Original Article Infiltration of CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, and FOXP3⁺ T cells in non-small cell lung cancer microenvironment

Jianqing Hao¹, Helin Wang^{1,5}, Lai Song⁴, Shuping Li², Nanying Che³, Shucai Zhang¹, Hongtao Zhang², Jinghui Wang¹

Departments of ¹Medical Oncology, ²Central Laboratory, ³Pathology, Beijing Chest Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing Tuberculosis and Thoracic Tumor Research Institute, Beijing, China; ⁴Beijing DCTY Bioinformation Technology Co., Beijing, China; ⁵Department of Oncology, First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, Henan, China

Received February 19, 2020; Accepted March 27, 2020; Epub May 1, 2020; Published May 15, 2020

Abstract: Background: Studies about CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells as a subtype of regulatory T cells (Treg cells) in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) are few. Associations among the clinicopathologic factors of NSCLC and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) such as CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, FOXP3⁺ T cells and tumor PD-L1 expression are unclear. Methods: We retrospectively enrolled 192 patients who underwent resections for NSCLC. We used tissue microarrays (TMA) with multiplex immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry staining to evaluate the expression of CD8, FOXP3, cytokeratin, DAPI and PD-L1. We then used Wilcoxon test, Kaplan-Meier method, and Cox hazard proportion model to analyze their relationships with clinicopathologic factors and prognosis. Results: Density of tumor CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells. Density of tumor CD8⁺ T cells was significant by univariate analysis, and positively associated with tumor CD8⁺ T cells and FOXP3⁺ T cells. Density of tumor CD8⁺ T cells was higher in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) than squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC), and was an independent prognostic factor for NSCLC. The density of tumor FOXP3⁺ T cells decreased with tumor size. Tumor PD-L1 expression was higher in LUSC than LUAD. Cox hazard proportion model analysis correlated being younger than 65 years, early TNM stage, early T stage, high tumor CD8⁺ T cells, cD8⁺ T cells, and FOXP3⁺ T cells is important in non-small cell lung cancer microenvironment, and needs to be investigated more.

Keywords: Lung cancer, CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells, PD-L1, multiplex immunofluorescence staining

Introduction

Lung cancer accounts for almost one-fifth of all cancer deaths worldwide [1]. In the past decade, conventional treatments, such as resection, platinum-based chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and targeted therapy have significantly improved the prognosis of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), with oncogenic driver mutations, such as EGFR, ALK, and ROS1. More targeted drugs have been developed, including BRAF, MET, RET, HER-2, and NTRK [2]. However, only a portion of patients has these driver mutations, which are inevitably accompanied by acquired resistance. Immunotherapy based on immune checkpoints, such as programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1), have recently offered a new approach to lung cancer treatment, and have led to longer overall survival (OS) for some NSCLC patients, especially those without sensitive oncogenic driver genes. Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), including pembrolizumab, nivolumab, atezolizumab, and durvolumab had been approved by the United States FDA to treat lung cancer [3-11]. However, only about 20% of NSCLC patients benefit from ICIs, and new immune biomarkers need to be discovered for the insufficient predictive roles by PD-L1 expression and tumor mutation burden in clinical practice [12].

The PD-1/PD-L1 pathway accounts for less than 40% of immune-escape mechanisms in



Figure 1. CD8, and FOXP3 expression in non-small cell lung cancer by multiplex immunofluorescence staining. Tumor compartments and stromal compartments divided by CK. CD8 expression (green), FOXP3 expression (red), CK expression (Orange), DAPI (blue). A. A merged picture of expression of CD8, FOXP3, CK and DAPI. B. CD8 expression (green). C. FOXP3 expression (red) (×200).



Figure 2. CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells by multiplex immunofluorescence staining. The cell indicated by the arrows is CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ cells (green membrane and red nuclei). CD8⁺ T cells in green, FOXP3⁺ T cells in red (×200).

CD4⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells. Treg cells are generally thought to be negatively immune-regulated cells, but their significance in NSCLC prognosis is unclear [16, 17]. The concept of CD8+ immunosuppressive T cells was proposed by Gershon and Condo in 1971 [18, 19]. The CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ Treg subtype accounts for a small percentage of Treg cells. Chaput et al. further showed that freshly isolated CD8⁺ Treg cells from colorectal cancers have strong regulatory cell functions [20]. Although CD8+ FOXP3⁺ T cells from NSCLC are less widely studied, their significance should not be ignored [21-23]. Reportedly, CD8⁺ T cells, FOXP3⁺ T cells are important TILs with variable anti-tumor properties. Although lung cancers with high CD8⁺ T cell density are thought to have a better prognosis [15], there are still reports with no statistical significance.

Associations among CD8⁺ FO-XP3⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells and FOXP3⁺ T cells with NSCLC clinicopathologic factors and PD-L1 expression remain unclear, and their impact on prognosis is controversial. Our study investigated the significance of these TILs and PD-L1 expression on clinicopathologic factors and prognosis in patients with NSCLC.

Materials and methods

Patient cohort

cancers [13, 14]. Moreover, the tumor microenvironment (TME), which includes tumor cells, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), vessel cells, and fibroblasts, has an important role in immunotherapy [15]. FOXP3, as a special transcription factor, is specifically overexpressed in regulatory T cells (Treg cells), and is used to identify Treg cells, which are mainly We retrospectively included patients who were treated at Beijing Chest Hospital from 2013 to 2016, with (a) newly diagnosed primary NSCLC histology, (b) no therapy before surgery, and (c) adequate follow-up information. We excluded patients (a) for whom we did not have enough tissue enough tissue for testing, (b) who had other malignant tumors. Staging was per-



Figure 3. PD-L1 expression in tumors. A. Negative PD-L1 expression in non-small cell lung cancer (< 50%). B. Positive PD-L1 expression in non-small cell lung cancer (\geq 50%) (×200).

formed according to the AJCC guidelines (8th edition) [24]. NSCLC was classified according to subtype using the WHO Guidelines [25]. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Chest Hospital.

Multiplex immunofluorescence staining

Antibodies, including those against CD8, FOXP3, CK, and DAPI were stained using Opal 7-color reagents (PerkinElmer, USA) on 4µm-thick tumor TMA sections from continual cuts of 2-mm cores in each tumor, using a method described in our previous work [26]. As the immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay, TMA sections were dewaxed and rehydrated. Antigen retrieval was performed with citrate antigen retrieval solution, which was brought to a boiling point at 100% power first and 15 min at 20% power in addition in a microwave oven. After being blocked with blocking buffer for 25 min, slides were firstly incubated with the primary antibody for 2 hours at room temperature (RT), and then incubated with the secondary antibody (Opal Polymer HRP Ms + Rb, USA) for another 30 min at RT, and washed. To generate the Opal signal, the slides were incubated with the Opal working solution for 10 min at RT. Before the next marker staining, sections were treated by microwave oven, and the above steps repeated. Finally, slides were stained with DAPI for 5 min, washed once by TBST for 10 min, and cover-slipped [27]. Primary antibodies were CK (1st cycle; clone PAN-CK (Cocktail): dilution 1:1000: Abcam. Science Park in Cambridge, UK), CD8 (2nd cycle: clone EP334; dilution 1:600; ZSBIO, Beijing, China), and FOXP3 (3rd cycle; clone 236A/E7; dilution 1:600; Abcam).

Imaging and data analysis of multiplex immunofluorescence staining

The stained slides were scanned by Vectra multispectral microscope (Perkin Elmer). Fluorescence spectroscopy of continuous, single-color-stained tissue sections under the same shooting conditions was processed by Inform software 2.2.0 (Perkin Elmer) to remove

autofluorescence and analyze fluorescence for the multi-color immunofluorescence staining slides. The images were analyzed in two compartments (defined by CK staining)-tumor and stromal-which were differentiated by applying the Inform software tissue segmentation tool. Trained pathologists identified the cells, and the tumor and stromal compartments. Individual cells (defined by DAPI staining) were identified by the cell segmentation tool. Data of CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, and FOXP3⁺ T cells in each TMA core (including tumor/stroma data) were collected. In each tumor, every marker expression was analyzed by density, which was calculated by percentage of positive cells among total tumor or stromal cells (Figures 1, 2).

PD-L1 immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Expression of PD-L1 (clone 22C3, Dako North America, Inc.) was tested on consecutive TMA sections, using an AutostainerLink-48 machine (Dako North America, Inc.) combined with Dako En VisionTM FLEX+ and DAB Enhancer solution, according to the manufacturers' instructions. PD-L1 expression in \geq 50% of tumor cells was regarded as PD-L1⁺ and expression in < 50% of tumor cells was PD-L1. PD-L1 expression was confirmed by two trained pathologists (**Figure 3**).

Statistical analysis

We used the Wilcoxon test for non-normal distributions of measured data and categorical variables; Spearman's rank correlation test for quantitative data; Kaplan-Meier method for OS;

	Number	%
Age (years)		
Range	35-84	
Median	62	
< 65	118	61.5
≥ 65	74	38.5
Gender		
Male	135	70.3
Female	57	29.7
Smoking status		
Current smoking	115	59.9
Non-smoking	77	40.1
TNM Stage		
I	46	28.0
II	14	8.5
III	87	53.0
IV	17	10.4
T staging		
T1	59	20.7
T2	78	40.6
ТЗ	33	17.2
T4	22	11.5
N staging		
NO	101	52.6
N1	22	11.5
N2	69	35.9
Histology		
Squamous	83	43.2
Adenocarcinoma	109	56.8
EGFR status		
EGFR mutation	27	44.3
EGFR wild type	34	55.7
Adjuvant chemotherapy		
Yes	111	57.8
No	81	42.2

Table 1. Patients' characteristics

log-rank test for intergroup comparison; and Cox hazard proportion model for multivariate analyses. P < 0.05 (two-sided) was considered significant. Data were analyzed in SPSS software v21.0, R software v3.6.0, and GraphPad Prism v8.0.

Results

Patients

We enrolled 192 patients with NSCLC. Their median age was 62 years old (range: 35-84

years); 74 (38.5%) were \geq 65 years old; 135 (70.3%) were men and 57 (29.7%) were women. Of the 192 patients, 115 (59.9%) were smokers. Their stages were stage I: n = 46(28%), stage II: n = 14 (8.5%), stage III: n = 87 (53%), and stage IV: n = 17 (10.4%). Other tumor characteristics were T1: n = 59 (20.7%). T2: n = 78 (40.6%), T3: n = 33 (17.2%) and T4: n = 22 (11.5%); NO: n = 101 (52.6%), N1: n = 22 (11.5%), and N2: n = 69 (35.9%); lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC): n = 83 (43.2%) and lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD): n = 109 (56.80%); EGFR mutation: n = 27 (44.3%), and EGFR wild type: n = 34 (55.7%). Of the 192 patients, 111 (57.8%) patients received postoperative chemotherapy and 81 (42.2%) did not (Table 1).

Significance of CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, FOXP3⁺ T cells and PD-L1 expression with clinicopathologic characteristics

Density of CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells increased with age (P = 0.001). Density of tumor CD8⁺ T cells was significantly higher in LUAD than that in LUCC (P = 0.006), and increased with age (P =0.030). Density of tumor FOXP3⁺ T cells increased with age (P = 0.012), and decreased significantly with T stage (P = 0.018). Tumor PD-L1 expression was significantly higher in LUSC than LUAD (P = 0.002) (**Figure 4**; Supplementary Table 1).

The correlation of density of CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, FOXP3⁺ T cells, and PD-L1 expression

Density of tumor CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells was positively correlated with tumor CD8⁺ T cells (r = 0.606, P < 0.001) and tumor FOXP3⁺ T cells (r = 0.604, P < 0.001). Density of tumor CD8⁺ T cells also positively correlated with tumor FOXP3⁺ T cells (r = 0.509, P < 0.001) (Supplementary Table 2).

Prognosis

The last follow-up date for all patients was June 30st, 2019. At that time, 120 patients had died and 72 patients were alive. We calculated OS from the date of surgery to the date of death. We analyzed associations between patients' outcomes and clinicopathological characteristics (age, sex, smoking, TNM stage, T stage, N stage, histology, EGFR status),



Figure 4. Expression of CD8 and PD-L1 with histologic characteristics and their correlation. A. Tumor CD8⁺ T cells' density between lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) and lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC). B. Tumor expression of PD-L1 between LUAD and LUSC (×200).

immune markers (tumor CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺, CD8⁺, and FOXP3⁺ T cell density and PD-L1 expression), and adjuvant chemotherapy. We used 50% for the tumor PD-L1 expression cut-off, and minimum P-value for the cut-offs of other immune markers. Univariate analysis showed that age < 65 years old (P = 0.046), early TNM stage (P < 0.001), early T stage (P < 0.001), early N stage (P < 0.001), LUAD (P = 0.001), low tumor expression of PD-L1 (P = 0.015), low tumor density of CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells (P =0.034), high tumor density of CD8⁺ T cells (P =0.010), and receiving adjuvant chemotherapy (P = 0.014) were associated with better OS compared with the absence of these factors. Factors that were significant in univariate analysis were entered into multivariate analyses (Cox hazard model), which showed that age <65 years old (HR: 0.549, 95% CI: 0.367-0.822, P = 0.004), early TNM stage (HR: 0.452, 95%) CI: 0.225-0.907, P = 0.025), early T stage (HR: 0.387, 95% CI: 0.248-0.605, P < 0.001), high tumor density of CD8⁺ T cells (HR: 0.565, 95%) CI: 0.355-0.900, P = 0.016), and adjuvant chemotherapy (HR: 0.447, 95% CI: 0.299-0.668, P < 0.001) were independent predictors of better OS (Figures 5, 6; Supplementary Table 3).

Discussion

Whereas previous studies had not considered CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells separately from CD8⁺ and FOXP3⁺ T cells in this setting, this study evaluated numbers of CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells apart from other Treg cells. As CD8⁺ T cells include only CD8⁺ FOXP3⁻ T cells, and FOXP3⁺ T cells

include only FOXP3⁺ CD8⁻ T cells, this method is more reliable.

Some studies have indicated that CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells may contribute to immune escape by tumors, and disease progression in colorectal and prostate cancer [20, 21]. However, few studies have addressed infiltration by CD8⁺ Treg cells in NSCLC. In our study, longer OS was associated with low tumor CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cell density in univariate analysis (P =0.034), and tended to be as-

sociated, but not significantly so, in multivariate analysis (P = 0.074). This suggests that CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells may have a critical role in NSCLC.

CD8⁺ T cells play an anti-tumor role in many cancer types, and have been associated with better prognosis in colorectal cancer, breast cancer, head and neck cancer, and NSCLC [15]. In our study, density of tumor CD8⁺ T cells was significantly higher in LUAD specimens than LUSC, which corresponds with our finding that associated LUAD with better OS in univariate analysis (P = 0.001), and marginally so in multivariate analysis (P = 0.052).

FOXP3⁺ T cells are also a very important component among TILs, and which represents a majority of regulatory T cells that inhibit the function of CD8⁺ T cells [15]. However, the prognostic and clinicopathologic value of FOXP3⁺ T cell infiltration in NSCLC remains undetermined [28, 29]. In our study, we found that tumor FOXP3⁺ T cell density was associated with early T stage, but saw no association between FOXP3⁺ T cell tumor density and OS.

PD-L1 expression is an important predictor of response to ICIs [7, 30]. In our study, PD-L1 expression was significantly higher in LUSC than LUAD, that was similar to some studies [31, 32]. Patients with lung squamous cell carcinoma responded well to immunotherapy [10], and could make up for the limits of targeted therapy in LUSC with few oncogenic driver mutations. We found that low tumor PD-L1 expression was associated with better OS in univariate analysis (P = 0.015), but only margin-



Figure 5. Overall survival curves of patients using Kaplan-Meier. A. According to age. B. According to TNM stage. C. According to T stage. D. According to N stage. E. According to histology. F. According to PD-L1 expression level. G. According to the density of CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells in tumor. H. According to the density of CD8⁺ T cells in tumor. I. According to adjuvant chemotherapy.

ally significant in multivariate analysis (P = 0.062), which was similar to other studies [33].

Our study had some limitations. First, this was a retrospective study that focuses on resected NSCLC with follow-up data, with some possible bias. Second, patients in this study had no history of immunotherapy, so predictive roles of these markers for ICIs cannot be confirmed. Third, TMA sections might not be representative of whole tumors. In addition, relatively few of the patients were tested for oncogenic driver mutations. Tumors harboring EGFR and ALK alterations are regarded as resistant to ICIs and TME of different oncogenic alterations are needed further investigated.

	Univariate analysis				Multivariate a	nalysis
	Total numers of patients/events (N/n)	Log rank <i>P</i> value			HR (95% CI)	P value
Age (years)		0.046				0.004
< 65	69/118		+		0.549 (0.367, 0.8	22)
≥ 65	51/74			_ 	1.821 (1.216, 2.7	26)
TNM stage		< 0.001				0.025
+	48/102				0.452 (0.225, 0.9	07)
III + IV	72/90			.	- 2.214 (1.102, 4.4	47)
T stage		< 0.001				< 0.001
T1 + T2	71/137		+		0.387 (0.248, 0.6	05)
T3 + T4	49/55			-	2.581 (1.653, 4.0	30)
N stage		< 0.001				0.918
NO	50/101		_	-	0.967 (0.509, 1.8	38)
N1 + N2	70/91		-	-	1.034 (0.544, 1.9	66)
Histology		0.001				0.052
Squamous	60/83		-	-	1.459 (0.997, 2.1	35)
Adenocarcinoma	60/109				0.686 (0.468, 1.0	03)
Tumor CD8*FOXP3* T o	cells' density	0.034				0.074
Low	94/159				0.635 (0.368, 1.0	44)
High	26/33		-	_ 	1.575 (0.958, 2.5	90)
Tumor CD8 ⁺ T cells' der	isity	0.010				0.016
Low	33/42			_ - _	1.769 (1.111, 2.8	16)
High	87/150				0.565 (0.355, 0.9	00)
Tumor PD-L1 expressio	n	0.015				0.062
Low	96/160				0.623 (0.380, 1.0	24)
High	24/32		-	_ - _	1.604 (0.976, 2.6	35)
Adjuvant chemotherapy		0.014				< 0.001
Yes	64/111		+		0.447 (0.299, 0.6	68)
No	56/81				2.238 (1.496, 3.3	49)
	Reduced I	-1 nazard of de	0 1 eath	2 3 4 Increased ha	zard of death	

Figure 6. Subgroup analyses of overall survival among 192 patients with resected non-small cell lung cancer by univariate and multivariate analysis.

In conclusion, tumor CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells are probably a negative prognostic factor in NS-CLC. Further large studies regarding CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, and FOXP3⁺ T cells in NSCLC should be performed to validate our findings. Multiplex immunofluorescence staining is a powerful tool for studying the TME. Cancer immune escape mechanisms clearly merit further study.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Lung Cancer Project from Beijing Municipal Health Commission 2017-2019. We thank other colleagues and members in the Department of Pathology and laboratories of Beijing Chest Hospital for experiment assistance.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Jinghui Wang, Department of Medical Oncology, Beijing Chest Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing Tuberculosis and Thoracic Tumor Research Institute, Tongzhou District, Beijing 101149, China. Tel: +86-136-8312-8239; E-mail: jinghuiwang2006@163.com; Hongtao Zhang, Department of Central Laboratory, Beijing Chest Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing Tuberculosis and Thoracic Tumor Research Institute, Tongzhou District, Beijing 101149, China. Tel: +86-186-1275-1900; E-mail: zhtbeijing@ 163.com

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018, CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 7-30.
- [2] Hirsch FR, Scagliotti GV, Mulshine JL, Kwon R, Curran WJ Jr, Wu YL and Paz-Ares L. Lung cancer: current therapies and new targeted treatments. Lancet 2017; 389: 299-311.
- [3] Hellmann MD, Paz-Ares L, Bernabe Caro R, Zurawski B, Kim SW, Carcereny Costa E, Park K, Alexandru A,

Lupinacci L, de la Mora Jimenez E, Sakai H, Albert I, Vergnenegre A, Peters S, Syrigos K, Barlesi F, Reck M, Borghaei H, Brahmer JR, O'Byrne KJ, Geese WJ, Bhagavatheeswaran P, Rabindran SK, Kasinathan RS, Nathan FE and Ramalingam SS. Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 2020-2031.

- [4] West H, McCleod M, Hussein M, Morabito A, Rittmeyer A, Conter HJ, Kopp HG, Daniel D, Mc-Cune S, Mekhail T, Zer A, Reinmuth N, Sadiq A, Sandler A, Lin W, Ochi Lohmann T, Archer V, Wang L, Kowanetz M and Cappuzzo F. Atezolizumab in combination with carboplatin plus nab-paclitaxel chemotherapy compared with chemotherapy alone as first-line treatment for metastatic non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (IMpower130): a multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2019; 20: 924-937.
- [5] Papadimitrakopoulou V, Cobo M, Bordoni R, Dubray-Longeras P, Szalai Z, Ursol G, Novello S, Orlandi F, Ball S, Goldschmidt J, Sanborn R, Hoang T, Mendus D, Deng Y, Kowanetz M, Wen X, Lin W, Sandler A and Nishion M. IMpower132: PFS and safety results with 1L atezolizumab plus carboplatin/cisplatin plus pemetrexed in stage IV non-squamous NSCLC. J Thorac Oncol 2018; 13: S332-S333.
- [6] Socinski MA, Jotte RM, Cappuzzo F, Orlandi F, Stroyakovskiy D, Nogami N, Rodríguez-Abreu

D, Moro-Sibilot D, Thomas CA, Barlesi F, Finley G, Kelsch C, Lee A, Coleman S, Deng Y, Shen Y, Kowanetz M, Lopez-Chavez A, Sandler A and Reck M; IMpower150 Study Group. Atezolizumab for first-line treatment of metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC. N Engl J Med 2018; 378: 2288-2301.

- [7] Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, Hui R, Csőszi T, Fülöp A, Gottfried M, Peled N, Tafreshi A, Cuffe S, O'Brien M, Rao S, Hotta K, Leiby MA, Lubiniecki GM, Shentu Y, Rangwala R and Brahmer JR; KEYNOTE-024 Investigators. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for PD-L1-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2016; 375: 1823-1833.
- [8] Paz-Ares L, Luft A, Vicente D, Tafreshi A, Gümüş M, Mazières J, Hermes B, Çay Şenler F, Csőszi T, Fülöp A, Rodríguez-Cid J, Wilson J, Sugawara S, Kato T, Lee KH, Cheng Y, Novello S, Halmos B, Li X, Lubiniecki GM, Piperdi B and Kowalski DM; KEYNOTE-407 Investigators. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy for squamous non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2018; 379: 2040-2051.
- [9] Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, Spigel DR, Steins M, Ready NE, Chow LQ, Vokes EE, Felip E, Holgado E, Barlesi F, Kohlhäufl M, Arrieta O, Burgio MA, Fayette J, Lena H, Poddubskaya E, Gerber DE, Gettinger SN, Rudin CM, Rizvi N, Crinò L, Blumenschein GR Jr, Antonia SJ, Dorange C, Harbison CT, Graf Finckenstein F and Brahmer JR. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 1627-1639.
- [10] Brahmer J, Reckamp KL, Baas P, Crinò L, Eberhardt WE, Poddubskaya E, Antonia S, Pluzanski A, Vokes EE, Holgado E, Waterhouse D, Ready N, Gainor J, Arén Frontera O, Havel L, Steins M, Garassino MC, Aerts JG, Domine M, Paz-Ares L, Reck M, Baudelet C, Harbison CT, Lestini B and Spigel DR. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced squamous-cell nonsmall-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 123-135.
- [11] Garon EB, Hellmann MD, Rizvi NA, Carcereny E, Leighl NB, Ahn MJ, Eder JP, Balmanoukian AS, Aggarwal C, Horn L, Patnaik A, Gubens M, Ramalingam SS, Felip E, Goldman JW, Scalzo C, Jensen E, Kush DA and Hui R. Five-year overall survival for patients with advanced nonsmall-cell lung cancer treated with pembrolizumab: results from the phase I KEYNOTE-001 study. J Clin Oncol 2019; 37: 2518-2527.
- [12] Carbone DP, Reck M, Paz-Ares L, Creelan B, Horn L, Steins M, Felip E, van den Heuvel MM, Ciuleanu TE, Badin F, Ready N, Hiltermann TJN, Nair S, Juergens R, Peters S, Minenza E, Wrangle JM, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Borghaei H, Blumenschein GR Jr, Villaruz LC, Havel L, Krejci J,

Corral Jaime J, Chang H, Geese WJ, Bhagavatheeswaran P, Chen AC and Socinski MA; CheckMate 026 Investigators. First-line nivolumab in stage IV or recurrent non-smallcell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2017; 376: 2415-2426.

- [13] Taube JM, Anders RA, Young GD, Xu H, Sharma R, McMiller TL, Chen S, Klein AP, Pardoll DM, Topalian SL and Chen L. Colocalization of inflammatory response with B7-h1 expression in human melanocytic lesions supports an adaptive resistance mechanism of immune escape. Sci Transl Med 2012; 4: 127ra137.
- [14] Zhang Y and Chen L. Classification of advanced human cancers based on tumor immunity in the microenvironment (TIME) for cancer immunotherapy. JAMA Oncol 2016; 2: 1403-1404.
- [15] Fridman WH, Zitvogel L, Sautès-Fridman C and Kroemer G. The immune contexture in cancer prognosis and treatment. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2017; 14: 717-734.
- [16] Ruffini E, Asioli S, Filosso PL, Lyberis P, Bruna MC, Macrì L, Daniele L and Oliaro A. Clinical significance of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in lung neoplasms. Ann Thorac Surg 2019; 87: 365-71.
- [17] Donnem T, Hald SM, Paulsen EE, Richardsen E, Al-Saad S, Kilvaer TK, Brustugun OT, Helland A, Lund-Iversen M, Poehl M, Olsen KE, Ditzel HJ, Hansen O, Al-Shibli K, Kiselev Y, Sandanger TM, Andersen S, Pezzella F, Bremnes RM and Busund L. Stromal CD8⁺ T-cell density-A promising supplement to TNM staging in non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2015; 21: 2635-2643.
- [18] Cantor H, Shen FW and Boyse EA. Separation of helper T cells from suppressor T cells expressing different Ly components. II. Activation by antigen: after immunization, antigen-specific suppressor and helper activities are mediated by distinct T-cell subclasses. J Exp Med 1976; 143: 1391-40.
- [19] Maoz A, Feldmann M and Kontiainen S. Enrichment of antigen-specific helper and suppressor T cells. Nature 1976; 260: 324-7.
- [20] Chaput N, Louafi S, Bardier A, Charlotte F, Vaillant JC, Ménégaux F, Rosenzwajg M, Lemoine F, Klatzmann D and Taieb J. Identification of CD8+CD25+Foxp3+ suppressive T cells in colorectal cancer tissue. Gut 200; 58: 520-529.
- [21] Kiniwa Y, Miyahara Y, Wang HY, Peng W, Peng G, Wheeler TM, Thompson TC, Old LJ and Wang RF. CD8+ Foxp3+ regulatory T cells mediate immunosuppression in prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13: 6947-58.
- [22] Sobhani I and Le Gouvello S. Critical role for CD8+FoxP3+ regulatory T cells in colon cancer

immune response in humans. Gut 2009; 58: 743-4.

- [23] Bézie S, Anegon I and Guillonneau C. Advances on CD8+ Treg cells and their potential in transplantation. Transplantation 2018; 102: 1467-1478.
- [24] Amin MB, Greene FL, Edge SB, Compton CC, Gershenwald JE, Brookland RK, Meyer L, Gress DM, Byrd DR and Winchester DP. The eighth edition ajcc cancer staging manual: continuing to build a bridge from a population-based to a more "personalized" approach to cancer staging. CA Cancer J Clin 2017; 67: 93-99.
- [25] Travis WD, Brambilla E, Burke AP, Marx A and Nicholson AG. Introduction to the 2015 world health organization classification of tumors of the lung, pleura, thymus, and heart. J Thorac Oncol 2015; 10: 1240-1242.
- [26] Wang J, Cai Y, Dong Y, Nong J, Zhou L, Liu G, Su D, Li X, Wu S, Chen X, Qin N, Zeng X, Zhang H, Zhang Z and Zhang S. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with primary lung adenocarcinoma harboring ALK rearrangements detected by FISH, IHC, and RT-PCR. PLoS One 2014; 9: e101551.
- [27] Stack EC, Wang C, Roman KA and Hoyt CC. Multiplexed immunohistochemistry, imaging, and quantitation: a review, with an assessment of tyramide signal amplification, multispectral imaging and multiplex analysis. Methods 2014; 70: 46-58.
- [28] Usó M, Jantus-Lewintre E, Bremnes RM, Calabuig S, Blasco A, Pastor E, Borreda I, Molina-Pinelo S, Paz-Ares L, Guijarro R, Martorell M, Forteza J, Camps C and Sirera R. Analysis of the immune microenvironment in resected non-small cell lung cancer: the prognostic value of different T lymphocyte markers. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 52849-52861.

- [29] Zhao S, Jiang T, Zhang L, Yang H, Liu X, Jia Y and Zhou C. Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of regulatory T cells in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 36065-36073.
- [30] Paz-Ares L, Luft A, Vicente D, Tafreshi A, Gümüş M, Mazières J, Hermes B, Çay Şenler F, Csőszi T, Fülöp A, Rodríguez-Cid J, Wilson J, Sugawara S, Kato T, Lee KH, Cheng Y, Novello S, Halmos B, Li X, Lubiniecki GM, Piperdi B and Kowalski DM; KEYNOTE-407 Investigators. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in metastatic nonsmall-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2018; 378: 2078-2092.
- [31] Kim H, Kwon HJ, Park SY, Park Y, Park E and Chung JH. Clinicopathological analysis and prognostic significance of programmed cell death-ligand 1 protein and mRNA expression in non-small cell lung cancer. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0198634.
- [32] Lin G, Fan X, Zhu W, Huang C, Zhuang W, Xu H, Lin X, Hu D, Huang Y, Jiang K, Miao Q and Li C. Prognostic significance of PD-L1 expression and tumor infiltrating lymphocyte in surgically resectable non-small cell lung cancer. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 83986-83994.
- [33] Sterlacci W, Fiegl M, Droeser RA and Tzankov A. Expression of PD-L1 identifies a subgroup of more aggressive non-small cell carcinomas of the lung. Pathobiology 2016; 83: 267-75.

		•				8			
		CD8 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ T cells'		CD8 ⁺ cells		F0XP3 ⁺ cells		The rate of PD-L1	
	Ν	density in tu	umor	density in tu	imor	density in tu	imor	expression in	tumor
		Mean ± SD (%)	P value	Mean ± SD (%)	P value	Mean ± SD (%)	P value	Mean ± SD (%)	P value
Age (year)									
< 65	118	0.06 ± 0.14	0.001	2.72 ± 3.17	0.030	0.63 ± 0.97	0.012	16.62 ± 30.88	0.964
≥ 65	74	0.11 ± 0.20		3.52 ± 3.50		0.86 ± 1.30		16.68 ± 32.41	
Gender									
Male	135	0.09 ± 0.18	0.484	2.93 ± 3.34	0.145	0.72 ± 1.08	0.672	18.15 ± 32.97	0.532
Female	57	0.06 ± 0.13		3.28 ± 3.28		0.71 ± 1.19		13.07 ± 27.26	
Smoking									
Yes	115	0.10 ± 0.20	0.263	2.97 ± 3.40	0.172	0.75 ± 1.15	0.744	17.82 ± 32.38	0.815
No	77	0.05 ± 0.11		3.12 ± 3.22		0.67 ± 1.06		14.88 ± 29.99	
TNM Stage									
+	102	0.07 ± 0.14	0.733	2.82 ± 2.77	0.925	0.80 ± 1.37	0.926	13.98 ± 28.88	0.216
III+IV	90	0.09 ± 0.20		3.27 ± 3.85		0.62 ± 0.72		19.66 ± 33.94	
T stage									
T1+T2	137	0.08 ± 0.14	0.806	3.16 ± 3.38	0.203	0.81 ± 1.24	0.018	14.98 ± 29.74	0.391
T3+T4	55	0.10 ± 0.22		2.71 ± 3.16		0.50 ± 0.67		20.78 ± 35.15	
N stage									
NO	101	0.07 ± 0.14	0.594	2.80 ± 2.72	0.713	0.78 ± 1.37	0.734	13.99 ± 29.17	0.234
N1+N2	91	0.09 ± 0.20		3.29 ± 3.88		0.65 ± 0.73		19.58 ± 33.62	
Histology									
LUSC	83	0.09 ± 0.18	0.643	2.57 ± 3.04	0.006	0.66 ± 0.90	0.449	23.20 ± 37.13	0.002
LUAD	109	0.08 ± 0.16		3.39 ± 3.49		0.76 ± 1.25		11.64 ± 25.26	
EGFR status									
EGFR mutation	27	0.04 ± 0.04	0.845	2.96 ± 2.96	0.983	0.56 ± 0.52	0.744	8.41 ± 21.77	0.743
EGFR wild type	34	0.06 ± 0.10		3.36 ± 3.90		0.62 ± 0.72		15.29 ± 28.20	

Supplementary Table 1. The association of density of CD8 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ T cells, CD8 ⁺ T cells,	FOXP3 ⁺ T
cells and the rate of PD-L1 expression in tumor with Clinicopathological characteristics	

Supplementary Table 2. The correlation of density of CD8⁺ FOXP3⁺ cells, CD8⁺ T cells, FOXP3⁺ T cells and the rate of PD-L1 expression in tumor

	CD8 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ cells' density in tumor		CD8⁺ cells' density in tumor		FOXP3 ⁺ cells' density in tumor		The rate of PD-L1 expression in tumor	
	r	P value	r	P value	r	P value	r	P value
CD8 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ cells' density in tumor	-	-	0.606	< 0.001	0.604	< 0.001	0.053	0.463
CD8 ⁺ cells' density in tumor	0.606	< 0.001	-	-	0.509	< 0.001	0.108	0.136
FOXP3 ⁺ cells' density in tumor	0.604	< 0.001	0.509	< 0.001	-	-	0.123	0.089
Tumor PD-L1 expression	0.053	0.463	0.108	0.136	0.123	0.089	-	-

Infiltration of CD8+ and FOXP3+ T cells in lung cancer

	l	Jnivariate analysis	Multivariate analysis		
Variables	Event/Number	Event/Number Median survival, (N/n) 95% Cl (month)		HR, 95% CI	P value
Age (years)					
< 65	69/118	30.9 (24.956, 36.844)	0.046	0.549 (0.367, 0.822)	0.004
≥65	51/74	17.7 (8.801, 26.599)		1.821 (1.216, 2.726)	
Gender					
Male	89/135	23.5 (18.415, 28.585)	0.096		
Female	31/57	33.7 (-, -)			
Smoking status					
Current smoking	77/115	23.1 (16.390, 29.810)	0.071		
Non-smoking	43/77	30.9 (22.397, 39.403)			
TNM Stage					
1+11	48/102	_	< 0.001	0.452 (0.225, 0.907)	0.025
III+IV	72/90	15.8 (11.405, 20.195)		2.214 (1.102, 4.447)	
T stage					
T1+T2	71/137	39.0 (-, -)	< 0.001	0.387 (0.248, 0.605)	< 0.001
T3+T4	49/55	11.2 (7.930, 14.470)		2.581 (1.653, 4.030)	
N stage					
NO	50/101	_	< 0.001	0.967 (0.509, 1.838)	0.918
N1+N2	70/91	19.8 (14.531, 25.069)		1.034 (0.544, 1.966)	
Histology					
LUSC	60/83	15.8 (11.039, 20.561)	0.001	1.459 (0.997, 2.135)	0.052
LUAD	60/109	33.7 (12.354, 55.046)		0.686 (0.468, 1.003)	
EGFR status					
EGFR mutation	16/27	33.3 (25.328, 41.272)	0.157		
EGFR wild type	24/34	25.1 (16.386, 33.814)			
Tumor CD8 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ T cells' density					
Low	94/159	28.0 (21.095, 34.905)	0.034	0.635 (0.386, 1.044)	0.074
High	26/33	17.7 (7.234, 28.166)		1.575 (0.958, 2.590)	
Tumor CD8 ⁺ T cells' density					
Low	33/42	20.4 (9.497, 31.303)	0.010	1.769 (1.111, 2.816)	0.016
High	87/150	27.6 (19.948, 35.252)		0.565 (0.355, 0.900)	
Tumor FOXP3 ⁺ T cells' density					
Low	93/140	21.9 (15.870, 27.930)	0.063		
High	27/52	38.4 (-, -)			
PD-L1 expression in tumor					
Low	96/160	27.7 (21.037, 34.363)	0.015	0.623 (0.380, 1.024)	0.062
High	24/32	12.7 (8.126, 17.274)		1.604 (0.976, 2.635)	
Adjuvant chemotherapy					
Yes	64/111	32.6 (23.467, 41.733)	0.014	0.447 (0.299, 0.668)	< 0.001
No	56/81	19.2 (11.459, 26.941)		2.238 (1.496, 3.349)	

Supplementary Table 3. Univariate and multivariate analysis of overall survival