



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Deciphering the power of isolation in controlling COVID-19 outbreaks



Isolation of cases and contacts has long been a strategy in the fight against infectious diseases; however, its effectiveness has varied. The modelling study by Joel Hellewell and colleagues¹ qualitatively explored the parameters that determine whether isolation of cases and contacts can successfully contain COVID-19 outbreaks after importation of travel-related cases and initial transmissions.

Initial outbreak sizes were among the key determinants for the success of isolation. 2 months ago, the world knew almost nothing about COVID-19, and Wuhan—the epicentre of the outbreak—did not have the luxury of early detection and response. Challenged by the reality that earlier opportunities had been missed, China launched a costly public health response in Wuhan, which involved many tactics besides isolation of cases and contacts, including lockdown of the city and mass quarantine, social distancing mandates, school closures, and intense case finding and contact tracing by the medical and public health professionals who were mobilised across the country to come to Wuhan.²⁻⁴ The approach in Wuhan and the nearby cities in Hubei Province took exceptional measures in response to the outbreak, because there was evidence of high-level community transmission and widespread nosocomial infections.⁵ As of Feb 11, 2020, 3019 COVID-19 cases among health workers had been reported, with at least five deaths.^{5,6} In many regions outside of China, decision makers and the medical community still have the opportunity of early detection and response.² The Article by Hellewell and colleagues gives us a clearer sense of how quickly the window for early response is closing: when the number of initial cases increases to 40, the probability of failure to control is high, at 80% even with 80% of contacts traced and isolated. Based on the early experience in Wuhan, the number of COVID-19 cases could increase from 20 to 40 cases within 3 days (from Jan 6–8, 2020), and outbreak sizes doubled in every 7.4 days on average, highlighting the urgency of early detection and rapid response.⁷

In Hellewell and colleagues' model, transmission before symptoms, even when the percentage is moderate, at 15–30%, had a marked effect on probability to control.¹

Unlike the severe acute respiratory syndrome virus, where almost all onward transmissions occur after symptom onset,⁸ we now know that transmission of COVID-19 virus can occur before symptom onset. In the fifth version of Chinese guidelines governing contact tracing, it defined close contacts as “those who have been in close contact since 2 days before the onset of symptoms in suspected and confirmed cases, or 2 days prior to an asymptomatic confirmed case,” which reflects our current understanding that secondary transmission of COVID-19 virus is possible at least 2 days before symptom onset.⁹ However, the efficiency of transmission remains uncertain, and seroprevalence studies among different contacts will be important. Transmission by people with no or mild symptoms can dampen the power of the isolation strategy because of reduced likelihood of isolating all cases and tracing all contacts. The identification and testing of potential cases need to be as extensive as is permitted by health care and diagnostic testing capacity—including the identification, testing, and isolation of suspected cases with no or mild disease (eg, influenza-like illness).

Another major challenge to the completeness in case isolation is that nucleic acid testing—the main tool for case identification—has a variable rate of false-negative results; so even symptomatic cases could be set free, and thus weakening the feasibility of controlling COVID-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts. Aiming to improve the completeness of isolation to curb all transmissions, Hubei province revised the case definition between Feb 5 and 18, 2020, and added clinically diagnosed cases, which eliminated the requirement for a positive nucleic acid test.¹⁰ The development of better tests is a research priority internationally.

With more research and high-tech groups joining the fight, we might also see advances in contact tracing. In this fight against COVID-19, control measures such as isolation and contact tracing might indeed gain more power, thanks to modern technology.

We declare no competing interests.

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Yan Niu, *Fujie Xu
fujieXu@zju.edu.cn

This online publication has been corrected. The corrected version first appeared at [thelancet.com/lancetgh](https://www.thelancet.com/lancetgh) on March 26, 2020

See [Articles](#) page e488

Public Health Emergency Center, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China (YN); Collaborative Innovation Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, the First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310003, China (FX)

- 1 Hellewell J, Abbott S, Gimma A, et al. Feasibility of controlling COVID-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts. *Lancet Glob Health* 2020; **8**: e488–96.
- 2 WHO. Report of the WHO-China joint mission on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). World Health Organization, 2020. <https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf> (accessed Feb 24, 2020).
- 3 Chen W, Wang Q, Li YQ, et al. Early containment strategies and core measures for prevention and control of novel coronavirus pneumonia in China. *Chin J Prev Med* 2020, **54**: 1–6.
- 4 Special Expert Group for Control of the Epidemic of Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia of the Chinese Preventive Medicine Association. Consideration on the strategies during epidemic stage changing from emergency response to continuous prevention and control. *Chin J Epidemiol* 2020, **41**: 297–300.
- 5 The Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China. *China CDC Weekly* 2020; **2**: 113–22.
- 6 Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China. *JAMA* 2020; published online Feb 24. DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.2648.
- 7 Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus—infected pneumonia. *N Engl J Med* 2020; published online Jan 29. DOI:10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.
- 8 Glasser JW, Hupert N, McCauley MM, Hatchett R. Modeling and public health emergency responses: lessons from SARS. *Epidemics* 2011; **3**: 32–37.
- 9 National Health Commission of China. The 5th version of Chinese guidelines governing contact tracing. 2020 <http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/zhengcwj/202002/3b09b894ac9b4204a79db5b8912d4440.shtml> (accessed Feb 5, 2020).
- 10 National Health Commission of China. The 6th version of Chinese guidelines governing diagnosis and treatment. 2020 <http://www.nhc.gov.cn/yzygj/s7652m/202002/54e1ad5c2aac45c19eb541799bf637e9.shtml> (accessed Feb 18, 2020).