive symptoms in both the antenatal and postnatal periods. We used an EPDS cutoff  $\geq 13$  ("possible" depression) to screen positive for depression symptoms (19). We used validated Persian EPDS in this study (20). Symptom Checklist-25 (SCL-25) is a brief form of SCL-90 with 25 questions in a Likert 0-4 included: never (0), a few (1), somewhat (2), great (3) and very great or severe (4). The scale covers eight subscales including somatization,

obsession-compulsion disorders (OCD), interpersonal sensitivity, phobia, depression, anxiety, paranoid ideation, and psychoticism. Raw scores were calculated by dividing the sum of scores for each subscale by the number of items. Also, the global severity index (GSI) was used to measure the extent or depth of the individual's mental health problems; by dividing the sum of scores of all questions on the number of questions. We used the Iranian version of SCL-25 that has suitable validity (Cronbach's alpha 0.97) and reliability (re-test coefficients 0.78) (21). The current study used a cutoff SCL-25  $\geq 1.75$  to indicate the likely mental health problems for each of the SCL-25 subscales and for GSI (22).

**Statistical analysis:** All data were analyzed using SPSS (Version 17.0, IBM, Chicago, IL, USA) software. Mothers' demographic characteristics were categorized as follows: age (18-29 vs  $>30$ ), education (below diploma, high school graduate or higher), employment (employed, un employed), place of living (urban, rural), gestational age ( $<20$ , 20-41 weeks), parity (0, 1,  $\geq 2$ ), and previous abortions (0,  $\geq 1$ ). All subscales of SCL-25, and GSI were not normally distributed so we conducted Wilcoxon rank-sum tests to compare the mean mental health scale scores across demographic subpopulations. To assess whether the likelihood of meeting the EPDS cut-off indicating possible depression differed by demographic characteristics, stepwise multivariate logistic regression test were used. Statistical significance was determined as a  $p < 0.05$ , all P values were two-tailed.

## Results

Table 1 describes the demographic characteristics of the participants. The mean age of the subjects was  $29.05 \pm 5.74$  years. A large proportion of the women had high school/university level of education (89.8%) and 78.4% were unemployed.

The prevalence of depressive symptoms (based on EPDS scores  $\geq 13$ ) was 15.4%. The mean (SD) EPDS scores was  $8.16(4.10)$ . The mean GSI score was  $1.99 \pm 1.31$ . The prevalence of having psychiatric symptoms (based on  $GSI \geq 1.75$ ) was 49.5%. The results of this study showed (based on the mean score each subscale SCL-25  $\geq 1.75$ ) a rate of somatization at 25%, OCD at 6.4%, interpersonal sensitivity at 8.8%, phobia at 5.3%, depression at 23.4%, anxiety at 25.8%, paranoid ideation at 7.6%, and psychoticism at 1.2%.

**Table1. Characteristics of the study population**

Variables	N (%)
Age (years)	
18-30	92 (52.3)
$\geq 30$	84 (41.1)
Education	
Below diploma	18 (10.2)
High school graduate	82 (46.6)
University level	76 (43.2)
Job	
Employee	38 (21.6)
Unemployed	138 (78.4)
Gestational Age (Weeks)	
$<20$	39 (22.2)
20-41	110 (62.5)
Place of Living	
Town	119 (67.6)
Village	57 (32.4)
Parity	
0	101 (57.4)
1	60 (34.1)
$\geq 2$	15 (8.5)
Number of Abortion	
0	135 (76.7)
$\geq 1$	41 (23.3)

To determine whether demographic characteristics' population were associated with the risk of psychiatric symptoms, we analyzed the mean scores of the SCL-25 subscales based on categorical demographic of the participants (table 2). The mean scores were higher for women under 30 years old for anxiety ( $p=0.05$ ) and psychoticism ( $p=0.05$ ). The mean scores of phobic anxiety and paranoid ideation were higher for women with a diploma than for those with less education, and intermediate for those who attended university ( $p=0.04$  for phobic anxiety,  $p=0.02$  for paranoid ideation).

The mean scores of other subscales of SCL-25, as well as GSI did not differ among the categorical groups for other demographic characteristics (urban women vs rural, gestational age of  $\leq 20$  weeks vs 21-41 weeks; parity one child vs more than one child, with vs. without a history of abortion). Also, the only demographic factor related to psychiatric symptoms was the age of pregnant women; younger age was associated with higher symptom level for GSI ( $r=-0.17$ ). We did not find an association between the

likelihood of meeting the cutoff for depressive symptoms (EPDS  $\geq 13$ ) and any demographic characteristics except for abortion. Pregnant women with history of abortion in

previous pregnancy were at risk of depressive symptoms more ( $\beta=3.18$ , CI 1.28-7.93,  $p=0.01$ ) than those without history of abortion (table 3).

**Table2. Comparison of mean (SD) scores of the psychiatric symptoms according to characteristics of the study Population**

Variables	Somatization Mean(SD) ***P-value	Interpersonal Mean(SD) P-value	OCD* Mean(SD) P-value	Depression Mean(SD) P-value	Anxiety Mean(SD) P-value	Phobic Anxiety Mean(SD) P-value	Paranoid Ideation Mean(SD) P-value	Psychoticism Mean(SD) P-value	GSI** Mean(SD) P-value
<b>Age</b>									
(years)	1.15 (0.81)	0.79 (0.72)	0.75(0.73)	0.74 (0.73)	0.88(0.81)	0.48(0.55)	0.83(1.04)	0.35 (0.39)	2.16(1.42)
18-30	1.05 (0.65)	0.60 (0.62)	0.60(0.59)	0.61 (0.56)	0.64(0.65)	0.42(0.50)	0.57(0.79)	0.25 (0.38)	1.80(1.13)
$\geq 30$	0.580	0.064	0.208	0.378	0.050	0.506	0.139	0.048	0.113
<b>Education</b>									
Under diploma	0.86 (0.61)	0.80 (0.66)	0.56(0.59)	0.73 (0.73)	0.64(0.58)	0.23(0.42)	0.35(0.49)	0.35 (0.44)	1.63(1.09)
Diploma	1.18 (0.79)	0.68 (0.62)	0.68(0.58)	0.68 (0.59)	0.85(0.79)	0.50(0.54)	0.97(1.05)	0.37 (0.38)	2.14(1.34)
Diploma university	1.08 (0.69)	0.69 (0.74)	0.71(0.78)	0.67 (0.72)	0.71(0.73)	0.46(0.52)	0.51(0.81)	0.23 (0.37)	1.92(1.30)
	0.136	0.495	0.434	0.996	0.451	0.036	0.025	0.590	0.164
<b>Job</b>									
Employee	1.13 (0.71)	0.77 (0.81)	0.73(0.90)	0.79 (0.88)	0.83(0.77)	0.56(0.58)	0.69(0.92)	0.36 (0.49)	2.16(1.54)
Unemployee	1.09 (0.75)	0.68 (0.64)	0.67(0.60)	0.65 (0.59)	0.75(0.74)	0.42(0.51)	0.71(0.95)	0.29 (0.36)	1.95(1.24)
	0.710	0.839	0.576	0.766	0.577	0.148	0.955	0.715	0.705
<b>Gestational Age</b>									
(Weeks)	1.09 (0.71)	0.45 (0.48)	0.58(0.62)	0.52 (0.55)	0.62(0.53)	0.48(0.60)	0.40(0.64)	0.27 (0.29)	1.77(1.18)
<20	1.10 (0.75)	0.81 (0.74)	0.75(0.71)	0.75 (0.69)	0.78(0.79)	0.42(0.48)	0.76(0.98)	0.31 (0.40)	2.04(1.34)
20-41	0.996	0.008	0.185	0.078	0.553	0.735	0.057	0.882	0.354
<b>Place of Living</b>									
Town	1.13 (0.79)	0.72 (0.70)	0.66(0.65)	0.67 (0.65)	0.75(0.76)	0.43(0.50)	0.68(0.93)	0.29 (0.40)	1.98(1.35)
Village	1.04 (0.61)	0.66 (0.63)	0.73(0.72)	0.70 (0.70)	0.82(0.73)	0.50(0.58)	0.78	0.35	2.03(1.21)
	0.943	0.749	0.583	0.967	0.326	0.548	0.390	0.113	0.509
<b>Parity</b>									
0	1.09 (0.75)	0.70 (0.64)	0.72(0.68)	0.71 (0.70)	0.80(0.74)	0.46(0.56)	0.67(0.87)	0.35 (0.40)	2.02(1.27)
1	1.16 (0.68)	0.75 (0.74)	0.67(0.69)	0.68 (0.60)	0.71(0.76)	0.46(0.48)	0.75(1.04)	0.23 (0.29)	2.02(1.27)
$\geq 2$	0.96 (0.84)	0.50 (0.71)	0.45(0.54)	0.53 (0.63)	0.76(0.79)	0.35(0.46)	0.78(0.97)	0.33 (0.55)	1.68(1.67)
	0.165	0.117	0.295	0.337	0.822	0.490	0.784	0.851	0.172
<b>Number of Abortion</b>									
0	1.09 (0.70)	0.73 (0.68)	0.72(0.71)	0.70 (0.69)	0.77(0.74)	0.47(0.53)	0.73(0.93)	0.31 (0.37)	2.03(1.27)
$\geq 1$	1.14 (0.85)	0.58 (0.66)	0.54(0.52)	0.62 (0.55)	0.76(0.79)	0.38(0.51)	0.64(0.98)	0.29 (0.44)	1.88(1.44)
	0.935	0.069	0.231	0.702	0.618	0.249	0.400	0.300	0.310

\*Obsession Compulsion Disorder

\*\* Global Severity of Symptoms Index

\*\*\* Results of Wilcoxon tests

**Table 3. The relationship between demographic factors and depressive symptoms based on stepwise multiple logistic regression**

variables	No depressive symptoms N (%)	Depressive symptoms* N (%)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	**p-value
Age (years)				
18-30	76 (82.6)	16 (17.4)	Ref	0.39
≥30	72 (86.7)	11 (13.3)	0.63 (0.22, 1.82)	
Education				
Under diploma	13 (76.5)	4 (23.5)	Ref	0.75
Diploma	66 (80.5)	16 (19.5)	0.80 (0.20, 3.20)	
university	69 (90.8)	7 (9.20)	0.26 (0.53, 1.31)	
Job				
unemployed	35 (92.1)	3 (7.9)	Ref	0.74
Employe	113 (82.5)	24 (17.5)	0.78 (0.18, 3.30)	
Gestational Age (Weeks)				
<20	34 (87. 2)	5.0 (12.8)	Ref	0.48
20-41	90 (82.6)	19 (17.4)	1.50 (0.47, 4.76)	
Place of Living				
Urban	98 (83.1)	20 (16.9)	Ref	0.30
Rural	50 (87.7)	7.0 (12.3)	0.56 (0.18, 1.70)	
Parity				
0	88 (87.1)	13 (12.9)	Ref	0.92
1	48 (80.0)	12 (20)	0.94 (0.28, 3.19)	
≥2	12 (85.7)	2 (14.3)	0.74 (0.11, 4.81)	
Number of Abortion				
0	119 (88.8)	15 (11.2)	Ref	0.024*
≥1	29 (70.7)	12 (29.3)	3.62 (1.81, 11.11)	

\*Depressive symptoms defined as Edinburg depression score≥13, No depressive symptoms: Edinburg depression score<13

\*\*Results of stepwise multivariate logistic regression

## Discussion

This is the first study to examine the prevalence and demographic relations of psychiatric symptoms in women with low risk pregnancies in Babol City. We found that the prevalence of depressive symptoms was high (15.7%). The percentage of depressive symptoms found in this study was lower than in other studies. The prevalence of depression in pregnancy may vary from 17.5% in Asia, 19.5% in Western Europe (23), and 33% in the United States (24). The rate of depressive symptoms ranged 15% to 28% in Brazil with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) to assess depression (25). However, the prevalence of depression in some studies was reported higher than this study. More than half of pregnant women suffered from depression in Pakistan (26). The differences of prevalence may be related to type of study, the diversity of assessment tools, diversity of cutoff

points of depression tools, and sociocultural heterogeneity of nations (27). The rate of maternal severity psychiatric symptoms (GSI of SCL-25≥1.75) was high (49.5%) with the mean GSI score 1.99±1.31 in women with low risk pregnancies of Babol City. A study reported the GSI 1.20±0.28 for pregnant women in Shanghai based on SCL-90-R (28). While we cannot determine the proportion with psychiatric illness based on the GSI cutoff, it may be higher than the rates in the USA, where a study found the 25.3% of women met DSM-IV criteria for a psychiatric disorder (29). A study identified that 37.14% of pregnant women needed to psychiatric intervention in Iran- Kashan City (30).

The only demographic factor related to psychiatric symptoms was the age of pregnant women. From this study, it also appears that there is no association between psychiatric symptoms and education, parity, location of

living, and job of pregnant women. This finding is not supported by the previous study by Mayberry et al. who noted that the young maternal age, low education, not being employed full time, and multiparity were risk factors for depressive symptoms (31). Also, another study reported that maternal low educational attainment increased the risk of psychiatric symptoms in antenatal period (32). Culture-specific factors may be contributing to differences in risk factors of antenatal psychiatric disorders.

The only demographic factor predicted to depressive symptoms was the history of previous abortion. From this study, women with history of abortion were at 3.18 more at risk of depressive symptoms. This finding is supported by the previous research that emphasized pregnant women with a history of spontaneous abortion are at risk of psychiatric morbidities in subsequent pregnancies (33).

There are some limitations that may affect the generalization of the results. First, this is conducted in one city and may not be generalized and that the sample size is relatively small. Second, the assessment of psychiatric symptoms was performed with self-report scales. It is suggested that in future studies clinical interviews with a specialist may be added in the psychological scales. Second, we did not report the rate of psychiatric symptoms in the postnatal period. We recommend future studies to measure the prevalence of psychiatric disorders from prenatal to delivery and postnatal period. Further, this study was conducted on women with low risk pregnancies, so it would not be generalized to high risk pregnancies. Complicated pregnancies may increase the prevalence of psychiatric disorders in antenatal period. In the future, it is recommended to assess the prevalence and risk factors of psychiatric disorders in women with high risk pregnancy.

The high prevalence of psychiatric symptoms, especially depressive symptoms, in low risk pregnant women emphasize the need for continued research to screen, identify the risk factors and develop effective treatments for psychiatric disorders in pregnant women. Our findings suggest that the detection of psychiatric disorders during pregnancy may be valuable in helping women access the needed mental health services. The results suggest that healthcare/ physicians should be aware of about one third of women are at risk of depression. Therefore, psychiatric symptom screening during routine antenatal care may improve the detection of psychiatric disorders. Development and testing of safe treatments for pregnant women may

increase the rates of treatment-seeking of those who were diagnosed as psychiatric disorders.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the patients who completed the questionnaire. Also, we thank Shiva Shafie rizi, MS Midwifery Counseling student of Babol University of Medical Sciences who helped us gather the data.

**Funding:** The National Institute for Medical Research Development (Grant Number: 973413).

**Conflict Interest:** The authors report no conflict interest.

## References

1. Howard LM, Molyneaux E, Dennis CL, et al. Non-psychotic mental disorders in the perinatal period. *Lancet* 2014; 384: 1775-88.
2. American Psychiatric Association. Position statement on screening and treatment of mood and anxiety disorders during pregnancy and postpartum; 2018. Available at: <http://www.psychiatry.org> > Organization-Documents-Policies > Policies Position-Screening-and-Treatment-Mood-Anxiety-Disorders-During-Pregnancy-Postpartum.pdf
3. Fisher J, Cabral de Mello M, Patel V, et al. Prevalence and determinants of common perinatal mental disorders in women in low- and lower-middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Bull World Health Organ* 2012; 90: 139G-49G.
4. Rezaee R, Framarzi M. Predictors of mental health during pregnancy. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res* 2014; 19: S45-50.
5. Tsakiridis I, Bousi V, Dagklis T, et al. Epidemiology of antenatal depression among women with high-risk pregnancies due to obstetric complications: a scoping review. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2019; 300: 849-59.
6. Galbally M, Frayne J, Watson SJ, Morgan V, Snellen M. The association between gestational diabetes mellitus, antipsychotics and severe mental illness in pregnancy: A multicentre study. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 2020; 60: 63-9.
7. Omidvar S, Faramarzi M, Hajian-Tilak K, Nasiri Amiri F. Associations of psychosocial factors with pregnancy healthy life styles. *PloS One* 2018; 13: e0191723.

8. Faramarzi M, Hassanjanzadeh P, Khafri S. Maternal mid- and late-pregnancy distress and birth outcome: A causal model of the mediatory role of pregnancy-specific distress. *Int J Reprod Biomed (Yazd)* 2019; 17: 585-90.
9. Grote NK, Bridge JA, Gavin AR, Melville JL, Iyengar S, Katon WJ. A meta-analysis of depression during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth, low birth weight, and intrauterine growth restriction. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2010; 67: 1012-24.
10. Hasanjanzadeh P, Faramarzi M. Relationship between maternal general and specific-pregnancy stress, anxiety, and depression symptoms and pregnancy outcome. *J Clin Diagn Res* 2017; 11: VC04-VC07.
11. Stein A, Pearson RM, Goodman SH, et al. Effects of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child. *Lancet* 2014; 384: 1800-19.
12. Letourneau NL, Tramonte L, Willms JD. Maternal depression, family functioning and children's longitudinal development. *J Pediatr Nurs* 2013; 28: 223-34.
13. Davis EP, Sandman CA. Prenatal psychobiological predictors of anxiety risk in preadolescent children. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2012; 37: 1224-33.
14. Leis JA, Heron J, Stuart EA, Mendelson T. Associations between maternal mental health and child emotional and behavioral problems: does prenatal mental health matter? *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2014; 42: 161-71.
15. Lyons-Ruth K, Zoll D, Connell D, Grunebaum HU. The depressed mother and her one-year-old infant: environment, interaction, attachment, and infant development. *New Dir Child Dev* 1986; 34: 61-82.
16. Pearson RM, Evans J, Kounali D, et al. Maternal depression during pregnancy and the postnatal period: risks and possible mechanisms for offspring depression at age 18 years. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013; 70: 1312-9.
17. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health (UK). National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence: Guidance. generalised anxiety disorder in adults: management in primary, secondary and community care. Leicester (UK): British Psychological Society 2011. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22536620>.
18. Olde E, van der Hart O, Kleber R, van Son M. Posttraumatic stress following childbirth: a review. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2006; 26: 1-16.
19. O'Connor E, Rossom RC, Henninger M, Groom HC, Burda BU. Primary care screening for and treatment of depression in pregnant and postpartum women evidence report and systematic review for the US preventive services task force. *JAMA* 2016; 315: 388-406.
20. Mazhari S, Nakhaee N. Validation of the edinburgh postnatal depression scale in an iranian sample. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2007; 10: 293-7.
21. Najarian B, Davoodi I. Construction and validation of a short form of the scl-90-r (scl-25). *J Psychol* 2001; 5: 139-49.
22. Strand BH, Dalgard OS, Tambs K, Rognerud M. Measuring the mental health status of the Norwegian population: a comparison of the instruments SCL-25, SCL-10, SCL-5 and MHI-5 (SF-36). *Nord J Psychiatry* 2003; 57: 113-8.
23. Yedid Sion M, Harlev A, Weintraub AY, Sergienko R, Sheiner E. Is antenatal depression associated with adverse obstetric and perinatal outcomes? *J Matern Fetal Neonat Med* 2016; 29: 863-7.
24. Wisner KL, Sit DK, McShea MC, et al. Onset timing, thoughts of self-harm, and diagnoses in postpartum women with screen-positive depression findings. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013; 70: 490-8.
25. Moraes EV, Campos RN, Avelino MM. Depressive symptoms in pregnancy: the influence of social, psychological and obstetric aspects. 2016; 38: 293-300.
26. Waqas A, Raza N, Lodhi HW, Muhammad Z, Jamal M, Rehman A. Psychosocial factors of antenatal anxiety and depression in Pakistan: is social support a mediator? *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0116510.
27. Fisher J, Tran T, La BT, et al. Common perinatal mental disorders in northern Viet Nam: community prevalence and health care use. *Bull World Health Organ* 2010; 88: 737-45.
28. Lin Y, Xu J, Huang J, et al. Effects of prenatal and postnatal maternal emotional stress on toddlers' cognitive and temperamental development. *J Affect Disord* 2017; 207: 9-17.
29. Vesga-Lopez O, Blanco C, Keyes K, et al. Psychiatric disorders in pregnant and postpartum women in the United States. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2008; 65: 805-15.
30. Noorbala AA, Afzali HM, Abedinia N, et al. Investigation of the effectiveness of psychiatric interventions on the mental health of pregnant women in Kashan City - Iran: A clinical trial study. *Asian J Psychiatr* 2019; 46: 79-86.

31. Mayberry LJ, Horowitz JA, Declercq E. Depression symptom prevalence and demographic risk factors among U.S. women during the first 2 years postpartum. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 2007; 36: 542-9.
32. Lara MA, Navarrete L, Nieto L, Le HN. Childhood abuse increases the risk of depressive and anxiety symptoms and history of suicidal behavior in Mexican pregnant women. *Braz J Psychiatry* 2015; 37: 203-10.
33. Haghparast E, Faramarzi M, Hassanzadeh R. Psychiatric symptoms and pregnancy distress in subsequent pregnancy after spontaneous abortion history. *Pak J Med Sci* 2016; 32: 1097-101.